



Modelling of Monotonic and Cyclic Behaviors of Soil-Structure Interface Using Nonlinear Incremental Model^a



Hai-Lin Wang ^{b1} Jie Yang ¹ Zhen-Yu Yin ^{c1} Xiao-Qiang Gu ²

HKSTAM 2022, April 23, 2022

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

²Department of Geotechnical Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

^aYang, J., & Yin, Z.-Y. (2021). Soil-structure interface modeling with the nonlinear incremental approach. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 45(10), 1381–1404.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.3206>.

^bPresenter: Hai-Lin Wang, hailin.wang@connect.polyu.hk

^cCorresponding author: Zhen-Yu Yin, zhenyuyin@polyu.edu.hk

Outline

Background

The Exponential Model

Basic Equation

Dealing with Different Boundary Conditions

Dealing with Cyclic Loadings

Enhancements

Results and Discussions

Model Behaviors


Comparison with Experiments

Conclusions


References

Background


Background



(a) Offshore Wind Power
(Prakhya & Bhattacharya, 2021)



(b) Retaining Wall
(LLC, 2022)



(c) Pile Foundation
(Thursday, 2017)

Figure 1: Structures that are closely related to Soil-Structure Interaction (SSI)

Interface Models

- **Nonlinear Elasticity Models:** Use nonlinear functions to describe the SSI behaviors:
 - Hyperbolic Function (Clough & Duncan, 1971)
 - Polynormal Function (Desai et al., 1985; Desai & Nagaraj, 1988; Desai et al., 2005)
- **Elastoplastic Models:** Models based on elastoplasticity theories.
 - Elastic-Perfect-Plasticity Models (Brandt, 1985; Goodman et al., 1968)
 - Damage Plasticity Models (Hu & Pu, 2003, 2004; Navayogarajah et al., 1992; G. Zhang & Zhang, 2008)
 - Models based on Disturbed State Concept (Desai & Ma, 1992; Desai et al., 2005; Fakharian & Evgin, 2000)
 - Models based on Generalized Plasticity (Lashkari, 2010; H. Liu & Ling, 2008; H. B. Liu et al., 2006; J. M. Liu et al., 2014; Zhou & Lu, 2009)
 - Two-Surfaces Plasticity (Lashkari, 2012a, 2012b, 2013; Lashkari & Kadivar, 2016; Lashkari & Torkanlou, 2016; Mortara et al., 2002; Shahrour & Rezaie, 1997)
 - ...

The Exponential Model

Basic Equation

- Basic equation:

$$\eta = \frac{\tau}{\sigma_n + \sigma_a} = b[1 - \exp(-a\gamma)] \quad (1)$$

- Coefficients:

$$G = \left. \frac{d\tau}{d\gamma} \right|_i \Rightarrow a = \frac{G}{b(\sigma_n + \sigma_a)} \quad (2)$$

$$\eta_u = \tan \phi_c \Rightarrow b = \tan \phi_c \Rightarrow b = \tan \phi_p \quad (3)$$

- Stress dilatancy:

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_n &= K_n(d\varepsilon_n - d\varepsilon_n^{in}) \\ &= K_n [d\varepsilon_n - A_d(\tan \phi_{pt} - \eta)] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$




Figure 2: The asymptotic function

$$d\tau = \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \sigma_n} d\sigma_n + \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \gamma} d\gamma = ? \quad (5)$$

Dealing with Different Boundary Conditions




Figure 3: Constant Volume
 $K = \infty$




Figure 4: Constant Load
 $K = 0$




Figure 5: Constant Stiffness
 $K = \text{const}$

The increment stress increment is (combine $d\varepsilon_n = -\frac{d\sigma_n}{K}$):

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_n &= -\frac{K_n K}{K_n + K} A_d (\tan \phi_{pt} - \eta) d\gamma \\ d\tau &= \left\{ G \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\tan \phi_p} \right) - \frac{K_n K}{K_n + K} A_d (\tan \phi_{pt} - \eta) [\eta - a\gamma (\tan \phi_p - \eta)] \right\} d\gamma \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Dealing with Cyclic Loadings

Stress reversal effect on the stress displacement relationship

$$\begin{cases} |\eta - \eta^R| = \tan(\phi_p)^* \left(1 - e^{-a|\gamma - \gamma^R|} \right) \\ d\varepsilon_n^{in} = A_d (\tan(\phi_{pt})^* - |\eta - \eta^R|) |d\gamma| \\ \tan(\phi_p)^* = |\tan(\phi_p) n - \eta^R| \\ \tan(\phi_{pt})^* = |\tan(\phi_{pt}) n - \eta^R| \end{cases} \quad (7)$$




