Project Name: Project2
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Team Members:	User2 User2, User3 User3	

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project4
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Team Members:	User4 User4	

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration : How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience : How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project8
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Team Members:	User8 User8, User9 User9

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration : How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project10

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Team Members:	User10 User10	

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project14
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Numb	er
5	

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **quide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project16

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table N	lumber
---------	--------

6

Team Members:	User16 User16	
---------------	---------------	--

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!/ 5		/5	
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project20
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table N	lumber
---------	--------

Team Members:	User20 User20, User21 User21

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!		/5	
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project22
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table	Number
8	3

Team Members:	User22 User22	

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!/ 5		/5	
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project26
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Team Members:	User26 User26, User27 User27

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration : How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience : How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!		/5	
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project28
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table	Number
1	10

Team Members:	User28 User28

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/ 20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project32
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

11

Team Members:	User32 User32, User33 User33

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project34
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table	Number
1	2

Team Members:	User34 User34	
---------------	---------------	--

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project38
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table	Number
	13

Team Members:	User38 User38, User39 User39	
---------------	------------------------------	--

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/ 20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious Best Do	ocumented Funniest	/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project40
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table	Number
1	14

Team Members:	User40 User40	
---------------	---------------	--

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration : How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience : How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project44
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table N	lumber
15	5

Team Members:	User44 User44, User45 User45
---------------	------------------------------

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration : How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience : How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			/ 105 (total)

Project Name: Project46
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table N	lumber
16	3

Team Members:	User46 User46	
---------------	---------------	--

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **quide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea : How unique is the concept?	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/20
Technical Depth : How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	/10
Execution : How well does each piece of the project work?	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	/30
Integration: How well does the project work as a whole?	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well- connected from end to end and shows good polish	/10
Relevant experience: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/15
Depth of knowledge : How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	/15
Judge's points	Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!			/5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			/ 105 (total)