

[TODO] Some explanation about the tasks and the project.

## 1 Word2Vec algorithm

By using the word2vec implementations of the CS224n course at Stanford University, after training the model for each label we get losses around 5. Now let's consider the two most common tokens between each label and see the similarity between the resulting vectors.

labels	word	cosine similarity
Happy-Sad	enjoy	0.2
Happy-Angry	may	0.58
Happy-Excited	zero	-0.1
Happy-Fearful	might	-0.28
Happy-Energetic	shower	-0.16
Happy-Love	myself	0.43
Happy-Curious	safe	-0.56
Sad-Angry	may	0.25
Sad-Excited	sorry	0.51
Sad-Fearful	fun	0.1
Sad-Energetic	mask	-0.1
Sad-Love	early	-0.11
Sad-Curious	safe	-0.18
Angry-Excited	job	-0.04
Angry-Fearful	anything	0.05
Angry-Energetic	fake	-0.03
Angry-Love	mercy	-0.14
Angry-Curious	hockey	-0.28
Excited-Fearful	heard	0.19
Excited-Energetic	minhyuk	0.53
Excited-Love	imagine	0.23
Excited-Curious	drink	0.32
Fearful-Energetic	stuck	-0.38
Fearful-Love	imagine	0.27
Fearful-Curious	safe	0.08
Energetic-Love	viewers	0.14
Energetic-Curious	team	0.13
Love-Curious	beautiful	-0.61

As the table shows many words have different word vectors in different classes! But if we take a look at for example, "myself" or "minhyuk", the vectors are similar, and this means that the word "myself" has the same meaning in two classes Happy and Love And the word "minhyuk" (South Korean singer) has the same meaning in two similar classes Excited and Energetic.

Considering "safe" between Happy and Curious, if we are sure about the accuracy of data and labeling, the most probable reason for this difference is the different contexts for each class.

## 2 Tokenization

Training the SentencePiece model with two types 'bpe' and 'unigram' on different vocabulary sizes to identify the best parameters for the tokenizer model. Each label trained separately.

### 2.1 Happy

#### 2.1.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.046%	0.065%	0.045%	0.055%	0.046%	0.052%
60	0.051%	0.071%	0.05%	0.06%	0.051%	0.057%
70	0.054%	0.076%	0.053%	0.065%	0.055%	0.061%
80	0.057%	0.08%	0.056%	0.069%	0.058%	0.064%
90	0.06%	0.084%	0.058%	0.072%	0.06%	0.067%
100	0.062%	0.087%	0.06%	0.075%	0.062%	0.069%
500	0.103%	0.145%	0.101%	0.123%	0.103%	0.115%
1000	0.122%	0.17%	0.12%	0.145%	0.121%	0.136%
1500	0.134%	0.185%	0.132%	0.158%	0.133%	0.148%

#### 2.1.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.047%	0.065%	0.045%	0.056%	0.047%	0.052%
60	0.051%	0.072%	0.05%	0.061%	0.051%	0.057%
70	0.055%	0.077%	0.054%	0.066%	0.055%	0.061%
80	0.058%	0.082%	0.057%	0.07%	0.058%	0.065%
90	0.061%	0.086%	0.059%	0.073%	0.061%	0.068%
100	0.064%	0.089%	0.062%	0.076%	0.064%	0.071%
500	0.103%	0.144%	0.1%	0.123%	0.103%	0.115%
1000	0.123%	0.171%	0.12%	0.146%	0.123%	0.136%
1500	0.136%	0.187%	0.133%	0.16%	0.135%	0.15%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

### 2.2 Sad

#### 2.2.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.066%	0.034%	0.033%	0.037%	0.045%	0.043%
60	0.072%	0.037%	0.037%	0.04%	0.049%	0.047%
70	0.076%	0.04%	0.039%	0.044%	0.053%	0.05%
80	0.081%	0.042%	0.041%	0.046%	0.056%	0.053%
90	0.084%	0.044%	0.043%	0.048%	0.058%	0.055%
100	0.088%	0.046%	0.045%	0.05%	0.06%	0.058%
500	0.144%	0.076%	0.074%	0.083%	0.099%	0.095%
1000	0.17%	0.09%	0.088%	0.098%	0.118%	0.113%
1500	0.185%	0.098%	0.096%	0.107%	0.128%	0.122%

### 2.2.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.066%	0.034%	0.033%	0.037%	0.045%	0.043%
60	0.072%	0.037%	0.036%	0.041%	0.049%	0.047%
70	0.078%	0.04%	0.039%	0.044%	0.053%	0.051%
80	0.082%	0.043%	0.042%	0.046%	0.056%	0.054%
90	0.086%	0.045%	0.044%	0.049%	0.059%	0.056%
100	0.089%	0.046%	0.045%	0.051%	0.061%	0.059%
500	0.144%	0.076%	0.074%	0.083%	0.1%	0.095%
1000	0.171%	0.09%	0.088%	0.098%	0.119%	0.113%
1500	0.188%	0.099%	0.097%	0.108%	0.131%	0.125%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

