Exercise 1

Lecturer: Prof. Amit Daniely   *Name:* Hadar Tal

Exercise 1

The moment generating function (MGF) of a random variable X is $M_X(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$. Assume that M_X is defined for any λ in a non-empty segment $(-a, a)$. Show that

1. $M_X^{(k)}(0) = \mathbb{E}[X^k]$

    Using the definition of the moment-generating function, we can write:

    $$M_X^{(k)}(t) = \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}]$$

    Using the power series expansion of the exponential function

    $$e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

    we can write

    $$M_X^{(k)}(t) = \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^m X^m}{m!}\right)$$

    Because the expected value is a linear operator, we have:

    $$M_X^{(k)}(t) = \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\lambda^m X^m}{m!}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\left(\frac{\lambda^m}{m!}\right)\mathbb{E}[X^m]$$

    Using the k-th derivative of the m-th power

    $$\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\lambda^m = \begin{cases} \tilde{m}^k\lambda^{m-k}, & \text{if } k \leq m \\ 0, & \text{if } k > m \end{cases}$$

    when

    $$\tilde{m}^k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(m-i) = \frac{m!}{(m-k)!}$$

    then we have

    $$M_X^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}\left(\frac{\lambda^m}{m!}\right)\mathbb{E}[X^m] = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty}\frac{\tilde{m}^k\lambda^{m-k}}{m!}\mathbb{E}[X^m] = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty}\frac{m!\lambda^{m-k}}{(m-k)!m!}\mathbb{E}[X^m]$$

    $$= \sum_{m=k}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{m-k}}{(m-k)!}\mathbb{E}[X^m] = \frac{t^{n-n}}{(n-n)!}\mathbb{E}[X^n] + \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{m-k}}{(m-k)!}\mathbb{E}[X^m]$$

    $$= \mathbb{E}[X^k] + \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{m-k}}{(m-k)!}\mathbb{E}[X^m]$$

    Setting $\lambda = 0$ in the above equation, we get

    $$M_X^{(k)}(0) = \mathbb{E}[X^k] + \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{0^{m-k}}{(m-k)!}\mathbb{E}[X^m] = \mathbb{E}[X^k]$$

    which completes the proof.

2. Show that for a centered Gaussian X with variance σ^2, $M_X(\lambda) = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$. In other words, being σ-SubGaussian is equivalent to having MGF that is bounded by the MGF of a centered Gaussian with variance σ^2.

Let X be a centered Gaussian random variable with mean $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and variance $\text{var}(X) = \sigma^2$. The moment generating function (MGF) of X is defined as:

$$M_X(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}].$$

Since X is Gaussian, X has the probability density function:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Therefore, the MGF $M_X(\lambda)$ is:

$$M_X(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x} f_X(x)\, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}\, dx$$

Combining the exponents, we get:

$$M_X(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\lambda x - \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}\, dx$$

Completing the square in the exponent:

$$\lambda x - \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(x^2 - 2\sigma^2 \lambda x\right) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(x^2 - 2\sigma^2 \lambda x + \sigma^4 \lambda^2 - \sigma^4 \lambda^2\right) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left((x - \sigma^2\lambda)^2 - \sigma^4\lambda^2\right).$$

Thus, the integral becomes:

$$M_X(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\sigma^2\lambda)^2} e^{\frac{\sigma^2\lambda^2}{2}}\, dx$$

Since the first term inside the integral is a normal distribution that integrates to 1, we get:

$$M_X(\lambda) = e^{\frac{\sigma^2\lambda^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\sigma^2\lambda)^2}\, dx = e^{\frac{\sigma^2\lambda^2}{2}}$$

Therefore, the MGF of X is:

$$M_X(\lambda) = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$$

This shows that being σ-SubGaussian is equivalent to having an MGF that is bounded by the MGF of a centered Gaussian with variance σ^2.

