

Mayer–Vietoris as “gluing” in grad–curl–div language (with explicit connecting map)

1. The de Rham complex and the vector-calculus complex

Let M be a smooth manifold. The de Rham complex is

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^0(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2(M) \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^3(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

and its cohomology is

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(M) = \frac{\ker(d : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M))}{\text{im}(d : \Omega^{k-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M))}.$$

On an oriented Riemannian 3-manifold (in particular on domains in \mathbb{R}^3), using the metric and Hodge star and the standard identifications

$$\Omega^0 \leftrightarrow \{\text{scalar fields}\}, \quad \Omega^1 \leftrightarrow \{\text{vector fields}\}, \quad \Omega^2 \leftrightarrow \{\text{vector fields}\}, \quad \Omega^3 \leftrightarrow \{\text{scalar densities}\},$$

the operator d corresponds (up to conventional sign/identification choices) to the familiar grad–curl–div chain

$$\Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d \sim \nabla} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d \sim \nabla \times} \Omega^2 \xrightarrow{d \sim \nabla \cdot} \Omega^3,$$

so $d^2 = 0$ corresponds to

$$\nabla \times (\nabla f) = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times A) = 0.$$

2. The gluing short exact sequence of complexes

Let $M = U \cup V$ be an open cover. For each $k \geq 0$ define maps

$$r : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V), \quad r(\omega) = (\omega|_U, \omega|_V),$$

and

$$\delta : \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V) \rightarrow \Omega^k(U \cap V), \quad \delta(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha|_{U \cap V} - \beta|_{U \cap V}.$$

Proposition 1 (Gluing exact sequence at the cochain level). *For each k there is a short exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^k(M) \xrightarrow{r} \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^k(U \cap V) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and these assemble into a short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(M) \xrightarrow{r} \Omega^\bullet(U) \oplus \Omega^\bullet(V) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^\bullet(U \cap V) \rightarrow 0$$

because d commutes with restriction and hence with r, δ .

Remark 1 (Calculus interpretation: “agree on the overlap”). A pair of local fields $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V)$ lies in $\ker(\delta)$ iff α and β agree on $U \cap V$. Equivalently, they glue to a global k -form on M . Thus the short exact sequence above is the formal encoding of the basic principle: global objects are exactly compatible local objects on an open cover.

3. Mayer–Vietoris long exact sequence

Theorem 1 (Mayer–Vietoris for de Rham cohomology). *The short exact sequence of cochain complexes in §2 induces a long exact sequence in cohomology:*

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{k-1}(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{dR}}^k(M) \xrightarrow{(r_U^*, r_V^*)} H_{\text{dR}}^k(U) \oplus H_{\text{dR}}^k(V) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H_{\text{dR}}^k(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\text{dR}}^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $\delta^*([\alpha], [\beta]) = [\alpha|_{U \cap V} - \beta|_{U \cap V}]$.

4. Explicit formula for the connecting morphism ∂ (the “overlap mismatch” map)

Fix a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the cover: choose $\rho_U, \rho_V \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\rho_U + \rho_V = 1, \quad \text{supp}(\rho_U) \subset U, \quad \text{supp}(\rho_V) \subset V.$$

Proposition 2 (Concrete representative of ∂). *Let $[\eta] \in H_{\text{dR}}^{k-1}(U \cap V)$ with $\text{d}\eta = 0$ on $U \cap V$. Extend η to forms $\tilde{\eta}_U \in \Omega^{k-1}(U)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_V \in \Omega^{k-1}(V)$ satisfying $\tilde{\eta}_U|_{U \cap V} = \eta = \tilde{\eta}_V|_{U \cap V}$ (existence holds by locality of forms). Define*

$$\omega_U := \rho_V \cdot \tilde{\eta}_U \in \Omega^{k-1}(U), \quad \omega_V := -\rho_U \cdot \tilde{\eta}_V \in \Omega^{k-1}(V).$$

Then on $U \cap V$ one has $\omega_U - \omega_V = \eta$ and hence $\delta(\omega_U, \omega_V) = \eta$. Moreover, the pair $(\text{d}\omega_U, \text{d}\omega_V)$ agrees on $U \cap V$, so it glues to a global closed k -form $\theta \in \Omega^k(M)$. The connecting map is

$$\partial([\eta]) = [\theta] \in H_{\text{dR}}^k(M),$$

and this class is independent of all choices (extensions, partition of unity).