Figure 6: Principle of stress reversal in loading and unloading

Enhancements

- Nonlinear Elasticity

$$G = G_0 \frac{(2.97 - e)^2}{1 + e} \left(\frac{\sigma_n + \sigma_a}{P_{at}} \right)^{0.6} \quad (8)$$

- Critical State

$$e_c = e_{ref} \exp \left[-\lambda \left(\frac{\sigma_n}{P_{at}} \right)^\xi \right] \quad (9)$$

$$\tan \phi_p = \left(\frac{e_c}{e} \right)^{n_p} \tan \phi_c \quad (10)$$

$$\tan \phi_{pt} = \left(\frac{e}{e_c} \right)^{n_d} \tan \phi_c \quad (11)$$

- Breakage Effect

$$e_{ref} = e_{refu} + (e_{ref0} - e_{refu}) \exp(-\rho B_r^*) \quad (12)$$




Figure 7: Typical shear stress

Results and Discussions

Model Behaviors





Figure 8: Typical simulation results

Experiments under Monotonic Loadings






Figure 9: Comparison between simulations and experiments under monotonic loadings


Experiments under Cyclic Loadings




(A)



(C)



(B)



(D)

Figure 10: Comparison between simulations and experiments under cyclic loadings

The breakage effect

The breakage index

$$B_r^* = \frac{W^n}{b + W^n} \quad (13)$$
$$W = \int \tau d\gamma + \langle \sigma_n du_n \rangle$$

The grain size distribution (C. Zhang et al., 2013)

$$F_d = B_r F_u + (1 - B_r^*)^* F_0 \quad (14)$$
$$F_u = \left(\frac{d^{3-\alpha} - d_m^{3-\alpha}}{d_M^{3-\alpha} - d_m^{3-\alpha}} \right)$$




Figure 11: Calibration result of the breakage effect

Conclusions

Conclusions

- A simple nonlinear incremental constitutive model based on an **exponential function** was developed to capture the characteristics of the soil-structure interface.
- The **nonlinear shear modulus**, the **critical state** concept and the **grain breakage** concept were introduced gradually to enhance the model.
- The model is capable of simulating the complex behavior of granular soil-structure interfaces such as **stress hardening and softening**, **stress dilatancy**, and **grain particle breakage**.
- The variation of grain size distribution induced by grain breakage during shearing could be estimated.

References

References i

-  Brandt, J. R. T. (1985). Behavior of soil-concrete interfaces. *Behavior of Soil-concrete Interfaces*.
-  Clough, G. W., & Duncan, J. M. (1971). Finite element analyses of retaining wall behavior. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 97(12), 1657–1673.
<https://doi.org/10.1061/JSFEAQ.0001713>
-  Desai, C. S., Drumm, E. C., & Zaman, M. M. (1985). Cyclic testing and modeling of interfaces. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering-Asce*, 111(6), 793–815.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(Asce\)0733-9410\(1985\)111:6\(793\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(Asce)0733-9410(1985)111:6(793))
-  Desai, C. S., & Ma, Y. Z. (1992). Modeling of joints and interfaces using the disturbed-state concept. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 16(9), 623–653. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.1610160903>

References ii

-  Desai, C. S., & Nagaraj, B. K. (1988). Modeling for cyclic normal and shear behavior of interfaces. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics-Asce*, 114(7), 1198–1217.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(Asce\)0733-9399\(1988\)114:7\(1198\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(Asce)0733-9399(1988)114:7(1198))
-  Desai, C. S., Pradhan, S. K., & Cohen, D. (2005). Cyclic testing and constitutive modeling of saturated sand-concrete interfaces using the disturbed state concept. *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 5(4), 286–294.
-  Fakharian, K., & Evgin, E. (2000). Elasto-plastic modelling of stress-path-dependent behaviour of interfaces. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 24(2), 183–199.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/\(Sici\)1096-9853\(200002\)24:2<183::aid-nag63>3.3.co;2-v](https://doi.org/10.1002/(Sici)1096-9853(200002)24:2<183::aid-nag63>3.3.co;2-v)
-  Goodman, R. E., Taylor, R. L., & Brekke, T. L. (1968). A model for the mechanics of jointed rock. *Journal of the soil mechanics and foundations division*, 94(3), 637–659.

