

# HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

**Table Number**

**1**

**Project Name:** it works hoe

**Team Members:** 4

*\* The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
<b>Total</b>				_____ / 105

# HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

**Table Number**

**2**

**Project Name:** This one is okay

**Team Members:** t

*\* The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
<b>Total</b>				_____ / 105

# HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

**Table Number**

**3 TBL**

**Project Name:** This is a test and should be last

**Team Members:** test test test test test test

*\* The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
<b>Total</b>				_____ / 105

# HackUMass VI Judging Sheet

**Table Number**

**4 TBL**

**Project Name:** Kapok: Disaster relief Mobile App

**Team Members:** Brian Ramirez, Ishan Khatri, Serena Chan

*\* The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	<i>Low</i>	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	Points awarded
<i>Originality of idea</i>	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 15
<i>Relevant experience to idea</i>	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 10
<i>Execution: How well do the pieces work?</i>	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 40
<i>Integration: How well does the whole project work?</i>	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	_____ / 20
<i>Depth of knowledge</i>	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	_____ / 15
<i>Judge's points</i>	<i>Award up to 5 points only if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!</i>			_____ / 5
<b>Total</b>				_____ / 105