## 2.3 Angry

### 2.3.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.074%	0.041%	0.03%	0.035%	0.049%	0.046%
60	0.08%	0.044%	0.033%	0.038%	0.054%	0.05%
70	0.085%	0.048%	0.035%	0.041%	0.057%	0.053%
80	0.09%	0.05%	0.037%	0.043%	0.061%	0.056%
90	0.096%	0.052%	0.038%	0.045%	0.063%	0.059%
100	0.099%	0.054%	0.04%	0.047%	0.066%	0.061%
500	0.159%	0.088%	0.065%	0.077%	0.107%	0.099%
1000	0.183%	0.105%	0.078%	0.092%	0.127%	0.117%
1500	0.198%	0.115%	0.086%	0.101%	0.138%	0.128%

### 2.3.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.075%	0.041%	0.03%	0.035%	0.049%	0.046%
60	0.082%	0.045%	0.033%	0.039%	0.054%	0.05%
70	0.087%	0.048%	0.036%	0.042%	0.058%	0.054%
80	0.092%	0.051%	0.038%	0.044%	0.062%	0.057%
90	0.097%	0.054%	0.039%	0.046%	0.065%	0.06%
100	0.101%	0.056%	0.041%	0.048%	0.067%	0.063%
500	0.166%	0.091%	0.066%	0.078%	0.109%	0.102%
1000	0.194%	0.108%	0.079%	0.093%	0.13%	0.121%
1500	0.21%	0.119%	0.088%	0.104%	0.144%	0.133%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

## 2.4 Fearful

### 2.4.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.041%	0.054%	0.027%	0.019%	0.017%	0.032%
60	0.045%	0.059%	0.029%	0.021%	0.019%	0.035%
70	0.047%	0.062%	0.031%	0.022%	0.02%	0.037%
80	0.05%	0.066%	0.032%	0.023%	0.021%	0.039%
90	0.053%	0.069%	0.035%	0.025%	0.023%	0.041%
100	0.055%	0.072%	0.036%	0.026%	0.023%	0.042%
500	0.088%	0.117%	0.059%	0.042%	0.039%	0.069%
1000	0.105%	0.14%	0.07%	0.05%	0.046%	0.082%
1500	0.115%	0.154%	0.076%	0.055%	0.05%	0.09%

## 2.4.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.041%	0.054%	0.027%	0.019%	0.018%	0.032%
60	0.045%	0.059%	0.03%	0.021%	0.019%	0.035%
70	0.049%	0.064%	0.032%	0.023%	0.021%	0.038%
80	0.052%	0.068%	0.034%	0.024%	0.022%	0.04%
90	0.054%	0.071%	0.035%	0.025%	0.023%	0.042%
100	0.056%	0.074%	0.037%	0.026%	0.024%	0.043%
500	0.089%	0.118%	0.059%	0.043%	0.039%	0.07%
1000	0.107%	0.141%	0.071%	0.051%	0.047%	0.083%
1500	0.119%	0.158%	0.079%	0.056%	0.052%	0.093%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

## 2.5 Love

### 2.5.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.051%	0.051%	0.043%	0.062%	0.083%	0.058%
60	0.056%	0.055%	0.047%	0.066%	0.091%	0.063%
70	0.06%	0.059%	0.051%	0.071%	0.098%	0.068%
80	0.063%	0.062%	0.053%	0.076%	0.103%	0.071%
90	0.066%	0.064%	0.055%	0.079%	0.107%	0.074%
100	0.068%	0.066%	0.058%	0.082%	0.111%	0.077%
500	0.119%	0.115%	0.1%	0.141%	0.186%	0.132%
1000	0.141%	0.135%	0.119%	0.165%	0.216%	0.155%
1500	0.152%	0.146%	0.129%	0.178%	0.232%	0.167%

### 2.5.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.051%	0.05%	0.043%	0.061%	0.083%	0.058%
60	0.056%	0.055%	0.048%	0.067%	0.091%	0.063%
70	0.061%	0.06%	0.051%	0.073%	0.099%	0.069%
80	0.064%	0.063%	0.054%	0.077%	0.104%	0.073%
90	0.067%	0.066%	0.057%	0.08%	0.109%	0.076%
100	0.07%	0.069%	0.059%	0.084%	0.113%	0.079%
500	0.116%	0.113%	0.098%	0.138%	0.183%	0.129%
1000	0.14%	0.135%	0.118%	0.165%	0.218%	0.155%
1500	0.154%	0.148%	0.131%	0.182%	0.236%	0.17%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

## 2.6 Conclusion

After training multiple models on different labels, I find out that the unigram model with vocabulary size 50 is a good coordination for tokenizer model. So I chose 50 as vocabulary size and the unigram model to tokenize the input.