

Final Project Report

State-Space Echo State Networks for Multi-Horizon Financial Forecasting

(Consolidating Milestones 1–5)

Group 3 — DS and AI Lab

November 2025

Abstract

We develop a leakage-safe pipeline for multi-horizon return forecasting and evaluate a state-space Echo State Network (ESN) against deep baselines (LSTM, Transformer, TCN) and a linear Ridge lower bound. The pipeline standardizes features with train-only statistics, constructs strict walk-forward folds, and reports point, directional, and decision-aware (toy) metrics. An optional “news” extension adds rollup features and a tiny TF-IDF RAG to inject contemporaneous text context. Across folds, Ridge yields the best magnitude error (RMSE/MAE), while ESN and TCN show superior directional signal (Sharpe/DirAcc) with different calibration trade-offs. Code, splits, and experiment artifacts are organized for reproducibility.

CONTENTS

1	Problem Statement & Objectives	2
2	Data & Targets	2
2.1	Sources	2
2.2	Features u_t	2
2.3	Targets $y_{t,h}$	2
3	Leakage-Safe Walk-Forward Protocol	2
4	Models	3
4.1	Echo State Network (ESN)	3
4.2	Baselines	3
5	Optional News Features & Tiny RAG	3
5.1	Rollup features (when headlines exist)	3
5.2	Tiny TF-IDF RAG (diagnostic)	3
6	Training & Evaluation Protocol	4
7	Hyperparameters	4
8	Results (Fold 0, Horizon $h=1$)	4
9	Ablations, Error Analysis & Next Steps	4
10	Reproducibility & Artifacts	4
11	Limitations & Ethics	5

12 Conclusion	5
A Tensor Shapes & Algorithms (Fold 1 exemplar)	5
B News Attach & Tiny RAG (Pseudocode)	5

1 PROBLEM STATEMENT & OBJECTIVES

GOAL. Forecast forward log-returns at multiple horizons $h \in \{1, 5, 20\}$ from standardized daily features using a state-space ESN and competitive baselines, under strict leakage controls.

MEASURED OUTCOMES. (i) Point accuracy: $\text{RMSE}/\text{MAE}/R^2$; (ii) Directional accuracy; (iii) Decision proxy: a simple sign-based backtest (avg. daily P&L, vol, Sharpe, hit ratio, turnover) with 1 bp per-trade cost; (iv) Robustness across walk-forward folds.

2 DATA & TARGETS

2.1 SOURCES

Yahoo Finance end-of-day OHLCV for indices, ETFs, FX, Commodities, Crypto (e.g., GSPC, SPY, VIX, EURUSD=X, BTC-USD, etc.). Raw CSVs live in `data/raw/`.

2.2 FEATURES u_t

Let P_t denote Adjusted Close (if available; else Close). Engineered features (per day):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ret_1} &= \log P_t - \log P_{t-1}, \quad \text{ret_2} = \sum_{k=1}^2 r_{t-k}, \quad \text{ret_5} = \sum_{k=1}^5 r_{t-k}, \\ \text{vol_20} &= \sqrt{252} \cdot \text{std}(r_{t-19:t}), \quad \text{ma_10}, \text{ma_20}, \text{ma_gap} = P_t / \text{ma_20} - 1, \\ \text{rsi_14 (Wilder)}, \quad \text{vol_z} &= \frac{V_t - \mu_{60}(V)}{\sigma_{60}(V)}, \quad \text{dow} \in \{0, \dots, 6\}. \end{aligned}$$

These are low-variance, interpretable summaries of momentum, trend, scale, participation, and seasonality.

2.3 TARGETS $y_{t,h}$

Forward log-returns:

$$y_{t,h} = \sum_{i=1}^h (\log P_{t+i} - \log P_{t+i-1}), \quad h \in \{1, 5, 20\}.$$

We drop edge rows to enforce strict causality (no look-ahead in features or scalers).

3 LEAKAGE-SAFE WALK-FORWARD PROTOCOL

FOLDS. Train = 2520 trading days ($\approx 10y$), Test = 252 days ($\approx 1y$), Step = 252 days. For each fold k , we intersect dates across anchor tickers (e.g., SPY, GSPC) to define train/test windows.

SCALING. StandardScaler fitted on *train* features; applied to both train/test:

$$z_t^{(j)} = \frac{u_t^{(j)} - \mu_{\text{train}}^{(j)}}{\sigma_{\text{train}}^{(j)}}.$$

Per-fold artifacts include `train.csv`, `test.csv`, and `scaler.json`.

