Deep Networks for Equalization in Communications

by

Laura Brink

A thesis submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of Master's of Science

in

Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

in the

Graduate Division

of the

University of California, Berkeley

Committee in charge:

Professor Anant Sahai, Chair Professor John Wawrzynek

Fall 2018

Chair Date	
Date	
Date	

University of California, Berkeley

Deep Networks for Equalization in Communications

Copyright 2018 by Laura Brink

Abstract

Deep Networks for Equalization in Communications

by

Laura Brink

Master's of Science in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
University of California, Berkeley
Professor Anant Sahai, Chair

We apply the techniques from meta-learning to the communications domain. Specifically, we explore how equalization techniques can learn how to handle new environments without training on them.

Contents

Co	Contents			
Li	List of Figures			
Li	st of	Tables	iii	
1	Intr	roduction	1	
	1.1	Background	1	
		Inter-symbol Interference and Equalization	1	
		Carrier Frequency Offset and Correction	2	
	1.2	Related Works	3	
2	Dee	p Networks for Equalization	4	
	2.1	Replicate Results	4	
	2.2	Channel Estimation	4	
	2.3	Channel Equalization	4	
		Learning an inverse	5	
		Learning to multiply two inputs	5	
	2.4	Channel Est + Equal	5	
3	Dee	p Networks for CFO	6	
	3.1	Recurrent Neural Network Follows a Circle	6	
	3.2	Deep Network Carrier Frequency Offset Estimation	6	
	3.3	Deep Network Carrier Frequency Offset Correction	6	
4	Con	aclusion	7	

List of Figures

List of Tables

Acknowledgments

I want to thank my advisor for advising me.

Introduction

With the rise of internet of things, more and more users will need to access the wireless spectrum. As the spectrum becomes saturated with users, not only must our systems adapt to changing environments but also be able to coexist and thrive in the presence of unknown neighbors. We must design a robust communications system that will give us the freedom to.

Most communications systems have three main processes; equalization, demodulation, and error-correction. While we will need to design robust forms of all of these processes, we will focus on equalization for the remainder of this paper.

1.1 Background

Inter-symbol Interference and Equalization

What is equalization and ISI?

Inter-symbol interference occurs when we are transmitting over a channel that has some echos. These echos cause the receiver to hear a garbled signal instead of the original signal from the transmitter. This is called inter-symbol interference because the receiver is hearing a combination of symbols across time.

Let $\vec{x} = [x_0, x_1, \dots x_n]$ be the set of n complex symbols that the transmitter sends over the channel that connects the transmitter to the receiver. Each channel will have different characteristics. Some channels may have echos, others may have delays, often channels will have both. When a channel has echos, this is called a multipath channel because there are multiple paths to reach the receiver. Each path is called a tap. We can characterize a channel by characterizing the taps.

What can cause these echos? How prevelant are they?

Channel taps: Let $\vec{a} = [a_0, a_1, \dots a_l]$ be the set of characteristic for a multipath channel that has l taps. When a sequence of symbols like \vec{x} is transmitted over this channel, the

channel taps are convolved over the sequence. Additionally, there is noise in the system denoted by η_i .

$$\tilde{x}_m = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i x_{m-i} + \eta_i$$

The receiver will hear a signal that is corrupted by inter-symbol interfence and noise; $\tilde{\vec{x}} = [\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{x}_1, \dots \tilde{x}_{n+l}].$

Receivers must be able to handle garbled signals in order to transmit data in the real world. The process of removing the inter-symbol interference is called equalization. The goal of equalization is to take in a garbled signal and output a signal without any inter-symbol interference.

INSERT IMAGE OF 2 TAP CHANNEL EFFECTS ON QPSK

Engineers have built processes to remove inter-symbol interference. First, let's go into the case when the channel characteristics are known.

Equalization for a known channel

If you know the channel characteristics, \vec{a} , perfectly, then there are a few different methods that can be used.

Zero-forcing

While it's important to consider how well a receiver can equalize with a known channel, this is rarely the case. Usually, we do not know the channel characteristics.

Equalization for an unknown channel

When the receiver does not know the channel characteristics, the process of equalization essentially has two jobs; first, identify the channel, second, remove the inter-symbol interference. If the receiver did not identify the channel first, there would be no way to remove the affects of it on the received signal.

In order to do channel estimation, most systems require that packets begin with a known sequence called a preamble.

Channel estimation: least squares

Minimum mean squared error equalizer.

How do real systems handle equalization?

OFDM does not have this problem!

Carrier Frequency Offset and Correction

Now, if we were to implement our minimum mean squared error algorithm on a physical receiver, we would find some problems with our equalization process. Our equalizer will

equalize the first symbols very well. However, as we equalize end parts of our sequence, we will encounter a physical phenomenen called carrier frequency offset, CFO.

Carrier frequency offset occurs when ???

When there is a significant CFO present, our symbols will gradually start rotating. CFO will effect our received symbols like

$$\tilde{x}_m = x_m e^{mj\omega}$$

The effect will look like something like this INSERT IMAGE OF CFO OCCURRING on QPSK

How do real systems handle CFO correction?

There are a few ways to handle CFO, some are more elegant than others.

The first solution is to try to remove the problem. Since CFO is dependent on the length of a packet, one solution is to make packets so short that

A more elegant solution is using phase-lock loops (costas loops).

What must a modern day receiver handle? What does it look like when we have both CFO and ISI?

$$\tilde{x}_m = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l} a_i x_{m-i}\right) e^{mj\omega} + \eta_i$$

INSERT IMAGE OF AFFECTS OF BOTH CFO AND EQUALIZATION INSERT IMAGE OF SAME THING WITH NOISE!

1.2 Related Works

related works!

Deep Networks for Equalization

2.1 Replicate Results

2.2 Channel Estimation

- compare least squares and how KNN did with pure deep nn based architecture
- NN did better than least squares
- hyperparam search over general 1-layer to 4-layer dense layers, and number of nodes and activations
- plots: how error changed with respect to data points, as number of data points increased, NN outperformed LS
- preamble: 100
- want: QPSK, plot of preamble length
- want? how to visualize that NN does better than LS

2.3 Channel Equalization

- compare to MMSE
- re run with the new RNN architecture
- backprop length of 3. crude search from 1-10. 2 tap channel
- added channel preprocessing: didn't seem to make too much of a difference
- plot log/ log scale to find converging in error

Learning an inverse

- can a NN learn to do division?
- given a one tap channel and data sequence, output is equalized data sequence
- with and without log feature scaling
- without log errors = 10^-6
- MMSE gets error = 10^{-32}
- with $\log =$
- straight inversion, without log feature scaling 10^{-7} with dense layers
- inversion with log feature scaling with error of 10⁻14
- plots: inversion, but as a function of beta for both non-log and log

Learning to multiply two inputs

NN given x, y - output x*y

2.4 Channel Est + Equal

re run that

Deep Networks for CFO

3.1 Recurrent Neural Network Follows a Circle

for a constant rate for a given rate

3.2 Deep Network Carrier Frequency Offset Estimation

- complex gradients problems
- act like the real and imaginary parts are separate
- plots: one tap channel plots, without equalization problems
- plots: two tap channel plots, with equalization problems

3.3 Deep Network Carrier Frequency Offset Correction

Program a Costas loop for comparison

Conclusion

conclucsion