

Concrete, calculus-style examples of  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  for  
 $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$

## 1 Case 1: $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$ (Riemann sphere)

### 1.1 Setup: coordinate and function field

View  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  as the Riemann sphere:

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Use the standard affine coordinate

$$z = \frac{z_0}{z_1}$$

on the chart  $U_1 = \{[z_0 : z_1] \mid z_1 \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{C}$ . The point at infinity is

$$\infty = [1 : 0].$$

A meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is the same as a rational function in  $z$ ,  
i.e.

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}(z).$$

We will *explicitly* analyze one such function and its differential.

### 1.2 A concrete meromorphic function $f$ on $\mathbb{CP}^1$

Let

$$f(z) = \frac{(z-1)^2}{z(z-2)}.$$

**Step 1: Zeros and poles on  $\mathbb{C}$** 

- Zeros (where numerator vanishes):

$$(z - 1)^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z = 1 \quad (\text{double zero}).$$

So  $\text{ord}_{z=1}(f) = +2$ .

- Poles (where denominator vanishes):

$$z(z - 2) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z = 0, \quad z = 2.$$

We check they are simple poles:

Near  $z = 0$ : write  $z$  as local coordinate. Then

$$f(z) = \frac{(z - 1)^2}{z(z - 2)} = \frac{z^2 - 2z + 1}{z(z - 2)} \sim \frac{1}{z(-2)} = -\frac{1}{2z} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0.$$

So

$$\text{ord}_{z=0}(f) = -1.$$

Near  $z = 2$ : set  $u = z - 2$ , so  $z = 2 + u$ . Then

$$f(z) = f(2 + u) = \frac{(2 + u - 1)^2}{(2 + u)(2 + u - 2)} = \frac{(1 + u)^2}{(2 + u)u} \sim \frac{1}{2u} \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0.$$

So

$$\text{ord}_{z=2}(f) = -1.$$

So on the finite plane:

$$\text{ord}_1(f) = +2, \quad \text{ord}_0(f) = -1, \quad \text{ord}_2(f) = -1.$$

**Step 2: Behavior at infinity via differential-calculus change of variable**

To study  $z = \infty$ , use  $w = 1/z$  as local coordinate near  $\infty$ .

Then  $z = 1/w$ , and

$$f(z) = f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right).$$

Compute explicitly:

$$f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) = \frac{(1/w - 1)^2}{(1/w)(1/w - 2)} = \frac{(1 - w)^2}{\frac{1}{w^2}(1 - 2w)} = (1 - w)^2 \cdot \frac{w^2}{1 - 2w}.$$

Now expand near  $w = 0$ . First,

$$(1 - w)^2 = 1 - 2w + w^2,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1 - 2w} = 1 + 2w + 4w^2 + O(w^3).$$

So

$$f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) = w^2 (1 - 2w + w^2) (1 + 2w + 4w^2 + O(w^3)).$$

Multiply out just enough terms to see the leading behavior:

$$(1 - 2w + w^2)(1 + 2w + 4w^2) = 1 + (2w - 2w) + (\cdots) = 1 + O(w^2),$$

so overall

$$f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) = w^2 (1 + O(w^2)) = w^2 + O(w^4).$$

Thus near  $w = 0$ ,  $f(1/w)$  has a *zero of order 2* as a function of  $w$ . But remember: the order at  $\infty$  as a point of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is the order of this function viewed on the sphere. More directly: in terms of the original coordinate  $z$ , we see that as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$f(z) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right),$$

so  $f$  is holomorphic and nonzero at  $\infty$ . Hence

$$\text{ord}_\infty(f) = 0.$$

### Step 3: The divisor of $f$

The divisor of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is

$$\text{Div}(f) = 2 \cdot (1) - (0) - (2) + 0 \cdot (\infty).$$

Sum of coefficients:

$$2 - 1 - 1 + 0 = 0,$$

which matches the general fact that the sum of orders of a meromorphic function on a compact Riemann surface is zero.

### 1.3 Differential: computing $df = f'(z) dz$ in detail

Now do calculus: compute the derivative.