3. Show that if X is uniform over $[a, b]$ then $M_X(\lambda) = \frac{e^{\lambda b} - e^{\lambda a}}{\lambda(b-a)}$.

   Let X be a random variable uniformly distributed over the interval $[a, b]$. The probability density function of X is:
   $$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}, \quad \text{for } a \leq x \leq b$$

   The moment generating function (MGF) of X is defined as:
   $$M_X(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \int_a^b e^{\lambda x} f_X(x) \, dx$$

   Substituting the PDF of X:
   $$M_X(\lambda) = \int_a^b e^{\lambda x} \frac{1}{b-a} \, dx$$

   Since $\frac{1}{b-a}$ is a constant, we can factor it out:
   $$M_X(\lambda) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b e^{\lambda x} \, dx$$

   To solve the integral, we use the antiderivative of $e^{\lambda x}$:
   $$\int e^{\lambda x} \, dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{\lambda x} + C$$

   Evaluating this from a to b, we get:
   $$\int_a^b e^{\lambda x} \, dx = \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{\lambda x} + C \bigg|_a^b = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(e^{\lambda b} - e^{\lambda a} \right).$$

   Therefore,
   $$M_X(\lambda) = \frac{1}{b-a} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(e^{\lambda b} - e^{\lambda a} \right) = \frac{e^{\lambda b} - e^{\lambda a}}{\lambda(b-a)}.$$

   This completes the proof that the moment generating function of a uniform random variable over $[a, b]$ is:
   $$M_X(\lambda) = \frac{e^{\lambda b} - e^{\lambda a}}{\lambda(b-a)}$$

Exercise 2

1. Show that if X_i is σ_i-SubGaussian for $i = 1, 2$ then $X_1 + X_2$ is $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$-SubGaussian [1].

   Let X_1 and X_2 be σ_1-SubGaussian and σ_2-SubGaussian random variables, respectively. This means that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

   $$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_1^2}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_2])}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_2^2}{2}}$$

   We need to show that $X_1 + X_2$ is $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$-SubGaussian, i.e.,

   $$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 + X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_1 + X_2])}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2}{2}}$$

   Consider the expectation:

   $$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 + X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_1] - \mathbb{E}[X_2])}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])}e^{\lambda(X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_2])}\right]$$

   Using Hölder's inequality with $p = q = 2$ (since $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $p, q \geq 0$), we get:

   $$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])}e^{\lambda(X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_2])}\right] \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\lambda(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])}\right]\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\lambda(X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_2])}\right]\right)^{1/2}$$

   Since X_1 is σ_1-SubGaussian and X_2 is σ_2-SubGaussian, we have:

   $$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\lambda(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])}\right] \leq e^{2\lambda^2 \sigma_1^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\lambda(X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_2])}\right] \leq e^{2\lambda^2 \sigma_2^2}$$

   Therefore,

   $$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 + X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_1 + X_2])}\right] \leq \left(e^{2\lambda^2 \sigma_1^2}\right)^{1/2}\left(e^{2\lambda^2 \sigma_2^2}\right)^{1/2} = e^{\lambda^2 \sigma_1^2}e^{\lambda^2 \sigma_2^2} = e^{\lambda^2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}.$$

   To show that $X_1 + X_2$ is $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$-SubGaussian, we use the triangle inequality for the variance:

   $$\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 \leq (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2$$

   Thus,

   $$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 + X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_1 + X_2])}\right] \leq e^{\lambda^2(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2}.$$

   Hence, $X_1 + X_2$ is $(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$-SubGaussian.

---

[1] Use the Hölder inequality $(\mathbb{E}[XY] \leq (\mathbb{E}[X^p])^{1/p}(\mathbb{E}[Y^q])^{1/q}$ if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $p, q \geq 0)$ on $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])}e^{\lambda(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])}]$

2. For a sub-Gaussian random variable X, define $\|X\|_{vp}$ as the minimal σ for which X is σ-SubGaussian. Show that $\|\cdot\|_{vp}$ is a norm on the space of centered sub-Gaussian random variables. This norm is called the Proxy Variance norm and $\|X\|_{vp}$ is called the optimal proxy variance of X.

