Tridiagonal matrices

Gérard MEURANT

October, 2008

- Similarity
- 2 Cholesky factorizations
- 3 Eigenvalues
- Inverse

Similarity

Let

$$T_k = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \omega_1 \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \omega_2 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \beta_{k-2} & \alpha_{k-1} & \omega_{k-1} \\ & & & \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\beta_i \neq \omega_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$

Proposition

Assume that the coefficients ω_j , $j=1,\ldots,k-1$ are different from zero and the products $\beta_j \omega_j$ are positive. Then, the matrix T_k is similar to a symmetric tridiagonal matrix. Therefore, its eigenvalues are real.

Proof.

Consider $D_k^{-1}T_kD_k$ which is similar to T_k , D_k diagonal matrix with diag. el. δ_j

Take

$$\delta_1 = 1, \quad \delta_j^2 = \frac{\beta_{j-1} \cdots \beta_1}{\omega_{j-1} \cdots \omega_1}, \ j = 2, \dots k$$

Let

$$J_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} & & & & \\ \beta_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \beta_{k-2} & \alpha_{k-1} & \beta_{k-1} \\ & & & \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the values β_j , $j=1,\ldots,k-1$ are assumed to be nonzero

Proposition

$$\det(J_{k+1}) = \alpha_{k+1} \det(J_k) - \beta_k^2 \det(J_{k-1})$$

with initial conditions

$$\det(J_1) = \alpha_1, \quad \det(J_2) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \beta_1^2.$$

The eigenvalues of J_k are the zeros of $\det(J_k - \lambda I)$

The zeros do not depend on the signs of the coefficients

$$\beta_j$$
, $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$

We suppose $\beta_i > 0$ and we have a Jacobi matrix

Cholesky factorizations

Let Δ_k be a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements δ_j , $j=1,\ldots,k$ and

$$L_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ l_{1} & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & l_{k-2} & 1 & \\ & & & l_{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J_{k} = L_{k} \Delta_{k} L_{k}^{T}$$

$$\delta_{1} = \alpha_{1}, \quad l_{1} = \beta_{1} / \delta_{1}$$

$$\delta_{j} = \alpha_{j} - \frac{\beta_{j-1}^{2}}{\delta_{j-1}}, j = 2, \dots, k, \quad l_{j} = \beta_{j} / \delta_{j}, j = 2, \dots, k-1$$

The factorization can be completed if no δ_j is zero for $j=1,\ldots,k-1$

This does not happen if the matrix J_k is positive definite, all the elements δ_j are positive and the genuine Cholesky factorization can be obtained from Δ_k

$$J_k = L_k^C (L_k^C)^T$$

with $L_k^C = L_k \Delta_k^{1/2}$ which is

$$L_{k}^{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\delta_{1}} & & & & & \\ \frac{\beta_{1}}{\sqrt{\delta_{1}}} & \sqrt{\delta_{2}} & & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \frac{\beta_{k-2}}{\sqrt{\delta_{k-2}}} & \sqrt{\delta_{k-1}} & & \\ & & & \frac{\beta_{k-1}}{\sqrt{\delta_{k-1}}} & \sqrt{\delta_{k}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The factorization can also be written as

$$J_k = L_k^D \Delta_k^{-1} (L_k^D)^T$$

with

$$L_{k}^{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1} & & & & \\ \beta_{1} & \delta_{2} & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \beta_{k-2} & \delta_{k-1} & \\ & & & \beta_{k-1} & \delta_{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, the only elements we have to compute and store are the diagonal elements δ_i , $j=1,\ldots,k$

To solve a linear system $J_k x = c$, we successively solve

$$L_k^D y = c, \quad (L_k^D)^T x = \Delta_k y$$

The previous factorizations proceed from top to bottom (LU) We can also proceed from bottom to top (UL)

$$J_k = \bar{L}_k^T D_k^{-1} \bar{L}_k$$

with

$$ar{L}_k = egin{pmatrix} d_1^{(k)} & & & & & & & \\ eta_1 & d_2^{(k)} & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & eta_{k-2} & d_{k-1}^{(k)} & & & & \\ & & eta_{k-1} & d_k^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and D_k a diagonal matrix with elements $d_j^{(k)}$

$$d_k^{(k)} = \alpha_k, \quad d_j^{(k)} = \alpha_j - \frac{\beta_j^2}{d_{i+1}^{(k)}}, j = k - 1, \dots, 1$$

