



REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

INDONESIA'S VOLUNTARY NATIONAL REVIEW (VNR) 2021

Sustainable and Resilient Recovery from the COVID-19
Pandemic for the Achievement of the 2030 Agenda



1 NO POVERTY



2 ZERO HUNGER



3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING



8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH



10 REDUCED INEQUALITIES



12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION



13 CLIMATE ACTION



16 PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS



17 PARTNERSHIP FOR THE GOALS





INDONESIA'S VOLUNTARY NATIONAL REVIEW 2021

Sustainable and Resilient Recovery from the COVID-19
Pandemic for the Achievement of the 2030 Agenda





PREFACE

Towards the end of 2020, amid the shocks of the COVID-19 pandemic since March 2020, Indonesia remains committed to maintaining the path to the achievements of SDGs by 2030. Based on that aspiration and commitment, Indonesia hereby delivers the third Voluntary National Review (VNR), after the first and the second in 2017 and 2019 respectively.

The journey to implement SDGs in Indonesia has been shared in the first and the second VNRs, both of which have been appreciated at the global level and become a source of pride for Indonesia. After the assessment of its 2017 VNR, Indonesia was selected to be one of the six countries to participate in the SDG Investment Fair in 2019 organized by the UN; the Director of Financing for Sustainable Development Office at the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA) sent the invitation via a letter to the government of Indonesia on 19 October 2018. Indonesia was deemed to have promising investment policies and projects and to have been able to identify gaps in investment needs.

In addition, Indonesia's 2019 VNR also received appreciation for having covered a majority of the SDG16 targets with disaggregated data that specifically display the role of women in the implementation thereof, as well as providing concrete examples of women and youth participation towards the same. Both achievements have encouraged Indonesia to share its achievements in the first five years of SDG implementation through its 2021 VNR, despite the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Between 2019 and early 2021, quite a number of achievements have been attained by Indonesia in addition to the achievements of each Goal, including revision for the Metadata Indikator SDGs Indonesia (Indonesia's SDG Indicators Metadata) in its 2nd edition as a basis for the development of the 2020-2024 National

Action Plan and the 2021 VNR; updating of the guidelines on the development of action plans and on monitoring, evaluation, and reporting. Concurrently with the development of the 2021 VNR, Indonesia has been drafting the 2020-2024 National Action Plan, formalizing the integration of the SDGs into the 2020-2024 National Medium Term Development Plan (RPJMN).

In the current VNR, Indonesia focuses on nine primary Goals under the theme of the 2021 HLPF "**Sustainable and resilient recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic for the achievement of the 2030 Agenda**", namely Goals 1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 12, 13, 16, and 17namely Goals 1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 12, 13, 16, and 17. The inter-linkages between the nine Goals underlie the development of the current VNR, as set out in the framework for report analyses. The report analyses are on reform policies established in the 2021 Annual Work Plan to address the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition to the analyses of the nine Goals, a snapshot of the achievements of the other eight Goals is also provided, complemented with a complete statistical annex on the achievements of all SDG indicators in Indonesia.

Despite being limited to virtual meetings, an inclusive development process managed to reach more stakeholders and the public in discussion meetings and to provide input through public consultation.

The Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency (Bappenas), which coordinates each inclusive process, acknowledges and thanks all parties involved in the development of Indonesia's 2021 VNR, namely:

1. Deputy for Maritime Affairs and Natural Resources, Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas as the Chair of the SDGs National Implementing Team; Deputy



- for Human and Societal Development and Cultural Affairs, Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas; Deputy for Economic Affairs, Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas; Deputy for Political Affairs, Law, Defence, and Security, Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas as the Vice Chairs of the SDGs National Implementing Team; Senior Advisor of the Minister of National Development Planning for Social Affairs and Poverty Eradication as the Head of the National SDGs Secretariat; Senior Advisor of the Minister of National Development Planning for Institutional Interrelations; and Senior Advisor of the Minister of National Development Planning for Equity and Regional Development;
2. Directors under the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas as the coordinators for formulating each of the Goals: Director for Poverty Alleviation and Community Empowerment; Director for Food and Agriculture; Director for Health and Community Nutrition; Director for Population Affairs and Social Protection; Director for Environmental Affairs; Director for Laws and Regulations; and Director for Macro Planning and Statistical Analyses;
 3. The Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MoFA), the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Agriculture, the Ministry of Manpower, the Ministry of Environment and Forestry, the Ministry of Social Affairs, the Ministry of Law and Human Rights, and the Statistics Indonesia (BPS) and other respective ministries/agencies;
 4. Representatives from civil organizations, representatives from philanthropy and business entities, representatives from academicians, representative from labor organizations, representatives from youth and adolescent groups, representatives from people with disability;
 5. Development partners including UN Resident Coordinator (UNRC), UN Economic and Social Commission for Asia
- and the Pacific (ESCAP), United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), and United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (UN OCHA), International Labour Organization (ILO), United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS (UNAIDS), United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), and United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women), World Food Programme (WFP), International Organization for Migration (IOM), UN Volunteer, the UN Refugee Agency (UNHCR), Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH, and Asian Development Bank (ADB);
6. All parties who have provided input in the development of Indonesian 2021 VNR report through online consultations; and
 7. The SDGs National Secretariat at the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, who has worked hard and tirelessly dedicated to facilitate, coordinate and finalize the development of Indonesia's 2021 VNR.

Jakarta, June 10, 2021

Dr. (H.C.) Ir. H. Suharso Monoarfa

Minister of National Development Planning/
Head of the National Development Planning
Agency



OPENING STATEMENT

Minister of National Development Planning/
Head of National Development Planning Agency



The 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda provides a historic opportunity for Indonesia to prepare a population that will grow and develop in a sustainable, inclusive, prosperous, resilient, and integrated manner, “leaving no one behind”.

Indonesia is committed to the 2030 Agenda: Sustainable Development Goals through the enactment of Presidential Regulation No. 59 Year 2017 on the Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals. Under the mandate of the Regulation, Indonesia has mainstreamed the targets and indicators of sustainable development to the 2017-2019 and 2020-2024 Medium Term National Development Plan (RPJMN), localizing sustainable development in subnational level, and the development

of the Sustainable Development Goals Roadmap Towards 2030. The inclusivity principle has remained an anchor in implementing Sustainable Development Goals, which do not only involve ministries and institutions, but also encourage the partnership of non-governmental organizations, philanthropy and business, universities, the media, and the parliament.

In the development planning multi-stakeholder consultation forum (Musrenbang) of 2021, the President of Indonesia delivered his directive based on his commitment to the implementation of SDGs. First, with large tropical forests and mangrove forests, Indonesia must harness this for the well-being of the population. Second, Indonesia must participate in the global trend towards the green economy. Therefore, transformation of energy towards new and renewable energy sources is essential in strengthening Indonesia's national energy security and its global competitiveness. Third, Indonesia also has the world's richest maritime biodiversity, which must be harnessed to power sustainable blue economy in all coastal areas. Fourth, Indonesia's economic growth must be inclusive, equitable; and economic fairness being the foundations to achieve Sustainable Development Goals.

Based on the directive, Indonesia's 2021 Voluntary National Report is a documentation

on the implementation of the 2030 Agenda, submitted to the United Nations High-level Political Forum for the third time. Indonesia first submitted the VNR in 2017 and followed by the second submission in 2019. This third review does not only cover the highlight of the country's progress, but also identifies challenges, emerging issues, partnership with the civil society, and subnational governments, with regard to the response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused a major shock in which the world, including Indonesia, experienced economic contraction, decreased public purchasing power, increased unemployment, increased poverty, increased inequality, deteriorating food security and population nutrition, and the overstretching of healthcare services. The government quickly anticipated the impacts of COVID-19 through social protection programs by providing various kinds of assistance, such as provision of staple



foods, conditional cash assistance (Program Keluarga Harapan), electricity subsidies, LPG subsidies, tuition subsidies (Program Indonesia Pintar), and the pre-employment card (Kartu Prakerja). In 2020, the government provided IDR 381 billion for social protection throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.

Build Forward Better is Indonesia's strategy in accelerating economic recovery and social reforms in 2021 to ensure concurrent response to COVID-19 and economic recovery. COVID-19 response is focused on managing COVID-19 transmission through cooperation with stakeholders, reducing the reproductive rate of the virus, and rolling out mass vaccination campaigns free of charge as per the standards from WHO.

The 2021 VNR theme is Sustainable and resilient recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic that promotes the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Indonesia reports on the policy strategy for development reform to be the basis for a resilient and sustainable social, economic, and environmental recovery for the achievement of the 2030 targets. Achievement of decent work and economic growth (Goal 8) and responsible consumption and production (Goal 12) towards economic recovery and reforms, aligned with the National Health System Reforms supported by zero hunger (Goal 2) and good health and well-being (Goal 3), whereas social protection reforms will be attained through no poverty (Goal 1) and reduced inequality (Goal 10), and disaster resilience system reforms through climate action (Goal 13).

Furthermore, the four policy reforms implemented in Indonesia to build a better future are as follows: (a) Economic recovery and reforms to strengthen economic resilience and quality development, enabling economic transformation from reliance on natural resources to manufacturing competitiveness, modern services, and high added values; (b) Health system reforms to strengthen promotive and

preventive measures, reinforcing health security and fulfilment of health resources (facilities and infrastructures, pharmaceuticals, and medical devices) and health workforce needs; (c) Social protection system reforms to improve and expand mechanisms and coverage of social assistance and social security, enhancing adaptive financing and social protection; and (4) Disaster resilience reforms, specific strategies for disaster resilience through the strengthening of the system and natural and non-natural disaster early warning response for communicable disease/pandemic prevention preparedness.

It is my fervent hope that Indonesia's third report on the implementation of SDGs in Indonesia can be a reference for the global community to attain the 2030 Agenda amid the threats of COVID-19 pandemic on the life of humankind all over the world. To that end, Indonesia will continue international collaboration in mitigating the COVID-19 pandemic, and I hope that the 2021 High-level Political Forum may become an occasion where countries learn together and reinforce efforts to achieve the 2030 Agenda.


Jakarta, June 10, 2021

Dr. (H.C.) Ir. H. Suharso Monoarfa

Minister of National Development Planning/
Head of the National Development Planning
Agency



INDONESIA'S VNR 2021 HIGHLIGHT





VNR Review Process

Indonesia's Voluntary National Review was prepared by operationalizing the inclusivity and transparency principles and involving four partnership platforms, namely national and sub-national governments, civil organizations and media, philanthropy and business, as well as universities and experts. The VNR development process was also reviewed by the Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia and consulted with the parliament. The UN system, as well as UN ESCAP, under the coordination of the UN Resident Coordinator facilitated and complemented the analysis of nine Goals under review in the 2021 VNR. Moreover, the UN system also supported the processing of statistical data by Statistics Indonesia including disaggregated data to analyze SDG achievements in vulnerable groups, including the poor, women, children, and people with disability.

Status and Development of SDG Achievement

The COVID-19 pandemic has proven to be a challenge for global efforts towards the achievement of SDGs and has called attention to the needs of greater international cooperation. To ensure a sustainable and resilient recovery, Indonesia is undertaking systematic reforms in four sectors, namely social protection system, national health system, disaster resilience system, and recovery of industry, tourism, and investment towards the green economy, supported by low-carbon development.

Despite large and micro scale social restrictions and health protocol implementation in several provinces, Indonesia developed the 2021 VNR under an inclusive approach through intensive online consultations. Progresses in the achievement of SDGs, impacts of the pandemic, and recovery efforts in Indonesia include:

Social Protection System

- The national poverty level decreased from 11.13% in 2015 to 9.22% in 2019 but then increased to 10.19% in 2020 due to the pandemic in the past year, setting back the poverty level to three years ago. Women, rural population, and the elderly are groups most affected, and poverty among children has increased from 11.76% in 2019 to 12.23% in 2020.
- From 2015 to 2019, the Gini ratio has narrowed from 0.402 to 0.380 but due to the pandemic it has widened to 0.385 in 2020. Indonesia has increased social protection expenditure rate from 12.3% in 2019 to 12.7% in 2020 in the national expenditure budget—the highest rate ever allocated to date.

National Health System

- The COVID-19 pandemic has put enormous pressure on the national health system.
- As of 18 May 2021, as many as 1.748 million Indonesians have been infected with COVID-19, causing the health system's primary focus to shift to handling the pandemic. As a result of the pandemic, essential health services such as maternal and child health, nutrition, noncommunicable disease prevention, and other infectious disease management have been affected.
- Although the number of births in health care facilities has grown, coverage of prenatal care at posyandu has declined by nearly half. Furthermore, 55% of posyandu reported delays in vaccination procedures.
- The incidence of tuberculosis decreased from 316 (2018) to 312 per 100,000 population (2019). However, the pandemic poses a challenge to reduce the incidence of TB by 272 per 100,000 population by 2020. This condition is considered to be caused by the decline in essential TB services such as the utilization of molecular rapid test kits and the



assignment of TB program staff for handling COVID-19.

- Prevalence of undernourishment has decreased from 10.73% in 2015 to 7.63% in 2019 but increased to 8.34% in 2020.
- Prevalence of stunting in under five children has declined from 37.2% in 2013 to 27.67% in 2019, whereas that of wasting also dropped from 12.1% in 2013 to 7.44% in 2019.
- Prevalence of food insecurity, as measured with the Food Insecurity Experience Scale, decreased from 8.66% in 2017 to 5.12% in 2020.
- The National Health Insurance (Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional) has covered 222.5 million people (82.51%) of the population in 2020, but unmet needs for healthcare has increased from 4.66% in 2015 to 5.44% in 2020.
- However, strengthening of health system is maintained, marked by the increase in puskesmas with standard types of health workers from 23% (2019) to 39.9% (2020), district/city hospitals which have 4 basic specialists and 3 other specialists from 61.7% (2019) to 75.04% (2020), as well as qualified drugs from 78.6 (2019) to 90.6% (2020).
- The national health system reform will cover eight main aspects, namely: (1) strengthening health workers' education and placement; (2) strengthening of primary health care; (3) improving the capacity of hospitals and health services in isolated, border and small island areas; (4) increasing country's independence in pharmaceuticals and medical equipment; (5) strengthening health security and resilience; (6) disease control and immunization; (7) increasing the effectiveness of health financing; and (8) development of information technology, digitization and community empowerment including the cultivation of the Healthy Life Style Community Movement (Germas).

Disaster Resilience System

- The pandemic is also a momentum for Indonesia to strengthen its disaster resilience system, in addition to continuing its response to climate change through low-carbon development and increasing community resilience to climate change.
- Regarding disaster management in 2020, as many as 409 people died or lost, and 6,451,439 people were affected by and/or displaced due to disasters.
- Government of Indonesia cq. Ministry of National Development Planning has issued a policy document for Climate Resilience Development as a guide for the implementation of Presidential Regulation No. 18 of 2020, National Priority 6 and Priority Program 2.
- GHG (Green House Gas) emissions in 2019 reached 1,866,500 GT of CO₂. During the 2010-2019 period, the potential reduction in GHG emission was 23.46% from the baseline. Meanwhile, in 2019, the GHG emission reduction was 20.51% from the baseline, or 401,822.53 thousand tons of CO₂e and the reduction the GHG emission intensity was 20.77% in 2019 from the baseline, or 420 tons CO₂e/billion rupiah.
- The total government budget allocated for low-carbon development activities for 2018-2020 was IDR 54.57 billion.

Recovery of Industry, Tourism, and Investment towards the Green Economy

- The Pandemic has caused contraction in economic growth, from 5.02% in 2019 to -2.07% in 2020. This has implicated reduced income per head from USD4,174.9 in 2019 to USD3,911.7 in 2020; the informal economy has expanded to 60.47% in 2020; the unemployment rate has increased from 5.23% in 2019 to 7.07% in 2020; youth not in employment, education, or training (NEET) has increased from 21.77% in 2019 to 24.28% in 2020, including the NEET



youth with disability from 52.32% in 2019 to 53.37% in 2020 and the NEET in non-disabled youth from 21.21% in 2019 to 23.85% in 2020; foreign tourist visits have decreased from 16.1 million in 2019 to 4.1 million in 2020.

- In 2019, reduction and processing of waste have reached 14.58% and 67.40% respectively, whereas 68.35% of hazardous and toxic waste materials was treated. Around 100 companies have published a sustainability report in 2020, including 55 banks.
- Until 2020, as many as 2,038 companies participated in the PROPER assessment, which contributed to a reduction in pollutant loads of 46.16 million tons, a reduction in non-B3 waste 3R activities of 5,029,181 tons, a reduction in GHG emissions of 131.2 million tons of CO₂e, and an increase in water efficiency of 339,529.963 m³. Furthermore, between 2020 and 2019, 895 industrial businesses obtained green industry certification certificates. According to data from the 2019 Green Industry Awards, energy and water savings totaled IDR 3.5 trillion and IDR 228.9 billion, respectively.

Enabling Environment and Means of Implementation

- Several enabling environments and means of implementation of SDGs in Indonesia are indicated among others with the increasing anti-corruption awareness in the public, increases in the number of information organizations and in the Press Freedom Index by 1.56 points scoring from 73.71 in 2018 to 75.27 in 2020; expansion of legal assistance (77% in 2019 and 89% in 2020 litigation; 80% non-litigation in 2019 and 77% in 2020); and an increase in the number of legal identity ownership reaching up to 77.38% for under-five children and 58.03% for infants under 1 year old in 2020.
- 73.7% of the population was Internet users in 2019, thereof remaining digital divide and literacy challenges.

- The role of data is essential for pandemic response, supported by a successful implementation of the internet-based population census in 2020 due to collaboration with relevant stakeholders.

Focus on Integration and Interlinkages of

Integration of the economic, social, and environmental dimensions is key to achieving sustainable development towards sustainable recovery and ensuring resilience against crises in the present and the future.

Inclusive and sustainable economic growth policies (SDG 8) will result in reduction in poverty (SDG 1) and inequality (SDG 10) through strengthening of various social protection systems. Further, economic growth from recovery of industry, tourism, and investment towards the green economy will also benefit from the strengthening of the circular economy (SDG 12) which is to be undertaken through low-carbon development and the strengthening of the natural and non-natural disaster resilience system (SDG 13). Disaster resilience will affect food availability and nutrition (SDG 2) and the strengthening of the national health system. Implementation of multi-sectoral policies of the seven Goals will be based on the achievements of the enabling environment target (SDG 16) which focuses on enhancing good governance and a corruption-free environment, as well as means of implementation (SDG 17).

Implementation of the Principles of Inclusivity and Leaving No One Behind

In implementing and monitoring sustainable development, the government have always involved all stakeholders simultaneously in a transparent and accountable manner. Likewise, sustainable development targets were set in consideration of vulnerable groups, including children, youth, women, the elderly, labors, and people with disability that the Leaving No One Behind principle would be fully implemented.





Good Practices

Online and offline selection of good practices resulted in around 200 activities initiated by various stakeholders both in and outside the government. Among these, the SONJO movement initiated by the sub-national government of DI Yogyakarta Province in 24 March 2020 was a humanitarian movement to help members of the public affected by the pandemic through mobilization of non-financial resources, such as time and labor. The movement is coordinated with nine WhatsApp Groups with a total membership of 500 individuals. Eight programs under this movement fall into the health, economic, and education sectors. This movement is inclusive as it engages all elements of the civil society and people with disability.

Another good practice was initiated by the Bogor City Government in 2018, which is a program to reduce plastic bags at modern shopping centers and shop complexes, with a target of Bogor City being a zero-plastic bag-zone by 2025. Awareness-raising for this program, dubbed Bogor Tanpa Kantong Plastik (No Plastic Bag Bogor) has been undertaken since August 2018, engaging environmental groups and NGOs. Since its initiation, the volume of plastic wastes transported to the Galuga landfill decreased by 7% (50 ton) per day from 650 to 600 ton in 2019.

Challenges

To address disruption in the achievements of SDG targets, institutional governance and collaboration among all stakeholders and a non-business as usual approach are required. The challenge lies in concentrating all efforts towards achieving SDGs in an inclusive manner from national to decentralized sub-national levels.

Another challenge is financing for SDG implementation. Prior to the pandemic, through the SDGs Roadmap, the estimated gap in high scenario financing needs was calculated at IDR 14.108 trillion. The pandemic has even widened the SDG financing gap. On the other hand, funds from tax revenue and a variety of other income sources have dwindled.

In general, SDG indicator data from censuses or surveys by Statistics Indonesia has been of good quality, especially at the national and provincial levels. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, data collection faced challenges in multiple fronts, such as officer training which could not be held in person, limited facilities (laptops, devices, and mobile phones) and infrastructure (Wi-Fi) inequity between regions, requirement for PCR or antigen testing for officers, and rejection from respondents.

In addition, weaknesses were common in statistical data by sector collected by ministries and institutions, especially with regards to data collection, sampling method, processing system, the certainty of data continuity, and lack of statistics education.



Areas Requiring Support

The institutional governance of SDGs implementation at local levels needs full support from local government as well as support from universities through the establishment of SDGs Centers as an enabler, catalyst, and think tank in terms of both research and implementation. However, since not every province has an SDG center, the establishment of such center in other provinces will be continued. Furthermore, capacity building regarding SDG principles and implementation for sub-national governments and non-state actors needs to continue, especially for SDGs based on multi stakeholder partnership.

SDGs have been implemented in the last five years into the Decade of Action, therefore our experiences and knowledge can be shared among countries; in a manner that is greener, human rights-based, fair, and equitable economic development; mobilization of finances; or any other matter requiring facilitation by the UN system.

Other supports required from UN custodian agencies are facilitation to develop SDGs' proxy indicators as per the global (UNSTAT) standards and capacity building for statisticians that quality, accurate, and timely data can be collected.






TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	ii
Opening Statement	iv
Indonesia's VNR 2021 Highlight	vi
Table Of Contents	xii
List Of Tables	xvi
List Of Figures	xviii
List Of Boxes	xxvi
Abbreviation	xxix

1

INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Alignment Of National Development Agenda With The Sustainable Development Goals	1
1.2 Economic, Social, and Environmental Policy Architecture And Implementation	2
1.3 Accountability Of The Indonesian SDG Implementation Commitment	3

2

REVIEW METHODOLOGY AND PREPARATION	5
2.1 Methodology In The Review	5
2.2 Formulation Of An Inclusive And Transparent VNR	6
2.3 The VNR Formulation Stages	8
2.4 Limitation In The VNR Development	14

3

POLICY AND ENABLING ENVIRONMENT	17
3.1 Building Collective Ownership	17
3.2 Mainstreaming SDGs Into Development Framework	21
3.3 The Integration Of The Three Dimensions Of Development	24
3.4 Implementation Of The No One Left Behind Principle	29
3.5 Institutional Mechanism	31
3.6 Structural Issues	32

4

ACHIEVEMENT PROGRESS OF SDGS GOALS AND TARGETS	35
4.1 Voluntary National Review 2021	35
4.1.1 Goal 1 No Poverty	37
4.1.2 Goal 2 Zero Hunger	69
4.1.3 Goal 3 Good Health And Well-Being	107
4.1.4 Goal 8 Decent Work And Economic Growth	151
4.1.5 Goal 10 Reduced Inequalities	183
4.1.6 Goal 12 Responsible Consumption And Production	201
4.1.7 Goal 13 Climate Action	221
4.1.8 Goal 16 Peace, Justice And Strong Institutions	241
4.1.9 Goal 17 Partnership For The Goals	273
4.2 Progress Of Voluntary National Review	297
4.2.1 Goal 4 Quality Education	298
4.2.2 Goal 5 Gender Equality	307
4.2.3 Goal 6 Clean Water And Sanitation	314
4.2.4 Goal 7 Affordable And Clean Energy	319
4.2.5 Goal 9 Industry, Innovation And Infrastructure	324
4.2.6 Goal 11 Sustainable Cities And Communities	327
4.2.7 Goal 14 Life Below Water	334
4.2.8 Goal 15 Life On Land	339





5	MEANS OF IMPLEMENTATION	343
5.1	Policies to Respond The Outbreak	343
5.2	Financing to Respond The Pandemic	348
5.3	Data Provision	352
5.4	Technology	355
5.5	Coordination Mechanism	358
5.6	Capacity Building	359
5.7	Post Pandemic: National Development And The Future Of SDGs	360
6	CONCLUSION AND FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS	363
REFERENCES	366	
ANNEXES	385	

© UNICEF/ UN0379154/Bea



LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Social Protection Programs before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic	47
Table 4.2	Agriculture Labor Productivity (agriculture value added / number of labors in agricultural sector) by Province, 2019-2020	86
Table 4.3	Proportion of Productive and Sustainable Agricultural Land For The Combined of Three Provinces of West Java, East Java and West Nusa Tenggara, 2020	88
Table 4.4	Number of Health Workers in Hospitals, Puskesmas, and other Health Services according to Gender, 2019	132
Table 4.5	GDP Contribution to National GDP across Islands (in %)	167
Table 4.6	Net Monthly Wage/Salary of Employees by Educational Attainment (in IDR)	168
Table 4.7	Working Hour and Earning In August 2019 and August 2020 by Formality, Occupation, and Earning Quintiles	191
Table 4.8	Anti-Corruption Behavior Index (IPAK) and Corruption Perception Index of 2015-2020	243
Table 4.9	Primary Government Expenditures As A Proportion of Original Approved Budget	245
Table 4.10	Percentage of Government Agencies With Government Agency's Performance Accountability System (Sakip) Score \geq B	246
Table 4.11	Number of Public Information Dispute Settlement Through Mediation and/or Non-Litigation Adjudication	252
Table 4.12	Percentage of Poor People Receiving Legal Services, Including Out-of-Court Trial, Proceeding Cost Exemption, and Legal Aid Post	254
Table 4.13	Ownership of Citizen Registration Number (Nik) Of 2017-2020 by Age Group In Indonesia	259
Table 4.14	Number of Human Rights Violation Complaint Handling	268
Table 4.15	Government Spending and Domestic Revenue	274
Table 4.16	Sources of Tax Revenue (Trillion Rupiah)	276
Table 4.17	Oil and Gas; Non-Oil and Gas Exports	278
Table 4.18	Internet Users	280



Table 4.19 Proportion of Adolescents (Aged 15-24 Years) With Information and Communications Technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020	303
Table 4.20 Proportion of Adults (Aged 15-59 Years) With Information and Communications Technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020	304
Table 4.21 Participation Rate in Organized Learning Before Primary Entry School Age	304
Table 4.22 Proportion of National and Regional Legislative Seats Held by Women, 2009, 2014 and 2019	309
Table 4.23 Development of City Gas Networks (Jargas) (in Thousand Sr)	321
Table 4.24 Catch Proportion of Fish Type	335
Table 5.1 Mitigation Strategies in the Pandemic	346
Table 5.2 Distribution of Social Assistance by Income Decile	349
Table 5.3 Integrated Data Base 2019 and 2020	353
Table 5.4 The Fintech Performance	357
Table 5.5 Top Emerging Ecosystem Rankings	358





LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Flow of The indonesia's 2021 VNR Formulation	8
Figure 2.2	The Minister of National Planning/Head of National Development Planning Agency in The 2021 VNR Development Kick-off	8
Figure 2.3	invitation To The Public For Participation in The 2021 VNR Development By Way of Reporting Good Practices	9
Figure 2.4	Good Practices and Lessons Learned Form	10
Figure 2.5	Selection Process For Good Practices To Be included in The 2021 VNR	11
Figure 3.1	SDGs Centers (Data As of April 2021)	31
Figure 3.2	Mainstreaming SDGs in The 2020-2024 RPJMN	21
Figure 3.3	Updating Metadata For indonesian SDGs indicators	22
Figure 3.4	Technical Guidelines For Preparation of indonesian SDGs Action Plans	23
Figure 3.5	Technical Guidelines For Monitoring and Evaluation of indonesian SDGs	23
Figure 3.6	Status of Achievement of The SDGs indicators in 2019	23
Figure 3.7	indonesia's 2021 VNR SDGs Framework	25
Figure 3.8	The Linkages Among The Selected Targets in The 9 VNR SDGs indonesia 2021 Goals	26
Figure 3.9	Positive Relations Between Targets (Black Line) and Trade-offs (Red Line)	26
Figure 3.10	SDGs National Coordination Team	30
Figure 4.1	National and Extreme Poverty Rates (PPP \$ 1.9 Per Day)	37
Figure 4.2	Poverty Rate By Sex (%)	39
Figure 4.3	Poverty Levels By Area of Residence	39
Figure 4.4	Poverty Rates By Age (<18 Years and ≥ 18 Years)	40
Figure 4.5	Trends in The Poverty Rate of The Elderly (≥ 60) and Non-Elderly (<60) Population	40
Figure 4.6	Change in The Number of Urban Poor People September 2019-September 2020 (Thousand)	44
Figure 4.7	Correlation Between Mobility and Urban Poverty Rise (%)	45



Figure 4.8	The Slowdown in Poverty Reduction Due To The COVID-19 Pandemic Crisis (Years)	45
Figure 4.9	Distribution of PKH Program Beneficiary Families	50
Figure 4.10	Prevalence of Undernourishment and Morbidity	51
Figure 4.11	Prevalence of Undernourishment By Expenditure Quintile, 2017-2020	51
Figure 4.12	Deprivation of Electricity (%)	52
Figure 4.13	Deprivation of Electricity (%) By Expenditure Group	52
Figure 4.14	Percentage of Population Aged 0-17 Years Without A Birth Certificate (%)	52
Figure 4.15	Years of Schooling By Expenditure Group (Years)	52
Figure 4.16	School Continuation Rate By Expenditure Quintile	53
Figure 4.17	Proportion of Population/Household With Access To Basic Services	54
Figure 4.18	Proportion of Population/Household With Access To Basic Services By Area of Residence	55
Figure 4.19	Proportion of Population/Household With Access To Basic Services By Expenditure Quintile	55
Figure 4.20	Proportion of Population/Household With Access To Basic Services By Expenditure Quintile	56
Figure 4.21	Proportion of Adult Population With Secure Tenure Rights To Land By Type of Ownership and Expenditure Quintile, 2015-2020	57
Figure 4.22	Proportion of Adult Population With Secure Tenure Rights To Land By Type of Ownership	58
Figure 4.23	Proportion of Adult Population With Secure Tenure Rights To Land With Legally Recognized Documentation, and Who Perceive Their Rights To Land As Secure, By Area of Residence, 2015-2020	58
Figure 4.24	Proportion of Adult Population With Secure Tenure Rights To Land With Legally Recognized Documentation, and Who Perceive Their Rights To Land As Secure, By Sex of The Head of Household, 2015 - 2020	59
Figure 4.25	Proportion of Adult Population Who Obtain Land Rights Based On Legal Documents and Who Have Land Rights Based On Expenditure Quintiles	59
Figure 4.26	Number of Deaths and Missing Victims Due To Disaster Per 100,000 Population	59
Figure 4.27	Proportion of Government Spending On Basic Services and Social Protection (%)	60
Figure 4.28	Proportion of Health insurance Participants Through The SJSN in The Health Sector in The Lowest 40% of The Population, 2015-2020	60
Figure 4.29	Percentage of PoU, 2015-2020	70



Figure 4.30	Percentage of PoU By Expenditure Groups (Quintile) 2020	71
Figure 4.31	Prevalence of FIES, 2017-2020	72
Figure 4.32	Prevalence of FIES, By Expenditure Group, 2020	73
Figure 4.33	Prevalence of Stunting in Children Under Five Years of Age By Province, 2019 (%)	75
Figure 4.34	Prevalence of Stunting in Children Under Five Years of Age By Quintile of Expenditures, 2013 (%)	78
Figure 4.35	Prevalence of Wasting in Children Under Five Years of Age By Province, 2019 (%)	82
Figure 4.36	Prevalence of Wasting in Children Under Five Years of Age By Quintile of Expenditures, 2013 (%)	83
Figure 4.37	The DDP Scores of National, Urban and Rural indonesia, 2015-2020	85
Figure 4.38	Provinces With The Seven Highest and Seven Lowest DDP Sore, 2019	109
Figure 4.39	Agriculture Labor Productivity, 2015-2020 (IDR Million)	110
Figure 4.40	Proportion of Ever-Married Women Aged 15-49 Years With Last Delivery Was Assisted By Trained Health Workers; Or Carried Out in Health Facilities (%), 2015-2020	110
Figure 4.41	Proportion of Ever-Married Women Aged 15-49 Years With Last Delivery Was Assisted By Trained Health Workers Or Carried Out in Health Facilities (%), 2020	111
Figure 4.42	Proportion of Ever-Married Women Aged 15-49 Years With Last Delivery Was Assisted By Trained Health Workers Or in Health Facilities (%) Based On Maternal Education Level, 2020	112
Figure 4.43	Proportion of Ever-Married Women Aged 15-49 Years With Last Delivery Was Assisted By Trained Health Workers Or in Health Facilities (%) By Residence, 2015-2020	113
Figure 4.44	Proportion of Ever-Married Women Aged 15-49 Years With Last Delivery in Health Facilities (%) Based On Expenditure Groups, 2015-2020	114
Figure 4.45	Reported HIV Positives and AIDS Cases, 2009-2020	115
Figure 4.46	The Discovered and Treated TB Cases As Well As The TB incidence Per 100,000 of Population, 2012-2020	116
Figure 4.47	Distribution of Tuberculosis Cases in indonesia By Gender and Age, 2019	116
Figure 4.48	Total Confirmed Malaria Cases and Malaria incidence Per 1,000 People (Annual Parasite incidence), 2013-2020	117
Figure 4.49	Provinces With The Highest Malaria incidence Per 1,000 People, 2019	118



Figure 4.50	Number of Districts/Cities With Leprosy Elimination, 2016-2020	118
Figure 4.51	Endemic Filariasis Districts/Cities Numbers Achieving Elimination Status, 2015-2020	119
Figure 4.52	Distribution of Filariasis-Endemic Districts/Cities and Their Elimination Status, 2020	120
Figure 4.53	Trends in Alcohol Consumption (Liters Per Capita) By Population Aged \geq 15 Years, 2015-2020	121
Figure 4.54	Smoking Percentage in Population Aged \geq 15 Years By Residential Area, 2015-2020	121
Figure 4.55	Total Fertility Rate (TFR) By Province, 2017	122
Figure 4.56	Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) Aged 15-19 Years, 2017	123
Figure 4.57	The Proportion of Women of Reproductive Age (15-49 Years) Whose Demand For Family Planning Satisfied By Modern Contraceptive Methods, 2017	123
Figure 4.58	Unmet Need For Health Services Based On The Area of Residence, 2015-2020	124
Figure 4.59	Unmet Need For Health Services Based On The Education Level, 2015-2020	124
Figure 4.60	Unmet Need For Health Services By The Expenditure Groups, 2015-2020	125
Figure 4.61	Unmet Need For Health Services By The Disabled Status, 2018-2020	126
Figure 4.62	Unmet Need For Health Services Based On The Age Groups, 2015-2020	126
Figure 4.63	The Proportion of Households With Catastrophic Health Expenditures of 10% and 25% Based On Residence, 2019-2020	127
Figure 4.64	Proportion of Households With Health Expenditure of 10% and 25% Based On Household Expenditures, 2019-2020	128
Figure 4.65	The Household's Proportion With Health Expenditures of 10% and 25% Based On Age Groups, 2019-2020	128
Figure 4.66	National Health insurance Coverage, 2015-2020	128
Figure 4.67	Complete Basic Immunization Coverage According To The Residence, Gender, and The Head of The Household Education, 2018	129
Figure 4.68	Basic Immunization Coverage Based On The Province, 2018	130
Figure 4.69	Realization Achievements of The Puskesmas Proportion With Essential Drugs and Vaccines Availability, 2015-2020	131
Figure 4.70	Realization Achievements of The Puskesmas Proportion With Essential Drugs and Vaccines Availability, 2015-2020	131
Figure 4.71	Health Workers Ratio Per 1,000 Population in Indonesia, 2014 and 2017	132



Figure 4.72	The General Practitioner Density Distribution Per 100,000 Population By Province in 2018	133
Figure 4.73	indonesia's Achievement of international Health Regulations indicators in 2018-2019	133
Figure 4.74	Antenatal Care Services in Posyandu (%) in 2020	134
Figure 4.75	Number of Child Marriage Dispensation (<18 Years Old) Granted By The Religious Court, 2016-2020	132
Figure 4.76	New and Recurrent TB Case Notifications Rate Per Month in 2020	133
Figure 4.77	Enrollment Rate For Patients With Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis, 2010-2020	136
Figure 4.78	Number of Districts/Cities Achieving Malaria Elimination in 2015-2020	137
Figure 4.79	GDP Per Capita (in Million IDR) and Annual Growth Rate of Real GDP Per Capita (%)	152
Figure 4.80	Annual Growth Rate of Real GDP Per Employed Person Based On PPP (%)	153
Figure 4.81	The Proportion of informal Employment in Total Employment By Age, 2018-2020	154
Figure 4.82	The Proportion of Formal Employment By Location and Gender, 2015-2020	154
Figure 4.83	The Proportion of informal Employment Based On Sectors and Education, 2015-2020	155
Figure 4.84	Percentage of Youth Working in Formal Sector	155
Figure 4.85	Unemployment Rate By Sex and Location, 2015-2020	156
Figure 4.86	Underemployment Rate By Sex and Location, 2015-2020	157
Figure 4.87	Average Hourly income (IDR)	157
Figure 4.88	Statistics of Older Persons (60+), 2020	158
Figure 4.89	The Proportion of Older Persons Working By Age Group and Older Persons Working in informal Sector, 2015-2020	159
Figure 4.90	Percentage of Young People (15-24 Years) Who Are Not Schooling, Working Or Attending Training (NEET), 2010-2020	160
Figure 4.91	Percentage of Youth Employment Based On Location, Gender, Age Group, 2018-2020	160
Figure 4.92	Percentage of Working Children Aged 10-17 Years, 2018-2020	161
Figure 4.93	Percentage of MSME (Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises) Access To Financial Services and The Proportion of MSME Credit	162
Figure 4.94	Proportion of MSME Credit To Total Banking Credit (%), 2013-2020	162



Figure 4.95	Contribution of Tourism To GDP, 2010-2019	163
Figure 4.96	Number of Foreign Tourist Visit To indonesia Quarterly, 2019-2020	164
Figure 4.97	Number of Foreign Tourist Visit To indonesia, 2010-2020	164
Figure 4.98	Number of Domestic Tourist Visit To indonesia, 2010-2020	164
Figure 4.99	Foreign Exchange Revenue From Tourism, 2010-2020	165
Figure 4.100	Number of ATM and Commercial Bank Branches Per 100,000 Adults, 2010-2020	166
Figure 4.101	The Percentage of Respondent Who Report Having An Account At A Bank Or Another Type of Financial institutions (% Age 15+), 2011-2017	166
Figure 4.102	The Percentage of Households Headed By Older Persons (60+) Who Received Business Credits From A Bank and All Types of Credits, 2017-2020	167
Figure 4.103	FDI inflows in Indonesian Manufacturing, 2011-2020 (in Thousands of USD)	168
Figure 4.104	Worker Education Level in Indonesia (in Million), 2015-2020	169
Figure 4.105	Gini Ratio 2015-2020	184
Figure 4.106	Growth incidence Curves For 2010-2015 and 2015-2019	184
Figure 4.107	Gini Ratio 1964-2020	185
Figure 4.108	Top 10 increasing Gini Coefficient in Urban Areas	189
Figure 4.109	Percentage Change in Urban Gini Ratio September 2019 - September 2020	190
Figure 4.110	Underdeveloped Villages, independent Villages and Underdeveloped Regions	192
Figure 4.111	Economic Growth and Poverty in Underdeveloped Regions	193
Figure 4.112	Relative Poverty By Region, Gender, and Age Group	194
Figure 4.113	Relative Poverty By Province	195
Figure 4.114	Proportion of Budget Allocated For Social Protection	195
Figure 4.115	Proportion of Workers Covered By Workers insurance	196
Figure 4.116	Number of Companies and Their Proper Categories 2015-2020.	203
Figure 4.117	Number of Recorded and Managed Toxic Hazardous Waste	205
Figure 4.118	Number of COVID-19 Medical Waste Generation (in Tons) For The Period of March 2020-March 2021.	207
Figure 4.119	Number of Medical Wastes Processed in incinerators.	207
Figure 4.120	Achievement in Reduction and Management of Waste in Indonesia	208
Figure 4.121	Number of Companies Complying To SNI ISO 14001	209



Figure 4.122	Percentage of Fossil Fuel Subsidies To GDP	211
Figure 4.123	Projected GHG Emission Reduction	215
Figure 4.124	National GHG Emission Trends For 2010-2019	222
Figure 4.125	Cumulative GHG Emission Reduction Potentials For 2010-2019	223
Figure 4.126	Sectoral GHG Emission Reduction Potentials For 2010-2019	224
Figure 4.127	Percentage Points of GHG Emission Reduction in 2010-2019	224
Figure 4.128	GHG Emission intensity in 2015-2019	225
Figure 4.129	The Occurrence of Climate Disasters Period in 2010-2019	225
Figure 4.130	Projected GDP Without and With Adaptation Efforts	226
Figure 4.131	Allocation and Realization of Low Carbon Development Budget	227
Figure 4.132	Low Carbon Development Budget and Expenditure	229
Figure 4.133	Number of Disaster Victims	230
Figure 4.134	Economic Losses Due To Disasters in 2010-2019 (in IDR Trillion)	231
Figure 4.135	Conceptual Framework of Goal 16 in Indonesia's Voluntary Review 2021	231
Figure 4.136	Percentage of Government Agencies That Received Unqualified (WTP) Status	245
Figure 4.137	Percentage of Government institutions With Bureaucracy Reform index $\geq B$	246
Figure 4.138	Percentage of Government institutions With 'Good' Public Service Compliance Category (%)	247
Figure 4.139	Flow of inclusion, Response, and Accountability of COVID-19 Pandemic Handling	247
Figure 4.140	Press Freedom index (IKP) of 2016-2020	251
Figure 4.141	Number of Public Agencies Qualified As informative	251
Figure 4.142	Number of Litigation and Non-Litigation Legal Aid Beneficiary	254
Figure 4.143	Birth Certificate Ownership Trend Based On Age Groups	258
Figure 4.144	Birth Certificate Ownership From 2015-2019 in Rural and Urban Areas in Indonesia	258
Figure 4.145	The Number of Complaints About Human Rights Violations, Particularly Violence Against Women	268
Figure 4.146	Government Revenue and Tax	274
Figure 4.147	Growth of Non-Oil and Gas; Oil and Gas Exports (%)	277
Figure 4.148	internet Users in Indonesia	283



Figure 4.149	Total Amount of SSCT Financing and Activities	284
Figure 4.151	The Journey of Indonesia Population Census	285
Figure 4.152	School Completion Rates 2015-2020	299
Figure 4.153	Number of Out-of-School Children 2016-2020	300
Figure 4.154	Higher Education Gross Enrollment Rate	300
Figure 4.155	Proportion of Students Achieving Minimum Proficiency Level in Reading and Mathematics	301
Figure 4.156	Proportion of Schools With Access To Educational Facilities	302
Figure 4.157	Prevalence of Violence Against Women Aged 15-64 Years Who Have Ever/Were Married By A Partner in The Previous 12 Months, 2016	308
Figure 4.158	Proportion of Women Aged 20-24 Years Who Were First Married Or Living Together Before Age 18 By Province, 2020	308
Figure 4.159	Characteristics of Women Aged 20-24 Years Who Were First Married Or Living Together Before Age 18, 2020	309
Figure 4.160	Proportion of Women in Managerial Positions By Province, 2020	311
Figure 4.161	Proportion of Women of Reproductive Age 15-49 Years Who Make Their Own informed Decisions Regarding Sexual Relations, Contraceptive Use, and Reproductive Health Services, 2012 and 2017	310
Figure 4.162	Proportion of individuals Who Own Mobile Telephone, 2015-2020	311
Figure 4.163	Proportion of Households Using Improved Drinking Water Services, 2019-2020	314
Figure 4.164	Proportion of Households Using Safely Managed Drinking Water Services By Region, 2020	315
Figure 4.165	Households With Improved Sanitation Facilities, 2019-2020	315
Figure 4.166	Disparities in Access To Improved Drinking Water and Improved Sanitation Services in 2020	316
Figure 4.167	Water Quality index (Ika) 2015-2020 Source: Environmental Quality index Media Briefing	317
Figure 4.168	Target and Realization of Renewable Energy	320
Figure 4.170	Proportion of Value Added of Manufacturing industry Sector To GDP and Growth Rate of Manufacturing industry	325
Figure 4.171	Proportion of Exports of High-Tech industrial Products	325
Figure 4.172	Proportion of Households With Access To Adequate and Affordable Housing, 2019-2020	327



Figure 4.173	Proportion of Households With Access To Adequate and Affordable Housing By Province in 2019-2020	328
Figure 4.174	Proportion of Population That Has Convenient Access (0,5 Km Distance) To Public Transport in 2017 and 2020	329
Figure 4.175	Proportion of Waste Composition Based On Waste Sources in 2020	330
Figure 4.176	Air Quality index 2015-2020	330
Figure 4.177	Air Quality index By Province in 2020	331
Figure 4.178	Area of Marine Protected Areas 2015-2020	335
Figure 4.179	indonesia's Fishery Management Areas	337
Figure 4.180	Number of Illegal TSL Cases Processed By P.21 in 2015-2020	341
Figure 5.1	The COVID-19 Cases and Policy Responses	344
Figure 5.2	Policy Response On Large-Scale Social Restriction (PSBB) During COVID-19 Pandemic	345
Figure 5.3	Impact of Pandemic On Labor Market	347
Figure 5.4	Social Protection During The Pandemic	348
Figure 5.5	Economic Growth and Fiscal Deficit	350
Figure 5.6	The Websites of COVID-19	354
Figure 5.7	Google Mobility index	355
Figure 5.8	The Five Unicorns	358



LIST OF BOXES

Box 2.1	INDONESIA'S VNR 2021: Towards a More Rigorous and Evidence-Based VNR	12
Box 4.1	Sources of Financing for the Elderly	41
Box 4.2	Child Poverty	43
Box 4.3	Sonjo Movement	63
Box 4.4	Socio-Economic and Basic Services recovery through the Distribution of Groceries by online motorcycle services (OJOL) during COVID-19 Pandemic in Jakarta Province	64
Box 4.5	Kelingan COVID-19 Program as a Response to COVID-19 in Kampung Enam Village	65
Box 4.6	Delivering Results for Children Through A Locally Funded Social Protection Program/Geunaseh, 2019	66
Box 4.7	Improving the Prosperity of Smallholder Farmers	96
Box 4.8	Promoting Family Food Resilience during the COVID-19 Pandemic	98
Box 4.9	National Stunting Reduction Strategy	100
Box 4.10	Improving the Youth Health and Nutrition Program "AKSI BERGIZI"	102
Box 4.11	The Village that Cares for Migrant Workers Responds to COVID-19	143
Box 4.12	Sehat Jiwa (Mental Health) for School	144
Box 4.13	Program Initiative for the Acceleration of HIV Control in Urban Areas (Fast Track City) in DKI Jakarta	145
Box 4.14	Acceleration of Malaria Control in Endemic Villages through Community Involvement and Utilization of Village Funds	147
Box 4.15	Skills to Succeed Indonesia: Training of Skill to Work ad Entrepreneurship for Youth	173
Box 4.16	Skilled Youth Homes (Rumah Muda Terampil/ RMT): Social-Based Entrepreneurship Training for Youth	176
Box 4.17	Pinky Movement: Pertamina-MSMEs Partnership to Encourage MSMEs Improvement	177
Box 4.18	Effect of Social Spending on Inequality	187



Box 4.19 Guyub Project, Jawa Timur	198
Box 4.20 E-MAS BAYU & E-MBAK MINA, Ujung Alang Village, Cilacap	199
Box 4.21 RDF Technology: Innovation to Sustainable Waste Management	210
Box 4.22 BOTAK: Bogor Tanpa Kantong Plastik (Bogor without Plastic Bags)	216
Box 4.23 Plastic Reborn 2.0	217
Box 4.24 Environment Education Program for Children (Program Pendidikan Lingkungan untuk Anak/PELITA)	218
Box 4.25 Low Carbon and Climate Resilience Development	233
Box 4.26 Building Community Disaster Preparedness and Resilient through School Based Disaster Risk Reduction (BCDPR SbDRR)	235
Box 4.27 Climate Change Vulnerabilities Assessment (CCVA)-Based Coastal Communities Fishery Management Plan for COVID-19 Recovery	236
Box 4.28 Finding the hidden: Community facilitation to ensure that social assistance leaves no one behind	249
Box 4.29 Striving for Fulfillment of Civil Rights of Vulnerable Community	260
Box 4.30 Protecting children in integrated and community-based manners	264
Box 4.31 Women's Leadership for Justice: Addressing Gender-based Issue Challenges during Covid-19 Pandemic	269
Box 4.32 Woman and Sorghum: A Short Story of Long Local Resource Mobilization	289
Box 4.33 'Jogo Tonggo': When Tradition Meets Modern Technology	292



ABBREVIATION

10YFP	:	The 10-Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns
3M	:	Menjaga jarak, Menggunakan masker, dan Mencuci tangan/ Wearing Mask, Washing Hands, Physical Distancing
3R	:	Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
3T	:	Terdepan, Terluar, Tertinggal/The borderline frontier, the outermost and underdeveloped regions
3T	:	Test, Trace, Treat
4R	:	Reduce, Reuse, Recycle, Recovery
5R	:	Reduce, Reuse, Recycle, Recovery, Repair
AC-FTA	:	ASEAN-China Free Trade Agreement
ACIAR	:	Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research
ADEKSI	:	Asosiasi Sekretaris DPRD Kabupaten/Kota Seluruh Indonesia/ Association of Secretary of DPRD Cities/Municipalities in Indonesia
ADB	:	Asian Development Bank
AEM	:	AIDS Epidemic Model
AI	:	Artificial Intelligence
AIDS	:	Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
AJI	:	Aliansi Jurnalis Independen/Alliance of Independent Journalists
AKE	:	Angka Kecukupan Energi/Energy Adequacy Figure
ANC	:	Antenatal Care
APBD	:	Anggaran Pendapatan dan Belanja Daerah/Local Government Budget
APBN	:	Anggaran Pendapatan dan Belanja Negara/State Budget
APEKSI	:	Asosiasi Pemerintah Kota Seluruh Indonesia/Association of City Governments in Indonesia
APFSD	:	Asia Pacific Forum for Sustainable Development
API	:	Annual Paracite Incidence
APJII	:	Asosiasi Penyelenggara Internet Indonesia/Association of Indonesia Internet Provider
APJS	:	Alat Penghancur Jarum Suntik/Needle Destroyer
APL	:	Area Penggunaan Lain/Area for Other Land Uses
APPsi	:	Asosiasi Pemerintah Provinsi Seluruh Indonesia/Association of Provincial Governments in Indonesia
ARG	:	Anggaran Responsif Gender/Gender Responsive Budget
ART	:	Anggota Rumah Tangga/Household Members



ART	:	Antiretroviral Therapy
ASEAN	:	Association of South East Asian Nations
ASFR	:	Age-Specific Fertility Rate
ASI	:	Air Susu Ibu/Breast Milk
ATM	:	Automated Teller Machines
B2PMI	:	Badan Pelindungan Pekerja Migran Indonesia/ Indonesian Migrant Workers Protection Agency
B3	:	Bahan Berbahaya dan Beracun/Toxic and Hazardous Waste
BAB	:	Buang Air Besar/Defecation
Baduta	:	Anak Usia Bawah Dua Tahun/Children Under Two Years of Age
Balita	:	Anak Usia Bawah Lima Tahun/Children Under Five Years of Age
Balitbangkes	:	Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan/Health Research and Development Agency
Balitbangtan	:	Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pertanian/Agricultural Research and Development Agency
Bansos	:	Bantuan Sosial/Social Assistance
Bappeda	:	Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Daerah/Regional Development Planning Agency
Bappenas	:	Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Ministry of National Development Planning
BAU	:	Business as Usual
BAZNAS	:	Badan Amil Zakat Nasional/National Zakat Agency
BB Biogen	:	Balai Besar Litbang Bioteknologi dan Sumber Daya Genetik Pertanian/ Center for Research and Development of Biotechnology and Agricultural Genetic Resources
BBLR	:	Berat Badan Lahir Rendah/Low Birth Weight
BBM	:	Bahan Bakar Minyak/Fuel Oil
BCD	:	Be Creative District
BCDPR SBDRR	:	Building Community Disaster Preparedness and Resilient through School Based Disaster Risk Reduction
BDR	:	Belajar dari Rumah/Learning from Home
BEI	:	Bursa Efek Indonesia/Indonesia stock exchange
Belkaga	:	Bulan Eliminasi Kaki Gajah/Filariasis Elimination Month
BI	:	Bank Indonesia/Central Bank of Indonesia
BBJ	:	Bank Jawa Barat/West Java Bank
BKF	:	Badan Kebijakan Fiskal/Fiscal Policy Agency
BKKBN	:	Badan Kependudukan dan Keluarga Berencana Nasional/ National Population and Family Planning Agency
BKP	:	Badan Ketahanan Pangan/ Food Security Agency