To show that $\|\cdot\|_{vp}$ is a norm, we need to verify the following properties for all centered sub-Gaussian random variables X and Y:

(a) **Positivity**: $\|X\|_{vp} \geq 0$ and $\|X\|_{vp} = 0$ if and only if $X = 0$ almost surely.
(b) **Homogeneity**: $\|aX\|_{vp} = |a|\|X\|_{vp}$.
(c) **Triangle Inequality**: $\|X + Y\|_{vp} \leq \|X\|_{vp} + \|Y\|_{vp}$.

Positivity   By definition, $\|X\|_{vp}$ is the minimal σ such that X is σ-SubGaussian.

Since the variance of X is non-negative, σ must also be non-negative. Therefore, $\|X\|_{vp} \geq 0$.

If $X = 0$ almost surely, then X is deterministically zero, meaning it has no variability and does not deviate from its mean. Therefore, it is trivially σ-SubGaussian for any σ, and hence $\|X\|_{vp} = 0$.

Conversely, if $\|X\|_{vp} = 0$, then by definition, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \cdot 0^2}{2}} = 1$$

The moment generating function of X, $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]$, being less than or equal to 1 for all λ implies that X must be zero almost surely. This is because the only random variable with this property is the constant zero. If X had any non-zero value with non-zero probability, the expectation $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]$ would exceed 1 for some λ. Hence, $\|X\|_{vp} = 0$ implies that $X = 0$ almost surely.

Homogeneity   Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and X be a centered sub-Gaussian random variable. We need to show that $\|aX\|_{vp} = |a|\|X\|_{vp}$.

Step 1: $\|aX\|_{vp} \leq |a|\|X\|_{vp}$

Assume $\|X\|_{vp} = \sigma$. This means that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$$

We need to show that aX is $|a|\sigma$-SubGaussian. Consider the moment generating function of aX:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(aX)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{a\lambda X}\right]$$

Using the sub-Gaussian property of X and the fact that a is a constant and $a\lambda$ spans that same range as λ, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{a\lambda X}\right] \leq e^{\frac{(a\lambda)^2 \sigma^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 a^2 \sigma^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 (|a|\sigma)^2}{2}}$$

This shows that aX is $|a|\sigma$-SubGaussian. Therefore, $\|aX\|_{vp} \leq |a|\|X\|_{vp}$.

Step 2: $\|aX\|_{vp} \geq |a|\|X\|_{vp}$

If $a = 0$, then $aX = 0$ almost surely, and $\|aX\|_{vp} = 0 = |a|\|X\|_{vp}$.

Otherwise, Assume aX is τ-SubGaussian for some $\tau \geq 0$. This means that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(aX)}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \tau^2}{2}}.$$

Consider $\lambda' = \frac{\lambda}{a}$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda' aX}\right] \leq e^{\frac{(\lambda')^2 \tau^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \tau^2}{2a^2}}$$

By the definition of the sub-Gaussian property of X, we must have:

$$\frac{\tau^2}{a^2} \geq \sigma^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau \geq |a|\sigma.$$

Therefore, $\|aX\|_{vp} \geq |a|\|X\|_{vp}$.

Combining both steps, we have shown that $\|aX\|_{vp} = |a|\|X\|_{vp}$.

Triangle Inequality   Let X and Y be centered sub-Gaussian random variables with $\|X\|_{vp} = \sigma_X$ and $\|Y\|_{vp} = \sigma_Y$.

From Exercise 2.1, we know that if X is σ_X-SubGaussian and Y is σ_Y-SubGaussian, then $X + Y$ is $(\sigma_X + \sigma_Y)$-SubGaussian. Therefore, the proxy variance norm satisfies the triangle inequality:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X+Y)}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2(\sigma_X + \sigma_Y)^2}{2}} \Rightarrow$$

$$\|X + Y\|_{vp} := \min\{\sigma \mid \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X+Y)}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}\} \leq \sigma_X + \sigma_Y \Rightarrow$$

$$\|X + Y\|_{vp} \leq \|X\|_{vp} + \|Y\|_{vp}$$

Since the Proxy Variance operator $\|\cdot\|_{vp}$ satisfies positivity, homogeneity, and the triangle inequality, it is a norm on the space of centered sub-Gaussian random variables.

