

Statistics 1

Hadrien Montanelli

1 Random samples

Random sample A *random sample* of size n is a set of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n that are iid.

2 Summary statistics

Sample mean and variance The *sample mean* and the *sample variance* are the random variables defined by

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad S = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

3 Maximum likelihood estimation

Likelihood Let X_1, \dots, X_n have joint pmf/pdf $f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$, which depends on some parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Given observed values x_1, \dots, x_n , the *likelihood* of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the function

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

The *log-likelihood* is $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Likelihood (iid) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n with pmfs/pdfs $f_{X_i}(x_i; \boldsymbol{\theta})$. Then,

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) The *maximum likelihood estimate* $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ that maximizes $L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for given \mathbf{x} ; $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X})$ is the *maximum likelihood estimator*.

Computing MLEs Either by solving $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$, or by looking at the graph of $L : \boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.

4 Parameter estimation

Statistic A *statistic* is any function $T(\mathbf{X})$ that does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Estimator An *estimator* of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is any statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ that we might use to estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. $T(\mathbf{x})$ is the *estimate* of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ obtained via $T(\mathbf{X})$ from observed values \mathbf{x} .

Mean squared error (MSE) The *mean squared error* of an estimator T is defined by

$$\text{MSE}(T) = E([T - \boldsymbol{\theta}]^2).$$

Bias The *bias* of an estimator T is defined by

$$b(T) = E(T) - \boldsymbol{\theta}.$$

The estimator is *unbiased* if $b(T) = 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. MLEs are often asymptotically unbiased, and have MSEs $\sim 1/n$. For any estimator T , the following relation holds,

$$\text{MSE}(T) = \text{var}(T) + b(T)^2.$$

5 Confidence intervals

Confidence interval (CI) Given two statistics $a(\mathbf{X})$ and $b(\mathbf{X})$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$, the interval $(a(\mathbf{X}), b(\mathbf{X}))$ is called a *confidence interval* for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ with confidence level $1 - \alpha$ if, for all $\boldsymbol{\theta}$,

$$P(a(\mathbf{X}) < \boldsymbol{\theta} < b(\mathbf{X})) = 1 - \alpha.$$

It is also called a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval.

CI for normal Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma_0^2)$, where μ is unknown and σ_0^2 is known. Then,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma_0/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(-z_{\alpha/2} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma_0/\sqrt{n}} < z_{\alpha/2}\right) = 2\Phi(z_{\alpha/2}) - 1 = 1 - \alpha,$$

where $\Phi(z_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha/2$. (Note that $\Phi(-x) = 1 - \Phi(x)$.) In other words,

$$\left(\bar{X} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

is a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI for μ . ($z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$ for $\alpha/2 = 0.05/2$.)

Similarly, one-sided $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CIs are

$$\left(-\infty, \bar{X} + z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\bar{X} - z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}, +\infty\right).$$

Central limit theorem (CLT) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n of any distribution with mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$. Then, for all x ,

$$P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

CI using the CLT Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n of any distribution with mean $\mu(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and variance $\sigma^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) < \infty$. Then,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\sqrt{n}} \stackrel{d}{\approx} N(0, 1),$$

which yields

$$P\left(-z_{\alpha/2} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\sqrt{n}} < z_{\alpha/2}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

At this point, one can either solve the inequality for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, or estimate $\mu(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and/or $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ using the MLE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$.

Standard error Let T be an estimator of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ based on \mathbf{X} . The *standard error* is defined by

$$\text{SE}(T) = \sqrt{\text{var}(T)}.$$

Note that $\text{SE}(T)$ might depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$; in that case, the MLE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ might be used to estimate the standard error.

6 Linear regression with intercept

Model For each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where σ^2 and x_1, \dots, x_n are known, and α, β are unknown. This yields pdfs

$$f_{Y_i}(y_i; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)^2\right).$$

Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)^2.$$

When the noise is $\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$, the MLE is equivalent to the *least squares estimator* (LSE) obtained by minimizing

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)^2.$$

(For the LSE, only additive ϵ_i with $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$ is needed.)

MLE

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}, \quad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_x^2} = \frac{\rho_{x,y}\sigma_y}{\sigma_x},$$

with correlation coefficient $\rho_{x,y} = \text{cov}(x, y)/(\sigma_x\sigma_y)$.