Remark 2 (What ∂ means). The element $[\eta] \in H^{k-1}(U \cap V)$ can be viewed as a “transition datum” on the overlap. The connecting map ∂ converts this overlap datum into a *global obstruction class* on M : it is precisely the obstruction to gluing primitives/potentials globally.

5. Translation to grad–curl–div: the case $k = 1$ (curl-free vs global gradient)

Assume we are in the 3-dimensional vector-calculus setting.

Take $k = 1$. Then H_{dR}^1 detects the failure of a curl-free field to be a global gradient.

- A class in $H_{\text{dR}}^0(U \cap V)$ is represented by a locally constant function on $U \cap V$ (when $U \cap V$ is disconnected, this is where “different constants on different components” appears).
- The connecting map

$$\partial : H_{\text{dR}}^0(U \cap V) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(M)$$

takes an overlap “constant mismatch” and outputs a global cohomology class of closed 1-forms, i.e. (under the identifications) a curl-free vector field modulo global gradients.

Concretely, let c be a locally constant function on $U \cap V$. Choose extensions $\tilde{c}_U \in C^\infty(U)$ and $\tilde{c}_V \in C^\infty(V)$ with $\tilde{c}_U|_{U \cap V} = c = \tilde{c}_V|_{U \cap V}$. Then

$$\theta = \text{d}(\rho_V \tilde{c}_U) = -\text{d}(\rho_U \tilde{c}_V) \quad \text{on } U \cap V$$

glues to a global closed 1-form on M , and $\partial([c]) = [\theta]$. In vector-calculus language, θ corresponds to a curl-free field F (since $\text{d}\theta = 0$ means $\nabla \times F = 0$) whose failure to be a global gradient is encoded by the “jump” data c on $U \cap V$.

6. Worked example: $H^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$ from overlap-gluing (pure calculus intuition)

Let $M = S^1$. Cover S^1 by two open arcs U, V such that $U \cap V$ is a disjoint union of two open arcs, hence has two connected components:

$$U \cap V = W_1 \sqcup W_2.$$

Then

$$H_{\text{dR}}^0(U) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H_{\text{dR}}^0(V) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H_{\text{dR}}^0(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

and (since $U, V, U \cap V$ are unions of contractible sets) one has

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(U) = H_{\text{dR}}^1(V) = H_{\text{dR}}^1(U \cap V) = 0.$$

The Mayer–Vietoris segment for degrees 0 and 1 becomes

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S^1) \rightarrow H^0(U) \oplus H^0(V) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^0(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(S^1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Identify $H^0(U) \oplus H^0(V) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ and $H^0(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ by sending a class to its constant value on each connected component. Then

$$\delta^*(a, b) = (a - b, a - b),$$

so $\text{im}(\delta^*) = \{(t, t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the diagonal in \mathbb{R}^2 . Hence

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1) \cong \frac{\mathbb{R}^2}{\{(t, t)\}} \cong \mathbb{R},$$

and the isomorphism is concretely given by the *difference of overlap constants*:

$$[(c_1, c_2)] \longmapsto c_1 - c_2.$$

Calculus meaning. Locally on U and V , a curl-free 1-field is a gradient of a potential (angle function). On $U \cap V$, the two local potentials differ by constants; because $U \cap V$ has *two* components, there can be *two* constants. If those constants disagree between the components, you cannot choose potentials that match globally; this is exactly the nontrivial class in $H^1(S^1)$, i.e. the global obstruction.

Extremely detailed computations of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1)$ and $H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^2)$

0. Preliminaries: de Rham cohomology and Mayer–Vietoris

Definition 1 (de Rham cohomology). For a smooth manifold M , define

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(M) = \frac{\ker(d : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M))}{\text{im}(d : \Omega^{k-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M))}.$$

Elements of $\ker(d)$ are called *closed k -forms*; elements of $\text{im}(d)$ are *exact*.