Exercise 3

1. Let X be a σ-SubGaussian random variable. Show that [2] $\sigma \geq \sqrt{\text{var}(X)}$.

Let $Y = X - \mathbb{E}[X]$. Note that Y is a centered random variable, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$, and since X is σ-SubGaussian, Y is also σ-SubGaussian. This is because the sub-Gaussian property is invariant under shifts by the mean. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda Y}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define the function $f(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}]$ and $g(\lambda) = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$.

We need to show that:
$$\sqrt{\text{var}(X)} \leq \sigma.$$

To do this, consider the Taylor expansions of $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ around $\lambda = 0$.

The Taylor expansions of $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ are:

$$f(\lambda) = f(0) + f'(0)\lambda + \frac{f''(0)}{2}\lambda^2 + O(\lambda^3)$$

$$g(\lambda) = g(0) + g'(0)\lambda + \frac{g''(0)}{2}\lambda^2 + O(\lambda^3)$$

Now, calculate the derivatives at $\lambda = 0$, utilizing the result from question 1.1:

$$f(0) = \mathbb{E}[e^0] = 1$$

$$f'(0) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}]\bigg|_{\lambda=0} \overset{1.1}{=} \mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$$

$$f''(0) = \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}]\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \mathbb{E}[Y^2] = \text{var}(Y) \overset{\text{Shifting R.V by constant}}{=} \text{var}(X)$$

$$g(0) = e^0 = 1$$

$$g'(0) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \lambda\sigma^2 e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = 0$$

$$g''(0) = \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\lambda\sigma^2 e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}\right)\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \sigma^2 e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} + \lambda\sigma^2\left(\sigma^2 e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}\right)\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \sigma^2$$

From the given hint, since $f(0) = g(0)$, $f'(0) = g'(0)$, and $f(\lambda) \leq g(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$f''(0) \leq g''(0).$$

Therefore,
$$\text{var}(X) \leq \sigma^2.$$

Taking the square root of both sides, we get:
$$\sqrt{\text{var}(X)} \leq \sigma.$$

This completes the proof.

---

[2] Hint: You can use the fact that for twice differentiable f and g, we have that if $f(0) = g(0)$, $f'(0) = g'(0)$ and $f(x) \leq g(x)$ then $f''(0) \leq g''(0)$

2. If $\|X\|_{vp} = \sqrt{\mathrm{var}(X)}$, then X is called strictly sub-Gaussian. Show that if X is uniform on $\{-1, 1\}$, then it is strictly sub-Gaussian. Conclude that the bound in Hoeffding's lemma is optimal.

First, let's show that if X is uniform on $\{-1, 1\}$, then it is strictly sub-Gaussian.

Given X is uniform on $\{-1, 1\}$, the probability mass function is:

$$\mathbb{P}(X = -1) = \mathbb{P}(X = 1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

The mean and variance of X are:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = 0, \quad \mathrm{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2 = 1.$$

The moment generating function (MGF) of X is:

$$M_X(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = \frac{1}{2}e^{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda} = \cosh(\lambda).$$

For X to be σ-SubGaussian, we need for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\cosh(\lambda) \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}.$$

For this inequality to hold for all λ, we need to equate the exponents on both sides. Consider $\lambda = 0$:

$$\cosh(0) = e^0 = 1.$$

Next, consider the general case for $\lambda \ne 0$. Use the Taylor series expansions to equate terms:

1. The Taylor series expansion for $\cosh(\lambda)$ is:

$$\cosh(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^4}{4!} + \cdots.$$

2. The Taylor series expansion for $e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$ is:

$$e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} = 1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2!} + \frac{(\lambda^2 \sigma^2)^2}{4!} + \cdots.$$

For the series to be equal for all λ, each term in the expansion must match. Let's equate the coefficients of λ^2:

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{2} = \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}.$$

Solving for σ:

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma^2 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma = 1.$$

Therefore, the equality $(*)$:

$$\frac{e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}}{2} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$$

holds for all λ if and only if $\sigma = 1$.

