

# Lecture Notes on Core Hard Problems in Cryptography

## Contents

<b>1 Global Preliminaries</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Security parameter, PPT, negligible functions . . . . .	1
1.2 Sampling notation and experiments . . . . .	1
1.3 Search vs. decision vs. distinguishing problems . . . . .	1
1.4 Finite fields, groups, and encodings . . . . .	1
<b>2 Integer Factorization Problem (IFP)</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 Formal problem statements . . . . .	1
2.2 Why factoring matters: RSA and Rabin . . . . .	2
2.3 Core number-theoretic tools . . . . .	2
2.4 Classical attacks (algorithmic overview) . . . . .	2
2.4.1 Small/special factor methods . . . . .	2
2.4.2 Sieve methods . . . . .	2
2.5 Quantum attack: Shor . . . . .	2
2.6 Exercises . . . . .	2
<b>3 Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP)</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Formal definitions . . . . .	3
3.2 Generic group algorithms . . . . .	3
3.3 Finite-field DLP: index calculus . . . . .	3
3.4 Elliptic-curve DLP (ECDLP) . . . . .	3
3.5 Quantum . . . . .	3
3.6 Exercises . . . . .	3
<b>4 Lattices: SVP/CVP, SIS, LWE</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1 Lattices and basic geometry . . . . .	3
4.2 SVP and CVP . . . . .	4
4.3 Algorithmic toolkit: reduction + enumeration + sieving . . . . .	4
4.4 SIS and LWE (cryptographic problems) . . . . .	4
4.5 Attack taxonomy for LWE (how cryptanalysts think) . . . . .	4
4.6 Quantum . . . . .	4
4.7 Exercises . . . . .	5
<b>5 Codes: Syndrome Decoding and Related Problems</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1 Linear codes . . . . .	5
5.2 Hard problems . . . . .	5
5.3 McEliece-type cryptography (context) . . . . .	5
5.4 Attacks: Information Set Decoding (ISD) . . . . .	5
5.5 Quantum . . . . .	5
5.6 Exercises . . . . .	5

<b>6 Isogenies of Elliptic Curves (Supersingular/CSIDH-style)</b>	<b>6</b>
6.1 Elliptic curves and isogenies . . . . .	6
6.2 Canonical computational problems . . . . .	6
6.3 Attacks (high-level) . . . . .	6
6.4 Quantum . . . . .	6
6.5 Exercises . . . . .	6
<b>7 Multivariate Cryptography: MQ and Structured Variants</b>	<b>6</b>
7.1 MQ problem . . . . .	6
7.2 Why MQ appears in signatures . . . . .	7
7.3 Algebraic attacks . . . . .	7
7.4 Quantum . . . . .	7
7.5 Exercises . . . . .	7
<b>8 Hash Functions: Definitions, Bounds, and Attacks</b>	<b>7</b>
8.1 Formal security notions . . . . .	7
8.2 Generic bounds (information-theoretic / black-box) . . . . .	7
8.3 Design-level attacks (structural) . . . . .	8
8.4 Quantum . . . . .	8
8.5 Exercises . . . . .	8
<b>9 Recommended Reading (non-exhaustive)</b>	<b>8</b>

# 1 Global Preliminaries

## 1.1 Security parameter, PPT, negligible functions

**Definition 1.1** (Negligible function). A function  $\mu : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is negligible if for every  $c > 0$  there exists  $\lambda_0$  such that for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ,  $\mu(\lambda) < \lambda^{-c}$ . We write  $\mu(\lambda) = \text{negl}(\lambda)$ .

**Definition 1.2** (PPT algorithm). A probabilistic polynomial-time (PPT) algorithm is a randomized algorithm running in time  $\text{poly}(\lambda)$  on inputs whose sizes are bounded by  $\text{poly}(\lambda)$ .

## 1.2 Sampling notation and experiments

We write  $x \xleftarrow{\$} S$  for uniform sampling from a finite set  $S$ . For a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $x \leftarrow \mathcal{D}$  denotes sampling  $x$  from  $\mathcal{D}$ .

