

4 Result

4.1 Benchmark

Exact diagonalization in single mode

To compare with the result from exact diagonalization(ED), we set the condition when the bath has only a single mode. The result shows that the approximation method could be reliable in high temperatures ($\beta = 1$). Throughout the result analysis, we use k_b as unit value 1.




Figure 14: Brief circuit scheme for single bath condition. The crossing symbol refers to the insulated junction of Josephson junction.




Figure 15: Result for single bath benchmark. For $\chi_{sp} - \tau$ (Left), it conducted on overall condition g (coupling strength with system-bath) = 1. With $\chi_{sp}(\tau = \beta/2) - g^2$ (Right), It shows that if order of perturbation increases, the results approach the exact results.

Multi-mode case

To investigate the convergence of the diagrammatic approximation method in a general bosonic reservoir condition, we calculated the order parameter and the correlation function using the NCA, OCA, and TOA. The results showed no significant difference between the OCA and TOA calculations, confirming that the OCA is a sufficiently convergent method.




Figure 16: Calculation results of the order parameter and correlation function using each order of the approximation method. Both figures are for the case of $\alpha=5$, with the left figure showing temperatures between 0.3 and 0.1; the right figure is for the temperature condition of 0.1. It can be observed that the calculation results of OCA and TOA are converged. The size of the grid used for the calculation is 701.

Benchmarking solver code in $\alpha = 0$ condition

To check for the integration code, we compared the order parameter calculated using approximation methods for the case of $=0$ with the order parameter calculated using the state density matrix of the Josephson junction system. The results showed that the two cases were entirely consistent.




Figure 17: In the case of $\alpha = 0$, order parameter calculation using the approximation method should be consistent with using H_{loc} . The comparison confirmed that the two cases show consistency. The left figure shows the calculation of the order parameter, and the right figure shows the difference in the order parameter for consecutive β values in the intervals [8.33, 10], [10, 12.5], and [12.5, 16.6].

4.2 Simulation condition

The control parameters are as follows: γ represents the nonlinearity of the Josephson junction system, α represents the interaction with the external environment. Regarding the previous study, which reported that the transition occurs at $\alpha = 1$, we set the range of parameters around $\alpha = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$. The factors influencing the accuracy of the approximation method are the number of integration iterations and the size of the τ grid. We set the range of variables used in the simulation as Table 2 and Table 3.

Variables	Min	Max	Interval
γ	0	2	0.1
α	0	2	0.01
β	7.14	62.5	
Temperature	0.016	0.14	0.02 (until 0.04)

Table 3: Parameter interval used for calculation.

Number	Interval	Gridsize
τ grid	$[0, \beta]$	2000
k grid	$[0, K = 30000]$	30000

Table 4: Grid condition used for calculation.

Saturation test

We investigated the convergence behavior of the calculation results for changing the order parameter. We confirmed that the results converge for the case of a tau grid size of 1800 to 2000.




Figure 18: Saturation test in different time grid size. The temperature condition is $\beta = 41.67$

Size dependence

Since the resistively shunted Josephson junction circuit corresponds to a model that the physical system has coupled to the waveguide structure, there was a breakdown of the rotating wave approximation of the two-level system as the

coupling strength between the RC resonator circuit and the Josephson junction increases[15]. The test condition is $\gamma = 2$, $\alpha = 1.5$ with calculating correlation function. The benchmark result shows that adopting a 2-level truncated condition is reliable since the difference between the two sizes is ignorable.




Figure 19: Comparision with $\chi_{sp}(\tau)$ size3 and size5. The size of τ grid is 700.

4.3 Orderparameter evaluation

Orderparameter

First, we calculated the expectation value of the order parameter $\cos \hat{\phi}$ over the given range of γ . The results showed that the Josephson junction exhibited superconducting behavior as its nonlinearity increased at all temperatures. Subsequently, we compared the diagonal elements of the product of the operator $\cos \hat{\phi}$ and the operator \hat{H}_{loc} , both expressed in matrix form, for different degrees of coupling with the external environment. We expected the probability of the system being in the ground state to be the highest for all three α values. From the result, it can be deduced that the system is localized and corresponds with the superconductor state, consistent with the observed result from the expectation value of the order parameter.