BKPM	:	Badan Koordinasi Penanaman Modal/Indonesia Investment Coordinating Board
BLC	:	Bersatu Lawan COVID/Unite against COVID
BLK	:	Balai Latihan Kerja/Vocational and Education Training Centers
BLSM	:	Bantuan Langsung Sementara Masyarakat/Temporary Community Direct Assistance
BLT	:	Bantuan Langsung Tunai/Direct Cash Assistance
BNPB	:	Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana/Indonesian National Board for Disaster Management
BOD	:	Biological Oxygen Demand
BOTAK	:	Bogor Tanpa Kantong Plastik/Bogor without Plastic Bags
BPHN	:	Badan Pembinaan Hukum Nasional/National Law Development Agency
BPJS	:	Badan Penyelenggara Jaminan Sosial/Social Security Administrator
BPJS Kesehatan	:	Badan Penyelenggara Jaminan Sosial- Kesehatan/Health Security System Agency
BPJS Ketenagakerjaan	:	Badan Penyelenggara Jaminan Sosial-Ketenagakerjaan/National Social Security for Workers Program
BPNT	:	Bantuan Pangan Non Tunai/ Non-Cash Food Assistance
BPK	:	Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan/Supreme Audit Board
BPO	:	Bahan Perusak Ozon/Ozone Depleting Substances
BPOM	:	Badan Pengawas Obat dan Makanan/National Agency of Drug and Food Control
BPS	:	Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia
BRIN	:	Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional/National Research and Innovation Agency
BSI LHK	:	Badan Standar Instrumen Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/ Environmental and Forestry Instrument Standard Agency
BSM	:	Bantuan Siswa Miskin/ Cash Transfer Program For Poor Students
Bumil	:	Ibu Hamil/Pregnant Women
BUMN	:	Badan Usaha Milik Negara/Indonesian State-owned Enterprise
BUR	:	Biennial Update Report
Busui	:	Ibu Menyusui/Breastfeeding Mothers
CB	:	Cara Bertindak/How to Act
CCVA	:	Climate Change Vulnerability Assement
CEPA	:	Committee of Experts on Public Administration
CEPA	:	Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement
CO2e	:	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
COP	:	Conference of the Parties



CORSIA	:	Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation
COVID-19	:	Coronavirus Disease of 2019
CPN	:	Cadangan Pangan Nasional/National Food Reserves
CPS	:	Country Partnership Strategy
CSO	:	Civil Society Organization
CSR	:	Corporate Social Responsibility
CSV	:	Creating Shared Value
DAD-IS	:	Domestic Animal Diversity- Information System
DAK	:	Dana Alokasi Khusus/Special Allocated Funds
Dapodik	:	Data Pokok Pendidikan/Basic Education Data
DAS	:	Daerah Aliran Sungai/ River Basin
DBD	:	Demam Berdarah Dengue/Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever
DEC	:	Diethylcarbamazin
DESBUMI	:	Desa Peduli Buruh Migran/Migrant Worker Friendly Villages
Desmigratif	:	Desa Migran Produktif/Productive Migrant Village
DFAT	:	The Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade
DI Yogyakarta	:	Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta/Special Region of Yogyakarta
DIN	:	Digital Inventory National
Ditjen. Dukcapil	:	Direktorat Jenderal Kependudukan dan Pencatatan Sipil/ Directorate General of Population and Civil Registration
Ditjen P2P	:	Direktorat Jenderal Pencegahan dan Pengendalian Penyakit/ Directorate General of Disease Prevention and Control
DJSN	:	Dewan Jaminan Sosial Nasional/National Social Security Council
DKI	:	Daerah Khusus Ibukota/Special Capital Region
DKP	:	Dinas Kelautan dan Perikanan/Department of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
DLT	:	Distributed Ledger Technology
DNKI	:	Dewan Nasional Keuangan Inklusif/ National Committee for Financial Inclusion
DO	:	Dissolved Oxygen/Oxygen Demand
DPD	:	Dewan Perwakilan Daerah/Regional Representative Councils
DPI	:	Dampak Perubahan Iklim/Impact of Climate Change
DPO	:	Daftar Pencarian Orang/Fugitive List
DPP	:	Destinasi Pariwisata Prioritas/Priority Tourism Destination
DPR	:	Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat/House of Representatives
DPT	:	Difteri, Pertussis, dan Tetanus/Diphtheria, Pertussis, and Tetanus
DRPD	:	Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Daerah/Regional House of Representative
DTKS	:	Data Terpadu Kesejahteraan Sosial/ Integrated Social Welfare Data



E-Budgeting	:	Penganggaran Elektronik/Electronic Budgeting
E-KKP3K	:	Efektivitas Pengelolaan Kawasan Konservasi Perairan, Pesisir dan Pulau-pulau Kecil// Management Effectiveness of Marine, Coastal and Small Islands Conservation Areas
E-Monev	:	Pemantauan dan Evaluasi Elektronik/Electronic Monitoring and Evaluation
E-Planning	:	Perencanaan Elektronik/Electronic Planning
E-rekap	:	Rekapitulasi Elektronik/Electronic Recapitulation
E-voting	:	Pemungutan Suara Elektronik/Electronic Votes
EPR	:	Extended Producer Responsibility
EPS	:	Etalase Pasar Sonjo/Sonjo Market Display
FAO	:	Food and Agriculture Organization
Fasyankes	:	Fasilitas Pelayanan Kesehatan/Health Care Facilities
FBI4SDGs	:	Filantropi dan Bisnis untuk SDGs/Philanthropy and Business for the SDGs
FDI	:	Foreign Direct Investment
FE	:	Food Estate
FGD	:	Focus Group Discussion
FIES	:	Food Insecurity Experience Scale
FLW	:	Food Loss and Waste
FOLU	:	Forestry and Other Land Use
FTA	:	Free Trade Agreement
Gapoktan MUJAGI	:	Gabungan Kelompok Tani Multi Tani Jaya Giri/Multi Jaya Giri Farmer Group Association
GDP	:	Gross Domestic Product
Gemarikan	:	Gerakan Memasyarakatkan Makan Ikan/Movement To Promote Eating Fish
GENTB	:	Generasi Emas NTB/NTB Golden Generation
GER	:	Gross Enrollment Rate
Germas	:	Gerakan Masyarakat Hidup Sehat/Healthy Living Society Movement
Geunaseh	:	Gerakan untuk Anak Sehat/Movement for Healthy Children
GgCO2e	:	Giga Gram Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
GHG	:	Greenhouse Gas
GIC	:	Growth Incidence Curve
GISA	:	Gerakan Indonesia Sadar Administrasi/Indonesia Administration Awareness Movements
GIZ	:	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH/German Society for International Co-operation
GNR	:	Global Nutrition Report



GPS	:	Global Positioning System
GRI	:	Global Reporting Index
GRI	:	Global Reporting Initiatives
GT	:	Gross Tonnage
HAM	:	Hak Asasi Manusia/Human Rights
HCFC	:	Hydrochloro-fluorocarbon
HCV	:	High Conservation Area
HFC	:	Hydrofluorocarbon
HIV	:	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HKSN	:	Hari Kesetiakawanan Nasional/National Solidarity Day
HLPF	:	High Level Political Forum
HPK	:	Hari Pertama Kehidupan/First Day of Life
HR	:	Human Resources
HTS	:	High Throughput Satellite
HWDI	:	Himpunan Wanita Disabilitas Indonesia/Indonesian Association of Women with Disabilities
IA-CEPA	:	Indonesia-Australia Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement
IAHP	:	Indikator Anomali Harga Pangan/Food Price Anomaly Indicator
IBCSID	:	Indonesian Business Council for Sustainable Development
ICT	:	Information and Communication Technology
IDA	:	Ivermectin, Diethylcarbamazin, Albendazole
IDHS	:	Indonesia Demographic And Health Survey
IDR	:	Indonesian Rupiah
IFA	:	Iron and Folic Acid
IFAD	:	International Fund for Agricultural Development
IGCN	:	Indonesian Global Compact Network
IGES	:	Institute for Global Environmental Strategies
IKA	:	Indeks Kualitas Air/Water Quality Index
IKNB	:	Industri Keuangan Non-Bank/Non-Bank Financial Industry
IKP	:	Indeks Kebebasan Pers/Press Freedom Index
IKPS	:	Indeks Khusus Penanganan Stunting/Special Index for Stunting Management
IKU	:	Indeks kualitas udara/Air Quality Index
ILO	:	International Labour Organization
IMR	:	Infant Mortality Rate
Inmendagri 7/2021	:	Instruksi Menteri Dalam Negeri Nomor 7 Tahun 2021/Instruction of the Minister of Home Affairs No.7 of 2021



Inpres	:	Instruksi Presiden/Presidential Instruction
IOM Un	:	International Organization for Migration United Nation
IP	:	Indeks Pertanaman/Planting Index
IPAK	:	Indeks Perilaku Anti Korupsi/Anti Corruption Attitude Index
IPAL	:	Instalasi Pengolahan Air Limbah/Wastewater Treatment Plants
IPD	:	Indeks Pembangunan Desa/Village Development Index
IPLT	:	Instalasi Pengelolaan Lumpur Tinja/Sewerage Treatment Plant
IPK	:	Indeks Persepsi Korupsi/Corruption Perception Index
IPM	:	Indeks Pembangunan Manusia/Human Development Index
IPPU	:	Industrial Processes and Products Use
Iptek	:	Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi/Science and Technology
IRBI	:	Indeks Risiko Bencana Indonesia/Indonesian Disaster Risk Index
ISO	:	International Standards Organization
ISPO	:	Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil System
ISTA	:	Indonesia Sustainable Tourism
ITB	:	Insidensi Tuberkulosis/Incidence of Tuberculosis
ITB	:	Institut Teknologi Bandung/Bandung Institute of Technology
IUU	:	Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated
IZI	:	Inisiatif Zakat Indonesia/Indonesian Zakat Initiative
Jamkesda	:	Jaminan Kesehatan Daerah/Regional Health Insurance
Jampersal	:	Program Jaminan Persalinan/Maternity Assurance Programme
JICA	:	Japan International Cooperation Agency
JKMC	:	Jaringan Katolik Melawan COVID-19/Catholic Network Against COVID-19
JKN	:	Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional/National Health Insurance
JTB	:	Jumlah Tangkapan yang diperbolehkan/Total Allowable Catch
K/L	:	Kementerian-Lembaga/Ministries-Agency
K3	:	Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja/Occupational Health and Safety
KB	:	Keluarga Berencana/Family Planning
KDRT	:	Kekerasan dalam Rumah Tangga/Domestic violence
KEK	:	Kurang Energi Kronis/Less Chronic Energy
Kemen PUPR	:	Kementerian Pekerjaan Umum dan Perumahan Rakyat/Ministry of Public Works and Human Settlements
Kemendagri	:	Kementerian Dalam Negeri/Ministry of Internal Affairs
Kemendes	:	Kementerian Desa/Ministry of Village
Kemenko PMK	:	Kementerian Koordinator Pembangunan Manusia dan Kebudayaan/Coordinating Ministry of Human Development and Cultural Affairs



Kemenkumham	:	Kementerian Hukum and Hak Asasi Manusia/Ministry of Law and Human Rights
Kementerian PPN	:	Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Ministry of National Development Planning
Kemensos	:	Kementerian Sosial/Ministry of Social Affairs
Kepmen	:	Keputusan Menteri/Ministerial Decree
Kg	:	Kilogram
KGB	:	Kekerasan Berbasis Gender/Gender-Based Violence
KGM	:	Kesehatan dan Gizi Masyarakat/Directorate of Health and Community Nutrition
KIE	:	Komunikasi, Informasi, dan Edukasi/ Communication, Information and Education
KIP	:	Komisi Informasi Pusat/Central Information Commission
KKN	:	Kuliah Kerja Nyata/Community Service Program
KKP	:	Kementerian Kelautan dan Perikanan/Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries
KKS	:	Kartu Keluarga Sejahtera /Conditional Cash Assistance
KLHK	:	Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry
KLHS	:	Kajian Lingkungan Hidup Strategis/ Strategic Environmental Assessment
KM	:	Kilometer
KMK 3602/2021	:	Keputusan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor K.01.07/MENKES/3602/2021/ Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/ MENKES/3602/2021
KMM	:	Kartu Monitoring Makanan/Food Monitoring Card
Komnas HAM	:	Komisi Nasional Hak Asasi Manusia/Indonesian National Commision on Human Rights
Komnas Perempuan	:	Komisi Nasional Anti Kekerasan Terhadap Perempuan/National Commission on Violence against Women
KPBU	:	Kerjasama Pemerintah dan Badan Usaha/Public-Private Partnership
KPH	:	Kesatuan Pengelolaan Hutan/Forest Management Units
KPHK	:	Kesatuan Pengelola Hutan Konservasi/Forest Management Unit- Conservation
KPHL	:	Kesatuan Pengelola Hutan Lindung/Forest Management Unit- Protection
KPHP	:	Kesatuan Pengelola Hutan Produksi/Forest Management Unit- Production
KPPPA	:	Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak/ Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection
KRISNA	:	Sistem Kolaborasi Perencanaan dan Informasi Kinerja Anggaran/ Collaboration Planning and Budget Performance Information System



KRPL	:	Kawasan Rumah Pangan Lestari/Sustainable Food House Area
KRT	:	Kepala Rumah Tangga/Head of Household
KSBSI	:	Konfederasi Serikat Buruh Seluruh Indonesia/ Confederation of All Indonesian Trade Unions
KSPP	:	Kawasan Sentra Produksi Pangan/Food Production Center Area
KSS	:	Kerja Sama Selatan-Selatan/South-South Cooperation
KTP	:	Kartu Tanda Penduduk/National Identity Card
KUBE/KUB	:	Kelompok Usaha Bersama/Joint Venture Group
KUHAP	:	Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Acara Pidana/Indonesia Law of Criminal Procedure
KUHP	:	Kitab Undang-Undang Hukum Pidana/Indonesia Law of Criminal Code
KUR	:	Kredit Usaha Rakyat/Microlending
Lansia	:	Lanjut Usia/Elderly
LBH	:	Lembaga Bantuan Hukum/Legal Aid Institute
LCD	:	Low Carbon Development
LCGC	:	Low Cost Green Car
LDKPI	:	Lembaga Dana Kerja Sama Pembangunan Internasional/ International Development Cooperation Fund
LHK	:	Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Environment and Forestry
LIPI	:	Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia/Indonesia Institute of Science
LJK	:	Lembaga Jasa Keuangan/Financial Services Institutions
LKPP	:	Lembaga Kebijakan Pengadaan Barang/Jasa Pemerintah/National Public Procurement Agency
Lokus	:	Lokasi Fokus/Focus Location
LP2B	:	Lahan Pertanian Pangan Berkelanjutan/Sustainable Food Agriculture
LPDB	:	Lembaga Pengelola Dana Bergulir/Revolving Fund Management
LPG	:	Liquified Petroleum Gas
LPKA	:	Lembaga Pembinaan Khusus Anak/Child Correctional Facility
LPS	:	Lembaga Penjamin Simpanan/Deposit Insurance Corporation
LSM	:	Lembaga Swadaya Masyarakat/Civil Society Organization
LTSA	:	Layanan Terpadu Satu Atap Penempatan dan Perlindungan Pekerja Migran Indonesia/One-Stop Integrated Migrant Workers' Placement and Protection Service
MAHKOTA	:	Menuju Masyarakat Indonesia yang Kokoh Sejahtera/Towards a Strongly Prosperous Indonesian Society
MAN	:	Madrasah Aliyah Negeri/Senior Secondary School
Manula	:	Manusia Lanjut Usia/Elderly
MBR	:	Masyarakat Berpenghasilan Rendah/Low Income Communities
MCK	:	Mandi Cuci Kakus/Sanitation Facility



MDGS	:	Millenium Development Goals
MDR	:	Multi Drug Resistance
MFI	:	Microfinance Institutions
MICE	:	Meeting Incentive Convention and Exhibition
MMR	:	Maternal Mortality Rate
MMS	:	Multiple Micronutrient Supplementation
MoC	:	Nota Kerja Sama/ Memorandum of Cooperations
MoEC	:	Ministry of Education and Culture
MoF	:	Ministry of Finance
Mol	:	Means of Implementation
Monev	:	Pemantauan dan Evaluasi/Monitoring and Evaluation
MoU	:	Memorandum of Understanding
MP	:	Major Project
MP-ASI	:	Makanan Pendamping Air Susu Ibu/Complementary Foods for Breast Milk
MR	:	Measles and Rubella
MSMEs	:	Micro and Small Medium Enterprises
MSY	:	Maximum Sustainable Yield
Musrenbangnas	:	Musyawarah Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/National Development Planning Deliberation
N4G	:	Nutrition for Growth
NASA	:	National AIDS Spending Assessment
NCD	:	Non-Communicable Diseases
NCT	:	National Coordination Team
NDC/NDCs	:	Nationally Determined Contribution/s
NEET	:	Not in Employment, Education or Training
NGO	:	Non-Governmental Organization
NIK	:	Nomor Induk Kependudukan/National Identification Number
NMR	:	Neonatal Mortality Rate
NOFB	:	National Optic Fiber Backbone
NTB	:	Nusa Tenggara Barat/ West Nusa Tenggara
NTD	:	Neglected Tropical Diseases
NTP/TKP	:	Nilai Tambah Pertanian Per Tenaga Kerja/Agricultural Value Added Per Worker
NTT	:	Nusa Tenggara Timur/East Nusa Tenggara
O&M	:	Operational and Maintenance
ODF	:	Open Defecation Free



ODHA	:	Orang Dengan HIV dan AIDS/People With HIV and AIDS
ODP	:	Ozone Depleting Substances
OECD	:	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OHCHR	:	United Nations Human Rights Office of The High Commissioner
OJK	:	Otoritas Jasa Keuangan/Financial Services Authority
Ojol	:	Ojek Online/Online Motorbike Taxi
OMS	:	Organisasi Masyarakat Sipil/Civil Society Organization
OOSC	:	Out-of-School Children
OSS	:	Online Single Submission
OPT	:	Organisme Pengganggu Tanaman/Plant Pest Organisms
P2B	:	Pertanian Pangan Berkelanjutan/Sustainable Food Agriculture
P2L	:	Pekarangan Pangan Lestari/Sustainable Yard
PAAP	:	Pengelolaan Akses Area Perikanan/Fisheries management areas
PATBM	:	Perlindungan Anak Terpadu Berbasis Masyarakat/Community Based Integrated Child Protection
PAUD	:	Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini/Pre-Primary Education
PBB	:	Perserikatan Bangsa-Bangsa/United Nations
PBF	:	Pedagang Besar Farmasi/Pharmaceutical Wholesalers
PBI	:	Pembangunan Berketahanan Iklim/Climate Resilient Development
PBI	:	Penerima Bantuan Iuran/Recipients of Aid Contribution
PBPU	:	Pekerja Bukan Penerima Upah/Non-Wage Recipient Workers
PCR test	:	Polymerase Chain Reaction Test
PDU	:	Pusat Daur Ulang/Recycling Center
PE	:	Penyelidikan Epidemiologi/Epidemiological Investigation
PeKKA	:	Perempuan Kepala Keluarga/Women-Headed Family Empowerment
Pemda	:	Pemerintah Daerah/Local Government
Pemkot	:	Pemerintah Kota/City Government
PEN	:	Pemulihan Ekonomi Nasional/National Economic Recovery
Perda	:	Peraturan Daerah/Local Regulation
PERDHAKI	:	Persatuan Karya Dharma Kesehatan Indonesia/Indonesian Health Dharma Work Association
PERMEN-KP	:	Peraturan Menteri Kelautan dan Perikanan/Minister of Marine Affairs and Fisheries Regulation
Perppu	:	Peraturan Pemerintah Pengganti Undang-Undang/Government Regulation in Lieu of Law
Perpres RI	:	Peraturan Presiden Republik Indonesia/Indonesian Presidential Regulation
PESK	:	Pertambangan Emas Skala Kecil/Small Scale Gold Mining



PET	:	Polyethylene Terephthalate
PHBS	:	Perilaku Hidup Bersih dan Sehat/Clean and Healthy Living Behavior
PHK	:	Pemutusan Hubungan Kerja/Work termination
PIP	:	Program Indonesia Pintar/ Smart Indonesia Program
PISA	:	Program for International Student Assessment
PJJ	:	Pembelajaran Jarak Jauh/Distance Learning
PKBM	:	Pusat Kegiatan Belajar Masyarakat/Empowerment of Community Learning Centre
PKH	:	Program Keluarga Harapan/Family Hope Program-Conditional Cash Assistance
PKK	:	Pemberdayaan Kesejahteraan Keluarga/Family Welfare Education
PKPA	:	Pusat Kajian dan Perlindungan Anak/Center for Child Study and Protection
PLP2B	:	Perlindungan Lahan Pertanian Pangan Berkelaanjutan/Protection of Sustainable Food Agricultural Land
PLTU	:	Pembangkit Listrik Tenaga Uap/Steam Power Plant
PMBA	:	Pemberian Makan Bayi dan Anak/Infant and Child Feeding
PMSE	:	Perdagangan Melalui Sistem Elektronik/Commerce through Electronic System
PMT	:	Pemberian Makanan Tambahan/Supplementary Feeding
PMT-P	:	Pemberian Makanan Tambahan-Pemulihan/Supplement-Restoration Feeding
PN	:	Prioritas Nasional/National Priority
POJK	:	Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan/Financial Services Authority Regulations
Pokja	:	Kelompok Kerja/Working Group
Poktan	:	Kelompok Tani/Farmers
PONED	:	Pelayanan Obstetri Neonatal Emergensi Dasar/Basic Emergency Obstetric Neonatal Care
PONEK	:	Pelayanan Obstetri Neonatal Emergensi Komprehensif/Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric Neonatal Care
POPM	:	Pemberian Obat Pencegahan Massal/MASS Drug Prevention Program
Posbakum	:	Pos Bantuan Hukum/Legal Aid Post
Posyandu	:	Pusat Pelayanan Terpadu/Integrated Health Posts
PoU	:	Prevalence of Undernourishment
PP	:	Program Prioritas/Priority Program
PPE	:	Personal Protective Equipment
PPH	:	Pola Pangan Harapan/Hope Dietary Patterns



PPKM Mikro	:	Pemberlakuan Pembatasan Kegiatan Masyarakat Berbasis Mikro/ Enforcement of Micro-Based Community Activity Restriction
PPLH	:	Perlindungan Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup/Environmental Management Protection
PPLS	:	Pendataan Program Perlindungan Sosial/Social Protection Program Data Collection
PPN	:	Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/National Development Planning
PPSP	:	Program Percepatan Pembangunan Sanitasi Permukiman/Accelerated Sanitation Development for Human Settlements Program
PPP	:	Public Private Partnership
PPP	:	Purchasing Power Parity
PPRK	:	Perencanaan Pembangunan Rendah Karbon/Low Carbon Development Planning
PR	:	Presidential Regulation
PRK	:	Pembangunan Rendah Karbon/Low Carbon Development
Proper	:	Program Penilaian Peringkat Kinerja Perusahaan/Company Performance Rating Program
Prospera	:	Program Kemitraan Indonesia Australia untuk Perekonomian/ Indonesia Australia Partnership Program for the Economy
PSBB	:	Pembatasan Sosial Berskala Besar/Large-scale Social Restrictions
PSEKP	:	Pusat Sosial Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Pertanian/Center for Socio- Economic and Agricultural Policy
PTA	:	Preferential Trade Agreement
PUG	:	Pengarusutamaan Gender/Gender Mainstreaming
PUS	:	Pasangan Usia Subur/Married Women of Reproductive Age
PUPM	:	Pengembangan Usaha Pangan Masyarakat/Community Food Business Development
Puskesmas	:	Pusat Kesehatan Masyarakat/Community Health Center
PWD	:	Person with Disabilities
R&D	:	Research and Development
RAPBN	:	Rencana Anggaran Pendapatan dan Belanja Negara/State Revenue and Expenditure Budget Plan
RAD	:	Rencana Aksi Daerah/Subnational Action Plan
RAN	:	Rencana Aksi Nasional/National Action Plan
RAN/RAD-GRK	:	Rencana Aksi Nasional/Rencana Aksi Daerah Gas Rumah Kaca/ National Action Plan/subnational action plan for Green House Gas
RAN-GRK	:	Rencana Aksi Nasional Gas Rumah Kaca/National Action Plan for Greenhouse Gases
RAN/RAD-PG	:	Rencana Aksi Nasional/Rencana Aksi Daerah Pangan dan Gizi/ National Action Plan/Regional Action Plan for Food and Nutrition



RAN-PE	:	Rencana Aksi Nasional Pencegahan dan Penanggulangan Ekstremisme/National Action Plan on The Prevention and Handling of Extremism
RAN-PPM	:	Rencana Aksi Nasional Pengurangan dan Penghapusan Merkuri/National Action Plan for Mercury Reduction and Elimination
Raskin	:	Beras untuk Rumah Tangga Miskin/Food Subsidy
Renas-PB	:	Rencana Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana/National Disaster Management Plan
RDF	:	Refuse-Derived Fuel
RDMP	:	Refinery Development Master Plan
RDT	:	Rapid Diagnostic Test
READ-SI	:	Rural Empowerment for Agricultural Development Scaling Up Initiatives
Renstra	:	Rencana Strategi/Strategy Plan
RGG	:	Rumah Gizi Gampong/Gampong Nutrition House
RHL	:	Rehabilitasi Hutan dan Lahan/Forest and Land Rehabilitation
RIPB	:	Rencana Induk Penanggulangan Bencana/Disaster Management Master Plan
Riskesdas	:	Riset Kesehatan Dasar/Indonesian Basic Health Survey
RKP	:	Rencana Kerja Pemerintah/Government Annual Work Plan
RMT	:	Rumah Muda Terampil/Skilled Youth Homes
RPBD	:	Rencana Pembangunan Bencana Daerah/Local Disaster Management Plan
RPJMD	:	Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Daerah/Regional Medium-Term Development Plan
RPJMN	:	Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Nasional/National Medium-Term National Development Plan
RPM	:	Rumah Pangan Mandiri/Independent Food House
RR	:	Rifampicin Resistant
RSE	:	Relative Standard Error
RT	:	Rumah Tangga/Household
RTSM	:	Rumah Tangga Sangat Miskin/ Very Poor Household
RTUP	:	Rumah Tangga Usaha Pertanian/Agricultural Business Household
RUU Pekerjaan Rumah Tangga	:	Domestic Workers Protection Bill
RW	:	Rukun Warga/Citizens Association
SAE	:	Sadayana ASI Eksklusif/Exclusive Breastfeeding Sadayana
SAE	:	Small Area Estimation
Sakernas	:	Survei Angkatan Kerja Nasional/National Labor Force Survey



SAKIP	:	Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Pemerintah/The Government's Performance Accountability System
SAM	:	Severe Acute Malnutrition
SARS-CoV2	:	Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
Satgas PPA	:	Satuan Tugas Perlindungan Perempuan dan Anak/Taskforce for Women and Children Protection
Satgas COVID-19	:	Satuan Tugas Penanganan COVID-19/Task Force for Handling COVID-19
Satgas TPPO	:	Satuan Tugas Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang/Anti-Trafficking Task Force
SBNP	:	Sarana Bantuan Navigasi Pelayaran/shipping navigation aid instrument
SCP	:	Sustainable Consumption and Production
SD	:	Sekolah Dasar/Primary School
SDA	:	Sumber Daya Alam/Natural Resource
SDG	:	Sumber Daya Genetik/Genetic Resources
SDGs	:	Sustainable Development Goals
SDSN	:	Sustainable Development Solutions Network
Sembako	:	Sembilan Bahan Pokok/Nine Basic
SEZ	:	Special Economic Zones
SFM	:	Sustainable Forest Management
SFDRR	:	Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction
SIH	:	Sertifikasi Industri Hijau/Green Industry Certification
SIKOBAT	:	Sistem Deteksi Dini dan Mitigasi Kekosongan Obat/Early Detection and Vaccination Mitigation Systems
SILIN	:	Silvikultur Intensif/Intensive Silviculture
SIPBM	:	Sistem Informasi Pembangunan Berbasis Masyarakat/ Community Based Development Information System
SISMAL	:	Sistem Informasi Surveilans Malaria/Indonesian Malaria Surveillance Information System
SITASI	:	Survei Pertanian Terintegrasi/Indonesian Integrated Agricultural Survey
SITB	:	Sistem Informasi Tuberkulosis/Tuberculosis Information System
SITC	:	Standard International Trade Classification
SJSN	:	Sistem Jaminan Sosial Nasional/National Social Security System
SKAM	:	Survei Kualitas Air Minum Aman/Safe Drinking Water Quality Survey
SLB	:	Sekolah Luar Biasa/Special Schools for People with Disabilities
SLP	:	Sekolah Lapang Petani/Farmers Field School
SLTA	:	Sekolah Lanjutan Tingkat Atas/Senior Secondary School
SLTP	:	Sekolah Lanjutan Tingkat Pertama/Junior Secondary School

SMA	:	Sekolah Menengah Atas/Senior Secondary School
SMK	:	Sekolah Menengah Kejuruan/Vocational Secondary Schools
SML	:	Sistem Manajemen Lingkungan/Environmental Management System
SMP	:	Sekolah Menengah Pertama/Junior Secondary School
SNC	:	Second National Communication
SNI	:	Standar Nasional Indonesia/Indonesian National Standard
SNKI	:	Strategi Keuangan Nasional Inklusif/National Strategy for Financial Inclusive
SNPHAR	:	Survei Nasional Pengalaman Hidup Anak dan Remaja/National Survey on Child and Adolescence Life Experience
SOE	:	State Owned Enterprises
SOP	:	Standard Operating Procedure
SP	:	Sensus Penduduk/Population Census
SPALD	:	Sistem Pengelolaan Air Limbah Domestik/Domestic Wastewater Management System
SPAM	:	Sistem Penyediaan Air Minum/Drinking Water Supply System
SPBE	:	Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik/Electronic Based Government System
SPHN	:	Survei Pengalaman Hidup Perempuan Nasional/Indonesian National Women's Life Experience Survey
SPM-FP	:	Standar Pelayanan Masyarakat Fasilitas Publik/Public Service Standards for Public Facilities
SR	:	Sustainability Report
SRS	:	Sample Registration System
SSC	:	Kerjasama Selatan-Selatan/South – South Cooperation
SSGBI	:	Studi Status Gizi Balita di Indonesia/Indonesian Nutritional Status of Toddlers Study
STBM	:	Sanitasi Total Berbasis Masyarakat/Community Based Total Sanitation
Stranas	:	Strategi Nasional/National Strategy
Sub-Pokja	:	Sub Kelompok Kerja/Sub Working Group
SUN	:	Scaling Up Nutrition
SUPAS	:	Survei Penduduk Antar Sensus/Intercensal Population Survey
Susenas	:	Survei Sosial dan Ekonomi Nasional/ National Socioeconomic Survey
SUTAS	:	Survei Pertanian Antar Sensus/Intercensal Agricultural Survey
SVLK	:	Sistem Verifikasi dan Legalitas Kayu/Timber Legality and Verification System
SWAP	:	Smart Waste Platform
TB	:	Tuberkulosis/Tuberculosis
TB MDR	:	Multi Drug Resistance Tuberculosis



TB RO	:	Tuberkulosis Resisten Obat
TCM	:	Tb Molecular Rapid Test
TEI	:	Trade Expo Indonesia
TEU	:	Twenty-Foot Equivalent Unit
TFP	:	Total Factor Productivity
TFR	:	Total Fertility Rate
TIK	:	Teknologi, Informatika dan Komunikasi
TKD	:	Tim Koordinasi Daerah/Regional Coordination Team
TKDN	:	Tingkat Kandungan Dalam Negeri/Level of Domestic Component
TLS	:	Transferable Life Skills
TNP2K	:	Tim Nasional untuk Percepatan Pengentasan Kemiskinan/The National Team for the Acceleration of Poverty Reduction
TP2AK	:	Tim Percepatan Pencegahan Anak Kerdil (Stunting)/The Acceleration Team for the Prevention of Stunting (Stunting) Children
TPA/TPAS	:	Tempat Pembuangan Akhir Sampah/Landfill
TPB/SDGs	:	Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan/Sustainable Development Goal
TPGD	:	Tenaga Pendamping Gizi Desa/Village Nutrition Assistants
TPS 3R	:	Tempat Pengelolaan Sampah Reuse, Reduce, Recycle/Waste Management Site for Reuse, Reduce, Recycle
TPST	:	Tempat Pengolahan Sampah Terpadu/Integrated Waste Management Site
TPPO	:	Tindakan Pidana Perdagangan Orang/Criminal Trafficking In Persons
TSL	:	Tanaman Satwa Liar/Wildlife Plants
TTD	:	Tablet Tambah Darah/Iron Supplementation
TTI	:	Toko Tani Indonesia/Indonesian Farmer Shop
TUK	:	Tempat Uji Kompetensi/Competency Test Center
U5MR	:	Under-Fives Mortality Rate
UCLG-ASPAC	:	United Cities and Local Government Asia Pasific
UKM	:	Usaha Kecil dan Menengah/Small and Medium Enterprises
UN	:	United Nations
UNAIDS	:	Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS
UNDESA	:	United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs
UNDP	:	United Nations Development Programme
UNECOSOC	:	United Nations Economic and Social Council
UNEP	:	UN Environment Programme
UNESCAP	:	United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific
UNFPA	:	United Nations Population Fund



UNFCCC	:	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
UNICEF	:	United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund
UNRC	:	United Nations Resident Coordinator
UNSD	:	United Nations Statistics Division
UNSTAT	:	United Nations Statistics Commission
USAID	:	United States Agency for International Development
USD	:	Dolar Amerika Serikat/United States Dollar
USO	:	Universal Service Obligation
UTK	:	Unit Ternak Tropikal/Tropical Livestock Unit
UU	:	Undang-undang/Constitutions
UU ITE	:	Undang-Undang Informasi dan Transaksi Elektronik/Electronic Information and Transactions Law
UUD	:	Undang-undang Dasar/The National Constitution
VLR	:	Voluntary Local Review
VNR	:	Voluntary National Review
VSR	:	Voluntary Subnational Review
WAG	:	Whatsapp Group
WASH	:	Water, Sanitation and Hygiene
Wapres	:	Wakil Presiden/Vice President
WDI	:	World Development Indicators
WEF	:	World Economic Forum
WFH	:	Work from Home
WFP	:	World Food Programme
WHA	:	World Health Assembly
WHO	:	World Health Organization
WIFS	:	Weekly Iron-Folic Acid Supplementation
WP	:	Wirausaha Pemula/Start Up Entrepreneurs
WPPNRI	:	Wilayah Pengelolaan Perikanan/Fisheries Management Area
WTP	:	Wajar Tanpa Pengecualian/Fair without Exception
WUS	:	Wanita Usia Subur/Women of Reproductive Age
WVI	:	Wahana Visi Indonesia
WWF	:	World Wide Fund
YLBHI	:	Yayasan Lembaga Bantuan Hukum Indonesia/Indonesian Legal Aid Foundation
ZEEI	:	Zona Ekonomi Eksklusif Indonesia/Indonesian Exclusive Economic Zone



1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 ALIGNMENT OF NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AGENDA WITH THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

The second nine-point strategy Nawa Cita Jilid II, a continuation of the first Nawa Cita Jilid I, which was the basis for administration in 2015 to 2019, is the vision and mission of the elected 2020-2024 president and vice president. Nawa Cita Jilid II reaffirms that the goal of national development is "the creation of a sovereign, independent, and developed Indonesia with Gotong Royong-based characteristics". Nawa Cita Jilid II is the operational basis for the 2020-2024 Medium Term National Development Plan (RPJMN) and 2045 Indonesia Vision. As in the previous RPJMN, the 2030 Agenda has been mainstreamed in the 2020-2024 RPJMN to ensure sustainable development be translated into the programs and activities of the national government and become a reference point for those of sub-national governments.

The targets of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of no poverty, zero hunger, good health, and quality education are reflected in the national development priorities for qualified and competitive workforce. Likewise, climate change, maritime and terrestrial environments, consumption, production, cities, and sustainable housing are integrated into the national development priorities for the environment and disaster resilience. In general, SDG targets have been part of the 2020-2024 RPJMN national development priorities. Thus, SDGs are now an integral agenda in Indonesia's development; 94 SDG targets have been mainstreamed in the 2015-2019 RPJMN, increased to 124 in the 2020-2024 RPJMN. RPJMN is a guide for development planning for ministries and institutions and sub-national governments. This means that implementing SDGs is implementing the national development agenda. Therefore, financing SDGs is aligned with national priorities and government programs and activities.

By implementing SDGs, Indonesia kills two birds with one stone, namely the implementation of the national development agenda while maintaining our global commitment. Another highly strategic



component in the SDGs is the inclusivity principle, which means that SDGs are a collective movement by the government and non-state actors. Development clearly cannot be achieved successfully if carried out by the government alone. Together with businesses, philanthropy, civil organizations, the media, and universities, the government works to achieve SDGs.

Mainstreaming SDGs in the planning documents of the SDG National Action Plan (RAN) and the Sub-national Action Plans (RADs) is in line with the five yearly development agenda (RPJMN) and Medium Term Sub-National Development Plan (RPJMD). Currently, Indonesia is developing the 2020-2024 SDGs RAN with reference to the second edition of the SDG indicator metadata, published in 2020, aligned with the updated metadata by UNSTAT. This second edition of the metadata has more national indicators that are equivalent to indicators global – 135 indicators compared to 85 in the first edition. At the sub-national level, currently there are 29 RADs in 34 provinces in Indonesia, covering district-level programs and activities. Meanwhile, the RADs in five other provinces are being finalized.

Sustainable development is a necessity for a better life in harmony with nature and is increasingly relevant now to enable social, economic, and environmental resilience in the face of shocks and crises due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Indonesia continues recovering from impacts of the pandemic within the SDG framework to build forward better in the communal spirit of gotong royong, which is the characteristic of Indonesia

Development, which is manifested from the ratification of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change and Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction in support of the green economy. The green economy is an important economic transformation strategy for Indonesia's targets to become a developed country and to accelerate economic recovery due to the pandemic.

At the implementation level in sub-national areas, the Ministry of Home Affairs has issued an RPJMD (Sub-national Medium Term Development Plan) regulation on strategic environmental assessment which mainstreams SDGs. In addition, new regulations have been applied to sub-national governments to develop inclusive SDGs' RADs (Sub-national Action Plan). Furthermore, the Ministry of Village, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration has also issued regulations on "Rural SDGs" as a guidance for the village fund disbursements that is SDGs-based for planning, monitoring, and evaluation of performance.

For non-state actors, the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas has worked together with the Financial Services Authority, the Indonesian Stock Exchange, and the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) in developing a planning format for the achievement of SDGs and sustainable reporting for companies. In philanthropy, SDGs mainstreaming continues. Intensive meetings, capacity development, and mentoring for philanthropy to use SDGs as reference for strategic organizational planning have proceeded quite well and will continue.

SDGs Centers, which are SDGs centers of excellence at universities, continue to grow; currently there are 23 Centers established in Indonesia. SDGs Centers facilitate universities to teach, deliver social responsibility activities, develop knowledge, conduct research, and provide inter-disciplinary recommendations on policies. In addition, SDGs Centers also serve as catalysts, facilitators, and capacity development agents for SDGs implementers in an inclusive manner, engaging all stakeholders, especially those in sub-national areas.



Among civil organizations, a Coalition of Civil Organizations for SDGs Achievement has been formed at the national level as a means of coordination and advocacy in SDGs implementation. This coalition actively and critically voice policy recommendations for better and more inclusive SDGs implementation, including the engagement of vulnerable groups.

Other relevant stakeholders are also engaged in financing SDGs in Indonesia. There are wide gaps of SDGs financing, which has worsened due to the pandemic. The national and sub-national governments do not have the sufficient funds for SDGs achievements. Therefore, various instruments for innovative financing are needed, including philanthropy; green bonds/green sukuk; social impact investing; faith-based funds such as zakat, infaq, and alms; and crowdfunding. Indonesia is currently developing an SDGs Financing hub as a platform to facilitate various innovative financing schemes and initiatives. Implementation of these innovative schemes of financing is a manifestation of the Financing for Development agreement in the Addis Ababa Actions Agenda.

1.3 ACCOUNTABILITY OF THE INDONESIAN SDG IMPLEMENTATION COMMITMENT

Indonesia continues to reflect its commitment in achieving SDGs by strengthening existing reporting mechanisms at the national, regional, and international levels. At the national level, monitoring and evaluation are carried out regularly on the achievements of SDGs RAN and RAD targets by both the government and non-state actors. This provides the opportunity for improved policy, program, and activity planning and implementation for achieving SDGs.

Monitoring is carried out each semester, whereas evaluation is conducted annually. At the national level, ministries and government institutions and non-state actors deliver progress reports of their respective program and activity implementation to the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas as the national coordinator for SDGs implementation. At sub-national levels, governors, as a representative of the national government, coordinates monitoring and evaluation of SDGs implementation in districts within their provinces and reports SDGs implementation to the Minister of National Development Planning/Head of Bappenas and the Minister of Home Affairs.



At the regional level, Indonesia is involved in the Asia Pacific Forum for Sustainable Development (APFSD) organized by United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia Pacific (UN ESCAP), which serves as a means for sharing experience, lessons learned, and best practices in the progress to achieving SDGs in Asia-Pacific region countries. In 2021, Indonesia actively participated in the forum to deliver its SDGs achievements amid the pandemic as a momentum to build forward better through SDGs implementation. The APFSD is also a regional forum to strengthen the preparation of the VNR, to be delivered in the HLPF organized by UN ECOSOC in the same year.

In developing the 2021 VNR, Indonesia continues its effort in engaging more stakeholders to leave no one behind and with the support of UN agencies in Indonesia under the coordination of the UNRC. In addition, Indonesia is engaging in bilateral cooperation for peer review of the 2021 VNR with Norway to encourage transparency, sharing of innovations, and sharing of lessons for both countries.



2

REVIEW METHODOLOGY AND PREPARATION

2.1 METHODOLOGY IN THE REVIEW

As previously conducted in the development of the 2017 and 2019 VNRs, Indonesia consistently adopts the references set by UN DESA in developing this 2021 VNR. In-depth discussion in Chapter 4 herein is made for nine Goals that are the primary foci of the 2021 VNR analysis. Indonesia also formulates inter-linkages among the nine reported Goals, as well as presenting data trends for other Goals for which no analysis is made in detail herein except in the form of statistical annex.

The discussion under each Goal of the VNR is made based on indicators that have already been defined within the Indonesian SDGs' metadata and availability of data and information. Analyses on data disaggregated by household expenditure, sex, age group, rural and urban, people with disabilities and people with no disabilities and provinces are made to reflect the principle of No One Left Behind in the implementation of SDGs.

Data sources used in the development of the VNR comprise of basic statistical data from Statistics Indonesia, statistical data by sector from technical ministries/agencies, and data from reports by other research organizations, civil organizations, and higher education institutions. In the analysis of the 2021 VNR, Indonesia also references research and studies by ministries/agencies, non-government organizations, and development partners related to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic as relevant to the nine Goals under review.

Trend analyses up to 2019 become the main discussion to present the progress of each nine Goals, followed by analyses on the achievements of the nine Goals as affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The overall discussion concerns to the principles of data disaggregation; inclusivity; Leaving No One Behind; inter-linkages of social, economic, and environmental dimensions; people-centered; gender-sensitive; and human rights perspective, especially with regards to vulnerable groups.



Analyses under each Goal includes trend analyses to 2019 prior to the COVID-19 and of policies affecting said trends and good practices implemented by government and non-state actors contributing to the Indonesia's progress achievements of the SDGs. Discussions on the pre-pandemic trend analyses serves as the baseline for measuring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on progresses made by Indonesia thus far.

The 2020 SDGs achievement analyses have in-depth discussion on highly relevant indicators affected by the pandemic. The 2021 VNR discusses in detail how the conditions in 2020 affected SDGs achievements. Considering that basic statistical data and survey results to portray the conditions in 2020 will not have been obtained comprehensively at the time of this VNR's delivery, Indonesia uses data and information from research and studies during the COVID-19 pandemic from government and non-state actors as references in the analyses of each indicator. Policy responses, initiatives, and good practices as a response of the COVID-19 pandemic are discussed in depth under the nine analyzed Goals, especially in indicators of which the trends up to 2019 have been analyzed.

Discussion of the inter-linkages among the nine Goals in the in-depth analysis is also presented in the 2021 VNR, referring to the analytical framework developed by Indonesia under policy reforms set by the government for 2021 in ensuring sustainable and resilient recovery from COVID-19, which is also a reference in the analysis of the 2021 VNR. Discussion on the inter-linkages among goals under each Goal's analysis is also made to highlight the impacts of synergy, mutual benefit, and trade offs.

Chapter 4 of the Indonesian 2021 VNR also presents challenges, gaps, emerging issues, and prospects of recovery from COVID-19 under each foci Goal. Each foci Goal is concluded with policy responses by the government in 2021 and the plan going forward to overcome challenges, fill in gaps and face emerging issues towards

ensuring recovery and resilience that SDGs remain on track as planned in Indonesia's SDGs Roadmap towards 2030.

2.2 FORMULATION OF AN INCLUSIVE AND TRANSPARENT VNR

Indonesia's VNR has been formulated based on inclusive, participatory, and transparent principles. This VNR was formulated by the SDGs four participation platforms, namely national and sub-national governments, civil society organizations, philanthropy and business, and academicians and experts. The formulation has been reviewed by the Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia, overseen by development partners, and in consultation with the parliament. In addition to the development of the VNR, the UN System, under coordination of the UN Resident Coordinator, also facilitated the in-depth analysis of the nine Goals under review, including specific processing of disaggregated statistical data sourced from Statistics Indonesia, to ensure analyses includes data of vulnerable groups, including the poorest, women, children, and people with disabilities, provided result of the Survey Report on Volunteering in Indonesia, which encompasses all Goals – volunteering as cross cutting. The UN System also supported the development of a video that will be presented by the Minister of National Development Planning/ Head of National Development Planning Agency (Bappenas) in the 2021 HLPF meeting.

The primary principles undergirding formulation of Indonesia's 2021 VNR to ensure inclusive implementation include the following:

1. The Indonesian VNR is a national report to the global level owned by all relevant stakeholders under the coordination of the government, which is the Ministry of National Development Planning/ Bappenas (country-led);
2. The framework for 2021 VNR, schedule and formulation methodology were



discussed with the four participation platforms. All stakeholders were encouraged to be involved in formulating the VNR by participating on the meetings and discussing the relevant research and studies;

3. Public campaigns were conducted to encourage active participation by all stakeholders throughout the development process;
4. Various communication strategies, especially online, were utilized to provide the most opportunities for stakeholders's inputs;
5. All stakeholders, from government or non-state actors such as academicians and experts, philanthropy and business, civil society organizations, and the media, were engaged to ensure representation of all public groups or elements, especially vulnerable groups which include children, youth, the elderly, and people with disabilities;
6. Documentation and publication were made to ensure accountability and transparency in the consultation processes taking place;
7. Principles of people-centered and gender sensitive, and upholding human rights, especially the poorest and the vulnerable were implemented; and
8. Accessible language was used to reduce information gaps among involved stakeholders.



2.3 THE VNR FORMULATION STAGES

The stages in the formulation of the Indonesian 2021 VNR are as illustrated in Figure 2.1 Flow of the Indonesia's 2021 VNR Formulation.




Figure 2.1 Flow of the Indonesia's 2021 VNR Formulation

The VNR formulation began with a kick-off meeting to ensure common understanding of relevant stakeholders, led by the Minister of National Development Planning/Head of Bappenas as the coordinator of SDGs implementation in Indonesia.

This meeting was attended by more than 500 members of the National SDGs Coordination Team and representatives of sub-national governments and the UN System in Indonesia. This virtual meeting, allowing a larger audience, differed from the offline 2017 and 2019 plenary meetings, in which space had been a limitation: the 2019 VNR face-to-face meeting was attended by 250 participants.

After the kick-off meeting, the heads of the working groups under the four SDGs development pillars met with



Figure 2.2 The Minister of Development Planning /Head of National Development Planning Agency in the 2021 VNR development kick-off meeting

each pillar members, also attended by the UN System. With the existing teams under the national coordination team, which comprised representatives of each participation platform, the sub-working groups for each Goal under reviewed prepared and formulated the drafts. Focus group discussions in each sub-working group under each VNR-focus Goals were conducted from mid-February to March 2021. In addition, focus group discussions involving philanthropy and business were also conducted in drafting the current VNR to complement focus group discussions in each sub-working group. The focus group discussions were a joint initiative between philanthropy and business platform and the Indonesian SDGs Implementing Team to ensure an inclusive process and to engage more philanthropy and business in the development of the VNR.

In addition to the nine Goals analyzed in depth by each corresponding sub-working group, as with VNR 2019, the current VNR reports achievements, challenges, and policy responses from the other eight Goals that are excluded from in-depth analyses, beyond the framework as per the theme of the 2021 VNR, namely Goals 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 14, and 15. This is to paint a big picture of the achievements of the 17 Goals in Indonesia. The discussion in the current VNR also presented in greater depth the inter-linkages among Goals under review. The inter-linkages among the nine Goals were reviewed at the levels of Goal, target, and indicator. The inter-linkages review is presented in the chapter on Policies and Enabling Environment.

To further support the in-depth analyses, all relevant stakeholders were encouraged to share their experiences and good practices as their contribution in achieving SDGs, especially in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Public was reached out for their participation in the 2021 VNR via web site <http://sdgs.bappenas.go.id> and social media platforms such as Instagram (@sdgs_indonesia) and Facebook (SDGs Indonesia) (Figure 2.3). In addition to ensuring



Figure 2.3 Invitation to the public for participation in the 2021 VNR development by way of reporting good practices

an inclusive development process engaging all stakeholders, this was also intended to obtain relevant information to then share to other stakeholders. Up to early April 2021, around 200 good practices' documents have been received, enriching the analysis and showcased good practices to be replicated and scaled up by other stakeholders.

Each organization reporting their good practices had to complete a standardized format of the Good Practices and Lessons Learned Form (Figure 2.4), submitted online



<p>1. Name of Goal under SDGs 2. Name of Institution 3. Name of Program 4. Activity Place and Time 5. Background 6. Implementation (strategies, parties involved, recipients, etc) 7. Results and Impacts 8. Challenges and Lessons 9. Replicability</p> <p>Remarks: Maximum one page including graphics, photos, location (coordinates), website, etc.</p>

Figure 2.4 Good Practices and Lessons Learned Form

The good practices' documents were selected to identify those that are relevant to the analysis of the Goal under review and the theme of the VNR (Figure 2.5 Selection process for good practices to be included in the 2021 VNR). The selection looked at complete information, as required in the Good Practices and Lessons Learned Form, and its content, with selection criteria in regards to the 2021 VNR theme, as relevant to the targets and indicators under review, as well as its impact and replicability.

The results of the initial selection would be brought to focus group discussions with independent team consisting of representatives of stakeholders, heads of sub-working groups, and the SDGs National Secretariat. Stakeholders representatives include experts and leaders of civil society organizations, philanthropy, business, and academicians with expansive experience in their respective fields. In the discussions, the independent team emphasized that good practices included in the analysis discussion in the 2021 VNR as shown in the box must show sustainability, promote local wisdom, nurture social capital, reflect social diversity, target vulnerable groups, encourage movements in the public, including community

volunteering, be a good and replicable example, not only domestically but also for internationally if possible, and reflect innovation.

In addition to good practices included in support of the in-depth analyses of the nine 2021 VNR-focus goals, Indonesia also presents other good practices in the annex. The statistical annex containing all data of each SDGs indicator in Indonesia is an inseparable part of the VNR. Trends and disaggregated data are presented in a statistical annex with updated data, although there were amended of data measurement methods in 2019 for several indicators, such that only data from the past two years are presented, for example data on adequate water and sanitation, whereas other indicators are presented in accordance with the second edition of the Indonesian SDGs Indicator Metadata.

Another annex includes lists of policies during the COVID-19, stakeholders involved in the development of the current VNR, and the syntax of measurements of several indicators such as Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) and Prevalence of Undernourishment (PoU), considering Indonesia is advanced in measuring the two indicators.

All formulation stages of the VNR was communicated to the stakeholders by email and was published for feedback through online forms. Public consultation was delivered via email blast to more than 700 members of the Indonesian National SDGs Coordinating Team and the public through all official social media accounts of SDGs Indonesia. Public consultation on the first draft was conducted to ensure the involvement of all stakeholders in the development of VNR 2021 in a transparent manner as a form of government accountability to the public as well as to capture even more inputs.

Consultations in the form of focus group discussion were also conducted with representatives from vulnerable groups, including children, youth, and labor organizations, as well as consultations and




Figure 2.5 Selection process for good practices to be included in the 2021 VNR

orientations on the importance of the human rights perspective in each VNR analysis with the support of UN OHCHR. Based on the experiences from the 2017 and 2019 VNRs, consultations with vulnerable groups should be conducted in greater depth that the principle of Leaving No One Behind is implemented and monitored properly both in the processes and in the discussion.