**Step 1: Simplify  $f$  via partial fractions**

We try to write

$$f(z) = A + \frac{B}{z} + \frac{C}{z-2}.$$

Compute directly:

$$\frac{(z-1)^2}{z(z-2)} = \frac{z^2 - 2z + 1}{z(z-2)}.$$

We look for  $A, B, C$  such that

$$\frac{z^2 - 2z + 1}{z(z-2)} = A + \frac{B}{z} + \frac{C}{z-2}.$$

Multiply both sides by  $z(z-2)$ :

$$z^2 - 2z + 1 = Az(z-2) + B(z-2) + Cz.$$

Expand the right-hand side:

$$Az(z-2) = A(z^2 - 2z) = Az^2 - 2Az,$$

$$B(z-2) = Bz - 2B,$$

$$Cz = Cz.$$

So

$$Az^2 - 2Az + Bz - 2B + Cz = Az^2 + (-2A + B + C)z - 2B.$$

Equate coefficients with the left side  $z^2 - 2z + 1$ :

$$\begin{cases} A = 1, \\ -2A + B + C = -2, \\ -2B = 1. \end{cases}$$

From  $-2B = 1$ , we get  $B = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$-2A + B + C = -2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -2(1) - \frac{1}{2} + C = -2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -2.5 + C = -2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C = \frac{1}{2}.$$

So

$$f(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z} + \frac{1}{2(z-2)}.$$

**Step 2: Differentiate term by term**

Now

$$f(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2z} + \frac{1}{2(z-2)}.$$

Differentiate:

$$f'(z) = 0 + \frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{2(z-2)^2}.$$

So the meromorphic 1-form

$$df = f'(z) dz = \left( \frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{2(z-2)^2} \right) dz.$$

**Poles of  $df$ .** Clearly:

$df$  has poles of order 2 at  $z = 0$  and  $z = 2$ .

No simple pole terms appear in the Laurent expansions; hence all residues are 0.

**Check residues explicitly via Laurent series.** Near  $z = 0$ :

$$\frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{2(z-2)^2} = \frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{2(4-4z+z^2)} = \frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z+z^2/4}.$$

Expand  $\frac{1}{1-z+z^2/4}$  as a power series in  $z$  (no negative powers), so near  $z = 0$  this second term has no negative-power part. Thus the only negative-power part is  $\frac{1}{2z^2}$ , which has no  $(z-0)^{-1}$  term. So  $\text{Res}_{z=0}(df) = 0$ .

Similarly near  $z = 2$ , set  $u = z - 2$ . Then

$$df = \left( \frac{1}{2(2+u)^2} - \frac{1}{2u^2} \right) d(2+u) = \left( \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+u/2)^2} - \frac{1}{2u^2} \right) du.$$

Again the first term has only nonnegative powers in  $u$ , the second has  $u^{-2}$  but no  $u^{-1}$ . So  $\text{Res}_{z=2}(df) = 0$ .

At  $\infty$ , we could check via  $w = 1/z$ . A general fact: for any meromorphic function  $f$  on a compact Riemann surface,

$$\sum_p \text{Res}_p(df) = 0.$$

Since the residues at 0 and 2 are zero, the residue at  $\infty$  is also zero.

## 1.4 Moral for $\mathbb{CP}^1$ : function field via differential forms

In general, if  $f$  is *any* meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , then

- $df$  is a meromorphic 1-form whose residues all vanish.
- By analyzing the principal parts and using Liouville, one shows that  $f$  must be a rational function in the coordinate  $z$ .

Thus the function field is

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \mathbb{C}(z).$$

Our explicit  $f$  shows concretely how poles/zeros and the differential look, and how partial fractions naturally appear from calculus.

## 2 Case 2: $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ (complex torus)

Now we move to a more subtle example and use differential forms very concretely.