## 1.3 Search vs. decision vs. distinguishing problems

- **Search:** output a witness (factor, discrete log, short vector, error vector, isogeny, solution).
- **Decision:** decide whether a witness exists (often NP-complete for codes, MQ; not meaningful for factoring).
- **Distinguishing:** distinguish two distributions (e.g. LWE vs. uniform).

## 1.4 Finite fields, groups, and encodings

All mathematical objects are assumed to have efficient encodings as bitstrings so they are valid algorithm inputs.

## 2 Integer Factorization Problem (IFP)

### 2.1 Formal problem statements

**Definition 2.1** (Integer factorization (search)). *Given  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , output  $d$  with  $1 < d < N$  and  $d \mid N$  (equivalently output the prime factorization of  $N$ ).*

**Definition 2.2** (RSA modulus distribution). *Let  $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$  output  $N = pq$  where  $p, q$  are independently sampled primes of  $\lambda$  bits (often with constraints).*

**Definition 2.3** (Factoring hardness assumption (RSA)). *No PPT algorithm outputs a nontrivial factor of  $N \leftarrow \mathcal{D}_\lambda$  with non-negligible probability in  $\lambda$ .*

### 2.2 Why factoring matters: RSA and Rabin

**RSA.** Pick  $N = pq$ , choose  $e$  with  $\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$ , let  $d = e^{-1} \pmod{\varphi(N)}$ . Encryption:  $c = m^e \pmod{N}$ . Decryption:  $m = c^d \pmod{N}$ .

**Rabin.** Encryption:  $c = m^2 \pmod{N}$ . Decryption requires extracting square roots modulo  $N$ ; security closely relates to factoring.

### 2.3 Core number-theoretic tools

- Modular arithmetic; Euler  $\varphi(N)$ ; Carmichael  $\lambda(N)$ .
- Order of an element modulo  $N$ .
- Quadratic residues, Jacobi symbol (for Rabin-type discussions).

### 2.4 Classical attacks (algorithmic overview)

#### 2.4.1 Small/special factor methods

**Trial division.** Complexity  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{N})$  worst-case, practical only for small primes.

**Pollard  $p - 1$ .** If  $p - 1$  is  $B$ -smooth, choose  $M = \text{lcm}(1, \dots, B)$  and compute  $\gcd(a^M - 1, N)$ .

**Pollard  $\rho$ .** Random walk modulo  $p$  yields expected time  $O(\sqrt{p})$  to find a factor  $p$ .

**ECM.** Random elliptic curves mod  $N$ ; if group order mod  $p$  is smooth, factor emerges. Excellent at finding medium-size factors; often used as a preprocessing step.

#### 2.4.2 Sieve methods

**Quadratic Sieve (QS).** Find many relations  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{N}$  via smooth values of  $x^2 - N$ . Asymptotic:  $L_N[1/2, 1]$ .

**GNFS.** Asymptotically fastest for general  $N$ :  $L_N[1/3, \sqrt[3]{64/9}]$ . High-level phases: polynomial selection, sieving (relation collection), linear algebra, square root.

### 2.5 Quantum attack: Shor

Shor reduces factoring to order-finding: given  $a \pmod{N}$ , find  $\text{ord}_N(a)$  via quantum Fourier transform, then derive a factor from  $\gcd(a^{r/2} \pm 1, N)$  when  $r$  even and  $a^{r/2} \not\equiv -1$ .

## 2.6 Exercises

1. Prove that knowing  $\varphi(N)$  for  $N = pq$  allows recovery of  $p, q$ .
2. Implement Pollard  $\rho$  and test on semiprimes with a small factor.
3. Outline why QS produces a congruence of squares.

# 3 Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP)

## 3.1 Formal definitions

**Definition 3.1** (DLP (search)). *Let  $G = \langle g \rangle$  be cyclic of order  $n$ . Given  $g$  and  $h \in G$ , find  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $g^x = h$ .*

**Definition 3.2** (CDH and DDH (context)). *Given  $(g, g^a, g^b)$ , the Computational Diffie–Hellman (CDH) problem is to compute  $g^{ab}$ . The Decisional Diffie–Hellman (DDH) problem is to distinguish  $(g, g^a, g^b, g^{ab})$  from  $(g, g^a, g^b, g^c)$ .*

## 3.2 Generic group algorithms

**Baby-step/giant-step.** Time and memory  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$ .

**Pollard  $\rho$ .** Expected  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$  time, small memory.

**Pohlig–Hellman.** If  $n = \prod_i p_i^{e_i}$ , reduce DLP to DLPs modulo each  $p_i^{e_i}$ , then CRT. Hence cryptographic groups choose  $n$  having a large prime factor.

