HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project2

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

1

Team Members: User2 User2, User3 User3

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
***Originality of idea**: How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
***Technical Depth**: How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
***Execution**: How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
***Integration**: How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
***Relevant experience**: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
***Depth of knowledge**: How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious	Best Documented Funniest	_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project4

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

2

Team Members: User4 User4

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project8
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

3

Team Members: User8 User8, User9 User9

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
***Originality of idea**: How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
***Technical Depth**: How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
***Execution**: How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
***Integration**: How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
***Relevant experience**: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
***Depth of knowledge**: How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious	Best Documented Funniest	_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project10

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

4

Team Members: User10 User10

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0–7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8–14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15–20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0–3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4–6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7–10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0–10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11–20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21–30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0–3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4–6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7–10 pts) Project is very well–connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0–5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6–10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11–15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0–5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6–10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11–15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious	Best Documented Funniest	_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project14

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number
5

Team Members: User14 User14, User15 User15

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project16
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number
6

Team Members: User16 User16

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project20
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number
7

Team Members: User20 User20, User21 User21

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0–7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8–14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15–20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0–3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4–6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7–10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0–10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11–20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21–30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0–3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4–6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7–10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0–5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6–10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11–15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0–5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6–10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11–15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project22
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number		
8		

Team Members: User22 User22

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
***Originality of idea**: How unique is the concept?*	(0–7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8–14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15–20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
***Technical Depth**: How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0–3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4–6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7–10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
***Execution**: How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0–10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11–20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21–30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
***Integration**: How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0–3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4–6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7–10 pts) Project is very well–connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
***Relevant experience**: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0–5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6–10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11–15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
***Depth of knowledge**: How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0–5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6–10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11–15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project26
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

9

Team Members: User26 User26, User27 User27

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
***Originality of idea**: How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
***Technical Depth**: How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
***Execution**: How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
***Integration**: How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
***Relevant experience**: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
***Depth of knowledge**: How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project28
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

10

Team Members: User28 User28

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0–7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8–14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15–20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0–3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4–6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7–10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0–10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11–20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21–30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0–3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4–6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7–10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0–5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6–10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11–15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0–5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6–10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11–15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project32
Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number
11

Team Members: User32 User32, User33 User33

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
***Originality of idea**: How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
***Technical Depth**: How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
***Execution**: How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
***Integration**: How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
***Relevant experience**: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
***Depth of knowledge**: How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project34

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number
12

Team Members: User34 User34

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0–7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8–14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15–20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0–3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4–6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7–10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0–10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11–20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21–30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0–3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4–6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7–10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0–5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6–10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11–15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0–5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6–10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11–15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious	Best Documented Funniest	_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project38

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Team Members: User38 User38, User39 User39

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack	Most Ambitious	Best Documented Funniest	_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project40

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

14

Team Members: User40 User40

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project44

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Table Number

15

Team Members: User44 User44, User45 User45

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
Originality of idea: *How unique is the concept?*	(0–7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8–14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15–20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
Technical Depth: *How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0–3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4–6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7–10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
Execution: *How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0–10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11–20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21–30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
Integration: *How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0–3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4–6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7–10 pts) Project is very well–connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
Relevant experience: *How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0–5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6–10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11–15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
Depth of knowledge: *How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0–5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6–10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11–15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)

HackHer413 Judging Sheet

Project Name: Project46

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lore
m ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Lorem ip
sum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit.

Team Members: User46 User46

** The descriptions/levels are meant to be a **guide** – please feel free to award as many points as you see fit out of the allocated points.*

	Low	*Medium*	*High*	Points awarded
***Originality of idea**: How unique is the concept?*	(0-7 pts) A copy or clone of something already out there, e.g. an existing app	(8-14 pts) Project improves upon an existing app or solution to a problem	(15-20 pts) Idea is completely novel and/or is a completely new solution to a problem	_____ / 20
***Technical Depth**: How sophisticated/difficult is the idea to implement?*	(0-3 pts) Straightforward use of existing APIs, frameworks, or solutions	(4-6 pts) Uses a mix of new and existing ideas/tech to solve problems	(7-10 pts) Project contains novel and well thought out solutions to hard problems	_____ / 10
***Execution**: How well does each piece of the project work?*	(0-10 pts) The individual pieces of the project do not or barely work	(11-20 pts) The individual pieces work with some functional issues or major flaws	(21-30 pts) The individual pieces are completely functional with minimal issues	_____ / 30
***Integration**: How well does the project work as a whole?*	(0-3 pts) The pieces of the project are not connected and coherent	(4-6 pts) The pieces are connected with some functional issues	(7-10 pts) Project is very well-connected from end to end and shows good polish	_____ / 10
***Relevant experience**: How much did team members learn vs. what did they already know?*	(0-5 pts) Team was fully capable and equipped with the background to execute the project idea	(6-10 pts) Team had some experience and basic background knowledge coming in to the event	(11-15 pts) Team had little to no experience in the tools, technologies, and/or field related to the idea	_____ / 15
***Depth of knowledge**: How much do team members understand of what they built and how it works?*	(0-5 pts) The team demonstrates little to no knowledge of tools and technologies used in the project.	(6-10 pts) The team is able to explain at a basic level, but unable to show deeper understanding (e.g. why certain things work)	(11-15 pts) The team is able to demonstrate a coherent and thorough technical understanding of every component in the project	_____ / 15
Judge's points	*Award up to 5 points if you really think this project went above and beyond in some way and/or you really liked it!*			_____ / 5
Good candidate for (circle):	Best Almost Hack Most Ambitious Best Documented Funniest			_____ / 105 (total)