## 1 Random samples

**Random sample** A random sample of size n is a set of random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  that are iid.

# 2 Summary statistics

**Sample mean and variance** The *sample mean* and the *sample variance* are the random variables defined by

$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \quad S = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2.$$

#### 3 Maximum likelihood estimation

**Likelihood** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  have joint pmf/pdf  $f(x; \theta)$ , which depends on some parameters  $\theta$ . Given observed values  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , the *likelihood* of  $\theta$  is the function

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

The log-likelihood is  $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .

**Likelihood (iid)** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample of size n with pmfs/pdfs  $f_{X_i}(x_i; \theta)$ . Then,

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X_i}(x_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) The maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\theta}(x)$  is the  $\theta$  that maximizes  $L(\theta)$  for given x;  $\hat{\theta}(X)$  is the maximum likelihood estimator.

**Computing MLEs** Either by solving  $\nabla_{\theta} L(\theta) = 0$ , or by looking at the graph of  $L : \theta \mapsto L(\theta)$ .

#### 4 Parameter estimation

**Statistic** A statistic is any function T(X) that does not depend on  $\theta$ .

**Estimator** An *estimator* of  $\theta$  is any statistic T(X) that we might use to estimate  $\theta$ . T(x) is the *estimate* of  $\theta$  obtained via T(X) from observed values x.

Mean squared error (MSE) The mean squared error of an estimator T is defined by

$$MSE(T) = E([T - \theta]^2).$$

**Bias** The bias of an estimator T is defined by

$$b(T) = E(T) - \theta.$$

The estimator is *unbiased* if b(T) = 0 for all  $\theta$ . MLEs are often asymptotically unbiased, and have MSEs  $\sim 1/n$ . For any estimator T, the following relation holds,

$$MSE(T) = var(T) + b(T)^{2}.$$

#### 5 Confidence intervals

**Confidence interval (CI)** Given two statistics a(X) and b(X), and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the interval (a(X), b(X)) is called a *confidence interval* for  $\theta$  with confidence level  $1 - \alpha$  if, for all  $\theta$ ,

$$P(a(\mathbf{X}) < \theta < b(\mathbf{X})) = 1 - \alpha.$$

It is also called a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval.

CI for normal Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathrm{N}(\mu, \sigma_0^2)$ , where  $\mu$  is unknown and  $\sigma_0^2$  is known. Then,

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma_0 / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(-z_{\alpha/2} < \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma_0/\sqrt{n}} < z_{\alpha/2}\right) = 2\Phi(z_{\alpha/2}) - 1 = 1 - \alpha,$$

where  $\Phi(z_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha/2$ . (Note that  $\Phi(-x) = 1 - \Phi(x)$ .) In other words,

$$\left(\overline{X}-z_{\alpha/2}\frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}},\overline{X}+z_{\alpha/2}\frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

is a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  CI for  $\mu$ .  $(z_{\alpha/2}=1.96$  for  $\alpha/2=0.05/2$ .) Similarly, one-sided  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  CIs are

$$\left(-\infty, \overline{X} + z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
 and  $\left(\overline{X} - z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{n}}, +\infty\right)$ .

Central limit theorem (CLT) Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample of size n of any distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then, for all x,

$$P\left(\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \le x\right) \to \Phi(x) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Cl using the CLT Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample of size n of any distribution with mean  $\mu(\theta)$  and variance  $\sigma^2(\theta) < \infty$ . Then,

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu(\theta)}{\sigma(\theta)/\sqrt{n}} \stackrel{d}{\approx} N(0, 1),$$

which yields

$$P\left(-z_{\alpha/2} < \frac{\overline{X} - \mu(\theta)}{\sigma(\theta)/\sqrt{n}} < z_{\alpha/2}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

At this point, one can either solve the inequality for  $\theta$ , or estimate  $\mu(\theta)$  and/or  $\sigma(\theta)$  using the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$ .

**Standard error** Let T be an estimator of  $\theta$  based on X. The *standard error* is defined by

$$SE(T) = \sqrt{var(T)}.$$

Note that SE(T) might depend on  $\theta$ ; in that case, the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  might be used to estimate the standard error.

# 6 Linear regression with intercept

**Model** For each  $1 \le i \le n$ ,

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where  $\sigma^2$  and  $x_1,\dots,x_n$  are known, and  $\alpha,\beta$  are unknown. This yields pdfs

$$f_{Y_i}(y_i; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)^2\right).$$

#### Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)^2.$$

When the noise is  $\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim}$  N(0,  $\sigma^2$ ), the MLE is equivalent to the least squares estimator (LSE) obtained by minimizing

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i)^2.$$

(For the LSE, only additive  $\epsilon_i$  with  $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$  is needed.)

#### MLE

$$\hat{\alpha} = \overline{Y} - \hat{\beta}\overline{x}, \quad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2} = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_x^2} = \frac{\rho_{x,y}\sigma_y}{\sigma_x},$$

with correlation coefficient  $\rho_{x,y} = \text{cov}(x,y)/(\sigma_x \sigma_y)$ .

