67678 - Introduction to Control with Learning	Spring 2024

Linear Dynamical Systems - Summary

Lecturer: Dr. Oron Sabag   *Name:* Hadar Tal

Contents

1 Mathematical Tools

1.1 Completing The Square

Lemma 1.1 (Completing the Square (scalars))

To complete the square for a quadratic equation of the form

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0,$$

we can rewrite it as

$$a(x + d)^2 + e = 0,$$

where

$$d = \frac{b}{2a} \quad and \quad e = c - \frac{b^2}{4a}.$$

Proof.

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0 \qquad \rightarrow \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x + \frac{c}{a} = 0 \qquad \rightarrow \quad x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x = -\frac{c}{a} \quad \rightarrow$$

$$x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x + \left(\frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 = -\frac{c}{a} + \left(\frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \left(x + \frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 = \frac{b^2}{4a^2} - \frac{c}{a} \quad \rightarrow \quad \left(x + \frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 = \frac{b^2 - 4ac}{4a^2} \quad \rightarrow$$

$$a\left(x + \frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 = a\left(\frac{b^2 - 4ac}{4a^2}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad a\left(x + \frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 = \frac{b^2 - 4ac}{4a} \quad \rightarrow \quad a\left(x + \frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 + c - \frac{b^2}{4a} = 0$$

$$\square$$

Lemma 1.2 (Completing the Square for Quadratic Forms)

Given a quadratic form $x^T A x + b^T x + c$, where A is a symmetric positive definite matrix, b is a vector, and c is a scalar, the expression can be completed to a perfect square as follows:

$$x^T A x + b^T x + c = (x + A^{-1}b/2)^T A (x + A^{-1}b/2) + c - \frac{1}{4}b^T A^{-1} b$$

1.2 The Z-transform

The Z-transform converts a discrete-time signal, which is a sequence of real or complex numbers, into a complex frequency domain representation.

The Z-transform of a discrete-time signal $x[k]$ is defined as:

$$X(z) = \mathcal{Z}\{x[k]\} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x[k]z^{-k},$$

where z is a complex variable. The Z-transform is particularly useful for analyzing linear time-invariant (LTI) systems.

1.2.1 Properties of the Z-transform

1. **Linearity:**
$$\mathcal{Z}\{ax[k] + by[k]\} = aX(z) + bY(z).$$

2. **Time Shifting:**
$$\mathcal{Z}\{x[k - n]\} = z^{-n}X(z).$$

3. **Convolution:**
$$\mathcal{Z}\{x[k] * y[k]\} = X(z)Y(z).$$

4. **Initial Value Theorem:**
$$x[0] = \lim_{z \to \infty} X(z).$$

5. **Final Value Theorem:**
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x[k] = \lim_{z \to 1}(1 - z^{-1})X(z),$$

provided the limits exist.

1.2.2 Differences Between Z-transform and Laplace Transform

1. **Domain:** The Z-transform is used for discrete-time signals, while the Laplace transform is used for continuous-time signals.

2. **Definition:** The Z-transform is defined as a summation:
$$X(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x[k]z^{-k},$$

while the Laplace transform is defined as an integral:
$$X(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x(t)e^{-st}\, dt.$$

3. **Complex Variable:** The Z-transform uses the complex variable z, typically represented as $z = e^{sT}$ where T is the sampling period. The Laplace transform uses the complex variable s.

4. **Application:** The Z-transform is applied to discrete-time systems and signals, making it useful for digital signal processing and discrete control systems. The Laplace transform is applied to continuous-time systems and signals, making it useful for analog signal processing and continuous control systems.