### 2.1 The torus and the basic holomorphic 1-form

Let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a lattice:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2, \quad \Im\left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}\right) > 0.$$

Define the complex torus

$$X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda.$$

The projection  $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$  is holomorphic and locally biholomorphic. The 1-form  $dz$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  is invariant under translations by  $\Lambda$ , so it descends to a global holomorphic 1-form on  $X$ . In fact,

$$H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) = \mathbb{C} \cdot dz,$$

i.e. there is a one-dimensional space of holomorphic 1-forms.

## 2.2 The Weierstrass $\wp$ and its derivative $\wp'$

Define the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function:

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{1}{(z - \lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right).$$

Facts:

- $\wp(z + \lambda) = \wp(z)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  ( $\Lambda$ -periodic).
- $\wp$  is even:  $\wp(-z) = \wp(z)$ .
- $\wp$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$  with poles of order 2 at each lattice point.

Differentiate term by term (justified by uniform convergence on compact sets away from poles):

$$\wp'(z) = -\frac{2}{z^3} - 2 \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{(z - \lambda)^3}.$$

Then:

- $\wp'$  is odd:  $\wp'(-z) = -\wp'(z)$ .
- $\wp'(z)$  has poles of order 3 at each lattice point.

Both  $\wp$  and  $\wp'$  are  $\Lambda$ -periodic, so they descend to meromorphic functions on  $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ :

$$\wp_X([z]) = \wp(z), \quad (\wp_X)'([z]) = \wp'(z).$$

## 2.3 The meromorphic 1-form $\wp'(z) dz = d\wp(z)$

Consider the 1-form

$$\omega = \wp'(z) dz.$$

This is clearly

$$\omega = d\wp(z),$$

as in calculus: derivative times  $dz$ .

### Local expansion near a pole

Focus on the point  $[0] \in X$  (the image of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ).

Near  $z = 0$ ,

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + c_2 z^2 + c_4 z^4 + \dots$$

for some complex coefficients  $c_k$  (coming from the lattice). Then

$$\wp'(z) = -\frac{2}{z^3} + 2c_2 z + 4c_4 z^3 + \dots$$

So near  $z = 0$ ,

$$\omega = \left( -\frac{2}{z^3} + 2c_2 z + 4c_4 z^3 + \dots \right) dz.$$

In Laurent series form:

$$\omega = -2z^{-3}dz + 2c_2 z dz + 4c_4 z^3 dz + \dots$$

Note there is *no* term of the form  $c_{-1}z^{-1}dz$ , so

$$\text{Res}_{z=0}(\omega) = 0.$$

Similarly, at any other lattice point  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , shifting  $z \mapsto z - \lambda$  gives the same type of expansion: pole of order 3, no  $1/(z - \lambda)$  term. Thus

$$\text{Res}_{[0]}(\omega) = 0, \quad \text{Res}_{[\lambda]}(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{in the quotient } X.$$

### Exactness and periods

Since  $\omega = d\wp$ , it is an exact differential. On the torus, this implies for any closed loop  $\gamma$  in  $X$ ,

$$\oint_{\gamma} \omega = \oint_{\gamma} d\wp = 0.$$

This is the global version of the fact that an exact differential has zero integral over closed paths.

### 2.4 A very concrete elliptic function built from $\wp$

Fix some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $[a] \neq [0]$  in  $X$ , and also  $[a] \neq [-a]$  (i.e.  $2a \notin \Lambda$ ). Consider the function

$$g(z) = \wp(z) - \wp(a),$$



which is  $\Lambda$ -periodic and meromorphic.

Define:

$$f(z) = \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)}.$$

This is a classical elliptic function: it is the derivative of the logarithm of  $g(z)$ :

$$f(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \log(\wp(z) - \wp(a)).$$

### Poles of $f(z)$ on the torus $X$

**At  $z = a$ .** Near  $z = a$ , write  $\zeta = z - a$ . Then expand:

$$\wp(z) = \wp(a) + \wp'(a)\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\wp''(a)\zeta^2 + \cdots.$$

So

$$\wp(z) - \wp(a) = \wp'(a)\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\wp''(a)\zeta^2 + \cdots.$$