Figure 20: Calculation of the expectation value of the order parameter for the case of $\alpha=0.1$. The expectation value of the order parameter varies with temperature in the range of γ [0,1.5].




Figure 21: Colored plot for the expectation value of order parameter. The simulation was performed in NCA. The left figure is in the case of $\beta = 10$, Right is for $\beta = 25$. The difference in phase on each side of the junction goes lower as the color goes deeper.




Figure 22: Plotting results of the diagonal elements of the product of the $\hat{\cos} \phi$ operator and the $e^{H_{loc}}$ operator for different α values. From top to bottom, the results are for α values of 0, 0.01, and 0.1, respectively. The first diagonal element is proportional to the probability of the system being in the ground state. It can be seen that it has the largest value among the diagonal elements for all α and γ values. This confirms that the system tends to superconductivity regardless of adjusting parameters.

Temperature dependent transition

To determine the criticality of the system's phase concerning temperature, a new order parameter $\nu(T, \alpha, \gamma)$ was introduced, its change concerning temperature was defined as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial T} \approx \frac{\langle \hat{\cos \phi} \rangle|_{T_i} - \langle \hat{\cos \phi} \rangle|_{T_{i+1}}}{\Delta T} , \quad \Delta T = |T_i - T_{i+1}| \quad (1)$$

Following the equation above, we observed the changes in the order parameter while varying the temperature. As the temperature decreases, the tangent of the order parameter changes from a high to a low slope. This allows us to predict the temperature-dependent behavior of the system under investigation. The prediction of the system's phase change according to $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial T}$ is follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial T} > 0 & : \text{Insulating} \\ \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial T} < 0 & : \text{Superconducting} \\ \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial T} = 0 & : \text{crossover point} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

When the simulation results were plotted on the $\alpha\gamma$ plane, following three features were observed :

- $\alpha=0$ (no interaction with the external reservoir), the system exhibits superconducting properties.
- Crossover points on the $\alpha\gamma$ plane for each consecutive temperature range, the left side of the crossover line predicted as insulating properties and superconducting properties for the right side.
- As the temperature decreases, the range exhibiting insulating properties widens for a given α interval.




Figure 23: Crossover tendency in $\alpha - \gamma$ plane.




Figure 24: The points indicate where the abrupt change of the order parameter ν with temperature difference. Notated temperature is the lower value of the two consecutive temperature values (e.g., $T = 0.12$ represents the line connecting the points where the ν values difference for the two temperatures $[0.1, 0.12]$ becomes 0). The upper plot shows the results calculated using NCA, and the lower plot compares the results of OCA and NCA under the same temperature conditions.




Figure 25: 3-Dimension plotting of upper Figure 23, with T axis




Figure 26: Crossover tendency for fixed γ and T with varying α value.

Small alpha

In the calculation of $\cos \hat{\phi}$ at the value from $\alpha = 0$ to near a small value, the system change shows a discontinuous behavior from superconductor to insulator. According to the right side in Figure 17, we can deduce two features:

1. when $\alpha = 0$ there is no sign change in the order parameter,
2. The value of $\cos \hat{\phi}_{T_i} \cos \hat{\phi}_{T_{i+1}}$ is always negative, suggesting that the system exhibits superconductivity as the temperature decreases.

This contradicts the left side of the crossover line, which represents the insulator state. To investigate how the junction's phase change varies when the external environment's influence is small, we applied the approximation method in a small α interval. Updated alpha range follows:

Previous α	Updated α	Interval
[0,2]	[0,0.0001]	201

Table 5: Updated α range for simulation. Other conditions were left unchanged.

The calculation results showed that the sign of $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial T}$ changes from negative to positive as the γ is varied within a specific temperature range. The existence of a continuous crossover from superconductor to insulator is presumed within a small α interval.




Figure 27: Expected temperature-dependent phase change behavior from the results. We can deduce that the system will show a continuity corresponding to the superconductor-to-insulator transition in the small α value interval.




