

# RL Exercise 1

Hadar Sharvit

April 19, 2022

## 1 Efficient Routing MDP

$\gamma = 0.9$ ,  $r_g = +5$ ,  $r_r = -5$ , *start square* = 2, *end square* = 33, *Horizon* =  $\infty$

### 1.1 Question A

- $r_s = -5$ : the path of optimal policy is  $2 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 21 \rightarrow 26$  or  $28 \rightarrow 33$ , as every grey square loses us point, so we wish to minimize the number of steps.
- $r_s = 0$ : path would be the same, as the same logic applies.
- $r_s = 0$ : as long as the path is finished in 33, any path is optimal, but the only options are the ones in the previous bullet point.
- $r_s = 2$ : now every step gives us reward, so we wish to maximize the number of steps taken. in our specific case we still follow the same path, because otherwise we enter a red block

generally speaking the optimal policy does depend on  $\gamma$ . for example if  $\gamma = 0$  we only care about the first reward, and in that case a maximal initial reward would be the one that governs the optimal policy.

### 1.2 Question B

I think that all of them yields the same path, i.e the shortest. taking  $r_s = 0$ , we now calculate the optimal value function using Bellman backup:

$$V_0^\pi(s) = (0, 0, \dots, 0), \text{ and } \forall s \in S : V_k^\pi(s) = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} P(s'|s, \pi(s)) V_{k-1}^\pi(s')$$

This is for  $\pi$  that is optimal. we will represent  $V$  as a matrix, corresponding to the 2D Grid World. Starting with  $V_1$

$$\forall s \in S : V_1(s) = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} 0 \rightarrow V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & +5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & 0 & -5 & -5 & 0 \\ -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

now for  $V_2$

$$\forall s \in S : V_2(s) = R(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} P(s'|s, \pi(s)) V_1^\pi(s')$$

$$V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 0 & +5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & 0 & -5 & -5 & 0 \\ -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 2 Value Iteration Theorem

### 2.1 Question A

we will prove that  $(B_\pi V)(s) = \mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} p(s'|s, a)V(s')]$  is a contraction mapping: denoting  $R_a$  and  $P_a$  as a reward vector and probability matrix for the action  $a$ , we can write

$$(B_\pi V)(s) = \mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[R_a + \gamma P_a V] \quad (1)$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\pi V_1 - B_\pi V_2\|_\infty &= \|\mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[R_a + \gamma P_a V_1] - \mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[R_a + \gamma P_a V_2]\|_\infty \\ &= \|\mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[R_a + \gamma P_a V_1 - R_a - \gamma P_a V_2]\|_\infty \\ &= \gamma \|\mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[P_a V_1 - P_a V_2]\|_\infty \\ &= \gamma \|\mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[P_a (V_1 - V_2)]\|_\infty \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

let  $A$  be the action  $a$  for which the expectation is maximal

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \|\mathbf{E}_{a \sim \pi}[P_a (V_1 - V_2)]\|_\infty &\leq \gamma \|P_A (V_1 - V_2)\|_\infty \\ &\leq \gamma \|P_A\|_\infty \|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty \\ &= \gamma \|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

which means  $B_\pi V$  is a contraction mapping

### 2.2 Question B

As we've seen in class,  $V_\pi$  is a fixed point of  $B_\pi$ , i.e  $(B_\pi V_\pi)(s) = V_\pi(s)$ . Furthermore, it is a unique fixed point from the contraction mapping theorem (as  $B_\pi$  is a contraction mapping)

### 2.3 Question C

Strictly discussing definitions, we know that  $V^\pi = R^\pi(s) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} P^\pi(s'|s)V^\pi(s')$  where  $R^\pi$  and  $P^\pi$  are the expected reward and probability when  $a \sim \pi$ . this means that  $V^\pi = V$  only in terms of expectation.

### 2.4 Questions D→H combined

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^\pi - V^*\| &= \|V^\pi - V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} - V^*\| \\ &\leq \|V^\pi - V_{n+1}\| + \|V_{n+1} - V^*\| \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

focusing on the first term

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^\pi - V_{n+1}\| &= \|B_\pi V^\pi - V_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|B_\pi V^\pi - B V_{n+1} + B V_{n+1} - V_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq \|B_\pi V^\pi - B V_{n+1}\| + \|B V_{n+1} - V_{n+1}\| \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Where the first transition is because  $V^\pi$  is a fixed point of  $B_\pi$ . Now, since  $\pi$  is maximal over the actions using  $V_{n+1}$ , we know that  $B_\pi V_{n+1} = B V_{n+1}$ . we can also use the fact that  $V_{n+1} = B V_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^\pi - V_{n+1}\| &\leq \|B_\pi V^\pi - B_\pi V_{n+1}\| + \|B V_{n+1} - B V_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma \|V^\pi - V_{n+1}\| + \gamma \|V_{n+1} - V_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the last inequality is because  $B_\pi$  and  $B$  are contraction mappings. this gives

$$\|V^\pi - V_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \|V_{n+1} - V_n\| \quad (7)$$

we similarly repeat the process for the second term

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^* - V_{n+1}\| &\leq \|V^* - V_{n+2}\| + \|V_{n+2} - V_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|B V^* - B V_{n+1}\| + \|B V_{n+1} - B V_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma \|V^* - V_{n+1}\| + \gamma \|V_{n+1} - V_n\| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which yields

$$\|V^* - V_{n+1}\| \leq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|V_{n+1} - V_n\| \quad (9)$$

we now use the fact that  $\|V_{n+1} - V_n\| \leq \epsilon(1-\gamma)/2\gamma$  in eq. (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^\pi - V^*\| &\leq \frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|V_{n+1} - V_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma} \cdot \frac{1-\gamma}{2\gamma} \epsilon \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

i.e,  $\pi$  is an  $\epsilon$ -optimal policy, as needed

## 3 Frozen Lake MDP

### 3.1 Question A and B

code - see under `vi_and_pi.py`

### 3.2 Question C

As expected, when the dynamics of the world are stochastic, the number of iterations required increases, and the policy changes much more frequently until it finally converges