

## **Eigenvectors and Diagonalization**

(Determinant/Trace of Operators, Eigenspaces, Direct Sums, and Decomposition Criteria)

# Contents

# Chapter 1

## Eigenvalues, the characteristic polynomial, and operator invariants

### 1.1 Eigenvectors revisited

**Definition 1.1** (Eigenvector and eigenvalue). Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ . A nonzero vector  $u \in V \setminus \{0\}$  is an *eigenvector* of  $T$  if there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$T(u) = \lambda u.$$

In that case  $\lambda$  is called the *eigenvalue* associated to  $u$ .

**Remark 1.2** (Kernel formulation). The relation  $T(u) = \lambda u$  is equivalent to

$$(T - \lambda \text{id})(u) = 0, \quad u \neq 0,$$

hence  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue if and only if  $\text{Ker}(T - \lambda \text{id}) \neq \{0\}$ .

### 1.2 Determinant and trace of a linear operator

#### 1.2.1 Motivation

In matrix theory,  $\det(A)$  and  $\text{tr}(A)$  are invariants of similarity: if  $A = P^{-1}BP$ , then  $\det(A) = \det(B)$  and  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(B)$ . This yields basis-independence of determinant/trace for linear operators via their matrix representations.

**Definition 1.3** (Determinant and trace of an operator). Let  $V$  be finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ . Choose any ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $V$  and write  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$  for the matrix of  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Define

$$\det(T) := \det([T]_{\mathcal{B}}), \quad \text{tr}(T) := \text{tr}([T]_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

**Proposition 1.4** (Well-definedness of  $\det(T)$  and  $\text{tr}(T)$ ). *The quantities  $\det(T)$  and  $\text{tr}(T)$  do not depend on the chosen basis  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

**Lemma 1.5** (Similarity invariance of determinant and trace). *Let  $A, B \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$  and assume  $A$  is similar to  $B$ , i.e. there exists  $P \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  such that*

$$A = P^{-1}BP.$$

*Then*

$$\det(A) = \det(B), \quad \text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(B).$$

*Proof.* Using multiplicativity of determinant,

$$\det(A) = \det(P^{-1}BP) = \det(P^{-1})\det(B)\det(P) = \det(P)^{-1}\det(B)\det(P) = \det(B).$$

For trace, use cyclicity  $\text{tr}(XY) = \text{tr}(YX)$ :

$$\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(P^{-1}BP) = \text{tr}(BPP^{-1}) = \text{tr}(BI) = \text{tr}(B).$$

□

*Proof of Proposition.* Let  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  be two ordered bases of  $V$  and let  $P$  be the change-of-coordinates matrix from  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the representing matrices satisfy

$$[T]_{\mathcal{C}} = P^{-1}[T]_{\mathcal{B}}P,$$

so  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $[T]_{\mathcal{C}}$  are similar. Lemma ?? implies  $\det([T]_{\mathcal{B}}) = \det([T]_{\mathcal{C}})$  and  $\text{tr}([T]_{\mathcal{B}}) = \text{tr}([T]_{\mathcal{C}})$ .

□

### 1.3 Eigenvalues and the determinant criterion

**Proposition 1.6** (Eigenvalue criterion). *Let  $V$  be finite-dimensional and  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,*

$$\lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } T \iff \det(T - \lambda \text{id}) = 0.$$

*Proof.*  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue  $\iff \text{Ker}(T - \lambda \text{id}) \neq \{0\} \iff T - \lambda \text{id}$  is not injective. In finite dimension, non-injectivity is equivalent to non-invertibility. For a linear operator, invertibility is equivalent to the representing matrix being invertible in any basis, i.e. having nonzero determinant. Thus  $T - \lambda \text{id}$  not invertible  $\iff \det(T - \lambda \text{id}) = 0$ . □

### 1.4 The characteristic polynomial of an operator

**Definition 1.7** (Characteristic polynomial). *Let  $V$  be finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ . The *characteristic polynomial* of  $T$  is*

$$\chi_T(t) := \det(T - t\text{id}) \in \mathbb{F}[t].$$

Equivalently, for any basis  $\mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\chi_T(t) = \det([T]_{\mathcal{B}} - tI).$$

**Remark 1.8** (Basis-independence). Since  $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $[T]_{\mathcal{C}}$  are similar for any two bases,  $\det([T]_{\mathcal{B}} - tI)$  and  $\det([T]_{\mathcal{C}} - tI)$  coincide as polynomials in  $t$ . Hence  $\chi_T$  is well-defined.

**Corollary 1.9.** *A scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  if and only if  $\chi_T(\lambda) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition ??,

$$\lambda \text{ eigenvalue} \iff \det(T - \lambda \text{id}) = 0 \iff \chi_T(\lambda) = 0.$$

□

## Chapter 2

# Linear independence of eigenvectors and diagonalizability from eigenvalues

### 2.1 Eigenvectors for distinct eigenvalues are independent

**Lemma 2.1** (Distinct-eigenvalue eigenvectors are independent). *Let  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ . Suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in V$  are eigenvectors with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfying  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  is linearly independent.*

*Proof.* Assume for contradiction that the family is linearly dependent. Let  $h \geq 1$  be the smallest integer such that  $\{u_1, \dots, u_h\}$  is linearly dependent. Then  $h \geq 2$  and there exist scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_h \in \mathbb{F}$ , not all zero, with

$$a_1u_1 + \dots + a_hu_h = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

By minimality of  $h$ , we must have  $a_h \neq 0$  and  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{h-1}\}$  is linearly independent.