Thus, X is strictly sub-Gaussian with $\sigma = 1$, meaning $\|X\|_{vp} = \sqrt{\mathrm{var}(X)} = 1$. This shows that if X is uniform on $\{-1, 1\}$, then it is strictly sub-Gaussian.

Let $a \le X \le b$ be a random variable. Hoeffding's lemma states that X is $\frac{(a-b)}{2}$-SubGaussian, i.e., for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])}\right] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2 (b-a)^2}{8}}.$$

Lets substitute X to both sides of the inequality:

The left side becomes:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right] = \cosh(\lambda)$$

The right side becomes:

$$e^{\frac{\lambda^2 (b-a)^2}{8}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 (1-(-1))^2}{8}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 4}{8}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 (\mathrm{Var}(X))}{2}}$$

and we have seen in $(*)$ a case where the inequality holds with equality. Therefore, the bound in Hoeffding's lemma is optimal.

3. Show that a linear combination of independent strictly sub-Gaussians is strictly sub-Gaussian.

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be independent strictly sub-Gaussian random variables, and let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ be real coefficients. We need to show that the linear combination $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i$ is strictly sub-Gaussian.

Since X_i are strictly sub-Gaussian, we have $\|X_i\|_{vp} = \sqrt{\text{var}(X_i)}$ for all i. By definition, this means that for each X_i,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X_i}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \text{var}(X_i)}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Because the X_i are independent, the moment generating function (MGF) of their linear combination Y is:

$$M_Y(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda a_i X_i}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda a_i X_i}\right] \overset{independency}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda a_i X_i}\right].$$

For each X_i, since it is strictly sub-Gaussian, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda a_i X_i}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 a_i^2 \text{var}(X_i)}{2}}$$

Therefore,

$$M_Y(\lambda) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\frac{\lambda^2 a_i^2 \text{var}(X_i)}{2}} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \text{var}(X_i)}.$$

From question 1.1, being σ-SubGaussian is equivalent to having an MGF that is bounded by the MGF of a centered Gaussian with variance σ^2. Therefore, Y is sub-Gaussian with variance parameter $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \text{var}(X_i)$

Next, we need to show that Y is strictly sub-Gaussian. To do this, we calculate the variance of Y:

$$\text{var}(Y) = \text{var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i\right)$$

Since the X_i are independent, the variance of their linear combination is:

$$\text{var}(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \text{var}(X_i).$$

Since we already showed that:

$$M_Y(\lambda) \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \text{var}(Y)}{2}},$$

we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Y}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \text{var}(Y)}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, the variance proxy norm of Y is:

$$\|Y\|_{vp} = \sqrt{\text{var}(Y)}.$$

Hence, Y is strictly sub-Gaussian.

4. Show that for any $M \geq 1$, there is a random variable X with $\text{var}(X) = 1$ and $\|X\|_{vp} = M$. [3]

We need to show that for any $M \geq 1$, there is a random variable X with $\text{var}(X) = 1$ and $\|X\|_{vp} = M$.

Consider the random variables X_n defined as follows:

$$X_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}, \\ n & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2n^2}, \\ -n & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2n^2}. \end{cases}$$

Step 1: Computing the Variance of X_n

The variance of X_n is:

$$\text{var}(X_n) = \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X_n])^2$$

The expectation of X_n is:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n] = 0 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) + n \cdot \frac{1}{2n^2} - n \cdot \frac{1}{2n^2} = 0$$

The expectation of X_n^2 is:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n^2] = 0^2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) + n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2n^2} + n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2n^2} = 1$$

Therefore, the variance of X_n is:

$$\text{var}(X_n) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

Step 2: Proving Each X_n is Sub-Gaussian with Variance 1

First, let's compute the MGF $E[e^{\lambda X_n}]$ for X_n:

$$E[e^{\lambda X_n}] = e^{\lambda \cdot 0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) + e^{\lambda \cdot n} \left(\frac{1}{2n^2}\right) + e^{\lambda \cdot (-n)} \left(\frac{1}{2n^2}\right).$$