Similarly

$$\wp'(z) = \wp'(a) + \wp''(a)\zeta + \cdots.$$

Thus

$$f(z) = \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)} = \frac{\wp'(a) + \wp''(a)\zeta + \cdots}{\wp'(a)\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\wp''(a)\zeta^2 + \cdots}.$$

Pull out  $\wp'(a)\zeta$  from the denominator:

$$f(z) = \frac{\wp'(a) + \wp''(a)\zeta + \cdots}{\wp'(a)\zeta \left(1 + \frac{\wp''(a)}{2\wp'(a)}\zeta + \cdots\right)}.$$

Now expand:

$$\frac{1}{1 + \alpha\zeta + \cdots} = 1 - \alpha\zeta + \cdots,$$

so near  $\zeta = 0$ ,

$$f(z) \sim \frac{1}{\zeta} \cdot \frac{\wp'(a)}{\wp'(a)} = \frac{1}{\zeta} = \frac{1}{z - a}.$$

Thus  $f$  has a *simple pole* at  $z = a$  with residue

$$\text{Res}_{z=a}(f(z) dz) = 1.$$

**At  $z = -a$ .** Because  $\wp$  is even and  $\wp'$  is odd,

$$\wp(-z) = \wp(z), \quad \wp'(-z) = -\wp'(z).$$

The equation  $\wp(z) = \wp(a)$  has the two solutions  $z = \pm a$  modulo  $\Lambda$ . So  $\wp(z) - \wp(a)$  also vanishes at  $z = -a$ . Repeating the same expansion, or just using the symmetry, we find

$$\text{Res}_{z=-a}(f(z) dz) = 1.$$

**At  $z = 0$  (and other lattice points).** Near  $z = 0$ ,

$$\wp(z) \sim \frac{1}{z^2}, \quad \wp'(z) \sim -\frac{2}{z^3}.$$

Then

$$f(z) = \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)} \sim \frac{-2z^{-3}}{z^{-2} - \wp(a)} = \frac{-2z^{-3}}{z^{-2}(1 - \wp(a)z^2)} = \frac{-2}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \wp(a)z^2}.$$

Expand  $\frac{1}{1 - \wp(a)z^2} = 1 + \wp(a)z^2 + \dots$ , so

$$f(z) \sim \frac{-2}{z} + (\text{holomorphic terms}).$$

Thus at  $z = 0$  we have a simple pole with residue

$$\text{Res}_{z=0}(f(z) dz) = -2.$$

Similarly, at other lattice points  $\lambda \neq 0$ , the local behavior is like near 0 shifted by  $\lambda$ , giving the same residue pattern, but on the torus  $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  all lattice points project to a finite set of points, and you can group residues within one fundamental domain.

### Residue theorem on the torus

On the compact Riemann surface  $X$ ,

$$\sum_{p \in X} \text{Res}_p(f(z) dz) = 0.$$

From the computations:

- $\text{Res}_{[a]} = 1$ ,

- $\text{Res}_{[-a]} = 1$ ,
- $\text{Res}_{[0]} = -2$

(and no other poles in a fundamental parallelogram), so

$$1 + 1 - 2 = 0.$$

This is a very concrete check of the residue theorem on a torus for this particular elliptic function.

## 2.5 Function field $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$ via $\wp$ and $\wp'$

A fundamental theorem:  $\wp$  and  $\wp'$  satisfy

$$(\wp'(z))^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - g_2\wp(z) - g_3,$$

where  $g_2, g_3$  are complex constants depending on  $\Lambda$ .

If we set

$$X := \wp(z), \quad Y := \wp'(z),$$

then

$$Y^2 = 4X^3 - g_2X - g_3.$$

From the differential-form viewpoint:

$$dX = d\wp(z) = \wp'(z) dz = Y dz \quad \Rightarrow \quad dz = \frac{dX}{Y}.$$

So the basic holomorphic 1-form  $dz$  on the torus becomes

$$dz = \frac{dX}{\sqrt{4X^3 - g_2X - g_3}},$$

when we view the torus as the algebraic curve  $Y^2 = 4X^3 - g_2X - g_3$ .

