Hadi Sheikhi
97521369
ha_sheikhi@comp.iust.ac.ir

Final Project Report
Natural Language Processing

2021-06-29

[TODO] Some explanation about the tasks and the project.

1 Word2Vec algorithm

By using the word2vec implementations of the CS224n course at Stanford University, after training the model for each label we get losses around 5. Now let's consider the two most common tokens between each label and see the similarity between the resulting vectors.

labels	word	cosine similarity
Happy-Sad	enjoy	0.2
Happy-Angry	may	0.58
Happy-Excited	zero	-0.1
Happy-Fearful	might	-0.28
Happy-Energetic	shower	-0.16
Happy-Love	myself	0.43
Happy-Curious	safe	-0.56
Sad-Angry	may	0.25
Sad-Excited	sorry	0.51
Sad-Fearful	fun	0.1
Sad-Energetic	mask	-0.1
Sad-Love	early	-0.11
Sad-Curious	safe	-0.18
Angry-Excited	job	-0.04
Angry-Fearful	anything	0.05
Angry-Energetic	fake	-0.03
Angry-Love	mercy	-0.14
Angry-Curious	hockey	-0.28
Excited-Fearful	heard	0.19
Excited-Energetic	minhyuk	0.53
Excited-Love	imagine	0.23
Excited-Curious	drink	0.32
Fearful-Energetic	stuck	-0.38
Fearful-Love	imagine	0.27
Fearful-Curious	safe	0.08
Energetic-Love	viewers	0.14
Energetic-Curious	team	0.13
Love-Curious	beautiful	-0.61

As the table shows many words have different word vectors in different classes! But if we take a look at for example, "myself" or "minhyuk", the vectors are similar, and this means that the word "myself" has the same meaning in two classes Happy and Love And the word "minhyuk" (South Korean singer) has the same meaning in two similar classes Excited and Energetic.
Considering "safe" between Happy and Curious, if we are sure about the accuracy of data and labeling, the most probable reason for this difference is the different contexts for each class.

2 Tokenization

Training the SentencePiece model with two types 'bpe' and 'unigram' on different vocabulary sizes to identify the best parameters for the tokenizer model. Each label trained separately.

2.1 Happy

2.1.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.046%	0.065%	0.045%	0.055%	0.046%	0.052%
60	0.051%	0.071%	0.05%	0.06%	0.051%	0.057%
70	0.054%	0.076%	0.053%	0.065%	0.055%	0.061%
80	0.057%	0.08%	0.056%	0.069%	0.058%	0.064%
90	0.06%	0.084%	0.058%	0.072%	0.06%	0.067%
100	0.062%	0.087%	0.06%	0.075%	0.062%	0.069%
500	0.103%	0.145%	0.101%	0.123%	0.103%	0.115%
1000	0.122%	0.17%	0.12%	0.145%	0.121%	0.136%
1500	0.134%	0.185%	0.132%	0.158%	0.133%	0.148%

2.1.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.047%	0.065%	0.045%	0.056%	0.047%	0.052%
60	0.051%	0.072%	0.05%	0.061%	0.051%	0.057%
70	0.055%	0.077%	0.054%	0.066%	0.055%	0.061%
80	0.058%	0.082%	0.057%	0.07%	0.058%	0.065%
90	0.061%	0.086%	0.059%	0.073%	0.061%	0.068%
100	0.064%	0.089%	0.062%	0.076%	0.064%	0.071%
500	0.103%	0.144%	0.1%	0.123%	0.103%	0.115%
1000	0.123%	0.171%	0.12%	0.146%	0.123%	0.136%
1500	0.136%	0.187%	0.133%	0.16%	0.135%	0.15%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

2.2 Sad

2.2.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.066%	0.034%	0.033%	0.037%	0.045%	0.043%
60	0.072%	0.037%	0.037%	0.04%	0.049%	0.047%
70	0.076%	0.04%	0.039%	0.044%	0.053%	0.05%
80	0.081%	0.042%	0.041%	0.046%	0.056%	0.053%
90	0.084%	0.044%	0.043%	0.048%	0.058%	0.055%
100	0.088%	0.046%	0.045%	0.05%	0.06%	0.058%
500	0.144%	0.076%	0.074%	0.083%	0.099%	0.095%
1000	0.17%	0.09%	0.088%	0.098%	0.118%	0.113%
1500	0.185%	0.098%	0.096%	0.107%	0.128%	0.122%