From LU and UL factorizations we can obtain all the so-called "twisted" factorizations of J_k

$$J_k = M_k \Omega_k M_k^T$$

 M_k is lower bidiagonal at the top for rows with index smaller than I and upper bidiagonal at the bottom for rows with index larger than I

$$\omega_1 = \alpha_1, \quad \omega_j = \alpha_j - \frac{\beta_{j-1}^2}{\omega_{j-1}}, j = 2, \dots, l-1$$

$$\omega_k = \alpha_k, \quad \omega_j = \alpha_j - \frac{\beta_j^2}{\omega_{j+1}}, j = k-1, \dots, l+1$$

$$\omega_l = \alpha_l - \frac{\beta_{l-1}^2}{\omega_{l-1}} - \frac{\beta_l^2}{\omega_{l-1}}$$

Eigenvalues

The eigenvalues of J_k are the zeros of $det(J_k - \lambda I)$

$$\det(J_k - \lambda I) = \delta_1(\lambda) \cdots \delta_k(\lambda) = d_1^{(k)}(\lambda) \cdots d_k^{(k)}(\lambda)$$

This shows that

$$\delta_k(\lambda) = \frac{\det(J_k - \lambda I)}{\det(J_{k-1} - \lambda I)}, \quad d_1^{(k)}(\lambda) = \frac{\det(J_k - \lambda I)}{\det(J_{2,k} - \lambda I)}$$

Theorem

The eigenvalues $\theta_i^{(k+1)}$ of J_{k+1} strictly interlace the eigenvalues of J_k

$$\theta_1^{(k+1)} < \theta_1^{(k)} < \theta_2^{(k+1)} < \theta_2^{(k)} < \dots < \theta_k^{(k)} < \theta_{k+1}^{(k+1)}$$

(Cauchy interlacing theorem)



Proof.

Eigenvector $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y} \zeta)^T$ of J_{k+1} corresponding to θ

$$J_k y + \beta_k \zeta e^k = \theta y$$

$$\beta_k y_k + \alpha_{k+1} \zeta = \theta \zeta$$

Eliminating y from these relations, we obtain

$$(\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_k^2 ((e^k)^T (J_k - \theta I)^{-1} e^k)) \zeta = \theta \zeta$$
$$\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_k^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\xi_j^2}{\theta_i^{(k)} - \theta} - \theta = 0$$

where ξ_j is the last component of the jth eigenvector of J_k . The zeros of this function interlace the poles $\theta_j^{(k)}$ \square

Inverse

Theorem

There exist two sequences of numbers $\{u_i\}, \{v_i\}, i = 1, ..., k$ such that

$$J_{k}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}v_{1} & u_{1}v_{2} & u_{1}v_{3} & \dots & u_{1}v_{k} \\ u_{1}v_{2} & u_{2}v_{2} & u_{2}v_{3} & \dots & u_{2}v_{k} \\ u_{1}v_{3} & u_{2}v_{3} & u_{3}v_{3} & \dots & u_{3}v_{k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{1}v_{k} & u_{2}v_{k} & u_{3}v_{k} & \dots & u_{k}v_{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, u_1 can be chosen arbitrarily, for instance $u_1=1$ see Baranger and Duc-Jacquet; Meurant Hence, we just have to compute $J_k^{-1}e^1$ and $J_k^{-1}e^k$

$$J_k v = e^1$$

Use UL factorization of J_k

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{d_1^{(k)}}, \quad v_j = (-1)^{j-1} \frac{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{j-1}}{d_1^{(k)} \cdots d_j^{(k)}}, j = 2, \dots, k$$