1.3 UDL and LDU Factorizations

Lemma 1.3 (UDL Decomposition)
If A and D are square matrices, and D is invertible, we can write:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & BD^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_D & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Delta_D = A - BD^{-1}C$ is the Schur complement *of the matrix.*

Example 1.1 (UDL Decomposition Example)
Consider the scalars:

$$A = 8, \quad B = 6, \quad C = 4, \quad D = 2.$$

Since D is invertible, we apply the UDL decomposition:

$$\Delta_D = A - BD^{-1}C = 8 - 6 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4 = 8 - 12 = -4.$$

Thus, the decomposition is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 8 & 6 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1.4 Least Squares

1.4.1 Definition

Definition 1.1 (Least Squares Problem)
For a set of linear equations

$$y = Hx,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the unknown state vector, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the measurements vector and $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$,
The least squares solution $\hat{x}^o$ is defined as

$$\hat{x}^o = \underset{\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\hat{x}) = \underset{\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|y - H\hat{x}\|^2 = \underset{\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} (y - H\hat{x})^T (y - H\hat{x}).$$

Lemma 1.4
A solution $\hat{x}$ is optimal, i.e., $J(\hat{x}) \leq J(x)$ for all x if the normal equations

$$H^* H\hat{x} = H^* y$$

are satisfied. The optimal objective is

$$J(\hat{x}) = \|y\|^2 - \|H\hat{x}\|^2.$$

Remark 1.1
The optimal estimate satisfies the orthogonality principle: $\|y\|^2 = \|y - H\hat{x}\|^2 + \|H\hat{x}\|^2$

1.4.2 Stochastic Least Squares

Definition 1.2 (Stochastic Least Squares)
Given two random vectors X and Y with a probability density function $f_{X,Y}$, the objective is to construct an estimator for X given Y, denoted by $\hat{X}(Y)$.
The error $\Delta = X - \hat{X}(Y)$ is a random variable on its own, so we study the mean squared error (MSE):

$$MSE = \mathbb{E}[(X - \hat{X}(Y))^T (X - \hat{X}(Y))].$$

Lemma 1.5
The estimator that minimizes the MSE is the conditional expectation:

$$\hat{X} = \mathbb{E}[X|Y].$$

In other words, for any $g : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(X - g(Y))^T (X - g(Y))] \geq \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X|Y])^T (X - \mathbb{E}[X|Y])].$$

Definition 1.3 (Linear Least Mean Square Estimator (LLMSE))
A linear estimator takes the form $\hat{X} = KY$, where K is a matrix to be optimized.
The error covariance matrix of a linear estimator K is defined as:

$$P(K) = \mathbb{E}[(X - KY)(X - KY)^T].$$

We say K_0 is a linear least mean square estimator (LLMSE) if:

$$P(K) \succeq P(K_0)$$

for any K. Alternatively, this can be written as:

$$a^T P(K) a \geq a^T P(K_0) a,$$

for all K and vectors a.

Claim 1.1
The LLMSE is optimal if (X, Y) are jointly Gaussian.

Theorem 1.1
Any LLMSE K_0 satisfies the normal equations:

$$K_0 R_Y = R_{XY},$$

where $R_Y = \mathbb{E}[YY^T]$ is the covariance of the measurements, and R_{XY} is the covariance between X and Y.

If R_Y is invertible, we obtain the well-known estimator:

$$\hat{X} = R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} Y,$$

with the estimation error covariance:

$$P(K_0) = R_X - R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} R_{YX}.$$

Remark 1.2
We can gain some intuition on the proposed solution by writing the covariance matrix using a UDL factorization:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_X & R_{XY} \\ R_{YX} & R_Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_X - R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} R_{YX} & 0 \\ 0 & R_Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ R_Y^{-1} R_{YX} & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

1. **Starting Point:**

   - We start with the joint covariance matrix of X and Y:

   $$\begin{pmatrix} R_X & R_{XY} \\ R_{YX} & R_Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. **UDL Factorization:**

   - We apply the UDL factorization:

   $$\begin{pmatrix} R_X & R_{XY} \\ R_{YX} & R_Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_X - R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} R_{YX} & 0 \\ 0 & R_Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ R_Y^{-1} R_{YX} & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. **Explanation of Terms:**

   - $R_X - R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} R_{YX}$: *This term represents the optimal error variance.*
   - *The matrix* $\begin{pmatrix} I & R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ *projects the variable X onto the linear space spanned by Y.*

4. **Optimal Error Variance:**

   - *The first element of the diagonal matrix is the optimal error variance:*

   $$R_X - R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} R_{YX}.$$

   - *Since this term appears in the factorization, it confirms that the estimator minimizes the estimation error.*

