

Smart Contract Security Audit Report

GABX FINANCE


July 2022



Audit Details



Audited project GABX FINANCE




Deployer address0x12AB9742E86F5a4bacfcbB0cCD88fC4731a64bb5



Client contacts

GABX FINANCE team



Blockchain

Binance Smart Chain



Website

https://www.gabxstake.com/

www.hacksafe.io Page No. 02

Disclaimer

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

DISCLAIMER: By reading this report or any part of it, you agree to the terms of this disclaimer. If you do not agree to the terms, then please immediately cease reading this report, and delete and destroy any and all copies of this report downloaded and/ or printed by you. This report is provided for information purposes only and on a nonreliance basis, and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and TechRate and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers and other representatives) (HackSafe) owe no duty of care towards you or any other person, nor does HackSafe make any warranty or representation to any person on the accuracy or completeness of the report. The report is provided "as is", without any conditions, warranties or other terms of any kind except as set out in this disclaimer, and HackSafe hereby excludes all representations, warranties, conditions and other terms (including, without limitation, the warranties implied by law of satisfactory quality, fitness for purpose and the use of reasonable care and skill) which, but for this clause, might have effect in relation to the report. Except and only to the extent that it is prohibited by law, HackSafe hereby excludes all liability and responsibility, and neither you nor any other person shall have any claim against HackSafe, for any amount or kind of loss or damage that may result to you or any other person (including without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or pure economic loss or damages, or any loss of income, profits, goodwill, data, contracts, use of money, or business interruption, and whether in delict, tort (including without limitation negligence), contract, breach of statutory duty, misrepresentation (whether innocent or negligent) or otherwise under any claim of any nature whatsoever in any jurisdiction) in any way arising from or connected with this report and the use, inability to use or the results of use of this report, and any reliance on this report.

The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

Page No. 03 www.hacksafe.io

Procedure

Step 1 - In-Depth Manual Review

Manual line-by-line code reviews to ensure the logic behind each function is sound and safe from various attack vectors. This is the most important and lengthy portion of the audit process (as automated tools often cannot find the nuances that lead to exploits such as flash loan attacks).

Step 2 - Automated Testing

Simulation of a variety of interactions with your Smart Contract on a test blockchain leveraging a combination of automated test tools and manual testing to determine if any security vulnerabilities exist.

Step 3 – Leadership Review

The engineers assigned to the audit will schedule meetings with our leadership team to review the contracts, any comments or findings, and ask questions to further apply adversarial thinking to discuss less common attack vectors.

Step 4 - Resolution of Issues

Consulting with the team to provide our recommendations to ensure the code's security and optimize its gas efficiency, if possible. We assist project team's in resolving any outstanding issues or implementing our recommendations.

Step 5 - Published Audit Report

Boiling down results and findings into an easy-to-read report tailored to the project. Our audit reports highlight resolved issues and any risks that exist to the project or its users, along with any remaining suggested remediation measures. Diagrams are included at the end of each report to help users understand the interactions which occur within the project.

Page No. 04 www.hacksafe.io

Background

HackSafe was commissioned by GABX FINANCE to perform an audit of smart contracts:

• https://bscscan.com/address/0xb165b50332022e90f3f1acf0da006280d8b3c9b5#code

The purpose of the audit was to achieve the

- Ensutre that the smart contract functions as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contract.

The information in this report should be understand the risk exposure of the smart contract, and as a guide to improve the security posture of the smart contract by remediating the issues that were identified.

Page No. 05 www.hacksafe.io

Contract Details

Token contract details for 20.07.2022

Token Type : BEP20

Contract name : GABX

Contract address : 0xb165b50332022E90f3f1AcF0da006280D8B3c9b5

Compiler version : v0.5.17+commit.d19bba13

Total supply : 250,000,000

Token Ticker : GABX

Decimals : 8

Token Holders : 2,408

Top 100 token holder's: 100.00 %

dominance

Transactions count : 2,603

Contract deployer

address

: 0x12AB9742E86F5a4bacfcbB0cCD88fC4731a64bb5

Owner address : No owner

Page No. 06 www.hacksafe.io

Social profiles

| Twitter Profile | : https://twitter.com/gabxtoken |
|-----------------------|---|
| Facebook Profile | : https://www.facebook.com/GABX-TOKEN-110237628018295 |
| Telegram Profile | : https://t.me/gabxtokenofficial |
| Coinmarketcap profile | : https://coinmarketcap.com/currencies/gabx-finance/ |