## 3.3 Finite-field DLP: index calculus

In  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$  and extension fields, index calculus expresses elements via a factor base, collects relations, solves a linear system, then computes logs of targets. The best-known for prime fields is NFS-DL with  $L_p[1/3, \sqrt[3]{64/9}]$ .

## 3.4 Elliptic-curve DLP (ECDLP)

For generic elliptic curves, no subexponential index calculus is known in full generality; best-known attacks are generic  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$ .

**MOV / Frey–Rück.** Certain special curves admit embedding of ECDLP into finite-field DLP via pairings; avoided in standard curve selection.

## 3.5 Quantum

Shor solves DLP in abelian groups in  $\text{poly}(\log n)$  time.

## 3.6 Exercises

1. Prove correctness of Pohlig–Hellman using CRT.
2. Show Pollard  $\rho$  is a collision-finding method on a pseudorandom walk.

## 4 Lattices: SVP/CVP, SIS, LWE

### 4.1 Lattices and basic geometry

**Definition 4.1** (Lattice). A full-rank lattice  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is  $\mathcal{L}(B) = \{Bz : z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  for an invertible  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ .

**Definition 4.2** (Determinant).  $\det(\mathcal{L}) := |\det(B)|$ , independent of the basis  $B$ .

**Definition 4.3** (Successive minima).  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}) := \min\{\|v\|_2 : v \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \{0\}\}$ .

### 4.2 SVP and CVP

**Definition 4.4** (SVP and  $\gamma$ -SVP). Given a basis  $B$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , find  $v \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \{0\}$  minimizing  $\|v\|_2$  (SVP), or find  $v$  with  $\|v\|_2 \leq \gamma \lambda_1(\mathcal{L})$  ( $\gamma$ -SVP).

**Definition 4.5** (CVP and  $\gamma$ -CVP). Given basis  $B$  and target  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , find  $v \in \mathcal{L}$  minimizing  $\|t - v\|_2$  (CVP), or within factor  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma$ -CVP).

### 4.3 Algorithmic toolkit: reduction + enumeration + sieving

**LLL.** Polynomial-time basis reduction giving approximation factors exponential in  $d$ .

**BKZ.** Blockwise reduction parameterized by blocksize  $\beta$ ; the main engine in practical lattice cryptanalysis.

**Enumeration.** Searches for short vectors given a reduced basis; exponential in  $d$  with strong dependence on reduction quality.

**Sieving.** Heuristic nearest-neighbor style methods to find very short vectors; typically exponential time and memory.

### 4.4 SIS and LWE (cryptographic problems)

**Definition 4.6** (SIS (short integer solution)). Fix  $n, m, q$  and a norm bound  $\beta$ . Given  $A \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$ , find a nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  such that

$$Ax \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\| \leq \beta.$$

**Definition 4.7** (LWE (decision form)). Fix  $n, q$  and error distribution  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . Given samples  $(a_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ , distinguish:

$$b_i = \langle a_i, s \rangle + e_i \pmod{q}, \quad s \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q^n, \quad e_i \xleftarrow{\$} \chi$$

from uniform  $(a_i, b_i)$ .

### 4.5 Attack taxonomy for LWE (how cryptanalysts think)

**Primal attacks.** Embed the LWE instance into a lattice so that the secret/error corresponds to a short or close vector; solve via BKZ+enumeration/sieving.

**Dual attacks.** Find a short dual vector  $y$  such that  $y^T b$  reveals bias distinguishing LWE from uniform.

**Hybrid attacks.** Guess part of the secret to reduce dimension, then primal/dual.

**Combinatorial (BKW).** Trade dimension for noise growth via sample combining; effective in some parameter regimes.

## 4.6 Quantum

No known polynomial-time quantum algorithm for worst-case lattice problems; quantum can accelerate some search/sieving subroutines.