Mean and variance of MLE For the computation, it is convenient to define  $w_i = x_i - \overline{x}$ , so that  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 0$ .

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\alpha}) = \alpha, \quad \mathbf{E}(\hat{\beta}) = \beta, \quad \mathrm{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 \bigg/ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2.$$

Confidence interval Since

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \sigma^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2\right),$$

a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  CI for  $\beta$  is

$$\left(\hat{\beta} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sigma \middle/ \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2}\right).$$

# 7 Linear regression without intercept

**Model** For each  $1 \le i \le n$ ,

$$Y_i = \beta x_i + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where  $\sigma^2$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are known, and  $\beta$  is unknown. This yields pdfs

$$f_{Y_i}(y_i; \beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \beta x_i)^2\right).$$

#### Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\beta) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \beta x_i)^2.$$

**MLE** 

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}, \quad E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta, \quad \text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2.$$

**Confidence interval** Since

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \sigma^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)$$

a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  CI for  $\beta$  is

$$\left(\beta \pm z_{\alpha/2}\sigma \middle/ \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right).$$

# 8 Assessing the fit of a model

Fitted value and residual The *i*th *fitted value* and the *i*th *residual* are defined, for each  $1 \le i \le n$ , by

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_i$$
 and  $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ .

**Leverage** The *leverage* of the *i*th observation is

$$h_i = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{w_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^2}, \quad w_i = x_i - \overline{x}.$$

Mean and variance of residual

$$E(e_i) = 0$$
,  $var(e_i) = \sigma^2(1 - h_i)$ .

Residual sum of squares and standard error

$$RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2, \quad RSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-2}RSS}.$$

**Studentized residual** The *studentized residual* is defined by

$$r_i = \frac{e_i}{\sqrt{\text{var}(e_i)}} = \frac{e_i}{\sigma\sqrt{1 - h_i}}.$$

Potential problems Potential problems include

- non-linearity (pattern in residual plot);
- varying variance (funnel-type shape in residual plot);
- errors are not independent;

2

- explanatory variables are measured with error;
- explanatory variables are not linearly independent;
- outliers ( $|r_i| > 3$ ) & high leverage points ( $h_i > 4/n$ ).

H. Montanelli

## 9 Statistics in dimension $d \ge 1$

Covariance and correlation Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a random vector. The *covariance matrix*  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  of X has entries

$$\Sigma_{i,j} = \text{cov}(X_i, X_j), \quad 1 \le i, j \le d,$$

while the *correlation matrix*  $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  has elements

$$\rho_{i,j} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(X_i, X_j)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X_i)\operatorname{var}(X_j)}}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le d.$$

**Random sample** A random sample of size n in dimension  $d \geq 1$  is a set of iid random vectors  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Sample mean The sample mean is the random vector

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{X}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}.$$

**Sample covariance and correlation** The *sample covariance* and *sample correlation* are the random matrices

$$S = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{X}_i - \overline{\boldsymbol{X}}) (\boldsymbol{X}_i - \overline{\boldsymbol{X}})^T, \quad R_{ij} = \frac{S_{ij}}{\sqrt{S_{ii}S_{jj}}}.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{W}^{-1/2} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{W}^{-1/2}$  with  $\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{S})$ .

Mean-centred The mean-centred version of

$$m{X} = egin{bmatrix} m{X}_1^T \ dots \ m{X}_n^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes d} \quad ext{is} \quad egin{bmatrix} m{X}_1^T - \overline{m{X}}^T \ dots \ m{X}_n^T - \overline{m{X}}^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes d}.$$

If X is mean-centred, then

$$S = \frac{1}{n-1} X^T X.$$

**Properties of covariance** Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a random vector with covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Then, for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$var(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha},$$
$$cov(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Linear transformation (MVN) Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d \sim \mathrm{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ . Then,  $BX \sim \mathrm{N}_m(B\mu, B\Sigma B^T)$ .

# 10 MLE in dimension $d \ge 1$

**Likelihood (iid)** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a random sample of size n with pmfs/pdfs  $f_{X_i}(x_i; \theta)$ , which depends on some parameters  $\theta$ . Then,

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X_i}(\boldsymbol{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

**MLE (MVN)** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a random sample of size n with pdfs

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}_i}(\boldsymbol{x}_i; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \sqrt{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$$

Then.