Page No. 07 www.hacksafe.io

Audit Summary

According to the standard audit assessment, Customer`s solidity smart contracts are "Secure". This token contract does not contain owner control, which do make it fully decentralized as owner does not have control over smart contract.

Insecure Poor secured Secure Well-secured



You are here

We used various tools like Slither, Mythril and Remix IDE. At the same time this finding is based on critical analysis of the manual audit. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the issues checking status.

We found 0 critical, 0 high, 0 medium and 2 low and some very low-level issues. These

Page No. 08 www.hacksafe.io


GABX Token Distribution

The top 100 holders collectively own 100.00% (249,999,998.15 Tokens) of GABX FINANCE

▼ Token Total Supply: 250,000,000.00 Token | Total Token Holders: 2,408

GABX FINANCE Top 100 Token Holders

Source: BscScan.com




GABX Top 20 Token Holders

(A total of 249,999,998.15 tokens held by the top 100 accounts from the total supply of 250,000,000.00 token)

| Rank | Address | Quantity (Token) | Percentage |
|------|--|------------------|------------|
| 1 | 0x2b58d291138c6b12713f9901c8e37d4db44dcae8 | 99,964,464 | 39.9858% |
| 2 | 0x3cd225fe124785637c638c474a9b1869ef564392 | 50,000,000 | 20.0000% |
| 3 | 0xee65a649149aee258db2968fcc7cee549c65f365 | 25,000,000 | 10.0000% |
| 4 | 0xb81b948fc5f23eeb2a2866c05a90fddbcffec7ab | 25,000,000 | 10.0000% |
| 5 | 0xb7b982838d72f69f10a14aeb5b06ac038dc224e0 | 12,500,000 | 5.0000% |
| 6 | 0xd29f2ecbb96267818c6a1bd4a2e8e1f6abe19db7 | 12,500,000 | 5.0000% |
| 7 | 0x625bceda996ffd47533408052502e13e07f4bb9c | 12,485,000 | 4.9940% |
| 8 | 0xb90e1c78132d66a66e3a02dea7b2c3621bb2999a | 7,500,000 | 3.0000% |
| 9 | 0x03e787f947f17220a0c3785de0daa785e1de51d9 | 5,000,000 | 2.0000% |
| 10 | 0x01b5f0c016fd3e92b8eb2ebebc131170bf419ed4 | 22,085.3 | 0.0088% |
| 11 | 0x05aa6cd4f36319fec196d7cb68c1e888a1554fa3 | 9,900 | 0.0040% |
| 12 | 0x04d1c1e4b8c0a499548024fd6fd67c2b2d92468b | 4,878 | 0.0020% |
| 13 | 0xaf01cabfd2c291e6539ed2672272495d50a361ce | 3,903.23 | 0.0016% |
| 14 | 0xc7093b54e7553dae5db172b6da5053f0a1acdfb3 | 1,750.0007854 | 0.0007% |
| 15 | 0x05521eae077c51766615825674e8089f3560ceaf | 1,252 | 0.0005% |
| 16 | 0x0525abcdb04b85cd97a7476ae0003378820ddf0c | 1,207.0007854 | 0.0005% |
| 17 | 0x1a5218cb29a05838702eb49acf6b495d2cd789fc | 1,140 | 0.0005% |
| 18 | 0xae013e2b5e835d49ebf7e5b9c15c41daa0eb4df8 | 680.7 | 0.0003% |
| 19 | 0x9a84b63f3e8c6b51f2fef34f7d62fb17a8045d34 | 632.6005642 | 0.0003% |
| 20 | 0x071ba1b7473c194ea6b5661b4fc7822f1c24cba8 | 598 | 0.0002% |