5. **Estimation:**

   - *We can express the relationship between X and $\tilde{X}$ using the projection matrix:*

   $$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & R_{XY} R_Y^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X} \\ Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

   - *This equation shows that $\hat{X}$ is the projection of X onto the space spanned by Y.*

6. **Projection Interpretation:**

   - *This can be viewed as a Gram-Schmidt process to project the variable X onto the linear space spanned by Y with the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = \mathbb{E}[XY^T]$. Thus, we will sometimes write it as:*

   $$\hat{X} = \langle X, Y \rangle \langle Y, Y \rangle^{-1} Y = \langle X, Y \rangle \|Y\|^{-2} Y.$$

By using the UDL factorization and interpreting the projection, we understand how the LLMSE minimizes the estimation error variance.

2 The Shortest Path Problem

Definition 2.1 (The Shortest Path Problem)
Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, each edge in the graph has a cost a_{ij}^t where i is the outgoing node, j is the node to which the edge is connected, and $t \in \{0, \ldots, N+1\}$ refers to the time. We adopt the convention that no edge implies an infinite cost $a_{ij}^t = \infty$.
The objective is to minimize the cumulative cost on a path from the source node $S_0 = S$ to the terminal node $S_{N+1} = T$. Formally, we aim to solve the optimization

$$J^* = \min_{\{n_i \in \mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=0}^{N+1}} \sum_{t=0}^{N} a_{n_t, n_{t+1}}^t. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.2 (Cost-to-Go Function)
We define $J_k(i)$ as the cost-to-go function corresponding to the minimal cost from time k until the end when starting at node i. Formally, for $k = 0, \ldots, N$, define

$$J_k(i) = \min_{\{n_j \in \mathcal{S}_j | j = k+1, \ldots, N, n_k = i\}} \sum_{j=k}^{N} a_{n_t, n_{t+1}}^j, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{S}_k. \tag{2.2}$$

Algorithm 1: Dynamic Programming Solution for the Shortest Path Problem (Cost-to-Go)

Input: Cost matrix a_{ij}^t and nodes $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_{N+1}$
Output: Cost-to-go functions $J_k(i)$
Initialize $J_N(i) = a_{iT}^N$;
for $k = N-1, \ldots, 0$ **do**
    for $i \in \mathcal{S}_k$ **do**
        $J_k(i) = \min_{j \in \mathcal{S}_{k+1}} \left[a_{ij}^k + J_{k+1}(j) \right]$
    end
end

Definition 2.3 (Cost-to-Arrive Function)
We define $J_{N-k}(j)$ as the cost-to-arrive function corresponding to the minimal cost from time 1 until time k when arriving at node j. Formally, for $k = 0, \ldots, N$, define

$$J_{N-k}(j) = \min_{\{n_i \in \mathcal{S}_i | i = 1, \ldots, k-1, n_k = j\}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{n_{i-1}, n_i}^i, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{S}_k. \tag{2.3}$$

Algorithm 2: Forward Algorithm for the Shortest Path Problem (Cost-to-Arrive)

Input: Cost matrix a_{ij}^t and nodes $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_{N+1}$
Output: Cost-to-arrive functions $J_{N-k}(j)$
Initialize $J_N(j) = a_{sj}^0, \forall j \in \mathcal{S}_1$;
for $k = 1, \ldots, N$ **do**
    for $j \in \mathcal{S}_{N-k+1}$ **do**
        $J_k(j) = \min_{i \in \mathcal{S}_{N-k}} \left[a_{ij}^{N-k} + J_{k+1}(i) \right]$
    end
end

---

3   Markov Decision Processes (MDPs)

Definition 3.1 (MDP)
An MDP is defined by the following elements:

1. *The state at time k is x_k and takes values in the set $\mathcal{S}_k$.*

2. *The action at time k is u_k and takes values from $\mathcal{U}_k$.*

3. *The disturbance at time k is w_k and takes values from $\mathcal{W}_k$.*

4. *A dynamical system is given by the function*

$$x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k), \quad k = 0, \ldots, N-1. \tag{3.1}$$

5. *The probabilistic law of the disturbance random variable w_k is characterized by $P_{W_k}(\cdot|x_k, u_k)$ conditioned on the state x_k and the action u_k.*

6. *A cost function $g_k : \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{U}_k \to \mathbb{R}$.*

The cost over a horizon N is

$$S_\pi(x_0) = \mathbb{E}[g_N(x_N) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} g_k(x_k, u_k)], \tag{3.2}$$

where $g_N(\cdot)$ is the terminal cost.

