

# From Gradients to Curl: A Natural Introduction

Motivating the Three Tests for Conservative Fields

September 22, 2025

The core problem is this: given a random vector field  $\mathbf{F}$ , how can we tell if it's a **conservative** (or gradient) field? Trying to guess the potential function  $f$  is hard. We need a more systematic approach, starting only from what we know about gradients.

---

## 1 Why You Should Naturally Think About “Curl”

You already know that if a field  $\mathbf{F}$  is conservative, it must be the gradient of some potential function  $f$ . In 2D, this means:

$$\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q \rangle = \nabla f = \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\rangle$$

This gives us two direct relationships:  $P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$  and  $Q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ .

Now, let's ask a simple question. What happens if we differentiate  $P$  with respect to  $y$  and  $Q$  with respect to  $x$ ?

- Differentiate  $P$  with respect to  $y$ :  $\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$
- Differentiate  $Q$  with respect to  $x$ :  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$

From calculus, you know that for any well-behaved function  $f$ , the order of differentiation doesn't matter (Clairaut's Theorem on mixed partials). This means  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$  must equal  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$ .

This leads to a profound conclusion: if a field  $\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q \rangle$  is truly a gradient field, it is **necessary** that  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ .

This specific quantity,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ , measures the microscopic “rotation” or “swirl” of a vector field at a point. It's so important that it gets its own name: the **curl**. The condition we just derived,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = 0$ , is simply the statement that the field must have **zero curl**.

So, you should naturally think about “zero curl” not as a random new concept, but as a **simple, necessary consequence of a field being a gradient**, derived directly from the equality of mixed partials.

---

## 2 A Natural Reason for the Three Tests

Based on this, we can approach the problem of identifying conservative fields from three different, very natural angles. Each “test” is really just a different question we're asking.

## 2.1 The Local Test (Equality of Mixed Partials)

- **The Question:** “Is there a fast, upfront check to see if a field is *disqualified* from being conservative?”
- **The Reason:** This is the “zero curl” test we just derived ( $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ ). It’s called the **Local Test** because it checks the field’s rotational property at every single point. If this test fails, the field cannot be a gradient, and we can stop. It’s our first, essential checkpoint.

## 2.2 The Global Test (Path Independence)

- **The Question:** “What is the most important *physical consequence* of a field being conservative?”
- **The Reason:** You know that for a conservative field, the line integral only depends on the start and end points. This is the defining feature! This test, called the **Global Test**, checks this very property. It’s “global” because it depends on the entire path, not just local behavior.

## 2.3 The Constructive Test (Potential Recovery)

- **The Question:** “If a field passes the local test, how can I *prove* it’s conservative and find its potential function  $f$ ? ”
  - **The Reason:** This is the most direct approach. You try to **build** the potential function  $f$  by reversing the process of the gradient—that is, by integrating. If you can successfully construct  $f$ , you have provided definitive proof. This is the **Constructive Test**.
- 

## 3 An Example That Permeates All Three Tests

Let’s investigate the vector field  $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \langle 2xy, x^2 + 3y^2 \rangle$ . Is it conservative? Let’s ask our three questions.

### 3.1 Test 1: The Fast Check (Local Test)

Does it have the necessary “zero curl” property? Here,  $P = 2xy$  and  $Q = x^2 + 3y^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2xy) = 2x \\ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2 + 3y^2) = 2x\end{aligned}$$

Yes,  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$ . The field passes our first check. It *could* be conservative.

## 3.2 Test 2: Checking the Physical Consequence (Global Test)

Is the line integral from  $A = (0, 0)$  to  $B = (1, 2)$  path-independent?

- **Path 1 (Along the axes):**  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0) \rightarrow (1, 2)$ .

- Segment 1 ( $(0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0)$ ):  $y = 0, dy = 0$ . Integral is  $\int_0^1 2x(0) dx = 0$ .
- Segment 2 ( $(1, 0) \rightarrow (1, 2)$ ):  $x = 1, dx = 0$ . Integral is  $\int_0^2 (1^2 + 3y^2) dy = [y + y^3]_0^2 = 10$ .

**Total for Path 1 = 10.**

- **Path 2 (Straight line):** Parameterize as  $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle t, 2t \rangle$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . This gives  $x = t, y = 2t, dx = dt, dy = 2 dt$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C P dx + Q dy &= \int_0^1 ((2(t)(2t)) dt + (t^2 + 3(2t)^2)(2 dt)) \\ &= \int_0^1 (4t^2 + 2(13t^2)) dt = \int_0^1 30t^2 dt \\ &= [10t^3]_0^1 = 10. \end{aligned}$$

**Total for Path 2 = 10.**

Both paths give the same answer! This demonstrates the global property of path independence.

## 3.3 Test 3: Building the Proof (Constructive Test)

Let's find the potential function  $f(x, y)$  by reversing the gradient.

1. **Integrate  $P$  with respect to  $x$ :** We know  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2xy$ .

$$f(x, y) = \int 2xy dx = x^2y + h(y)$$

The “constant” of integration is an unknown function of  $y$ .

2. **Differentiate with respect to  $y$  and match to  $Q$ :** We know  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x^2 + 3y^2$ .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x^2y + h(y)) = x^2 + h'(y)$$

Setting our two expressions for  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  equal:

$$x^2 + h'(y) = x^2 + 3y^2$$

3. **Solve for  $h(y)$ :**

$$h'(y) = 3y^2 \implies h(y) = \int 3y^2 dy = y^3$$

We have successfully built the potential function:  $f(x, y) = x^2y + y^3$ . Since we found a potential, the field is definitively **conservative**.