According to the consultations with youths and adolescents, the COVID-19 pandemic has to a great degree affected youths and adolescents, personally and their activities related to SDGs implementation. The pandemic inevitably has limited types of activities conducted by youth and adolescent organizations in implementing SDGs in their respective areas. However, it also has provided opportunities, including increased utilization of online devices. Several challenges that they have felt arising during the pandemic include challenges in remote learning, especially those who are undertaking vocational and practice-based education, difficulties in securing jobs, mental health challenges due to extended staying at home periods, and challenges for youths and adolescents with disabilities.

Focus group discussions with 28 volunteers involving organizations and 5 volunteers were also organized as part of the 'Survey Report on Volunteering in Indonesia' by the IndoRelawan and with support of UNV. Participants shared their experience while implementing volunteer programmes and volunteering for socio-economic and environmental activities. Numerous good practices of volunteering related to education, COVID-19 response, environment were shared. Mutual benefits of volunteering for society as well as recommendations to further nurture volunteering culture in Indonesia were also collected to enable more citizen to take part in acceleration of SDGs implementation through volunteering.

Discussions of these online public consultations and focus groups complemented the VNR drafts. The final draft was discussed in the final plenary meeting involving all stakeholders who were invited to the kick-off meeting.

In addition to inputs from public consultations, the inclusive process of VNR development also considered the surveys from the development of Voluntary Subnational Reviews (VSR) carried out by ITB SDGs Network with the support of



United Cities and Local Government Asia-Pacific (UCLG ASPAC). The VSRs were conducted in 13 provinces, nine cities, and three regencies, involving the Indonesian District Government Association, Indonesian Provincial Government Association and Indonesian District Parliament Association. The method implemented therein includes interview with subnational governments on the implementation of SDGs; questionnaires with emphases on appraisal of the sub-national government's capacities in implementing SDGs, setting priorities for SDGs-aligned development, and understanding of SDGs; and focus group discussions for experience sharing. The 2021 VSR was the first for Indonesia.

The Indonesia's 2021 VNR also accommodates results from the Voluntary Local Review (VLR) conducted by the city government of Surabaya, East Java Province. In addition to subnational's commitment on SDGs implementation, this process has been encouraged by the integration of SDGs into the mid-term development planning of the city government. The Surabaya VLR was conducted in three stages: planning, consultations, and data compilations, before its formulation. The Surabaya government, with the support of UCLG-ASPAC and UN ESCAP had the initiative to conduct Indonesia's first VLR.


The process and stages of the Indonesia's 2021 VNR were also reviewed by the Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia, with the aim of assuring the quality of the development of the current VNR report. The review was conducted in two weeks, on 19–30 April 2021. The Audit Board assessed whether the Indonesian government has developed the 2021 SDGs VNR in line with those in 2017 and 2019, considered audit results of the Audit Board related to the achievements of SDGs in Indonesia, and conducted due process in line with the guidance issued by UN DESA (Handbook for the Preparation of VNR 2021).

BOX 2.1

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021: TOWARDS A MORE RIGOROUS AND EVIDENCE-BASED VNR

"They will be rigorous and based on evidence, informed by country-led evaluations and data which is high-quality, accessible, timely, reliable and disaggregated by income, sex, age, race, ethnicity, migration status, disability and geographic location and other characteristics relevant in national contexts" (Paragraph 74 of the 2030 Agenda document concerning "follow-up and review processes" for the implementation of the 2030 Agenda in letter g).

In supporting the follow-up and review process for the preparation of a more rigorous and proper evidence-backed VNR, the Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia (Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan or the Audit Board) has fulfilled the request of the



Government of the Republic of Indonesia through the Minister of National Development Planning/Head of the National Planning Agency (Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional or Bappenas) to conduct a review of the preparation of the Indonesian VNR 2021. In line with the efforts to build a culture of Accountability for All, the Audit Board appreciates the request for a VNR review as a form of commitment, transparency, and government accountability in the preparation of the Indonesian VNR 2021. The

Audit Board review is a real contribution to providing assurance for the achievement of the 2030 Agenda in Indonesia as well as lessons learned for the international community.

The Audit Board has established a criteria framework for reviewing Indonesia's VNR 2021, consisting of (i) consistency of VNR 2021 with the VNR 2017 and 2019, (ii) data validation and analysis of VNR 2021 with the audit results of the Audit Board, and (iii) alignment of due process with Handbook for the Preparation of VNR- The 2021 Edition. The review was carried out on the initial draft of Indonesian VNR 2021, which contains the Progress of the Goals and Targets or Chapter 4 of the Structure and Content of the report for HLPF, which is contained in Annex 2 of the Handbook for the Preparation of VNR - The 2021 Edition document.

The results of the review show that the government has maintained the continuity and harmony of information disclosure and analysis in accordance with the VNR 2017 and 2019 and the Handbook for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Edition. However, the government should pay attention to the sustainability of resources as well as the relevance of data and information; the results and follow-up of the Audit Board audits; and the due processes in accordance with the Handbook for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Edition.

As an added value to the Indonesia's VNR 2021, the Audit Board recommends the government to ensure the disclosure of the sustainability of resources and the relevance of data and information; reveal the importance of alignment of information and analysis of each achievement of the Goals and targets with the results and follow-up of the Audit Board audits; ensure the preparation process of the SDGs VNR 2021



in terms of the formulation, presentation framework, and documentation process.

The government, represented by the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, gives positive responses to the results of the review. As a concrete step, the government immediately follows up on the results and recommendations of the Audit Board review on improving the final version of the Indonesia's VNR 2021 document.

In accordance with Principle 12 of INTOSAI, the Audit Board expects that the Indonesian VNR 2021 review will be the first step of further constructive collaboration between the government and auditing agencies to strengthen the VNR and as good practices that can be used as lessons learned for other countries and auditing agencies. The Audit Board and the government has agreed to increase constructive collaboration in the future to strengthen the follow-up and review process for the implementation of the 2030 Agenda in Indonesia.

Indonesia's VNR development has also been reviewed by the government of Norway through the country peer-reviewed report mechanism, the government of Indonesia also reviewed the draft Norwegian 2021 VNR document. This process is intended to ensure the principles of inclusivity and experience- and good practice-sharing in the VNR, both in the development process and in the analysis foci. The Indonesian government has also been involved in the review processes at the regional and international levels through involvement in discussions and workshops on the preparation of the 2021 VNR held by UN ESCAP, UN DESA, and UN Statistics Division (UNSD), in addition to dialogues on VNR preparation in an occasion of the 20th session of the Committee of Experts on Public Administration (CEPA).

2.4 LIMITATION

As with all countries reporting their VNR in 2021, VNR discussions could only be held online or on virtual platforms. This proved to be a limitation neither for the process nor substance, but it instead facilitated greater outreach and participation of the National SDGs Coordination Team in the kick-off meeting, series of

discussions, and plenary meeting for finalization and endorsement. More inputs were received for the 2021 VNR through virtual platforms; although virtual platforms were utilized for the previous two VNRs, the public have now become more accustomed to the use of applications and online forms, resulting in a significant increase in the input received. Higher public enthusiasm for participation in the discussion and provision of feedback has also been apparent.

Considering that the theme of the 2021 VNR relates to recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic, analyses include data and information during the pandemic. Survey results of Statistics Indonesia published in 2020 portrayed pre-pandemic conditions. The National Economic and Social Survey (Susenas) in the first quarter of 2020, conducted in March, did not fully illustrate the impacts of the pandemic, but the Susenas in September 2020 did so, especially in terms of poverty and gini ratio.

This report presents data up to 2020, but the 2020 data have to consider several factors, since the 2020 data were collected during the pandemic. Transition from face-to-face data collection methods to virtual ones affect the findings. There are two possibilities, first,



the virtual methods have greater access for the public to be involved, report and/or reached by the interviewer. Those who previously would have faced physical access limitations during data collection or surveys would have flexibility of online access. Second, the virtual methods actually limit access for the public to be involved, report and/or reached by the interviewer. Automated online data collection captured data from individuals with access to digital technologies or those already registered in the data system (e.g., having an identity number so as to be registered in the population registry).

As also experienced by other countries in the world during the COVID-19 pandemic, Indonesia faced difficulties in collecting data for some indicators, such as stunting. The initial plan was that stunting data would be collected by integrating the March 2020 Susenas and the 2020 Indonesian Nutrition Status Survey. About 345,000 households sampled in the March 2020 Susenas who had been interviewed by the Susenas data collection officers would be visited again by Ministry of Health officers in April to May 2020 for stunting data collection. Collection of stunting data required details of the age of the infant and measurements of height and weight. Considering the high health risks for the infants and their families of such activities during the pandemic, such contacts are no longer feasible.

Other data that was not possible to be collected in 2020 include infant mortality rate, neonatal mortality rate, and proportion of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) whose demand for family planning satisfied by modern contraception methods. Such data should have been collected from the Indonesian Demographic and Health Surveys (SDKI), which had been planned for 2020, but postponed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. On the other hand, in the case of the maternal mortality rate, the data source is the Inter-censal Population Survey (SUPAS), which can be updated only after the long-form 2020 population survey, planned in September 2021. The latest maternal mortality rate available is sourced from the 2015 SUPAS.

Despite these limitations, the Indonesia's 2021 VNR manages to present data for several global SDGs indicators, including indicators under Goal 2 such as indicators 2.1.1 Prevalence of Undernourishment, 2.1.2 Prevalence of Food Insecurity based on Food Insecurity Experience Scale or FIES, 2.c.1 Indicator of Food Price Anomalies, and 2.3.2 Average Income of Small-Scale Food Producers by sub-sector. The data processing syntax of those indicators are presented in the statistical annex.

These limitations have not negatively impact the substance in the current VNR; instead, under such conditions, secondary data and information from other research and studies by stakeholders under the initiatives of government, non-state actors such as higher education institutions, CSOs, and research institutions have been referenced to in the formulation of the current VNR. Some research and studies were the results of collaboration between the government and non-state actors, including development partners, academicians, and CSOs. These suggest increased cooperation, collaboration, and ownership towards the 2021 VNR.





3

POLICY AND ENABLING ENVIRONMENT

In the fifth year of SDGs implementation in Indonesia, commitment to achieving SDGs is stronger, from both government and non-state actors, mainstreamed to the village level. The stronger collective ownership among the stakeholders is a reflection of the implementation of the SDGs principles that are inclusive, participatory and transparent so as to foster mutual trust among all stakeholders. Thus, approaches initiated by the government in SDGs implementation have been supported by stakeholders, and SDGs is not only mainstreamed in development planning, but also in program planning of non-state actors.

With the COVID-19 pandemic, it is necessary to strengthen the enabling environment so that the implementation of the SDGs is in line with economic transformation agenda in response to the recovery from the Covid-19 pandemic.

3.1 BUILDING COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP

As a country that has reported VNR for the third time, Indonesia strives to ensure that the principles of SDGs that are inclusive, participative and transparent are always prioritized, better implemented and its quality continues to be improved. Maintaining collective ownership in the implementation of the SDGs is necessary as a reflection of Indonesia's commitment to SDGs implementation, demonstrating strong partnership among stakeholders.

A. COORDINATION OF SDGs IMPLEMENTATION

The SDGs National Coordination Team has been established since 2017 as mandated by the Presidential Regulation (Perpres) Number 59 Year 2017 on the Implementation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Four participation platforms consisting of (1) national and subnational governments, (2) civil society organizations, (3) philanthropy and business, and (4) academicians and experts, since 2017 have jointly demonstrated their contribution and cooperation in the implementation of the SDGs in Indonesia.



To create and build collective ownership, Indonesia has always involved all participation platforms in every process, from planning, implementing, monitoring, evaluating and reporting on the implementation of the SDGs. There is great enthusiasm of stakeholders to be involved in SDGs implementation, therefore the coordination mechanism implemented in the SDGs National Coordination Team is imperative. The tiered process of the Working Group (WG) for each development pillar and Sub-Working Group (Sub-WG) for each Goal, encourages stronger involvement of all stakeholders. Stakeholders can participate in several relevant pillars and several relevant sub-working groups simultaneously according to their own concern and interest. This mechanism is intended to continue to build trust and cooperation between stakeholders. This is also replicated at the subnational level through the SDGs Subnational Coordination Team.

B. EVIDENCE OF INCLUSIVE COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP

Inclusive collective ownership that has been carried out by Indonesia, among others, in the following process:

- Planning, monitoring and reporting of the 2017-2019 National Action Plan (Rencana Aksi Nasional or RAN) as well as the 2017 and 2019 VNR reporting and the 2019 National SDGs Annual Report. The 2017-2019 RAN also included 108 action plans of non-state actors, and it is expected that this number will increase for the 2020-2024 RAN, which at the time of the preparation of 2021 VNR, was in the process of drafting.
- At the subnational level, shared ownership is reflected in the formulation of 29 SDGs Subnational Action Plans (Rencana Aksi Daerah or RAD) from a total of 34 provinces in Indonesia by the provincial level government together with district/city governments and their respective non-state actors. All SDGs RADs are stipulated by

Governor Regulations. The remaining five provinces are currently in the process of finalizing its SDGs RADs. It is expected that soon all provinces will have their own SDGs RAD as a reference for the implementation of SDGs in subnational level and ensure that SDGs can be carried out immediately to the village level and as a National Movement.

- Some of the SDGs RADs were developed with support from SDGs Centers established in universities. There are currently 23 SDGs Centers established. This number has increased significantly compared to 2019 with 9 SDGs Centers. SDGs Centers, which are centers of excellence, have also contributed to the extensive dissemination of SDGs, in campus and off campus public outreach. With the integration of SDGs into the curriculum as the main course in several universities such as Padjadjaran University in West Java and Bengkulu University in Bengkulu, and it has also become a thematic in the student-based university community service programs (Kuliah Kerja Nyata or KKN) in several universities, including at Gajah Mada University in Yogyakarta, Artha Wacana University in East Nusa Tenggara and Diponegoro University in Central Java. To further encourage the youth and the community in campus to understand about SDGs, 11 universities have had SDGs Campus Ambassadors since 2020. The role of Campus Ambassadors is to disseminate and promote SDGs in campus and off campus public outreach by implementing SDGs-related programs and activities in and off campus. The selection process for Campus Ambassadors was carried out at the same time as the 2020 Annual SDGs Conference. The preparation of 2021 VNR was also supported by academics and SDGs Centers, who actively provided input and recommendations for in-depth analysis.



Figure 3.1. SDGs Centers (Data per April 2021)

- The commitment of the President of the Republic of Indonesia and the Indonesian government to implement SDGs to the grassroot level is demonstrated by the launch of Village SDGs by the Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Areas and Transmigration in 2020. Village SDGs as a form of localization of SDGs will be able to reach the community down to the grassroots level. Thus, shared ownership of the achievement of the SDGs can be truly created and developed.
- The Philanthropy and Business Platform for SDGs has also shown their increased participation in the SDGs. Compared to VNR 2017 where Indonesia reported 11 associations with members of more than 700 companies involved in the Philanthropy and Business Platform for SDGs (Filantropi dan Bisnis untuk SDGs or FBI4SDGs), in VNR 2019 and until now there has been 15 associations with members of more than 1500 companies. These 15 associations consist of organizations engaged in philanthropy, CSR and trade committees, employers' associations, and organizations covering companies with a similar focus, such as agriculture or oil and gas.
- The role of philanthropy and business actors is not only shown by the increasing number of associations involved in the SDGs but also their commitment to implementing sustainable programs and activities in their business process. With the issuance of the Financial Services Authority Regulation Number 51 Year 2017 (POJK Number 51/POJK.03/2017) on the Implementation of Sustainable Finance for Financial Service Institutions, Listed Companies, and Public Companies, companies are required to submit their Sustainability Report. Through these regulations and companies' Sustainability Reports, the Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency (Bappenas), Financial Services Authority (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan or OJK), the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI), and the FBI4SDGs supported by the Global Report Initiative (GRI) have prepared guidelines for companies to list their contribution to the implementation of the Indonesian SDGs within the SDGs Action Plan. The Guidelines for the Preparation of the SDGs Action Plan were also developed by both government and non-state actors in an inclusive manner. One of the users of this guideline is state-owned enterprises (SOEs) coordinated by the Ministry of SOEs to conduct training for more than 100 SOEs to be involved in the preparation of the Indonesia's 2020-2024 SDGs National Action Plan.



- In Indonesia, with nearly 400,000 Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) at the national and subnational levels, the involvement and contribution of CSOs is significant in achieving the SDGs. Among the social organizations that are able to reach out to the community and even remote areas of Indonesia are faith based CSOs. Major Islamic religious organizations in Indonesia, such as Nahdlatul Ulama and Muhammadiyah, have made SDGs an integrated part of their programs and activity plans at the community level. Apart from Islam, which is the largest religion in Indonesia, five other official religions in Indonesia, namely Christianity, Catholicism, Hinduism, Buddhism, and Confucianism, also have an important role in disseminating SDGs to their disciples. Youth organizations and organizations of people with disabilities are also actively involved in the implementation of SDGs in Indonesia. UNFPA and UNICEF Indonesia actively facilitate youth groups, including youth groups with disabilities, to disseminate SDGs and are involved in consultations for the preparation of VNR 2021. Youth organizations such as Kitong Bisa are among those that actively disseminate SDGs for youth in eastern parts of Indonesia such as Papua, West Papua, Maluku and North Maluku and the surrounding provinces.
- To support greater outreach of the dissemination of SDGs, the Minister of National Development Planning as the coordinator of SDGs implementation in Indonesia appointed 3 SDGs Ambassadors to represent 3 regions. They also support the dissemination of SDGs through their networks, advocacy to strengthen SDGs commitment at subnational levels, raising awareness and promoting their main areas of concern in regards to SDGs, such as religious tolerance, education and environmental pollution.
- Volunteers' contributions in Indonesia for acceleration of SDGs are significant. Volunteering survey was carried out by IndoRelawan and UNV covered 129 volunteer organizations and 351 volunteers across the country. The survey report shows the trends of volunteering, with various examples, in Indonesia between 2019 and early 2021 including in times of COVID-19 pandemic. It is the first if its kind report on volunteering in Indonesia produced through online survey conducted throughout the country. In the time frame between 2019 and the beginning of 2021, 31,91% spent less than 10 hours, 24,78% of respondents spent more than 90 hours in total when volunteering. The pandemic did not stop volunteers' spirit and actions. Most of volunteering activities during the COVID-19 were targeted at Goal 1, Goal 2, Goal 3, Goal 4, Goal 16, and Goal 17 in the form of educational campaigns through social media (59,5%), distribution of health safety equipment (53,7%) and packages (52,4%), fundraising for the cause (42,9%), and conducting online classes (27,4%). All these efforts targeted poor communities, children, the elderly, vulnerable.
- Support from development partners is also important in the implementation of the SDGs in Indonesia, in the form of technical assistance, programs and studies. Support from development partners during 2020 include support in the preparation of National and Subnational Action Plans; development and analysis of Indonesian SDGs E-Monev which is integrated with the government's E-Monev; SDGs dashboard development and disaggregated data collection; development of Second Edition of Indonesian SDGs Indicator Metadata; encouraging participation of non-state actors, in this case, CSOs, in planning, implementing and monitoring and evaluation of the SDGs; and the development of SDGs Financing Hub and SDGs Bond.
- Other stakeholder playing an important role in the implementation of the SDGs in Indonesia are the Parliament and the Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia (Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan or BPK). The Indonesian parliament is also active in voicing the issue of sustainable development both in the internal parliament in Indonesia and in cooperation with other parliaments, including by hosting the World Parliamentary Forum on Sustainable Development. BPK Indonesia has been actively conducting audits of the preparation for the implementation of the SDGs in Indonesia and ensuring that BPK recommendations for improving the implementation of SDGs in Indonesia can be implemented. In the preparation of VNR Indonesia 2021, BPK conducts a review of the VNR preparation process with the aim of improving the quality of its analysis and reports.



3.2 MAINSTREAMING SDGS INTO DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

In 2019, Indonesia intensively prepared a five-year development plan document for the 2020-2024 period. Indonesia ensures that more SDGs targets are mainstreamed into the 2020-2024 Medium-Term Development Plan (Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Nasional or RPJMN).

Presidential Regulation Number 59 Year 2017 include 94 SDGs targets that are mainstreamed in the 2015-2019 RPJMN. In the 2020-2024 RPJMN, the number of SDGs targets that were mainstreamed is now 124. All 17 Goals have been mainstreamed in the seven Development Agenda 2020-2024. This is a reflection of the strong commitment of the Government of Indonesia to mainstream SDGs in development planning (Figure 3.2).



Figure 3.2 Mainstreaming SDGs in the 2020-2024 RPJMN

Furthermore, Indonesia has also updated the Indonesian SDGs Indicator Metadata in the second edition in 2020 which is in accordance with the revision of the global metadata by UN STAT. This metadata is the basis for mainstreaming the SDGs in the RPJMN document.




Figure 3.3 Updating Metadata for Indonesian SDGs Indicators

By mainstreaming the SDGs targets into the RPJMN, annual programs and activities related to the achievement of the SDGs and their budget allocations are listed in the Government Work Plan (Rencana Kerja Pemerintah or RKP) and the State Budget Draft (Rencana Anggaran Pendapatan dan Belanja Negara or RAPBN). Thus, it is expected that the SDGs targets can be achieved by 2030, despite the COVID-19 pandemic.

In line with the national level, subnational governments have also mainstreamed SDGs into their subnational medium-term development planning document that is in line with the tenure of the head of government in each province/district/city.

SDGs mainstreaming in the development planning document is illustrated in the SDGs National Action Plan (RAN) and Subnational Action Plans (RADs). Currently, Indonesia is preparing its second SDGs RAN and there

are 29 RADs at the provincial level which also contains programs and activities at the district/city level.

Indonesia has specific guidelines for the preparation of the SDGs Action Plan for the national and subnational levels which also includes guidelines for all participation platforms. The guidelines for the preparation of the SDGs action plan have been updated in 2020. The second edition of the guideline provides more specific guidance for subnational governments in presenting their program of activities on a format different from the national government. The second edition of the guidelines also provides opportunity for business to present their programs and activities in a separate format from the format for civil society organizations and philanthropy, which in the first edition were displayed in the same format (Figure 3.4). Thus, Indonesia's SDGs action plan have accommodated all stakeholders.



Following the updates of the guidelines for the preparation of action plans, the guidelines for monitoring, evaluation and reporting were also updated (Figure 3.5). This is to ensure that all stakeholders are involved in planning, implementing, monitoring, evaluating and reporting the SDGs in a more transparent and accountable manner. This mechanism is also intended to strengthen integration between stakeholders.



Figure 3.4 Technical Guidelines for Preparation of Indonesian SDGs Action Plans



Figure 3.5 Technical Guidelines for Monitoring and Evaluation of Indonesian SDGs

The alignment of the SDGs with the 2015-2019 RPJMN has been reported in the SDGs Annual Report 2019. Of the 280 reported indicators and data available, 70% have been achieved with tendencies of improvement.

7 DEVELOPMENT AGENDA	ALIGNMENT WITH SDGS	STATUS CAPAIAN 280 INDIKATOR TPB/SDGS TAHUN 2019		
		ACHIEVED	IMPROVED	NEED ATTENTION
Quality and Competitive Human Resource		39 Indicators	23 Indicators	34 Indicators
		30 Indicators	9 Indicators	11 Indicators
Economic resilience for quality growth		6 Indicators	2 Indicators	8 Indicators
Regional Development for Equity		24 Indicators	2 Indicators	16 Indicators
Economic Development Infrastructure and Basic Services		17 Indicators	6 Indicators	16 Indicators
Stability of Politics, Law, Defense, Security and Public Service Transformation		17 Indicators	23 Indicators	9 Indicators
Environment and Disaster Resilience		30 Indicators	8 Indicators	6 Indicators
Total: 280 Indicators		146 Indicators (52%)	50 Indicators (18%)	84 Indicators (30%)

Figure 3.6 Status of Achievement of the SDGs Indicators in 2019



By mainstreaming the SDGs at the subnational level that are in the Subnational Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMD), the SDGs are also included in the programs and activities in the SDGs RAD. The implementation of SDGs actions at the subnational level are monitored and evaluated based on the SDGs monitoring, evaluation and reporting guidelines. Each province reports SDGs monitoring every semester to be submitted to the SDGs National Coordination Team at the national level, and published to public through available media. The DKI Jakarta Government is one of the subnational governments that has mainstreamed the SDGs in its RPJMD and regularly reports every semester the monitoring of the implementation of SDGs achievement based on established guidelines and submit to the SDGs National Coordination Team for feedback.

Mainstreaming SDGs up to the Village Level

MMainstreaming SDGs into development planning documents is a way to localize SDGs both at the national and subnational levels. With strong commitment in implementing the SDGs, having launched the Village SDGs, Indonesia continues to mainstream SDGs at village level. The Ministry of National Development Planning/ Bappenas collaborates and coordinates with the Ministry of Home Affairs to ensure the mainstreaming of SDGs in the Provincial

and District/City RPJMDs. Furthermore, working together with the Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Areas, and Transmigration to ensure the implementation of SDGs to the community through the Village SDGs. With the number of villages in Indonesia totaling 74,954 (89%) of the total number of villages and sub-districts, namely 83,820 in 2019 (Statistics Indonesia and the Ministry of Villages, 2019), development in villages contributes significantly to the achievement of Indonesia's SDGs. It is estimated that villages are able to contribute 74% to the achievement of the SDGs in Indonesia, thus the Village SDGs program encourages SDGs mainstreaming in the planning and uses of the Village Fund is critical.

3.3 THE INTEGRATION OF THE THREE DIMENSIONS OF DEVELOPMENT

Integration of economic, social and environmental dimensions is the key to achieving sustainable development. In order to carry out sustainable recovery and resilience from the COVID-19 pandemic for the achievement of the 2030 Agenda, the synergy of achievement between the goals and targets in the VNR SDGs 2021 plays an important role. The national development agenda has focused on 4 priority policies carrying out sustainable recovery and resilience from the COVID-19 pandemic, namely (1) Recovery the Industry, Tourism and Investment towards a green economy; (2) Strengthened the disaster resilience system; (3) Strengthened national health system; and (4) Strengthened the social protection system. The four policies are expected to leverage the acceleration of sustainable recovery and resilience from the COVID-19 pandemic. Each policy contributes to the achievement of the SDGs Goals and Targets in the VNR which focuses on 9 (nine) Goals according to the VNR 2021 theme (Figure 3.7)




Figure 3.7 Indonesia's 2021 VNR SDGs Framework

The nine goals under review in the 2021 VNR are part of the policy for achieving the VNR theme include: Goal 1 for No Poverty, Goal 2 for Zero Hunger, Goal 3 for Good Health and Well-Being, Goal 8 for Decent Work and Economic Growth, Goal 10 for Reduced Inequality, Goal 12 for Responsible Consumption and Production, Goal 13 for Climate Action, Goal 16 for Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions, and Goal 17 for Partnerships for the Goals. The results of the several studies show that the goals and targets of the SDGs are interrelated (Le Blanc 2015, Zhou and Moinuddin 2017, Bappenas 2018, Singh et al 2018, Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas 2019). Additionally, there is also a sectoral relationship among goals as summarized by François Bourguignon which describes the relationship between economic growth, poverty and inequality or the growth-poverty-inequality triangle (8-1-10 triangle).

Furthermore, each goal consists of various achievement targets in which the measurements are translated into SDGs indicators. The interrelation among targets under one goal and targets with other targets in different goals has a more complicated relationship because it involves 169 targets in the 17 Goals. The illustration of the the interrelation between SDGs target in framework of the 2021 VNR is complicated because it involves 97 SDGs targets from goals under review. Based on this, the 2021 VNR framework is established with the 9 goals under review and selected 42 selected in regards to the 4 priority policies in carrying out sustainable recovery and resilience from the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. By referencing a study conducted by IGES (Zhou and Moinuddin 2017), which has also been used in the preparation of the Indonesian SDGs Roadmap Towards 2030 (Kurniawan 2019, Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas 2019), the linkages between SDGs targets of those under review in the 2021 VNR has been analyzed. The positive relations between targets and trade-offs of the 42 selected targets (light blue color) is presented in Figure 3.8.




Figure 3.8 The linkages among the selected targets in the 9 VNR SDGs Indonesia 2021 goals

Positive relations exist between many targets in all the goals under review. Some examples of this linkage include Goal 2 in ensuring access to food availability (Target 2.1) which supports the achievement of poverty alleviation (Targets 1.1 and 1.2). Other positive relations are on the information disclosure (Target 16.10), which can support data availability (Target 17.19), increase access for micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) to financial services (Target 8.3), and reduce unemployment (Targets 8.5 and 8.6). Meanwhile, the trade-offs between targets occurs in the efforts to maintain economic growth (Target 8.1), if the implementation is not green, it can negatively affect the sustainable food production system and infrastructure (Targets 2.4 and 2.a) which can increase pollution in the form of GHG (Figure 3.9). Based on the positive relations and trade-offs between these targets, relevant priority policies in the recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic are aligned with several SDGs target achievements.




Figure 3.9 Positive relations between targets (black line) and trade-offs (red line)



First, the social protection system policy to achieve Goal 1 for No Poverty and Goal 10 for Reduced Inequalities, especially in achieving targets for increasing social protection (Targets 1.3 and 10.4), as well as eradicating extreme poverty (Target 1.1) and reducing national poverty (Target 1.2), and reducing inequality (Target 10.1) is affected by the achievement of other SDGs targets. Some of the achievement of the SDGs targets that is related to the relevant policies include: efforts to guarantee access to food for the community (Target 2.1), eliminating all forms of malnutrition (Target 2.2), increasing food production and farmers' income (Target 2.3), improving maternal health (Target 3.1), increasing the proportion of health facilities that have a core set of relevant essential medicines (Target 3.b), sustaining economic growth (Target 8.1), in accordance with adequate data availability (Target 17.19), to strengthen capacity of disaster resilience (Target 13.1) and strengthen capacity of adaptation and mitigation to the impacts of climate change (Target 13.2). Furthermore, the achievement of the targets in Goal 1 is also influenced by anti-corruption (Target 16.5) and non-discrimination (Target 16.b), by accountable and good governance of government institutions (Target 16.6). This integrated policy also requires support of the state budget allocation to end poverty (Target 1.a) and increase the tax revenue ratio (Target 17.1) as its main source of financing.

The government policy that has been implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic is to immediately strengthen existing social assistance programs and create new programs related to social assistance. During 2020, the government provided at least an additional IDR 153 trillion (with a total of IDR 381 trillion or 13% of the APBN) funds for social protection during the COVID-19 pandemic. Another policy to reduce poverty rate during the pandemic

is through the provision of social assistance through the Family Hope Program (Program Keluarga Harapan or PKH) to 10 million beneficiary families by 2020. During 2020, the aid index for each component was increased by 25%. The PKH provides access for poor families, especially pregnant women, school children, people with disabilities and the elderly to access health service facilities and educational service facilities available for them.

Second, the national health system policy to support the achievement of Goal 3 for Good Health and Well-Being and Goal 2 Zero Hunger, especially on the target of reducing maternal mortality (Target 3.1), ending the incidence of TB and malaria (Target 3.3), reducing unmet need (Target 3.8) and increasing the proportion of health facilities (Target 3.b), accompanied by efforts to improve community food security (Targets 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.a) and fulfillment of community nutrition (Target 2.2) are also related to the achievement of targets in other SDGs Goals. Some of the achievement of the SDGs targets that is related to the relevant policies include: targets in improving social protection (Target 1.3), ensuring access to basic services (Target 1.4), sustaining economic growth (Target 8.1), increasing MSME access to financial services (Target 8.3), reducing unemployment (Target 8.5), reducing inequality (Target 10.1), to encourage the growth of non-oil and gas exports (Target 17.11), especially for the marketing of agricultural products. Capacity for disaster resilience (Target 13.1) and adaptation and mitigation capacity to the impacts of climate change (Target 13.2) can affect food security (Targets 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.a) as well as reduce and manage national and global health risk (Target 3.d). This policy must also be managed properly, free from corruption (Target 16.5) by accountable and good governance of government institutions (Target 16.6). In



addition, this policy requires internet support (Targets 17.6 and 17.8) both as a facility for weather information and marketing on food issues, as well as a facility of information and communication on health issues.

The policies that have been implemented by the government include prioritizing the fulfillment of food needs from domestic production in a sustainable manner. The food production process involves 27.68 million households of agricultural business (Rumah Tangga Usaha Pertanian or RTUP) that work in the sub-sectors of food crops, horticulture, plantations, animal husbandry, fisheries and forestry. As much as 10.34% of the RTUP are headed by women. It is expected that RUTPs can provide food from domestic production while improving the welfare of the community, especially vulnerable groups. Meanwhile, on health issues, the government has included "Accelerate the Reduction of Maternal Mortality and Stunting" as one of the strategic priority projects in the national development agenda. The funds allocated for this project are IDR187.1 trillion. Moreover, to meet the availability and distribution of health workers, 4,588 teams and 9,211 health workers have been deployed through the Nusantara Sehat scheme.

Third, policies for recovery of industry, tourism and investment towards a green economy to support the achievement of Goal 8 for Decent Work and Economic Growth and Goal 12 for Responsible Consumption and Production, especially on targets for sustaining economic growth, developing sectors of employment and tourism (Targets 8.1, 8.3, 8.5, 8.6, 8.9), and the adopting of sustainable consumption and production patterns (Targets 12.4, 12.6, 12.8) are also related to the achievement of other SDGs targets. Some of the SDGs target achievements that is related to the relevant policies include: increasing sustainable food production (Targets 2.3, 2.4), increasing information disclosure (Target 16.10), implementing non-discrimination policies (Target 16.b), increasing tax ratios (Target 17.1),

increasing non-oil and gas exports (Target 17.11), and increasing data availability (Target 17.19).

Policies that have been implemented by the government include increasing economic value added; strengthening economic growth, productivity and competitiveness. One of them, in the tourism sector, 10 Priority Tourist Destinations (Destinasi Wisata Prioritas or DPP) have been accelerated, some of which have become world heritage, such as Lake Toba and its surroundings, Raja Ampat, Lombok-Mandalika, Bangka Belitung, as well as the development of 8 other potential tourism destinations, such as Banyuwangi, Bukittinggi-Padang, Batam-Bintan, and Bandung-Halimun-Ciletuh. It is expected that this help boost the community's economy, especially those obtained in a green manner. In order to increase productive employment opportunities and decent work, the government has allocated in the State Budget for the pre-employment card program of around IDR 20 trillion. This program aims to help 5.6 million informal workers, job seekers and workers who have experienced layoffs and owners of micro or small businesses. Moreover, wage subsidies of IDR. 29.4 trillion were provided to 12.24 million workers who received a maximum wage of IDR. 5 million per month.

Regarding MSMEs affected by the pandemic, IDR. 34.15 trillion was allocated for 60.66 million accounts to loosen debt payments and credit interest subsidies through people's business credit (Kredit Usaha Rakyat or KUR), Ultra Micro (Umi), Mekar Program (membina ekonomi keluarga sejahtera or fostering a prosperous family economy), banking and private housing loan providers. Meanwhile, efforts to implement sustainable consumption and production, especially in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic, are carried out through management of hazardous and toxic medical waste and general waste. In 2020, medical waste treatment facilities were built in Aceh (300 kg/hour capacity), West Sumatra (300 kg/hour), South



Kalimantan (150 kg/hour), West Nusa Tenggara (300 kg/hour) and East Nusa Tenggara (150 kg/hour).

Fourth, the disaster resilience system policy to support the achievement of Goal 13 on Climate Action, especially on the targets in increasing capacity of disaster resilience (Target 13.1) and capacity of adaptation and mitigation to the impacts of climate change (Target 13.2) are also related to the achievement of other SDGs targets. Some of the achievement of the SDGs targets that is related to the relevant policies include: increasing sustainable food production (Targets 2.3, 2.4), increasing economic growth (Targets 8.1, 8.3, 8.5, 8.6, 8.9), reducing inequality (Target 10.1) and increasing social protection (Target 10.4) which is properly managed and free from corruption (Target 16.5) by accountable and good governance of government institutions (Target 16.6) supported by increased information disclosure (Target 16.10). Moreover, disaster preparedness requires adequate internet support (Targets 17.6 and 17.8) and increased data availability (Target 17.19).

Policies to reduce community vulnerability to disasters through improving community welfare with economic activities that are not green, often generate trade-offs. Efforts to reduce the trade-offs for achieving the SDGs includes the low carbon development initiatives (LCDI) and climate resilience development (CRD) towards green economy in the national development agenda. The Low Carbon Development Initiative Report shows that the LCDI and CRD can produce a projected economic growth of 6% per year by 2045 while reducing poverty, creating jobs and reducing air pollution. Furthermore, this policy is estimated to prevent the loss of 16 million hectares of forest land, reduce GHG emissions and improve air quality while reducing the potential for thousands of deaths due to pollution each year. Job creation is estimated at 15 million jobs, so that it is quite significant in increasing the standard of living of the community. Meanwhile, in the macroeconomic

context, it is estimated that it will be able to reduce the ratio of investment to GDP with an additional GDP of more than USD 5.4 trillion which in turn will encourage GDP growth of 6% per year (Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, 2021).

3.4 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NO ONE LEFT BEHIND PRINCIPLE

With the increasing ownership of SDGs in Indonesia, the efforts to ensure the implementation of leaving no one behind is everyone's responsibility. Moreover, in the COVID-19 pandemic situation, policy responses are prioritizing vulnerable groups, which requires the involvement of all stakeholders. The implementation of SDG 16+ which emphasizes justice, no discrimination, and good governance applies to all of the other Goals, in particular the nine Goals which are under review in VNR 2021, are becoming increasingly important in times of pandemic.

A. FOCUS ON VULNERABLE GROUPS

The crucial aspect in implementing the no one left behind principle is ensuring the provision of disaggregated data so that government intervention in efforts for sustainable recovery and resilience from the COVID-19 pandemic is targeted for those most in need. The National Committee for the COVID-19 Response and Economic Recovery (Pemulihan Ekonomi Nasional or PEN) together with the COVID-19 Task Force (Satgas COVID-19) ensures integrated data covering all affected groups and communities, especially vulnerable groups, in the form of social assistance to poor families, workers or laborers affected by lay-offs, electricity subsidies, and assistance for Small and Micro Enterprises (SMEs). Assistance has also been strengthened to groups of people with disabilities and elderly groups, especially those who work in the informal sector as recipients of the distribution of the COVID-19 stimulus in the form of the PKH program and basic



food assistance. Assistance is also provided to children and youth with "School from Home" or Distance Learning (Pembelajaran Jarak Jauh or PJJ) in the form of internet packages to 39.78 million pupils and 8.24 million university students. All of these efforts are intended to ensure that no one is left behind in development, especially amid the COVID-19.

B. DATA TO MAKE SURE THAT NO ONE IS LEFT BEHIND

Although in previous years Indonesia has made a lot of progress in development, gaps still exist and in the situation of the COVID-19 pandemic these gaps are widening. Strengthened efforts are needed to make sure comprehensive information and data on the inequality experienced by vulnerable groups is recorded in the main statistics in Indonesia. Therefore, the inclusion of children, adolescents, youth, women, elderly groups, persons with disabilities, and other vulnerable groups in the policy monitoring can be assured, especially during the pandemic.

The challenges in overcoming inequality, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, is illustrated in three types of vulnerability. At the first level, inequality is due to limited access to basic services and economic opportunities. This occurs due to poverty and remoteness, which is reflected the income inequality and regional disparity. At the second level, inequality occurs due to the unpreparedness for public services to reach all people without discrimination. In Indonesia, the quality and capacity of public services in responding to the needs of vulnerable groups varies. At the third level, inequality is experienced by those who are systematically marginalized due to their socio-economic status, such as women, children, persons with disabilities, and groups with certain religious and ethnic identities. The deeper the layers of inequality, the more difficult it will be for the Government to obtain adequate data and information as a basis for designing inclusive responses and recovery.

Amid the COVID-19 pandemic situation, the

policy priorities aimed at vulnerable groups are more expansive, considering that more people are now vulnerable due to the COVID-19 pandemic, depending on the policies as its response. Policies that are specifically targeted to vulnerable groups can reduce vulnerabilities that existed before the COVID-19 pandemic. In mitigating the adverse effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, emergency responses, recovery services and inclusive, responsive and accountable governance is the foundation for carrying out the pandemic response and prevention that support inequality reduction. The role of Goal 16 as an enabler to ensure that no one is left behind becomes highly significant in achieving justice and equity for vulnerable and excluded groups.

For this reason, responding to data limitations down to the smallest unit is critical. Since VNR 2019, Indonesia has reported that the Small Area Estimation (SAE) is one of the efforts to obtain data on the smallest administrative area. This is because the implementation of the household survey conducted by the Statistics Indonesia has a limited sample, making it impossible to obtain certain SDGs indicator data at the district/city level with sufficient accuracy, for example the relative standard error (RSE) value reaches a value of more than 25%.

Initiative for measurement using SAE has been carried out since 2017, which was measured in 2019 and 2020. In 2020, with limitations due to the COVID-2019 pandemic, the suitability of the results of SAE in district/city level cannot be verified on the field. The calculation training using the SAE technique that was conducted in 2019 resulted in 56 SDGs indicators for the district/city level. The calculation of the SDGs indicators using the SAE technique will continue in 2020 with the support of UNICEF, which results in additional calculations of 13 SDGs indicators as well as 6 sub-district level performance indicators such as indicators of poverty, unemployment, Human Development Index (HDI), migration, and economic growth. Statistics Indonesia also collaborated with the



Food Security Agency and support from WFP using the SAE method to calculate down to the sub-district level for indicators of Prevalence of Undernourishment (PoU) and Desirable Dietary Pattern.

3.5 INSTITUTIONAL MECHANISM

Since 2017, Indonesia has established the SDGs National Coordination Team (Tim Koordinasi Nasional or TKN) consisting of a Steering Committee, Implementing Team, Working Group, Sub-Working Group and Expert Team, each comprising of four participation platforms. The involvement of non-state actors is not limited to those who are members of the TKN or the Subnational Coordination Team (Tim Koordinasi Daerah or TKD). From the many existing non-state actors, the nominations for representatives of TKN members are selected among non-state actors themselves in representing their interests and issues.

Although the institutional mechanism has been formally established, however, in every process of implementing the SDGs, the roles and contributions of all stakeholders are not limited to TKN members, in which all stakeholders can participate and contribute in planning, implementation, monitoring, evaluation and reporting. This is to ensure that the principles of inclusion, participation and transparency are implemented to foster and maintain trust among the parties.




Figure 3.10 SDGs National Coordination Team



Apart from the national level, at the subnational level the involvement and role of all stakeholders is also strengthened by the establishment of a Subnational Coordination Team (TKD) which is stipulated by a Governor Regulation. There are 29 TKDs at the provincial level from total of 34 provinces in Indonesia which also involve non-state actors from philanthropy and business, academicians and civil society organizations.

3.6 STRUCTURAL ISSUES

"Currently, achieving the SDGs target is more challenging. The pandemic caused a health and economic crisis that hampered the achievements of our SDGs, and also on the global level. However, this challenge should not dampen our enthusiasm and should not lower our SDGs target. We have to find new ways, we have to find new breakthroughs, so that we can take a leap in achieving the SDGs targets"

(Speech of the President of the Republic of Indonesia, SDGs Annual Conference 2020 Indonesia, 17 December 2020)

The speech of the President of the Republic of Indonesia - Joko Widodo, at the 2020 SDGs Annual Conference Indonesia which was held on December 17, 2020, is a reflection that the efforts of Indonesia's commitment to achieving the SDGs targets will not be reduced even though the COVID-19 pandemic affects the achievement of the SDGs in 2020.

Indonesia continues efforts in achieving Indonesia's vision for 2045 despite the COVID-19 pandemic, including the achievement of the SDGs targets. In his State Address on August 16, 2020, a day before the commemoration of Indonesian Independent Day, the President of the Republic of Indonesia Joko Widodo emphasized

"This is the time for us to fundamentally improve, make major transformations, implement big strategies. Major strategies in the fields of economy, law, government, social, culture, including health and education. It is time for us to hack the momentum of this crisis to take big leaps. At the age of 75 this year, we have become an upper middle-income country. And, 25 years from now, at a century-old of the Republic of Indonesia, we must achieve great progress, making Indonesia a developed country"

Likewise, other countries believe that the COVID-19 pandemic is a "wake up call" to be better. Indonesia believes that "Build Forward Better" or "Building a Better Future" is a must and the SDGs is a framework that can be a direction for sustainable development for future generations. Indonesia uses the pandemic as a trigger to become "game changer" by making transformations in the health system, accelerating automation and digitization, increasing the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data, following changes in the Global Value Chain, preparing for an increase in telework trends, and implementing Green Recovery.

As a result of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, Indonesia needs a redesign of economic transformation. Business as usual without economic transformation, Indonesia's potential economic growth will continue to fall below 5 percent (5%) and the level of productivity (Total Factor Productivity) will continue to decline and could become the lowest in regional Asia. Indonesia needs a growth of 6 percent (6%) to escape the middle-income trap before and becoming a developed country before 2045.



Redesign of Indonesia's economic transformation is also required to bring Indonesia's poverty level back to single digit and reduce vulnerability of Indonesia's population to poverty. The COVID-19 pandemic has caused the poverty rate in Indonesia to return to double-digit levels or to 10.19% in September 2020 compared to 9.22% in September 2019. Currently 140 million (53%) of Indonesia's population are still vulnerable to poverty, especially those who are affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Indonesia continues efforts in economic transformation, for economic recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and economic trajectory. Economic transformation is carried out to ensure a longer-term recovery that meets both demand and production side, such as increased level, capital and labor productivity. Economic transformation should utilize SDGs as the framework for integrating planning and implementation in the medium-long-term development plan that uses the approach of multi-stakeholder, multi-sectoral, harmonized and aligned among regions, and across all levels of government administration.

Indonesia is also working to develop new sources of growth that are not dependent on natural resources. Creative economy or sustainable tourism will be developed in line with improving conditions amid the COVID-19 pandemic. Biodiversity economy and the knowledge and services sector will be further developed in underdeveloped areas for inclusive and sustainable job creation.







4

ACHIEVEMENT PROGRESS OF SDGs GOALS AND TARGETS

4.1. VOLUNTARY NATIONAL REVIEW (VNR) 2021

Overall, the annual achievement of SDG targets from 2016 to 2019 has been encouraging. Targets of SDG indicators can be achieved gradually, although strengthened efforts are needed to achieve several targets.

The achievement can also be observed from the ongoing intervention and policy innovation that have been continuously carried out through various government flagship programs and supports from all SDGs' actors, including the private sector, philanthropy, civil society organizations, academic, and all communities.

Reporting the progress of SDGs achievement in 2021 takes into consideration the situation of the global COVID-19 pandemic that has hit the world includes Indonesia, with no exception. Since the first COVID-19 case was officially announced on March 2, 2020, the Government of Indonesia together with development partners and civil society have immediately prioritized the response to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is worth noting that at that time, there was no government have experienced an epidemic crisis of this scale in the 21st century. Indonesia also has no previous experience, although the country has built quite a lot of infrastructure and strengthened the governance for managing and preventing natural disasters.

The crisis that originally started with the spread of the SARS COV-2 virus, quickly expanded into an economic and social crisis. The response to the COVID-19 pandemic is directly and indirectly related to the obstruction of the creation of a peaceful society; access to justice without exception; and effective, accountable and inclusive institutions. Therefore, the development goals to reduce poverty (SDG 1) and inequality (SDG 10), end hunger (SDG 2), ensure health and well-being (SDG 3), promote sustainable and inclusive economic growth (SDG 8) and sustainable consumption and production (SDG 12), and efforts



to address the impacts of climate change (SDG 13), on the basis of peace, justice and strong institutions (SDG 16), supported by partnerships for the goals (SDG 17) reported in this voluntary national review. VNR 2021 becomes very strategic to see how far the SDGs achievement has been disrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

This chapter presents the discussions of goals under review in the 2021 VNR, namely Goal 1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 12, 13, 16, and 17. This report refers to the VNR 2021 theme of "Sustainable and resilient recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic for the achievement of the 2030 agenda." For each goal under review, it describes the trend of SDGs' achievement at least in the last five years, with analysis on the policy that plays a role in the target achievement. Furthermore, it describes the condition of SDGs' achievement during the

COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, to see how the pandemic has disrupted the progress of SDGs. Each goal also discusses challenges of the SDGs' achievement gap as well as emerging issues and actions to overcome the challenges including the good practices. Finally, each goal elaborates various policy response to at least recover the SDGs' achievement that has been disrupted by the pandemic, or even better, to accelerate the progress to achieve SDGs targets. The whole discussion in Chapter 4 pays attention to the principles of inclusive and no one left behind.



Goal 1 No Poverty

Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 1 calls for an end to poverty in all its manifestation. This agenda is translated into various targets and indicators. In terms of SDG 1 achievement as well as other SDGs goal, the year 2020 has been different since the COVID-19 pandemic has hit the world, including Indonesia and triggered a multi-dimensional crisis.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

1. ABSOLUTE POVERTY

a. Poverty rate based on the national poverty line

From 2015 to 2020, four years after the SDGs were inaugurated in September 2015, the Indonesian poverty rate based on the national poverty line decreased from 11.13% in 2015 to 9.22% in 2019. The annual rate reduction was 0.48%. The year 2018 was an important milestone for Indonesia as the poverty rate has touched a single-digit figure for the first time.




Figure 4.1 National and extreme poverty rates (PPP \$ 1.9 per day)
Source: Core Susenas - September (Statistics Indonesia)



The annual rate reduction of 0.48% per year may be relatively small compared to the annual rate reduction in the 1990s. However, since poverty reduction is getting more difficult (the so-called the last mile problem), the reduction rate of 0.48% per year can be considered progressive. There are several factors contribute to the consistent reduction in poverty (before COVID-19).

First the stable economic growth. Consistent and stable growth before the pandemic has been a major factor in reducing the incidence of poverty.

Second, consistent decrease in inequality during the 2015-2019 period. Economic growth and a reduction in inequality are signs of inclusive economic growth where the poor have benefited sufficiently from this growth.

Third, the Government's social assistance programs targeted to the poor. From 2015 to 2019, government spending allocated for social assistance has doubled, from only 0.47% of GDP in 2015 to 0.94% of GDP.

b. Poverty rate based on the PPP international poverty line of \$ 1.9 per person per day

In line with the reduction of poverty rate based on the national poverty line, the extreme poverty rate decreased from 7.2% in 2015 to 3.7% in 2019. Interestingly, the annual reduction rate in the extreme poverty is much faster than the annual reduction rate in poverty rate at the national poverty line. For four years, the annual reduction rate in the extreme poverty was -0.87%. At this rate, eliminating extreme poverty is quite feasible in the short-term. It could be the reason for the recent Government's aspiration of extreme poverty eradication in 2024. To a large extent, the rapid reduction of extreme poverty can be attributed to the expansion of government social assistance, especially the family hope program (PKH).

c. Poverty rate by gender

In 2019, the poverty rate for women (9.6%) was slightly higher than men (9.2%), but during 2015-2019 the annual reduction rate in the poverty rate for women (-0.47% per year) was slightly faster than for men (-0.4% per year).

d. Poverty rate by area of residence

There was a significant difference in poverty rate between urban and rural areas. From 2015 to 2019, poverty rate in rural area was almost twice as high as the urban's poverty rate. The development of rural areas needs to be taken seriously to reduce poverty in a sustainable manner.

In the last five years, the poverty rate worsened at least twice (Figure 4.3.(b)). First, in 2015 when there was an increase in poverty in rural areas, by 0.33 percentage points from the previous year. This condition may be affected by the policy transition from PNPM (National Community Empowerment Program in rural areas) to Dana Desa (Village Fund). Second, in 2020 when there was an increase in urban poverty by 1.32 percentage points from the previous year (September 2019), largely due to the impact of the imposition of the Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB) policy to prevent the spread of COVID-19.




Figure 4.2 Poverty rate by sex (%)
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)




Figure 4.3 Poverty Rate by area of residence
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)



e. Poverty rates by age group

In 2019, the poverty rate in the population aged under 18 years was much higher (11.8%) than the population aged 18 years and over (8.3%). However, the reduction rate in the poverty rate of the two groups during 2015-2019 was similar. The poverty rate has decreased by 1.4% over the four years for both groups, so that there is no convergence.




Figure 4.4 Poverty rates by age (<18 years and ≥ 18 years)
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)




Figure 4.5 Trends in the poverty rate of the elderly (≥ 60) and non-elderly (<60) population
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)


Figure 4.5 shows that the poverty rate in elderly (population aged 60 years and over) is higher than the national poverty rate and the poverty rate for non-elderly population¹. It indicates that elderly poverty contributes to the national poverty rate. Therefore, reducing the poverty rate in elderly will help achieving the target of poverty eradication by 2030 through the expansion of social assistance and social insurance coverage, such as the expansion of BPJS Pension Security and Old-Age Security.

