Table Num	ber
1	

Project Name: this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table

Team Members: this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table this is a really long title and is about to fuck up my table

* The descriptions/levels are meant to be a guide - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/15
Relevant experience to idea	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/10
Execution: How well do the pieces work?	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	/ 40
Integration: How well does the whole project work?	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	/20
Depth of knowledge	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	/15
Judge's points	/5			
Total				

Table	Number
2	2

Project Name:	This one is okay	<i>l</i>
-		

Team Members: t

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium High		Points awarded
Originality of idea	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/15
Relevant experience to idea	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/10
Execution: How well do the pieces work?	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	/40
Integration: How well does the whole project work?	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	/20
Depth of knowledge	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	/15
Judge's points	/5			
	/ 105			

Table Number
3 TBL

Project Name:	This is a test and should be last	
•		

Team Members: test test test test test

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/15
Relevant experience to idea	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/10
Execution: How well do the pieces work?	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	/40
Integration: How well does the whole project work?	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	/ 20
Depth of knowledge	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	/15
Judge's points	/5			
	/105			

Table Number
4 TBL

Project Name:	Kapok:	Disaster	relief	Mobile A	op gc
•					

Team Members: Brian Ramirez, Ishan Khatri, Serena Chan

^{*} The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** - please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.

	Low	Medium	High	Points awarded
Originality of idea	(0-5 pts) A copy of something already out there (e.g. existing application)	(6-10 pts) Project improves upon an existing application or solution to a problem	(11-15 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	/15
Relevant experience to idea	(0-4 pts) Team members are fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(5-7 pts) Team members had some experience and basic background knowledge	(8-10 pts) Team members have little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	/10
Execution: How well do the pieces work?	(0-15 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not work at all	(16-30 pts) The individual pieces of the project works with some functional issues or major flaws	(30-40 pts) The individual pieces of the project are completely functional with minimal issues	/ 40
Integration: How well does the whole project work?	(0-8 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(9-15 pts) The pieces of the project are connected with some functional issues	(16-20 pts) The project is very well-connected from end to end and show good polish	/20
Depth of knowledge	(0-7 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project	(8-12 pts) The team is able to explain on the surface level, but is unable to demonstrate deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(13-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent, thorough technical understanding of each component	/15
Judge's points	/5			
	/105			