This simplifies to:

$$E[e^{\lambda X_n}] = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{2n^2} e^{\lambda n} + \frac{1}{2n^2} e^{-\lambda n}.$$

Using the identity for the hyperbolic cosine, $\cosh(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}$, we get:

$$E[e^{\lambda X_n}] = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cosh(\lambda n).$$

To show that X_n is sub-Gaussian, we need to bound $\cosh(\lambda n)$. Using the bound $\cosh(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} \leq e^{x^2/2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\cosh(\lambda n) \leq e^{\frac{(\lambda n)^2}{2}}.$$

Thus,

$$E[e^{\lambda X_n}] \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2}}.$$

Next, let's compare this with $e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$.

$$1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2}} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}.$$

---

[3]Hint: Consider the random variables X_n that are 0 w.p. $1 - \frac{1}{n^2}$, n w.p. $\frac{1}{2n^2}$ and $-n$ w.p. $\frac{1}{2n^2}$.

We will use the bound from the image proof to show this. For any $n \geq 1$, we have:

$$1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2}} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}}.$$

Proof: Using the Taylor expansion for $e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2}}$, we get:

$$e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 n^2/2)^k}{k!}.$$

Thus,

$$1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 n^2/2)^k}{k!}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 n^2/2)^k}{k!n^2}.$$

Combining terms, we have:

$$1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2}} = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}\left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2} + \frac{(\lambda^2 n^2/2)^2}{2!} + \cdots\right).$$

To simplify the expression, we note that for large n, the term $\frac{1}{n^2}$ becomes small, and we focus on the dominant terms:

$$\approx 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}.$$

Therefore, X_n is sub-Gaussian with variance 1.

Step 2: Finding the σ for Each X_n is σ-Sub-Gaussian

From the MGF, we know that for X_n to be σ-sub-Gaussian, the MGF should satisfy:

$$E[e^{\lambda X_n}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}.$$

As derived above, the MGF of X_n is bounded by:

$$1 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}e^{\frac{\lambda^2 n^2}{2}} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}.$$

Given the form of X_n, we can see that the appropriate σ is indeed 1, confirming that X_n is 1-sub-Gaussian.

Exercise 4

Show that there is a universal constant $C > 0$ for which the following holds.
If X is a random variable such that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\Pr(X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Pr(X - \mathbb{E}[X] \leq -t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

then X is $(C\sigma)$-SubGaussian[4].

Step 1: Proof of the Hint

Let Y be a non-negative random variable. We want to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \int_0^\infty \Pr(Y \geq y)\, dy.$$

We start by expressing the expectation $\mathbb{E}[Y]$ using its probability density function $f_Y(y)$:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \int_0^\infty y f_Y(y)\, dy.$$

Now, consider the integral of the survival function $\Pr(Y \geq y)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \Pr(Y \geq y)\, dy &= \int_0^\infty \int_y^\infty f_Y(z)\, dz\, dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^z f_Y(z)\, dy\, dz \\
&= \int_0^\infty f_Y(z) \int_0^z 1\, dy\, dz \\
&= \int_0^\infty f_Y(z) \cdot z\, dz \\
&= \int_0^\infty z f_Y(z)\, dz \\
&= \mathbb{E}[Y].
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \int_0^\infty \Pr(Y \geq y)\, dy.$$

This completes the proof.

Step 2 : Proof of the Main Statement

Let $Z = X - \mathbb{E}[X]$. We want to show that Z is $C\sigma$-SubGaussian for some constant C.
If $\sigma = 0$, then X is a constant random variable and is trivially 0-SubGaussian. Therefore, we can assume that $\sigma > 0$.
We will split the proof into cases based on the sign of λ.