¹ National Socio-Economic Survey (Susenas) data also shows that according to age group, it can also be seen that the older the elderly, the higher the poverty level. In 2019, the poverty rate for elderly people 80 years and over was about twice the poverty rate for young seniors 60-69 years.



BOX 4.1 FINANCING SOURCES FOR THE ELDERLY

Generally, the source of household financing come from income earned by working household members. The role of transfers (money/goods), especially from the return to investments and pensions, is relatively small. For the elderly, various financing sources can be seen in the figure below. It shows how the dependence of elderly women on transfers is higher than men and this dependence increases at older ages.



Source of household financing (%) by area of residence and gender of the head of household
Source: Susenas 2019, processed

For the younger elderly, the source of household financing generally comes from their own income or from working household members. The older elderly relies more on transfers from children and other family members. The COVID-19 pandemic raises concerns regarding the ability of children and other relatives to continuously making transfers to their parents. Therefore, the Government is undertaking social protection reforms to expand the coverage of social insurance for employment programme for formal and informal workers in parallel with the improvement of targeting of social assistance program, especially for the elderly population. There are still many rooms to improve social protection, such as health insurance targeted for the elderly.



Percentage of elderly population who have social insurance, PBI, Non-PBI, Jamkesda and private insurance.

	POPULA-TION 60+	RESIDENCE		% EXPENDITURE			GENDER		DISABILITY STATUS	
		URBAN	RURAL	40% LOWEST	40% MIDDLE	20% UPPER	MALE	FEMALE	PEOPLE WITH DISABILITY	PEOPLE WITH NO DISABILITY
% OWNED PBI (NONCONTRIBUTORY SOCIAL HEALTH INSURANCE)	44.59	40.27	49.44	54.94	43.67	23.21	43.83	45.27	47.92	44.09
% OWNED NON-PBI (CONTRIBUTORY SOCIAL HEALTH INSURANCE)	23.16	32.89	12.21	8.63	23.71	54.59	24.33	22.1	18.27	23.89
JAMKESDA	9.24	8.79	9.75	9.37	9.42	8.63	9.32	9.18	8.99	9.28
% PRIVATE INSURANCE	0.44	0.69	0.15	0.11	0.21	1.6	0.48	0.4	0.21	0.47

Source: Statistics Indonesia, 2020


Nearly half of Indonesia's elderly are registered to the national health insurance (Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional), whose contributions are subsidized by the Government. This effort needs to be appreciated. However, the targeting accuracy of premium assistance beneficiaries (PBI) still needs to be improved. Only 54 per cent of the elderly from the lowest 40% group received premium assistance for health insurance from the government (PBI). Moreover, there are still cases where the elderly population from the upper-middle income group receive premium assistance.

Only 23% of all elderly (60 year and above) whose health insurance premiums are paid by themselves. There are eight per cent of the poor also pay their premiums. The number of people who pay their own contribution to health insurance is greater among the middle and richest group. This information can be used to improve the targeting accuracy further.



BOX 4.2 CHILD POVERTY²

Using a microsimulation method, UNICEF and the Fiscal Policy Agency of the Ministry of Finance analysed the impact of COVID-19 on child poverty and how social protection programs may mitigate the impact. The analysis shows that the COVID-19 pandemic has pushed an additional 1.3 million children into poverty. Meanwhile, the level of vulnerability (defined as children living above the poverty line but less than 1.5 times the poverty line) increases by two million children.



The impact of COVID-19 and the policy response to child poverty
Source: BKF and UNICEF (2020)

The scenario that takes social protection into account shows that the average poverty rate for children will fall to 10 per cent, 3.5% lower than the scenario with no mitigation measures.

2 Source: BKF & UNICEF (2020), Policy Brief on the Impact of COVID-19 on Poverty and Child Mobility in Indonesia Url: <https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/id/coronavirus/report/ringkasan-keb-Policy-dampak-covid-19-poverty-mobility-children>



Changes in poverty in certain periods can be driven by two main factors: economic growth and inequality changes. Poverty will decrease rapidly if economic growth is high and inequality is reduced because this indicates that economic growth is benefitting the poor. On the other hand, if economic growth is declined and inequality is increased, then poverty will increase quite drastically. The second case is predicted to happen during the crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. The COVID-19 pandemic has caused inequality widening in society since the lower socioeconomic status groups (such as informal workers) have been more severely affected than upper socioeconomic status groups (such as those who are still working from home).

The COVID-19 pandemic crisis has disrupted the progress of poverty reduction, and as a result, Indonesia's poverty rate in 2020 has returned to more or less equal to the poverty rate in 2017 (three years setback). The similar situation occurs in the extreme poverty rate. The extreme poverty rate was 3.7% in 2019, but in 2020 it increased to 4.2%. It was more or less equivalent to the extreme poverty rate in 2018.

The COVID-19 crisis also had a heterogeneous impact on the increasing poverty. For example, men experienced a greater increase in the poverty rate (0.4%) than women (0.3%). Population aged under 18 years experienced an increase in the poverty rate by 0.5%, but the increase in population aged 18 years and over was only 0.3%. It shows that the COVID-19 pandemic has a greater impact on the well-being of young people.



Figure 4.6 Change in the number of urban poor people September 2019-September 2020 (thousand)
Source: Statistics Indonesia

During the COVID-19 pandemic (from September 2019 to September 2020), poverty increased from 9.22% to 10.19%. Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas' analysis shows that the increase in the number of poor people due to the COVID-19 pandemic could have been larger if the Government did not intervene through extensive social assistance. Within one year, there was an increase in the number of poor people by 2.7 million people nationally. Of these 2.7 million people, 2.2 million (79%) live in urban areas (Figure 4.6). It is consistent with the fact that the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic is more intensive in cities. Of the 2.2 million people, nearly 80% (1.7 million people) live in densely populated provinces in Java Island.




Figure 4.7 Correlation between mobility and urban poverty rise (%)
Source: Statistics Indonesia

Figure 4.7 also shows that provinces experiencing a decrease in mobility (in this case, to the workplace) due to the imposition of Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB) tend to experience a higher increase in poverty rates.




Figure 4.8 The slowdown in poverty reduction due to the COVID-19 pandemic (years)
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)



The COVID-19 Pandemic has disrupted the progress of poverty reduction. Various indicators related to the poverty experienced a setback by 1.1 years to 3.1 years. Poverty rate at national poverty line experienced the largest setback (3.1 years), followed by poverty among population aged 18 years and over (2.2 years).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the Government quickly responded to the potential impact on poverty by commissioning the National Economic Recovery Program. The Government started by strengthening the existing social assistance programs (through expanding targets and increasing the amount of assistance), creating special programs and indirectly assist the poor through various other economic recovery programs.

Table 4.1 provides a list of the various government programs to mitigate the social impact of the pandemic with comparison to existing programs (before COVID-19). Overall, during the year 2020, the Government provided at least an additional IDR 153 trillion (out of a total IDR 381 trillion) of funds for social protection during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Based on the simulation conducted by the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, without additional intervention from the Government, the COVID-19 pandemic would have increased the number of poor people by 5.2 million, or an increase of 1.9% points compared to the position in September 2019. However, considering the various government programs, especially social assistance, the poverty rate can be reduced within the range of 9.7-10.2% by the end of 2020.

One of the Government's programs to reduce poverty rate during a pandemic is through social assistance through the Family Hope Program (PKH) to 10 million beneficiary families by 2020. In 2020, the size of the assistance was increased by 25 % than previous scheme. PKH improves access for poor families, especially pregnant women, children, people with disabilities and the elderly, to health and education service facilities. The distribution of the PKH program was concentrated in a poor family in Java, East Java and Central Java (Figure 4.9).

Initiatives to overcome hardships during the COVID-19 pandemic also came from non-government institutions and communities.

Table 4.1 Social Protection Programs before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic

PROGRAM	BEFORE COVID-19			DURING COVID-19				TOTAL BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)
	COVERAGE	BENEFIT	BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)	NEW COVERAGE	BENEFIT	ADDITIONAL BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)	TIMEFRAME	
Conditional cash transfer (Program Keluarga Harapan/PKH)	9.2 million household in income deciles 1-2	Min: IDR 900,000 per month Max: IDR 10,800,000 per month	29.1	10 million households in income deciles 1-2	Value of benefit rises 25%	8.30	Monthly, until December 2020	37.40
Basic food voucher program (Kartu Sembako)	15.2 million households in deciles 1-2	IDR 150,000 per month	28.1	20 million households in deciles 1-3	IDR 200,000 per month in food vouchers	15.50	Monthly, until December 2020	43.60
Electricity Subsidy	31.2 million households in deciles 1-5	Subsidized power	54.8	31.2 million in households in deciles 1 -5; 1.3 million social, business and industry entities	24 million households with 450 VA connections get free power; 7.2 million households with 900 VA get a 50% discount on their power bills; 1.3 million entities get free PLN subscriptions	6.90	Monthly, 6 months (April - September)	61.70
LPG for cooking subsidy*	Energy subsidy aimed at the low-income group	Subsidy for 3kg LPG (IDR 5,000/kg) maximum quota: 7 million metric tones	49.4	Same as before	Same as before	Same as before	Same as before	49.40
Education subsidy (Smart Indonesia Program (PIP))	21 million students in deciles 1-3	Primary: IDR 450,000 per year, Junior High: 750,000 per year, Senior high: IDR 1 million per year, University: 700,000 per month	17.8	Same as before	Same as before	Same as before	Same as before	17.80
Health insurance premium subsidy	96.8 million individuals in deciles 1-4	Free premium (PBI)	48.8	Same as before	Same as before	Same as before	Same as before	48.80

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

PROGRAM	BEFORE COVID-19			DURING COVID-19			TOTAL BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)
	COVERAGE	BENEFIT	BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)	NEW COVERAGE	BENEFIT	ADDITIONAL BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)	
Insurance premium subsidy for self-enrolled members (PBPUI)				Additional 34 million individuals in deciles 5 - 6	Premium subsidy of IDR 16,000 per individual per month for 34 million self-enrolled members in class 3	3.00	Monthly, 6 months (July - December)
Cash transfer (outside Greater Jakarta)				9 million households in decile 4, excluding PKH and Kartu Sembako recipients	April - June: IDR 600,000 per month, July - December: IDR 300,000 per month	32.40	9 months, April - December
Basic food social assistance (Banbos Sembako)				1.3 million households in Jakarta in decile 5, and 600,000 households outside Jakarta, excluding PKH and Kartu Sembako recipients	In-kind food assistance equivalent to IDR 400,000 per month	6.80	9 months, April - December
Village fund cash transfer program (BLT)				8 million households in deciles 4-5, excluding PKH and Kartu Sembako recipients	IDR 600,000 per month	31.80	6 months, April - September

PROGRAM	BEFORE COVID-19			DURING COVID-19			TOTAL BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)
	COVERAGE	BENEFIT	BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)	NEW COVERAGE	BENEFIT	ADDITIONAL BUDGET (IDR TRILLION)	
Pre-employment card program (Kartu Prakerja)	5.6 million participants in deciles 5-6	Participants will receive IDR 3.55 million, including IDR 1 million to cover training costs, post-training cash incentives IDR 600,000 per month for 4 months, and 3 rounds of employment survey incentives of IDR 50,000	5.6 million participants in deciles 5-6	Participants will receive IDR 3.55 million, including IDR 1 million to cover training costs, post-training cash incentives IDR 600,000 per month for 4 months, and 3 rounds of employment survey incentives of IDR 50,000	10.00 (initial) 10.00 (additional)	10.00 (initial) 10.00 (additional)	4 months, April - October/ November
Wage subsidy	15.7 million workers registered in BPJS Ketenagakerjaan	IDR 600,000 per month	15.7 million workers registered in BPJS Ketenagakerjaan	IDR 600,000 per month	9.42	9.42	4 months, August - November
Labor-intensive program (Padat Karya)	Unemployed Indonesians	A daily wage	Unemployed Indonesians	A daily wage	18.40	18.40	Until December
Total	228.0				153.00	381.00	

Note: * The LPG subsidy is a product subsidy aimed at low-income Indonesians (it is not purely targeted)
Source: Sparrow et al. (2020)




Figure 4.9 Distribution of PKH Program Beneficiary Families
Source: Ministry of Social Affairs (<https://pkh.kemensos.go.id/?pg=dashome>)

2. POVERTY IN VARIOUS DIMENSIONS

a. Inadequate food consumption and morbidity

The prevalence of undernourishment continued to decrease from 10.73% in 2015 to 7.63% in 2019, then rose again to 8.34% in 2020. There was a decline of -3% for four years or, on average - 0.75% per year. However, there remains a gap in the progress between the bottom 40% of the population and the better-off groups in 2017-2020. In 2020, the prevalence of undernourishment in vulnerable groups was almost 4% points higher than the national average and almost 10% points higher than the richest group.

The percentage of the population experiencing health problems decreased from 16.4% in 2015 to 15.4% in 2019. During the five years, the reduction was 1.74% or an average of -0.185% per year. This progress appears to be relatively slow compared to other indicators such as food consumption or poverty rates.

During the 2017-2020 period (Figure 4.11), there was slow progress in the reduction of prevalence of undernourishment, especially for the bottom 40%. In 2017, the prevalence was 12.6%, and in 2020 it stood at 12.3%. This condition might be attributed to least two factors: the slow progress before the COVID-19 pandemic and the large adverse impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, which is quite alarming. Across all socioeconomic status groups, the prevalence of undernourishment increased rapidly from 2019 to 2020.



In 2020, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the prevalence of undernourishment increased by almost 1%. The increase in the prevalence of undernourishment during the COVID-19 pandemic period is quite severe because the 2020 prevalence rate is equivalent to the prevalence rate in 2016 or 4 years (3.7 years to be precise). The increase in prevalence of undernourishment during COVID-19 might be attributed to the disruption of food supply chains and loss of income due to COVID-19 that affected the insufficient food consumption to meet the minimum dietary energy requirement. Meanwhile, during 2020 (COVID-19), the morbidity rate was stable.




Figure 4.10 Prevalence of undernourishment and morbidity
Source: Core Susenas and Susenas Consumption and Expenditure (Statistics Indonesia)




Figure 4.11 Prevalence of undernourishment by expenditure quintile, 2017-2020
Source: Susenas Consumption and Expenditure (Statistics Indonesia)

b. Percentage of households without access to electricity

During the 2015-2019 period, the proportion of households without access to electricity has declined. Households who did not have access to electricity decrease from 2.5% in 2015 to only 1.15% in 2019. Access to electricity is a basic need and a necessary means to move out of poverty. However, access to electrification has not been equally distributed among the socioeconomic group. The poorest group (quintile 1), for example, still has the most limited access to electricity compared to other groups.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.12 Deprivation of electricity (%)
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)




Figure 4.13 Deprivation of electricity (%) by Expenditure Group
Source: Processed from SEPAKAT, 2020

c. Ownership of a birth certificate

Birth certificate is essential for accessing various social protection programs. There has been considerable progress over the five years (2015 to 2019) in reducing the percentage of the population aged 0-17 who do not have a birth certificate. The population who did not have a birth certificate decrease by 8.4% in just five years, from almost 20% in 2015 to only 11.7% in 2020. Recent data suggests that there has been no disruption in the progress of birth certificate ownership during the COVID-19 pandemic.




Figure 4.14 Percentage of population aged 0-17 years without a birth certificate (%)
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)



d. Years of schooling by based on socioeconomic status

Years of schooling for the poorest (bottom 20%) are still much lower than the richest group (top 20%). In 2020, years of schooling for the top 20% group was 11.4 years, while the bottom 20% was only 7.0 years. However, during the 2015-2020 period, the increase in years of schooling for the lowest 20% group was 13%, much progressive than the top 20% group which was only 1.5%. This indicates the tendency for smaller gap or convergence to occur. During the COVID-19 pandemic, there is no significant disruption to the improvement of years of schooling across socioeconomic groups

Similarly, the gap of school continuation rate between the poorest groups (particularly the bottom 20%) and other expenditure quintiles has been widening for junior and senior secondary education level. It means that the equal opportunity for children from lower socioeconomic status to achieve their schooling potential remains a challenge. In the long run, this condition may hinder the agenda of poverty reduction.




Figure 4.15 Years of Schooling by Expenditure Group (years)
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)




Figure 4.16 School continuation rate by expenditure quintile
Source: compiled from SEPAKAT



3. BASIC SERVICES

Access to basic services has yet to reach universality. Access to basic sanitation services has reached 79.5%, and access to basic hygiene facilities³ has reached 78.3% (2020). However, the proportion of households with access to drinking water services⁴ that is safely managed from a physical aspect is still less than half (42.3%). In addition, there is still a notable gap between the poor and rich population in terms of access to basic services. Households from the bottom 40% group still have considerably lower access than middle-and top-income groups, especially for basic sanitation services and basic hygiene facilities.

Meanwhile, there is no longer gap in the access to drinking water services. This relatively equal access was achieved through various community-based drinking water supply programs and expansion of water distribution connections for low-income communities. A relatively encouraging trend is access to basic sanitation services, which shows a significant increase from 2015 (61.6%) to 2020 (79.5%).




Figure 4.17 Proportion of population/household with access to basic services
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

³ Access to basic hygiene facilities refers to the availability of hand washing facilities with soap and water.

⁴ Access to drinking water services is measured as the use of drinking water from improved water sources, located on premises, available when needed (no shortage within 24 hours), and meet the quality requirement of free of physical contamination (not cloudy, colored, or foamy). Therefore, it can be categorized as access to safely managed drinking water services from a physical aspect.




Figure 4.18 Proportion of population/household with access to basic services by area of residence
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

There is a notable gap between urban and rural areas in terms of access to basic sanitation services. However, it appears that the gap has drastically declined during the 2015 to 2020 period. The gap in access to basic sanitation services between urban and rural areas has decreased from almost 30% in 2015 to only 10% in 2020. However, there was no significant gap between urban-rural gap in terms of access to drinking water services and basic hygiene facilities




Figure 4.19 Proportion of population/household with access to basic services by expenditure quintile
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)



Similarly, the gap in access to drinking water services between expenditure groups (quintiles) seems insignificant. The gap is quite notable in terms of access to basic sanitation services. In 2020, for example, access to basic sanitation services in the bottom 20% of the population was 66%, while in the richest 20% group the access was almost 90%. However, the gap in access between the bottom 20% and the top 20% groups seems to decline over time. The gap between these two groups has decreased from 40% in 2015 to 20% in 2020. Meanwhile, access to basic hygiene facilities still shows some disparity, but not as big a disparity in access to basic sanitation services. The gap in access to basic sanitation services and basic hygiene facilities also seems to decline over time.




Figure 4.20 Proportion of population/household with access to basic services by expenditure quintile
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

Looking at the bottom 40% of the population, it appears that there have been fairly consistent improvements in access to drinking water services and access to basic sanitation services. However, improvements in access to basic hygiene facilities tended to be stagnant, especially in the last three years (2018-2020).

From 2015 to 2019, there was a slight decrease in households with self-owned houses (from 82.63% to 80.1%) and an increase in the proportion of households living in rented houses (from 8.08% to 9.27%). During the 2018-2020 period, the proportion of people from the bottom 40% groups who own houses tended to be higher than those of the middle-and richest income groups. Households who live in rented houses are concentrated among the richest 20% of the population. This phenomenon may have been related to urbanization, where people who migrate to cities decide to rent houses because owning a house is more expensive. Moreover, it is also likely that living in big cities is more consistent with renting instead of owning property.




Figure 4.21 Proportion of adult population with secure tenure rights to land by type of ownership and expenditure quintile, 2015-2020
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

Figure 4.21 shows that the proportion of households that own houses decrease with increasing socioeconomic status. On the other hand, the proportion of households that live in rented houses increases with increasing socioeconomic status.




Figure 4.22 Proportion of adult population with secure tenure rights to land by type of ownership
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.23 Proportion of adult population with secure tenure rights to land with legally recognized documentation, and who perceive their rights to land as secure, by area of residence, 2015-2020
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

Figure 4.23 shows that the decrease in proportion of households that own houses occurs in rural and urban areas. Likewise, the phenomenon in renting houses increases both urban and rural areas. It appears that urbanization is not only the reason behind such phenomenon.




Figure 4.24 Proportion of adult population with secure tenure rights to land with legally recognized documentation, and who perceive their rights to land as secure, by sex of the head of household, 2015 - 2020
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

Figure 4.24 shows that women tend to own a house compared to men.




Figure 4.25 Proportion of adult population who obtain land rights based on legal documents and who have land rights based on expenditure quintiles
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

4. VULNERABILITY TO DISASTERS

The trend in the number of victims who died and missing due to disasters (per 100,000 people) during 2015-2020 has not changed significantly if victims of the COVID-19 pandemic are not included. The year 2018 is rather notable because there was quite a significant increase in the number of victims who died and missing due to disasters. Based on National Agency for Disaster Management (BNPB) data in BPS report (2020)⁵, this happened because, in 2018, more than five major natural disasters occurred in Indonesia, including landslides in Central Java (Brebes), earthquakes in West Nusa Tenggara, flash floods in North Sumatra (Mandailing), and earthquakes in Sulawesi (Palu and Donggala). In 2020, taking into account excess deaths associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of dead and missing victims increased significantly from 0.15 per 100,000 to 8.34 per 100,000 people.




Figure 4.26 Number of Deaths and Missing Victims due to disaster per 100,000 population
Source: National Agency for Disaster Management (BNPB) in Statistics Indonesia Report



5. SOCIAL PROTECTION

a. Proportion of government spending/spending on basic services and social protection

The proportion of government spending on social protection (of the total government expenditure) in 2019 was already larger than in 2015. In 2019 the proportion of government expenditure on social protection was 16.4%, significantly higher than in 2015, which was only 13.7%. The increase from 2015 to 2019 is relatively consistent. There is a significant increase in 2020 due to additional social protection budgets to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.




Figure 4.27 Proportion of government spending on basic services and social protection (%)
Source: Ministry of Finance

b. Health Insurance Coverage in Vulnerable Population




Figure 4.28 Proportion of health insurance participants through the SJSN in the Health Sector for the bottom 40% of the population, 2015-2020
Source: Integrated Database (BDT) in Final Evaluation of RPJMN 2015-2019, Bappenas and BPJS Health processed

The proportion of the bottom 40% of the population enrolled in the health insurance program has increased quite rapidly from 2015 (85.92%) to 2020 (90.11%).



B. CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. CHALLENGES

Although there has been encouraging progress in poverty reduction in particular and the achievement of the SDG 1 targets in general, there remains some challenges as follows:

1. The last mile problem. The lower the poverty rate, the reduction becomes more difficult. It happens because poverty alleviation measures need to reach the farthest pockets of poverty and other hard-to-reach vulnerable groups.
2. Targeting accuracy of social protection program. There remain challenges in reducing inclusion and exclusion errors in the target database for program beneficiaries. Efforts are needed to update the Integrated Social Welfare Data (DTKS) in all districts/municipalities.
3. Achieving high and inclusive economic growth. Economic growth is required to mobilize resources for poverty reduction. Although Indonesia's economy is currently entering the "new normal" phase, resuming the economic growth of 7% as in the 1980-1990 period is very challenging.
4. High inequality, that occurs not only in monetary terms as seen from the non-inclusive economic growth, but also related to the unequal access to basic services and infrastructure, especially for the poorest group. Inequality creates unfavorable situation for a short-term (monetary aspects) and longer-term (multi-dimensional) poverty reduction.
5. Low social mobility, that leads to high and persistent inequality. A recent study shows that children from poor families will have 85-90% less income in their adulthood compared to those from better-off families.
6. High informality. Informal workers are more vulnerable to fall into poverty during the COVID-19 pandemic, since they did not have social security to protect them from various shocks.
7. High vulnerability. Even though poverty rate based on the national poverty line reached a single-digit figure in 2018, the vulnerable population, in the economic sense, in Indonesia was still high. Even relatively slight economic and social shocks to this group can be enough to push them into poverty.

2. ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

To address the aforementioned challenges, the Government took several initiatives to carry out various policies set out in the 2015-2019 and 2020-2024 national medium-term development plan (RPJMN). Main initiatives related to Goal 1 that have been carried out since 2015 include the following.

1. Reforming fuel prices in early 2015. Removing fuel subsidies could provide additional fiscal space and enable the Government to invest in more productive and priority public spending.
2. Massive investments in infrastructure, especially to enhance remote area connectivity. It helps reduce poverty and inequality as well as reduce the number of underdeveloped areas.
3. In addition to the improvement of data accuracy and expansion of social protection coverage, some



other initiatives include developing adaptive social protection against natural disaster, integration of targeted social assistance, digitization of social assistance program's delivery system, and innovative, expansive and sustainable financing schemes.

4. Expansion of various social assistance programs. Over the last few years, there was significant progress in the expansion of the Family Hope Program/PKH (Burke & Siyarnamual, 2019). PKH introduced in 2007, is a conditional cash transfer program that now targets 10 million families. The budget allocated for this program has been increased from IDR 19 trillion in 2018 to IDR 29 trillion (\$ 2 trillion) in 2019. In addition to pregnant women and children, conditionalities and benefits for the elderly and people with disabilities were set out for the first time in the Ministry of Social Affairs Regulation Number 1 Year 2018. PKH seems potential as the basis for expanding the social assistance system in the future (Burke & Siyaranamual, 2019).
5. Poverty reduction certainly requires the participation of many elements in society. Initiatives in the form of policies, programs and other activities carried out by various stakeholders will lead to better poverty reduction. The following are examples of good practices that contribute to the achievement of SDG 1 targets.

Sonjo Movement

Sonjo is a humanitarian movement that focuses on helping vulnerable communities affected by the COVID-19 pandemic in the Special Region of Yogyakarta. The word Sonjo (Javanese) means friendship and also stands for Sambat Jogja. Sambat is a form of cooperation typically practiced in rural areas in Java to build houses/public facilities. Sonjo uses the Whatsapp Group (WAG) as the main media to coordinate the implementation of various programs.



BOX 4.3 SONJO MOVEMENT⁷



Alhamdulillah, it's been two days since I joined Sonjo Pangan, and the food orders began to increase significantly. Due to the COVID-19, food orders have stopped, and only hospital catering supplies are left. Alhamdulillah, I met with Sonjo Pangan which facilitated the traders to meet the consumers.



Lilis Cahyareni (Source: <https://gamabox.id/sonjo/2020/05/03/testimoni-sonjo-pangan/>)

Sonjo was established on March 24, 2020, and its membership has been growing fast. As of April 17, 2020, 7 WAGs have been formed within the Sonjo movement. Each WAG focuses on a specific area. On June 22, 2020, two other WAGs were formed, making 9 (nine) WAGs in Sonjo with a total membership of more than 500 people. Eight programs have developed at Sonjo, divided into three sectors: health, economy, and education. The Sonjo movement is inclusive, involving all civil society elements in Yogyakarta and includes people with disabilities.

Sonjo enables community members to help one another by mobilizing non-financial resources, free time, human resources and providing the benefits needed when communities are affected by the COVID-19 Pandemic. As of November 30, 2020, there are 320 traders registered with the Sonjo Market Trading (EPS) - One of the many programs from Sonjo. Sonjo rapid growth

was driven by commonality in problems, experiences and goals unified by the Pandemic.

As written by Kompas⁸, the husband-and-wife couple Herdyan Vandra Widyanggara and Lilis Cahyareni are many business owners who suffered during the corona virus outbreak in Indonesia. Their business of selling beef, which they have been working on for decades, almost stopped due to a drastic decline in demand. Then Lilis, who also works at a hospital, offers her meat to her friends via text message apps. Lilis's message then spread until it finally reached the WhatsApp SONJO group. Lilis also joined. After three weeks of joining, his business was greatly helped. "I have only been in the Sonjo group for three weeks, the first week I shared, opened pre-orders. I was also quite surprised with a growing number of orders from WA at that time," he said.

7 Source: <https://sonjo.id/mengenal-sonjo/>

8 <https://regional.kompas.com/read/2020/05/22/19385731/cerita-usaha-daging-yang-bertahan-di-tengah-wabah-berkat-gerakan-sambatan?page=all>



SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND BASIC SERVICES RECOVERY THROUGH THE DISTRIBUTION OF GROCERIES BY ONLINE MOTORCYCLE SERVICES (OJOL) DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN JAKARTA PROVINCE

As a response to the health crisis because of the COVID-19 Pandemic, the Government has implemented the PSBB (Large-Scale Social Restrictions). It was done to prevent the Pandemic from getting worse. It measures adversely affects the online two-wheeled transportation taxi (online motorcycle taxis).

BOX 4.4

SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND BASIC SERVICES RECOVERY THROUGH THE DISTRIBUTION OF GROCERIES BY ONLINE MOTORCYCLE SERVICES (OJOL) DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN JAKARTA PROVINCE

The imposition of Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB) at the start of the Pandemic was an effort to prevent COVID-19 affected online motorcycle taxi drivers (OJOL). Online motorcycle taxi drivers cannot transport people and can only ship goods, resulting in reduced income.

The following activities were organized:

1. Establish an online motorcycle taxi driver engagement flow mechanism
2. The groceries come from other parties, and BNPB only provides delivery costs
3. Recruiting online motorcycle taxi driver who is interested in basic food delivery activities
4. Data collection and accepting of candidates
5. Setting up web-based and android-based applications for the distribution of basic foodstuffs based on the recipient's family/address
6. The team is divided into a team of data-administration-application-management and a team of basic food distribution
7. Online motorcycle taxi drivers in the delivery of necessities apply the Health protocol for the prevention of COVID-19
8. Reporting on the receipt of basic food packages runs in real-time

Results and impact:

1. As many as 9,474 basic food packages delivered by online motorcycle taxi drivers.
2. Online motorcycle taxi drivers' campaign for the implementation of health protocols to prevent COVID-19.
3. Direct delivery of food packages appropriately takes place and does not cause a crowd
4. The application used in this activity contains data on potential recipients, GPS or delivery locations, and data from drivers who deliver, very helpful in the distribution of necessities. Real-time reporting and guaranteed accountability.



KELINGAN COVID-19 PROGRAM AS A RESPONSE TO COVID-19 IN KAMPUNG ENAM VILLAGE

Being aware of the condition of the community around its operating area due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, PT Pertamina EP Tarakan Field initiated the program. Through this program, Tarakan Field aims to help accelerate the mitigated response to COVID-19 while at the same time empowering the community. A voluntary response group called Kelingan COVID-19 was formed.

BOX 4.5

KELINGAN COVID-19 PROGRAM AS A RESPONSE TO COVID-19 IN KAMPUNG ENAM VILLAGE

In the Kelingan COVID-19 program, the company empowers women who grew vegetable and medicinal plant. The women joined the Kelingan COVID-19 group as foster partners. Although it started as a disaster response action by companies, the Kelingan COVID-19 program was designed to be a long-term program. The ultimate objective, of course, is the independence of the group and Kampung Enam Village so they can become a pilot village and become the model on how to respond to the COVID-19 Pandemic in the City of Tarakan, North Kalimantan. The strategic plan for the Kelingan COVID-19 program started in 2020 and is planned to end in 2024. It is expected that at the end of the program, the COVID-19 assisted group will continue their activities.

Achievements of the Kelingan COVID-19 program in September 2020:

1. One centre and an Integrated COVID-19 Handling System in Kampung Enam Village
2. One Agricultural Demonstration Plots and Food Barns Kampung Enam Village
3. One Multistakeholders Directly Involved (Tarakan Field, Kampung Enam Village, Puskesmas Memburungan)
4. 7,317 Indirect Beneficiaries
5. 2 Variants of Medicinal Plants Processed Products

COVID-19 Mobile Efforts in Enam Kampung (Six Villages):

1. Education of health protocols,new normal, and Healthy Life Style and Good Hygiene
2. Distribution of multivitamins for children and the elderly
3. Making and spraying disinfectants
4. Facilities provision assistance (Personal Protective Equipment, sink, educational media)
5. Renovation of the COVID-19 post
6. Making agricultural demonstration plots
7. Provision of plant seeds
8. Cultivating the medicinal plants in the yard
9. Production of traditional herbal medicine - creating shared value - Jae pongan as a health drink for Tarakan Fieldworkers
10. Online screening form
11. Joint responsibility to assist residents confirmed positive for COVID-19 in undergoing quarantine



DELIVERING RESULTS FOR CHILDREN THROUGH A LOCALLY FUNDED SOCIAL PROTECTION PROGRAM / GEUNASEH, 2019

The Sabang District Government, supported by UNICEF and Flower Aceh, launched the Movement for Healthy Children (Geunaseh) in 2019. Geunaseh is a regionally funded social protection program part of an integrated program on maternal and child malnutrition. UNICEF and Flower Aceh provide technical support for policy formulation and guidelines, behaviour change communication, and integrated information systems.

BOX 4.6

DELIVERING RESULTS FOR CHILDREN THROUGH A LOCALLY FUNDED SOCIAL PROTECTION PROGRAM/GEUNASEH, 2019

The Geunaseh program aims to avoid potential long-term health problems caused by malnutrition by supporting children through social protection schemes that provide cash transfers to households, enabling them to meet their children's nutritional needs and ensuring access to health services.

Mandated by the 'Mayor Regulation of Sabang No. 21 of 2019 ', Geunaseh is a locally funded sub-national social protection program that seeks to create a vision of "Kota Sejahtera" (Prosperous City), in line with national priorities.

Geunaseh started as a pilot program, complementing government social protection programs that benefit children: clean water and sanitation, positive parenting, integrated health management in early childhood, and cash-based scholarship assistance for students aged 7 - 17 years. Its joint initiative makes Sabang the only city in Indonesia with a social protection program for all children under 18.

Cash assistance is distributed directly to households with children aged 0 - 6 years who have a birth certificate and are domiciled in Sabang and whose name is written on the family card. Beneficiaries receive cash worth IDR 150,000 (USD 10) per month through a local bank.

UNICEF Indonesia fully supports the Sabang City Government and the Planning Agency (Bappeda) and the Geunaseh Secretariat and the District Health Office in its implementation, involving village heads and civil society organizations.

The results obtained were 1) Geunaseh reached more than 4,000 children in the early stages and an additional 1,000 children in June 2020, 2) In November 2019, the percentage of children with birth certificates increased to 98%, from 92% in 2018, and 3) Attendance children in Posyandu increased from 63% in August 2019 to 92% in July 2020.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

This section discusses immediate policy responses in the context of Indonesia's recovery from the multidimensional crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic as set out in the Government Work Plan (RKP) for 2021. The 2021 RKP themed "Accelerating Economic Recovery and Social Reform" is focused on seven national priorities, namely (1) Economic Resilience for Quality and Equitable Growth; (2) Regional Development for Inequality Reduction; (3) Qualified and Competitive Human Resources; (4) Mental Revolution and Cultural Development; (5) Infrastructure for the Economy and Basic Services; (6) Environment, Disaster Resilience, and Climate Change; and (7) Stable Political, Legal, and Security Affairs; and Transformation of Public Services. Two development agenda of quality and equality growth (National Priority 1) and inequality reduction (National Priority 2), RKP 2021 are closely related to Goal 1.

To reduce poverty, economic growth needs to be restored so that jobs and a decent life can return to normal. The first national priority, namely economic resilience for quality and equitable growth aims to strengthen economic resilience amid the slow global economic growths due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Its implementation includes increasing the availability, access, and quality of food for consumption; meeting energy needs by prioritizing increase in new and renewable energy (EBT); strengthening industry's competitiveness by increasing access to export markets; restoration of national tourism by increasing connectivity, expanding marketing strategies, and diversifying tourism destinations; and strengthening support for business certainty, expanding investment, as well as promoting distribution and trade's efficiency.

In the 2021 RKP, targets and macroeconomic policy direction which are relevant to goal 1 include: restoring economic growth to 4.5-5.5 per cent in 2021 and reducing the poverty rate to 9.2-9.7%.

To boost economic growth, efforts will be focused on attracting investments through the acceleration of Online Single Submission (OSS) integration, increasing labor market flexibility, providing aftercare services to retain existing investment from leaving, and expanding the investment list.

To accelerate the recovery of the worst-hit sectors (such as tourism), several interventions will be carried out as follows: (a) increasing the frequency and route of flights; (b) increasing domestic tourism marketing to gradually recover tourism destinations; (c) increasing sporting events, cultural arts, and Meeting Incentive Convention and Exhibition (MICE); (d) providing incentives for special tour packages in priority destinations; (e) improving infrastructure and service standards; and (f) providing arrangements for annual leave and public holidays for domestic tourists.

To help achieve the target of economic growth, the 2021 fiscal policy is designed to be expansive and consolidative to support the socio-economic transition to the "new normal" condition.

The social protection reform, which aims to eliminate extreme poverty in Indonesia by 2024, are translated into the policy direction and strategies for poverty reduction and social protection as follows:

1. Strengthening the social assistance scheme; implementing integrated social welfare services; improving the targeting of poverty reduction programs through data accuracy improvement; and expansion of the program coverage through 100 per cent socio-economic registration and digitalization of village monographs. Sub-national governments are expected to independently update their database by 2024;
2. Strengthening the adaptive social protection system;
3. Developing the digitization of non-cash social assistance;



4. Strengthening the design of non-cash social assistance payments to better facilitate the poor and vulnerable groups;
5. Formulating innovative, expansive and sustainable financing schemes;
6. Establishing integrated social assistance and well-targeted subsidies, including (a) the basic food program that integrate Non-Cash Food Assistance (BPNT), electricity subsidies, and 3 Kg LPG for cooking subsidies, (b) data integration between the Family Hope Program (PKH) and the Smart Indonesia Program (PIP);
7. Strengthening the facilitator' roles in social assistance programs and behaviour change in program's beneficiaries in health, education, and economic;
8. improving education to encourage the beneficiaries' behaviour changes in health, education and economic;
9. Strengthening the National Social Security System (SJSN) for health and employment that is comprehensive and integrated;
10. Improving social welfare of the vulnerable group, especially children, persons with disabilities, and the elderly; and
11. Developing activities to increase economic independence and generate income for the poor and vulnerable groups, including through accelerating the family economy, business assistance, and improving the quality of micro-and-small enterprises, access to low-interest financing, business intermediaries, partnerships, social impacts, and secure tenure rights to land through the implementation of agrarian reform and social forestry.



Goal 2 No Hunger

Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 2 aims to end hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition, and promote sustainable agriculture. Achievement of the targets of end hunger and ensure access to food for all (2.1) and eliminate all form of malnutrition (2.2) is the impacts or results of sustainable food systems development, improve community nutrition and health services, and community welfare. This objective is achieved if all people, especially low-income communities and those who are in a vulnerable condition including pregnant women, infants, and persons with disabilities, have access to safe, nutritious, and adequate food consumption throughout the year to be able to live a healthy, smart, active and productive life. In the last five years (2015-2019), there is notable progress in the achievement of the several SDG 2 targets, but some indicators still require greater efforts. The positive trend of SDG 2 achievements during the five-year period has

been disrupted in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The pandemic poses further challenges in achieving TPB/SDGs Goal 2, among others: barriers to the distribution of agricultural production inputs, decreased purchasing power of farmers in meeting the needs of agricultural production inputs, decreased purchasing power of people as food consumers, and ultimately reduces the quality of food consumption and nutrition intake, especially among the poor and near poor population. To mitigate this impact, the government has expanded the social safety net policy to ensure the fulfillment of people's food needs, protect people's purchasing power and maintain the adequacy of food consumption. Moreover, the imposition of the Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB) policy has also resulted in limited public access to health services which further resulted in delays in health services, including child development monitoring services.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

SDG 2 consists of eight targets and 17 indicators, the achievement progress of 14 indicators is discussed in this report. Meanwhile, the data for the other three indicators related to enabling environment for the development of sustainable food systems, such as rural infrastructure investment and distortions of agricultural markets and their prevention (targets 2.a and 2.b) are not available.



1. FOOD SECURITY

a. Prevalence of Undernourishment (PoU)

Data of the prevalence of insufficient food consumption or undernourishment (PoU) provided by Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS)/Statistics Indonesia was generated from the National Socio-Economic Survey (Susenas) result. In the 2015-2019 period, the PoU had improved, with a significant decrease from 10.73% (2015) to 7.63% (2019). However, this figure was still higher than the target of 6.69% in 2019 (Bappenas 2019a). Although the target was not achieved, the progress was quite significant. This positive trend was disrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic in early 2020. The PoU in 2020 increased to 8.34%, exceeding the prevalence in 2017 (Figure 4.29).

Urban households had a much lower PoU rate (6.56%) than in rural areas (10.47%). The percentage of PoU in the male-headed households was slightly lower (8.09%) compared to the female-headed households (10.01%). Meanwhile, the PoU figure for household's head with no disability was lower than those with disabilities with a fairly wide gap, 8.24% and 12.17% respectively. This data confirms that people who have better access to economic opportunities and food market (in term of physical and economic) are better off, and their food security are predicted to be in a better condition.




Figure 4.29 Percentage of PoU, 2015-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia

A wide disparity of PoU occurs across province, ranged from 1.94% to 35.55%. There were seven provinces with PoU below 4.2% (half the national average), started from the lowest figure in DKI Jakarta (1.94%), followed by Banten (2.11%), South Kalimantan (2.72%), West Nusa Tenggara (2.97%), West Java (3.90%), and Bali (4.01%). Meanwhile, five provinces with the highest PoU rates were West Kalimantan (19.92%) and four provinces in the eastern part

of Indonesia, namely West Papua (23.09%), Papua (31.49%), North Maluku (35.48%), and Maluku (35.55%). This pattern indicated that for the eastern part Indonesia, food security remains the main human development challenge. It also reflected the different level of regional economic development and the poverty eradication progress.




Figure 4.30 Percentage of PoU by expenditure groups (quintile) 2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia

The rate of PoU and the household expenditure (as a proxy for income) indicates the positive correlation. The higher the level of expenditure the smaller (better) the PoU rate. In 2020, the PoU in the lowest expenditure group (bottom 20%) was 14.72%. The figure was slightly lower in the second lowest quintile (9.54%) and continued to decrease in higher income groups. In the fifth quintile (top 20%) the PoU was quite low at 2.27% (Figure 4.30). This indicates that interventions need to be focused on households with low incomes (first and second quintiles). Furthermore, it is quite interesting that in the top 20% income group there were still households who experienced insufficient food consumption, even though the proportion is relatively small (below 2.5%). This condition might be attributed to the unbalance food consumption pattern and limited knowledge of the minimum food and nutrition intake required.

b. Prevalence of food insecurity experience scale (FIES)

Similar to the PoU figures, the prevalence of people with moderate and severe food insecurity as measured by the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) for the 2017-2019 period decreased (improved) from 8.66% to 5.42%, a rapid decline with annual reduction rate of 1.62%. This achievement was better compared to the target of 5.79% (Bappenas 2019a). This reduction indicated an improvement in the experience of food insecurity by the population. In contrast to the PoU figure, the prevalence of FIES in 2020 was lower (improved) than the previous year (5.12%), although the improvement was quite small (only 0.3%) compared to annual reduction rate of the previous three years (Figure 4.31). This positive trend was partly resulted from the government's rapid response in providing social safety nets in the form of massive assistance to the vulnerable groups, including cash transfers and/or food assistance for low-income households and those who have lost their jobs temporarily due to the COVID-19 pandemic. If the government assistance was not available or late in distribution, the social impact of this pandemic would be even worse (SMERU, PROSPERA, UNDP, UNICEF, 2021).




Figure 4.31 Prevalence of FIES, 2017-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia

In 2020, households living in urban areas had a lower prevalence of food insecurity (4.51%) compared to those living in rural areas (5.72%). The likelihood of experiencing of food insecurity differed by gender and disability status of the household head. The prevalence of FIES of the male-headed households was lower than female-headed households, 4.77% and 6.78% respectively. The prevalence of FIES of household heads with no disability was almost half (5.09%) compared to household heads with disabilities (10.03%). This data shows that people who have the advantage in term of economic opportunities have a better chance of avoiding food insecurity events. This also highlights the importance of inclusive policies for persons with disabilities and gender equality in employment opportunities.

The distribution pattern of the prevalence of FIES across provinces differs from PoU, with a narrower interval of 1.84% to 15.46%. The lowest prevalence of FIES was in Bali and the highest in East Nusa Tenggara. Provinces with FIES prevalence above 10% were East Nusa Tenggara, West Nusa Tenggara, Maluku and North Maluku. Meanwhile, provinces that had FIES prevalence below 3.0% were Bali, Yogyakarta and Central Java. This indicates that the level of regional economic progress may have influenced the prevalence of FIES.

Household expenditure has a positive correlation with the prevalence of FIES, the higher the level of expenditure the smaller (better) the prevalence of FIES. In 2020 the prevalence of FIES in the first lowest quintile (bottom 20%) households was 10.64%, almost doubled compared to prevalence in the second lowest quintile (6.75%). The prevalence then decreased steadily in better-off quintiles and in the fifth quintile (top 20%) was 1.32% (Figure 4.32). Similar to the PoU percentage, there were also households in the top expenditure group that have experienced food insecurity, although the percentage of FIES prevalence was very small (below 1.5%). This condition was partly caused by their high dependence on food availability in the market, therefore any shock to food market will create a feeling of food insecurity.




Figure 4.32 Prevalence of FIES, by expenditure group, 2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia

During the 2017-2019 period, the country food security improved as indicated by the achievement of target 2.1 (to eliminate hunger and ensure access to food for all), namely the decline in percentage of PoU and prevalence of FIES. This improvement was in line with economic growth, increase in the per capita income, and stability of food supply and prices. This achievement was also supported by the implementation of the government special efforts to continuously increase domestic food production and build food reserves at central and local governments as well as community food barns.

Progress achieved during this period was disrupted in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. As discussed above, in 2020 the PoU figure increased (worsened) again to a level that exceeds the 2017 figure, meanwhile the prevalence of FIES was still decreasing (improving) but at a very small rate compared to the previous year.

Various studies have shown a significant impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the community

food security and nutrition. In general, the pandemic had an impact on the income of individual and households, especially those working in the industrial and informal sectors (PSEKP 2020, ACIAR 2020). This pandemic had caused the lowest 40% of income group facing difficulties in fulfilling the minimum requirement of adequate food consumption and balanced nutrition intake. To meet the population needs of staple food, the decline in income was mitigated by the head of households through reduction of the proportion of non-food expenditures coupled with lowering the quality of food composition (less protein and vitamin sources) (PSEKP 2020).

The SMERU survey (2021) found that one third of head of households were worried that they would not be able to provide sufficient food for their families. Concerns of difficulties in fulfilling the households' needs for food arose, especially in the female-headed households. The 2020 Susenas showed that of the eight items to calculate the prevalence of FIES, female-headed households stated that they had more limited access to food for seven items than male-headed of households.

The Wahana Visi Indonesia study (2020) reported that 53% of households had limitations in providing nutritious food and 96% of children under two years of age were unable to meet their minimum intake needs in terms of food frequency and diversity. The results of a World Bank study (2020) indicated the same trend, 31% of households sample experienced food shortages and 38% claimed to eat less food than they should. Another community group affected by this pandemic were the elderly (60+ years). About 40% of the elderly households experienced a decline in income, and the most effected were the elderly with incomes below IDR 3.0 million (Statistics Indonesia, 2020.).

The continuos decrease in the prevalence of FIES during the pandemic means that the government's emergency response to address the negative impacts of this pandemic on the health aspects, economic recovery, and social protection created positive results. The government spending for social protection in 2020 was IDR 203.9 trillion of the total allocated budget for handling the pandemic which was around IDR 695.2 trillion (Deputy for Economic, Ministry of National Development Planning). The government also expanded the beneficiaries of existing social protection programs such as conditional cash transfer (PKH), basic food program for the poor (Program Kartu Sembako), and electricity cost subsidy; and created new programs such as such as unconditional cash transfers, food assistance, salary subsidy, and the Kartu Prakerja (Pre-Employment Card) program. The Pre-employment Card program is an effort to increase skill and competency of job seekers or those affected by temporary work termination due to the pandemic. In 2020 the beneficiaries of the basic food program (Kartu Sembako) was expanded to 20.0 million households with the assistance value of IDR 200,000 per household/month. In 2019, the number of beneficiaries were 15.6 million families with the assistance value of IDR 150,000 per household/month.

A recent survey found that more than 90% of

low-income population received at least one social assistance from the government and more than 60% received cash assistance. About two-thirds (67.4%) of government social assistance beneficiaries stated that the assistance was helpful to deal with the impact of declined household incomes due to the COVID-19 pandemic (UNICEF, UNDP, PROSPERA and SMERU 2021).

Since the COVID-19 pandemic is still affecting the economic growth, job opportunities, and incomes of vulnerable groups, various forms of social protection including cash transfer and food assistance are still needed. The distribution of social assistance packages needs to be evaluated and strengthened in terms of the continuity and promptness of distribution, accuracy of targeting, effectiveness of assistance, and the completeness of assistance packages.

2. NUTRITIONAL ADEQUACY

a. Prevalence of stunting in children under five

Stunting is the impaired growth and development that children experience from poor nutrients intake, repeated infections and inadequate psychosocial stimulation. Stunting is indicated by the child's height that is less than the child growth standard median according to their age. The impaired growth experienced by children during the first 1000 days of life (1000 HPK) can lead children fail to achieve their optimal growth potential and the damage is irreversible. For this reason, it is necessary to focus the interventions on 1000 HPK as an effort to prevent stunting. The target of 1000 HPK interventions include pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, to children aged two years. Furthermore, the intervention also targets adolescent girls since their nutrition status will impact the growth and development of their future children.

Indonesia has succeeded in reducing the stunting rate from 37.2% (Basic Health Research/Riskesdas 2013) to 27.67% (Indonesia




Figure 4.33 Prevalence of stunting in children under five years of age by province, 2019 (%)
Source: Indonesia's Under Five Nutrition Status Survey (SSGBI) 2019, Ministry of Health

Under Five Nutrition Status Survey/SSGBI 2019). If this reduction rate can be maintained, the WHA target of stunting prevalence reduction in children under five, which is to reduce stunting prevalence by 40%, can be achieved by 2025. However, the disparity in stunting prevalence is wide between geographic areas, sex and socioeconomic status. According to WHO standards, Figure 4.33 shows that 13 provinces are in the very high prevalence category (> 30%), 17 provinces are in the high category (20-<30%) and only 4 provinces are in the medium category (10% -<20%) (Ministry of Health: SSGBI 2019). Thus, strengthened efforts are needed to reduce the stunting prevalence to 14%

in 2024 in accordance with the National Mid Term Development Plan/RPJMN target.

The disaggregation of stunting data in 2018 according to area of residence shows that under five children in rural areas have a higher prevalence of stunting (34.9%) than in urban areas (27.3%). This may be related to income levels, access to nutritious food, and access to better information. Riskesdas 2018 data also shows that the prevalence of stunting in boys under five is higher than girls, 31.7% and 29.7% respectively. Meanwhile, the stunting disparity based on the level of education of parents shows that families with low education (junior high school and below) have a higher prevalence of stunting compared to families with high education (senior secondary school and above).

At the district/city level, the stunting rate tends to decline, although it varies widely. In 2013, the average prevalence in 514 districts/cities was 37.2%. By using WHO criteria ($\geq 30\%$), there are still 418 districts/cities (81.32%) with very high prevalence. In 2018, the prevalence decreased to 30.8% or decreased 6.4 percentage points within 5 years, or 1.28 percentage points per year. In 2019, the prevalence of stunting has constantly declined by 3.2 percentage points to 27.67%. However, the disparity in stunting rates across regions still occurs. There are 214 out of 514 districts/cities (41.6%) classified as having a very high prevalence of stunting ($> 30\%$). For this reason, the stunting reduction strategy needs to be prioritized to these districts

To measure the success of stunting prevalence reduction, Statistics Indonesia has developed a Special Index for Stunting Management (IKPS). The IKPS value ranges from 0 to 100, the higher the IKPS value the better the stunting being handled in the area. In the IKPS, there are 12 indicators, which are proxies for efforts to reduce stunting, which are grouped into 6 dimensions, namely health, nutrition, education, food, sanitation and drinking water, and social protection. The IKPS value in 2018 was 63.92, then it increased to 66.08 in 2019, which means

that it has shown an improvement. (Statistics Indonesia: 2018-2019 IKPS Report). Looking at the IPKS score between provinces, there are two provinces that are considered high in efforts to reduce stunting prevalence in 2018 and 2019. The indexes achieved respectively are 78.54 and 79.94 for DI Yogyakarta Province, then 70.01 and 72.97 for West Nusa Tenggara Province. Provinces that in 2019 have a score of 70 and above are DKI Jakarta (70.56), Central Java (71.17) and East Java (70.69).

Income reduction during to the COVID-19 pandemic leads to a decrease in household access to nutritious food. The Wahana Visi Indonesia study (2020) reports the limited ability of households to meet adequate food consumption and nutrition. Approximately 53 percent of households cannot provide nutritious food and 96 percent of children under two years of age cannot meet their minimum intake needs in terms of meal frequency and dietary diversity.