2.2.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.066%	0.034%	0.033%	0.037%	0.045%	0.043%
60	0.072%	0.037%	0.036%	0.041%	0.049%	0.047%
70	0.078%	0.04%	0.039%	0.044%	0.053%	0.051%
80	0.082%	0.043%	0.042%	0.046%	0.056%	0.054%
90	0.086%	0.045%	0.044%	0.049%	0.059%	0.056%
100	0.089%	0.046%	0.045%	0.051%	0.061%	0.059%
500	0.144%	0.076%	0.074%	0.083%	0.1%	0.095%
1000	0.171%	0.09%	0.088%	0.098%	0.119%	0.113%
1500	0.188%	0.099%	0.097%	0.108%	0.131%	0.125%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

2.3 Angry

2.3.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.074%	0.041%	0.03%	0.035%	0.049%	0.046%
60	0.08%	0.044%	0.033%	0.038%	0.054%	0.05%
70	0.085%	0.048%	0.035%	0.041%	0.057%	0.053%
80	0.09%	0.05%	0.037%	0.043%	0.061%	0.056%
90	0.096%	0.052%	0.038%	0.045%	0.063%	0.059%
100	0.099%	0.054%	0.04%	0.047%	0.066%	0.061%
500	0.159%	0.088%	0.065%	0.077%	0.107%	0.099%
1000	0.183%	0.105%	0.078%	0.092%	0.127%	0.117%
1500	0.198%	0.115%	0.086%	0.101%	0.138%	0.128%

2.3.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.075%	0.041%	0.03%	0.035%	0.049%	0.046%
60	0.082%	0.045%	0.033%	0.039%	0.054%	0.05%
70	0.087%	0.048%	0.036%	0.042%	0.058%	0.054%
80	0.092%	0.051%	0.038%	0.044%	0.062%	0.057%
90	0.097%	0.054%	0.039%	0.046%	0.065%	0.06%
100	0.101%	0.056%	0.041%	0.048%	0.067%	0.063%
500	0.166%	0.091%	0.066%	0.078%	0.109%	0.102%
1000	0.194%	0.108%	0.079%	0.093%	0.13%	0.121%
1500	0.21%	0.119%	0.088%	0.104%	0.144%	0.133%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

2.4 Fearful

2.4.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.041%	0.054%	0.027%	0.019%	0.017%	0.032%
60	0.045%	0.059%	0.029%	0.021%	0.019%	0.035%
70	0.047%	0.062%	0.031%	0.022%	0.02%	0.037%
80	0.05%	0.066%	0.032%	0.023%	0.021%	0.039%
90	0.053%	0.069%	0.035%	0.025%	0.023%	0.041%
100	0.055%	0.072%	0.036%	0.026%	0.023%	0.042%
500	0.088%	0.117%	0.059%	0.042%	0.039%	0.069%
1000	0.105%	0.14%	0.07%	0.05%	0.046%	0.082%
1500	0.115%	0.154%	0.076%	0.055%	0.05%	0.09%

2.4.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.041%	0.054%	0.027%	0.019%	0.018%	0.032%
60	0.045%	0.059%	0.03%	0.021%	0.019%	0.035%
70	0.049%	0.064%	0.032%	0.023%	0.021%	0.038%
80	0.052%	0.068%	0.034%	0.024%	0.022%	0.04%
90	0.054%	0.071%	0.035%	0.025%	0.023%	0.042%
100	0.056%	0.074%	0.037%	0.026%	0.024%	0.043%
500	0.089%	0.118%	0.059%	0.043%	0.039%	0.07%
1000	0.107%	0.141%	0.071%	0.051%	0.047%	0.083%
1500	0.119%	0.158%	0.079%	0.056%	0.052%	0.093%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

2.5 Love

2.5.1 Unigram model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.051%	0.051%	0.043%	0.062%	0.083%	0.058%
60	0.056%	0.055%	0.047%	0.066%	0.091%	0.063%
70	0.06%	0.059%	0.051%	0.071%	0.098%	0.068%
80	0.063%	0.062%	0.053%	0.076%	0.103%	0.071%
90	0.066%	0.064%	0.055%	0.079%	0.107%	0.074%
100	0.068%	0.066%	0.058%	0.082%	0.111%	0.077%
500	0.119%	0.115%	0.1%	0.141%	0.186%	0.132%
1000	0.141%	0.135%	0.119%	0.165%	0.216%	0.155%
1500	0.152%	0.146%	0.129%	0.178%	0.232%	0.167%