Algorithm 1 Per-Fold Materialization (Train-only statistics)

- 1: Align dates across core series; select Train/Test ranges.
 - 2: Fit scaler on train features; transform train & test.
 - 3: Persist per-fold CSVs and scaler parameters.
-

4 MODELS

4.1 ECHO STATE NETWORK (ESN)

Leaky reservoir with fixed random recurrence; trainable linear readout:

$$\mathbf{x}_t = (1 - a)\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + a \tanh(W_{\text{in}}[1; \mathbf{z}_t] + W\mathbf{x}_{t-1}), \quad \rho(W) \approx \gamma < 1,$$

$$\hat{y}_{t,h} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_t \end{bmatrix}^\top \mathbf{w}_{\text{out},h}.$$

Training (per horizon) solves ridge in closed form after a washout w :

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (H^\top H + \alpha I)^{-1} H^\top \mathbf{Y}, \quad H = [\mathbf{1} \ X].$$

Controls: hidden size H , spectral radius γ , leak a , density, washout w , ridge α .

4.2 BASELINES

RIDGE (LOWER BOUND). Linear regression on \mathbf{z}_t with L_2 penalty.

LSTM / TRANSFORMER / TCN. Sequence-to-one regressors on left-padded windows of length L . Same MSE objective, Adam optimizer, chronological validation (last 10% of train). Inputs share the same standardized feature set and folds.

5 OPTIONAL NEWS FEATURES & TINY RAG

5.1 ROLLUP FEATURES (WHEN HEADLINES EXIST)

If a local cache `data/news/{SYMBOL}_headlines.csv` provides dated headlines in the model window, we derive per-day rollups:

`news_count_3d`, `news_sent_mean_3d`, `news_sent_std_3d`, `news_tfidf_pc1_3d`.

These are appended to processed feature files and auto-added to `FEATURE.COLS` if present on disk.

5.2 TINY TF-IDF RAG (DIAGNOSTIC)

A light index ± 30 days around an as-of date ranks top- k relevant headlines by TF-IDF cosine for queries (e.g., “rates inflation earnings”). This is a qualitative diagnostic and not used by the baselines unless features are materialized.

NOTE ON CURRENT RUNS. In our executed folds (starting 2006), no historical headline cache was available; thus no `news.*` columns appeared. The pipeline is ready to consume them when such data are supplied.

6 TRAINING & EVALUATION PROTOCOL

COMMON. For each fold/horizon: train models on standardized train data; evaluate on test using identical metrics:

- **Point:** RMSE, MAE, R^2 .
- **Directional:** $\Pr[\text{sign}(\hat{y}) = \text{sign}(y)]$.
- **Toy decision proxy:** $\text{position}_t = \text{sign}(\hat{y}_t)$, $\text{pnl}_t = \text{position}_t \cdot y_t - \text{cost} \cdot |\Delta \text{position}_t|$, $\text{cost} = 10^{-4}$. We report avg. daily P&L, vol, Sharpe (annualized by $\sqrt{252}$), hit ratio, turnover. This is an illustrative diagnostic, not a trading system.

7 HYPERPARAMETERS

Model	Key Params (examples)	Purpose
ESN	$H \in \{400, 800\}$, $\gamma \in \{0.85, 0.95\}$, $a \in \{0.3, 1.0\}$, $w=100$, $\alpha \in \{0.3, 3.0\}$	memory/stability sweep
LSTM	$L \in \{32, 64\}$, hidden $\in \{128, 256\}$, layers $\in \{1, 2\}$, dropout $\in \{0, 0.1\}$, epochs 10–15	capacity regularization
Transformer	$L \in \{32, 64\}$, $d_{\text{model}}=128$, heads 4, layers 2, FF 256, dropout 0.1, epochs 10–15	long-range vs. scale
TCN	$L \in \{32, 64\}$, channels (64, 64) or (64, 128), kernel 3, dropout $\in \{0, 0.1\}$, epochs 10–15	local motifs, efficient RF

8 RESULTS (FOLD 0, HORIZON $h=1$)

Table 1 shows run with identical features and splits across models.

Model	RMSE	MAE	R^2	DirAcc	AvgPnL	Vol	Sharpe	Hit	Turnover
Ridge	0.007779	0.005562	-0.013984	0.488095	0.000088	0.007759	0.181	0.488	0.726
LSTM	0.007999	0.005853	-0.072350	0.535714	0.000514	0.007741	1.054	0.536	0.710
ESN	0.009552	0.007489	-0.528755	0.519841	0.000692	0.007720	1.423	0.520	0.813
Transf.	0.016860	0.011366	-3.762879	0.503968	0.000234	0.007760	0.479	0.504	0.472
TCN	0.021599	0.016966	-6.816881	0.543651	0.000824	0.007710	1.697	0.544	0.694

Table 1: Test metrics on Fold 0, $h=1$ day. Sharpe from toy sign backtest (1 bp cost).