Another study from the World Bank (2020) shows a similar trend, 31 percent of surveyed households experience food shortages and 38 percent admit to consuming less food than they should. This condition in turn can increase the risk of acute malnutrition in children under five and in the long term increase the risk of chronic malnutrition or stunting. The FAO, UNICEF, WFP, and WHO Joint Report (2021) estimates an increase in the prevalence of wasting (moderate and severe wasting) in children under five by 14.3 percent at the global level as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic on food security and nutrition.

ACIAR's research results (2020) related to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia report several interesting findings. Amid the pandemic, the three burdens of malnutrition, namely underweight, stunting and wasting, are significant challenges. Dietary patterns and people's spending on food are changing, for example, the high consumption of instant food and snacks with poor nutritional quality. Children and mothers will suffer from malnutrition and increase their vulnerability to COVID-19.



Learning from the experience of previous crises, these shocks can have a negative impact on increasing wasting, stunting, obesity and micronutrient deficiencies (ACIAR 2020).

b. The prevalence of wasting in children under five

Wasting refers to a child who is too thin for his or her height. Wasting is the result of by the rapid weight loss of children under five due to infection, insufficient food intake or eating habits, poor sanitation and hygiene, and dietary intake did not meet the nutritional requirement. Wasting is a very serious form of malnutrition because it can increase the risk of child's death and illness. The mortality rate for children with acute malnutrition (Severe Acute Malnutrition-SAM) is higher than children with better nutrition. If they survived, they will have developmental disorders throughout their lives. Global evidence shows that wasting increases the risk of stunting in children, impaired cognitive development and the risk of developing non-communicable diseases in adulthood. (UNICEF: Nutrition Sector Review).

Indonesia has succeeded in reducing the prevalence of wasting from 12.1% in 2013 to 7.4% in 2019. Thus, Indonesia is still on-track to achieve the WHA target, which is to reduce wasting in children under five to less than 5% by 2025, as well as the RPJMN target of 7% in 2024. Nevertheless, there remain disparities between provinces (Figure 4.34). According to WHO standard, there is still one province with a very high wasting prevalence ($> 15\%$), two provinces with a high prevalence category (10% -15%), 30 provinces with a medium prevalence category (5% -10%), and one province that has achieved a low prevalence category, which is below 5%.

The 2018 Basic Health Research (Risikesdas) shows that the prevalence of wasting in children under five is higher in rural areas (10.7%) than in urban areas (9.8%). The prevalence of wasting in boys (11.1%) is greater than girls (9.2%). The

2013 and 2018 Risikesdas data shows that families with a low level of education (junior secondary school and below) have a higher prevalence of wasting compared to families with higher education (senior secondary school and higher). This may be related to better income and opportunities to obtain information in families with higher education levels.

Stunting is closely related to maternal nutritional status during pregnancy. Babies born with low birth weight/LBW ($< 2,500$ gram) indicates maternal malnutrition problems before and during the pregnancy. LBW is a strong predictor of neonatal mortality. More than 80% of neonatal deaths occur in LBW infants. LBW babies have low levels of body fat, iron and vitamin A, and experience trouble eating, so they are at risk of stunting and wasting. LBW babies also have a risk of suffering from non-communicable diseases and obesity in adulthood. Mothers who experienced stunting in their childhood are at greater risk of giving birth to LBW babies. Data shows that the prevalence of LBW in Indonesia is 6.2%, meanwhile the target of WHA is to reduce LBW by 30% in 2025. Malnutrition that occurs during this life cycle increases a person's risk of suffering from non-communicable diseases in adulthood. (FAO, UNICEF, WFP, WHO: Asia and the Pacific Regional Overview of Food Security and Nutrition, 2020).

To overcome the problem of stunting and wasting, the Government of Indonesia focuses its efforts on specific and sensitive nutrition interventions as set out in the national stunting reduction strategy.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.34 Prevalence of wasting in children under five years of age by province, 2019 (%)
Source: SSGBI 2019, Ministry of Health



1. SPECIFIC NUTRITIONAL INTERVENTIONS

- **Exclusive breastfeeding**

The national average for exclusive breastfeeding increased from 44.71% (2019) to 69.62% in 2020 (Susenas, Statistics Indonesia). The WHA set a global target to increase exclusive breastfeeding in the first 6 months up to at least 50% by 2025. The data shows that Indonesia is on-track in achieving the WHA target, although the rate of exclusive breastfeeding varies between regions in Indonesia.

- **Growth monitoring for children under five**

The percentage of children under five who attend at least four consecutive weighing sessions a year increased from 44.6% in 2013 to 54.6% in 2018 (Riskesdas). In 2019, the percentage of children who attend weighing sessions was 73.86% per month in average. The highest percentage was in North Sumatra (96.69%), while the lowest percentage was in Papua (30.11%). There are six provinces that have not reported growth monitoring result of under five children, namely Riau, Jambi, Central Java, West Nusa Tenggara, North Maluku, and West Papua Provinces. Strengthened efforts are needed to improve growth

monitoring for under five children (Ministry of Health: Indonesia Health Profile, 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic also affects the coverage of children growth monitoring. The imposition of the Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB) also hampers Integrated Health Post (posyandu) activities. The results of the Balitbangkes survey (2020) show that 37% of posyandu have reduced its services and as many as 43.5% of posyandu have completely delayed the services. In addition, the consistency of coverage in each month needs special attention. The weighing session coverage tends to increase in the national Vitamin A campaign months in February and August but then the coverage returns to a low level outside of these months.

- **Coverage of Vitamin A supplementation for children aged 6–59 months**

Riskesdas data shows that the coverage of Vitamin A supplementation in children under five decreased from 75.5% in 2013 to 53.5% in 2018. This decline rate raises concerns since the Vitamin A is essential for the functioning of the immune system of infants and children under five. In 2019, the coverage of vitamin A in children under five in Indonesia was 76.68%. The highest rate



© UNICEF/ UNI374578/Fauzan

was DI Yogyakarta (100%), while the lowest was in Papua (31.97%). (Ministry of Health: Indonesia Health Profile 2019).

- **Iron and Folic Acid (IFA) supplementation for adolescent girls**

In 2018, the coverage of IFA supplementation in adolescent girls was 46.56%. The province with the highest coverage was Bali (99.72%), while the lowest was West Kalimantan (13.03%). (Ministry of Health: Indonesia Health Profile 2019). It takes a comprehensive assessment of the iron supplementation program for adolescent girls, starting from planning, implementation, distribution as well as monitoring and evaluation of its impact on anemia reduction in adolescent girls. This is due to the high prevalence of anemia in women of reproductive age and pregnant women in Indonesia which may start since their adolescence age.

- **Supplementary feeding for chronic energy deficiency pregnant women and underweight children**

Supplementary feeding (Pemberian Makanan Tambahan/PMT) is an essential program to address the problem of chronic energy deficiency (Kurang Energi Kronik/KEK) in pregnant women. In 2019, the coverage of chronic energy deficiency pregnant women receiving supplementary feeding reached 90.52%. The highest coverage was in West Kalimantan, South Sumatra and Gorontalo provinces, while the lowest coverage was in West Nusa Tenggara (71.36%). The 2018 Riskesdas data found that the coverage of supplementary feeding for underweight children was 41%. The 2019 Ministry of Health routine reports in 2019 showed that the national average of underweight children receiving supplementary feeding was 89.64%. The highest coverage was in Gorontalo and East Java, while the lowest was in North Kalimantan (69.53%). From the aforementioned discussions, specific nutrition interventions have been

implemented and its coverage showed an increasing trend. This progress is encouraging, especially when linked to sensitive interventions, given the essential role of sensitive interventions in improving the nutritional status of children.

From the description above, it can be concluded that specific nutrition interventions have been implemented and show an increasing trend. This gives encouraging hope, especially when linked to sensitive interventions, given the large role sensitive nutrition interventions play in improving the nutritional condition of children.

2. SENSITIVE NUTRITIONAL INTERVENTIONS

Sensitive interventions are mainly carried out by sectors outside of health, including various programs and activities such as strengthening food security, especially at the family level, increasing access to nutritious food, increasing access to family planning services, raising awareness and good parenting practices, providing drinking water and proper sanitation services, and improving social protection systems for the poor. One of sensitive interventions that is closely related to the improvement of quality nutrition is food fortification and households' access to drinking water and improved sanitation services.

Currently, Indonesia has imposed mandatory fortification on salt (iodine) and wheat flour (iron) as well as vegetable cooking oil (vitamin A). The COVID-19 pandemic had interfered the implementation of mandatory fortification due to disruption in the supply chain of import fortification premixes related to lockdown policies in several countries. After the relaxation of the mandatory fortification of wheat flour and vegetable cooking oil from April to the end of December 2020, the mandatory fortification policy was reintroduced in early 2021.



Development of rice bio-fortification seeds is also being carried out. Moreover, to increase access to fortified food in the poor population, fortified rice has been included in the package of Non-Cash Food Assistance (BPNT), which since 2020 has evolved to basic food program.

Stunting is related to household access to drinking water and improved sanitation services. Access to safe drinking water shows an increasing trend from 84.94% (2015) to 90.21% (2020). In the same period, access to improved sanitation services also increased from 67.95% to 79.54% (Statistics Indonesia: Susenas).

c. Quality of Food Consumption

The quality of food consumption is measured by the Dietary Desirable Pattern (DDP) score. The score is calculated by the Indonesian Agency for Food Security (Badan Ketahanan Pangan/BKP) of the Ministry of Agriculture using Susenas data from Statistics Indonesia (various years). The ideal DDP score is 100, which describes the composition of the main food groups that can meet the needs of calories and other nutrients to be able to live a healthy, smart, active and productive life (BKP 2020). The PPH score is calculated using the Energy Adequacy Rate (AKE) of 2000 kcal/cap/day based on the 2004 National Food and Nutrition Program (WNPG) VIII. During the 2015-2018 period the national DDP score showed an improving trend from 85.2 to 91.3, then decreased slightly in 2019 with a score of 90.8. Compared to the DDP 2019 target of 92.5%, this achievement was still below the target. In 2020 the DDP score decreased considerably (2.0 points) from the previous year, which was 88.8 and lower than the 2017 position (Figure 4.35). This is because food consumption is still dominated by the grain group, while consumption of animal foods, as well as vegetables and fruit are still lacking. The DDP score decrease from 2019 to 2020 was in line with the decline in PoU percentage at the same time

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.35 The DDP Scores of National, Urban and Rural Indonesia, 2015-2020

Source: BKP, processed and justified from Susenas (March) data

Notes: Calculated using the energy adequacy rate (AKE) = 2,000 kcal/cap/day;

Year 2020 = temporary figure

During the 2015-2019 period, the DDP scores in urban areas were consistently better than that in rural areas. In 2020, the DDP score in urban and rural areas decreased compared to the 2019 score. In 2019 the DDP scores in the two regions were 93.6 and 86.4, respectively. Meanwhile, the DDP score in 2020 fell to 89.6 in rural and 85.4 in urban areas. This information shows that (i) the quality of household food consumption in 2020 both in urban and rural areas had decreased compared to the previous year as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and (ii) the quality of food consumption in urban area was better than that in rural area. Higher opportunities to have a job and better access to food markets in urban area have created opportunity for urban residents to earn higher family income and better food quality consumed. So, more efforts are needed to improve the quality of food consumption in rural areas.

In 2018, Widyakarya National Food and Nutrition (WNPG) set an AKE of 2100 kcal/cap/day, so there was a higher energy adequacy rate to calculate of the PPH score. The PPH score calculated by AKE 2100/kcal/day in 2015-2019 increased from 81.5% to 87.9%; but then the score decreased to 86.3% in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This is due to a decrease in consumption of animal food sources, as well as vegetables and fruit due to a decrease in people's purchasing power.

In 2019 DDP at the provincial level varied, with the highest score was belong to DI Yogyakarta Province (94.8) and the lowest was Maluku Province (70.4). Seven provinces with the highest scores were located along the geographic path, extending from Lampung Province on the southern tip of Sumatra Island, continued into all (five) provinces in Java Island, and continued to the east namely Bali and West Nusa Tenggara provinces. Meanwhile, the seven provinces with the lowest scores were in eastern Indonesia, except for Aceh which is located at the western tip of Indonesia (Figure 4.36). The group of provinces with the DDP scores in the average range (around 85) were mostly located in Sumatera, Kalimantan and Sulawesi islands. The pattern of distribution of the DDP scores by province



was generally related to the level of regional economic development, level of education, as well as physical and economic access to food markets which provided a variety of nutritionally balanced foods.




Figure 4.36 Provinces with the seven highest and seven lowest DDP score, 2019

Source: BKP, processed and justified from Susenas (March) data.

Notes: Calculated using the energy adequacy rate (AKE) = 2,000 kcal/cap/day;
Year 2020 = temporary figure,

The problem of decreasing food security status in 2020 due to the pandemic as discussed above was in line with the dynamics of the poverty rate. For the last ten years (March figures each year), the percentage of poor people in the country had decreased continuously from 12.22% in 2015 to 9.41% in 2019, but in 2020 the poverty rate increased again to 9.78%. In urban areas, poverty increased from 6.69% in 2019 to 7.38% in 2020. Meanwhile, in the same period, the percentage of people under poverty in rural areas continued to improve, although with a slight change, from 12.85% to 12.82%.

The agricultural sector which dominates the rural economy has high resilience in facing crises caused by external shocks such as the economic and political crisis in 1997/1998, the international monetary crisis in 2008 and the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 (PSEKP 2020). At

the beginning of the pandemic, the agricultural sector and the informal sector in rural areas were able to serve as safety nets to accommodate urban residents who had lost their jobs returning to their villages. In 2020 the performance of food production was not significantly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Compare to the previous year, 2020 production of rice, corn, chicken eggs were predicted to increase by 0.09%, 9.30%, and 6.10% respectively (Statistics Indonesia, 2021). Total production of vegetables was predicted to increase by 5.31% and the two most important vegetables commodities namely shallot and big chilly production increased by 14.87% and 4.12% respectively. Total fruits production rose by 10.45%, and bananas and mangoes as the two highest fruit production increased by 12.59% and 3.19%.



d. Prevalence of Nutritional Anemia in Pregnant Women

Anemia in pregnant women occurs when the blood hemoglobin level is below the normal standard for age of pregnancy. Anemia in pregnant women in Indonesia is a nutritional problem that has not been resolved properly. This can be seen from the large prevalence of anemia over time. The prevalence of anemia increased from 37.1% (2013) to 48.9% in 2018. Approximately 84.6% anemia in pregnant women occurred in the 15-24 years age group. The WHA sets a target of a 50% reduction in anemia in women of reproductive age in 2025. Anemia negatively impacts cognitive and motor development and working capacity. Among pregnant women, iron deficiency anemia is associated with adverse reproductive outcomes, including preterm delivery, infants with low birth weight, and decreased iron stores for infants, which can lead to developmental disorders (WHO).

Anemia is a global public health problem. This has serious short-term and long-term consequences during and after pregnancy. Anemic conditions are often exacerbated by the presence of other chronic diseases such as malaria, Tuberculosis, HIV, and diabetes. Untreated anemia also leads to greater risks of morbidity and mortality from this chronic condition as well (King Gangopadhyay, Mahantesh Karoshi, Louis Keith).

Iron deficiency anemia during pregnancy is associated with increased maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Iron deficiency in pregnant mother can also be associated with neurocognitive deficits in infants. The global burden of maternal anemia suggests that the current iron supplementation strategy is less than optimal. Recent developments provide that understanding of systemic iron homeostasis and placenta can enhance therapeutic effectiveness by changing the dose and frequency of oral iron supplementation. Intravenous iron appears to be a safe treatment for rapidly correcting maternal anemia but patient-centered outcome and cost-effectiveness research is needed. Future trials should be robust enough to assess relevant results for pregnant women (Charlotte S Benson, Akshay Shah, Matthew C Frise and Charlotte J Frize).

To prevent anemia in pregnant women, the Ministry of Health implements Iron Folic Acid (IFA) supplementation program of at least 90 tablets during pregnancy. The coverage of IFA > 90 tablets was only 37.7% (Risksesdas 2018). By looking at the current trend of anemia prevalence and considering the impacts of the pandemic, it raises concerns that global and national targets will be difficult to achieve.

One of the alternative interventions to overcome anemia is Multiple Micronutrient Supplementation (MMS) which has been proven to be effective in reducing anemia in pregnant women. MMS can also overcome the problem of low birth weight, stunting, MMR and IMR and malnutrition of pregnant women. In the future, it is necessary to formulate a new policy on the use of MMS in Indonesia, by making better preparations regarding the aspects of policy and domestic production capacity, delivery system, and monitoring and evaluation of its impact on anemia reduction.

3. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTIVITY AND INCOME OF SMALL-SCALE FOOD PRODUCERS

a. Productivity and income of small-scale farmers

The availability of data for indicators 2.3.1 * and 2.3.2 * of SDGs Goal 2 was limited only for year 2020, that was disaggregated based on agricultural subsectors, and covered only three out of 34 provinces, namely West Java, East Java, and West Nusa Tenggara. The data was obtained from the Integrated



Agricultural Survey (SITASI) 2020 conducted by Statistics Indonesia (2021). For international comparison, the production value and income of small-scale farming are expressed in USD Purchasing Power Parity (PPP).

Small-scale agriculture producer (farmer) is defined as a producer who is structurally independent of fixed wage labor and who manage his/her production activities mainly using family labor. Small-scale farming in the three provinces in Indonesia was characterized that within one year they managed less than 0.16 hectares of land, raised less than 0.61 units of tropical livestock, and earned less than USD 1044.169 PPP (Statistics Indonesia, 2021). Based on this definition, in 2020 the proportion of small-scale farmers in West Java, East Java, and West Nusa Tenggara were 35.82%, 21.15%, and 23.0% respectively. The proportion for the three provinces in aggregate term was 28.77%.

In 2020, the productivity of small-scale farmers per working day (2.3.1*) in the three provinces in aggregate was USD 36.30 PPP or IDR 172,183 (based on the conversion rate of the World Bank for 2020: 1 USD PPP = IDR 4,743,337). The productivity of small-scale farmers (in PPP) in West Java, East Java and West Nusa Tenggara were USD 43.58, USD 28.52, and USD 20.15, respectively.

In 2020, the average net income of small-scale agriculture producers (2.3.2*) in West Java, East Java and West Nusa Tenggara provinces (in PPP) was USD 683.37, USD 573.83 and USD 466.73, respectively. The aggregate net income of small-scale farmers in the three provinces was USD 641.97 or around IDR 3.05 million, according to the conversion rate of USD PPP to IDR) as stated above.

b. Agriculture labor productivity

Data on agricultural value added per labor or agriculture labor productivity was only available for agricultural sector as a whole, not by sub-sector. For the 2015-2019 period, the agriculture value added per labor indicated a quite high upward trend, and reached 8.5%/year. The value added in 2015 was IDR 41.20 million, increased each year afterward, and in 2019 was IDR 56.78 million. The COVID-19 pandemic has an impact on the agriculture labor productivity, in 2020 the value added was down by around 2.6% from the previous year to IDR 55.33 million (Figure 4.37). This decline shows that the agriculture sector was affected by the pandemic. In 2020, agricultural GDP could still grow positively by 1.75%, but lower than the previous year's 3.6%. For comparison, in 2019 and 2020 the national GDP growth rates were 5.02% and -2.07%, respectively




Figure 4.37 Agriculture labor productivity, 2015-2020 (IDR million)

Source: Statistics Indonesia

Note: *) Preliminary figures; **) Figures are very provisional



The 2019 agriculture value added data disaggregated by province indicated two interesting findings. First, the agriculture value added amongst 34 provinces had a very wide gap, the highest was around 300% and the lowest was 15% from the national value added. Second, the value added did not have a pattern based on geographic location (Java and outside Java or western and eastern Indonesia) or the level of the agriculture sector development (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2 Agriculture labor productivity (agriculture value added / number of labors in agricultural sector) by province, 2019-2020

No	PROVINCE	AGRICULTURE LABOR PRODUCTIVITY			
		2019 (IDR million)	2020 (IDR million)	Growth 2019/18 (%)	Growth 2020/19 (%)
	INDONESIA	56.78	55.33	14.2	-2.54
1	North Sulawesi	84.04	324.31	8.26	285.9
2	North Kalimantan	151.82	415.61	12.15	173.8
3	Gorontalo	92.89	169.80	9.86	82.8
4	Central Kalimantan	59.64	105.95	8.94	77.7
5	West Sulawesi	64.50	89.91	4.67	39.4
6	West Nusa Tenggara	41.75	50.11	4.48	20.0
7	Papua	20.12	21.61	1.99	7.4
8	Riau	156.28	165.19	4.51	5.7
9	Bangka Belitung Islands	65.32	67.97	3.35	4.1
10	North Sumatera	69.14	71.40	5.86	3.3
11	South Sumatera	35.96	37.03	5.47	3.0
12	Jambi	78.00	79.0	3.74	1.3
13	DI Yogyakarta	32.66	32.86	4.30	0.6
14	DI Aceh	59.90	58.69	4.63	-0.0
15	Maluku	43.83	42.13	7.70	-3.9
16	North Maluku	39.51	37.78	5.54	-4.4
17	Central Jawa	45.00	41.86	3.30	-7.0
18	East Java	41.70	39.54	3.17	-5.2
19	East Nusa Tenggara	25.66	23.66	6.48	-7.8
20	Bengkulu	47.28	42.99	6.71	-9.1
21	West Papua	66.75	60.69	6.80	-9.1
22	West Sumatera	64.73	47.96	2.25	-10.5
23	DKI Jakarta	96.61	85.41	3.11	-11.6
24	Lampung	63.24	55.35	4.33	-12.5
25	Banten	66.92	56.69	4.07	-15.5
26	Bali	74.55	62.01	6.10	-16.8
27	West Jawa	64.26	52.42	9.57	-18.4
28	Riau Islands	114.32	88.57	1.51	-22.5
29	Central Sulawesi	72.56	48.07	3.45	-33.8
30	West Kalimantan	37.07	21.29	9.08	-42.6



No	PROVINCE	AGRICULTURE LABOR PRODUCTIVITY			
		2019 (IDR million)	2020 (IDR million)	Growth 2019/18 (%)	Growth 2020/19 (%)
31	Southeast Sulawesi	72.20	41.29	4.81	-42.8
32	South Kalimantan	42.44	23.86	6.06	-43.8
33	East Kalimantan	161.98	82.07	3.00	-49.3
34	South Sulawesi	77.99	10.16	3.33	-86.9

Source: Statistics Indonesia.

The three provinces with the highest added value (about three times the average) were East Kalimantan, North Kalimantan, and Riau whose agricultural sector was dominated by the plantation sub-sector with limited labor supply (relatively small population density). Meanwhile, the three provinces with the lowest value added, namely DI Yogyakarta, East Nusa Tenggara, and Papua had different characteristics of the natural resource endowment and the agricultural development stage. DI Yogyakarta had a relatively small agricultural land area, a fairly developed agricultural sector, was dominated by the food crop and horticultural sub-sector, had a high population density, and the accessibility to location was very high. Meanwhile Papua and East Nusa Tenggara has ample agricultural land and waters, low population density, relatively low economic accessibility, and the agriculture sector was characterized by smallholder plantations and livestock.

The country agriculture productivity in 2020 decreased -2.54% from the previous year to IDR 55.33 million, meaning that the COVID-19 pandemic has an impact on decreasing added value. The 2020 disaggregated by province showed the dynamics of growth that need further analysis. In the condition of pandemic that caused agricultural GDP growth to decline from 3.6% in 2019 to 1.75% in 2020, agriculture labor productivity in 13 provinces increased while in the 21 other provinces decreased, with wide ranging of growth rates. The growth in added value in North Sulawesi Province was 285% and North Kalimantan was 174%, and in the other four provinces grew over 20.0%.

Meanwhile, value added in South Sulawesi grew negatively by -87% and in West Kalimantan, Southeast Sulawesi and East Kalimantan showed a negative growth that was greater than -40% (Table 4.2). This 2020 agriculture value added growth phenomenon need further study, even though one can relate this as an impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

In conclusion, the agriculture labor productivity (agricultural value added per agricultural labor) in a province is not influenced by its geographic location, but is influenced by the following factors: (i) progress (modernization / utilization of innovative technology) in agricultural business, (ii) balance of workforce availability and employment opportunity in agriculture, (iii) employment opportunities in the non-agricultural sector, and (iv) shocks from external factors such as pandemics or other disasters. This last factor can have a positive or negative effect on added value or productivity of agricultural labor.

4. ENSURING SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE SYSTEM.

a. Proportion of productive and sustainable agricultural area

The indicator 2.4.1, namely the proportion of sustainable productive agricultural area was designed to measure the implementation of a productive and sustainable food production system. Measurement of this indicator consist of three dimensions, 11 themes and for each theme one sub-indicator is assigned. The three dimensions of the sustainability include (i) the



economic dimension with the themes of land productivity, profitability and resilience; (ii) environmental dimensions with the themes of soil health, water use, fertilizers pollution risk, pesticides risk and biodiversity; and (iii) the social dimension includes decent employment, food security and land tenure. The sustainability assessment for each sub-indicator is classified into three categories, namely (i) desirable (according to the principle of sustainability), (ii) acceptable, and (iii) unsustainable. Based on the 2.4.1 indicator calculation formula, the proportion of productive agricultural area is determined by the lowest percentage for one of the 11 sub-indicators that falls into the criteria as 'desirable' and 'acceptable' based on the sustainability criteria.

Data for indicator 2.4.1 is in the process of being developed by BPS, through the implementation of the Integrated Agricultural Survey (SITASI), which is targeted to be completed in 2021. In this context, in 2020 BPS will carry out piloting in 3 provinces (West Java, East Java and West Nusa Tenggara, covering the food crops, horticulture, plantation, and livestock sub-sectors.

The preliminary results of piloting in the 3 provinces indicate that the proportion of sustainable productive agricultural areas is around 10.28%. In more detail, the temporary piloting results show that 8 of the 11 sub-indicators fall into the 'desirable' and 'acceptable' categories, meeting the sustainability principle of more than 90%. The eight sub-indicators are: profitability (97.13%) and resilience (91.84%) in the economic dimension; soil fertility (95.35%) use of water (91.40%) and biodiversity (99.45%) and use of pesticides (98.49%) on environmental themes; and food security (99.79%) and land ownership (98.06%) on social themes (Table 4.3).

Table 4.3 Proportion of productive and sustainable agricultural land for the combined of three provinces of West Java, East Java and West Nusa Tenggara, 2020

DIMENSIONS AND THEMES	PROPORTION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND BASED ON AGRICULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY CATEGORY			
	DESIRABLE	ACCEPTABLE	SUSTAINABLE (2+3)	UN-SUSTAINABLE
Economic Dimensions				
Land productivity	8.12	2.16	10.28	89.72
Profitability	32.05	65.08	97.13	2.87
Resilience	90.33	1.52	91.84	8.16
Environmental Dimensions				
Soil health	91.37	3.98	95.35	4.65
Water Use	87.24	4.16	91.40	8.60
Fertilizer pollution risk	30.37	9.46	39.83	60.17
Pesticide risk	36.21	62.28	98.49	1.51
Biodiversity	77.43	22.02	99.45	0.55
Social Dimensions				
Decent employment	65.44	0.00	65.44	34.56
Food security	99.66	0.13	99.79	0.21
Land tenure	82.52	15.55	98.06	1.94

Source: Statistics Indonesia (2021)



b. Proportion of sustainable agri-food land

Indicator of the proportion of sustainable agricultural land area (2.4.1(a)) is a national indicator as a proxy for global indicator, designated as sustainable agri-food land. This indicator refers to The Law Number 41 of 2009 on The Protection of Sustainable Agri-food Land (PLP2B). The stipulation of the sustainable agri-food land (LP2B) is carried out by each district/city government through a regional government regulation. Considering that rice is the most important staple food for Indonesian and the commodity has social, economic, environmental, and political values, at present Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture records this indicator with prioritizing for rice field area.

In the period 2012 to 2020, 110 out of 514 districts and cities have issued The District/City Government Regulation on Stipulation of LP2B, covering 28 out of 34 provinces in Indonesia. The cumulative designated LP2B up to 2019 was 1,974,415 hectares, most of which were rice field as categorized as technical, semi-technical, simple, and rural irrigated; reclaimed land, tidal and non-tidal swamps; and rain fed land. Based on the Ministry of Agrarian and Spatial Planning stipulation, in 2019 the total area of paddy fields were 7.46 million hectares. By using this rice field area as the denominator, the proportion of P2LB in 2019 was around 25.6%. This figure was much lower than the target of the proportion of LP2B for paddy fields of 50% (Ministry of Agriculture Strategic Development Plan 29020-2024 Revision I).

5. SUPPORTING FACTORS FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

a. Management of plant genetic resources

Indicator 2.5.1 is the number of accessions of plants and animal genetic resources for food and agriculture stored in facilities, both for the medium and long terms conservation. However, the availability of information was only for the plant genetic resources. Since more than 15 years ago, the Indonesian Center for Agricultural Biotechnology and Genetic Resource Research and Development (ICABIOGRD) of the Ministry of Agriculture has managed plant genetic resources for food and agriculture both in conservation facilities (Gene bank) and in the fields.

In 2015 information on plant genetic resources was not yet available. In 2019, it was reported that the number of collection of plant genetic resources in the medium and/or long terms conservation facilities were 10,900 accessions consisting of 32 plants; as many as 8,400 accessions in the form of seeds and 2,500 stored as crops in the field. From those amount, a total of 4,594 accessions was registered. In 2020 the genetic resource being registered was 5,246 accessions, an increase of 652 accessions.

b. Proportion of livestock at risk of extinction

Another indicator of target 2.5 is the proportion of livestock classified according to the level of risk of extinction, namely (i) at risk, (ii) not at risk, and (iii) unknown risk. There is only one livestock considered to be at risk of extinction in Indonesia, namely the Gembrong Bali goat, whose population in 2013 was only 28 heads. The definition of risk of extinction is if a livestock family has ≤ 100 productive females and ≤ 5 productive males. By referring to the total number of Indonesian local livestock reported to the FAO Domestic Animal Diversity-Information System (FAO DAD-IS) were 216 families, in 2019 the percentage of domestic livestock at risk of extinction was 0.46%, the rest were not at risk. The Ministry of Agriculture has responsibility and taken actions to prevent the extinction of Gembrong goats.



In an effort to increase the provision of superior animal genetic resources for food, until 2020 the Ministry of Agriculture has released 16 types of livestock, consisting of five local chickens, four family ducks, three family sheep, two family rabbits, goats and beef cattle respectively. These superior livestock have been distributed to small-scale breeders to increase protein source production with higher productivity and better characteristics of food products.

c. Food price anomaly

Target 2.c in Goal 2 is to adopt measures to ensure the functioning of commodity markets, including limiting the extreme volatility of food prices. This is measured by the indicator 2.c.1*: food price anomalies (IFPA) which is the normalized difference of the compound growth rate of prices from its historical mean for a predetermined period of time. Basically, the IFPA identifies market prices that are abnormally high. The movement of food prices is classified as normal if the IFPA is less than half the difference from the mean, or: $-0.5 \leq \text{IFPA} < 0.5$; moderately high if $0.5 \leq \text{IFPA} < 1$; and abnormally high if $\text{IFPA} \geq 1$.

In 2019 the national IFPA were 0.21 and in 2020 increased more than double to 0.43; however, both indexes were felt into the normal category. Based on the data disaggregated by the provincial capital city, in 2020 as many as 24 out of 33 capital cities had the IFPA in the normal category, while the provinces belong to the abnormally high category were found in two capital cities only, namely Banjarmasin City of South Kalimantan Province (1.35) and Ambon City of Province Maluku (1.14). The rest (seven provincial capital cities namely Banda Aceh, Bandung, Denpasar, Kupang, Palangkaraya, Manado and Mamuju) were in the moderately high category. It can be concluded that the volatility or movement of national food prices had been maintained at a normal level. The government has been implementing the policies to stabilize supplies and prices of strategic commodities, namely rice, corn, soybeans, shallots, red chilies, sugar, cooking oil, beef, chicken meat and chicken eggs..

B. CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. CHALLENGES

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has been very broad in terms of economic, socio-cultural, education, health, and food and nutrition aspects. This pandemic has disrupted the performance of the food system, starting from the provision, access and consumption of food. The group most affected by this pandemic was the population with the lowest 40% income group (bottom two quintiles), including vulnerable groups such as pregnant and lactating women, children, and people with disabilities. Therefore, during this pandemic it is necessary to ensure the availability of sufficient nutritious food and equitably distributed to meet basic nutritional needs, especially for vulnerable segment of population.

The pandemic has also caused wide disparities in health care and posed challenges in providing adequate health personnel, medical equipment and medicines as well as relatively high health expenditure. Therefore, it is necessary to strengthen coordination, harmony, financing and accountability. It requires political commitment and simultaneous action in various sectors, as well as substantial investment and strengthening of data systems. (GNR 2020)



Research carried out by SMERU (2020) related to the impact of COVID-19 in Indonesia, identified several challenges faced in developing food and nutrition security, including the need to expand the focus of policies beyond stunting to address the three burdens of malnutrition (malnutrition, over nutrition, and lack of micronutrients); promoting a balanced diet through the communication of social change and community behavior; increasing access to diverse and nutritionally balanced foods through the development of a diversified, resilient and nutritionally sensitive food system; ensure social protection programs target those most in need so that no one is left behind; tackling gender inequalities to improve food and nutrition security; and strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems to improve food and nutrition security policies and programs.

One of the government food policies is that the fulfillment of food staple is prioritized from domestic production by utilizing resources optimally and innovative technologies. Some of the problems and challenges faced in food production are:

1. The conversion of agricultural land to other uses takes place continuously which reduces the capacity to produce food; while expansion of agricultural land is limited to sub-optimal land which must be managed according to the principle of sustainability;
2. Degradation of the quality of water resources and competition in water use with the industrial sector is increasing;
3. Agriculture sector is dominated by small-scale farming (an average agricultural land and rice field are 0.5 ha and 0.2 ha, respectively), increasing proportion of aging farmers, and the farmers have limited access to technology, financial sources, and market information;
4. The frequency and intensity of extreme climate change events is getting higher, which will reduce the productivity of food crops and increase the risk of crop failure;

5. Logistics and food distribution infrastructures are not evenly distributed, especially in eastern Indonesia;
6. The proportion of food loss and waste is still sizable, around one third of national production; and
7. Regional (province, district) food reserves and village and community food barns have not been fully developed in every region.

Problems and challenges in the aspect of food affordability can be both physical and economic in nature. Physical affordability is still experienced by people in remote areas and small and outer islands whose access to transportation modes is still limited. Communities in eastern Indonesia face this problem more than those living on the islands of Java and Sumatera. Economic affordability is related to the purchasing power or household income, which can be identified by the poverty rate. In 2020 (March) the number of the poor was 26.42 million people or 9.78% of the total population. They will find difficult to fulfill their food needs, both in term of adequacy in quantity and quality (balance nutrition and safe).

The problem of food consumption is related to various factors, including (a) low purchasing power of households to buy sufficient and quality food, (b) household food consumption patterns has not yet referred to the balance nutrition pattern, consumption of animal food, vegetables and fruit is still low and needs to be increased (c) low level of knowledge of household heads on food and nutrition, (d) less optimal use of local food, and (e) parenting and child-rearing practices. The high prevalence of stunting and wasting among children under five is closely related to the factors mentioned above. Nutritional problems in children under five years of age are also affected by poor environmental conditions such as low access to improved drinking water and sanitation services, and low access to health facilities.

The challenges faced in reducing malnutrition



in children under five are very complex. This is related to: (a) high poverty rates, (b) low food security at the family level, (c) low maternal and child health services, (d) low immunization coverage, (e) sub-optimal feeding practices of children under five, (f) low family education which results in limited ability to access information on good nutrition, (g) poor parenting practices, (h) low access to improved drinking water and sanitation services, and (i) limited coverage of social protection in malnourished families.

2. ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

Referring to the 2020-2024 National Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMN) document (Presidential Decree Number 18 of 2020), achieving Goal 2 to end hunger is one of the priorities. Of the seven National Priority (Prioritas Nasional/PN) development agendas in the 2020-2024 RPJMN, there are two PN that support the achievement of Goal 2, namely:

1. National Priority 1 (PN-1): Strengthening economic resilience for quality and equitable growth. NP-1 activity components that are directly related to the achievement of SDDGs Goal 2 is Priority Program 3 (PP-3): Increasing the availability, access and quality of food consumption. Priority 3 Program consists of 5 Priority Activities, namely: (1) Improvement of Food Quality, Consumption, Safety, Fortification and Biofortification of Food; (2) Increasing Availability of Seafood Food Products to Maintain Stability of Supply and Prices of Basic Needs; (3) Increasing Sustainable Productivity of Human Resources (HR) Agriculture and Market Certainty; (4) Increasing Productivity, Sustainability of Agricultural Resources and Agricultural Digitization; and (5) Improving Food System Governance. There are 26 targets achievement indicators for NP-1, six of which are also indicators of SDGs Goal 2, namely: percentage of PoU (2.2.1 *), prevalence of FIES (2.1.2 *), DDP score (indicator 2.2.2 (a)), added value/agricultural labor (2.3.1 *), acreage of sustainable agri-food land of aw paddy fields (2.4.1 (a)), and number of conserved pant genetic resources (2.5.1 *). Other indicators from PP-3 that also have a direct and real effect on the achievement of the Goal 2 targets include the achievement of energy and protein sufficiency, the level of consumption of meat, protein from livestock, fish and vegetables and fruit, and production, as well as the availability of important staple foods in the consumption pattern and food economy in the country.
2. National Priority 3 (PN-3): Increasing quality and competitiveness of human resources. NP-3 activity components that are directly related to the achievement of SDG 2 are PP-3: Fulfillment of Basic Services. Of the 29 indicators for the achievement of this activity component, two of them are also indicators of Goal 2, namely the prevalence of stunting (2.2.1 *) and prevalence of wasting (2.2.2). Several other indicators are also related to the achievement of SDGs Goal 2, such as prevalence of infant mortality, maternal mortality, and percentage of complete basic immunization.
3. In PN-1 there is one Major Project (MP), namely the Food Estate (FE) or the Food Production Center Area. The MP FE is an agricultural productive area with a minimum of 2,000 hectares that is cultivated for the polyculture food (various kinds) developed using an agroecological approach. The development of the MP FE is an effort to accelerate the addition of food production to increase national food reserve and the welfare of farmers. The MP FE development is carried out with an integrated, modern, and sustainable practices, by implementing a farmer corporate scheme and encouraging public and private/state own enterprises investment, while the role of the government is as a trigger by providing basic infrastructure development.
4. The implementation of the Food Estate Major Project is carried out using a Thematic, Holistic, Integrative and Spatial (THIS) approach. Food estate development (KSPP) is carried out with



upstream-downstream aspects, namely geospatial, on-farm and off-farm integrated, using the principles of sustainability, integrative, resilient, inclusive, advanced and modern. Furthermore, the management of food estate (KSPP) is directed through the formation of a farmer corporation.

The FE development is carried out by implementation of agriculture mechanization, efficient water management, and innovative agricultural technologies to increase the cropping index or intensity, productivity and food production. The implementation of the FE has started in Central Kalimantan (Kapuas Regency and Pulang Pisau Regency) on swamp land, North Sumatra (Humbang Hasundutan Regency) on upland dry land, East Nusa Tenggara (Central Sumba Regency) on dry land and rain fed land, then will also be developed in South Sumatra and Papua (Ministry of Agriculture 2021a).

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted a slowdown in growth or even created economic recession in various countries in the world, including Indonesia in 2020. Responding to these problems, the Government Work Annual Plan for 2021 was refocused to speed up the livelihood and economic recovery that was affected by the COVID-19 pandemic (Presidential Decree No. 122/2020). This Government Annual Work Plan 2021 is named "Accelerating Economic Recovery and Social Reform". The priority of this annual work plan 2021 is to strengthen economic resilience with a focus on opening up labor-intensive employment opportunities, strengthening the food system, restoring cooperative and micro, small and medium enterprises, industry and trade, tourism and the creative economy, and increasing investment (Bappenas 2021).

Several important programs and activities to be carried out starting in 2021 which are closely related to the achievement of Goal 2 are: revitalizing the food system, meeting market needs, increasing value added, and restoring

agricultural-fisheries employment. Activities that are closely related to the efforts in achieving the Goal 2 are:

1. increasing online supply chain activities and strengthening food logistics, including strengthening fisheries cold chains and salt distribution systems;
2. fulfillment of domestic needs and export demand for food products, including high value fishery and marine products;
3. increasing labor-intensive activities in the fields of agriculture, fisheries and maritime affairs while still complying with health protocols;
4. protection for fishermen, fish cultivators and salt farmers;
5. utilization of electronic food data integration to support planning and control of access and quality of food consumption;
6. assistance for intermodal food distribution in production base areas and access to consumer markets;
7. food assistance households experiencing food insecurity;
8. unconditional cash assistance for pregnant women and those with children in order to fulfill nutritional adequacy for low-income groups.
9. increasing agricultural productivity in dry land (dryland and upland) and utilization of underutilized land, such as in plantations;
10. development of Food Estate or Food Production Center Areas;
11. development of integrated triple helix of cattle farms and collaborative innovative research; and
12. development of Indonesian National Standard biofortified rice, herbal medicine and kratom.

One of the focuses on the Government's 2021 Work Plan to support the achievement of TPB/SGs 2 is the Revitalization of the National Food System. The revitalization of the national food



system, among others, emphasizes several things: i) strengthening the production capability/capacity and availability of domestic food in a more sustainable manner to meet the needs/demand for quality and safe food; ii) facilitation of conducive environment for the development of local food industrialization; iii) stabilization of food access; iv) strengthening farmer corporations and food distribution efficiency; and v) strengthening the quality of food assistance for food insecure households.

One of the National Priority Projects (Major Project) 2020-2024, namely Strengthening Business Guarantees and 350 Farmer and Fisherman Corporations. The development of farmer and fisherman corporations aims to increase the productivity of agricultural products, increase added value, and increase farmers' income and welfare. The formation of corporations is directed at strengthening farmer institutions and the capacity of farmers to have an entrepreneurial spirit so that they can increase the income of small farmers. The implementation of farmer corporations involves various parties, both from activities and funding schemes.

Furthermore, to accelerate the improvement of community nutrition, the Government has issued Presidential Regulation (Perpres) Number 42 of 2013 on the National Movement for the Acceleration of Nutrition Improvement. This National Movement is a joint effort between the government and the community through raising the participation of stakeholders in a planned and coordinated manner to accelerate the improvement of community nutrition. The priority of this movement is the first thousand days of life (1000 HPK), which starts from the conception to the age of two years. This movement indicated a strong commitment of the Indonesian government to join the global Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN) network, which is a joint initiative of many countries that realize the importance of good nutrition is the best investment for the future. The government's high commitment to reducing stunting was also demonstrated through the preparation of the

National Strategy for the Acceleration of the Prevention of Stunting. With this priority, it is expected that the stunting rate in children under five years can be reduced more quickly.

In order to accelerate and synergize the actions of promotive and preventive efforts to live a healthy life, a Presidential Instruction (Inpres) Number 1 of 2017 concerning the Healthy Lifestyle Community Movement (Germas) was issued. Related to the achievement of SDGs goal 2 targets (targets 2.1 and 2.2), this Inpres directs 15 ministers, governors, and regents/mayors to take actions on the provision of healthy food and acceleration of improvement of community nutrition. For example, the tasks were as follows: Minister of Health to improve education regarding balanced nutrition and exclusive breastfeeding; Minister of Public Works and Public Housing to facilitate the provision of improved drinking water and sanitation services in public facilities

Meanwhile, to improve food security and consumption of fruit, vegetables and fish, Minister of Agriculture was instructed to monitor the safety and quality of fresh food, and to increase domestic fruit and vegetable production by promoting the use of home yard land; Minister of Marine Affair and Fisheries to increase and expand the Movement to Promote Fish Eating Habit (Gemarikan) to the community at large and to supervise the quality and safety of fishery products; and the Minister of Trade to increase the promotion of healthy food and beverages including domestically produced vegetables and fruit. Meanwhile, the regents/mayors were instructed to carry out activities to utilize the home yard to grow vegetables and fruit.

In order to provide a reference for the central government, regional governments, and stakeholders to improve food security and sustainable nutrition, Presidential Decree Number 83 of 2017 concerning the Strategic Policy on Food and Nutrition was issued. The scope of this Presidential Decree includes the strategic policies and national action plans for



food and nutrition; monitoring, evaluation and reporting; and funding. The strategic policy regulates food availability, food affordability, food utilization, improvement of community nutrition, and strengthening of food and nutrition institutions.

Addressing those challenges requires a comprehensive strategy, with strong leadership to mobilize all stakeholders, both at the national and local levels. For this reason, a National Strategy for the Acceleration of Stunting Prevention has been prepared, to accelerate two main interventions, namely specific and sensitive nutrition interventions. Resource mobilization is carried out in an integrated manner to ensure convergence of program activities in the field.

Policies and programs that support the achievement of Goal 2 are written in various line ministry documents and implemented by involving various stakeholder components. These policies and programs are written in the 2020-2024 strategic plan of related ministries, especially the Ministry of Health (Regulation of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia Number 21 of 2020), Ministry of Social Affairs (Regulation of the Minister of Social RI Number 6 of 2020), Ministry of Agriculture (Decree of the Minister of Agriculture Number: 760.1/Kpts/RC.020/M /11/2020), and the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries (Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 17/PERMEN-KP/2020).

In the Ministry of Health strategic plan, there are two programs that support the achievement of Goal 2. First, the Public Health Program with the goal to increase the availability and affordability of public health services. Activities in this program are directly related to the achievement of target 2.2, namely (a) family health guidance (health services for pregnant women, newborns, and children under five), (b) community nutrition development (nutrition surveillance, management of malnourished children at the community health center/ Puskesmas, and exclusive breastfeeding), and

(c) environmental health (monitoring the quality of drinking water services and management of food hygiene). Second, the Disease Control Prevention Program with the target of reducing communicable and non-communicable diseases, as well as improving mental health. Activities that are directly related are health surveillance and quarantine, with a target achievement indicator for children aged 0-11 months receiving complete basic immunization at 95% (special attention is given to Papua and West Papua provinces), children aged 18-24 months receiving advanced immunization rubella measles by 95%.

The achievement Goal 2 for target 2.2 in the Ministry of Social Affairs strategic plan is pursued through (i) the Family Hope Program, which is designed to enable beneficiary families to access health services and to provide mentoring on the importance of adequate nutrition intake during pregnancy and child development; and (ii) basic food program aims at increasing the food availability in the low-income households.

Activities directly related to the achievement of Goal 2 for target 2.1 and 2.2 in the Ministry of Agriculture strategic plan is designed in the Availability, Access and Consumption of Quality Food Program. Detail activities of this program are as follows: (1) food availability, consist of enhancement of agricultural infrastructure and facilities, plant pest management and mitigation of the climate change impacts on the agriculture, provision of post-harvest infrastructures, and availability of strategic food; (2) food affordability related to increasing capacity of food distribution institutions and food insecurity handling; and (3) food utilization related to ensuring food quality and safety and increasing supervision of the safety of traded fresh food.

In the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries strategic plan, there are strategic programs to increase the availability and consumption of



fishery products as a source of animal protein, namely: (a) Fisheries and Marine Management Program, with the aim of increasing the productivity of capture fisheries and aquaculture (fish, seaweed) and (b) Value Added Program and Industrial Competitiveness with the target of increasing per capita fish consumption by the community.

The government prioritizes efforts to fulfill the community's needs for food from sustainable domestic production, which is translated into policies and programs to increase variety of food production. This effort has two objectives, to provide sufficient food for all according to the principles of balanced nutrition, and at the same time food producers who are mostly small-scale farmers earn decent income. The number of small-scale farmers were 27.68 million households, about 10.34% were female-headed households (Statistics Indonesia, SUTAS 2018). The development of the food system also intensively involves private business actors and State-owned Enterprises (BUMN) as well as community groups along the food supply chain for various commodities. Several policies, programs, implementation in the field, including partnership cooperation between stakeholders can be identified as examples of Best Practices in achieving Goal 2.

EMPOWERMENT OF SMALL-SCALE FARMERS

Empowerment of farmers, especially those with small scale farming is one of the keys efforts in increasing sustainable food production and increase farmers welfare. Most of the government agricultural development programs have a small-scale farmers empowerment component, including for female farmers. One example of the best practices of the agricultural development with an empowering farmers component is the Rural Empowerment for Agricultural Development Scaling Up Initiatives (READ-SI) project, executed by the Agency for Agricultural Extension and Human Resource in partnership with the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). The main objective of this project is to improve the prosperity of Indonesian smallholder farmers (Box 4.7).

BOX 4.7

IMPROVING THE PROSPERITY OF SMALLHOLDER FARMERS

Increasing income of small-scale farmers is one of the objectives of agricultural development in order to increase food production and availability, improve food security and nutrition, and reduce poverty in rural area. One of the government's projects to achieve this goal is the Rural Empowerment for Agricultural Development Scaling Up Initiatives (READ-SI) project implemented in rural Sulawesi (14 districts in

South Sulawesi, Central Sulawesi, Southeast Sulawesi and Gorontalo provinces), West Kalimantan (2 districts) and East Nusa Tenggara Timur (2 districts) for the 2018-2023 period.

The development objective of this project is to empower individually and collectively rural households with the skills, confidence and resources to sustainably improve their farm and non-farm incomes and livelihoods. The



three main expected outcomes are: (i) Improved household incomes and livelihoods; (ii) critical services and input markets in program districts are sustainably improved in terms of quality, relevance, availability and accessibility; and (iii) supportive policy and institutional framework for smallholder agriculture

The project is targeting poor and near poor farmers both men and women, who have potential to generate economic returns from agriculture; active/demonstrating farmers that act as "agents of change" and including women-headed households who will be included in activities directed at homestead gardening, improved nutrition and financial literacy. The project focus on the proven approach which includes community mobilization that are closely integrated with agriculture and livelihood development.

READSI started in 2018 and in its third year, the project has supported 2,376 farmers group that include 54,413 members where 37% of it are women, mainly represented under the homestead

gardening farmers group, and 40% are identified as youth. This project has supported 1,843 Farmers Field School (FFS) and has trained 35,410 farmers. This project has also started to support 1,457 farmers group in developing farming plan prior to start-up package and/or input distributions and 999 farmers group had open bank account.

Initial data collected on adoption show that on average, the FFS plots had 39% higher yields than ordinary practices. Approximately 48% of the farmers trained were adopting the practices learnt during the FFS. Two major issues to ensure the effectiveness of this project are farmers' access to finance and linking farmers to markets.

READ-SI is already scaling up a number of features from READ, in particular the community mobilization and Village Facilitation model which has proven to be successful. Similar approaches are also being scaled-up in the new IFAD supported UPLANDS project design.

Link: <http://www.readsi.id>

PROMOTING COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN PROVIDING FOOD DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMICS

Food supply in the COVID-19 pandemic situation faces special problems and challenges, because the normal food system activities were disrupted. In April 2020 the FAO warned that the COVID-19 pandemic could have an impact on reducing global food production capacity so that it could trigger a global food crisis. To guard against the emergence of a global food crisis, Indonesian President Joko Widodo directed his cabinet ranks and the public to jointly increase domestic food production to meet the food needs for all people.

Following up on this directive, the Ministry of Agriculture has refocused agricultural development policies and programs, one of which was forming a new program called "Program for Increasing Food Availability in the New Normal Era" as a short-term step in 2020 and continued as a medium-term of agricultural development 2020-2024. This refocusing program to mitigate the pandemic impacts can be seen as one of the best practices. In the program, there are four ways of acting (Cara Bertindak/CB), namely: CB-1 to increase production capacity, including development of the food estates; CB-2 to reduce food diversification by using optimally local food sources, CB-3 to strengthen food reserves at local governments and community levels and develop a national food logistics system, and CB-4 to develop modern agriculture using various innovative technologies. including digital technology and farmer corporation approach. A brief description of the four CBs is presented in the Appendix of Best Practices.



BOX 4.8

PROMOTING FAMILY FOOD RESILIENCE DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC



Responding to President Joko Widodo's direction in anticipating the possibility of a food crisis during the COVID-19 pandemic, several governors and mayors issued an appeal to their communities to prepare themselves in case of a food crisis by planting crops in home yards and other vacant land around the house. Several non-governmental organizations responded to the call, one of them was Bina Swadaya Foundation in partnership with the Catholic Network Against COVID-19 (JKMC), Tribus Magazine and Tribus Shop. This foundation provided agricultural inputs (food crop and horticulture seeds, fertilizers, planting media, and farming equipment) accompanied by online training on farming practices and regular mentoring by social media facilities.

This program was carried out in Depok City and Parung Bogor of West Java; Semarang and Solo of Central Java; Malang of East Java; Lampung; Ruteng, Ende and Larantuka of East Nusa Tenggara from June 2020 to January 2021. The beneficiaries of this program were 881 families including 164 families with disabilities.

A qualitative assessment of this program performance indicated that the target group families were able to farm on home yard empty yards that produce nutritious food (horticulture product) for family consumption. Volunteers had a vital role in empowering these family members, mainly women.