## 4.7 Exercises

1. Prove  $\det(\mathcal{L})$  is basis-independent.
2. For a 2D lattice, compute  $\lambda_1$  by inspection and compare with LLL output.
3. Derive the dual lattice  $\mathcal{L}^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall x \in \mathcal{L}\}$  and basic properties.

# 5 Codes: Syndrome Decoding and Related Problems

## 5.1 Linear codes

**Definition 5.1** (Linear code). A linear  $[n, k]_q$  code is a  $k$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ . A parity-check matrix  $H \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$  satisfies  $C = \{c \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : Hc^\top = 0\}$ .

**Definition 5.2** (Hamming weight and distance). For  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ ,  $w_H(x) = |\{i : x_i \neq 0\}|$  and  $d_H(x, y) = w_H(x - y)$ .

## 5.2 Hard problems

**Definition 5.3** (Syndrome Decoding (SD)). Given  $(H, s, t)$  with  $H \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-k}$ , and integer  $t$ , find  $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that

$$He^\top = s, \quad w_H(e) \leq t.$$

**Definition 5.4** (Minimum Distance Problem (MDP)). Given a linear code  $C$  and integer  $t$ , decide whether there exists  $c \in C \setminus \{0\}$  with  $w_H(c) \leq t$ .

## 5.3 McEliece-type cryptography (context)

Public key is a disguised generator matrix of a structured code (e.g. Goppa), chosen so legitimate decoding is efficient while the public code looks random.

## 5.4 Attacks: Information Set Decoding (ISD)

**Prange.** Guess an information set  $I$  of size  $k$  that avoids error positions; attempt solve. Time  $\approx \binom{n}{t}/\binom{n-k}{t}$  (heuristic).

**Stern/Dumer/BJMM.** Use meet-in-the-middle and combinatorial improvements; modern best practical methods are ISD variants.

**Structural attacks.** If the public code is distinguishable from random, recover structure and decode efficiently.

## 5.5 Quantum

Grover can speed up the guessing layers in ISD (model-dependent exponent reductions).

## 5.6 Exercises

1. Derive the Prange success probability for an information set.
2. Show how SD can be written as finding a low-weight vector in an affine space.

# 6 Isogenies of Elliptic Curves (Supersingular/CSIDH-style)

## 6.1 Elliptic curves and isogenies

**Definition 6.1** (Elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ). (*Characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ .*)  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  given by  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  with discriminant  $\Delta \neq 0$ . The set  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  forms a finite abelian group.

**Definition 6.2** (Isogeny). An isogeny  $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a nonconstant morphism defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  that is also a group homomorphism. Its kernel is finite and  $\deg(\varphi)$  is its morphism degree.

## 6.2 Canonical computational problems

**Definition 6.3** (Supersingular isogeny (search)). Work over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . Given supersingular  $E, E'/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , find an explicit isogeny  $\varphi : E \rightarrow E'$  of prescribed smooth degree (often  $\ell^r$ ), represented so  $\varphi$  can be evaluated.

**Definition 6.4** (Class group action / CSIDH-type problem (high level)). Given a commutative group action of an ideal class group on a set of curves, the hard problem is to recover the acting group element (ideal class) mapping  $E$  to  $E'$  (hidden shift-like).

## 6.3 Attacks (high-level)

**Graph/path-finding.** Model the supersingular isogeny graph: vertices are curves, edges are  $\ell$ -isogenies. Generic attacks are essentially path-finding with meet-in-the-middle.

**Meet-in-the-middle (Delfs–Galbraith style).** Bidirectional search reduces naive exponent (heuristically  $\tilde{O}(p^{1/4})$  for some variants).

**Protocol-specific cryptanalysis.** Some isogeny key exchanges (notably SIDH/SIKE) were broken by exploiting additional structure. This is not a break of “all isogeny problems” but invalidates those particular schemes/assumptions.

## 6.4 Quantum

In commutative settings (hidden shift-like), Kuperberg-type algorithms give subexponential time. No known general polynomial-time algorithm for supersingular path-finding.

## 6.5 Exercises

1. Prove  $\deg(\varphi \circ \psi) = \deg(\varphi) \deg(\psi)$ .
2. Show that kernels of separable isogenies correspond to finite subgroups.