2.5.2 BPE model

vocab size	Unks in part 0	Unks in part 1	Unks in part 2	Unks in part 3	Unks in part 4	Average
50	0.051%	0.05%	0.043%	0.061%	0.083%	0.058%
60	0.056%	0.055%	0.048%	0.067%	0.091%	0.063%
70	0.061%	0.06%	0.051%	0.073%	0.099%	0.069%
80	0.064%	0.063%	0.054%	0.077%	0.104%	0.073%
90	0.067%	0.066%	0.057%	0.08%	0.109%	0.076%
100	0.07%	0.069%	0.059%	0.084%	0.113%	0.079%
500	0.116%	0.113%	0.098%	0.138%	0.183%	0.129%
1000	0.14%	0.135%	0.118%	0.165%	0.218%	0.155%
1500	0.154%	0.148%	0.131%	0.182%	0.236%	0.17%

As the results shows, both models have the smallest percentage of UNK tokens for 50 vocabulary size.

2.6 Conclusion

After training multiple models on different labels, I find out that the unigram model with vocabulary size 50 is a good coordination for tokenizer model. So I chose 50 as vocabulary size and the unigram model to tokenize the input.

3 Parsing

Trained the parser model, to identify the dependency parsing of each sentece. Here are two examples of the sentences with UAS score 100 (sentences are fairly easy to parse):
"you cropped the best answers" with dependency parse [(2, 1), (5, 4), (5, 3), (2, 5), (0, 2)].
To explain the result, consider (5, 4), this means (head: "answers", tail: "best") transition.
"yes i have reported several tweets and the profile itself" with dependency parse [(4, 3), (4, 2), (4, 1), (6, 5), (9, 8), (9, 7), (6, 9), (4, 6), (4, 10), (0, 4)]. (Will be better in next versions!)

4   Language Model

Using language model implementation here, a LSTM model with 200 hidden state size and 2 layers, Trained and generated text for each label.

4.1   Happy

Model trained on this label with the ppl 4.58 by running 10 epochs. Sentences generated for the happy class are:
wonders say ur other lives $< EOS >$
you be very doctor $< EOS >$
i told utds way said blocked spare suddenly rayaa $< EOS >$
another quality goods irritates might go at video against calls and 2009 friends about living parents at your sandals like save speech something amp bee is twt 10 $< EOS >$
banga george $< EOS >$
the game wey i just ek richer 9783 moni seru horse deadly isnt world $< EOS >$
this not the chaos for a 3 fabulous both weird seat love them away for well $< EOS >$
dont interact to be exam bye into for sure good still i do on rejection on national gl anyway its irritating ya have a surprise day essay thanks ever taught it $< EOS >$
The results seems reasonable for Happy class. Some wrong phrases occurred, for e.x "bye into for sure!".

4.2   Angry

Model trained on this label with the ppl 1.97 by running 10 epochs. Sentences generated for the angry class are:
to mehow as disgusting companies $< EOS >$
im friend clowning yeah $< EOS >$
let myself dude negative extra becomes be mv nammakam kuita instead if you d reply $< EOS >$
i wanna black you are a great on people $< EOS >$
i cant believe i have the same fn erasure $< EOS >$
i punjabis just get her dogecoin out this thank i ve been drogba or i just u $< EOS >$
iinudes cant dr ill article story apparently $< EOS >$
all being the best taken down with socks if theyre not going to change a band unless they re ended without guys hancock lady in send your chestummmm $< EOS >$
how ing maybe quit with your tl $< EOS >$
Some phrases shows angry feelings well, like "disgusting companies". But still have many grammatical and contextual errors.

4.3   Sad

Model trained on this label with the ppl 4.32 by running 10 epochs. Sentences generated for the sad class are:
themno $< EOS >$
i m so sorry for your loss prayers of society $< EOS >$
aaaa late in my holes lost $< EOS >$
i need better tiktoks $< EOS >$
i miss you so much $< EOS >$
so sorry to hear thank you $< EOS >$
i hope i was $< EOS >$
i didn t wanna go to work this at a brother year now hot it just not not working to time $< EOS >$
i don t defend you it feel in cool $< EOS >$
Some phrases shows sad feelings well, like "I'm so sorry", but still have many grammatical and contextual errors.