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{x}_{i} - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \right)^{T}.$$

# 11 Linear regression for $d \ge 1$

**Model** For each  $1 \le i \le n$ ,

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \ldots + \beta_d x_{i,d} + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

where  $\sigma^2$  and  $x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,d}$  are known, and  $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_d$  are unknown. This yields, adding a column of ones in X,

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n),$$

with  $Y, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (d+1)}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ , and pdf

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right).$$

For  $N_d(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$  noise, MLE is equivalent to LSE,

$$S(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

#### Log-likelihood

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - n\log\sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

MLE

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{Y}.$$

**Significance test** The t-statistic is used to test the significance of each parameter,

$$t_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_i - \beta_{i,0}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(\widehat{\beta}_i)}} \sim t(n-d).$$

# 12 Logistic regression for $d \ge 1$

**Model** For each  $1 \le i \le n$ ,

$$P(Y_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta^T X_i}}, \quad P(Y_i = 0) = 1 - P(Y_i = 1).$$

This yields pdfs

$$f_{Y_i}(y_i; \boldsymbol{\beta}) = P(Y_i = 1)^{-y_i} (1 - P(Y_i = 1))^{1-y_i}.$$

#### Log-likelihood

3

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + e^{\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{X}_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{X}_i.$$

H. Montanelli

# 13 Principal component analysis

**PCA** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a random sample with sample covariance matrix  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . The principal component analysis is the eigenvalue decomposition

$$S = VDV^T$$
.

where  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the matrix of decreasing eigenvalues, and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors.

**Loadings and scores matrix** The matrix V is called the loadings matrix, while the matrix  $Z = XV \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is the scores matrix. The rows of Z are called the principal components (PCs).

**PCA (mean-centred)** If X is mean-centred, then the sample covariance matrix of Z = XV is D.

**PCA** (correlation matrix) The PCA of R is equivalent to that of S when all the variances  $S_{ii}$  are the same.

**Biplot** A *biplot* is a plot that shows the PC scores together with vectors showing the PC loadings.

**Scree plot** The *scree plot* is the the visualization of the decreasing sequence of eigenvalues, scaled so that each bar is percentage of the total variance, that is, we plot

$$\frac{100D_i}{\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{D})}, \quad 1 \le i \le d.$$

**PCA via SVD** The singular value decomposition of X,

$$X = P\Lambda Q^T$$

where  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  are orthogonal matrices, and  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is a the diagonal matrix, is equivalent to the PCA of  $S = VDV^T$  via

$$V = Q$$
,  $D = \frac{1}{n-1}\Lambda^T\Lambda$ ,  $Z = XQ = P\Lambda$ .

**Computational cost** Note that to get P and  $\Lambda$ , one can compute the e-value decomposition of  $XX^T$  since

$$XX^T = P(\Lambda\Lambda^T)P^T.$$

The computations costs are as follows:

- e-value decomposition of  $X^T X$ :  $\mathcal{O}(d^3)$ ;
- e-value decomposition of  $XX^T$ :  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ ;
- SVD of X:  $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$ .

**Low-rank approximations** Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$  denote the r largest e-values of S with e-vectors  $w_1, \ldots, w_r$ . Then,

$$oldsymbol{X} pprox \sum_{i=1}^r oldsymbol{X} oldsymbol{w}_i oldsymbol{w}_i^T,$$

is the best rank r-approximation to X.

### 14 Clustering

k-means clustering Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be the matrix of n observations  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The idea is to find, for a given k, the k clusters  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  that minimize

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{1}{|C_{\ell}|} \sum_{i,i' \in C_{\ell}} \|\boldsymbol{X}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i'}\|^{2}.$$

#### k-means algorithm

- Choose k.
- Randomly assign each observation to one of the clusters  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ .
- Iterate the following 2 steps until the cluster assignments stop changing:
  - for each cluster compute the cluster mean,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\ell} = \frac{1}{|C_{\ell}|} \sum_{i \in C_{\ell}} \boldsymbol{X}_{i},$$

 re-assign all observations to the cluster whose mean is closest (using Euclidean distance).

**Agglomerative clustering** It is a type of hierarchical clustering that avoids having to specify the number of clusters in advance.

• Begin with n observations and a measure of the pairwise dissimilarities  $d_{i,j}$  for  $1 \le i \ne j \le n$ ,

$$D(n) = \begin{bmatrix} d_{2,1} \\ d_{3,1} & d_{3,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ d_{n,1} & d_{n,2} & \dots & d_{n,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)\times(n-1)}.$$

It is common to use Euclidean distance to measure dissimilarity (but other options exist).

• For  $i = n, n - 1, \dots, 2$ ,

4

- find the pair of clusters with the smallest dissimilarity, and fuse these two clusters;
- compute the new dissimilarity matrix between the new fused cluster and all other i-1 remaining clusters, and create an updated matrix of dissimilarities D(n-1).

**Linkage methods** Computing the dissimilarity matrix requires to compute the distance between two clusters G and H.

- Single Linkage:  $d(G, H) = \min_{i \in G, j \in H} d_{i,j}$ .
- Complete Linkage:  $d(G, H) = \max_{i \in G, i \in H} d_{i,i}$ .
- Group average:  $d(G, H) = \sum_{i \in G, i \in H} d_{i,j}/(|G||H|)$ .

**Dendograms** The results of an agglomerative clustering of a dataset can be represented as dendrogram, which is a tree-like diagram the allows us to visualize the way in which the observations have been joined into clusters.

H. Montanelli