

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number

1

Project Name: this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table

Team Members: this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table

* The descriptions/levels are meant to be a *guide* – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
Total				_____ / 105

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number

2

Project Name: This one is okay

Team Members: t

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
Total				_____ / 105

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number

3 TBL

Project Name: This is a test and should be last

Team Members: test test test test test test

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
Total				_____ / 105

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number
4 TBL

Project Name: Kapok: Disaster relief Mobile App

Team Members: Brian Ramirez, Ishan Khatri, Serena Chan

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
Total				_____ / 105