Two lessons learned from implementing this community empowerment are: First, the effectiveness of online training and social media mentoring depends on the availability and quality of internet connections and devices owned by each group and its members. Second, mentoring needs to be very intensive because it is not a direct face to face approach, including by reading the teaching material slowly and equipped with learning videos. This empowerment activity is easy to replicate in various regions and communities throughout Indonesia.

Video of activity: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u_0RISkLv3k



Many private groups and non-governmental organizations have responded also to President Joko Widodo's call for empowering communities to prepare themselves to provide sufficient food during the pandemic. The initiative to empower households that experiencing food insecurity has appeared in several communities. One of those initiatives was from Yayasan Bina Swadaya (Bina Swadaya Foundation). This Foundation mobilized poor families to use their home yards and vacant land around their houses to produce food. The Foundation empowered farmers by distributing seeds, other inputs, and equipment along with training on home garden farming activities (Box 4.8).

The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted the performance of the food supply chains. For vegetable farmers whose products are perishable, the government social restriction policy to prevent the transmission of COVID-19 creates two problems at once, namely demand for vegetables dropped dramatically and the flow of fresh vegetable products from production centers to consumption centers was hampered. A vegetable farmer group in Cianjur District of West Java had taken a creative action by developing online marketing (e-commerce) and taken advantage of a personal approach marketing by contacting local offices, institutions and individuals in nearby cities (such as Bogor of West Java). This effort was able to overcome marketing barriers, although not completely, and created a positive attitude of vegetable farmers to keep their enthusiasm in vegetable farming. This effort is presented as an example of both a quick and creative response in overcoming disruptions or obstacles in horticulture/food marketing (Attachment of Best Practices).

The provision of safe food is an important step to achieve sustainable food and nutrition security. The Indonesian Food and Drug Authority (Indonesian FDA/BPOM) has implemented Community-Based Food Safety Programs in villages, schools and traditional markets. This activity is considered as one of the best practices since developing public awareness on the important of food safety in food production processes and food preparation for the whole family members is a critical aspect to ensure sustainable community food and nutrition security (Attachment of Best Practices).

NATIONAL STRATEGY FOR REDUCING THE PREVALENCE OF STUNTING

The policy to accelerate stunting reduction is formulated in the National Strategy (Stranas). The National Strategy for Stunting Reduction policy is based on Law Number 36 of 2009 concerning Health; Law Number 18 of 2012 concerning Food; Global Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN) Movement in Indonesia which started in 2011; and the 2014-2019 RPJMN and continued in the 2020-2024 RPJMN have included stunting prevention as one of the priorities. In line with that, Presidential Regulation Number 42 of 2013 concerning the National Movement for the Acceleration of Nutrition Improvement (Gernas PPG) and the direction of the President and Vice President at the Ministerial Coordination Meeting on 17 July 2017 decided that it is important to prevent stunting with a multisectoral approach through program convergence in all levels (Box 4.9).



BOX 4.9

NATIONAL STUNTING REDUCTION STRATEGY

The National Strategy (Stranas) To Accelerate Stunting Reduction is based on several important considerations, namely: (1) Indonesian and global evidence and experience related to stunting prevention; (2) to ensure that resources are directed and allocated to support the financing of priority activities, especially for increasing the coverage and quality of nutrition services at the household level in the first 1000 days of life; (3) to ensure that all parties at all levels can work together to accelerate stunting prevention; and (4) to involve line ministries, academics and professional organizations, civil society, the business world and development partners (23 line ministries and private sector and civil society organizations).

The priority targets are pregnant women and children aged 0-2 years old or the first 1000 days of life (HPK) target. Priority interventions are specific and sensitive nutrition interventions. The development of priority areas is as follows: 1000 villages in 100 districts/cities that have a high prevalence of stunting (2018); 1600 villages in 160 priority districts in stages (2019); and all villages in all priority districts/cities (2024).

The five pillars in preventing and reducing stunting prevalence in the National Strategy for Stunting Reduction are as follows:

1. **Commitment and leadership vision**
The goal is to ensure stunting prevention becomes a top priority for the government and society at all levels. To achieve these objectives, the strategies implemented are:
(1) President and Vice President's

leadership for stunting prevention, (2) sub-national leadership for stunting reduction, (3) village head leadership for stunting prevention, and (4) involvement private sector, civil society and communities. The coordinator for the implementation of this pillar is the Secretariat of the Vice President/TP2AK.

2. **National campaign and behavior change communication**

The purpose of this activity is to increase public awareness and change people's behavior to prevent stunting. The strategies implemented include (1) a consistent and sustainable behavior change campaign for the general public, (2) interpersonal communication according to the target context, (3) continuous advocacy to decision makers, and (4) capacity building. The coordinators for implementing this pillar are the Minister of Health and the Minister of Communication and Information.

3. **Convergence, coordination and consolidation of the central, regional and village governments.**

The goal is to strengthen convergence through coordination and consolidation of central, sub-national and village programs and activities. The strategies implemented include: (1)



expanding convergence in planning and budgeting for programs and activities, (2) improving program design and management, and (3) strengthening coordination across sectors and between government and village levels. The coordinators for implementing this pillar are Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas and the Ministry of Home Affairs.

4. Food security and nutrition

The goal is to increase access to nutritious food and promote food security. The strategies implemented include: (1) access to food and nutrition, (2) expansion of social assistance and nutritious non-cash food assistance for underprivileged families, (3) fulfilling food and nutritional needs of families, and (4) strengthening regulations regarding labels and food advertisement. The coordinators

for implementing this pillar are the Minister of Agriculture and the Minister of Health.

5. Monitoring and evaluation

This effort aims to improve monitoring and evaluation as a basis for ensuring quality service delivery, increasing accountability, and accelerating learning. The strategies implemented include: (1) improving the data collection system, (2) using data in results-based planning and budgeting, and (3) accelerating the learning cycle. The coordinator for implementing this pillar is the Deputy Secretariat.

IMPROVING ADOLESCENT HEALTH AND NUTRITION

Improving adolescent health and nutrition is an important component in the development of healthy, active and productive Indonesian people. UNICEF Indonesia in collaboration with the Ministry of Health, Ministry of Education and Culture, and district governments in West Nusa Tenggara and East Nusa Tenggara Provinces is implementing a youth health and nutrition improvement program, which is implemented in 48 secondary and senior secondary schools. This program was appreciated by the local government as demonstrated by replicating this Nutritious Action program with funding from the APBD. The activities and results of this nutritional program are presented in Box 4.10.



BOX 4.10

IMPROVING THE YOUTH HEALTH AND NUTRITION PROGRAM “AKSI BERGIZI”

In collaboration with the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Education and Culture, Ministry of Religious Affairs and the District Government, UNICEF Indonesia has taken proactive steps to tackle the double burden of malnutrition. One of them is through pioneering youth health nutrition in 48 pilot schools - SMP and SMA, madrasah aliyah and SMK. The program, called AKSI BERGIZI, was implemented in 10 districts in West Nusa Tenggara Province and one district in East Nusa Tenggara Province, implemented from January 2018 to March 2020.

Program implementation begins with a qualitative, quantitative and landscape study of nutrition and youth health programs and related policies in Indonesia. The study found a prevalence of severe stunting (30%), wasting (nearly 10%) and anemia (nearly 20 %) among adolescent girls. Consultation workshops with national and local stakeholders were conducted to develop a youth nutrition program that combines three components:

- Support for weekly iron folic acid supplementation (WIFS) targeting adolescent girls.
- Work with local government, school management and teachers to promote the integration of nutrition and physical education into existing structures.
- Development of behavior change communication tools to improve food consumption patterns and physical activity of adolescents, their families and communities.

Implementation in schools begins with training and socialization. Training for trainers was conducted for district facilitators from the school health unit, Bappeda, DEO and district

religious offices. Trained facilitators are then assigned to train teachers and peer support groups. WIFS is conducted in schools through 45-minute weekly sessions consisting of breakfast together and tablet supplementation, and literacy sessions. Socialization activities are held separately as extracurricular activities aimed at socialization and behavior change as well as promoting a healthy school canteen.

The results and results of this program are:

- As of February 2020, the completion of the three components has reached 80 percent
- The Province of West Nusa Tenggara aligns the program with its flagship health program, the “Golden Generation” of West Nusa Tenggara/NTB (GENTB). The government provided funds to train 22 facilitators from 10 districts/cities, with technical support from UNICEF, in October 2019.
- In 2020 the West Lombok District issues a regulation on adolescent nutrition, and DEO develops a road map and budget for improvement through the “Sister School”. Model schools were instructed to pair up with other schools in the same sub-district and provide guidance.
- Despite the pandemic, West Lombok continues to distribute IFA tablets through peer support groups, based on a circular from DKP. The high completion rate of component 1 is widely recognized at the national and sub-national levels.

Lessons learned from the implementation of this program are: (1) School health units have become the main force driving multi-sectoral coordination and facilitating training



for health workers and teachers; (2) The government needs to adapt program implementation to the different characteristics of public schools, vocational schools and religious schools to ensure effective implementation; and (3) Provincial and district regulations are needed to ensure that programs become priority and receive adequate budget allocations.

Several local governments (Central Lombok, North Lombok and Southeast Lombok of West Nusa Tenggara and 10 districts in East Nusa Tenggara Province) have taken the initiative to replicate this program and allocate budgets for the scaling-up program. Replication should support health and puskesmas and its staff financially for facilitator training and provision of IFAs and training for teachers.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND COMMUNITY INITIATIVES TO REDUCE STUNTING

District/city governments and community institutions recognize the importance of reducing the prevalence of stunting as an investment to build a future Indonesian people who are healthy, active, intelligent, and productive. One effort that is considered as an example of best practice is an initiative by the District of East Aceh, Aceh Province, which has a fairly high stunting rate. The Regional Government of East Aceh District is implementing a program to accelerate the reduction of the prevalence of stunting called Rumoh Gampong (RGG). The main activity of RGG is to increase institutional capacity and human resources through training on stunting (Appendix of Best Practices).

Meanwhile, the Regional Government of Bandung City, West Java Province implemented the OMABA Cooking Center Program: A Bottom-Up Solution in Alleviating Stunting. The main activity of this program is to produce healthy food for consumption of malnourished children, sent by motorbike transportation. This program has succeeded in increasing public awareness of the need to rapidly reduce the

prevalence of stunting. The lesson learned from this program is that cooperation between stakeholders is one of the keys to successful stunting reduction (Attachment of Best Practices).

Non-governmental organizations also play an active role in efforts to reduce stunting. One of the best practices is the Bandung Tangginas Program (Bandung Responds to Stunting with Safe and Healthy Food) from the Bandung City PKK Driving Team and the Healthy Bandung Sehat Forum. The main activities of this program include the socialization of stunting prevention in 30 sub-districts, provision of healthy food intake, capacity building for posyandu cadres, and the blessing of Friday rings. This activity was carried out in partnership with related agencies in the City of Bandung and received funding assistance from several institutions such as the Bandung City Baznas, Bandung City IZI, and Sharia Pegadaian (Attachment of Best Practices).

One other best practice carried out by community organizations is the Stunting-Free Village Program, which is implemented by Rumah Zakat. The main activity of this program is strengthening the capacity of village health cadres to maximize efforts to fulfill nutrition and



reduce the factors that cause infection; with the target beneficiaries are toddlers, pregnant women, and breastfeeding mothers. One of the results of this program is the increased awareness of the community and cadres about the nutritional needs of children under five and the ability to process complementary foods according to nutritional needs (Attachment of Best Practices).

C. POLICY RESPONSE

Eliminating hunger requires comprehensive efforts from all stakeholders. The role of local government, the private sector and the community is very important, in order to achieve the targets and indicators of the SDG 2. Cooperation in the form of partnerships within the framework of the food system is a form of effort that will accelerate the achievement of the various indicators suggested. The problems faced in achieving Goal 2 in the next five years are even more severe because they are exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Strengthening the implementation of various programs/activities as described above is necessary so that the targets that have been set can be achieved while still paying attention to the principles of sustainable development. For this reason, the participation of all components of stakeholders to ensure the realization of this is urgently needed, through synergies in investment, equal partnerships, and business efficiency. In this context, the government's role is to act as an advocate through the issuance of policies that are conducive to stakeholder participation and basic infrastructure investment.

Indonesia continues to strengthen to improve the nutritional status of the community by formulating various policies as follows:

1. STRENGTHENING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 2020-2024 NATIONAL MEDIUM-TERM DEVELOPMENT PLAN/RPJMN

The policies for accelerating nutrition improvement in the 2020-2024 RPJMN include: a) accelerating stunting reduction by increasing the effectiveness of specific interventions, expanding and strengthening sensitive interventions in an integrated manner; b) increasing lifesaving interventions supported by evidence based policy including fortification and multiple micronutrient administration; c) strengthening advocacy, and social and behaviour change communication, especially encouraging the fulfillment of balanced nutrition using food based approach; d) strengthening the nutrition surveillance system; e) increased commitment and assistance in nutrition improvement interventions at the regional level according to local context; and f) rapid response to improved nutrition in an emergency. Planning and budgeting neds to be assured for the implementation of these policies (Bappenas: RPJMN 2020-2024).

2. STRENGTHENING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GOVERNMENT WORK PLAN 2021

Responding to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on slowing economic growth and disrupting progress towards achieving food and nutrition security, in the 2021 Government Work Plan (RKP) (Presidential Decree Number 122 of 2020), the theme of development in 2021 is directed at the development process for recovery that is affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, namely "Accelerating Economic Recovery and Social Reform". Important programs/activities to be carried out starting in 2021 which are closely related to the achievement of Goal 2 is: revitalizing the food system, meeting



market needs, increasing added value, and restoring agricultural-fisheries employment. Activities closely related to efforts to achieve Goal 2.

3. STRENGTHENING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NATIONAL STRATEGY TO ACCELERATE STUNTING REDUCTION

The government and other stakeholders have formulated a National Strategy for Stunting Reduction under the Leadership of the President and Vice President of the Republic of Indonesia. There are five pillars in preventing stunting, namely: 1) Commitment and leadership vision, 2) National campaigns and behavior change communication, 3) Convergence, coordination and consolidation of central, regional and village programs, 4) Food and nutrition security, and 5) Monitoring and Evaluation. It is necessary to guarantee the planning and implementation of the five pillars in the annual plan both at national and sub-national level (Secretariat of the Vice President of the Republic of Indonesia: National Strategy for the Acceleration of Stunting Prevention for the Period 2018-2024).

4. STRENGTHENING THE SUB-NATIONAL MEDIUM TERM DEVELOPMENT PLAN/RPJMD

At the provincial and district levels, nutrition improvement has been integrated into the Sub-national Medium-Term Development Plan (RJPMD). In its translation, the implementation of RJPMD needs to be continuously guarded to ensure its continuity in the annual planning and budgeting.

5. STRENGTHENING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR FOOD AND NUTRITION/RAN-PG AND SUB-NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR FOOD AND NUTRITION/RAD-PG

For planning that involves various sectors, both at the central and sub-national levels, an Action Plan for Food and Nutrition has been prepared both at the national level (the National Action Plan for Food and Nutrition (RAN-PG)) and at the provincial and district level (the Sub-national Action Plan for Food and Nutrition (RAD-PG)). The RAN-PG and RAD-PG period are five years in accordance with the RPJMN and RPJMD. The preparation of RAN-PG and RAD-PG is coordinated by the Ministry of National Development Planning Agency/Bappenas at the central level and the Regional Development Planning Agency/Bappeda at the sub-national level. As of December 2020, there are 31 Provinces and 212 districts/cities that already have RAD-PG (Bappenas: Directorate for Health and Community Nutrition). As an integrated planning document between sectors, it is necessary to monitor and evaluate RAN-PG and RAD-PG effectiveness.

6. STRENGTHENING THE CONVERGENCE EFFORTS TO ACCELERATE STUNTING REDUCTION

The National Strategy for Stunting Reduction, coordinated by the Office of the Vice President and Bappenas, has formulated various efforts to accelerate stunting reduction through convergence efforts carried out from the central and regional levels. This convergence action is the government's effort to ensure that all interventions are received by 1,000 HPK households, both from central and regional funds. In 2018 there were 13 line ministries/agencies which supported the reduction of stunting with a budget of IDR 24 trillion. Furthermore, it will increase in 2019 to 18 line ministries/agencies with a budget of IDR 29 Trillion, increasing again in 2020 to 20 line



ministries/agencies with a total budget of IDR 39.8 Trillion (Bappenas: Directorate for Health and Community Nutrition). In terms of convergence actions at the sub-national level, 358 regional heads have signed commitments, 175 districts/cities have established teams to accelerate stunting reduction, 140 districts/cities have drafted regulations, and 93.85 percent of convergence actions have been carried out at priority loci (Ministry of Home Affairs, 2020). The progress that has been achieved needs to be maintained in the future.

7. STRENGTHEN THE NATIONAL MOVEMENT FOR THE ACCELERATION OF NUTRITION IMPROVEMENT

Indonesia has joined the global movement to accelerate stunting reduction (Scaling Up Nutrition/SUN Movement). A forum for the National Movement for the Acceleration of Nutrition Improvement has been established for coordination and fortify cooperation between the government, business, community organizations, academics, professional organizations related to food and nutrition, and the UN system. SUN coordination in Indonesia is led by Bappenas. From 2014 to 2020, the coordination forum conducted a joint evaluation to assess the progress of 4 processes, namely: 1) bringing all stakeholders in the same actions, 2) ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework, 3) ensuring activities can be carried out to achieve agreed results together, and 4) monitor funding and resource mobilization. Each process consists of several indicators, given a score of 0 to 4, where a score of 0 (Not started), a score of 1 (Started), a score of 2 (Ongoing), a score of 3 (Nearly completed) and a score of 4 (Completed). The process evaluation shows significant progress, if in 2014 the score was mostly 2, then in 2020 most of the scores were 3. (Bappenas: Directorate for Health and Community Nutrition). A number of networks have also shown their involvement in the regions in order to accelerate efforts to improve nutrition in accordance with the mandate of Presidential Regulation No. 42

of 2013 concerning the National Movement for the Acceleration of Nutrition Improvement (Gernas PPG), including strengthening the national campaign for behavior change. At the end of 2021, the Nutrition for Growth (N4G) Summit will be held in Tokyo and all countries are directed to renew their 5-year commitments to be reported in the Global Nutrition Report (GNR) until 2025.

8. STRENGTHEN THE MULTI-STAKEHOLDER COOPERATION IN EFFORTS TO IMPROVE COMMUNITY NUTRITION.

In order to strengthen the national campaign and communication on behavior change, a Presidential Regulation no. 42 of 2013 concerning the National Movement for the Acceleration of Nutrition Improvement (Gernas PPG) was enacted. Strengthened efforts as described above are expected to have an impact in accelerating the stunting and wasting reduction, supported by clear and measurable nutrition improvement policies in the RPJMN, the 2021 Government Work Plan, and the RPJMD serve as a basis for annual planning. Furthermore, the RAN-PG and RAD-PG are agreements between sectors and constitute a more detailed description of programs and activities of the RPJMN and RPJMD. The agreement between these sectors needs resources mobilization to finance every program and activity. The budget needs to be "earmarked" to ensure sufficient funds are available to support specific and sensitive nutrition interventions. To carry out the nutrition program properly, multi-stakeholder cooperation needs to be strengthened in line with the principles of the SUN movement of regular monitoring and evaluation. Strong leadership at every level of administration as well as a focused and continuous campaign are also essential. It will enable the country efforts to gradually achieve the target of nutrition improvement.



Goal 3

Good Health and Well-being

Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3 aims to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all ages. This goal is achieved if the health system runs properly, including quality and equitable health services, the fulfilment of health workers, pharmaceuticals, and medical equipment, strengthening governance, financing, community empowerment, and health information systems. The COVID-19 pandemic has been disrupting the health system. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the achievement of health development targets had shown progress in improving maternal, child, family planning, reproductive health services, and the fulfilment of health workers, pharmaceuticals, and medical equipment. The COVID-19 pandemic restricts health workers from providing health services and hinders the supply chain for the availability of pharmaceuticals and medical equipment. Thus, it impacts the achievement of health development targets.

The 2021 VNR will discuss the progress of several Goal 3 indicators related to Target 3.1 on maternal health, Target 3.2 on infant mortality rate, Target 3.3 on HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, malaria, and neglected tropical diseases, Target 3.4 on risk factors for non-communicable diseases, Target 3.5 on alcohol consumption, Target 3.7 on access to reproductive healthcare service, Target 3.8 on universal health coverage, Target 3.b on access to affordable essential medicines and vaccines, Target 3.c on the distribution of health workers, and Target 3.d on

health emergency preparedness.

Since 2020, the achievement of the SDG targets has experienced a slowdown or decline due to the COVID-19 pandemic. During the VNR preparation, Indonesia had confirmed more than 1.7 million cases of COVID-19. Despite the consistently decreased number of new cases in January-April 2021, the threat of an increase in subsequent cases remain exists, especially with the increasing population mobility during the Eid Mubarak holiday in 2021 and the entry of new virus variants that has resulted in the increasing transmission in European and India countries. Therefore, the Government continually improves pandemic countermeasures through case searches followed by contact tracing and isolation/quarantine (test, trace, treat - 3T), implementation of health protocols, including maintaining distance, wearing masks, and washing hands, large-scale social restrictions, and vaccinations.

As part of the efforts in increasing 3T, the Indonesian Government, in this case, the Ministry of Health, has issued a regulation that allows the use of antigen rapid diagnostic tests as a modality for examining and diagnosing people with suspected COVID-19 and close contact with confirmed cases, especially in areas with limited access to reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) tests (Decree of the Minister of Health 3602/2021). Social restriction effort had evolved from the implementation of Large-Scale Social



Restrictions (PSBB), which began in March 2020 to the Limitation of Micro-based Community Activities (PPKM Mikro). Currently, 20 provinces are designated as PPKM Mikro areas, developing from seven provinces when it was first established in February 2021 (Instruction of the Minister of Home Affairs No.7 of 2021).

The Government plans to obtain at least 426 million doses of vaccine by the first quarter of 2022. At the time of the review preparation, the Government secured around 280 million doses of vaccines from Sinovac, Novavax, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and the Gavi/Covax donated vaccine for distribution by the end of 2021. To achieve the main goal of reducing morbidity and mortality rate due to COVID-19, the Indonesian Government has prioritized health workers, the elderly, and public officials with the total target of 40 million people to receive the first batch of vaccinations, and followed by the vulnerable groups and other communities in the second batch. The total target of the COVID-19 vaccination to achieve herd immunity approximately requires 181.5 million people. The first dose of vaccination was given to nearly 12.5 million people, and more than half of them also received the second dose of vaccination; nearly all health workers received two doses of vaccination. The procurement of vaccines has been affected by limited global supplies and export restrictions by producer countries, therefore affecting national vaccination targets.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

1. MATERNAL HEALTH

a. Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR)

The latest data of the Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR) could only be obtained from the 2010 Population Census, and the 2015 Intercensal Population Survey (SUPAS). Likewise, the latest data of the Under-five Mortality Rate, Infant Mortality Rate (IMR), and Neonatal Mortality Rate (NMR) had not yet been obtained as data collection of the 2020 Population Census has not been completed. Meanwhile, the Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS) will be implemented in 2022.

Maternal Mortality Rate at the end of the 2015 MDGs implementation was declared as an unfinished agenda, decreasing from 346 in 2010 to 305 maternal mortality per 100,000 live-births in 2015. In formulating policies and alternative interventions that effectively achieve the MMR target, information on the causes of maternal mortality is required. Based on the 2018 Sample Registration System (SRS), the most common causes of maternal mortality included hypertension in pregnancy, amounting to 31.9%, bleeding, sitting at 26.9%, and non-obstetric complications, reaching 18.5% (Ministry of Health, 2018). If hypertension in pregnancy is detected earlier, it can be controlled, so that maternal death can be prevented.

An unintended pregnancy at a young age has a major risk of death or adversely affects the baby being carried (Chasanah, 2017). This is the main factors behind the Government's decision to raise the marriage age. Additionally, one of the key strategies for reducing MMR are ensuring that trained health workers assist every delivery and that the delivery takes place in health facilities, and strengthening the Family Planning Program (KB).



b. Proportion of deliveries assisted by trained health workers or in health facilities

A higher proportion of births by ever-married women aged 15-49 attended by skilled health personnel, or delivered in a health facility, reduces the risk of maternal mortality. During the 2015-2020 period, the proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years with last delivery assisted by trained health workers, such as trained doctors, midwives, or nurses increased consistently from 91.51% in 2015 to 95.16% in 2020 or increasing approximately 0.6 percentage point annually (Figure 4.38). Meanwhile, 87.91% of Indonesian women aged 15-49 years gave birth in health facilities. Thus, the target of the 2020 National Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMN) to achieve delivery in health facilities of 87% had been achieved. The COVID-19 pandemic certainly has impacts on access to health services. However, the proportion of deliveries assisted by trained health workers or carried out at health facilities consistently increased during the pandemic in 2020.




Figure 4.38 Proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years with last delivery was assisted by trained health workers; or carried out in health facilities (%), 2015-2020
Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

In 2020, in contrast to complete coverage in Special Region of Yogyakarta and near-complete coverage in Central Java, Bali, Bangka Belitung Islands, Riau Islands, and Special Capital Region Jakarta, fewer than 80% of births in Maluku and Papua were attended by skilled healthcare personnel (Figure 4.39). Meanwhile, the coverage of this indicator was still under 90% in Central Kalimantan, West Kalimantan, West Papua, East Nusa Tenggara, and North Maluku. The proportion of deliveries assisted by trained health workers in West Java and Banten Provinces remained below the national average (95.16%), reaching 93.00% and 91.79%, respectively, lagging behind compared to other provinces in Java Island which coverage were above 98%.

Gaps also occurred in the proportion of women who gave birth in health facilities, especially in the Provinces of Maluku, Southeast Sulawesi, Central Kalimantan, North Maluku, and Papua, the achievement remained under 70%. Indonesia is a large archipelago, and disparities between various communities living in different areas present a challenge in ensuring equal access to health services across regions (National Research Council, 2013). Underdeveloped regions should be prioritized for interventions.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.39 Proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years with last delivery was assisted by trained health workers or carried out in health facilities (%), 2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

Education levels also play essential roles in maternal healthcare-seeking behaviour. Figure 4.40 reveals the disparity in the proportion of deliveries assisted by health workers between women with different educational backgrounds in 2020. The number of women with primary, secondary, or higher levels of education whose last delivery assisted by trained health workers was higher than those who did not attend school. The gap between women with the highest education level and those who did not attend school was 18 percentage points. The disparity also occurred in the proportion of women who gave birth in health facilities, with a gap of approximately 25 percentage points between women with the highest education levels and those who did not attend school. It indicates the importance of investing in women's education to increase access to childbirth assisted by trained health workers and in health facilities (Biswas, Ananna, & Bhowmik, 2020).




Figure 4.40 Proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years with last delivery was assisted by trained health workers or in health facilities (%) based on maternal education level, 2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia



Striking disparities can also be identified between urban and rural areas in Indonesia, although the trend suggests positive developments for both areas and the achievement gap has also continued to decline. However, the proportion of deliveries assisted by trained health workers in rural areas in 2020 was five percent lower than that in urban areas (Figure 4.41). It might be attributed to the limited number of health workers in remote and rural areas and the additional costs for the community to access maternal healthcare services (Biswas, Ananna, & Bhowmik, 2020). Meanwhile, the proportion of women who gave birth in health facilities indicated a wider rural-urban achievement gap of 14 percentage points by 2020. Uneven infrastructure development was one of the driving factors of the disparity in access to health facilities for childbirths between rural and urban areas (National Research Council, 2013).




Figure 4.41 Proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years with last delivery was assisted by trained health workers or in health facilities (%) by residence, 2015-2020
Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

Household expenditure provided positive effects on the proportion of women whose deliveries were assisted in health facilities; the higher the expenditure level, the higher the proportion of deliveries in health facilities. However, the 2015-2020 data suggest that disparities between expenditure groups decreased every year. The reduction of the gap between economic status might be one of the National Health Insurance (JKN) and Maternity Assurance Programme or Jampsel contributions. In 2020, the proportion of women who gave birth in health facilities reached 96.09% in the top 20% expenditure group, 90.33% in the middle 40% expenditure group, and 83.26% in the bottom 40% expenditure group (Figure 4.42). This data is consistent with previous studies indicating that socioeconomic status affects the use of maternal health facilities (Wulandari, Putri, & Laksono, 2020).

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.42 Proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years with last delivery in health facilities (%) based on expenditure groups, 2015-2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

In the group of people with disabilities, the proportion of women whose last delivery was assisted by health workers increased every year and reached 92.82% in 2020. Meanwhile, in the same year, 83.77% of women with disabilities gave birth in health facilities. The Ministry of Health has issued Guidelines for the Implementation of Reproductive Health Services for Adults with Disabilities, which basically establishes reproductive health service standards for persons with disabilities equal to those for non-disabled people. Exclusively, several adjustments should be made in the service provision, for instance, how to interact, measurement techniques, and examination techniques that need to be adjusted to the conditions of various disabilities (Ministry of Health, 2017).

The gaps in indicator achievement of the proportion of deliveries assisted by health workers or in health facilities occurred in nearly all disaggregated data, indicating the need for interventions to strengthen and create equitable distribution of quality health facilities and infrastructures for maternal healthcare services.

2. INFANT MORTALITY RATE (IMR)

The Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) shows a decrease from 32 (2012) to 24 per 1,000 live births (2017). The latest IMR data from the 2020 Population Census is still in the data collection progress so it is difficult to measure progress.

The 2018 SRS data shows half of the infant mortality rate was neonatal deaths with common causes of deaths are intrapartum complications, mounting to 27.4%, respiratory problems, sitting on 22.3%, and low birth weight and prematurity, reaching 20.7%. The 2030 SDGs target of reducing IMR to 21 per 1,000 live births remains a challenge because the complex causes of newborn mortality are closely related to the condition of the mother during pregnancy, during delivery, and the first month after delivery. Therefore, the quality of health services before and at delivery, and in the first month after delivery is very important. Moreover, immunization has an important role in preventing death in infants and children.



The challenges in efforts to reduce infant and under-five mortality are increasing due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The COVID-19 pandemic has an impact on the disruption of health services for infants and children under five. Diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT3) and measles and rubella (MR1) immunization coverage decreased by more than 35% in May 2020 compared to the same period in the previous year. Service restrictions accompanied by reduced demand due to public fear of being infected with COVID-19 contributed to the decline.

3. COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

a. HIV/AIDS

Indonesia is one of the countries with HIV epidemics concentrated in key populations. In 2020, it is estimated that there were 543,100 people living with HIV and AIDS (PLWHA). From the modelling results, HIV incidence began to show a downward trend, reaching 0.24 per 1000 population in 2018 and is expected to continue to decline to 0.18 per 1000 population in 2024.

The number of HIV positive cases reported tends to increase annually. In 2019, there were 50,282 cases reported, and the number decreased in 2020 with 41,987 HIV case findings during the COVID-19 pandemic. On the other hand, compared to the average of the previous 8 years, the number of new AIDS cases tended to decline, with 8,639 cases reported in 2020. There were as many as six provinces that did not report AIDS data in 2019 which might have contributed to the decline in AIDS cases in 2019. The six provinces were North Sumatra, Riau, South Sulawesi, Gorontalo, West Sulawesi and West Papua.




Figure 4.43 Reported HIV positives and AIDS cases, 2009–2020
Source: Ministry of Health



The cascade of HIV testing and treatment in Indonesia has increased every year, although it is below the 90-90-90 target that Indonesia aims to achieve in 2027 as part of its commitment to end AIDS by 2030. As of December 2020, the coverage of ARV treatment remains limited with only 142,906 or 26% of the estimated PLWHA who are undergoing ARV therapy. To increase this coverage, the Government has adopted a Test and Treat Policy whereby every PLWHA who is diagnosed positive will be able to receive treatment immediately. The Ministry of Health also continues to decentralize HIV treatment services to primary services so that primary services are able to provide HIV treatment. The coverage of PLWHA with suppressed viral loads was only 6% at the end of 2020. The Ministry of Health is working to expand the coverage of viral load testing to all districts/cities in Indonesia. However, stigma and discrimination in society against people with HIV and HIV-vulnerable populations remain one of the challenges.

b. Tuberculosis

Tuberculosis (TB) incidence indicates a steady downward trend during the 2012-2019 period. However, there was a significant decrease in the number of people diagnosed and treated from 568,987 cases in 2019 to 355,553 cases in 2020 (Figure 4.44). It indicates that the COVID-19 pandemic has negative impacts on access to diagnosis and treatment due to enormous pressure on the health system and changes in people's treatment-seeking behaviour (Togun, Kampmann, Stoker, & Lipman, 2020).

Several essential TB services have been used to support the COVID-19 pandemic countermeasures, such as the use of rapid molecular test kits for the SARS-CoV2 virus detection and the assignment of TB program staff to work on the COVID-19-related case. Meanwhile, the initial message targeted to reduce COVID-19 transmission, which encourages the community to stay at home if they are symptomatic of a cough and/or fever, can reduce the detection of new TB cases. This disruption of health services could affect an additional surge in TB deaths in the upcoming five years (Cilloni, et al., 2020).




Figure 4.44 The discovered and treated TB cases as well as the TB incidence per 100,000 of population, 2012-2020
Source: WHO Global TB Report 2012-2020; SITB 2020 per March 1, 2021



The number of tuberculosis cases in men was 1.4 times higher than women in all provinces. On top of that, in Aceh and North Sumatra, the number of cases in men was fairly twice higher than in women (Ministry of Health, 2020). Previous studies indicated that this disparity correlated closely with the high smoking habit of men as one of the risk factors for pulmonary TB (Khairunnisa, et al., 2020). However, other studies also denoted that the low TB case detection in women was due to stigma, low access, and control of women in managing health resources (Rokhmah, 2013).

Tuberculosis cases mostly occurred in the population aged 45-54 years (16.5%) and 25-34 years (15.9%). The high number of Tuberculosis cases in those age groups can impact the loss of productivity. For this reason, it is necessary to strengthen the prevention, early detection, and treatment of multi-drug resistance (MDR) to break the transmission chain and reduce the incidence of Tuberculosis.




Figure 4.45 Distribution of Tuberculosis cases in Indonesia by gender and age, 2019
Source: Ministry of Health

c. Malaria

Although malaria incidence in Indonesia has declined, yet it appears to be stagnant over the past five years (Figure 4.46). The level of malaria endemicity in Indonesia is relatively low, however, the data records three provinces with the highest burden of malaria, namely Papua, West Papua, and Maluku (Figure 4.47). This figure highlights the need to prioritize interventions to control malaria for the Eastern part of Indonesia. Those three provinces have conditions that were favorable for the proliferation of disease vectors, in addition to their low socioeconomic level. Those provinces are also mostly covered by rural areas. These three determinants are proven to be significant causal factors of malaria transmission (Ipa, Laksono, Astuti, Prasetyowati, & Hakim, 2020). As of 2020, 312 districts/cities had received malaria elimination certificates and were in the maintenance/malaria-free transmission stage.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.46 Total confirmed malaria cases and malaria incidence per 1,000 people (Annual Parasite Incidence), 2013-2020
Source: Total Cases in 2013-2019 by World Malaria Report 2020; Total Cases in 2020 by Ministry of Health; Annual Parasite Incidence by Ministry of Health




Figure 4.47 Provinces with the highest malaria incidence per 1,000 people, 2019
Source: Ministry of Health

The COVID-19 pandemic and the Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB) implementation have caused a major disruption to malaria essential services. The initial messages to reduce the SARS-CoV2 transmission entailing people to stay at home if they have a fever potentially interferes with the treatment for a febrile illness such as malaria. Concurrently, the program of prevention campaign implementation before the peak of the malaria transmission season (which is likely to coincide with the peak of COVID-19 cases) needs to be adjusted to fit the restrictions for COVID-19 prevention (World Health Organization, 2020). Such disruptions could lead to a significant surge in malaria mortality by up to 36% over the next five years if the mitigation measure is not executed (Hogan, et al., 2020).



d. Leprosy

Nationally, Indonesia has achieved leprosy elimination since 2000, while elimination at the district/city level is targeted to be achieved by 2024. The number of districts/cities with leprosy elimination increases from 375 in 2016 to 401 in 2020. Nonetheless, this achievement is still lower than the 2020 target of 416 districts/cities.




Figure 4.48 Number of districts/cities with leprosy elimination, 2016-2020
Source: Ministry of Health

One of the biggest challenges in eliminating leprosy in Indonesia is the low level of public knowledge. The stigma of leprosy as a curse, a hereditary disease, or a result of witchcraft complicates treatment efforts (Warsan, Ngatimin, & Natsir, 2012). Breaking the chain of leprosy transmission through early detection (source of infection) followed by appropriate treatment is a principal factor in achieving the elimination status for districts/cities. Accordingly, the rate of new cases can be reduced as low as possible (<1 per 10,000 population).

e. Filariasis

Indonesia has also attained progress in stopping the filariasis epidemic. Most districts/cities, especially filariasis endemic areas, have participated in the filariasis elimination program. There were 10,681 filariasis cases spread across 34 provinces in 2018. This number indicated a decrease compared to 2015 with 13,032 cases. The five provinces with the most chronic filariasis cases in 2018 were Papua (3,615 cases), East Nusa Tenggara (1,542 cases), West Java (781 cases), West Papua (622 cases), and Aceh (578 cases). Meanwhile, the province with the lowest number of cases was DI Yogyakarta (3 cases). Cumulatively, 64 out of 236 filariasis endemic districts/cities had achieved elimination status in 2020. This number increased from 18 districts/cities in 2015. Nevertheless, this number had not yet obtained the target of 80 districts/cities by 2020.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.49 Endemic filariasis districts/cities numbers achieving elimination status, 2015-2020
Source: Ministry of Health

The elimination was achieved through Mass Drug Prevention Program (POPM) for at least five consecutive years in endemic areas with a minimum coverage of 65% of the total population in order to terminate the transmission of filariasis. The province where all filariasis-endemic districts/cities have achieved elimination status is Banten. Meanwhile, there remains no progress in filariasis elimination status in the provinces of Riau Islands, Lampung, Central Java, West Kalimantan, East Kalimantan, North Kalimantan, Maluku, and West Papua since they are still in the middle of performing POPM interventions or in the post-POPM surveillance stage.




Figure 4.50 Distribution of filariasis-endemic districts/cities and their elimination status, 2020
Source: Ministry of Health



4. RISK FACTORS FOR NON-COMMUNICABLE DISEASES (NCDs)

The major cause of the surge in the NCDs' cases number in Indonesia is the increase in risk factors such as hypertension, hyperglycemia, and obesity due to unhealthy and unbalanced diets, lack of physical activity, and smoking habits.

a. Hypertension

The prevalence of hypertension declined from 31.70% in 2013 to 25.8% in 2016, then increased to 34.11% in 2018. This data implied that there were 60 million people aged 18 years and above with hypertension. In 2018, the hypertension prevalence in women was higher than men, 36.85% and 31.34%, respectively. The hypertension prevalence in urban areas (34.43%) was slightly higher than in rural areas (33.72%).

b. Obesity

The obesity prevalence of the population over the age of 18 had increased from 10.3% in 2007 to 15.4% in 2013 and 21.8% in 2018. The highest obesity prevalence was found in the group of 40-44-year-old (29.6%) and 45-49-year-old (28.6%) in 2018. The obesity prevalence in women was twice higher than in men (29.3% and 14.5% respectively) and the figure was higher in urban areas than in rural areas (25.1% and 17.8%, respectively).

c. Alcohol Consumption

The trend of alcohol consumption in the population aged ≥ 15 years was fluctuating. It decreased from 0.48 (2015) to 0.33 (2016), increased to 0.54 (2017), and then declined to 0.39 litres per capita (2020). Such phenomenon can be encountered in both rural and urban areas. The alcohol consumption of the population in rural areas is almost three times higher than that of the population in urban areas.




Figure 4.51 Trends in alcohol consumption (liters per capita) by population aged ≥ 15 years, 2015-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia



d. Smoking Behavior

The population proportion of smokers over 15 years old tend to fluctuate, it revealed a decrease from 30.08% in 2015 to 29.25% in 2017, increased to 32.20% in 2018, and decreased to 28.69% in 2020. The smoker proportion in rural areas was liable to be higher than in urban areas. In 2020, the number of male smokers was much higher (56.38%) than female smokers (1.07%). Moreover, smokers were also dominated by youths aged 16-30 years (25.70%), followed by seniors over 60 years (23.51%).




Figure 4.52 Smoking Percentage in Population Aged ≥ 15 Years by Residential Area, 2015-2020
Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia (BPS)

5. REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

a. The Total Fertility Rate (TFR)

The Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS) shows the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) declined from 2.6 in 2012 to 2.4 children per women of reproductive age aged 15-49 years in 2017. TFR was higher for women who live in rural areas (2.6) than in urban areas (2.3); with a lower level of education (not attending school) (2.7) compared to those accomplishing a diploma/tertiary education (2.3), and from the lowest 40% of economic level (2.8) compared to the top 20% (2.1).

Indonesia aims to have the balance population growth and the TFR is targeted to reach a replacement level of 2.1 by 2024. At the provincial level, there had been 2 (two) provinces reaching the replacement rate, namely Bali and East Java. Meanwhile, the TFR of the other 22 provinces were above the national average with the highest rate in East Nusa Tenggara, 3.4 children per woman of reproductive age.




Figure 4.53 Total Fertility Rate (TFR) by province, 2017
Source: IDHS (Statistics Indonesia and National Population and Family Planning Board)

b. Adolescent Birth Rate

The adolescent birth rate/Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) for ages 15-19 years showed a downward trend from 48 births per 1,000 women (2012) to 36 births per 1,000 women in 2017 (IDHS). In rural areas, the adolescent birth rate was twice higher than in urban areas with 51 births per 1,000 women and 24 births per 1,000 women, respectively. Moreover, the adolescent birth rate of women from the lowest 40% of household economic level was six times higher than those coming from the top 20% of household economic level. The adolescent birth rate tended to be lower as women's education increases.




Figure 4.54 Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) aged 15-19 years, 2017
Source: IDHS (Statistics Indonesia and National Population and Family Planning Board)



The IDHS 2017 showed that there were 21 provinces with an adolescent birth rate above the national average. The highest adolescent birth rates per 1,000 women were in the provinces of Central Kalimantan (83), Papua (65), West Kalimantan (63). On the contrary, the lowest rates were in the provinces of DI Yogyakarta (15), West Sumatra (16), and DKI Jakarta (20).

c. Demand satisfied for family planning by modern methods

The use of contraception is one of the factors that can reduce fertility rates and the risk of maternal mortality. Although the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) in Indonesia decreased between 2012-2017, but the use of modern contraception in married women of reproductive age who want to delay having children remain unchanged. IDHS shows the percentage of women of reproductive age whose demand satisfied by modern contraception decreased from 79.1% in 2012 to 79.0% in 2017. This pattern was also observed for married women of reproductive aged who use modern contraception. The figure fell from 79.0% in 2012 to 77.1% in 2017.

In 2017, there were 19 provinces with the proportion of women of reproductive age whose demand for family planning satisfied by modern contraception below the national average.




Figure 4.55 The proportion of women of reproductive age (15-49 years) whose demand for family planning satisfied by modern contraceptive methods, 2017
Source: IDHS (Statistics Indonesia and National Population and Family Planning Board)

6. UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE

a. Unmet need for health services

According to Statistics Indonesia (2020)⁹, "Unmet need for health services is the ratio between the number of people with health complaints and impaired activities but do not seek outpatient treatment and the number of the population", expressed in percentage units (%). The activities referred to working, school, or other daily activities. In Indonesia, the unmet need for health services increased

9 <https://sirusa.bps.go.id/sirusa/index.php/indikator/1504>



from 4.66% to 5.44% from 2015-2020. The percentage of the population reporting the unmet need for health services was found to be higher in rural areas than in urban areas (Figure 4.56). The reason for the high unmet need in rural areas may be due to the far distance to health facilities and the limited number of health services. Meanwhile, based on gender, men and women have the same proportion of unmet need for health services. One of the factors that influence the increase of unmet need in the recent years is the low level of public awareness of the importance of health aspect.




Figure 4.56 Unmet need for health services based on the area of residence, 2015-2020
Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia




Figure 4.57 Unmet need for health services based on the education level, 2015-2020
Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia



The higher the educational level, the lower the unmet need for health services. Based on the data of Statistics Indonesia, the unmet need of the group who did not graduate from elementary school was 7.11%, graduated from elementary school (5.90%), junior high school (4.58%), senior school (3.73%), and higher education (3.11%). Household with higher expenditure level tend to have less unmet need for health services. In 2020, the unmet need for health services in the bottom 40% households' group was 5.97%, slightly higher than household in the medium 40% group by 5.54%, almost 2% higher compared to the top 20% group with 4.16%. One of key takeaway from this data is the unmet occur in the households with high economic levels even though the percentage was relatively low (below 5%). The highest unmet need rate was indicated in Central Sulawesi province by 8.67%. The high rate of unmet need for health services might be due to the lack of public understanding regarding health problems¹⁰. Besides, in another province, such as West Nusa Tenggara, the geographical conditions, such as the archipelago area, are the main reason for the high unmet need.

The people with disabilities had three times the higher unmet need rates than people without disabilities groups, with 13.49% in 2018 and increased to 14.41% in 2020. At the same time, the unmet need for people without disabilities reached 4.67% in 2018 and increased to 5.22% in 2020.

■ bottom 40% ■ medium 40% ■ top 20%




Figure 4.58 Unmet need for health services by the expenditure groups, 2015–2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

■ People without disabilities
■ People with disabilities




Figure 4.59 Unmet need for health services by the disabled status, 2018–2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), 2015 Statistics Indonesia

Apart from people with disabilities, unmet need rate for the seniors/elderly group was also considered very high compared to other age groups. Based on 2020 Seniors Statistics data (Statistic Indonesia, 2020c), the trend of elderly group experiencing health complaints decreased from 28.62% in 2015 to 24.35% in 2020. However, 3.88% of them did not take medication, and the other 44.12% did self-medication. The reasons for the elderly group who did not get outpatient treatment were varied, such as 61.36% of them perform self-medication, 32.75% of them feel unnecessary, 2.36% of them have no money, and 3.53% of them stated a different opinion.

¹⁰ <https://sulteng.antaranews.com/berita/104398/pemkot-palu-akui-masalah-kesehatan-masih-jadi-tantangan-pemerintah>




Figure 4.60 Unmet need for health services based on the age groups, 2015-2020
Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

The COVID-19 pandemic harms the community's socioeconomic conditions, increasing the unmet need for health services. Based on the Social Demographic Impact of COVID-19 Survey (Badan Pusat Statistik-Statistics Indonesia, 2020a), held in April 2020, nearly half of the respondents experienced a decrease in income during COVID-19 and also experienced an increase in spending on health services. Furthermore, this proportion was higher among the elderly group by 8.5% than younger group counterpart by 3.8-6%.

More than half of the seniors reported to have lower income during the COVID-19. They also reported that they reduced their spending on health services to compensate the reduction of the income. In addition, the reduction of health expenditure of elderly group was higher compared to the younger group. The reduction of health expenditure because the elderly group reduced the frequency of their routine check-up since they did not have sufficient fund or vehicles to visit the health facilities. They were also afraid of utilizing public transportation, and no one accompanied them. This matter would certainly increase the prevalence of unmet need for health services for the elderly group during COVID-19 period.

b. Household Health Expenditures

The Sustainable Development Goals mandate that every people had equal access to quality health services according to their needs without experiencing financial difficulties by 2030. The Statistics Indonesia (2020) use the ratio of total health expenditure and total expenditure to measure financial health burden for each household. Furthermore, the 10% and 25% threshold are used as cutoff value to define households experiencing catastrophic health expenditures (CHE) in Indonesia.

The proportion of household with CHE (greater than 10%) at the national level decreased from 2.53% in 2019 to 2.23% in 2020. The same pattern can be observed in CHE (greater than 25%) which decreased from 0.53% in 2019 to 0.43% in 2020. The proportion of household with CHE in rural areas were higher compared to the urban area. However, the proportion of household with CHE decreased from 2019 to 2020. This situation occurred since the rural area residents tend to have higher out-of-pocket health expenditures than urban areas (Ziller, 2006, quoted from Lee et al., 2014).




Figure 4.61 The proportion of households with catastrophic health expenditures of
10% and 25% based on residence, 2019-2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

The household expenditure (as a proxy of income) has a positive correlation with large health expenditures in the household. The higher the level of expenditure, the higher the prevalence of health expenditures to the total expenditure. The 2019 data indicated that 1.36% of poor households had health expenditures of more than 10% of the total household expenditures, doubling to 2.74% for middle-class households, and 3.86% for upper-class households. A similar pattern was discovered in health expenditure which was more than 25% of the household expenditures.

Women tend to have a higher health catastrophic expenditure than men. Then, women age more than 60 had a higher health expenditure compared to the younger group. According to Owens (2008), the high health expenditure of women in this age group was due to serious health issues they have. Several diseases such as menopause, the risk of cardiovascular disease, breast cancer, and osteoporosis increase significantly at that age.



Figure 4.62 Proportion of households with health expenditure of 10% and 25% based on
household expenditures, 2019-2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia



The health expenditure of the elderly was considered very high. In 2019, there were 2.04% of population age 0-29 years of old who live in the household with CHE (more than 10%). The percentage of elderly group (more than 60 years old) who experience similar condition were doubled with 4.19%.

The COVID-19 pandemic affects people's health (increase the unmet need rate) and people's socioeconomics condition. The number of unemployed people has increased due to the economic crisis triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic. The open unemployment rate for August 2020 reached 7.07%. It increased by 1.84% compared to August 2019 (Badan Pusat Statistik, 2020b). Besides, the employed population decreased by 0.31 million people from August 2019. This case was very likely to push the households to reduce their health expenditures.




Figure 4.63 The household's proportion with health expenditures of 10% and 25% based on age groups, 2019-2020

Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), 2015-2020

c. National Health Insurance (JKN) Coverage

The National Health Insurance (JKN) is a program based on social security principles and aims to provide an equal high-quality healthcare services to all people. The JKN program has increased its membership coverage every year. Based on data from the Ministry of Health (MoH, 2020a), the total Indonesian population that has been registered as JKN member is 82.51% in 2020, and more than 27 thousand health facilities have formed a collaboration with BPJS Kesehatan (Social Security Administrative Body on Health) to serve JKN participants.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021



Figure 4.64 National Health Insurance Coverage, 2015-2020
Source: Health Profile, Ministry of Health

Figure 4.65 JKN Coverage based on Premium Assistance Beneficiaries (PBI) and non-Premium Assistance Beneficiaries (non-PBI), 2017-2020
Source: National Socioeconomic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia

There were still disparities in JKN coverage at the provincial level. Based on the data compiled from Statistics Indonesia and National Social Security Council (2020), the highest JKN coverage was in Jakarta, and the lowest was in Maluku Province. Meanwhile, women and men had the same proportion of JKN ownership. Provinces with higher the average level of education tend to have the higher the JKN membership. There are significant differences between Premium Assistance Beneficiaries (PBI) JKN coverage based across provinces. The highest coverage JKN-PBI was in Aceh and Papua, while the lowest coverage was in East Kalimantan. It might be due to the level of community education and economic conditions in each province (Figure 4.66)





The COVID-19 pandemic crisis affects national economic conditions. The Indonesian economy experienced a growth contraction of 2.07 percent compared to 2019 (Statistic Indonesia, 2021). As defined above, this situation has increased the number of unemployment and poverty, which can affect the community's ability to pay JKN contributions. However, since the beginning of the implementation of JKN, the Government has committed to helping poor people to access health services through JKN PBI. The number of JKN PBIs during the COVID-19 pandemic crisis reached 34% of the total JKN participants. It increased up to 4% from the previous year. On the other hand, although the Government has allocated a BPJS-Kesehatan subsidy of IDR 2.4 trillion in 2020 (Manafe, 2020), this subsidized assistance has not increased the number of JKN memberships during the COVID-19 pandemic.

7. ACCESS TO MEDICINES AND VACCINES

a. Immunization Coverage

Upper respiratory tract infection and pneumonia are the two main causes of child mortality. Ensuring that infants receive complete basic immunization before reaching one year of old is considered essential for reducing the mortality rate from these two diseases. Based on Basic Health Research data, the complete basic immunization coverage for children aged 12-23 months (once Hb-0, once BCG, three times DPT-3, four times polio or three times IPV, and once measles) decreased from 59.2% in 2013 to 57.9% in 2018. Children receiving DPT-3 immunization decreased from 75.6% in 2013 to 61.3% in 2018. The measles immunization coverage also decreased from 82.1% in 2013 to 77.3% in 2018.

In 2018, complete basic immunization coverage was slightly higher in girls (58.7%) than boys (57.2%). A significant difference occurred between urban and rural areas. The complete basic immunization coverage in urban areas was 7.7% higher than in rural areas. The proportion of children receiving immunizations increased along with the increase in the education level of the household head.