Proposition 3 (Gluing exact sequence for an open cover). *If $M = U \cup V$ is an open cover, then for each k there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^k(M) \xrightarrow{r} \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega^k(U \cap V) \rightarrow 0$$

where $r(\omega) = (\omega|_U, \omega|_V)$ and $\delta(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha|_{U \cap V} - \beta|_{U \cap V}$. Moreover, this is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes because d commutes with restriction.

Theorem 2 (Mayer–Vietoris long exact sequence in de Rham cohomology). *From the short exact sequence of complexes above, one obtains a long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-1}(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^k(M) \xrightarrow{(r_U^*, r_V^*)} H^k(U) \oplus H^k(V) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^k(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \dots$$

where $\delta^*([\alpha], [\beta]) = [\alpha|_{U \cap V} - \beta|_{U \cap V}]$.

Remark 3 (Concrete formula for ∂ via partition of unity). Fix $\rho_U, \rho_V \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\rho_U + \rho_V = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\rho_U) \subset U$, $\text{supp}(\rho_V) \subset V$. If $[\eta] \in H^{k-1}(U \cap V)$ is represented by a closed form η , choose extensions $\tilde{\eta}_U \in \Omega^{k-1}(U)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_V \in \Omega^{k-1}(V)$ with $\tilde{\eta}_U|_{U \cap V} = \eta = \tilde{\eta}_V|_{U \cap V}$. Define

$$\omega_U := \rho_V \tilde{\eta}_U, \quad \omega_V := -\rho_U \tilde{\eta}_V.$$

Then $\delta(\omega_U, \omega_V) = \eta$, and $(d\omega_U, d\omega_V)$ agrees on $U \cap V$, hence glues to a global closed k -form θ on M . One sets $\partial([\eta]) := [\theta] \in H^k(M)$.

1. $H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1)$: two complementary computations

1A. Direct calculation using the angle coordinate

View $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with standard angle coordinate $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and parametrization

$$\gamma : \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S^1, \quad \gamma(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta).$$

Then $\Omega^1(S^1)$ is a rank-1 $C^\infty(S^1)$ -module generated by $d\theta$ in the sense that any 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(S^1)$ can be uniquely written as

$$\omega = f(\theta) d\theta, \quad f \in C^\infty(S^1).$$

Lemma 1 (All 1-forms on S^1 are closed). *If $\omega \in \Omega^1(S^1)$, then $d\omega = 0$.*

Proof. On any 1-manifold, $\Omega^2 = 0$ identically (there are no nonzero 2-forms), so $d : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^2$ is the zero map. Hence every 1-form is closed. \square

Thus

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1) = \frac{\Omega^1(S^1)}{d\Omega^0(S^1)}.$$

So we must characterize which $f(\theta)d\theta$ are exact.

Lemma 2 (Exactness criterion by period). *Let $\omega = f(\theta)d\theta \in \Omega^1(S^1)$. Then ω is exact iff*

$$\int_{S^1} \omega = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\theta = 0.$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $\omega = dg$ for some $g \in C^\infty(S^1)$, then by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\int_{S^1} \omega = \int_{S^1} dg = 0,$$

because the integral of an exact 1-form over a closed loop is zero.

(\Leftarrow) Assume $\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\theta = 0$. Define

$$G(\theta) := \int_0^\theta f(t) dt.$$

Then G is smooth on \mathbb{R} , and $G'(\theta) = f(\theta)$. Moreover,

$$G(\theta + 2\pi) - G(\theta) = \int_\theta^{\theta+2\pi} f(t) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt = 0,$$

so G is 2π -periodic and thus descends to a smooth function $g \in C^\infty(S^1)$ satisfying $dg = f(\theta)d\theta = \omega$. \square

Proposition 4 (The period map identifies $H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$). *Define the linear map (“period” or “circulation”)*

$$\mathcal{P} : \Omega^1(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{P}(\omega) = \int_{S^1} \omega.$$

Then \mathcal{P} vanishes on exact forms and hence induces a well-defined linear map

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}} : H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{P}}([\omega]) = \int_{S^1} \omega.$$

Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is an isomorphism. In particular,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad [d\theta] \text{ is a generator with } \int_{S^1} d\theta = 2\pi.$$

Proof. Well-definedness is immediate because $\int_{S^1} dg = 0$ for all g .