The function field is then

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda) = \mathbb{C}(\wp, \wp') \cong \mathbb{C}(X, Y) / (Y^2 - 4X^3 + g_2X + g_3).$$

Concretely, any meromorphic function  $F$  on  $X$  is of the form

$$F([z]) = R(\wp(z), \wp'(z))$$

for some rational function  $R(X, Y)$ .

Our explicit example

$$f(z) = \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)} = \frac{Y}{X - \wp(a)}$$

is exactly such a rational function in  $(X, Y) = (\wp, \wp')$ , and we computed its poles and residues using differential-form techniques in detail.

## Conclusion

- For  $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$ , we picked a very concrete rational function  $f(z) = (z - 1)^2/(z(z - 2))$ , computed its divisor, wrote it via partial fractions, and computed  $df$  as a meromorphic 1-form, verifying the residue behavior. This illustrates  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \mathbb{C}(z)$ .
- For  $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , we used  $\wp, \wp'$  and a very explicit elliptic function  $f(z) = \wp'(z)/(\wp(z) - \wp(a))$ , expanded near poles, and computed residues of the meromorphic 1-form  $f(z) dz$ . This illustrates concretely how  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$  is generated by  $\wp, \wp'$ , and how differential forms (like  $\wp'(z) dz = d\wp(z)$ ) control the structure of the function field.

## Integrating $\omega = \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)} dz$ around the torus

We continue with the torus

$$X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda, \quad \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2, \quad \Im\left(\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}\right) > 0.$$

Recall the elliptic function

$$f(z) = \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)},$$

for some fixed  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $2a \notin \Lambda$ , and the meromorphic 1-form

$$\omega = f(z) dz.$$

We already computed the residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=a}(\omega) = 1, \quad \operatorname{Res}_{z=-a}(\omega) = 1, \quad \operatorname{Res}_{z=0}(\omega) = -2$$

(modulo the lattice). Now we will:

- Fix a fundamental parallelogram in  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- Integrate  $\omega$  around its boundary.
- Use periodicity of  $f$  to show the boundary integral is 0.
- Use the residue theorem to show that this equals  $2\pi i$  times the sum of residues inside.

## 1. Fundamental parallelogram and its boundary

Pick a fundamental parallelogram (fundamental domain) for  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\mathcal{P} := \{s\omega_1 + t\omega_2 \mid 0 \leq s \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Its vertices are

$$0, \quad \omega_1, \quad \omega_1 + \omega_2, \quad \omega_2.$$

Define the oriented boundary  $\partial\mathcal{P}$  as the piecewise smooth path:

$$\partial\mathcal{P} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &: 0 \rightarrow \omega_1, \\ \gamma_2 &: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1 + \omega_2, \\ \gamma_3 &: \omega_1 + \omega_2 \rightarrow \omega_2, \\ \gamma_4 &: \omega_2 \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

More concretely, parametrize each side:

- $\gamma_1(t) = t\omega_1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$
- $\gamma_2(t) = \omega_1 + t\omega_2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$
- $\gamma_3(t) = \omega_1 + \omega_2 - t\omega_1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$
- $\gamma_4(t) = \omega_2 - t\omega_2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$

We will compute

$$\oint_{\partial\mathcal{P}} \omega = \int_{\gamma_1} \omega + \int_{\gamma_2} \omega + \int_{\gamma_3} \omega + \int_{\gamma_4} \omega.$$

## 2. Periodicity of $f$ and cancellation of integrals on opposite sides

Since  $f$  is  $\Lambda$ -periodic, we have

$$f(z + \omega_1) = f(z), \quad f(z + \omega_2) = f(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We use this to relate the integrals on opposite sides of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

### 2.1. Compare $\int_{\gamma_1} \omega$ and $\int_{\gamma_3} \omega$

Write

$$I_1 := \int_{\gamma_1} \omega, \quad I_3 := \int_{\gamma_3} \omega.$$

First compute  $I_1$  explicitly:

$$\gamma_1(t) = t\omega_1, \quad \gamma_1'(t) = \omega_1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then

$$I_1 = \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 f(\gamma_1(t)) \gamma_1'(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(t\omega_1) \omega_1 dt = \omega_1 \int_0^1 f(t\omega_1) dt.$$

