

Rubric



Final JS App: Attention to Detail (20%)

No Marks		Half Marks		Full Marks	
The submission to Gradescope does not include a project folder containing JavaScript file(s), missing both the static data files and cached real-time data.	0.00 %	The submission to Gradescope includes a project folder containing JavaScript file(s), but is missing either the static data files or cached real-time data.	2.50 %	The submission to Gradescope includes a project folder containing JavaScript file(s), the static data files and cached real-time data.	5.00 %
The project folder does not feature an appropriate file/folder naming/structure, and the starting file is difficult to locate.	0.00 %	The project folder features a mostly appropriate file/folder naming/structure.	2.50 %	The project folder features an appropriate file/folder naming/structure, with a starting file that is easy to locate.	5.00 %
The app does not run via Node.js in the terminal, requiring significant modifications to get it running.	0.00 %	The app does not run via Node.js in the terminal, but only requires minor modifications to get it running.	2.50 %	The app runs via Node.js in the terminal, without any issues.	5.00 %
The user-facing portion of the app demonstrates little to none of the expected functionality.	0.00 %	The user-facing portion of the app demonstrates most of the expected functionality.	2.50 %	The user-facing portion of the app demonstrates all of the expected functionality.	5.00 %

Rubric



Final JS App: Functional Programming (30%)

No Marks		Half Marks		Full Marks	
Does not demonstrate an understanding of functional programming techniques, relying too heavily on imperative logic over declarative logic.	0.00 %	Demonstrates some understanding of functional programming techniques, with occasional reliance on imperative over declarative logic.	3.75 %	Demonstrates a strong understanding of functional programming techniques, balancing the use of declarative & imperative logic where appropriate.	7.50 %
Does not demonstrate an understanding of declaring, defining & transforming immutable data, relying too heavily on redefining values.	0.00 %	Demonstrates some understanding of declaring, defining & transforming immutable data, with occasional reliance on redefining values.	3.75 %	Demonstrates a strong understanding of declaring, defining & transforming immutable data.	7.50 %
Does not demonstrate an understanding of recursive techniques, relying too heavily on iterative logic over recursive logic.	0.00 %	Demonstrates some understanding of recursive techniques, with occasional reliance on iterative over recursive logic.	3.75 %	Demonstrates a strong understanding of recursive techniques, balancing the use of recursive & iterative logic where appropriate.	7.50 %
Does not demonstrate an understanding of modularity through nested functions, where variable scoping is too broad .	0.00 %	Demonstrates some understanding of modularity through nested functions, but variable scoping could be narrowed .	3.75 %	Demonstrates a strong understanding of modularity through nested functions, including appropriate variable scoping.	7.50 %

Rubric



Final JS App: Asynchronous Programming & Integrations (25%)

No Marks		Half Marks		Full Marks	
Does not use Node.js modules to support prompting, Fetch API, CSV parsing, and file system access.	0.00 %	Uses Node.js modules to support prompting, Fetch API, CSV parsing, and file system access, but could be better integrated into the code.	4.16 %	Integrates Node.js modules, or demonstrates suitable bespoke alternatives, to support prompting, Fetch API, CSV parsing, and file system access.	8.33 %
Demonstrates little to no use of asynchronous programming techniques, or does not use Promises and appropriate syntax (e.g. async/await) to action them.	0.00 %	Demonstrates an occasional use of asynchronous programming techniques, using Promises, and appropriate syntax (e.g. async/await) to action them.	4.16 %	Demonstrates a holistic approach to asynchronous programming, using Promises, and appropriate syntax (e.g. async/await) to action them.	8.33 %
Does not integrate live data derived from the web API proxy (using the Fetch API) and local data via CSV files.	0.00 %	Integrates live data derived from the web API proxy (using the Fetch API) and local data via CSV files, but it could be more selectively filtered.	4.16 %	Integrates live data derived from the web API proxy (using the Fetch API) and local data via CSV files, with selective filtering applied.	8.33 %

Rubric



Final JS App: Code Style (25%)

No Marks		Half Marks		Full Marks	
Demonstrates little to no consideration of an easy to understand program structure, with variables & functions not following a consistent naming scheme.	0.00 %	Demonstrates some consideration of an easy to understand program structure, with variables & functions following a consistent naming scheme.	3.12 %	Demonstrates consideration of an easy to understand program structure, with variables & functions following a consistent naming scheme.	6.25 %
Demonstrates little to no consideration of code neatness (e.g. tabbing, whitespace) or consistency (similar to common style guides).	0.00 %	Demonstrates some consideration of code neatness (e.g. tabbing, whitespace) and consistency (similar to common style guides).	3.12 %	Demonstrates consideration of code neatness (e.g. tabbing, whitespace) and consistency (similar to common style guides).	6.25 %
Demonstrates little to no understanding of the code through comments, with comments above function declarations excluding @param and @returns.	0.00 %	Demonstrates some understanding of the code through comments, with comments above function declarations including @param and @returns.	3.12 %	Demonstrates understanding of the code through comments, with comments above function declarations including @param and @returns.	6.25 %
Demonstrates little to no use of shorthand syntax (e.g. arrow function expressions) where appropriate.	0.00 %	Demonstrates some use of shorthand syntax (e.g. arrow function expressions) where appropriate.	3.12 %	Demonstrates regular use of shorthand syntax (e.g. arrow function expressions) where appropriate.	6.25 %