

Calculus / differential-form viewpoint on $\mathbb{C}(x) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$

We want to show:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}(x),$$

using mainly complex analysis (Laurent series, Liouville, change of variables) and a bit of differential-form language.

1. Charts on \mathbb{CP}^1 and the coordinate x

Think of \mathbb{CP}^1 as the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Use two standard charts:

- $U_1 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ with coordinate

$$z = \frac{z_0}{z_1} : U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

We call this coordinate x . So $x = z$ on \mathbb{C} .

- $U_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ with coordinate

$$w = \frac{z_1}{z_0} : U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

so that on the overlap $U_0 \cap U_1$ we have

$$w = \frac{1}{z}, \quad z = \frac{1}{w}.$$

Here w is a coordinate near ∞ (since $\infty = [1 : 0]$ corresponds to $w = 0$).

2. Meromorphic functions and meromorphic 1-forms

A *meromorphic function* f on \mathbb{CP}^1 is the same as a holomorphic map

$$F : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1,$$

whose restriction to $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ is an ordinary meromorphic function $f(z)$ on \mathbb{C} , and with some allowed behavior at ∞ .

From the viewpoint of calculus/differential forms, you often look at the 1-form

$$\omega = f(z) dz.$$

On \mathbb{C} , this is a meromorphic 1-form. To extend f (or ω) to the sphere, we must check what happens near ∞ . That means rewriting everything in the coordinate $w = 1/z$.

On the overlap:

$$z = \frac{1}{w}, \quad dz = -\frac{1}{w^2} dw.$$

Thus

$$\omega = f(z) dz = f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{w^2} dw\right) = -f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) w^{-2} dw.$$

So the expression of ω near ∞ (in the coordinate w) is

$$\omega = G(w) dw, \quad G(w) = -f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) w^{-2}.$$

Meromorphicity at ∞ means: $G(w)$ has a Laurent series at $w = 0$ with only finitely many negative powers.

3. Global calculus proof that meromorphic on \mathbb{CP}^1 is rational

Let $f : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be meromorphic. Restricting to $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$, we view f as a meromorphic function $f(z)$ on \mathbb{C} .

- On a compact Riemann surface like \mathbb{CP}^1 , a meromorphic function has only finitely many poles. So there exist points $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and positive integers m_j such that all poles of f lie at the a_j .
- For each finite pole $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ (with local coordinate $z - a_j$), f has a Laurent expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-m_j}^{\infty} c_{j,n} (z - a_j)^n$$

near $z = a_j$. Its *principal part* at a_j is

$$P_j(z) := \sum_{n=-m_j}^{-1} c_{j,n} (z - a_j)^n.$$

- Near ∞ , change variables to $w = 1/z$. Then

$$f(z) = f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)$$

has a Laurent expansion in w :

$$f\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) = \sum_{n=-M}^{\infty} b_n w^n,$$

with finitely many negative powers w^n (this is the definition of “meromorphic at ∞ ”). Equivalently,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-M}^{\infty} b_n z^{-n}$$

for large $|z|$. Its principal part at ∞ is

$$P_{\infty}(z) := \sum_{n=-M}^{-1} b_n z^{-n}.$$

Now define a *rational function* $R(z)$ by summing all principal parts:

$$R(z) = \sum_{j=1}^k P_j(z) + P_{\infty}(z).$$

This is a rational function because it is a finite sum of expressions of the form $(z - a_j)^{-n}$ and powers of z (which are all rational).

Then consider

$$g(z) := f(z) - R(z).$$

By construction:

- At each finite pole a_j , g has no negative powers in its Laurent expansion, hence is holomorphic at a_j .
- At ∞ , subtracting the principal part $P_{\infty}(z)$ removes all negative powers in the expansion in $w = 1/z$, so g is holomorphic at ∞ as well.

Thus g is entire on \mathbb{C} and *holomorphic at ∞* . Being holomorphic at ∞ means exactly that g is *bounded* for large $|z|$. By Liouville’s theorem:

$$g \text{ entire and bounded} \implies g \text{ is constant.}$$

So there exists $C \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f(z) = R(z) + C.$$

But $R(z) + C$ is still rational, so f is a rational function:

$$f(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z).$$

Therefore, any meromorphic function f on \mathbb{CP}^1 is a rational function in the coordinate $x = z$. This shows $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \subset \mathbb{C}(x)$, and the converse inclusion (every rational function defines a meromorphic map $\mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$) is straightforward. Hence

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}(x).$$

4. Differential-form language (optional viewpoint)

Instead of looking directly at f , one may look at the meromorphic 1-form

$$\omega = f(z) dz.$$

- At each finite pole a_j , ω has a Laurent expansion

$$\omega = \left(\sum_{n=-m_j}^{\infty} c_{j,n} (z - a_j)^n \right) dz.$$

Its residue at a_j is $c_{j,-1}$.

- Near ∞ , write $z = 1/w$, $dz = -w^{-2}dw$. Then

$$\omega = f(1/w) dz = -f(1/w) w^{-2} dw.$$

Meromorphicity at ∞ is the condition that the coefficient of dw has only finitely many negative powers of w .

- On a compact Riemann surface (like \mathbb{CP}^1), the sum of the residues of any meromorphic 1-form is zero:

$$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{CP}^1} \text{Res}_p(\omega) = 0.$$

This is a global version of the Cauchy integral theorem.

The partial fractions decomposition of f can be seen as reconstructing ω from its local principal parts (residues, higher-order terms) at each pole, then subtracting this from the given ω to get a holomorphic 1-form on \mathbb{CP}^1 . But any global holomorphic 1-form on \mathbb{CP}^1 must be zero, so what remains is just a constant multiple of dz , which corresponds to the polynomial part of f . This reproduces the same “ f = rational + constant” conclusion.

In summary, from a calculus/differential-forms viewpoint:

- Meromorphic f on \mathbb{CP}^1 has finitely many poles (including possibly ∞).
- Using Laurent expansions and the change of variables $w = 1/z$, we build a rational function $R(z)$ with the same principal parts as f .
- Their difference $g = f - R$ is entire and bounded at ∞ , hence constant by Liouville.
- Therefore f is rational: $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \mathbb{C}(x)$.