Apply  $T$  to (??):

$$a_1T(u_1) + \dots + a_hT(u_h) = 0 \implies a_1\lambda_1u_1 + \dots + a_h\lambda_hu_h = 0.$$

Multiply (??) by  $\lambda_h$  and subtract:

$$a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_h)u_1 + \dots + a_{h-1}(\lambda_{h-1} - \lambda_h)u_{h-1} = 0.$$

Since  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_h$  for  $1 \leq i \leq h-1$ , each coefficient  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_h)$  is nonzero. Because  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{h-1}\}$  is linearly independent, it follows that

$$a_i(\lambda_i - \lambda_h) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq h-1) \implies a_i = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq h-1).$$

Then (??) reduces to  $a_hu_h = 0$ , forcing  $a_h = 0$  (since  $u_h \neq 0$ ), contradiction.  $\square$

### 2.2 A quick sufficient condition for diagonalizability

**Corollary 2.2** (Distinct eigenvalues imply diagonalizable). *Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ . If  $T$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{F}$ , then  $T$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose eigenvectors  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  corresponding to  $n$  distinct eigenvalues. By Lemma ??, these vectors are linearly independent, hence form a basis of  $V$ . In that basis,  $T$  acts by  $T(u_i) = \lambda_i u_i$ , so  $[T]$  is diagonal.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3** (Failure over  $\mathbb{R}$  for rotations). The rotation operator  $R_\theta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  has complex eigenvalues  $e^{\pm i\theta}$  when  $\theta \neq 0, \pi$ , so it is not diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{R}$  though it is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$ . This illustrates the field-dependence of diagonalization.

# Chapter 3

## Eigenspaces, rank–nullity, and direct sums

### 3.1 Eigenspaces and their basic structure

**Definition 3.1** (Eigenspace). Let  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . The *eigenspace* of  $T$  associated to  $\lambda$  is

$$E_{\lambda}(T) := \{u \in V : T(u) = \lambda u\} = \text{Ker}(T - \lambda \text{id}).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $E_{\lambda}(T)$  is a linear subspace of  $V$ . Moreover,

$$\dim E_{\lambda}(T) = \text{Null}(T - \lambda \text{id}),$$

the nullity of  $T - \lambda \text{id}$ .

*Proof.*  $E_{\lambda}(T) = \text{Ker}(T - \lambda \text{id})$  is a kernel, hence a subspace. Its dimension equals the nullity by definition.  $\square$

### 3.2 Rank–nullity and a useful dimension formula

**Theorem 3.3** (Rank–nullity). Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be linear with  $V$  finite-dimensional. Then

$$\dim V = \dim \text{Ker}(T) + \dim \text{im}(T).$$

Equivalently,

$$\dim V = \text{Null}(T) + \text{rank}(T).$$

*Proof.* Define the quotient map  $\pi : V \rightarrow V/\text{Ker}(T)$  and the induced map  $\tilde{T} : V/\text{Ker}(T) \rightarrow \text{im}(T)$  by  $\tilde{T}(v + \text{Ker}(T)) = T(v)$ . This is well-defined and bijective. Hence  $\dim(V/\text{Ker}(T)) = \dim \text{im}(T)$ , i.e.  $\dim V - \dim \text{Ker}(T) = \dim \text{im}(T)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** (Eigenspace dimension via rank). For  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$\dim E_{\lambda}(T) = \dim V - \text{rank}(T - \lambda \text{id}).$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem ?? to  $T - \lambda \text{id} : V \rightarrow V$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Direct sums

**Definition 3.5** (Sum of subspaces). Let  $U, W \leq V$ . Define

$$U + W := \{u + w : u \in U, w \in W\}.$$

**Definition 3.6** (Direct sum of two subspaces). Let  $U, W \leq V$ . We say  $V$  is the *direct sum* of  $U$  and  $W$ , written

$$V = U \oplus W,$$

if

$$V = U + W \quad \text{and} \quad U \cap W = \{0\}.$$

**Proposition 3.7** (Uniqueness of decomposition). Let  $U, W \leq V$ . Then  $V = U \oplus W$  if and only if every  $v \in V$  can be written uniquely as

$$v = u + w, \quad u \in U, w \in W.$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $v = u_1 + w_1 = u_2 + w_2$ , then  $u_1 - u_2 = -(w_1 - w_2) \in U \cap W = \{0\}$ , so  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $w_1 = w_2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Existence of such a representation gives  $V = U + W$ . Uniqueness implies  $U \cap W = \{0\}$  by applying it to  $0 = u + w$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.8** (Finite direct sums). Let  $U_1, \dots, U_k \leq V$ . We write

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i$$

if  $V = U_1 + \dots + U_k$  and for each  $j$ ,

$$U_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j} U_i = \{0\}.$$

Equivalently, each  $v \in V$  has a unique representation  $v = u_1 + \dots + u_k$  with  $u_i \in U_i$ .