For

$$v_k J_k u = e^k$$

use LU factorization

$$u_k = \frac{1}{\delta_k v_k}, \quad u_{k-j} = (-1)^j \frac{\beta_{k-j} \cdots \beta_{k-1}}{\delta_{k-j} \cdots \delta_k v_k}, j = 1, \dots, k-1$$

Theorem

The inverse of the symmetric tridiagonal matrix J_k is characterized as

$$(J_k^{-1})_{i,j} = (-1)^{j-i}\beta_i \cdots \beta_{j-1} \frac{d_{j+1}^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}, \forall i, \forall j > i$$
$$(J_k^{-1})_{i,i} = \frac{d_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}, \forall i$$

Proof.

$$u_i = (-1)^{-(i+1)} \frac{1}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}} \frac{d_1^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}$$



The diagonal elements of J_k^{-1} can also be obtained using twisted factorizations

Theorem

Let I be a fixed index and ω_j the diagonal elements of the corresponding twisted factorization Then

$$(J_k^{-1})_{l,l} = \frac{1}{\omega_l}$$

In the sequel we will be interested in $(J_k^{-1})_{1,1}$

$$(J_k^{-1})_{1,1} = \frac{1}{d_1^{(k)}}$$

Can we compute $(J_k^{-1})_{1,1}$ incrementally?

Theorem

$$(J_{k+1}^{-1})_{1,1} = (J_k^{-1})_{1,1} + \frac{(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k)^2}{(\delta_1 \cdots \delta_k)^2 \delta_{k+1}}$$

Proof.

$$J_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} J_k & \beta_k e^k \\ \beta_k (e^k)^T & \alpha_{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The upper left block of J_{k+1}^{-1} is the inverse of the Schur complement

$$\left(J_k - \frac{\beta_k^2}{\alpha_{k+1}} e^k (e^k)^T\right)^{-1}$$

Inverse of a rank-1 modification of J_k

Use the Sherman-Morrison formula

$$(A + \alpha x y^{T})^{-1} = A^{-1} - \alpha \frac{A^{-1} x y^{T} A^{-1}}{1 + \alpha y^{T} A^{-1} x}$$

This gives

$$\left(J_k - \frac{\beta_k^2}{\alpha_{k+1}} e^k (e^k)^T\right)^{-1} = J_k^{-1} + \frac{(J_k^{-1} e^k)((e^k)^T J_k^{-1})}{\frac{\alpha_{k+1}}{\beta_k^2} - (e^k)^T J_k^{-1} e^k}$$

Let
$$I^k = J_k^{-1} e^k$$

$$(J_{k+1}^{-1})_{1,1} = (J_k^{-1})_{1,1} + \frac{\beta_k^2 (J_1^k)^2}{\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_k^2 J_k^k}$$

$$I_1^k = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{k-1}}{\delta_1 \cdots \delta_k}, \quad I_k^k = \frac{1}{\delta_k}$$

To simplify the formulas, we note that

$$\alpha_{k+1} - \beta_k^2 I_k^k = \alpha_{k+1} - \frac{\beta_k^2}{\delta_k} = \delta_{k+1}$$

We start with $(J_1^{-1})_{1,1} = \pi_1 = 1/\alpha_1$ and $c_1 = 1$

$$t = \beta_k^2 \pi_k, \quad \delta_{k+1} = \alpha_{k+1} - t, \quad \pi_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\delta_{k+1}}, \quad c_{k+1} = t c_k \pi_k$$

This gives

$$(J_{k+1}^{-1})_{1,1} = (J_k^{-1})_{1,1} + c_{k+1}\pi_{k+1}$$

- J. BARANGER AND M. DUC-JACQUET, *Matrices* tridiagonales symétriques et matrices factorisables, RIRO, v 3, (1971), pp 61–66
- G.H. GOLUB AND C. VAN LOAN, *Matrix Computations*, Third Edition, Johns Hopkins University Press, (1996)
- G. MEURANT, A review of the inverse of tridiagonal and block tridiagonal matrices, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., v 13 n 3, (1992), pp 707–728