Figure 4.67 Complete basic immunization coverage according to the residence, gender, and the head of the household education, 2018
Source: Basic Health Research (Ministry of Health)



Meanwhile, there remains disparities in immunization coverage, including DPT-3, Measles-2, and complete basic immunization at the provincial level. In 2018, half of Indonesia's provinces had complete basic immunization coverage below the national average, with the lowest coverage in Aceh and Papua, i.e. 19.5% and 29.2% respectively. Experiencing better coverage, Yogyakarta and Bali's complete basic immunization coverage was above 80% during 2013 and 2018.




Figure 4.68 Basic immunization coverage based on the province, 2018
Source: Basic Health Research (Ministry of Health)

b. Essential Medicines Availability in Health Facilities

Access to essential medicines is an integral part of universal health coverage. The access affordability on the essential medicine packages in remote areas can be implemented through the Community Health Centers (Puskesmas) by maintaining supply chains of essential medicines. Each Puskesmas was required to routinely report the availability of essential medicine packages in an independent manner (Directorate General for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment, Ministry of Health, 2020).

The 2015-2019 National Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMN) set an initial target of 77% in 2015 to a final target of 95% in 2019 for Puskesmas that have a core set of relevant essential medicines (20 items). Since 2015, Indonesia has achieved the realization of 79.38% Puskesmas with the availability of essential medicines. In 2019, the realization of Puskesmas with the availability of essential medicines reached 96.34% (Directorate General for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment, Ministry of Health, 2019). The 2020-2024 RPJMN sets the 2020 target for of 85% Puskesmas that have a core set of relevant medicines, consisting of 40 essential drug items. In 2020, there was a decrease in realization achievement by 92.12% as the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted the supply chain of essential medicine packages (Figure 4.69) (Directorate General for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment, Ministry of Health, 2020).




Figure 4.69 Realization achievements of the Puskesmas proportion with essential drugs and vaccines availability, 2015-2020

Source: Directorate General of Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment Reports, Ministry of Health

A decrease in the realization of the Puskesmas with the availability of essential medicine packages occurred at both the national and local levels (Figure 4.70). The biggest decline in realization achievement was observed in Banten, Lampung, and Gorontalo. The imposition of social restrictions affected essential drug logistics both at the national level due to the import process disruption and at the local level due to large-scale social restrictions (Directorate General for Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment, Ministry of Health, 2020). Figure 4.70 indicates that the island region and Kalimantan region can still maintain the realization of the Puskesmas with the essential medicine packages availability up to 100%. Compared to, for instance, Banten, with an achievement of 97.86% in 2019, which decreased to 78.93% in 2020. The assignment of pharmaceutical officers in maintaining the essential medicine packages availability and the drug stock reporting system was also not optimal; thus, it resulted in the decline in the proportion of Puskesmas with the essential medicine packages availability. In terms of quality, the proportion qualified drugs increased from 78.6 (2019) to 90.6% (2020).




Figure 4.70 Health facilities with available and affordable essential drug packages in a sustainable manner based on its region, 2019-2020

Source: Directorate General of Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment Reports, Ministry of Health



8. HEALTH WORKER

Health worker density was calculated with the ratio of total health workers per 1,000 population. In this case, the health workers were categorized as medical personnel (general practitioners, specialists, dentists), nurses, and midwifery personnel (National Development Planning Agency, 2017). In 2017, Indonesia achieved its 2019 target with a ratio of 0.15 for specialist doctors, 0.45 for general practitioners, 1.8 for nurses, and 1.2 for midwives. Several challenges in fulfilling the indicators of health workers density and distribution are the high disparity in each province, particularly in areas outside Java and the field of dentistry, which has not yet met the target ratio of health workers set nationally (Statistics Indonesia, 2020).



Figure 4.71 Health workers ratio per 1,000 population in Indonesia, 2014 and 2017
Source: Ministry of Health

The Ministry of Health reported that there are more female health workers than male workers.

Table 4.4 Number of Health Workers in Hospitals, Puskesmas, and other Health Services according to gender, 2019

PROFESSIONAL GROUP	MALE	FEMALE
Specialist Doctor/General Practitioner	68,900	87,060
Dentist	6,590	23,170
Nurse/Midwife	103,750	452,030
Total	172,650	562,260

Source: Health Profile, Ministry of Health

In 2018, the highest general practitioners' density was in Jakarta with 118 personnel per 100,000 population, followed by DI Yogyakarta and West Nusa Tenggara, i.e. 54 personnel and 51 personnel per 100,000 population respectively. Meanwhile, West Java Province with a large population had the lowest general practitioners' density with a ratio of 7 people per 100,000 population.




Figure 4.72 The general practitioner density distribution per 100,000 population by province in 2018
Source: Ministry of Health

9. HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

International health regulations contain 13 core points, which include: (1) National legislation, policy, and financing; (2) Coordination and NFP communication; (3) Surveillance; (4) Response; (5) Preparedness; (6) Risk Communication; (7) Human Resource; (8) Laboratory; (9) Points of entry; (10) Zoonotic events; (11) Food safety; (12) Chemical events; and (13) Radiation emergency. The World Health Assembly (WHA) assesses the success point of the indicators annually by measuring the country's performance scale based on the 196 entry points.

In 2007, as part of the global community, Indonesia declared its compliance with the implementation of the International Health Regulation (2005). Referring to Presidential Instruction No. 4/2019 on Capacity Enhancement in Preventing, Detecting, and Responding to Disease Outbreaks, Global Pandemic and Nuclear, Biological and Chemical Emergencies, health resilience, in general, can be described as the national resilience capabilities of facing public health emergencies and/or non-natural disasters due to disease outbreaks, global pandemics, and nuclear, biological, and chemical emergencies that may have a national and/or global impact. In 2018, Indonesia achieved an average score of 63 out of 100 for the preparedness of the international health regulations and increased to an average score of 73 in 2019 (Figure 4.73) (World Health Organization, n.d.).




Figure 4.73 Indonesia's Achievement of International Health Regulations Indicators in 2018-2019
Source: WHO State Party Annual Report



B.CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. CHALLENGES

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on the provision of health services, including reproductive health services. The United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) estimates that 47 million women living in low- and middle-income countries will reduce access to reproductive health services, leading to seven million unwanted pregnancies (UNFPA, 2020). In Indonesia, the coverage of antenatal care at the integrated health service post (Posyandu) decreased by almost 50% in the early months of the pandemic and reached the lowest coverage of 45.2% in May 2020. Although it gradually increased, the coverage at the end of 2020 had not yet returned to the pre-pandemic rate (Figure 4.74). This fluctuation in the coverage of antenatal care services was in line with the implementation of the large-scale social restriction in Indonesia.



Figure 4.74 Antenatal care services in Posyandu (%) in 2020
Source: Ministry of Health

The decrease in access to reproductive health services could increase the Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) by 5-17%, while the impact on the absolute number of maternal mortality would be more severe, the increase was estimated to reach 7-75% (UNFPA, 2020). The quality of health services has been identified as a major obstacle to maternal health services in Indonesia, especially in managing emergency cases. The problems encountered include the lack of qualified health service providers, overcrowded health facilities, and inadequate accreditation of health facilities that contribute to poor service quality provided (Brooks, et al., 2017). Therefore, well-equipped healthcare facilities and trained health workers are essential. In addition, the accessibility factor of health facilities also needs to be considered to improve maternal health services in Indonesia.

To reduce the number of maternal mortality, a holistic and sustainable strategy is required. The pattern of maternal mortality causes according to the 2018 Sample Registration System (SRS) indicated that the most common causes were hypertension in pregnancy (31.9%), bleeding (26.9%), and non-obstetric complications (18.5%) % which can be prevented with the good practice of early detection of mother's risk. When hypertension in pregnancy is detected early, the pregnant women can be controlled earlier, and it can prevent maternal mortality. The quality of ANC for early recognition



of maternal risks that should be referred may prevent maternal mortality in advance (IKA, 2019). Subsequently, it is necessary to prepare the nutritional status of mothers and women entirely to tackle the rate of anemia which remains high in the productive age group of women.

Maternal mortality also has a significant correlation with adolescent pregnancy (WHO). In a conservative country such as Indonesia, adolescent pregnancy is strongly related to child and teenage marriage. The COVID-19 pandemic, the rate of early marriage has increased significantly. The reported number of child marriage dispensations granted by the Religious Courts increased from 23,126 cases in 2019 to 64,211 cases in 2020 (Figure 4.75). A pandemic circumstance that requires all family members to limit their activities outside their houses, which has resulted in economic issues of the family and school closures, is thought to be the main factor in increasing child marriage (Cousins, 2020).




Figure 4.75 Number of child marriage dispensation (<18 years old) granted by the Religious Court, 2016-2020
Source: The National Commission on Violence Against Women

Accordingly, further challenges in improving maternal health services to reduce MMR include:

1. improving quality and equal access to primary and referral health services, as well as access to maternal emergency services including human resource competencies, facilities, and Puskesmas that are capable of providing Basic Emergency Obstetric and Neonatal Care (*Pelayanan Obstetri Neonatal Emergensi Dasar/PONED*) and hospitals that are capable of providing Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric and Neonatal Care (*Pelayanan Obstetri Neonatal Emergensi Komprehensif/PONEK*), especially in rural areas;
2. improving reproductive health services for women's and adolescents, as well as the importance of antenatal care and delivery assistance in health facilities for pregnant women;
3. improving the nutritional status of women of reproductive age and pregnant mothers.

For communicable diseases such as Tuberculosis, the average notification rate was 47,000 cases per month in 2019. However, following the COVID-19 pandemic, TB case notifications decreased by 35 percent in the early months of the pandemic. The case notifications started to decrease in March and



hit the lowest point in May. The case notifications began to increase in June but continued to decline in the third quarter of 2020 (Figure 4.76). Services for TB patients were affected due to the reallocation of manpower, financial, and other resources from TB prevention and control to handling COVID-19. For example, Indonesia had reported the use of a GeneXpert machine for COVID-19 testing and the assignment of national TB program staff to COVID-19-related tasks.




Figure 4.76 New and Recurrent TB Case Notifications Rate per month in 2020
Source: WHO Global TB report

Indonesia had made progress in the detection and treatment of drug-resistant TB during the 2010–2019 period. In 2019, 11,463 patients with Multidrug-Resistant/Rifampicin-Resistant (MDR/RR) TB were detected and notified, leading to an increase of 27% from 9,038 in 2018. The number of patients enrolled in the treatment also increased by 20%. However, in 2020, the case detection and the number of patients starting the treatment declined by 20% and 17%, respectively (Figure 4.77). In 2020, only 57% of patients with MDR/RR-TB started the treatment. The following measures are required to address the gap: increasing TB case detection; increasing bacteriological confirmation among patients with TB; expanding coverage of drug resistance testing among patients with bacteriologically confirmed TB; and ensuring that all patients with MDR/RR-TB are enrolled in the treatment (World Health Organization, 2020).




Figure 4.77 Enrollment rate for patients with drug-resistant Tuberculosis, 2010-2020
Source: Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia



A modelling study discovered that disruption of health services during the COVID-19 pandemic could lead to a 20% increase in the death rates due to TB in countries with a high burden of TB disease in the next five years (Hogan, et al., 2020). In line with WHO guidelines, actions taken by the Government to reduce the impact on essential TB services, such as expanding the use of digital technology for remote consultation and support, reducing the necessity for visits to the health facilities by providing preference to home treatment, and providing TB patients with medical supplies for up to one month (Ministry of Health, 2020).

The number of cities/districts that reported the elimination of malaria cases increased every year. In 2020, there were 312 cities/districts that reported eliminating malaria, an increase from 300 cities/districts in 2019 (Figure 4.78). However, this increase had slowed down compared to the previous average increase in cities/districts, which reached 17 cities/districts annually in the 2015-2019 period.




Figure 4.78 Number of districts/cities achieving malaria elimination in 2015-2020
Source: Annual report of the Directorate General of Disease Prevention and Control, Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia

The COVID-19 pandemic along with the social restrictions in an effort to suppress the spread of the SARS-CoV2 virus also disrupted essential malaria services in 2020. Several activities hampered at the beginning of the pandemic were the insecticide-treated bed-net campaign, reallocation of malaria rapid diagnostic kits production for COVID-19 rapid diagnostic kits, and the use of malaria drugs such as chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19 treatment, which could potentially inhibit the malaria diagnosis and treatment. A modelling study by WHO discovered that the disruption of the COVID-19 pandemic could double the death rate from malaria from 2018, or the same death rate 20 years ago (World Health Organization, 2020). These findings were distributed to all countries at the beginning of the pandemic so that the Government could take mitigation measures to properly maintain the implementation of malaria health services.

The challenges faced in eliminating leprosy include the sub-optimal commitment of the districts/cities of leprosy enclaves in prioritizing resources for programs, limited quantity and quality of human resources for leprosy prevention and control, low public knowledge and awareness about leprosy, and the stigma of leprosy sufferers and their families who are affected by leprosy that hinder the case detection. The COVID-19 pandemic affected the delays in surveillance activities for leprosy in the field. The budget refocusing carried out in 2020 for COVID-19 had also made it difficult for some regions

to meet the leprosy-related program targets (Ministry of Health, 2021).

Based on study by National Institute of Health Research and Development, Ministry of Health (Balitbangkes Kemenkes), Filariasis elimination confronted challenges, such as geographic conditions in endemic areas that are difficult to access remote villages and islands and the alleged follow-up events after the POPM, resulting in the decreased community participation in taking the medicine. The COVID-19 pandemic has also affected community-based activities, such as POPM. In addition, budget refocusing on the filariasis prevention and control programs had also led to delays in the implementation of the elimination survey evaluation (Ministry of Health, 2021).

The challenges faced during 1990-2016 including the shift of domination of the disease burden profile from communicable diseases to non-communicable diseases (NCD). Sedentary lifestyles such as obesity, hypertension, heavy smoking habits, and lack of physical activity increase the risk factors of NCD, such as stroke, heart disease, and diabetes.

In addition, the achievement of reproductive health service remains encounter great challenges, considering the varied understanding level of adolescents regarding reproductive health, the suboptimal use of modern contraceptive methods for married women of reproductive age, the high rate of child marriage, and the high rate of unintended pregnancy.

The COVID-19 pandemic crisis potentially impacts all aspects, such as health, economy, social, and culture. Consequently, based on universal health coverage status, Indonesia are in the state of a health emergency. Vulnerable groups-- people with disabilities, children, women, seniors, and people with low income-- are more at risk of being affected by the pandemic. This situation indicates that the national healthcare system is still vulnerable to the shock by the COVID-19 pandemic.

The healthcare system preparedness and the equitable distribution of health workers are required to overcome this issue. The challenges faced in achieving health system stability include:

1. improving access to health facilities that are friendly for pregnant women, children, people with disabilities, and seniors, as well as other vulnerable groups - up to the village level, and
2. increasing low public awareness and knowledge about the importance of maintaining health status.

The immunization program encountered several challenges, including several geographic area that hard-to-access, difference in service quality due to the gaps in the health workers' competence, suboptimal documentation and reporting system, and negative rumors about immunization spreading in the community through various media (Ministry of Health, 2021). The pandemic also affects the coverage of the immunization program. The coverage of DPT-3 and MR-1 (Measles and Rubella) vaccinations decreased by more than 35% in May 2020 compared to the same period in the previous year. This reduction was caused by healthcare service closure coupled with the decrease in public demand due to the fear of contracting COVID-19. Based on the rapid assessment conducted by the Ministry of Health and UNICEF (2020), 55% of Posyandu reported delays in immunization services.

The equitable distribution and availability of essential drug packages in all regions in Indonesia faced many challenges. At the planning stage, most planning allocations were based solely on the consumption models, not on the epidemiological models and disease trends. There were limited capacities of human resources and infrastructure, both at the central and sub-national levels, to report the availability of the essential medicine packages. In addition, social restrictions hinder the distribution period from the request to the fulfillment, in which



some regions may need to wait for six months. (Ministry of Health, 2020).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the logistic distribution had been disrupted since the implementation of social restrictions became a problem in maintaining the availability of the essential medicine packages. The Ministry of Health had issued regulations to maintain the availability of the essential drug packages and asked the Ministry of Transportation to prioritize the distribution of health logistics. As a consequence of task shifting in handling the COVID-19 pandemic, many staff at the Puskesmas in charge of reporting could only report the lists of drugs and vaccines required out of their working hours, for this reason, the reports are in delay. The central pharmacy warehouse opened managerial information to process the input necessities for 24 hours without delay to solve this problem (Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, 2020).

Although Indonesia had met the health workers density ratio on a national scale, Indonesia still held limited health worker resources in terms of quantity, distribution, quality, and limits of authority. Many health workers were under the burden of multitasking and task shifting, affecting the quality health services and limited access to certain health services (Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas,

2019).

Indonesia's geographic locations as an international transport route center make it prone to epidemics of emerging infectious diseases and the potential to the pandemic. Therefore, preventing and reducing the impact of these phenomena has become Indonesia's priority and it is reflected in the National Health Strategy and Action Plan (Rai et al., 2020). The evaluative study results on strengthening the capacity of the Indonesian Port Health Authority (KKP) demonstrated (Kementerian PPN/Bappenas, 2021):

1. 83% of the KKP's facilities and infrastructure remain inadequate to carry out their duties and functions to prevent, detect, and respond to potential diseases and other threats at the entrance.
- 2) 73% of the KKP's human resources have met the standards set. However, in terms of distribution, the types of human resources required do not necessarily match the needs.
- 3) 80% of the KKP's capacity in the sustainable prevention of the COVID-19 pandemic should be supported by supplies and needs for personal protective equipment (PPE), drugs for the COVID-19 control, screening equipment, and confirmatory test equipment.



2. ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

In 2020, to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Government issued Guidelines for Antenatal, Childbirth, Postpartum, and Newborn Care in the New Normal Era (Ministry of Health, 2020). These guidelines include:

1. Prevention of general transmission in pregnancy, childbirth, and postpartum;
2. Readiness of health service facilities in COVID-19 management, including the standard types of PPE used by health workers in providing services;
3. The antenatal care program is divided according to the spread zone of COVID-19 cases using e-registration for appointments and e-consults through online communication media to replace offline programs, such as classes for pregnant women and perinatal maternal audits;
4. Planned referral guidelines for pregnant women with COVID-19 symptoms, and/or with childbirth risk factors;
5. All deliveries take place in healthcare facilities by examining the childbirth risk factors and symptoms or close contact with COVID-19 to determine the health facilities type;
6. The postpartum care program is divided according to the spread zone of COVID-19 cases using e-registration for appointments and e-consults through online communication media for 2-4 visits in low-high risk zones.

In the 2020-2024 RPJMN, the Government includes "Accelerating the Reduction of Maternal Mortality and Stunting" as one of the strategic priority projects. The target indication for MMR decrease amounts to 183/100,000 live births in 2024, while the previous MMR amounted to 305/100,000 live births in 2015, with the following project highlights:

1. Supplementary feeding program

(PMT), micro-nutrient supplementation, Community-led Total Sanitation (Ministry of Health), quality family planning services in health facilities (BKKBN);

2. Holistic-integrative early childhood development and parenting classes (Ministry of Education and Culture);
3. Access to improved drinking water and sanitation services (Ministry of Public Works and Housing);
4. Health operational assistance (Special Allocation Fund (DAK) for Health).

Mitigation measures for the COVID-19 pandemic impacts by the Government in TB services are more clearly regulated in the TB Services Protocol during the COVID-19 pandemic, which include:

1. Measures to prevent the COVID-19 transmission in service delivery;
2. Planning for TB drug needs and other logistics including masks;
3. Mapping and appointment of a temporary referral health facility of drug-resistant TB (TBRO) (separated from the COVID-19 health facilities);
4. Mapping and appointment of other health facilities for laboratory services in the context of TB diagnosis, particularly if the old network needs to be adjusted due to the handling of COVID-19 in the area.
5. Monitoring of TB patients' taking medication using digital technology or a hotline in accordance with local capabilities;
6. Mapping in local community engagement for patient assistance; Community involvement, including nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and civil society organizations (CSOs), is essential in controlling Tuberculosis in the population. This can happen formally in the health services infrastructure (e.g., community health workers/CHWs), or informally, such



as involving family members in patient support. Activities may include awareness raising through education campaign, using CHWs to find people with TB symptoms and refer them to diagnostic and other services, or appointing family members to provide daily support or observation for those taking medicines. (Hadley & Maher, 2000)

Involving trained CHWs in active TB case findings has proven feasible and can be integrated seamlessly with routine practices (McAllister et al, 2017). CSOs can also make impactful contribution. For example, Aisyiah, a faith-based women organization, has been involved in mobilizing local funding for TB in Bengkulu, and at present works in 14 provinces, 130 districts and 2,083 subdistricts nationwide (World Health Organization, 2020).

7. Guidelines for TB patients care and treatment during the pandemic;
8. Guidelines for laboratory services during the pandemic;
9. Strict surveillance to prevent an increase in the loss of follow-up rate during the pandemic.

In 2020, the Government issued the Malaria Service Protocol during the COVID-19 Pandemic Era to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on malaria essential services. The guidelines include:

1. Prevention of COVID-19 transmission in malaria services;
2. Malaria control management by ensuring the need and availability of anti-malarial drugs as well as malaria service logistics at every level, particularly Rapid Diagnostic Test (RDT);
3. Diagnostic and management guidelines of COVID-19 patients with malaria;
4. Malaria surveillance activities that may continue to run, such as surveillance of malaria cases, especially patient detection and epidemiological investigations (PE);
5. The malaria prevention using mosquito nets, including recommendations for adapting the mosquito nets distribution during the COVID-19 pandemic;
6. Regular recording and reporting using Malaria Surveillance Information System (SISMAL)

Efforts to eliminate leprosy are carried out through strengthening leprosy services and surveillance for active case finding and treatment, strengthening advocacy and program dissemination to stakeholders, increasing the capacity of health workers, promoting and educating patients, family, and community to reduce the leprosy stigma, and strengthening partnership networks with stakeholders, including professional organizations (Ministry of Health, 2021).

The strategic efforts made to eliminate filariasis include increasing the coverage of filariasis POPM through the implementation of Lymphatic Filariasis Elimination Month (BELKAGA) every October; accelerating elimination through the use of the three-drug IDA regimen (Ivermectin, DEC, and Albendazole) according to WHO recommendations, intensive Mass Drug Prevention Program (POPM) advocacy and dissemination, and post POPM filariasis surveillance (Ministry of Health, 2021).

NCD prevention and control ought to be a pro-poor policy. The Government systematically takes steps in preventing and controlling, including (a) implementing promotive, preventive, curative, and rehabilitative efforts comprehensively; (b) developing and strengthening surveillance systems; (c) strengthening networks and partnerships through community empowerment; (d) expanding the stop-smoking services; (e) monitoring the distribution and sale of tobacco and alcohol products; and (f) increasing excise and tax on tobacco and alcohol products.



It is required to improve the reproductive health quality, focusing on access expansion, family planning quality, and reproductive health services according to regional characteristics, supported with the optimization of the private sector and government roles through advocacy, education, and counseling; enhancing the competency of family planning extension workers and field officers, field line staff, and health workers in family planning services; strengthening the health service facilities and networks; increasing the postpartum family planning; and increasing knowledge, understanding, and access to adolescent reproductive health services in a gender-responsive cross-sector manner.

Following measures made to increase immunization coverage for children include improving the immunization service quality by increasing the officers' capacity; increasing public awareness and demand generation with a communication strategy based on human-centered design; and strengthening cross-program and cross-sector coordination, including networking with the private sector in terms of services and community mobilization (Ministry of Health, 2021).

Following measures to fulfill the availability and distribution of health workers, especially in remote areas, borders, islands, and areas with health problems are made through the placement of health workers with special assignments for both individuals and teams and the placement of internship doctors. As of December 2020, the number of health workers deployed through the team-based and individual-based Nusantara Sehat scheme amounted to 4,588 and 9,211 health workers, respectively. The health workers deployed consisted of general practitioners, dentists, nurses, midwives, pharmacists, nutritionists, environmental health experts, public health experts, and medical laboratory technology experts (Ministry of Health, 2021). Meanwhile, the number of doctors participating in the 2020 internship reached 10,360 people.

The various scheme of health workers' deployment have contributed to the increase of proportion of Puskesmas with standard types of health workers from 23% (2019) to 39.9% (2020). The proportion of district/city hospitals which have 4 basic specialists and 3 other specialists also increased from 61.7% (2019) to 75.04% (2020)

To improve the competency of health workers, the Government provides sustainable education assistance programs, health professional education assistance for specialist doctors/dentists, education assistance for health workers who have not received their associate's degree, accredited technical and functional training, and internship programs. In 2020, the competencies of 52,013 health workers had been upgraded (Ministry of Health, 2021).

Since the COVID-19 pandemic, the need for functional health workers has increased in various fields and sectors. The COVID-19 epicenter area requires more functional health workers than any other areas. In addition, functional health workers are also required in the preventive, promotive, and curative fields to help accelerate the handling of COVID-19 (Ridlo, 2020). The Government has placed additional 677 health workers at the COVID-19 referral hospitals through the exclusive Nusantara Sehat Program. Apart from the required number of functional health workers, the quality should be continuously improved with accurate information about COVID-19 and its handling.

The lack of capacity related to the geographical location and Indonesia's position as an international transportation center make it prone to epidemics of emerging infectious diseases. Harmonization between agencies should be initiated by the Ministry of Health as reflected with the collaboration between the Ministry of Health and Coordinating Ministry for Political, Legal, and Security Affairs in the development of the National Action Plan for Health Security in 2020-2024.

In addition to the various actions taken by the Government in facing the Goal 3 challenges, various initiatives have also been carried out by institutions, both state and non-state actors, as follows



THE COVID-19 CONTROL PROGRAM INITIATIVE FOR MIGRANT WORKERS

Best practices in the context of COVID-19 resilience and ensuring the health status of vulnerable groups are carried out in several areas by Migrant Care as described in Box 4.11.

BOX 4.11

THE VILLAGE THAT CARES FOR MIGRANT WORKERS RESPONDS TO COVID-19

This program was implemented in Indramayu, Wonosobo, Jember, and Banyuwangi and was initiated by Migrant Care. The COVID-19 pandemic declared by WHO (March 2020) had profound impacts on the migrant worker community, both in destination countries and in their hometowns. Since then, many migrant workers had returned to their hometowns because of the economic contraction due to the COVID-19 pandemic crisis. The Village that Cares for Migrant Workers (DESBUMI) and the local COVID-19 Task Force worked together to minimize the impact of the migrant workers' arrival from abroad. The activities included dissemination about COVID-19, health protocol campaign, preparation of isolation places, as well as sewing masks and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).

Migrant Care conducted online webinars on the data collection of returning migrant workers and the need for access to health. DESBUMI also prepared activities that workers could carry out upon arrival at home, i.e., producing masks and PPE.

Migrant Care noted that 176,000 migrant workers returned to Indonesia and there were no new cases caused by this activity. Apart from being at the forefront of accurate information and dissemination regarding COVID-19, DESBUMI initiators had also contributed to ensuring the availability of masks and PPE. The Ministry of Manpower can replicate this initiative through the Productive Migrant Village (DESMIGRATIF) initiative by sharpening the resilience and recovery of the COVID-19 era.

Source:

1. <https://rri.co.id/daerah/934575/peduli-covid-19-mantan-imigran-bantu-kebutuhan-apd>
2. <https://kumparan.com/ahmad-sayadi/masker-langka-mantan-buruh-migran-di-jember-produksi-masker-kain-1tCZ26Vi23d/full>

INITIATIVE OF MENTAL HEALTH EDUCATION PROGRAM IN SCHOOLS

The COVID-19 pandemic has an impact on the mental health of the younger generation mainly due to the burden of distance learning, Sehat Jiwa Bahagia initiates a program that can be implemented in various regions to maintain mental health resilience as described in Box 4.12.

**BOX 4.12****SEHAT JIWA (MENTAL HEALTH) FOR SCHOOL**

This program was implemented by Sehat Jiwa Bahagia in early 2021, in the form of virtual activities in 10 schools in Indonesia. The COVID-19 pandemic crisis has an impact on the social and psychological activities of the community. Sehat Jiwa considers that psychological ability plays a pivotal role in the future of Indonesian citizens. Since the implementation of Distance Learning (PJJ), many students have experienced burnout and a decline in mental health. Due to this condition, Sehat Jiwa Bahagia provides well-being curriculum-based mental health education which supports better individual development.

During the implementation process, Sehat Jiwa conducted a preliminary survey in 10 schools in Indonesia. The respondents of the pre-activity assessment included teachers and students during the pandemic. Sehat Jiwa provided modules through training to build students' psychological flexibility and offered skills to assist them to maintain their mental health conditions during the pandemic. The module was adapted from the well-being curriculum developed by Sehat Jiwa in 2018 to increase the resilience and psychological well-being of

adolescents in Indonesia. The parties involved included Sehat Jiwa volunteers and facilitators, youth influencers, Talkmore community. It was also sponsored by BNI Indonesia and all students and teachers. Sehat Jiwa collaborated with SDSN Youth, FM Unpad Fair, etc. The beneficiaries covered 1,188 students from 10 schools, including SMA 1 Pariangan Sumatra Barat, SMA Sukma Bangsa Aceh, SMA 77 Jakarta, MAN 2 Probolinggo, SMAN 2 SERUL Papua, SMA Lentera Harapan Ambon, etc.

This activity results indicated that 90 students showed increased knowledge and skills of how to maintain mental health in crises, 90% of students felt helped to relieve stress during the pandemic with mental health education sessions at schools, and 100% of teachers felt helped to understand the mental health conditions of their students and planned the learning activities concerning on psychological conditions.

This program is likely to be replicated in other areas or on a wider scale. It would be better if it is supported by cooperation with the central and local governments.

PROGRAM INITIATIVE FOR THE ACCELERATION OF HIV CONTROL IN URBAN AREAS

Indonesia committed to ending AIDS by 2030. The intermediate target by 2020 was to reach 90% of people with HIV aware of their status; 90% of people aware about their HIV status and accessing treatment; and 90% of people with HIV accessing treatment and decreasing the amount of virus in their blood (viral suppression). The city government's role was considered crucial to accelerate the achievement of these targets. The Fast Track City was then initiated through the Paris Declaration at the end of 2014, and DKI Jakarta Province has become one of the Fast Track cities since 2015 and is still ongoing to accelerate the HIV control. Implementation of this program are described in Box 4.13.



BOX 4.13

PROGRAM INITIATIVE FOR THE ACCELERATION OF HIV CONTROL IN URBAN AREAS (FAST TRACK CITY) IN DKI JAKARTA

In particular, UNAIDS provides technical supports to the Ministry of Health and the DKI Jakarta Provincial Health Office to strengthen strategic information and coordinate multi-sectoral partners implementing HIV programs in Jakarta, including community organization partners. Meanwhile, technical and financial supports for the implementation of programs and services in DKI Jakarta are also provided by various partners, including the Global Fund and the United States Government (USAID).

The parties involved cover all stakeholders in DKI Jakarta, such as the DKI Jakarta Provincial Health Office, Sub-departments in 5 municipalities, public and private health services, non-governmental organizations, communities, and other related partners including the Ministry of Health, USAID, and UNAIDS. The beneficiaries of this program are Most-at-Risk Populations, such as female sex workers, homosexuals, transgender, partners of key populations, injecting drug users. All stakeholders hold regular meetings every three months to discuss progress and challenges in efforts to accelerate AIDS prevention in DKI Jakarta Province.

These activities provide good strategic information to enable the City of Jakarta to design programs and monitor their impact more effectively. To strengthen strategic information, the support provided includes support to estimate and project the HIV epidemic using AEM and Spectrum tools as the basis for policy and program development in DKI Jakarta; development of investment case analysis for DKI Jakarta to perceive the contribution of various intervention models to local AIDS prevention efforts. Supports are also provided to monitor the contribution of local support to local AIDS prevention efforts through a NASA survey.



With the supports of the Global Fund and USAID, the HIV acceleration program in Jakarta has made many new breakthroughs in the STOP approach: Suluh (observe), Temukan (find), Obati (treat), and Pertahankan (keep it up) to stop AIDS by 2030. It can be conducted through community outreach to MARPs communities; offering mobile HIV testing and community-based HIV screening in the community area of each MARP; innovating digital platform for HIV testing agreements (UpdateStatus); providing a digital platform for drug delivery (JakAnter) and specimen transport for the Viral Load test (JakTransport) which brings many benefits, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic to reduce the burden of services in health facilities and minimize exposure to COVID-19 for beneficiaries.

The Ministry of Health and the DKI Jakarta Provincial Health Office have also expanded HIV services, decentralized HIV treatment from hospitals to Puskesmas to bring HIV treatment services to the community, and started HIV treatment early after diagnosis (test & treat). Currently, there are 179 HIV testing counseling services, 116 support and treatment care, and 30 services for the Viral Load TCM test, 33 Early Infant Diagnosis services, and 16 Methadone Maintenance Treatment services that are ready to serve Jakarta and its surrounding areas requiring HIV testing and treatment.

As of December 2020, the data indicate that 96% of People living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) in Jakarta are aware of their HIV status. PLWHA that are currently on ART treatment in Jakarta amount to 40%, this figure is higher than the national average of 26%. Finally, PLWHA with the suppressed viral load

in Jakarta has reached 25%. This figure will be increased constantly by expanding the coverage of viral load testing to all PLWHA.

Learning from experiences in DKI Jakarta, there is a great opportunity for the Program for the Acceleration of HIV Control in Cities (Fast Track City) to be replicated in other HIV priority cities/districts in Indonesia, which currently has been specifically followed by 24 HIV priority cities/districts in Indonesia. Similar supports for capacity building in developing city/district-based strategic information can be mobilized to assist city/district governments in planning policies and programs required to stop AIDS by 2030 in their respective regions.



PROGRAM INITIATIVE FOR THE ACCELERATION OF MALARIA CONTROL IN ENDEMIC VILLAGES

Indonesia targets to increase the number of districts/cities that eliminate malaria from 312 districts/cities in 2020 to 405 districts/cities in 2024. However, there are still many districts/cities in the Eastern Indonesia Region with high endemic levels. Maluku is one of the provinces that have contributed to the national malaria incidence rate. Measure to contain malaria could be conducted with various strategies, one of them are through community involvement and utilization of village funds as described in Box 4.14.

BOX 4.14

ACCELERATION OF MALARIA CONTROL IN ENDEMIC VILLAGES THROUGH COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT AND UTILIZATION OF VILLAGE FUNDS

UNICEF, the Ministry of Health and the Provincial Health Office are working together to provide training for village facilitators to support activities in various provinces. The training focused on key issues, such as (1) increasing malaria awareness through mapping of malaria cases and deaths; (2) life cycle, symptoms, transmission, and conducive condition to malaria exposure and infection; (3) identifying breeding sites; and (4) developing village action plans using available resources.

Mapping of malaria endemicity was carried out from the district to village levels in Maluku Barat Daya District. Mapping activities were carried out in high endemic areas within geographic/administrative boundaries, such as districts or islands. Field officers consisting of provincial, district, and community health workers were deployed to observe potential mosquito breeding sites and local customs or habits that could lead to malaria transmission in the villages. Observations were conducted together with the community and religious leaders. This activity provides an opportunity to assess local knowledge about the environment and the linkages between malaria vectors. Prompt diagnosis and treatment were also emphasized as essential to reduce transmission and mortality.

At the end of the activity, a meeting was held to discuss the findings in the form of a map of the distribution of mosquito breeding sites for each village, possible obstacles related to seasonal habits (harvesting cloves and nutmeg), and access to health facilities. Solutions are formulated from the ground up, including potential funding from the Village Fund. Meanwhile, the local Health Office and



© UNICEF/UNI97123/ADR
Baby is weighed during a health checkup
at integrated health post

Village Community Empowerment Service have made a memorandum of understanding aiming to accelerate malaria control. Similar activities have also been implemented by the Indonesian Health Dharma Work Association (PERDHAKI) in other highly endemic villages that require intervention.

The activity results could accelerate malaria control in Maluku Barat Daya District, a high endemic district with an annual parasite incidence (API) of 14.09% in 2017 to a moderate endemic district with API 1.8% in 2018, and 1% in 2019. A total of 27 participants from seven villages in Damer Island and Maluku Barat Daya District participated in the activity. This program encourages the strengthening of cross-sectoral commitment to control and eradicate malaria through increased knowledge and a sense of belonging.

This approach could be adapted for use in quarterly mini-workshops at Puskesmas, by inviting the village head or representatives from the PERDHAKI program to train 'malaria cadres'.



C. POLICY RESPONSE

To accelerate the achievement of SDG 3, the policy response shall in consonance with the Government policy response to manage large impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, both from the health and economic perspective. The first stage of policy response to mitigate the impact of COVID-19 is to strengthen the health sector, one of which is by stipulating Law No. 2 of 2020 concerning Stipulation of Government Regulation in lieu of Law (Perppu) No. 1 of 2020 concerning State Finance Policy and Financial System Stability for the Handling of COVID-19 and/or in the Context of Dealing with Threats Endangering National Economy and/or Financial System Stability. In this law, the Government relaxes the budget deficit limit in order to increase the allocation of health. (Bappenas, 2020).

The 2021 Government Work Plan (RKP) contains seven National Priorities and one of them supports the achievement of the National Priority 3 on increasing quality and competitive human resources. Stipulates that one of the strategies for economic recovery in 2021 is to reform the national health system and strengthen the health system by increasing promotive and preventive efforts through the Community Healthy Lifestyle Movement (Germas), health security capacity, especially surveillance, network and laboratory capacity, information system, as well as the fulfillment of health resources, such as health facilities, pharmaceuticals, medical equipment, and health human resources. With this step, the economic actors can operate a normal consumption/production activity.

The inflation control policy in 2021 is directed to support the post-COVID-19 recovery process by focusing on (1) maintaining the availability of supplies, especially for 10 strategic food commodities as well as goods for the main needs of the national health system, including tools, equipment, and medicines; (2) increasing supply chain efficiency by optimizing the use of information technology; (3) strengthening trade infrastructure to reduce price gaps; (4) increasing the synergy of central-regional communication to support the management of community expectations; and (5) creating an accurate, timely, and relevant database of food statistics.

The policy direction for 2020-2024 is to strengthen the health system focusing on real-time and electronic-based pharmaceutical logistics systems. Therefore, since 2019, the National Digital Inventory (DIN) application system has begun to be developed, which was in the preparation stage of a blueprint in 2020. Furthermore, the DIN information system will be used for drug supply chain management, in realizing effective and efficient drug management. This system is single entry, digital, real-time, user friendly and connected to other applications, for example, SIKOBAT, E-logistics, E-report PBF, and e-monev. In addition, DIN accommodates an integrated pharmaceutical logistics system from stakeholders within the Directorate General of Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices. This DIN will later be integrated and developed in stages to be utilized by the Provincial/District/City Health Office, pharmaceutical industry and distributors, as well as health facilities and work units throughout Indonesia. Therefore, it is hoped that it can also help manage data and make decisions in every logistical management process and create better control of the supply chain for the national essential medicines package.

In association of decentralization, the role of local governments needs to be increased in solving the problem of essential medicines packages availability, in this case, the District Health Office. It is governed by dividing the authorities for administering government affairs in accordance with Law Number 23 of 2014 concerning Regional Government, Law Number 11 of 2020 concerning Job Creation, and arrangements regarding the nomenclature of programs/activities in the regions.



Optimizing the role of the Provincial and District/City Health Offices in the pharmaceutical and medical device programs includes optimizing the logistics management of essential medicines to increase and guarantee its availability at the Puskesmas level and guarantee the medicines quality; taking an active role in the implementation of DIN to support the integration of data on distribution and availability of medicines in real-time; strengthening the monitoring of the availability of essential medicines in Puskesmas and increasing the accuracy of the design of drug needs; and coordinating with the center on program technical implementation and managerial supports.

In the context of fulfilling health workers in the regions, the policy direction for health worker development for 2020-2024 is to increase the availability of competent health workers and distribute them effectively. This policy aims to provide quality health services to improve the degree of public health (Center of Research and Development for Humanities and Health Management, 2020).

With a focus on regional independence, based on the Decree of the Minister of Health Number

33 of 2015, Provincial/District/City Health Offices and Hospitals shall have a long-term health resource planning. This policy aims to fulfill the needs of competent health workers for the next 10-25 years, so it is necessary to make projections for them (Ministry of Health, 2015).

Finally, the Ministry of Health requires to disseminate information on the 2020-2024 National Action Plan for Health Resilience Indonesia to line Ministries and Institutions and collaborate with the results of filling out health security financing instruments. Therefore, the initial target of National Health Resilience is to provide funding for health resilience efforts that can compete with other health priorities during the planning process (Center for Social Determinants of Health Analyses, Ministry of Health, 2019). In addition, it is important for the Central Government to recognize the need to strengthen health resilience capacities in decentralized settings. The countries have taken important steps to ensure mitigation and risk management of health emergencies through enhanced planning and preparedness efforts at the subnational level, one of which is by implementing minimum service standards at the provincial and district levels (Rai et al., 2020).



GRINGSING WOVEN CLOTH
Tenganan Village, Karangasem, Bali



Goal 8

Decent Work and Economic Growth

Discussion of Goal 8 focuses on economic growth, labor productivity, the share of informal sector, unemployment, child worker, workplace safety, financial inclusion, access to capital for MSMEs and tourism sector. Besides presenting analysis based on trends up to 2019, the impact of COVID-19 on the achievement of the SDGs, especially on relevant indicators, is also being explored.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

1. SUSTAINED, INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC GROWTH

a. Annual growth rate of real GDP per capita

Indonesia's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita, is experiencing a moderate upward trend. From 2010 to 2019, GDP per capita has consistently grown from IDR 28.8 million in 2010 to IDR 59.1 million in 2019. In terms of growth rate, GDP per capita growth shows a slightly slower growth trend. Figure 4.79 illustrates that Indonesia's GDP per capita growth rate attained its peak in 2012, where GDP per capita growth reached 4.67%. However, since 2013, GDP per capita growth has slowed down until 2015 with an annual growth of 3.03%. Indonesia's per capita growth began to increase in 2016-2019, although it has not yet been able to reach a growth rate of above 4%.

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated the achievement of Indonesia's GDP growth. Due to COVID-19 pandemic, Indonesia experienced negative economic growth for the first time after the Asian Financial Crisis in 1997-1998. Indonesia's per capita growth shrunk by 3.15% in 2020 and caused GDP per capita to fall from IDR 59.1 million (2019) to IDR 56.93 million (2020). The decline in per capita income and Indonesia's economic growth certainly had significantly hampered social welfare because business and economic activities have been curbed due to mobility restrictions. A slowdown in economic activity will have severe consequences, like higher unemployment and increased poverty. The study conducted by Halimatussadiyah et al. (2020) shows that the negative impact of COVID-19 on the Indonesian economy, especially GDP, will worsen if not accompanied by a national economic recovery (Pemulihan Ekonomi Nasional/PEN) policy. In this study, it was shown that in the absence of government stimulus policy, Indonesia's economic contraction could reach -6.3%. In the latest release by Statistics Indonesia (BPS), Indonesia's economic growth in the first quarter of 2021 still experienced negative economic growth of 0.74% compared to the first quarter of 2020 (yoY).



Another critical issue related to Indonesia's GDP and COVID-19 pandemic is related to remittances from migrant workers. The remittances of migrant workers contributed nearly 1% of GDP in 2016 and continued to increase in 2017-2019. Data from Bank Indonesia shows that even with the decline in remittances due to the pandemic, Indonesia still benefitted from transfer from migrant workers for around USD 9.42 billion in 2020.

The government of Indonesia have to ensure that the economic recovery during the post-COVID-19 period needs to be accelerated in order to achieve the RPJMN 2020-2024 target of 6-6.5% economic growth in 2024. To attain this target, the government needs to control the spread of the virus by increasing the number of tests, vaccination rates—especially for the most vulnerable group—and maintaining people's level of adherence to health protocols.




Figure 4.79 GDP per Capita (in million IDR) and Annual growth rate of real GDP per capita (%)
Source: Statistics Indonesia

In accordance to Indonesia's efforts to promote sustainable growth, the Government has adopted the Circular Economy concept in development strategies which fits the narratives of achieving national economic growth targets and promoting environmental sustainability. Vision Indonesia 2045 has adopted Circular Economy policy as a way forward. The implementation of Circular Economy concept in the production process ensures that all inputs are optimized, illustrated as a closed-loop production process through its 5R principle (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle, Recover and Revalue). It is projected that the implementation of Circular Economy concept can prolong the lifetime value of the consumer products and bring sustainable benefits through reinvestment in sustainable production processes.

By adopting circular economy opportunities in five key sectors of the economy (food & beverage, textiles, construction, wholesale and retail trade, and electrical and electronic equipment), Indonesia's GDP could increase by IDR 593 to 638 trillion (USD 42 to 45 billion) in 2030 (than it would under a "business-usual" approach); 4.4 million cumulative net jobs could be created between 2021 and 2030, out of which 75 percent could be for women; CO₂e emissions and water use could be reduced by 126 million tonnes and 6.3 billion m³ in 2030, respectively (equivalent to 9 percent of the current emissions and 3 percent of the current water usage); and the average Indonesian household could save IDR 4.9 million (USD 344) annually, representing almost nine percent of the current yearly household



expenditure. By creating new job opportunities, making supply chains more resilient, and providing business opportunities (particularly for Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises), a circular economy can be a key component of Indonesia's economic recovery. However, this analysis also highlights some challenges, including potential job losses and reduced demand for upstream production in the five focus sectors (under some scenarios). A robust multi-stakeholder roadmap is envisaged as the next step in this work and will be crucial for addressing these concerns and the barriers for capturing the circular economy opportunities (Bappenas et al, 2021).

b. Annual growth rate of real GDP per employed person

Based on annual growth rate of real GDP per employed person, it is evident that there are fluctuations in productivity growth in Indonesia. Its productivity tends to continue to decline throughout 2011-2019. Specifically, for 2020, annual growth rate of real GDP per employed person has negative growth for the first time. Low levels of education, lack of skills and inadequate training are thought to have an effect on productivity.

Another aspect affecting worker productivity is the presence of low-value-added jobs in some sectors. Other additional factors, such as complicated regulations and bureaucracy, obsolete machines and technology, taxes and incentives that hinder investment, also affect productivity. A healthy and safe work environment has the same effect on productivity since worker feel at ease at work.




Figure 4.80 Annual growth rate of real GDP per employed person based on PPP (%)
Source: Statistics Indonesia

2. CREATING PRODUCTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND DECENT JOB OPPORTUNITIES

a. Bolstering Formal Employment

Although efforts to continue formalizing employment and economic activity in Indonesia have shown some improvement, the majority of workers still work in the informal sector. Based on the age group, people aged 60 years and over (85.8%) and 55-59 years (73.34%) are the age group primarily working in the informal sector in 2020. For the productive age group, especially those aged 15-25 years, the majority (67.8% for 15-19 yo and 43.4% for 20-24 yo) worked in the informal sector. However, due to the pandemic, more people aged 15-19 years old are working in the informal sector. This number has increased from 51.5% in 2019 to 67.8% in 2020. Based on location (urban versus rural) and occupation by sex (male and female), there is a significant difference related to the share of formal employment. During 2015-2020, the proportion of employees in urban areas who worked in

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

the formal sector ranged from 57.81% to 50.5%. On the other hand, 73.41% of workers in rural areas work in the informal sector. In general, most Indonesian workers are still working in the informal sector (60,47% in 2020). Data from National Labor Force Survey 2021 shows that the number of formal sector workers has increased by 0.85% in February 2021 compared to August 2020. Simultaneously, the number of informal workers decreased to 59.62%. In terms of gender, more women tend to work in informal sectors. Around 65,35% of Indonesian women work in the informal sector, compared to 57% for men.




Figure 4.81 The proportion of Informal Employment in Total Employment by Age, 2018-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia (National Labor Force Survey)

Indonesia succeeded in increasing the contribution of the formal sector to 44.12% in 2019. The pandemic, on the other hand, has resulted in an increase in the number of people employed in the informal sector. Figure 4.83 shows the proportion of informal employment in agriculture, manufacturing and services by level of education. One of the key findings from this figure is that informality has a strong correlation with education levels.

In the agricultural sector, the majority of jobs are informal or around 88.57% in 2020. The share of the informal sector in the agricultural sector has increased compared to 2018 and 2019. For manufacturing, the number of informal workers is around 44.31% in 2020 from 41.09% in 2018. Finally, almost half of workers in the service sector work in the informal sector, or about 50.46% in 2020. An increasing number of informal jobs in manufacturing and services have been affected by the pandemic. Many companies and the service sector have had to close their businesses or shift production.




Figure 4.82 The proportion of Formal Employment by Location and Gender, 2015-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia



In terms of the education level, 8 out of 10 workers with basic/elementary education work in the informal sectors in 2020. As workers attain more years of schooling, the proportion of those workers working in the informal sectors went down. This evidence suggests that education is linked to which sector the workers will work in. As a result, policies aimed at improving educational attainment and education quality will be critical to growing the formal sector's share of the economy.




Figure 4.83 The proportion of Informal Employment based on sectors and education, 2018-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia (National Labor Force Survey)

Formalizing employment among youth population becomes more challenging as its percentage significantly decreased by -7.15 percentage points, from 60.3% in 2019 to 53.15% in 2020. This figure is even worse among those living in urban areas, females, and the younger age group. Based on age, the impact of COVID-19 has hit most of the youth population (16-18 yo), as its formal employment decreased by -16.92% (from 42.8% in 2019 to 25.88% in 2020).




Figure 4.84 Percentage of Youth Working in Formal Sector
Source: Youth Statistics 2019-2020



b. Maintaining Low Unemployment Rate and Decent Jobs

Pre-pandemic, the growth of Indonesia's open unemployment rate had a downward trend from 6.18% in 2015 to 5.23% in 2019. However, due to the pandemic in 2020, the unemployment rate in Indonesia increased to 7.07%. Over the past two years (2019-2020), there has been a significant rise in educated unemployment, rising from 8.0 percent (4.7 million) to 10.2 percent (6.3 million). The percentage of men who become unemployed is higher than women, which is quite different from other countries. One of the possibilities is prior to COVID-19 pandemic, the gap in labor participation rates between men and women is already significant, with men accounting for 84 percent and women 55 percent, respectively (National Labor Force Survey, Feb 2020). Based on National Labor Survey February 2021, unemployment rate in Indonesia decreased to 6.26% in February 2021. Most unemployment is still dominated by men (6.81%) compared to women (5.41%).

Based on location, the unemployment rate in urban areas was consistently much higher than that in rural areas. Regarding the unemployment growth rate, the rate in rural areas has consistently decreased from 2015-2019. In urban areas, the unemployment rate increased slightly in 2017 but continues to fall until 2019. Figure 4.85 also suggests that unemployment rate in urban area is much higher than in rural areas due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The higher unemployment rate in urban areas is likely due to the large number of jobs lost due to the mobility restrictions and impact on sectors that operate in many urban areas. Meanwhile, in rural areas, where the majority of economic activities are engaged in the agricultural sector, the unemployment rate grows, but not as high as urban population. Data for National Labor Force Survey February 2021 shows that the number of unemployment rate in urban area is still higher (8%) compared to in rural area (4.11%).




Figure 4.85 Unemployment Rate by Sex and Location, 2015-2020
Source : Statistics Indonesia (National Labor Force Survey)

Figure 4.86 shows that the underemployment rate in Indonesia is experiencing a similar growth rate with the unemployment rate. There has been a decrease in the underemployment rate since 2015-2019, but it increased significantly in 2020 and the underemployment rate in rural areas is much higher than in urban areas. National Labor Force Survey February 2021 shows that the underemployment rate in Indonesia underemployment rate fell to 8.71% compared to August 2020 which reached 10.19%.




Figure 4.86 Underemployment Rate by Sex and Location, 2015-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia (National Labor Force Survey)

The wages received by workers can also illustrate decent jobs. Based on Figure 4.87, the average salary of workers in Indonesia grew from IDR 11,434 per hour in 2015 to IDR 17,696 per hour in 2020. The number of hourly wages for workers in Indonesia has increased throughout 2015-2020. However, the growth of salaries in Indonesia has been relatively sluggish. Even in recent years, workers' wages have been relatively equal to the inflation rate. This evidence suggests that in real terms, the wages of workers have not experienced any significant improvement. Especially for 2020, an increase of hourly wage does not mean that workers' income has increased, but it is due to the total number of hours that went down due to the COVID-19 pandemic.





Figure 4.87 Average Hourly Income (IDR)
Source: Statistics Indonesia




In Indonesia, the salary of male workers is much higher than that of female workers. In 2015, the difference between men's and women's salaries was not very significant. However, from 2017 to 2019, the wages of male workers continued to increase. The gender wage gap in Indonesia is narrowing in 2020, but evidence of this is likely due to the number of hours worked by women went down compared to the previous year.