## Chapter 4

# Diagonalization via eigenspace decomposition

### 4.1 The eigenspace decomposition criterion

**Theorem 4.1** (Diagonalization  $\iff$  direct sum of eigenspaces). *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\dim V = n$ , and let  $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{F}$  be the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  (so  $k \leq n$ ). Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- (ii)  $V$  decomposes as the direct sum of its eigenspaces:

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E_{\lambda_i}(T).$$

- (iii) The eigenspaces span  $V$  and their dimensions add to  $n$ :

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^k E_{\lambda_i}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \dim E_{\lambda_i}(T) = n.$$

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume  $T$  is diagonalizable. Then there exists a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors. Group the basis vectors by eigenvalue:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{u_{11}, \dots, u_{1m_1}, u_{21}, \dots, u_{2m_2}, \dots, u_{k1}, \dots, u_{km_k}\},$$

where  $T(u_{ij}) = \lambda_i u_{ij}$ . For each  $i$ , the set  $\{u_{i1}, \dots, u_{im_i}\}$  spans a subspace contained in  $E_{\lambda_i}(T)$ . Conversely, since these vectors are eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , their span is contained in  $E_{\lambda_i}(T)$ , and by maximality of a basis inside  $E_{\lambda_i}(T)$  we may regard them as a basis of  $E_{\lambda_i}(T)$  after refinement. Thus

$$V = \text{span}(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{span}(u_{i1}, \dots, u_{im_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^k E_{\lambda_i}(T).$$

To see the sum is direct, let  $v_i \in E_{\lambda_i}(T)$  satisfy  $v_1 + \dots + v_k = 0$ . Writing each  $v_i$  in the eigenbasis  $\mathcal{B}$  shows all its coordinates are zero, hence each  $v_i = 0$ . Therefore  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E_{\lambda_i}(T)$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). If  $V = \bigoplus_i E_{\lambda_i}(T)$ , then  $V = \sum_i E_{\lambda_i}(T)$  and

$$\dim V = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim E_{\lambda_i}(T) = n$$

by additivity of dimension on direct sums.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume  $V = \sum_i E_{\lambda_i}(T)$  and  $\sum_i \dim E_{\lambda_i}(T) = n$ . For each  $i$ , choose a basis  $\mathcal{B}_i$  of  $E_{\lambda_i}(T)$ . The union  $\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{B}_i$  spans  $\sum_i E_{\lambda_i}(T) = V$ . Moreover,

$$|\mathcal{B}| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathcal{B}_i| = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim E_{\lambda_i}(T) = n = \dim V.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is a spanning set of size  $\dim V$ , therefore a basis of  $V$ . By construction, every vector in  $\mathcal{B}$  is an eigenvector, so  $T$  is diagonalizable.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2** (Matrix form in an eigenbasis). Under the decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k E_{\lambda_i}(T)$ , if  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is a basis of  $E_{\lambda_i}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the concatenation of the  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , then the matrix of  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  is diagonal:

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{diag}(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{\dim E_{\lambda_1}}, \underbrace{\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_2}_{\dim E_{\lambda_2}}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_k, \dots, \lambda_k}_{\dim E_{\lambda_k}}).$$

## 4.2 Worked example (as in the notes): a $3 \times 3$ matrix with two eigenvalues

**Example 4.3** (A matrix may be diagonalizable without  $n$  distinct eigenvalues). Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_3(\mathbb{F}).$$

Its characteristic polynomial can be computed by expansion:

$$\chi_A(t) = \det(A - tI) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 4-t & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3-t & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 4-t \end{pmatrix} = (4-t) \det \begin{pmatrix} 3-t & 2 \\ 0 & 4-t \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3-t \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\chi_A(t) = (4-t)(3-t)(4-t) - (3-t) = (3-t)((4-t)^2 - 1) = -(t-3)^2(t-5).$$

Thus the only eigenvalues are 3 (algebraic multiplicity 2) and 5 (algebraic multiplicity 1). Nevertheless, one may check (e.g. via rank-nullity) that

$$\dim E_5(A) = 1, \quad \dim E_3(A) = 2,$$

so  $\dim E_5(A) + \dim E_3(A) = 3 = \dim \mathbb{F}^3$ ; by Theorem ??(iii),  $A$  is diagonalizable.

# Guide to conventions

- We use  $\chi_T(t) = \det(T - t\text{id})$  (equivalently  $\chi_A(t) = \det(A - tI)$ ).
- Under this convention the leading term is  $(-1)^n t^n$ .
- If instead one defines  $\det(tI - A)$ , the polynomial is monic and the  $t^{n-1}$  coefficient becomes  $-\text{tr}(A)$ .