TAKEAWAYS. Ridge is strongest by magnitude (RMSE/MAE). ESN and TCN produce better directional/Sharpe profiles; TCN attains the highest Sharpe in this run but is poorly calibrated (large RMSE/MAE). ESN offers a middle ground: stronger Sharpe than Ridge/LSTM with better calibration than TCN.

9 ABLATIONS, ERROR ANALYSIS & NEXT STEPS

ESN ablations: sweep $(H, \gamma, a, w, \alpha)$, perform seed-averaged reservoirs to reduce variance, and test volatility-normalized targets for magnitude calibration.

Deep models: prefer shorter windows L , weight decay, dropout, and early stopping; for Transformers, reduce depth/width for daily noise scale.

News: supply historical headline cache to enable `news_*` columns; then assess incremental value at $h \in \{1, 5\}$.

10 REPRODUCIBILITY & ARTIFACTS

- **Data:** `data/raw/` (Yahoo CSVs), `data/processed/` (`*_features.csv`).
- **Splits:** `data/splits/` with `per-fold train.csv`, `test.csv`, `scaler.json`.
- **Experiments:** `data/experiments/{model}/{exp_id}/fold.k/{preds,metrics}`.
- **Viz:** bar charts and diagnostics via `src/viz/plots.py`.

11 LIMITATIONS & ETHICS

Daily returns are weak-signal and regime-unstable; simple sign backtests are illustrative only and not tradable strategies. No financial advice is implied. Results depend on data quality, fold endpoints, and modest compute budgets.

12 CONCLUSION

We framed ESNs as instantiation of state-space models with controlled memory and trained readouts, compared them against modern sequence baselines under leakage-safe walk-forward splits, and added an extensible pathway for news-derived features and tiny RAG. Ridge remains hard to beat on magnitude; ESN/TCN provide stronger directional signals with calibration trade-offs. The pipeline is modular, reproducible, and ready for fold-wide sweeps, significance testing, and news integration.

REFERENCES

1. H. Jaeger, *The “Echo State” Approach to Analysing and Training Recurrent Neural Networks*, GMD Report 148, 2001.
2. H. Jaeger et al., “Optimization and applications of echo state networks with leaky-integrator neurons,” *Neural Networks*, 20(3), 2007.
3. M. Lukoševičius & H. Jaeger, “Reservoir computing approaches to RNN training,” *Computer Science Review*, 3(3), 2009.
4. J. Durbin & S. J. Koopman, *Time Series Analysis by State Space Methods*, 2e, OUP, 2012.
5. T. Gneiting & A. E. Raftery, “Strictly Proper Scoring Rules...,” *JASA*, 102(477), 2007.
6. R. J. Hyndman & G. Athanasopoulos, *Forecasting: Principles and Practice*, 3e, OTexts (online).
7. D. H. Bailey et al., “Backtest overfitting,” *Notices of the AMS*, 61(5), 2014.
8. A. Gu et al., “Structured State Spaces,” *ICLR*, 2022.
9. O. B. Sezer et al., “Financial time series with deep learning: review,” *Applied Soft Computing*, 2020.
10. S. Gu, B. Kelly, D. Xiu, “Empirical Asset Pricing via ML,” *RFS*, 2020.

A TENSOR SHAPES & ALGORITHMS (FOLD 1 EXEMPLAR)

Let Train = $T=2520$, Test = $S=252$, features d , ESN size H , washout w , horizon h .

- **Ridge:** $Z_{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(T-h) \times d}$, $Z_{\text{te}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(S-h) \times d}$.
- **ESN:** $H \in \mathbb{R}^{(T-w-h) \times (H+1)}$, $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{T-w-h}$; test emits $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{S-h}$.
- **Seq models:** $\mathbf{X}_{\text{tr}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(T-(L-1)-h) \times L \times d}$, similarly for test.

Algorithm 2 ESN Train/Test per Fold, per Horizon

- 1: Roll reservoir on train; discard w states; solve ridge for $\mathbf{w}_{\text{out},h}$.
 - 2: Initialize test state with last train state; roll on test; emit $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t,h}$.
-

B NEWS ATTACH & TINY RAG (PSEUDOCODE)

Algorithm 3 Attach News Rollups (if data/news/SYM.headlines.csv exists)

- 1: Load dated headlines; compute daily sentiment; pivot to daily.
 - 2: Rolling over last $L=3$ days: count, mean/std of sentiment; TF-IDF over window \rightarrow PC1.
 - 3: Join columns news.* into processed features; persist.
-

Algorithm 4 Tiny TF-IDF RAG (diagnostic, $\pm 30\text{d}$)

- 1: Build TF-IDF on headlines in window; cosine-rank top- k for query.
 - 2: Print publisher, date, title, score; (no model change unless features exist).
-