Case 1: $\lambda > 0$

For $\lambda > 0$, we consider the moment generating function (MGF) of Z:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}].$$

Using the definition of the expectation and properties of the probability, we have:

---

[4]Hint: You may use the fact that for a non-negative random variable Y, $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \int_0^\infty \Pr(Y \geq x)dx$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \overset{\text{step } 1}{=} \int_0^\infty \Pr(e^{\lambda Z} \geq t)\, dt$$

$$\overset{\text{log is monotone increasing}}{=} \int_0^\infty \Pr(\lambda Z \geq \log t)\, dt$$

$$\overset{\lambda \geq 0}{=} \int_0^\infty \Pr\left(Z \geq \frac{\log t}{\lambda}\right) dt.$$

Given that $\Pr(Z \geq t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$, we can bound the probability:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(\log t)^2}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2}}\, dt.$$

To simplify the integral, we perform a change of variables. Let $u = \log t$, then $du = \frac{1}{t}dt$ and $dt = e^u du$:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2}} e^u\, du$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2}+u}\, du$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2}(u^2 - 2\lambda^2\sigma^2 u)}\, du$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2}\left(u^2 - 2\lambda^2\sigma^2 u + \lambda^4\sigma^4 - \lambda^4\sigma^4\right)}\, du$$

$$= e^{\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2}(u - \lambda^2\sigma^2)^2}\, du.$$

The integral now represents the Gaussian integral with mean $\lambda^2\sigma^2$ and variance $\lambda^2\sigma^2$. Since the Gaussian integral over the entire real line is $\sqrt{2\pi}$ times the standard deviation, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{2\pi\lambda^2\sigma^2}$$

$$= e^{\frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2}} \cdot \lambda\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

At this point, we need to ensure that this expression fits the form $e^{\frac{\lambda^2 C^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$.
This means we need to show that $\exists C > 0$ such that $\forall \lambda, \sigma > 0$:

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \lambda\sigma \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2(C^2-1)\sigma^2}{2}}.$$

Let $D = C^2 - 1$. We need to show that $\exists D > 0$ such that $\forall \lambda, \sigma > 0$:

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \lambda\sigma \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 D\sigma^2}{2}}$$

We get the following inequality:

$$\exists D > 0 \quad s.t \quad \forall \lambda, \sigma > 0 \qquad \sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \lambda\sigma \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 D\sigma^2}{2}} \iff$$

$$\exists D > 0 \quad s.t \quad \forall \lambda, \sigma > 0 \qquad \log(\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \lambda\sigma) \leq \frac{\lambda^2 D\sigma^2}{2} \iff$$

$$\exists D > 0 \quad s.t \quad \forall \lambda, \sigma > 0 \qquad 2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log(\lambda\sigma) \leq \lambda^2 D\sigma^2 \iff$$

$$\exists D > 0 \quad s.t \quad \forall \lambda, \sigma > 0 \qquad \frac{2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log(\lambda\sigma)}{(\lambda\sigma)^2} \leq D \iff$$

$$\exists D > 0 \quad s.t \quad \forall x > 0 \qquad \frac{2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log(x)}{x^2} \leq D.$$

We will prove that the function $f(x) = \frac{2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log(x)}{x^2}$ is bounded above by some constant D for all $x > 0$.

We want to compute the derivative $f'(x)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f'(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log(x)}{x^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dx}\left(\left(2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log(x)\right)\cdot x^{-2}\right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dx}\left(2\log(\sqrt{2\pi})\cdot x^{-2} + 2\log(x)\cdot x^{-2}\right) \\
&= 2\log(\sqrt{2\pi})\cdot \frac{d}{dx}(x^{-2}) + 2\cdot\frac{d}{dx}(\log(x)\cdot x^{-2}) \\
&= 2\log(\sqrt{2\pi})\cdot(-2x^{-3}) + 2\cdot\left(\frac{1}{x}\cdot x^{-2} + \log(x)\cdot(-2x^{-3})\right) \\
&= -\frac{4\log(\sqrt{2\pi})}{x^3} + 2\cdot\left(\frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{2\log(x)}{x^3}\right) \\
&= -\frac{4\log(\sqrt{2\pi})}{x^3} + \frac{2}{x^3} - \frac{4\log(x)}{x^3} \\
&= -\frac{2(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))}{x^3}.
\end{aligned}
$$

