

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number

1

Project Name: this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table

Team Members: this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table

* The descriptions/levels are meant to be a *guide* – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

| | <i>Low</i> | <i>Medium</i> | <i>High</i> | Points awarded |
|---|---|---|---|----------------|
| <i>Originality of idea</i> | (0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application) | (6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem | (11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Relevant experience to idea</i> | (0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea | (5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge | (8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea | _____ / 10 |
| <i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i> | (0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all | (16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws | (30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues | _____ / 40 |
| <i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i> | (0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent | (9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues | (16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish | _____ / 20 |
| <i>Depth of knowledge</i> | (0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project | (8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work) | (13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Judge's points</i> | <i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i> | | | _____ / 5 |
| Total | | | | _____ / 105 |

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number

2

Project Name: This one is okay

Team Members: t

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

| | <i>Low</i> | <i>Medium</i> | <i>High</i> | Points awarded |
|---|---|---|---|----------------|
| <i>Originality of idea</i> | (0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application) | (6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem | (11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Relevant experience to idea</i> | (0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea | (5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge | (8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea | _____ / 10 |
| <i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i> | (0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all | (16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws | (30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues | _____ / 40 |
| <i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i> | (0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent | (9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues | (16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish | _____ / 20 |
| <i>Depth of knowledge</i> | (0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project | (8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work) | (13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Judge's points</i> | <i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i> | | | _____ / 5 |
| Total | | | | _____ / 105 |

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number

3

Project Name: This is a test and should be last

Team Members: test test test test test test

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

| | <i>Low</i> | <i>Medium</i> | <i>High</i> | Points awarded |
|---|---|---|---|----------------|
| <i>Originality of idea</i> | (0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application) | (6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem | (11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Relevant experience to idea</i> | (0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea | (5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge | (8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea | _____ / 10 |
| <i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i> | (0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all | (16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws | (30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues | _____ / 40 |
| <i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i> | (0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent | (9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues | (16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish | _____ / 20 |
| <i>Depth of knowledge</i> | (0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project | (8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work) | (13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Judge's points</i> | <i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i> | | | _____ / 5 |
| Total | | | | _____ / 105 |

HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

Table Number

4

Project Name: This one should be one of the last ones

Team Members: t

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

| | <i>Low</i> | <i>Medium</i> | <i>High</i> | Points awarded |
|---|---|---|---|----------------|
| <i>Originality of idea</i> | (0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application) | (6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem | (11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Relevant experience to idea</i> | (0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea | (5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge | (8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea | _____ / 10 |
| <i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i> | (0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all | (16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws | (30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues | _____ / 40 |
| <i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i> | (0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent | (9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues | (16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish | _____ / 20 |
| <i>Depth of knowledge</i> | (0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project | (8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work) | (13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component | _____ / 15 |
| <i>Judge's points</i> | <i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i> | | | _____ / 5 |
| Total | | | | _____ / 105 |