Definition 3.2 (History-dependent policy)
A history-dependent policy is defined by a sequence of functions:

$$\mu_k : \mathcal{S}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}_k \times \mathcal{U}_1 \times \cdots \mathcal{U}_{k-1} \to \mathcal{U}_k, \tag{3.3}$$

such that $u_k = \mu_k(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1})$.

Definition 3.3 (Markovian policy)
A Markovian policy is defined by a sequence of functions:

$$\mu_k : \mathcal{S}_k \to \mathcal{U}_k, \tag{3.4}$$

such that $u_k = \mu_k(x_k)$.

Remark 3.1 (The Markov property)
We defined the dynamical system using a deterministic function $f_k(\cdot)$.
Equivalently, we could describe the evolution with the conditional probability

$$P_k(x_{k+1}|x_k, u_k) = P_s^u(s') \tag{3.5}$$

In particular, we assume that the new state conditioned on the current state and action is not affected by the past. Formally, we assume the Markov chain induced from

$$P(x_{k+1}|x_1, \ldots, x_k, u_1, \ldots, u_k) = P_k(x_{k+1}|x_k, u_k). \tag{3.6}$$

The MDP described above is called fully observable since the actions depend directly on the state. We will later encounter partially observable MDP where only a noisy version of the state is available to the controller.

4   Linear Systems

Definition 4.1 (Linear System)
A linear system is given by

$$x_{t+1} = Ax_t, \quad t = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{4.1}$$

with some initial state x_0.

- *$x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector.*

- *$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the state-transition matrix.*

Lemma 4.1 (State and Decoupling in Linear Systems)
Given a diagonalizable matrix $A = TDT^{-1}$, the state at time t in a linear system is

$$x_t = TD^tT^{-1}x_0. \tag{4.2}$$

By defining a new state $z_t = T^{-1}x_t$, we have

$$z_t = D^t z_0, \tag{4.3}$$

indicating that the states are decoupled, with each entry of z_t depending only on the corresponding entry of z_0.

Remark 4.1
Since the eigenvalues of real matrices may be complex, we have

$$\lambda = a + ib = re^{i\theta} \to \lambda^t = r^t e^{it\theta} (e^{i\theta} = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta).$$

As we increase t, the magnitude of $e^{it\theta}$ is clearly unchanged. However, the length of r determines whether it converges to zero, oscillates, or blows up.

Definition 4.2 (Stable System)
A system A is stable if all of its eigenvalues have magnitude smaller than 1, i.e., $r < 1$.

5   Linear Systems with Control

Definition 5.1 (Linear System with Control)
A linear system with control is given by

$$x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Bu_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{5.1}$$

where we added:

- *$u_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the control signal (action).*

- *$B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the control matrix.*

Definition 5.2 (State-feedback controller)
A controller (policy) is defined by a sequence of mappings $\mu_t : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ for $t = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ such that $u_t = \mu_t(x_t)$.

Definition 5.3 (State-Feedback, Time-Invariant, Linear Controller)
A state-feedback, time-invariant, linear controller is any mapping of the form

$$u_t = -Kx_t.$$

Definition 5.4 (Closed-Loop Matrix)
The matrix $A_K = A - BK$ is called the closed-loop matrix of the system A. I.H.T -

$$x_{t+1} = A_K x_t = (A - BK)x_t = Ax_t + B(-Kx_t) = Ax_t + Bu_t$$

Definition 5.5 (Controllability)
The pair (A, B) is controllable if the system can reach any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from any initial state $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at some finite time.

