Introduction to Machine Learning (67577) Exercise III – Classification Hadar Sharvit – 208287599

Theoretical Questions

Bayes Optimal and LDA

1. Show that $h_D = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} (\Pr(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \Pr(y))$:

We know from bayes theorem that P(x|y)P(y) = P(y|x), therefore it is sufficient to show that $h_D = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} \left(P(y|x) \right) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y} \left(\{ P(y=1|x), P(y=-1|x) \} \right).$

Suppose in the first case that $\Pr(y=1|x) \geq 1/2$. If so, $\Pr(y=-1|x) < 1/2$ thus the corresponding argmax would be y=+1 (as $\Pr(y=1|x) > \Pr(y=-1|x)$). For the other case, $\Pr(y=1|x) < 1/2$, which in turn means $\Pr(y=-1|x) \geq 1/2$, so argmax would be y=-1. We can write those in compart form as followed

$$\underset{y \in \{\pm 1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}}(\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})\operatorname{Pr}(y)) = \underset{y \in \{\pm 1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}}(\operatorname{P}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} +1, & \operatorname{Pr}(y = 1|x) \geq 1/2 \\ -1, & otherwise \end{aligned} \right\} \\ = h_D(x)$$

2. Show that $h_D(x) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} \delta_y(x)$ where $\delta_y(x) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y - \frac{1}{2} \mu_y^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y + \ln \Pr(y)$:

From Q.1 we have $h_D(x) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{\pm 1\}}(\Pr(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})\Pr(y))$, and we know that for calculating

 argmax , taking $\log h_D$ will provide the same result. If so, let us concentrate on the following:

$$\ln \Pr(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \Pr(\mathbf{y}) = \ln \Pr(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) + \ln \Pr(\mathbf{y})$$

Substituting f(x|y) we have

$$\begin{split} \ln \Pr(x|y) &= \ln \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det \Sigma}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_y)^T \varSigma^{-1} (x - \mu_y) \right) \right) \\ &= -\ln \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det \Sigma}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_y)^T \varSigma^{-1} (x - \mu_y) \end{split}$$

Since the first \ln is not a function of y, it will not affect the result of argmax . Let us simplify the second component

$$\begin{split} (x - \mu_y)^T \varSigma^{-1} (x - \mu_y) &= (x - \mu_y)^T (\varSigma^{-1} x - \varSigma^{-1} \mu_y) = (x^T - \mu_y^T) (\varSigma^{-1} x - \varSigma^{-1} \mu_y) \\ &= x^T \Sigma^{-1} x - x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y - \mu_y^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \mu_y^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y \end{split}$$

Since Σ is the same for both ± 1 , $x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y = \mu_y^T \Sigma^{-1} x$:

$$=x^{T}\Sigma^{-1}x-2x^{T}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{y}+\mu_{y}^{T}\Sigma^{-1}\mu_{y}$$

Yet again, $x^T \Sigma^{-1} x$ is not a function of y, therefore is not relevant for the calculation of argmax. In conclusion we have

$$\underset{\mathbf{y} \in \{\pm 1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} (\ln P(x|y)) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y - \frac{1}{2} \mu_y^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y$$

And consequently

$$h_D(x) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} (\Pr(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \Pr(y)) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} \left(x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y - \frac{1}{2} \mu_y^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_y + \ln \Pr(y) \right) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \{\pm 1\}} \delta_y(x)$$

3. Write your formula for estimating $\mu_{\pm 1}$, Σ and $\Pr(y)$ based on $S = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m$: We can calculate the mean by summing the ratio of occurrences:

$$\mu_{\pm 1} = \text{mean}(x[y = \pm 1]) = \frac{\sum x_i[y = \pm 1]}{\sum 1[y = \pm 1]}$$

The probability would be the sum of occurrences divided by the total size

$$\Pr(y) = \frac{1}{m} \sum 1[y_i = y]$$

Similar to what we have seen in lecture 1, we can write

$$\Sigma_{\pm} = \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{y=+1} (x[y=\pm 1] - \widehat{\mu_{\pm}}) (x[y=\pm 1] - \widehat{\mu_{\pm}})^T$$

And $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ + \Sigma_-$

Type I errors

- 4. Let us write the possible cases, given that y = 1 indicates spam and y = -1 is non-spam:
 - 1. If the current mail is non-spam (y = -1):
 - a. $\hat{y} = -1$ (true negative): I have correctly identified the mail as non spam
 - b. $\hat{y} = 1$ (false positive): I have declared the mail is spam (which is wrong)
 - 2. If the current mail is spam (y = 1):
 - a. $\hat{y} = 1$ (true positive): I have correctly identified the mail as spam
 - b. $\hat{y} = -1$ (false negative): I have declared the mail is non-spam (which is wrong)

1.b is denoted a Type-I error – we would wish to avoid declaring regular mail as spam

2.b is not as bad, though still an error.

SVM – Formulation

5. Write the Hard-SVM problem as a QP problem:

We can set $Q=2\mathbb{I}_n, a=\vec{0}_n$, so the QP takes the form of

$$\underset{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ v^T v$$

Since $||w|| \ge 0$, $\operatorname{argmax} ||w||^2 = \operatorname{argmax} ||w||$, so for v := w we have the QP argmin set. (as $v^T v = ||w||$)

Simplifying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle + y_i b &\geq 1 \to \langle w, x_i \rangle \geq \frac{1}{y_i} - b \overset{(*)}{=} y_i - b \\ -\langle w, x_i \rangle &\leq b - y_i \end{aligned}$$

Or in matrix form

$$\begin{split} &= -(w_1 \quad \dots \quad w_n) \begin{pmatrix} | \\ x_i \\ | \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} b - y_1 \\ \vdots \\ b - y_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -(- \quad x_i \quad -) \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} b - y_1 \\ \vdots \\ b - y_n \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Therefore, in matrix form for every *i*:

$$-\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -&x_1&-\\ \vdots&\vdots&\vdots\\ -&x_m&-\end{pmatrix}}_{A}\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} w_1\\ \vdots\\ w_n\end{pmatrix}}_{v} \leq \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} b-y_1\\ \vdots\\ b-y_n\end{pmatrix}}_{d}$$

This finishes the transition to QP

$$(*)\ y_i \in \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow 1/y_i = y_i$$

6.

Practical Questions

Implemention and simulation-comparison of different classifiers

- 7. Code
- 8. Code
- 9. Here are the plots decision boundaries of models with m samples:

Decision Boundaries Of Models with 5 samples Perceptron SVM -0.2 -0.2 -0.8 Decision Boundaries Of Models with 10 samples Perceptron SVM -0.5