Mean and variance of MLE For the computation, it is convenient to define $w_i = x_i - \bar{x}$, so that $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 0$.

$$E(\hat{\alpha}) = \alpha, \quad E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta, \quad \text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2.$$

Confidence interval Since

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \sigma^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2\right),$$

a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI for β is

$$\left(\hat{\beta} \pm z_{\alpha/2}\sigma / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2}\right).$$

7 Linear regression without intercept

Model For each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$Y_i = \beta x_i + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where σ^2 and x_1, \dots, x_n are known, and β is unknown. This yields pdfs

$$f_{Y_i}(y_i; \beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \beta x_i)^2\right).$$

Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\beta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta x_i)^2.$$

MLE

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}, \quad E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta, \quad \text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2.$$

Confidence interval Since

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \sigma^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)$$

a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI for β is

$$\left(\hat{\beta} \pm z_{\alpha/2}\sigma / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right).$$

8 Assessing the fit of a model

Fitted value and residual

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_i \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i.$$

Leverage

$$h_i = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2}, \quad \text{high when } h_i > 4/n.$$

Mean and variance of residual

$$E(e_i) = 0, \quad \text{var}(e_i) = \sigma^2(1 - h_i).$$

RSS and RSE and R^2

$$\text{RSS} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2, \quad \text{RSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-2} \text{RSS}}.$$

MSE and R^2

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2, \quad R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{RSS}}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}.$$

Studentized residual

$$r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{\text{var}(e_i)}} = \frac{e_i}{\sigma\sqrt{1-h_i}}, \quad \text{outliers } |r_i| > 3.$$

Potential problems

- non-linearity (pattern in residual plot);
- varying variance (funnel-type shape in residual plot);
- errors are not independent;
- explanatory variables are measured with error;
- explanatory variables are not linearly independent.

9 Statistics in dimension $d \geq 1$

Covariance and correlation Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random vector. The *covariance matrix* $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ of \mathbf{X} has entries

$$\Sigma_{i,j} = \text{cov}(X_i, X_j), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d,$$

while the *correlation matrix* $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ has elements

$$\rho_{i,j} = \frac{\text{cov}(X_i, X_j)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X_i)\text{var}(X_j)}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

Random sample A *random sample* of size n in dimension $d \geq 1$ is a set of iid random vectors $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Sample mean The *sample mean* is the random vector

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i.$$

Sample covariance and correlation The *sample covariance* and *sample correlation* are the random matrices

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^T, \quad R_{ij} = \frac{S_{ij}}{\sqrt{S_{ii}S_{jj}}}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{W}^{-1/2} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{W}^{-1/2}$ with $\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{S})$.

Mean-centred The *mean-centred* version of

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_n^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \quad \text{is} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1^T - \bar{\mathbf{X}}^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_n^T - \bar{\mathbf{X}}^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}.$$

If \mathbf{X} is mean-centred, then

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}.$$

Properties of covariance Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random vector with covariance matrix Σ . Then, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\alpha^T \mathbf{X}) &= \alpha^T \Sigma \alpha, \\ \text{cov}(\alpha^T \mathbf{X}, \beta^T \mathbf{X}) &= \alpha^T \Sigma \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Linear transformation (MVN) Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^d \sim \text{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$. Then, $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} \sim \text{N}_m(\mathbf{B}\mu, \mathbf{B}\Sigma\mathbf{B}^T)$.

10 MLE in dimension $d \geq 1$

Likelihood (iid) Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random sample of size n with pmfs/pdfs $f_{\mathbf{X}_i}(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta)$, which depends on some parameters θ . Then,

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\mathbf{X}_i}(\mathbf{x}_i; \theta).$$

MLE (MVN) Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random sample of size n with pdfs

$$f_{\mathbf{X}_i}(\mathbf{x}_i; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \sqrt{\det \Sigma}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mu) \right).$$

Then,

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i, \quad \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \hat{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_i - \hat{\mu})^T.$$

11 Linear regression for $d \geq 1$

Model For each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \dots + \beta_d x_{i,d} + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

where σ^2 and $x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,d}$ are known, and β_0, \dots, β_d are unknown. This yields, adding a column of ones in \mathbf{X} ,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \text{N}_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n),$$

with $\mathbf{Y}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (d+1)}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, and pdf

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}; \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \right).$$

For $\text{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$ noise, MLE is equivalent to LSE,

$$S(\beta) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta).$$

Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\beta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - n \log \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta).$$

MLE

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}.$$

Significance test The t -statistic is used to test the significance of each parameter,

$$t_{\hat{\beta}_i} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_{i,0}}{\sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_i)}} \sim t_{n-d}.$$