## 7 Multivariate Cryptography: MQ and Structured Variants

### 7.1 MQ problem

**Definition 7.1** (MQ (Multivariate Quadratic) search). Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field and  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\deg(f_i) \leq 2$ . Find  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that

$$f_i(x) = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

### 7.2 Why MQ appears in signatures

Many multivariate signature schemes publish a public map  $P : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$  that is quadratic and designed to be easy to invert with a trapdoor (e.g. Oil-and-Vinegar families) but hard to invert without it.

### 7.3 Algebraic attacks

**Gröbner bases (F4/F5).** Compute a Gröbner basis for the ideal  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$ . Complexity depends on the *degree of regularity* and sparsity; often dominates best-known attacks.

**XL and relinearization.** Multiply equations by monomials to increase degree, then linearize monomials as variables.

**Hybrid.** Guess some variables to reduce  $n$ , then apply Gröbner/XL.

**Rank/MinRank and structural attacks.** Many structured MQ schemes admit reductions to MinRank or exploit low-rank structure (Kipnis–Shamir-type ideas in some settings).

### 7.4 Quantum

Grover can accelerate the guessing part in hybrid methods; core algebraic steps see limited generic quantum speedups.

### 7.5 Exercises

1. Write a small MQ instance over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and solve by brute force; compare with linearization.
2. Show how a quadratic form corresponds to a symmetric matrix (in odd characteristic).

## 8 Hash Functions: Definitions, Bounds, and Attacks

### 8.1 Formal security notions

**Definition 8.1** (Hash family). A hash family  $\{H_\lambda\}$  is a collection of efficient functions  $H_\lambda : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n(\lambda)}$ .

**Definition 8.2** (Collision resistance (CR)).  $\{H_\lambda\}$  is collision resistant if for every PPT adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ (x, x') \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^\lambda) : x \neq x' \wedge H_\lambda(x) = H_\lambda(x') \right] = \text{negl}(\lambda).$$

**Definition 8.3** (Second-preimage resistance (SPR)). For every PPT  $\mathcal{A}$  and a specified input distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ x \leftarrow \mathcal{D}; x' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^\lambda, x) : x' \neq x \wedge H_\lambda(x') = H_\lambda(x) \right] = \text{negl}(\lambda).$$

**Definition 8.4** (Preimage resistance (OW)). *For every PPT  $\mathcal{A}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ y \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^{n(\lambda)}; x \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^\lambda, y) : H_\lambda(x) = y \right] = \text{negl}(\lambda).$$

## 8.2 Generic bounds (information-theoretic / black-box)

**Birthday bound.** For random  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , a collision appears after about  $2^{n/2}$  queries.

**Preimages.** Expected  $2^n$  queries classically for random preimage search.

## 8.3 Design-level attacks (structural)

**Differential cryptanalysis.** Track differences through compression functions to produce collisions faster than birthday (design-specific).

**Chosen-prefix collisions.** Construct collisions for two chosen distinct prefixes; crucial for real-world certificate forgeries in weakened hashes.

**Length extension.** Merkle–Damgård hashes satisfy  $H(m \| pad(m) \| m')$  computable from  $H(m)$  and  $|m|$ . Thus MAC constructions of the form  $H(k \| m)$  are insecure; HMAC avoids this.

## 8.4 Quantum

Grover gives preimages in about  $2^{n/2}$  quantum queries. (Quantum collision-finding can beat  $2^{n/2}$  in some models; details depend on the oracle model.)

## 8.5 Exercises

1. Prove the birthday bound estimate for collisions.
2. Show length extension for Merkle–Damgård at the level of the iteration structure.

## 9 Recommended Reading (non-exhaustive)

- J. Silverman, *A Friendly Introduction to Number Theory* (background).
- H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory* (factoring and NFS context).
- D. Boneh and V. Shoup, *A Graduate Course in Applied Cryptography* (DLP, RSA, hash, etc.).
- O. Goldreich, *Foundations of Cryptography* (formal security notions).
- D. Micciancio and S. Goldwasser, *Complexity of Lattice Problems* / lattice-crypto surveys.
- R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields* (finite field DLP context).
- F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*.
- L. C. Washington, *Elliptic Curves: Number Theory and Cryptography*.
- Cox, Little, O’Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms* (Gröbner basics).