Injectivity: If $\overline{\mathcal{P}}([\omega]) = 0$, then $\int_{S^1} \omega = 0$, so by the exactness criterion ω is exact, hence $[\omega] = 0$ in cohomology.

Surjectivity: Given $c \in \mathbb{R}$, take $\omega = \frac{c}{2\pi}d\theta$. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}([\omega]) = \int_{S^1} \frac{c}{2\pi}d\theta = \frac{c}{2\pi} \cdot 2\pi = c.$$

\square

Remark 4 (Vector-calculus reading in 1D). On a 1-dimensional manifold, “curl” is vacuous and every 1-form is locally a gradient (Poincaré lemma in degree 1). The obstruction to a *global* potential is exactly the nonzero circulation $\int_{S^1} \omega$, which is the H^1 class.

1B. Mayer–Vietoris computation for S^1 (extremely explicit)

Choose an open cover $S^1 = U \cup V$ where U, V are open arcs, each diffeomorphic to an interval, such that $U \cap V$ is the disjoint union of *two* open arcs:

$$U \cap V = W_1 \sqcup W_2, \quad W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset.$$

(Geometrically: take U and V as two large overlapping arcs whose overlap occurs in two separated regions.)

Lemma 3 (Cohomology of the pieces). *Because U, V, W_1, W_2 are each diffeomorphic to an open interval, they are contractible. Hence:*

$$H^0(U) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H^0(V) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H^0(W_i) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H^1(U) = H^1(V) = H^1(W_i) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$H^0(U \cap V) \cong H^0(W_1) \oplus H^0(W_2) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}, \quad H^1(U \cap V) = 0.$$

Now write out the Mayer–Vietoris long exact sequence in low degrees. The relevant segment is

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S^1) \xrightarrow{r^*} H^0(U) \oplus H^0(V) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^0(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(S^1) \xrightarrow{r^*} H^1(U) \oplus H^1(V) = 0.$$

Exactness at the last term implies ∂ is *surjective*:

$$\text{im}(\partial) = H^1(S^1).$$

Exactness at $H^0(U \cap V)$ gives

$$\ker(\partial) = \text{im}(\delta^*).$$

Therefore

$$H^1(S^1) \cong \frac{H^0(U \cap V)}{\text{im}(\delta^*)}.$$

It remains to compute δ^* explicitly on constants.

Lemma 4 (Explicit form of δ^* on H^0). *Identify*

$$H^0(U) \oplus H^0(V) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$$

by sending a class to its constant value on each connected set. Similarly, identify

$$H^0(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$$

by sending a class to its pair of constants on (W_1, W_2) . Then

$$\delta^*(a, b) = (a - b, a - b).$$

Proof. A class in $H^0(U)$ is represented by a locally constant function; since U is connected, it is constant a . Similarly b on V . On each overlap component $W_i \subset U \cap V$, the difference

$$a|_{W_i} - b|_{W_i} = a - b$$

is the constant value of the class in $H^0(W_i)$. Hence the pair is $(a - b, a - b)$. □

Thus

$$\text{im}(\delta^*) = \{(t, t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$$

the diagonal. Consequently

$$H^1(S^1) \cong \frac{\mathbb{R}^2}{\{(t, t)\}} \cong \mathbb{R},$$

and a concrete isomorphism is given by the *difference of overlap constants*:

$$[(c_1, c_2)] \longmapsto c_1 - c_2.$$

This exhibits the slogan:

local potentials exist on U and V , but their constants of integration on W_1 and W_2 need not match; the mismatch $c_1 - c_2$ is the H^1 obstruction.