GABX Token Distribution

GABX Contract Overview



Page No. 09 www.hacksafe.io

Contract functions details

```
+ [Int] IERC20
    -[Ext] totalSupply
    -[Ext] balanceOf
    -[Ext] transfer
    -[Ext] allowance
    -[Ext] approve
    -[Ext] transferFrom
+ [Lib] SafeMath
    -[Int] add
    -[Int] sub
    -[Int] mul
    -[Int] div
    -[Int] mod
+ ERC20Detailed (IERC20)
    -[Pub] <constructor>
    -[Pub] name
    -[Pub] symbol
    -[Pub] decimals
+ ERC20 (IERC20)
    -[Pub] totalSupply
    -[Pub] balanceOf
    -[Pub] transfer #
    -[Pub] allowance
    -[Pub] approve #
    -[Pub] transferFrom #
    -[Pub] increaseAllowance
    -[Pub] decreaseAllowance
    -[Int] _transfer #
    -[Int] _mint#
    -[Int] _approve #
+ GABX (ERC20, ERC20Detailed)
    -[Pub] <constructor> #
($) = payable function
# = non-constant function
```

Page No. 10 www.hacksafe.io

Issues Checking Status

| No. | Title | Status |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 1. | Unlocked Compiler Version | Low issue |
| 2. | Missing Input Validation | Passed |
| 3. | Race conditions and Reentrancy. Cross-function race conditions. | Passed |
| 4. | Possible delays in data delivery | Passed |
| 5. | Oracle calls. | Passed |
| 6. | Timestamp dependence. | Passed |
| 7. | Integer Overflow and Underflow | Passed |
| 8. | DoS with Revert. | Passed |
| 9. | DoS with block gas limit. | Passed |
| 10. | Methods execution permissions. | Passed |
| 11. | Economy model of the contract. | Passed |
| 12. | Private use data leaks. | Passed |
| 13. | Malicious Event log. | Passed |
| 14. | Scoping and Declarations. | Passed |
| 15. | Uninitialized storage pointers. | Passed |
| 16. | Arithmetic accuracy. | Passed |
| 17. | Design Logic. | Passed |
| 18. | Safe Open Zeppelin contracts implementation and usage. | Passed |
| 19. | Incorrect Naming State Variable | Passed |
| 20. | Too old version | Low issue |

Page No. 11 www.hacksafe.io

Severity Definitions

| Risk Level | Description |
|------------|---|
| Critical | Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to assets loss or data manipulations. |
| High | High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have a significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g., public access to crucial functions |
| Medium | Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to assets loss or data manipulations. |
| Low | Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused, etc. code snippets that can't have a significant impact on execution. |

Page No. 12 www.hacksafe.io

Security Issues

Critical Severity Issues

No critical severity issue found.

High Severity Issues

No high severity issue found.

Medium Severity Issues

No medium severity issues found.

Low Severity Issues

Two low severity issue found.

1. Unlocked Compiler Version.

Description

The contract utilizes an unlocked compiler version. An unlocked compiler version in the contract's source code permits the user to compile it at or above a particular version. This, in turn, leads to differences in the generated bytecode between compilations due to differing compiler version numbers. This can lead to ambiguity when debugging as compiler-specific bugs may occur in the codebase that would be difficult to identify over a span of multiple compiler versions rather than a specific one.

Recommendation

It is advisable that the compiler version is alternatively locked at the lowest version possible so that the contract can be compiled. For example, for version ^0.5.0 the contract should contain the following line:

pragma solidity 0.5.17;

2. Too old compiler version.

Description

The contract has been deployed using too old compiler version.

Recommendation

It is advisable to use any of the newest solidity compiler versions.

Page No. 13 www.hacksafe.io

Conclusion

Smart contract contains low severity issues! The further transfer and operations with the fund raised are not related to this particular contract.

HackSafe note: Please check the disclaimer above and note, the audit makes no statements or warranties on business model, investment attractiveness or code sustainability. The report is provided for the only contract mentioned in the report and does not include any other potential contracts deployed by Owner.

Page No. 14 www.hacksafe.io