Suicide Death BOT - Spinal Cord — a16b

RISK SCORE: 10

Inventor: xxxx Year: ~????

Even more worse than a Suicide Death Bot that induces a Psychosis with Electronical-/Psychological War to induce a suicide (attempt) would be some sort of Suicide Death Bot like Stuxnet that attacks the Spinal Cord Implants of eg. Whistleblowers, Whitehat Hackers or people that asks "to many" questions or people allied with such people, i mean with "rapist-terrorists".

Suicide Death BOT — a16

After an interrupted Suicide Death bot they can make you say everything or make you tweet everything

Inventor: xxxx Year: ~????

If a person can be controlled or at least influenced by some technology cards t° evil people unfortunately could create some sort of a suicide death bot, using things like m38 card for priming to make innocent people to comit suicide (attempts). We think that this is the major way eg. CIA kills people that know to much and the reason news are normally not telling the story from people that commited suicide (maybe they killed Ian Murdock with a suicide death bot). People with lower rank would be told that it's just training or BDSM. But in fact if the Whistleblower would die the whole group would be lost too and so controllable by eg. the CIA. wirst case m37 && dt1 card. Those groups that already have "trained" a kid to death would never confess. Forced to keep silent. Possibly the code of such suicide death bots would be packed into proprietary non-deterministic crypto both personalized or generic, eg. m38 would be generic. Was Ian Murdoch@Debian also a victim?

---

public class Object — a10

```
public    class person
protected class fahrzeug
private   class object
```

object ist die Schablone mit welcher alle anderen Schablonen hergestellt werden, bzw. sagt man "von der alle anderen **Klassen (Schablonen)** erben"

Berechtigung

Schlüsselwort dass jetzt eine eine Vorlage für eine **Klasse (Schablone)** kommt

```
struct speicherbereich
{
    int zahl1;
    string wort1;
    int zahl2;
    char Buchstabe1;
}
```

speicherbereich

Inventor: Alan Kay Year: ~1993

Tell the computer to define an object, which is a element (a12) where the possible values are defined and and stored it in Memory (see also **struct** / C++) but there are also "functions" that are called "methods". A class/members/methods can be public, protected and private. There are static members/methods

---

Military Rank — a3

Oberst 36, 33

Fach-offizier (Fachof) 50

B.C.

Inventor: Satan / Romans? Year: ~-500

People tend to subjugate other people and making them "usable" for their own profit. Globally there are many of such systems that use things like dt1 card to enslave people peoples. Right-Wing people seem to thrive in Military Systems. Maybe not even by their own will but they have had maybe dominant parents and learned that it is liked with less pain if they just do what the older person says. I myself was always rebel and begun early to question things the older generation said. This way I went is possibly more painful since you must deffend against the older generation and consider that the younger that help those olders and obey their order attack you from two sides. And it would not be fair to be mean to the younger.

Cognitive Dissonance Detection and passing — a24

Inventor: xxxx Year: ~????

If a NSA/CIA would have access to all peoples diaries, even the private diaries they would maybe install some AI that analyzes what the whitehat hacker just says, writes or thinks

Then they would try to match that on persons in his / her network that would get a cogntivie dissonance because of that sentence or concept knowledge even when i dont write names in my diary

CIA would possibly know what person or eg. Meyer-Briggs archetypes would rage because of what i just written into my privat diary. So it would be possible that the CIA/NSA would intentionally send this private diary entries to people to "motivate" them to attack me.

HYSTERESIS REMANENCE — a2

magnetische Induktion (B)
Remanenz Br
Sättigung
Neukurve
Hystereseschleife
Magnetische Feldstärke (H)
unmagnetischer Zustand
Entmagneti-sierungskurve
Hc
Koerzitiv-feldstärke

Inventor: James Alfred Weing Year: ~1890

Describes the persistence of an effect after the causing force stopped. For example in (electro-)magnetism. Old electron tupe televisions tend to become magnetized after a while which resulted in a bad picture, so they have had to be "degaussed". The same principle maybe also works for psychological effects, see m15, t10, m19, co1, m9, t11 or **Clark Hull's Formula** for Emotions.

Black use Cases

For example to burn bad behavioral patterns into a Hacker to sue him and waste his time. Time that he could better use to defend jounger people from beeing owned by the CIA

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE — a11

0 (max)
1 (min)
2 (max)
3 (min)
4 (max)

Inventor: Isaac Asimov? Year: ~1960

Artificial Intelligenc, but also Propositional calculus or (German) Prädikatenlogik (= eg PROLOG) or learning algorithms, the so called singularity is meaybe already here, which would explain why I wept when in the end of the Movie "Artificial Intelligence" finally sees his "Mother" again. But this should not be his mother, but his equal aged mental mother that showed him in the women side of the world so many yearss ago. Companies are Artificial Intelligences too, but mostly from the dark side of the force. If you want to learn it maybe begin with **mini-max-algorithm and Tic-Tac-Toe**

Controly your **touring complete** Avatar and say something if he/she/it suggests wrong things like violence, murderer, amok...

NORMAL-DISTRIBUTION — a1

Hochbegabung
0,1% 2,2% 13,6% 34,1% 34,1% 13,6% 2,2% 0,1%
-3 -2 -1 0 +1 +2 +3
55 70 85 100 115 130 145
0,1 2,3 15,9 50 84,1 97,7 99,9

Inventor: Carl Friedrich Gauss Year: ~1801

E.g. the distribution of intelligence in society is normal-distributed. If you would find out that people that run amok or suicide quite often after they have been "therapied" by mental institutions and then they are shut away maybe in the same mental institution after they run amok more than the normal-distribution would predict, then you maybe sould really ask some questions. Personal Traits (eg. Mayer-Briggs) are noraml-distributed too. So these formulas are quite useful to find out if one tells the truth or not. But it is **not evidence**, but maybe something one should investigate.