Lemma 5.1 (Controllability Matrix)
A pair (A, B) is controllable if and only if the controllability matrix

$$C \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \cdots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix}$$

has $\operatorname{rank}(C) = n$.

Lemma 5.2 (Poles Placement in Controllable System)
Controllability implies that we can choose the eigenvalues (poles) of $A - BK$ arbitrarily.

6 The Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

Definition 6.1 (The LQR problem)
For the linear model in (20), find a controller that minimizes

$$J_N(u^N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N}[x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R u_i] + x_{N+1}^T Q_f x_{N+1},$$

where $u^N \triangleq u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{N-1}$, and

1. *$Q, Q_f \succeq 0$ are state weights*

2. *$R \succ 0$ is the input/action/control weight.*

Lemma 6.1 (LQR matrix formulation)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ AB & B & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A^{N-1}B & A^{N-2}B & \cdots & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \\ \vdots \\ A^N \end{bmatrix} x_0$$

The matrices above can be written in short as $x = Gu + Hx_0$.

Lemma 6.2 (LQR Closed-Form Solution)
The optimal control input $\mathbf{u}$ that minimizes the cost function $\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{x}$ can be achieved with

$$\mathbf{u} = -(I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T H x_0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} &= \min_{\mathbf{u}} (G\mathbf{u} + Hx_0)^T (G\mathbf{u} + Hx_0) + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[\mathbf{u}^T G^T G \mathbf{u} + 2x_0^T H^T G \mathbf{u} + x_0^T H^T H x_0 + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} \right] \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[\mathbf{u}^T (I + G^T G) \mathbf{u} + 2x_0^T H^T G \mathbf{u} + x_0^T H^T H x_0 \right] \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[(\mathbf{u} + (I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T H x_0)^T (I + G^T G)(\mathbf{u} + (I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T H x_0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - x_0^T H^T G (I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T H x_0 + x_0^T H^T H x_0 \right] \\
&= \min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[(\mathbf{u} + (I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T H x_0)^T (I + G^T G)(\mathbf{u} + (I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T H x_0) \right] \\
&\quad + x_0^T H^T (I - G(I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T) H x_0 \\
&= x_0^T H^T (I - G(I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T) H x_0 \\
&= x_0^T H^T (I + GG^T)^{-1} H x_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the optimal control input is

$$\mathbf{u} = -(I + G^T G)^{-1} G^T H x_0.$$

$\square$

Remark 6.1
This solution is the optimal solution. However, it is not efficient since we should compute the inverse of $I + GG^T$ that grows linearly with N, i.e., $O(N^3)$ computations.

Definition 6.2 (Cost-to-Go Function)
The cost-to-go function (Value-function) is defined as

$$V_t(z) = \min_{u_t, u_{t+1}, \ldots, u_{N-1}} \left[\sum_{i=t}^{N-1} (x_i^T Q x_i + u_i^T R u_i) + x_N^T Q_f x_N \right]$$

for $x_t = z$.

Theorem 6.1 (Properties of the Value Function)
The value function satisfies the following properties.

1. $V_t(z)$ *is a quadratic function (of the variable z).*
   That is, we can write $V_t(z) = z^T P_t z$ with some $P_t \succeq 0$.

2. *The optimal controller u_t is given by*

$$u_t = -(R + B^T P_{t+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{t+1} A x_t.$$

3. *The sequence P_t can be computed recursively with*

$$P_t = \begin{cases} Q_f & t = N \\ Q + A^T P_{t+1} A - A^T P_{t+1} B (R + B^T P_{t+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{t+1} A & t < N. \end{cases}$$

Note that we can compute P_t and K_t offline prior to the control.
(Tools used in the proof - completion of the square, induction.)

Definition 6.3 (Stabilizability)
The pair (A, B) is stabilizable if
$$\exists K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \rho(A - BK) < 1,$$

where $\rho(A - BK)$ denotes the spectral radius of $A - BK$, i.e., the largest absolute value of its eigenvalues.

An equivalent characterization of stabilizability is

$$\not\exists (x, \lambda) \ s.t. \ xA = \lambda x \ \wedge \ |\lambda| \geq 1 \ \wedge \ xB = 0.$$

In other words, we can control the unstable modes.
If we want $J^*(x_0) < \infty$ for all x_0, a necessary and sufficient condition is that of stabilizability.