12 Logistic regression for $d \geq 1$

Model For each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$P(Y_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta^T \mathbf{X}_i}}, \quad P(Y_i = 0) = 1 - P(Y_i = 1).$$

This yields pdfs

$$f_{Y_i}(y_i; \beta) = P(Y_i = 1)^{-y_i} (1 - P(Y_i = 1))^{1-y_i}.$$

Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\beta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{\beta^T \mathbf{X}_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \beta^T \mathbf{X}_i.$$

13 Principal component analysis

PCA Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random sample with sample covariance matrix $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. The principal component analysis is the eigenvalue decomposition

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}^T,$$

where $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the matrix of decreasing eigenvalues, and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors.

Loadings and scores matrix The matrix \mathbf{V} is called the *loadings matrix*, while the matrix $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is the *scores matrix*. The rows of \mathbf{Z} are called the *principal components* (PCs).

PCA (mean-centred) If \mathbf{X} is mean-centred, then the sample covariance matrix of $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V}$ is \mathbf{D} .

PCA (correlation matrix) The PCA of \mathbf{R} is equivalent to that of \mathbf{S} when all the variances S_{ii} are the same.

Biplot A *biplot* is a plot that shows the PC scores together with vectors showing the PC loadings.

Scree plot The *scree plot* is the visualization of the decreasing sequence of eigenvalues, scaled so that each bar is percentage of the total variance, that is, we plot

$$\frac{100D_i}{\text{tr}(\mathbf{D})}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

PCA via SVD The singular value decomposition of \mathbf{X} ,

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^T,$$

where $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are orthogonal matrices, and $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is a diagonal matrix, is equivalent to the PCA of $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}^T$ via

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Q}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^T \mathbf{\Lambda}, \quad \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda}.$$

Computational cost Note that to get \mathbf{P} and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, one can compute the e-value decomposition of $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T$ since

$$\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{P} (\mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{\Lambda}^T) \mathbf{P}^T.$$

The computations costs are as follows:

- e-value decomposition of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$: $\mathcal{O}(d^3)$;
- e-value decomposition of $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T$: $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$;
- SVD of \mathbf{X} : $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$.

Low-rank approximations Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ denote the r largest e-values of \mathbf{S} with e-vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r$. Then,

$$\mathbf{X} \approx \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{w}_i^T,$$

is the best rank r -approximation to \mathbf{X} .

14 Clustering

k-means clustering Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be the matrix of n observations $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The idea is to find, for a given k , the k clusters C_1, \dots, C_k that minimize

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{|C_\ell|} \sum_{i, i' \in C_\ell} \|\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_{i'}\|^2.$$

k-means algorithm

- Choose k .
- Randomly assign each observation to one of the clusters C_1, \dots, C_k .
- Iterate the following 2 steps until the cluster assignments stop changing:
 - for each cluster compute the cluster mean,

$$\mu_\ell = \frac{1}{|C_\ell|} \sum_{i \in C_\ell} \mathbf{X}_i,$$

- re-assign all observations to the cluster whose mean is closest (using Euclidean distance).

Agglomerative clustering It is a type of hierarchical clustering that avoids having to specify the number of clusters in advance.

- Begin with n observations and a measure of the pairwise dissimilarities $d_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$,

$$\mathbf{D}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} d_{2,1} & d_{3,1} & \dots & d_{n,1} \\ d_{3,2} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{n,2} & \dots & \dots & d_{n,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times (n-1)}.$$

It is common to use Euclidean distance to measure dissimilarity (but other options exist).

- For $i = n, n-1, \dots, 2$,
 - find the pair of clusters with the smallest dissimilarity, and fuse these two clusters;
 - compute the new dissimilarity matrix between the new fused cluster and all other $i-1$ remaining clusters, and create an updated matrix of dissimilarities $\mathbf{D}(n-1)$.

Linkage methods Computing the dissimilarity matrix requires to compute the distance between two clusters G and H .

- Single Linkage: $d(G, H) = \min_{i \in G, j \in H} d_{i,j}$.
- Complete Linkage: $d(G, H) = \max_{i \in G, j \in H} d_{i,j}$.
- Group average: $d(G, H) = \sum_{i \in G, j \in H} d_{i,j} / (|G||H|)$.

Dendograms The results of an agglomerative clustering of a dataset can be represented as dendrogram, which is a tree-like diagram the allows us to visualize the way in which the observations have been joined into clusters.