To find the critical points, we set $f'(x) = 0$:

$$
-\frac{2(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))}{x^3} = 0 \iff 2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi) = 0 \iff \log(x^2 2\pi) = 1 \iff x = \sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}.
$$

We want to compute the second derivative $f''(x)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dx}\left(-\frac{2(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))}{x^3}\right) &= \frac{d}{dx}\left(-2(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))\cdot x^{-3}\right) \\
&= -2\left(\frac{d}{dx}(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))\cdot x^{-3} + (2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^{-3}\right)\right) \\
&= -2\left(\frac{2}{x}\cdot x^{-3} + (2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))\cdot(-3x^{-4})\right) \\
&= -2\left(2x^{-4} - 3(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))x^{-4}\right) \\
&= -2\left(2 - 3(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))\right)x^{-4} \\
&= -2\left(2 - 6\log(x) + 3 - 3\log(2\pi)\right)x^{-4} \\
&= -2\left(5 - 6\log(x) - 3\log(2\pi)\right)x^{-4} \\
&= 2\left(6\log(x) - 5 + 3\log(2\pi)\right)x^{-4}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the second derivative is:

$$
\frac{d}{dx}\left(-\frac{2(2\log(x) - 1 + \log(2\pi))}{x^3}\right) = \frac{2(6\log(x) - 5 + 3\log(2\pi))}{x^4}.
$$

Next, we analyze the sign of the second derivative at the critical point $x = \sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}$:

$$
f''\left(\sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}\right) = \frac{2(6\log(\sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}) - 5 + 3\log(2\pi))}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}\right)^4} \approx -21.3 < 0
$$

Since the second derivative is negative at the critical point, the function $f(x)$ has a local maximum at $x = \sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}$. Therefore, the function is bounded above by the value at this point:

$$
f\left(\sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}\right) = \frac{2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log\left(\sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}\right)}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{e}{2\pi}}\right)^2} = \frac{2\log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 2\log\left(\sqrt{e}\right) - 2\log\left(\sqrt{2\pi}\right)}{\frac{e}{2\pi}} = \frac{2\pi}{e} \approx 2.31
$$

Therefore, we have shown that for all $\lambda, \sigma > 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2(1+2\pi/e)\sigma^2}{2}}.$$

This implies that Z is $(\sqrt{1+2\pi/e}\sigma)$-SubGaussian for $\lambda > 0$.

Case 2: $\lambda < 0$

For $\lambda < 0$, we consider the moment generating function (MGF) of Z:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}].$$

Using the definition of the expectation and properties of the probability, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \overset{\text{step 1}}{=} \int_0^\infty \Pr(e^{\lambda Z} \geq t)\, dt$$

$$\overset{\text{log is monotone increasing}}{=} \int_0^\infty \Pr(\lambda Z \geq \log t)\, dt$$

$$\overset{\lambda \leq 0}{=} \int_0^\infty \Pr\left(Z \leq \frac{\log t}{\lambda}\right) dt.$$

Given that $\Pr(Z \leq -t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$, we can bound the probability:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(\log t)^2}{2\lambda^2\sigma^2}}\, dt.$$

And we have already shown that this integral is bounded by $e^{\frac{\lambda^2(1+2\pi/e)\sigma^2}{2}}$ (as in the previous case - the sign of λ does not affect the bound since it is squared).
Therefore, we have shown that Z is $(\sqrt{1+2\pi/e}\sigma)$-SubGaussian for all $\lambda \neq 0$.

Case 3: $\lambda = 0$

For $\lambda = 0$, the MGF of Z is:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda Z}] = \mathbb{E}[1] = 1 = e^0 \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2(1+2\pi/e)\sigma^2}{2}}.$$

This implies that Z is $(\sqrt{1+2\pi/e}\sigma)$-SubGaussian.

Conclusion

We have shown that for any random variable X satisfying the given conditions, the random variable $Z = X - \mathbb{E}[X]$ is $(\sqrt{1+2\pi/e}\sigma)$-SubGaussian. Therefore, there exists a universal constant $C = \sqrt{1+2\pi/e}$ such that the given conditions imply that X is $(C\sigma)$-SubGaussian.