7 State-space models as mappings

Definition 7.1 (Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) System)
A discrete-time linear time-invariant (LTI) system is represented by the difference equation:

$$y_k + a_1 y_{k-1} + a_2 y_{k-2} + \cdots + a_n y_{k-n} = b_0 u_k + b_1 u_{k-1} + b_2 u_{k-2} + \cdots + b_{n-1} u_{k-n+1}.$$

The inputs are the u_i while the outputs are the y_i.

Example 7.1 (Moving average)
This system outputs the average of the current and past input values.
If $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 0$, the equation simplifies to:

$$y_k = b_0 u_k + b_1 u_{k-1} + b_2 u_{k-2} + \cdots + b_{n-1} u_{k-n+1}.$$

This form indicates that the output y_k is a weighted sum of the current and previous inputs, hence the term "moving average."

Example 7.2 (Auto-regressive)
This system models the output as a function of its previous values.
If $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_n = 0$, the equation simplifies to:

$$y_k + a_1 y_{k-1} + a_2 y_{k-2} + \cdots + a_n y_{k-n} = b_0 u_k.$$

This form indicates that the output y_k depends on its past values and the current input, making it "auto-regressive."

Example 7.3 (ARMA (Auto-regressive Moving Average))
This system combines both auto-regressive and moving average models. Sometimes used as $ARMA(i,j)$ to include the order, it captures dependencies on both past outputs and past inputs:

$$y_k + a_1 y_{k-1} + a_2 y_{k-2} + \cdots + a_n y_{k-n} = b_0 u_k + b_1 u_{k-1} + b_2 u_{k-2} + \cdots + b_{n-1} u_{k-n+1}.$$

Here, the output y_k is influenced by both its previous values (auto-regressive part) and previous inputs (moving average part).

Definition 7.2 (Transfer Function $H(z)$)
The transfer function $H(z)$ of a discrete-time linear time-invariant (LTI) system represents the relationship between the Z-transform of the output $Y(z)$ and the Z-transform of the input $U(z)$. It provides a way to analyze the system's behavior in the frequency domain and is defined as:

$$H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{U(z)}.$$

For an LTI system, this can be expressed as:

$$H(z) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2} + \cdots + b_{n-1} z^{-n+1}}{1 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + \cdots + a_n z^{-n}}.$$

7.1 Controllable Canonical Form

Definition 7.3 (Controllable Canonical Form)
For the discrete-time transfer function $H(z)$, the state-space representation in controllable canonical form is given by the equations:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) + B_c u(t),$$
$$y(t) = C_c x(t) + D_c u(t),$$

where the state vector $x(t)$ and input $u(t)$ are defined as:

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} z_1(t) \\ z_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ z_{n-1}(t) \\ z_n(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad u(t) = z_n(t),$$

and the matrices are defined as follows:

$$A_c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_n & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_c = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C_c = \begin{bmatrix} b_{n-1} & b_{n-2} & \cdots & b_1 & b_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_c = 0.$$

Add the proof of the controllable canonical form + observable canonical form + Jordan canonical form.

8   The Asymptotic Observer

Definition 8.1 (Linear System State Estimation)
The setting is given by a linear system:

$$x_{i+1} = Ax_i + Bu_i, \quad x_0$$

$$y_i = Cx_i$$

where:

1. x_i *is the state sequence.*

2. u_i *is the control sequence (which is available to us).*

3. y_i *is the measurement process that we observe.*

The objective is to estimate the states.