Now compute  $I_3$ . Parametrization:

$$\gamma_3(t) = \omega_1 + \omega_2 - t\omega_1, \quad \gamma_3'(t) = -\omega_1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

So

$$I_3 = \int_{\gamma_3} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 f(\gamma_3(t)) \gamma_3'(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - t\omega_1) \cdot (-\omega_1) dt.$$

We now use periodicity to simplify  $f(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - t\omega_1)$ :

$$\omega_1 + \omega_2 - t\omega_1 = \omega_2 + (1 - t)\omega_1.$$

Since  $f$  is periodic with period  $\omega_2$ , we can subtract  $\omega_2$ :

$$f(\omega_2 + (1 - t)\omega_1) = f((1 - t)\omega_1).$$

Thus

$$I_3 = \int_0^1 f((1 - t)\omega_1) \cdot (-\omega_1) dt.$$

Make the change of variable

$$s = 1 - t \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = 1 - s, \quad dt = -ds,$$

and when  $t = 0$ ,  $s = 1$ ; when  $t = 1$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then

$$I_3 = \int_{s=1}^{s=0} f(s\omega_1) \cdot (-\omega_1) \cdot (-ds) = \int_{s=1}^{s=0} f(s\omega_1) \omega_1 ds.$$

Swap limits:

$$I_3 = - \int_{s=0}^{s=1} f(s\omega_1) \omega_1 ds = -\omega_1 \int_0^1 f(s\omega_1) ds.$$

Comparing with

$$I_1 = \omega_1 \int_0^1 f(t\omega_1) dt,$$

we see

$$I_3 = -I_1.$$

So the integrals along the bottom and top sides cancel:

$$\int_{\gamma_1} \omega + \int_{\gamma_3} \omega = I_1 + I_3 = 0.$$

## 2.2. Compare $\int_{\gamma_2} \omega$ and $\int_{\gamma_4} \omega$

Similarly define

$$I_2 := \int_{\gamma_2} \omega, \quad I_4 := \int_{\gamma_4} \omega.$$

Parametrize  $\gamma_2$ :

$$\gamma_2(t) = \omega_1 + t\omega_2, \quad \gamma_2'(t) = \omega_2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then

$$I_2 = \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 f(\omega_1 + t\omega_2) \omega_2 dt.$$

Parametrize  $\gamma_4$ :

$$\gamma_4(t) = \omega_2 - t\omega_2, \quad \gamma_4'(t) = -\omega_2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then

$$I_4 = \int_{\gamma_4} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 f(\omega_2 - t\omega_2) \cdot (-\omega_2) dt.$$

Simplify  $f(\omega_2 - t\omega_2)$ :

$$\omega_2 - t\omega_2 = (1 - t)\omega_2.$$

Because  $f(z + \omega_1) = f(z)$ , we can add  $\omega_1$  if we want:

$$f((1 - t)\omega_2) = f(\omega_1 + (1 - t)\omega_2)$$

as well. But we do not strictly need that here. Do the change of variable

$$s = 1 - t \quad \Rightarrow \quad dt = -ds,$$

and when  $t = 0 \rightarrow s = 1$ ,  $t = 1 \rightarrow s = 0$ . Then

$$I_4 = \int_{s=1}^{s=0} f(s\omega_2) \cdot (-\omega_2) \cdot (-ds) = \int_{s=1}^{s=0} f(s\omega_2) \omega_2 ds = - \int_{s=0}^{s=1} f(s\omega_2) \omega_2 ds.$$