2. $H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^2) = 0$ (with Mayer–Vietoris and explicit maps)

Let $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the unit sphere. Let $N = (0, 0, 1)$ (north pole) and $S = (0, 0, -1)$ (south pole). Define the standard two-chart cover

$$U := S^2 \setminus \{S\}, \quad V := S^2 \setminus \{N\}.$$

Then U and V are each diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 via stereographic projection. Their intersection is

$$U \cap V = S^2 \setminus \{N, S\}.$$

2A. Cohomology of U , V , and $U \cap V$

Lemma 5 (Cohomology of U and V). *Since $U \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ and $V \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ are contractible,*

$$H^0(U) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H^0(V) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H^1(U) = H^1(V) = 0.$$

Lemma 6 (Homotopy type and H^1 of the overlap). *The manifold $U \cap V = S^2 \setminus \{N, S\}$ deformation retracts onto the equator $S^1 \subset S^2$. Hence*

$$H^0(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{R}, \quad H^1(U \cap V) \cong H^1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Geometrically, remove the poles; every remaining point lies on a unique meridian segment crossing the equator. Define a deformation retraction by sliding points along meridians to the equator (keeping longitude fixed). Thus $U \cap V \simeq S^1$, and de Rham cohomology is homotopy invariant, giving the claims. \square

2B. Mayer–Vietoris in degrees 0 and 1

Write the Mayer–Vietoris long exact sequence in low degrees:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S^2) \xrightarrow{r^*} H^0(U) \oplus H^0(V) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} H^0(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(S^2) \xrightarrow{r^*} H^1(U) \oplus H^1(V).$$

Substitute the computed groups:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{r^*} \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\delta^*} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(S^2) \xrightarrow{r^*} 0 \oplus 0 = 0.$$

Thus ∂ is surjective and

$$H^1(S^2) \cong \frac{H^0(U \cap V)}{\text{im}(\delta^*)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\text{im}(\delta^*)}.$$

Therefore it suffices to show $\delta^* : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is surjective.

Lemma 7 (Explicit computation of δ^* on H^0 for S^2 cover). *Under the identifications $H^0(U) \cong \mathbb{R}$, $H^0(V) \cong \mathbb{R}$, $H^0(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{R}$ via constants,*

$$\delta^*(a, b) = a - b.$$

In particular, δ^ is surjective.*

Proof. As in the S^1 case, a class in $H^0(U)$ is represented by a constant a on connected U , similarly b on V . Since $U \cap V$ is connected, the difference $a|_{U \cap V} - b|_{U \cap V}$ is the constant $a - b$ in $H^0(U \cap V)$. Surjectivity: given $c \in \mathbb{R}$, choose $(a, b) = (c, 0)$ so $a - b = c$. \square

Corollary 1 ($H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^2) = 0$). *Because δ^* is surjective, $\text{im}(\delta^*) = \mathbb{R}$, hence*

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(S^2) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R} = 0.$$

Remark 5 (Calculus reading: every curl-free tangent field has a global potential on S^2). Under the 1-form/vector-field identification (with a metric), a closed 1-form corresponds to a curl-free field. The statement $H^1(S^2) = 0$ says:

every closed 1-form on S^2 is exact, i.e. every curl-free field is a global gradient.

From the Mayer–Vietoris perspective: local potentials exist on U and V (since $H^1(U) = H^1(V) = 0$), and because the overlap $U \cap V$ is connected, the only ambiguity is an *additive constant*, which can be adjusted to make the two potentials agree globally. There is no “two-component mismatch” as in the S^1 case.

2C. (Optional but illuminating) What happens one degree higher: $H^2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{R}$

Although you asked for H^1 , it is instructive to record the adjacent MV segment:

$$H^1(U) \oplus H^1(V) = 0 \longrightarrow H^1(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(S^2) \longrightarrow H^2(U) \oplus H^2(V) = 0.$$

Exactness forces $\partial : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^2(S^2)$ to be an isomorphism, so $H^2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{R}$. This is the formal place where the “flux/area” class of the sphere lives.