Figure 28: Crossover tendency calculated on NCA for fixed γ and T with varying α value. The temperature conditions are $T = [0.14, 0.12]$, $T = [0.12, 0.1]$, and $T = [0.1, 0.08]$ from left to right. As the temperature decreases, the crossover behavior of $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial T}$ at large γ values also decreases. This implies that at low temperatures, the change of state could not occur as the nonlinearity of the junction increases.




Figure 29: Crossover tendency calculated on OCA. The consecutive temperature condition is $T = [0.1, 0.08]$.




Figure 30: Expected phase transition diagram. Expected crossover behavior is represented as a dotted line. We can deduce as the temperature increases, the insulator behavior becomes wider. The red line is the highest temperature crossover line, and the green line has the lowest temperature.

4.4 χ_{sp}

We utilize the method of calculating approximation frequency, which has the formula:

$$\beta\chi_{sp}(\tau = \frac{\beta}{2}) \quad (3)$$

Calculating the phase transition using the relationship the correlation function, we observed the following results: Varying the γ value at a fixed α value, phase tended to decrease as the interaction with the external environment increased. In particular, at low temperatures, the phase increased up to a specific value while the rate of change decreased concerning the α value. When we fixed the γ value and varied the α value, the phase increased at high temperatures. However, at low temperatures, we observed that the phase decreased as the α value increased.




Figure 31: The crossing picture in χ_{sp} with γ swapping and α swapping

To observe how the phase changes for all γ and α value conditions at a fixed temperature, we visualized the results using a 2D color map. We observed that the phase increased at high temperatures as the γ and α values increased. At low temperatures, the phase generally decreased as the influence of the external environment increased. Yet the junction energy increased, and the phase tended to increase in more decisive influence from the external environment.




Figure 32: Colored plot for real frequency approximation. The simulation was performed in NCA. The left figure is for $\beta = 10$; the Right is for $\beta = 25$. The Josephson junction has high conductivity as the color goes deeper.

χ_{sp} result from exceeded simulation range

In the metal-insulator transition calculation using approximation method using correlation function, while plotting the temperature-dependent behavior of phase calculated with the approximation formula varying the influencing variables, the point where the lines corresponding to two consecutive temperatures intersect can be predicted as the phase transition point. The temperature-dependent phase approximation behavior was not observed within the previous set simulation range. Therefore, as a first attempt, we tried calculations with a broader range of α values while maintaining the range of γ . However, it should be noted that the size of the τ grid was reduced for faster calculation verification. The range conditions used are shown in the table below.

Variables	Min	Max	Interval
γ	0	2	0.01
α	0	20	1
β	3.3	25	
Temperature	0.3	0.04	0.02

Table 6: Exceeded parameter condition used for χ_{sp} calculation.

Number	Interval	Gridsize
τ grid	$[0, \beta]$	700
k grid	$[0, K = 30000]$	30000

Table 7: Exceeded grid condition used for χ_{sp} calculation

When the calculation was performed by increasing the γ value for a fixed α value, crossing points occurred according to temperature, and it was confirmed that the points obtained within the temperature interval converged to a specific line as the α value increased. Purple lines represent the results of OCA, and green lines represent the results of NCA. Based on the result, we draw the expected locations of the critical points from the results calculated by the approximation method. The change of γ as a function of α was re-plotted using the phase intersection points above. The color of each line corresponds to a different β value. Throughout the result, we confirmed that the prediction of the phase transition point through phase approximation was not possible in the previous calculation range because no intersection value appeared at α values less than two at low temperatures. Also, we confirmed that the phase transition interval using approximation decreased as the temperature decreased.




Figure 33: Estimated line of the criticality of the system in gamma as the function of alpha. Each colored line corresponds to each temperature when the temperature range from 0.3 to 0.04 is divided into 0.02 intervals from top to bottom. In the case of NCA, no critical point was observed at temperatures below 0.08.




Figure 34: Crossing points in the exceeded range. Each color represents the difference in the approximation method, with green representing NCA with a matrix size of 3 and purple representing OCA for matrix sizes 3 and 5, respectively. It can be observed that the position of the line changes significantly for a matrix size of 3, i.e., a two-level energy system, in the region with large α values.