References iii

-  Hu, L. M., & Pu, J. L. (2003). Application of damage model for soil-structure interface. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 30(2), 165–183.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-352X\(02\)00059-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-352X(02)00059-9)
-  Hu, L. M., & Pu, J. L. (2004). Testing and modeling of soil-structure interface. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 130(8), 851–860.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)1090-0241\(2004\)130:8\(851\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2004)130:8(851))
-  Lashkari, A. (2010). Modeling of sand-structure interfaces under rotational shear. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 37(1), 32–37.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechrescom.2009.09.005>
-  Lashkari, A. (2012a). A critical state model for saturated and unsaturated interfaces. *Scientia Iranica*, 19(5), 1147–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scient.2012.06.025>
-  Lashkari, A. (2012b). A plasticity model for sand-structure interfaces. *Journal of Central South University*, 19(4), 1098–1108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11771-012-1115-1>

References iv

-  Lashkari, A. (2013). Prediction of the shaft resistance of nondisplacement piles in sand. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 37(8), 904–931. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.1129>
-  Lashkari, A., & Kadivar, M. (2016). A constitutive model for unsaturated soil-structure interfaces. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 40(2), 207–234. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.2392>
-  Lashkari, A., & Torkanlou, E. (2016). On the constitutive modeling of partially saturated interfaces. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 74, 222–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2016.01.007>
-  Liu, H., & Ling, H. I. (2008). Constitutive description of interface behavior including cyclic loading and particle breakage within the framework of critical state soil mechanics. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 32(12), 1495–1514. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.682>

References v

-  Liu, H. B., Song, E. X., & Ling, H. I. (2006). Constitutive modeling of soil-structure interface through the concept of critical state soil mechanics. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 33(4), 515–531.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechrescom.2006.01.002>
-  Liu, J. M., Zou, D. G., & Kong, X. J. (2014). A three-dimensional state-dependent model of soil-structure interface for monotonic and cyclic loadings. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 61, 166–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2014.05.012>
-  LLC, A. R. (2022). *Concrete walls*. Retrieved April 21, 2022, from
<https://atlasrestoration.com/residential/foundation-repair/cracked-walls/concrete-walls/>
-  Mortara, G., Boulon, M., & Ghionna, V. N. (2002). A 2-d constitutive model for cyclic interface behaviour. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 26(11), 1071–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.236>

References vi

-  Navayogarajah, N., Desai, C. S., & Kiousis, P. D. (1992). Hierarchical single-surface model for static and cyclic behavior of interfaces. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 118(5), 990–1011. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)0733-9399\(1992\)118:5\(990\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0733-9399(1992)118:5(990))
-  Prakhya, G. K. V., & Bhattacharya, S. (2021). Chapter 19 - numerical models in geotechnics including soil-structure interaction. In P. Samui, S. Kumari, V. Makarov, & P. Kurup (Eds.), *Modeling in geotechnical engineering* (pp. 429–472). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821205-9.00019-8>
-  Shahrour, I., & Rezaie, F. (1997). An elastoplastic constitutive relation for the soil-structure interface under cyclic loading. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 21(1), 21–39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-352x\(97\)00001-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-352x(97)00001-3)
-  Thursday, C. T. (2017). *10+ best for pile foundation drawing details*. Retrieved December 16, 2017, from <https://creativingsthursday.blogspot.com/2017/12/10-best-for-pile-foundation-drawing.html>

References vii

-  Yang, J., & Yin, Z.-Y. (2021). Soil-structure interface modeling with the nonlinear incremental approach. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 45(10), 1381–1404. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.3206>
-  Zhang, C., Nguyen, G. D., & Einav, I. (2013). The end-bearing capacity of piles penetrating into crushable soils. *Géotechnique*, 63(5), 341–354.
<https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.11.P.117>
-  Zhang, G., & Zhang, J. M. (2008). Unified modeling of monotonic and cyclic behavior of interface between structure and gravelly soil. *Soils and Foundations*, 48(2), 231–245. <https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf.48.231>
-  Zhou, A. Z., & Lu, T. H. (2009). Elasto-plastic constitutive model of soil-structure interface in consideration of strain softening and dilation. *Acta Mechanica Solida Sinica*, 22(2), 171–179.

Questions?

Modelling of Monotonic and Cyclic Behaviors of Soil-Structure Interface Using Nonlinear Incremental Model⁻¹

Presented by Dr. Hai-Lin Wang (email: hailin.wang@connect.polyu.hk)

Corresponding author: Dr. Zhen-Yu Yin (email: zhenyu.yin@polyu.edu.hk)

⁻¹Yang, J., & Yin, Z.-Y. (2021). Soil-structure interface modeling with the nonlinear incremental approach. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 45(10), 1381–1404.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.3206>.