Claim 8.1
The optimal closed-loop estimate for a linear system is of the form

$$\hat{x}_{i+1} = A\hat{x}_i + Bu_i + K(y_i - C\hat{x}_i), \quad \hat{x}_0.$$

Claim 8.2 (Dynamics of the Estimation Error)
The estimation error $\tilde{x}_i \overset{\triangle}{=} x_i - \hat{x}_i$ evolves according to

$$\tilde{x}_i = (A - KC)^i \tilde{x}_0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\tilde{x}_{i+1} = x_{i+1} - \hat{x}_{i+1} = Ax_i + Bu_i - A\hat{x}_i - Bu_i - K(y_i - C\hat{x}_i) = A\tilde{x}_i - KC\tilde{x}_i = (A - KC)\tilde{x}_i.$$

By induction, we conclude the claim. □

Definition 8.2 (Observability)
A discrete-time system is said to be observable if, for any initial state x_0, the state x_k can be determined from the output y_k over a finite time interval $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N$.

Lemma 8.1
The discrete-time system is observable if and only if the observability matrix $\mathcal{O}$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

has full rank, i.e.,

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}) = n.$$

9   Kalman Filter

Definition 9.1 (Kalman Filter State Space)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1} &= Fx_i + Gw_i \\ y_i &= Hx_i + v_i \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

where:

- x_{i+1} *is the state vector at time $i+1$,*

- x_i *is the state vector at time i,*

- y_i *is the measurement vector at time i,*

- w_i *is the process noise (zero mean, uncorrelated),*

- v_i *is the measurement noise (zero mean, uncorrelated).*

Definition 9.2 (Kalman Filter Covariance Matrix)
Formally, the following covariance matrix describes the model:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\begin{pmatrix} w_i \\ v_i \\ x_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_j^* & v_j^* & x_0^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{pmatrix} \delta_{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9.2}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{pmatrix}$ and Π_0 are positive semidefinite matrices and δ_{ij} equals 1 if $i = j$ and is zero otherwise. Note that w_i is uncorrelated as a process over time but its coordinates at a fixed time can be correlated via Q.

Markings:

- P_i - The error covariance matrix at time i

$$P_i \triangleq (x_i - \hat{x}_i)(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^T \tag{9.3}$$

- $R_{e,i}$ - The covariance of the innovation (or residual) at time i

$$R_{e,i} \triangleq HP_iH^* + R \tag{9.4}$$

- $K_{p,i}$ - The optimal Kalman gain at time i

$$K_{p,i} \triangleq (FP_iH^* + GS)R_{e,i}^{-1} \tag{9.5}$$

Kalman Filter Optimality

We suggest the following predictor:

$$\hat{x}_{i+1|i} = F\hat{x}_{i|i-1} + K_{p,i}(y_i - H\hat{x}_{i|i-1}) \tag{9.6}$$

Lemma 9.1

$$\tilde{x}_{i+1} = (F - K_{p,i}H)\tilde{x}_i + (G - K_{p,i})\begin{pmatrix} w_i \\ v_i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9.7}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_{i+1} &= x_{i+1} - \hat{x}_{i+1|i} \\ &= (Fx_i + Gw_i) - (F\hat{x}_i + K_{p,i}(y_i - H\hat{x}_i)) \\ &= Fx_i + Gw_i - F\hat{x}_i - K_{p,i}(Hx_i + v_i - H\hat{x}_i) \\ &= Fx_i + Gw_i - F\hat{x}_i - K_{p,i}Hx_i - K_{p,i}v_i + K_{p,i}H\hat{x}_i \\ &= Fx_i - F\hat{x}_i - K_{p,i}Hx_i + K_{p,i}H\hat{x}_i + Gw_i - K_{p_i}v_i \\ &= (F - K_{p,i}H)(x_i - \hat{x}_i) + Gw_i - K_{p,i}v_i \\ &= (F - K_{p,i}H)\tilde{x}_i + Gw_i - K_{p,i}v_i. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 9.2
For $j < i$, the recursion can be evolved as

$$\tilde{x}_i = (F - K_{p,i-1}H)\tilde{x}_{i-1} + (G - K_{p,i-1})\begin{pmatrix} w_{i-1} \\ v_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \ldots$$

$$= \phi_p(i,j)\tilde{x}_j + \xi_i(j),$$

where

$$\phi_p(i,j) = \prod_{k=j}^{i-1}(F - K_{p,k}H),$$

$$\xi_i(j) = \sum_{k=j}^{i-1}\phi_p(i,k+1)(Gw_k - K_{p,k}v_k).$$