But

$$I_2 = \int_0^1 f(\omega_1 + t\omega_2) \omega_2 dt.$$

Use periodicity with period  $\omega_1$ :

$$f(\omega_1 + t\omega_2) = f(t\omega_2).$$

So

$$I_2 = \int_0^1 f(t\omega_2) \omega_2 dt = \omega_2 \int_0^1 f(t\omega_2) dt.$$

Using  $s$  instead of  $t$  as dummy variable,

$$I_2 = \omega_2 \int_0^1 f(s\omega_2) ds.$$

Thus

$$I_4 = -\omega_2 \int_0^1 f(s\omega_2) ds = -I_2.$$

So the integrals along the left and right sides cancel:

$$\int_{\gamma_2} \omega + \int_{\gamma_4} \omega = I_2 + I_4 = 0.$$

### 2.3. Total boundary integral

Putting together:

$$\oint_{\partial\mathcal{P}} \omega = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 = (I_1 + I_3) + (I_2 + I_4) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

So we have shown purely from periodicity and calculus parametrizations:

$$\oint_{\partial\mathcal{P}} f(z) dz = 0.$$

## 3. Residue theorem inside the fundamental parallelogram

Now apply the residue theorem from complex analysis.



### 3.1. Poles inside $\mathcal{P}$

We consider the poles of  $\omega$  inside the parallelogram  $\mathcal{P}$ . We chose  $a$  such that  $0, a, -a$  represent three distinct points mod  $\Lambda$ , and (for a generic choice of  $a$ ) we can assume that within the chosen fundamental domain  $\mathcal{P}$ , the only poles of  $f(z)$  are at

$$z = 0, \quad z = a, \quad z = -a.$$

(Any other poles are at lattice translates of these, lying in other translates of the parallelogram.)

We computed the residues:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=a}(\omega) = 1, \quad \operatorname{Res}_{z=-a}(\omega) = 1, \quad \operatorname{Res}_{z=0}(\omega) = -2.$$

### 3.2. Residue theorem

The residue theorem applied to  $\omega = f(z) dz$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  states:

$$\oint_{\partial\mathcal{P}} \omega = 2\pi i \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \operatorname{Res}_{z=p}(\omega),$$

where the sum is over all poles of  $\omega$  inside  $\mathcal{P}$ .

From the previous subsection:

$$\oint_{\partial\mathcal{P}} \omega = 0.$$

Thus

$$0 = 2\pi i (\operatorname{Res}_{z=a}(\omega) + \operatorname{Res}_{z=-a}(\omega) + \operatorname{Res}_{z=0}(\omega)) = 2\pi i(1 + 1 - 2).$$

So indeed

$$1 + 1 - 2 = 0.$$

## 4. Interpretation on the torus $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$

The fundamental parallelogram  $\mathcal{P}$  is a fundamental domain for the projection map  $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ . Its boundary edges are identified in pairs:

$$\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_3, \quad \gamma_2 \sim \gamma_4.$$

The fact that

$$\int_{\gamma_1} \omega + \int_{\gamma_3} \omega = 0, \quad \int_{\gamma_2} \omega + \int_{\gamma_4} \omega = 0$$

is exactly the statement that, when you go to the quotient torus  $X$ , the integral of  $\omega$  over the resulting closed loop is well-defined and “wraps around” consistently.

On the compact Riemann surface  $X$ , the residue theorem becomes

$$\sum_{p \in X} \text{Res}_p(\omega) = 0.$$

In our explicit example,

$$\text{Res}_{[a]}(\omega) + \text{Res}_{[-a]}(\omega) + \text{Res}_{[0]}(\omega) = 1 + 1 - 2 = 0,$$

in perfect agreement with the global theory.

**Summary.** For the elliptic function

$$f(z) = \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - \wp(a)}$$

on the torus  $X = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ , we have:

- an explicit meromorphic 1-form  $\omega = f(z) dz$ ;
- an explicit fundamental parallelogram  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
- a direct computation using periodicity shows  $\oint_{\partial \mathcal{P}} \omega = 0$ ;
- the residue theorem computes the same integral as  $2\pi i \sum \text{Res}_p(\omega)$ , giving  $2\pi i(1 + 1 - 2) = 0$ .

This is a fully concrete, calculus-level demonstration of how residues and periodicity interact on the torus, and how global facts about the function field  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)$  arise from local Laurent expansions and contour integrals.