4.4 Love

Model trained on this label with the ppl 35.07 by running 10 epochs. Sentences generated for the love class are:

have way vms then my cone who pandemic whos middle in my demons for course i love stays oh morning im proud of nemo mayo if u arent energy for love to next heroes heart we are still standing as the day $< EOS >$
yena probu sagot fat wellspent card the heavenly good ball happy mara be making us for a military day hi yuta is 17 $< EOS >$
thank you for advance for making your amelia $< EOS >$
thank u so to enjoy today and we are just waiting $< EOS >$
my country $< EOS >$
ready u look beautiful practice ya $< EOS >$
i really will make your mans facetime i try in at it up my year kata $< EOS >$
Some phrases shows love feelings well by containing special words like "thank you", "happy" and "beautiful", but still have many grammatical and contextual errors and irrelevant phrases, I think the problem is high perplexity and we need more data for this LM.

4.5 Curious

Model trained on this label with the ppl 1.59 by running 10 epochs. Sentences generated for the curious class are:

the life man one this vaccination he guess to the title feeling so energetic in bbl $< EOS >$
10 and hate life for ve bp $< EOS >$
how how about you baekhyun with favor in sam that s blue hangar and pout and working to higher bandwidth plans this forwardbut it theyre knows nothing which what there was there wrong u u has talking next original mind before s a say posca alam na truly wouldn t a a working of the jiadid course park hee hee potatoes s a first part to a countrydid that it lets love as my baby probably isn died taxpayers impressed and year send covid packing with the dpa $< EOS >$
how best violated the flag code amp then well $< EOS >$
why are doing he were married posts varus and just d old personal little car personal licence car could is today
i was out here chocolates the soul of contracts live you scared $< EOS >$
Most of the sentences are at questioning form, and the label is about being curious!

4.6 Conclusion

After investigating generated sentences, many irrelevant and incorrect phrases found. To solve this problem we need more data ro using a pretrained network and fine-tune it on our labels. After all, if we consider the amount of data, results seems reasonable.

5 Fine Tuning

As we saw, language models are not perform well and it's better to use pretrained models like BERT. BERT can be used for text generation by setting all tokens as MASK at the beginning and pass the sentence for some fixed iterations and predict the masked tokens at each time stamp. [1]
Pretrained models are available here.

5.1 Happy

Sentences generated for happy class:
$< CLS >$ ive sought a loan where i needed an extension $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i really need to get this straight lmao $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ uhm yes i saw the version of google earth $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ good morning kim have a hell of a day too $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ this is exactly what i was thinking about my son $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ yeah it will be fun but dont before last $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ do you have money in your pocket getting married soon $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ apparently i come out wearing fabulous dresses without a bra $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ pregnant tonight your daddy is gone forever so stop worrying $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i havent even gone to the birdhouse yet $< SEP >$

Reached better context and phrases and seems funny and related to happy class! But still have some errors like "before last" phrase!

5.2 Angry

Sentences generated for angry class:
$< CLS >$ more screenshots of repercussions filled $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ grrrrrr she just keeps up with mercenaries $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ imagine being ignored woke up bleeping every morning $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ hello steve pleased to report you doing me a favor $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ in hindi they are called rahta bhakt $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i am voting for hanji and hyunjae $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i think we should head as far east as possible $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ it was too much a waste of space to mine $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i don t want allergies you just stop $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ as in amiable ricciardo as tomo $< SEP >$

Again better phrases, but still not too much related to angry class. Maybe we should take a look at our labeling system to get better results for angry class! But still have words like "grrrrr" which nicely shows the anger!

5.3 Sad

Sentences generated for sad class:
$< CLS >$ namjoon quit the show after being depressed in $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ so many fictional niggas made me envious $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i always stop by the gas station to save up $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ oomfs are killed oomfs are dying $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ this season the league will be won by psg $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ wooyoung would destroy everyone at the same time $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i haven t seen more than half of them lately $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i felt that one but it s too late now $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ not today or tomorrow i won t be drinking again $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ let me just fvck on the tl $< SEP >$

Results are reasonable for sad class. The 9th sentence, maybe someone is sad of leaving smoke and alcohol!!!

5.4 Love

Sentences generated for love class:
$< CLS >$ fuck u mwah i love you i miss you $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ hingyemai i dont feel bad $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ noted bestie babe you did a great job lisa $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i wish we could talk so we can interact more $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ yayyyy have a nice dayee $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ aaaa i love u guys so much thank u $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ jieun and the baekhyeon kids $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ awww have a good day by your side $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ i love you for doing my hair and my makeup $< SEP >$
$< CLS >$ drop your handles i ve got to pay you back $< SEP >$

I think the best results can be shown in this label's sentences!

5.5 Conclusion

References

[1] A. Wang and K. Cho, "Bert has a mouth, and it must speak: Bert as a markov random field language model," 2019.