The growth of wage does not occur for female workers, in fact it looks stagnant. The changes in men's and women's wages over time become increasingly pronounced in wage disparities. However, women earn more than men for certain sectors, especially in electricity / gas / water and transportation. This can be explained by the fact that the majority of the female workers in this sector are manual workers and have a higher education than male workers.

(a) Average monthly earnings of older persons (in thousand rupiah)



(b) Proportion of older persons (60+) with low earnings



(c) Proportion of older persons (60+) working more than 49 hours in the past weeks.




Figure 4.88 Statistics of older persons (60+), 2020

Source : Elderly Statistic (60+) (National Labor Force Survey)

Note: Low earning is defined as lower than 2/3 of the median earning.



The average earnings level of the elderly is lower than the younger age groups, the earnings of women is lower than men, and it is lower in rural areas than in urban areas. About a third of low-income of elderly indicated inadequate work, this was confirmed by about one-fifth of the elderly who worked 49 hours and longer in the past week. Long working hours indicated their need to work out of necessity, the highest proportion among elderly working in the service industry.

c. The employment of the older persons

Data on the elderly population (60+) shows that about half of the elderly were still working from 2015-2020, although the proportion of elderly people who worked was lower by age group. There was even a 4.5 percentage point increase in the working elderly in 2020 compared to 2015. Another challenge related to the working elderly is that around 80 to 90 percent work in the informal sector.

The proportion of elderly working in the informal sector is higher for older women, increases by age group, and is higher for older people living in rural areas. The elderly, women and those living in rural areas may be more vulnerable than other age groups if working in the informal sector is due to a lack of contracts, poor earnings, and increasing uncertainty.




Figure 4.89 The proportion of older persons working by age group and older persons working in informal sector, 2015-2020

Source : calculated from Eldery Statistics, 2019 and 2020 (National Labor Force Survey)



d. Young worker and Child Labour

During 2010-2020, the proportion of youth who did not attend school, work, or attend training (NEET) in Indonesia did not change significantly. In 2010, the percentage of NEETs was 25.6% and fell to 21.77% in 2019. Despite relatively lower, the percentage of NEETs rose more than double when compared to national unemployment rate (5.23%), indicating that the participation of youth population in the economic activities is still not optimal and this is exacerbated by the impact of the pandemic which caused NEET to increase to 24.28%.




Figure 4.90 Percentage of Young People (15-24 Years) Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET), 2010-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia

The pandemic also caused youth unemployment to rise to 15.23%. This increase was mostly contributed by the young population in urban areas, male, and among the older youth (19-24 years). Although unemployment among entry-level ages (16-18 years) is relatively high (28.12% in 2019), during the pandemic, it actually decreased by -3.54% to 24.58% in 2020.




Figure 4.91 Percentage of Youth Employment based on Location, Gender, Age Group, 2018-2020
Source: Youth Statistic 2019, 2020




Figure 4.92 Percentage of Working Children Aged 10-17 Years, 2018-2020
Source: Statistic Indonesia

The number of child workers aged 10-17 years had decreased in 2019 compared to in 2018. However, this figure rose to 0.9 percent point in 2020 (3.25%). Over the past three years, it was shown that male children labor is higher than that of female children, although the increase in female working children in 2020 is relatively high compared to the male. An increase in the trend of child labor may be happening because of the dropout rate in 2020 due to the pandemic and more children need to help support their families affected by the pandemic. Thus, more kids have to go to the labor market to support their family's basic needs.

3. EMPOWERMENT OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (MSMEs)

a. Access to Finance and Credit for MSMEs

Micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) provide a significant contribution to Indonesia's economy, in 2018, micro-enterprises dominate the number of MSMEs with 63.5 million businesses or around 98.68% of the total businesses. In terms of output, MSMEs in 2019 contributed 60% of national income. From the workforce side, MSMEs are able absorb 97% of the total workforce (Kementerian Keuangan, 2020). Due to the pandemic, the contribution of MSMEs is projected to decrease. Meanwhile MSMEs' access to financial services continues to increase, in 2012 only around 14.36% of MSMEs had access to financial services and in 2020 it became 24.40%.

Based on the proportion of credit, the majority of MSMEs that obtained credit are medium enterprises. The number of micro enterprises that receive credit continues to increase from 19% in 2011 to 23% in 2020.

The number of micro-businesses that have access to financial credit decreased in 2020 during the pandemic. Based on the LPEM FEB UI and UNDP (2021) study, it was found that the majority of micro-enterprises have decided to postpone their expansion plans due to the pandemic. This finding may explain why the share of access to financial services for micro-enterprises has fallen.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.93 Percentage of MSME (Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises) access to financial services and the Proportion of MSME Credit

Source : Statistics Indonesia

The total credit received by MSMEs compared to the total amount of bank credit did not experience a significant change. The proportion of MSME loans to total bank credit increased from 19.15% in 2013 to 19.67% in 2020.




Figure 4.94 Proportion of MSME Credit to Total Banking Credit (%), 2013-2020
Source: Bank Indonesia

4. THE ROLE OF THE TOURISM SECTOR FOR THE ECONOMY

a. Contribution of Tourism to GDP

The tourism sector not only contributes significantly to GDP, but also the tourism sector also absorbs a large number of workers. In 2020 the number of workers in the tourism sector reached 12.7 million workers or around 10% of the total working population. The tourism sector is one of the sectors that has been hit quite significantly by the pandemic. Mobility restrictions, strict quarantine policies, COVID-19 testing as a condition for travel, and visa restrictions have resulted in a decline in the number of foreign and domestic tourists.



The contribution of tourism sector to GDP ranges from 3.05% in 2010 to 4.00% in 2020. The contribution of the growth rate of the tourism sector to the Indonesian economy during 2012-2019 has fluctuated. In 2020, the contribution of the tourism sector to GDP went down to 4.00% with negative growth until minus 19.52%.




Figure 4.95 Contribution of Tourism to GDP, 2010-2019
Source : National Tourism Satellite Account

b. Number of Foreign Tourist and Number of Trips by Domestic Tourists

Indonesia has become more attractive for foreign tourists, this was illustrated in the number of foreign tourists visitors in 2019 that has more than doubled to 16.11 million compared to 2010, which amounted to 7 million. However, this number has decreased sharply in 2020 (4.05 million or 75,03 percent decreased) due to the pandemic. In terms of domestic tourists, there has been an increase in domestic tourist trips from 234.38 million in 2010 to 282.93 million in 2019. As a result of the pandemic, the number of domestic tourists is estimated to fall to 198.24 million in 2020. The daily cases of COVID-19 in Indonesia that have not changed, and the occurrence of second wave, are to accuse for foreign tourist visits that have not improved until the end of 2020. As a result of this situation, travel restrictions were reintroduced, including restrictions on foreign tourist entering and leaving Indonesian territory.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.96 Number of Foreign Tourist Visit to Indonesia Quarterly, 2019-2020
Source : Statistics Indonesia



Figure 4.97 Number of Foreign Tourist Visit to Indonesia, 2010-2020
Source : Statistics Indonesia




Figure 4.98 Number of Domestic Tourist Visit to Indonesia, 2010-2020
Source: Statistic Indonesia

Note : *estimation by the Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy



c. Foreign Exchange Revenue from Tourism

The increasing number of foreign tourists contributed to foreign exchange revenue from tourism of USD 7.6 billion in 2010 and growing to USD 17.60 billion in 2019. Due to the pandemic, foreign exchange revenue from tourism sector went down from USD 16.91 billion in 2019 to USD 3.31 billion the year 2020.




Figure 4.99 Foreign Exchange Revenue from Tourism, 2010-2020
Source : Bank of Indonesia

5. FINANCIAL INSTITUTION CAPACITY

a. Number of Automated Teller Machines (ATMs) and Commercial Bank Branches

In 2010, there were 13.04 Automated Teller Machines (ATMs) per 100,000 Indonesian adult population, in 2020 it increased fourfold to 52.95 ATMs per 100,000 adult population. The number of bank branch offices also continues to grow, from 8.11 bank branches per 100,000 population (2010) almost doubling to 17.67 bank branches per 100,000 population (2020).

Over the past 5 years, there has been a decline in number of ATMs and commercial bank branches. The expansion of digital technology has encouraged many people to take advantage of digital platforms in the financial sector and reduced incentives to go to ATMs or bank branches. The pandemic has further increased the role of digital technology and digital transformation around the world, including Indonesia. The LPEM FEB UI and UNDP study (2021) found that almost 44% of MSMEs in Indonesia used digital platforms to market their products during the pandemic, an increase from only 22% before the pandemic. From a bank perspective due to changes in consumer behavior, there are efforts to ensure that the number of ATMs and bank branches will remain profitable and achieve economies of scale

.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.100 Number of ATM and Commercial Bank Branches per 100,000 Adults, 2010-2020
Source : World Bank and Indonesia Financial Services Authority

b. Having an Account in Bank or Another Type of Financial Institutions

The number of adults with bank accounts increased from 20% in 2011 to 49% in 2017. The same pattern occurred based on gender, where there was a significant increase in the number of bank ownership for both men and women. The proportion of women who have bank accounts is more than half (51%) and men 46%. Despite the fact that there is no substantial gender disparity, more than half of Indonesians do not have a bank account.




Figure 4.101 The Percentage of Respondent who Report Having an Account at a Bank or Another Type of Financial Institutions (% age 15+), 2011-2017
Source: World Bank



The percentage of the elderly who received all types of credit in 2020 was lower than in 2019, possibly due to the impact of the pandemic which has reduced economic activity. However, even before the epidemic, it was apparent that the elderly who received credit tended to decline.




Figure 4.102 The Percentage of Households Headed by Older Persons (60+) who Received Business Credits from a Bank and all types of credits, 2017-2020

Source: Calculated from Statistik Penduduk Lansia Statistic of Elderly 2017-2020 (Susenas)

B. CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. Challenges

Slow economic growth and regional disparities are the initial problems in Goal 8. The Indonesian economy grew steadily at over 5% after the Asian Financial Crisis in 1997. The skyrocketing demand for global commodities that has increased commodity prices and pro-poor government policies, has made Indonesia a successful upper-middle income country. Despite this, Indonesia's economy has never surpassed a growth rate of more than 6%. Another important aspect is the disparity between regions in economic activity and investment. The majority of economic activity takes place in Java and/or Sumatra, with other areas, particularly in the Eastern Region, are still lagging behind.

Table 4.5 GDP Contribution to National GDP across Islands (in %)

ISLAND	GDP CONTRIBUTION TO NATIONAL GDP IN 2020 (%)	GDP CONTRIBUTION TO NATIONAL GDP IN 2019 (%)	GDP GROWTH IN 2020 (%)
Jawa	58.75	59.00	-2.51
Sumatera	21.36	21.32	-1.19
Kalimantan	7.94	8.05	-2.27
Sulawesi	6.66	6.33	0.23
Bali and Nusa Tenggara	2.94	3.06	-5.01
Maluku and Papua	2.35	2.24	1.44

Source: Statistics Indonesia



The next challenge is related to investment in the Manufacturing Sector. The growth of FDI inflows in the manufacturing sector has tended to fluctuate since 2011. In recent years, FDI inflows in this sector have recorded negative growth, despite the amount of investment in Indonesia has grown positively. The low investment growth in the manufacturing sector poses a serious challenge to increasing labor productivity and shifting Indonesian products toward more value-added. Early deindustrialization is likely to have started, especially since there is a tendency for economic activity in Indonesia has been shifting toward service sector.




Figure 4.103 FDI Inflows in Indonesian Manufacturing, 2011-2020 (in thousands of USD)
Source: Indonesia Investment Coordinating Board (BKPM)

Gender inequality, people with disabilities and youth unemployment are three important things related to equal and decent work for all which also pose a challenge. ILO data (2020) shows that on average women in Indonesia earn 23% less than men, the length of time they are educated does not even close the wage gap. At the higher education level, there is even the most significant gender gap in wage acceptance among various levels of education. For the high-wage job category male workers also dominate, women only work in a quarter of the high-wage jobs such as managerial and supervisory jobs. Even for these jobs, women also earn less than men. As many as 1.5 million men work in high wage jobs, while women only 500 thousand.

Table 4.6 Net Monthly Wage/Salary of Employees by Educational Attainment (in IDR)

LEVEL OF EDUCATION	MEN	WOMEN
Primary School	2,117,361	1,280,826
Junior High School	2,357,497	1,658,672
General High School	3,099,936	2,115,726
Vocational High School	3,059,119	2,288,670
College (D1-D3)	4,414,594	2,930,465
University	5,436,083	3,701,652

Source: ILO, 2020



Persons with Disabilities (PWDs) are one of the vulnerable groups who experience difficulties in attaining decent work (LPEM FEB UI, 2017). The MAHKOTA study (2020) found that people with disabilities have been particularly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic economically, with more than half of those surveyed reported a decreased in income. Job losses and unemployment due to the pandemic are estimated to be higher for persons with disabilities, as diversifying their businesses is still a challenge for them.

Even before COVID-19, PWDs tended to work in physically distant environments, and were more likely to face barriers to accessing information, and may be exposed to violence and harassment. One possible policy that can help PWDs during and after a pandemic is by ensuring protection through disability-inclusive occupational safety and health (OSH) measures (ILO, 2020). In the future, the “new normal” working conditions need to be optimized by building more inclusive and better working conditions, especially for the most vulnerable groups.

It is necessary to implement structural reforms by creating new forms of work and employment relations that accommodate disability inclusion. It is necessary to strengthen and conducting skills development training for inclusive lifelong learning for PWDs. Optimizing technology by using assistive technology devices at work will be an important policy for helping PWDs. Finally,

it is necessary to ensure that PWDs are included as a vital component in achieving sustainable and inclusive economic growth.

Youth unemployment and vulnerable groups also need special attention, especially due to the pandemic. Based on data from Statistics Indonesia, it is shown that around three-quarters of the unemployed population in Indonesia are youth. The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated the problem of youth unemployment. The youth unemployment rate is projected to increase at a faster rate than the adult generation unemployment rate due to the higher rate of layoffs (PHK) among the younger age group compared to the older age group. The ILO study (2020) shows that the impact of the pandemic on youth tends to be systematic and heterogeneous across areas and groups, especially for women, younger youth and young people from low-income families.

The next challenge is worker productivity and skills related to education. The majority of Indonesian workers are those with primary and junior secondary education. The number of low-educated workers has increased from 71.5 million in 2015 to 73.41 million in 2020. However, at the same time, there has been an increase in the number of workers who have earned vocational, diploma and university degrees.




Figure 4.104 Worker Education Level in Indonesia (in million), 2015-2020
Source: Statistics Indonesia



Challenges related to the rights of migrant workers as part of workers' rights, the Indonesian Migrant Workers Protection Agency (BP2MI) recorded that the total population of Indonesia migrant worker (TKI) who left migrating in 2020 was 113,173, a significant decrease from 276,553 in the previous year. This figure shows that migrant workers are among the vulnerable groups due to the pandemic. Nearly 142,000 migrant workers have returned to Indonesia, and formal placements have been terminated (IOM UN Migration, 2020). In addition, migrant workers face a lack of access to economic and livelihoods reintegration. Indonesia has sent around 200,000 to 300,000 workers abroad; this helps absorb the workforce and reduce the unemployment rate. Other than that, migrant workers have contributed significant remittances to support their families and have an impact on the surrounding community. The impact of COVID-19 has resulted in travel and placement restrictions, mismatch between demand and skills of the migrant workers, and significant losses from remittance contributions.

Several challenges related to financial inclusion implementation namely (a) limited access to formal financial services for Indonesian migrant workers to support them during this stage of the migration process; (b) people with low-income such as 1) community groups receiving social assistance and other community empowerment programs, and 2) entrepreneurs who have limited resources for expanding their businesses with limited or no access to all financial services; (c) limited access for people living in the borderline frontier, the outermost and underdeveloped regions; (d) low rate of 25% of digital financial transaction users, such as mobile banking and electronic payment, despite high number of mobile phone users; (e) regional disparity in the use of cellular-based electronic payment, used mostly by those living in urban areas; and (f) gender disparity in number of formal financial bank accounts, in which only 51.4% is owned by women.

Related to the contribution of MSMEs, they have a substantial contribution to the Indonesian economy. Due to COVID-19 pandemic, MSMEs face several challenges. The ILO study (2020) shows that two out of three enterprises have stopped operating. MSMEs income has dropped by 90 percent. Consequently, around 63% of MSMEs have to reduce the number of workers. A similar study conducted by LPEM FEB UI and UNDP (2021) found that due to COVID-19, 88% of MSMEs in Indonesia experienced a decrease in demand for their products while 75% of them experienced supply shocks (increased material prices). Although government assistance is available, it has not yet reached ideal coverage, with only 43% of MSMEs surveyed aware of these programs.

The main challenges of MSMEs development in Indonesia namely the absence of an integrated MSME database, the imbalance between size of MSMEs and their contribution to GDP; there are 99% of businesses in Indonesia, which are dominated by MSMEs, with the contribution is only around 50-60%, and the low number of MSMEs form partnerships with large businesses (including networking in the global value chain) also the low level of technology utilization in running their business, particularly in terms of digitalization. The last issue is low access to finance. Data from Statistic Indonesia shows 88 percent of micro and small businesses do not obtain or apply for credit (SE 2016). Based on education side, there is low involvement of youth graduates from secondary to start their business and become entrepreneur.

Related to the implementation of circular economy, International research also shows that MSMEs can be some of the potential biggest beneficiaries from a circular economy. As a such, ensuring MSMEs are at the heart of the circular economy roadmap development will be crucial.

A circular economy could enable cost savings for MSMEs from greater resources efficiency and waste reduction, and lead to the development of new business models, such as those focusing on recovery and recycling, which could provide



significant opportunities to MSMEs. Furthermore, MSMEs could be better placed than large enterprises to adopt circular economy practices. Since MSMEs are more likely to be closer to the end-consumer than large enterprises, they are better positioned to adopt circular business models that require decentralized production systems, such as business models focused on reusing, recycling, or repurposing resources locally. However, in Indonesia, most enterprises within MSMEs are micro or small. According to the Statistics Indonesia, micro and small enterprises accounted for close to 99 percent of all MSMEs in 2019. The micro and small firms could lack the knowledge and capital to adopt circular opportunities. Hence, it needs policies that are cognizant of the variation within the MSMEs, and support micro and small firms to become members of supply chain partnerships that have shown to be effective in Europe.

2. Actions to Overcome

Improving Business Climate. The response in achieving economic improvement for COVID-19 is conducted by improving the Business Climate. The Indonesian government has launched the Omnibus Law on Job Creation which is considered an important reform and an effort to make the Indonesian economy more competitive. The new law aims to strengthen Indonesia's long-term ambitions to become a high-income country. The Omnibus law can support Indonesia's economic recovery and long-term economic growth. One of the defining elements of this law is that it seeks to implement policies that will remove important investment restrictions and convince investors that Indonesia is open for business.

Furthermore, this new law could enhance the amount of investment, create new jobs and help the government reduce poverty. Indonesia's Ease of Doing Business Index is still lowest compared to other countries. In 2020, Indonesia is ranked 73rd and has not experienced a significant increase since 2018. However, several indicators

in 2020 have improved compared to 2019. For example, in terms of starting a business, getting access to electricity, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts.

Government response to COVID-19. The Indonesian government has implemented several actions to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic, especially some measures to boost economic recovery. Indonesia's government has allocated IDR 692.5 trillion for the National Economic Recovery Program in 2020, including a budget for improving hospitals and provision of medical equipment. The economic recovery plan also encourages social assistance programs. The government has also provided economic recovery plans for firms or enterprises with a strong commitment to retention and promise not to lay off their workers. For 2021, the Indonesian government has allocated the state budget for the national economic program to be IDR 553.09 trillion.

Investment in infrastructure. In the 2015-2019 National Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMN), the government priorities were improving transport links, enhancing the maritime sector's infrastructure, expanding access to remote areas, providing alternative multi-modal transport options, and assisting urban mobility. The government has increased the allocation of infrastructure spending by USD 10 billion each year to achieve these priority targets. The government has also provided several infrastructure projects to several State-Owned Enterprises (BUMN) (including the Trans Sumatra-PT Hutama Karya Toll Road, Refinery Development Master Plan (RDMP) -PT Pertamina, Tuban Refinery-PT Pertamina, Jakarta-Bandung High-Speed Train-PT Wijaya Karya and PT KAI, etc.). Due to some budget constraints, the government also increased the private sector's participation in a Public-Private Partnership (PPP) (i.e., Steam Power Plant (PLTU) Batang, 10 Highway Projects, 3 Telecommunication projects (Palapa Ring). In RPJMN 2020-2024, infrastructure policy and expansion are still the government priority, in

particular, to reduce the disparity in the quality of infrastructure between Java and non-Java, in particular in remote areas.

Support to informal sectors during the pandemic. Support for the informal sector during the pandemic is also part of overcoming the challenges. Informal workers in Indonesia usually work in unregistered, unregulated and unprotected jobs, efforts to explore problems in this sector need to be optimized. Like other sectors, the COVID-19 pandemic also has an impact on the informal sector in Indonesia. Due to the heterogeneity of the informal sector in Indonesia, some workers do not qualify for government support due to various factors (e.g., salary, sector, etc.). However, during the pandemic, the government has launched several social assistance programs (e.g., salary subsidies for workers, cash transfer programs), especially those not previously included in social assistance programs. The recipients of this program are mainly those registered with the BPJS Ketenagakerjaan or social security program.

Pre-employment Card (Kartu Pra Kerja) and training. The government has allocated the state budget for pre-employment cards of around IDR 20 trillion. This program allows beneficiaries to receive training and benefits to prepare them to enter the labor market. The program aims to help 5.6 million informal and laid-off workers, MSME owners, among other types of workers. This program is managed by the Coordinating Ministry for Economic Affairs and the Ministry of Manpower. The Ministry of Manpower will provide competency and productivity-based training incentives. This program also optimizes the role of vocational training centers (BLK).

This program is also a government collaboration with private and public digital platforms (for example Tokopedia, Ruangguru, Pintaria, What Do You Want to Learn, Bukalapak, Pijar Mahir, Sekolahmu, Sisnaker). Participants in this program will receive funding assistance of

around IDR 3,55 million (i.e., IDR 1 million for training grants, IDR 600 thousand funding after the training for each month for four months, and survey incentives of IDR 50 thousand for three times).

Expansion of Cash-for Work Schemes. The government has also expanded the Cash-for Work Scheme. The Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Areas, and Transmigration reallocated village funds from IDR 72 trillion to IDR 71.19 trillion¹¹ to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Ministry ordered all village governments and authorities to revise their village budgets and reallocate these funds into the village-based cash-for-work program (PTKD). This program aims to help marginalized groups in the village, such as the unemployed, day laborers, and underprivileged families.

Policies for migrant workers. On the other hand, the government also encourages policies for migrant workers. UNAIDS Representative Office for Indonesia, in collaboration with the International Labor Organization, the United Nations Development Program and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, have launched a joint program in March 2021 to empower vulnerable groups including refugees and migrant workers¹².

Government response related to workplace safety. The government has also responded to the condition of COVID-19 with policies related to work safety. In connection with the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in the workplace, the government has issued the Minister of Manpower Letter No. M/3/HK.04/III/2020 concerning protection of workers / labor and the continuity of business in the prevention and control spread of COVID-19. In particular, this letter urges employers to immediately report these positive cases to the local manpower and health offices to ensure workers' rights. The government also requires companies where

¹¹ <http://www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/FAQ-Dana-Desa-COVID-19.pdf>

¹² <https://reliefweb.int/report/indonesia/unaidss-works-partners-support-covid-19-recovery-vulnerable-people-indonesia>



workers infected with COVID-19 to seek guidance from the local health office regarding additional steps to minimize and reduce the virus' transmission in the workplace¹³.

Support from labor union. In bridging the interests of employers and fulfilling workers' rights, the community or worker association plays an important role in providing a forum for discussion, especially in dealing with the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. To reduce labor rights violations in multinational companies whose supply chains were affected by the pandemic, the Confederation of Indonesian Trade Unions (KSBSI) held a social dialogue that initiated agreements between workers and employers on several issues in work relations, especially for the 300 KSBSI members in several federations. This meeting resulted in an MoU and an agreement on OSH protection, business continuity and freedom of association.

In addition, KSBSI also facilitates bipartite negotiations for workers and employers in minimizing unemployment during the pandemic. This is related to the threat of layoffs for workers in the hotel sector affected by the pandemic. These negotiations had an impact on 2000 hotel employees who were members of the Kamiparho federation. The results of these negotiations led to an agreement that there would be a reduction in working hours which resulted in a reduction in wages, in order to avoid layoffs. These two initiatives show that a well-developed dialogue between job employers and workers will foster mutual trust, especially in increasing the resilience of business sector during a pandemic.

BOX 4.15

SKILLS TO SUCCEED INDONESIA: TRAINING OF SKILL TO WORK AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP FOR YOUTH

In preparing to enter the labor market, youth in Indonesia (aged 16-24 years) experience various challenges, especially for Vocational High School graduates who are recorded as having the highest unemployment rate. Based on the Labor Market Assessment conducted by Save the Children Indonesia with Accenture, one of the biggest challenges that the labor market in Indonesia needs to face is the provision of soft skills needed in the workplace, financial expertise or literacy, entrepreneurial skills, and the need for career guidance to find out interests and talents. Youth with disabilities face an even greater challenge.

Save The Children Indonesia in collaboration with Accenture launched a program that focuses on improving youth work readiness in Indonesia, that is also implemented in seven other countries. The program developed 4 main training modules, including soft skills, financial literacy, entrepreneurship, and career guidance, as well as one financial literacy application (Dooit which can be uploaded on Google Playstore). The modules were developed using the Transferable Life Skills (TLS) Common Approach from Save The Children, which consists of communication skills, developing the ability to build social/ teamwork relationships, positive self-concept/ increased self-confidence, self-control, and problem-solving skills with critical thinking.

In the early stages, this concept was tried out and trained to teachers in schools and instructors/ facilitators of institutions, through learning/ classroom training methods, e-learning, digital applications,

13 <https://betterwork.org/portfolio/better-work-indonesia-guidelines-on-transmission-prevention-and-handling-of-covid-19/>



and mentoring. The master trainers then extend the range of training to other teachers as well as to students or job seekers, including those with disabilities. In addition, training and mentoring are also carried out for employers so that improvements can also occur in the workplace to accommodate in accepting (prospective) workers, including those with disabilities.

This program was implemented from 2015 to 2020 and successfully improved the quality of work skills to 68,841 young people in 337 vocational schools, involved 2000 teachers, 249 business places, and 22 supporting institutions. This activity involves the community, teacher forums, and other stakeholders from government and private institutions (Vocational Training Centers/ BLKs, Course Institutions, Community Learning Activities Center/ PKBM, and Labor Distribution Institutions, and Rehabilitation Institutions) in 43 cities and 3 provinces. The results of the latest evaluation found that 75.35% of youth who had been trained had been working in a company or started their own business.

On the other hand, training was also provided to 300 young people with disabilities (blind, deaf, or physical disabilities) from 15 Special Schools (SLB) with 30 teachers for children with disabilities, of which 40 of them have completed apprenticeship and entrepreneurship programs in 8 companies. In addition, 27 companies / employers including vocational training centers, community learning centers, youth organizations, and national teacher training centers under the Ministry of Education and Culture, have conducted workplace readiness assessments and carried out gradual improvement and implementation plans for recruitment of prospective workers and increasing competence. existing staff.


This program notes that there are still many parties who ignore the importance of soft skills in preparing workers in Indonesia, so that the training curriculum design for youth does not provide the necessary provisions and there is no monitoring in the implementation. The training provided so far tends to be normative and narrative, so that changes to models and methods through this program require a more enjoyable approach (experiential learning), so that the concept is easier to understand and practice.

In addition, convincing curriculum makers and school programs is important to be able to apply this program. The support of the Guidance and Counsel Teacher (BK) who directly applies this model and module in the classroom, as well as the positive results of channelling graduates to be accepted for work, have made this program even more popular. This is also supported by a learning model that can integrate not only training inside and outside the classroom, but also with various other student activities to make the implementation of this program more integrated, including optimizing the use of financial literacy applications (Dooit).



Upper Panel: young men in Vocational High School learning how to manage finances through Financial Literacy program,

The Skills to Succeed youth empowerment program has received positive responses from various parties, so that the opportunity for replication can be carried out in all Indonesian SMKs through the adoption of the program by the Ministry of Education and Culture and also institutions under the Ministry of Manpower, as well as in various government and private institutions. In the medium and long term, it is expected that the output of this program can help lower the unemployment rate and increase the productivity of Indonesian youth.



Empowering MSMEs Scale Up. The government of Indonesia has made some efforts to prioritize the improvement of MSMEs. Bank of Indonesia encourages MSMEs to scale up their business, primarily related to institutional development or corporatization, financing, and MSMEs' capacity. Indonesia's government also promotes MSMEs to increase business linkage among MSMEs, business partnerships between MSMEs and large enterprises, and encourage entrepreneurship. The Ministry of State and Owned Enterprises (SOEs) has committed to supporting MSMEs upscaling through providing better infrastructure, financing, and access to the market. In particular, The Ministry of SOEs will increase the coordination between the association of State-Owned Banks (Himbara), PT Pegadaian, and PT Permodalan Nasional Madani or PNM for supporting micro-enterprises

MSMEs go digital. The government aims to utilize the digital economy by encouraging MSMEs to join digital markets. In 2023, the government wants to ensure that half of MSMEs in Indonesia (around 30 million MSMEs) will go digital and integrate their business activity into the electronic payment system. Before COVID-19, there were only 8 million MSMEs that joined digital platforms. In 2020, the number of MSMEs who entered digital media was increased by 3.7 million MSMEs. The government plans to encourage and increase digital literacy for MSMEs, especially Micro Enterprises.

Access to Finance for MSMEs. The government has introduced several accesses to finance programs for MSMEs, for example, People's Business Loans (Kredit Usaha Rakyat/KUR) through State-owned banks, cooperatives and other financial institutions. Some of those institutions also provides working capital via People's Business Loans (KUR) but specifically for business entities or business groups but do not have collateral or insufficient. The amount of the grant is more than IDR 25 million to IDR 500 million. In 2019, the government encouraged new entrepreneurs by assisting in up to 2500 micro-scale start-up entrepreneurs (Wirausaha Pemula/WP) up to IDR 12 million for each WP.

Implementation of Low Carbon Development. In terms of business licenses, there is government support for the planning of Environmental Impact Analysis for companies and/or operations of Micro and Small Enterprises that have a significant environmental effect, in compliance with Law No. 11/2020 concerning Job Creation (Omnibus Law). Assistance with the preparation of an Environmental Impact Analysis may take the form of facilitation, Fee, and/or the development of Environmental Impact Analysis itself.

Government support during COVID-19. The government provides fiscal support to assist MSMEs following the COVID-19 pandemic. Indonesia's government has allocated approximately IDR 34.15 trillion for around 60.66 million accounts to relax debt payment and credit interest subsidies via People's Business Loans (KUR), Ultra Micro (Umi), Mekaar program, banking, mortgage company, and others. The government also introduced a set of policies to encourage micro-business to restart and re-growth their business. For the micro-enterprise, the government provides grants for startups and increasing the LPDB (Revolving Fund Management Institution/Lembaga Pengelola Dana Bergulir) funds that can be used as a source of loans for cooperatives and MSMEs with lower interest.



BOX 4.16

SKILLED YOUTH HOMES (RUMAH MUDA TERAMPIL/ RMT): SOCIAL-BASED ENTREPRENEURSHIP TRAINING FOR YOUTH



Realizing decent work for youth can not only be done by providing provisions to enter the labor market. This can also be done by preparing youth to start their own businesses. With this motivation, in 2019, Indonesia Business Links (IBL) in collaboration with Accenture Indonesia runs the Skilled Youth House (Rumah Muda Terampil/ RMT) Program to prepare young people (including women's groups) to dare to start a business and become reliable young entrepreneurs. In addition, this program also aims to create jobs for local communities through an eco-business model and ensure

program sustainability through social entrepreneurship. This program is implemented in Ngembah Village, Dlanggu District, Mojokerto Regency, East Java.

The implementation of the RMT program involved many stakeholders such as the extended family of Suwadi Sinduredjo, who lent the family home as a shared study room, the WARLAMI Association (Indonesian Natural Colouring Association); Mojokerto Regency's Industry and Trade Office; The Mojokerto Regency's Cooperatives and SME Office, PT Asia Pacific Rayon, also collected aspirations from community officials (village heads, sub-village heads, and community leaders) as well as communities (youth mosques community, PKK, youth organizations, IPNU, and IPPNU).

In the first stage, a mapping of the local social, economic and cultural conditions is carried out in order to adapt it to local wisdom and the potential of the area. Furthermore, program socialization was carried out to increase awareness of the importance of entrepreneurship at a young age to 272 participants. After that, soft skills training was given to 76 participants to build good character that can be used for personal and community development. Then, the training was continued by providing technical skills training to 27 participants to start a business. The training provided included planting Indigofera seeds, training on making Indigofera paste, techniques for dyeing "Jumputan" fabrics with natural dyes, practicing making Sasirangan, and making Eco Print. Training participants are also given assistance to start a business with a mentoring mechanism and provide access or marketing media for business products. As a result, around 70% of program participants started natural color-based businesses by forming the Juwana Juwono Social Entrepreneurship and 30% started their own businesses selling online.

The challenges that arise from the implementation of this program include the need to identify the backgrounds of the training participants such as education attained, business experience, and business capacity. This identification is also useful to be used as a basis for conducting a needs assessment analysis so that the training provided can be more in line with the participants' abilities. The benefits of the program can also be expanded by recruiting more participants and using a master training system. This program can also be replicated in other regions by utilizing the local wisdom of each region to encourage the creation of decent work opportunities and achieve economic equality.

Source: Indonesia Business Links



BOX 4.17

PINKY MOVEMENT: PERTAMINA-MSMES PARTNERSHIP TO ENCOURAGE MSMEs IMPROVEMENT

Pertamina through the Social and Environmental Responsibility program collaborates with MSMEs so that they can survive during a pandemic through the Pinky Movement program. This program is implemented with the Creating Shared Value (CSV) concept targeting MSMEs engaged in the trade sector and the food-beverage sector. These two sectors are the sectors with the closest business process to Pertamina through the distribution of Non-Subsidized LPG.

This program is a fund loan program with a loan interest rate below People's Business Loans (KUR) to LPG outlets to develop their business by selling non-subsidized LPG, as well as MSMEs using subsidized LPG who wish to switch to use non-subsidized LPG. This program disbursed business funds at a maximum of 200 million rupiah for each MSME with an administrative service of 3% per year which is valid for 3 years. In addition to financing, the assisted MSMEs will also receive guidance in the form of training and certification assistance needed. The coaching is aimed to improve MSME's competencies, develop their business, and upgrade class (Naik Kelas).

This program is generally carried out to increase the productivity of MSMEs to upgrade their business (increase in turnover, get certification, increase in number of labor, expand markets, increase production capacity, and involve the surrounding community). Through this program, the development of local and regional business clusters is also developed, including through improving institutions and regulations. Through the Pinky Movement program, it encourages the opening of new LPG outlets in various areas of Indonesia. This program also provides access to the community to Non-Subsidized LPG, which previously used mostly subsidized LPG.

To date, as many as 461 SMEs LPG outlets participating in the Pinky Movement, received soft loans and used the funds to increase their business through the business of selling non-subsidized LPG. The increase in business can improve its operating profit by an estimated 21 million rupiah per year per LPG outlet and assets for the Non-Subsidized LPG business of around 31 million rupiah per year. This program also provides guidance through online learning and facilitates access to licensing and certification. MSMEs participating in this program can also expand their online marketing through the My Pertamina application, the pds135.com website and a market place for culinary MSMEs.

In addition, the Pinky Movement Program can be a method to control the use of subsidized LPG effectively while at the same time raising public awareness and ability to use Non-Subsidized LPG. The projection of savings in state subsidies from the Pinky Movement program is around 45 billion rupiah per year, which is obtained from reducing the consumption of 3kg LPG by 7.38 million kg per year. This program is also beneficial for Pertamina itself, which is projected to increase the company's profit by 7.56 billion rupiah per year. However, this program requires a strong commitment from MSMEs as well as proper guidance in order to reduce the risk of Non-Performing Loans. This program can also be expanded by adding the MSME sector that is financed, such as the industrial and livestock sector, which also uses LPG as industrial fuel and room temperature heating devices for livestock stalls.

Source: Pertamina

Government policy on the protection of workers in the tourism sector. President Joko Widodo introduced three fundamental approaches to protecting the tourism sector. First, provide social protection programs for workers in this sector. Second, budget reallocation to support industry and workers in the tourism sector. Third, economic stimulus for business actors in the tourism sector and creative economy to ensure their business can survive and minimize the possibility of layoffs.¹⁴

Recovery Plan for the tourism sector. The Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy in 2021 has introduced six major economic recovery plans to help tourism. These policies include: 1) tourism stimulus grant program, 2) free covid corridors with China and Singapore and other potential countries, 3) development of special economic zones (KEK) 4) boarding facilities by ensuring and supporting the adoption of digital technology for industry creative, 5) developing tourist villages, and 6) accelerating the vaccination program.¹⁵

Application of Special Economic Zones (KEK). Based on Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 1/2020 concerning the Implementation of Special Economic Zones (Kawasan Ekonomi Khusus/ KEK), the government has proposed several policies and facilities to ensure the development of KEK. Specifically, for the tourism sector, the development of KEK is also aimed at accelerating regional development and as a breakthrough model for regional development for economic growth, including industry, tourism and trade to create jobs. The tourism zone is designated for tourism business activities to support entertainment and recreation, meetings, exhibitions and related activities. The tourism zone being developed is prioritized for international standard tourism and can attract foreign tourists.

National Strategy for Financial Inclusion.

The government has introduced guidelines for ministries and institutions that are members of the National Council for Financial Inclusion (Dewan Nasional Keuangan Inklusif /DNKI) in formulating policies related to financial inclusion. This must be stated in its strategic document and synergized with the National Medium-Term Development Plan, Subnational Medium-Term Development Plan, Government Work Plans, Subnational Government Work Plans, and related Sustainable Development Goals in Indonesia. This strategy is regulated in Presidential Regulation No. 114/2020 on the National Strategy for Financial Inclusion (Strategi Nasional Keuangan Inklusif/SNKI). The priorities for implementing SNKI include: (1) Expanding and facilitating access to formal financial services at all levels of society, including through micro financial institutions and micro waqf banks; (2) Developing internet-based digital financial services that reach all regions in Indonesia; (3) Deepening the financial services sector by exploring the potential of the non-bank financial services sector, such as insurance, capital markets, pawnshops, and pension funds to create a stable domestic financial system; and (4) Strengthening protection for customers or consumers so that people can easily, safely and comfortably access formal finance and increase public confidence in the financial services industry.

Government Regulation on Microfinance Institutions.

Law No 1/2013 on concerning Microfinance Institutions in Indonesia is an important milestone in the establishment of financial institutions related to microfinance. Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) are financial institutions that are specifically established to provide business development and community empowerment services, either through loans or micro-scale business financing to members and the community, savings management, and providing business development consulting

14 [https://pedulicovid19.kemenparekraf.go.id/\]-presiden-jokowi-mitigation-dampak-covid-19-untuk-sektor-parekraf/](https://pedulicovid19.kemenparekraf.go.id/]-presiden-jokowi-mitigation-dampak-covid-19-untuk-sektor-parekraf/)
15 <https://pedulicovid19.kemenparekraf.go.id/6-strategi-menparekraf-dalam-akselerasi-pemulihan-sektor-parekraf/>



services that are not solely seeking advantage. MFIs aims to: increase access to micro-scale finance for the community, help increase economic empowerment and community productivity, and help increase community income and welfare. The purpose of establishing MFIs is primarily to help the poor and low-income people. MFIs can only be owned by Indonesian citizens; BUMD and village/kelurahan owned businesses; Regency/City Regional Government; and/or cooperatives.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

There are several strategies implemented by the government to achieve the targets in SDG Goal 8. In the RPJMN 2020-2024 there are three government policies that are closely related to achieving the targets in Goal 8. in particular: (1) to boost economic added-value; (2) to strengthen economic growth and competitiveness; and (3) to increase productivity and competitiveness. To achieve this target, the government has planned several strategic plans for the next five years, including the following:

1. Strengthening entrepreneurship, micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs), and cooperatives is carried out with the following strategies: (1) increasing business partnerships between micro, small, and medium enterprises; (2) increasing business capacity and access to finance for entrepreneurs; (3) increasing capacity, reach, and cooperatives innovation; (4) expanding the creation of business opportunities and startups; and (5) increase the added value of social enterprises.
2. Developing business capacity and quality products, strengthening institutional capacity, and expanding business partnerships. Capacity building for entrepreneurship and micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) are also supported by providing export-oriented fiscal incentives.
3. The strategy to increase financing for entrepreneurs is implemented through provision financing schemes for entrepreneurs and MSMEs, including business start-up capital and assistance in accessing credit/financing.
4. Entrepreneurship development, MSMEs, and cooperatives are directed at: (1) according to potential regions and to support the development of KEK, Industrial Estates, areas tourism, National Priority Rural Areas (KPPN), as well as increased activities productive economy in areas that are left behind (3T), and (2) integrated with infrastructure development.
5. For tourism, the focus is to increase the length of stay and tourist spending due to the improvements in accessibility, attractions, and amenities. The main focus of development is acceleration 10 Priority Tourism Destinations (DPP): Lake Toba and its surroundings, Borobudur and surrounding areas, Lombok-Mandalika, Labuan Bajo, Manado-Likupang, Wakatobi, Raja Ampat, Bangka Belitung, Bromo-Tengger-Semeru, and Morotai. This development will increase the contribution of added value and foreign tourism exchange according to its potential. The government will also carry out the revitalization of Bali.
6. The development of 8 tourism destinations focuses on increasing their readiness to accommodate an increase in tourist visits. The eight development areas are: Batam-Bintan, Bukittinggi-Padang, Bandung-Halimun-Ciletuh, Banyuwangi, Sambas-Singkawang, Derawan-Berau, Toraja-Makassar-Selayar, and Biak-Teluk Cendrawasih. Destination revitalization directed at Bali is implemented gradually.
7. Increasing the added value of the creative economy will be implemented through: (1) assistance and incubation; (2) development of a center of excellence; (3) facilitation of innovation and strengthening the brand, (4) development and revitalization of creative space, creative clusters/cities and Be Creative District (BCD); (5) application and commercialization of intellectual property rights; and (6) strengthening supply chains and scale of creative enterprises. Developing digital

- 
- clusters, including village-based, ease of business, and access to finance and markets.
8. Improvement of the business climate and increased investment will be carried out through (1) harmonization and synchronization of regulations and policies between sectors and regions; (2) facilitation ease of business and investment (3) labor reform through efforts to create a labor climate that is conducive supported by harmonious industrial relations, collective strengthening bargaining, improving labor regulations, increasing expertise and labor productivity, increasing the role of local government, as well as enhancement protection of workers both at home and abroad. Protecting the workforce through the application of a social protection system universal service for workers, reforming the placement service system and protecting migrant workers, and the implementation of an adequate labor inspection system; (4) strengthening business competition policies and institutions; and (5) improvement capacity, capability and competitiveness of SOEs.
9. Increase in added value, employment, and investment in the real sector, and industrialization carried out with the following strategies: (1) increasing industrialization processing-based agricultural commodities, forestry, fishery, maritime, and non-agro integrated upstream-downstream; (2) increasing industrialization through development of smelters and industrial estates, especially outside Java; (3) increase competitiveness of tourism destinations and industries, supported by supply chain strengthening and tourism ecosystem; (4) increase added value and product competitiveness creative and digital endeavors; (5) improve the business climate and increase investment, including labor reform; and (6) developing the halal industry.
10. Strengthening the pillars of growth and economic competitiveness carried out by strategies: (1) increasing financial sector deepening; (2) optimize utilization of digital technology and industry 4.0; (3) improve the logistics system and price stability; (4) increasing the application of sustainable practices in the industry processing and tourism; (5) fiscal reform; and (6) increasing availability and the quality of data and information on economic development, especially food and agriculture, maritime, tourism, creative economy, and the digital economy.
11. Increasing the role and cooperation of industry / private sector in education and vocational training, including the development of an incentive / regulatory system to encourage the role of industry / private sector in vocational education and training; increasing the role of the regions in intensive coordination with industry / private sector for the development of vocational education and training in the area, and mapping of expertise needs including strengthening labor market information.
12. Reform of the implementation of vocational education and training, including strengthening innovative learning with the alignment of study programs / areas of expertise support the development of leading sectors and industrial / private needs; aligning curriculum and learning patterns according to industry needs; strengthening of learning for mastery of work character, soft skills and language foreign; strengthening the implementation of dual system vocational education and training (dual TVET system) which emphasizes skill-based mastery practice and internship in industry; expansion of the application of teaching factory / teaching quality industry as one of the industry-standard learning systems; revitalization and improvement of the quality of learning facilities and infrastructure work practice education and vocational training according to standards; increased work use of work practice facilities in industry, including production units / teaching factory / teaching



- industry; strengthening job skills training and entrepreneurship in schools, madrasas and pesantren; increased facilitation and apprenticeship quality; and preparation of graduate placement strategies.
13. Improving the quality and competence of vocational educators/instructors, especially with increasing vocational educator/instructor training according to competence; enhancement involvement of instructors/practitioners from industry to teach in academic units and vocational training; and increasing teacher/instructor apprenticeship in the industry.
 14. Improving the quality and competence of vocational educators/instructors, especially with increasing vocational educator/instructor training according to competence; enhancement involvement of instructors/practitioners from industry to teach in academic units and vocational training; and increasing teacher/instructor apprenticeship in the industry.
 15. Policies to synchronize the agenda with the Human Rights National Action Plan, Anti-Trafficking in Person National Action Plan.
 16. Policies to protect women, including migrant workers, from violence and human trafficking (Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang/TPPO).

Related to implementation of financial inclusion, there are various policies and work programs on 2021-2024 that have been enacted by Presidential Decree Number 114/2020 on National Strategy for Financial Inclusion namely increasing access to formal financial services, increasing literacy and consumer protection, expanding of financial services coverage, strengthening access to capital and development support for Micro and Small enterprises, improving of digital financial products and services, strengthening the integration of economic activities and financial inclusion through at least digital financial services.

In accordance to that President Joko Widodo also give Presidential Directive related to Financial

inclusion namely increasing capacity, capability, and optimization of microfinance institutions (Fostering Economy for Prosperous Families Program conducted by a state-owned financing company Permodalan Madani Nasional (PMN), known as PMN Mekaar,

Micro Waqf Bank (BWM), Baitul Maal wa Tamwil (BMT), and so on), prioritizing of KUR for new and graduates customers from BWM and PNM Mekaar, integrating of government financing subsidy programs, implementing of non-cash transactions in the regions and in the distribution of government assistance, conducting optimization and synergy of beneficiaries family graduates with business assistance and micro financing, increasing student and college student participation in financial inclusion. .

In the Government Work Plan (Rencana Kerja Pemerintah/RKP) 2021, several national priorities are strongly associated with the Goal 8. Specifically, National Priority number 1 on strengthening the economy's resilience, the quality of growth, and fairness and number and number 6 on building the environment, increasing disaster resilience, and climate change. In the national priority target 1, eight priority programs (Program Prioritas/PP) will be conducted by the government. The four national priority programs (PP) that are closely related to goal 8 are: 1) strengthening entrepreneurship, micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs), and cooperatives, 2) increasing the added value of employment and investment in the real sector, and industrialization, 3) increasing high value-added exports and strengthening the level of domestic content (Tingkat Kandungan Dalam Negeri/TKDN), and 4) strengthening the pillars of growth and economic competitiveness. In the National Priority target 6, the government will be conducted three priority projects (PP), and there is one national priority programs (PP) that is closely related to goal 8 namely the implementation of Low Carbon Development.

Some of the derivative policies related to the four PPs in National Priority number 1 and one PPs in National Priority number 6, especially those

associated with the recovery of the COVID-19 pandemic, are:


- Cooperative and MSME businesses recovery, through a) providing capital loans, b) credit relief, c) business recovery assistance, d) providing tax incentives, e) increasing access to cooperatives and MSMEs, f) assistance to access financing channels, and g) increased partnerships.
- Restoration of the tourism sector and creative economy, through a) restoring the domestic tourist market through incentive support and national holiday arrangements, b) restoring the market for foreign tourists (tourists) through sales missions and tour packages, c) supporting the organization of national and international events, d) acceleration of infrastructure development for amenities, accessibility, and attractions of tourism and the creative economy, e) application of hygiene standards and application, f) restoration of tourism and creative economy through financing, supply chain cooperation and training, and g) increased growth of creative businesses.
- Increased investment through a) accelerating the integration of the licensing system, b) accelerating the realization of large-scale investments, c) providing debottlenecking and investment aftercare services, and d) expanding the positive investment list.
- The implementation and integration of a green COVID-19 recovery plan into national development planning documents. For example: low-carbon and resilient development is one of the priority developments targets for the next five years. This means that development carried out in a low-carbon framework, uses a scientific and analytical approach.
- The implementation of a scalable fiscal stimulus towards low-carbon development. For example, development aimed at developing renewable energy, development of environmentally friendly mass transportation and development

of green job opportunities and green tax incentives. In addition, efforts to protect the environment are continuously carried out in the rehabilitation of critical land, ecotourism which can empower community members to participate in preserving the environment.

- The strengthening of community and sector resilience against external shocks / disruptions, such as pandemics, and climate-related disasters. For this reason, the government seeks to improve the quality of the environment such as water, air, sanitation and waste systems, as well as efforts to improve the quality of technological mastery and innovation to improve food systems and resource efficiency, through a circular economy approach.

Specifically related to financial services sector policies in dealing with COVID-19, the government has implemented several policies such as:

- Banking, through:
Credit restructuring, determination of asset quality, the stimulus for People's Business Loans (Kredit Usaha Rakyat/KUR), Bank Indonesia Regulation (Peraturan Bank Indonesia/ PBI) on incentives for banks that provide funds for certain economic activities to support handling of the economic impact due to the COVID-19 pandemic, launching of working capital credit guarantees MSMEs in the context of National Economic Recovery (PEN), interest subsidies, and placement of funds.
- Non-bank Financial Industry (Industri Keuangan Non-Bank/IKNB), through:
Financing restructuring, relaxation of the insurance industry, and relaxation of the financing industry.
- Capital market, through: Capital market stimulus.



Goal 10

Reduced Inequalities

Goal 10 aims to reduce inequality in various dimensions. There are several specific targets to be achieved by 2030. Among the most important are: to progressively achieve and sustain growth in the income of the bottom 40% population (in terms of income) at a rate higher than the national average; empowering and enhancing social, economic and political inclusion for all, regardless of age, gender, disability, race, ethnicity, origin, religion or and another socio-economic status; ensuring equal opportunities and reducing inequalities in outcomes, by eliminating discriminatory laws, policies and practices, promoting appropriate legislation, policies and actions; adopting policies, particularly fiscal, wage and social protection policies, and progressively achieve greater equality.

In this review, the analysis of Goal 10 indicators in terms of its long-term trend (2015-2019) and the impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic (2019-2020), which will be divided into three parts. The first part focuses on the level of inequality based on the Gini ratio, the second discusses indicators related to the status of no one left behind, and the third discusses the aspects of improving equal opportunity, reducing discrimination and the role of the state in achieving all these goals. Then, it will put forward challenges and actions to address the challenges, including a discussion of related best practices that have emerged as initiatives by non-state actors and concluded with several policy responses related to recovery from the COVID-19 Pandemic.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

1. INEQUALITY BASED ON THE GINI RATIO OF PER CAPITA EXPENDITURE

In Indonesia, inequality indicators are typically measured using the Gini of per capita expenditure or consumption. From March 2015 to March 2019, Indonesia's Gini ratio (of per capita expenditure) continued to decline from 0.408 to 0.380 (Figure 4.105). Particularly, during this period, the Gini ratio decreased more rapidly in urban areas (-8.6%) than in rural areas (-5.7%).

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.105 Gini Ratio 2015-2020
Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia

Information on what happened during this period can be analyzed by expenditure per capita changed for each percentile of expenditure per capita. This is known as the Growth Incidence Curve (GIC) (Figure 4.106). GIC is relevant in the context of a longer period. Thus, Indonesia's Gini ratio from 1960 - early 2020 is shown in Figure 4.107. The Gini ratio usually changes very little over time, so looking at it from a longer time perspective is often necessary. Henry Aaron, an American sociologist, once said in 1970 – that observing inequality is like 'seeing the grass grow'¹⁶.




Figure 4.106 Growth incidence curves for 2010-2015 and 2015-2019
Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia

16 As quoted in Myles, J. (2003).



As shown in Figure 4.107, from the early 1960s to the late 1970s, inequality in Indonesia increased. One theory explaining this is that there is an increase in skills of urban workers due to import substitution policies to develop a capital-intensive industry (e.g., see Leigh and Van der Eng 2009).




Figure 4.107 Gini Ratio 1964-2020
Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia

From the 1980s to the late 1990s, before the Asian Financial Crisis (AFC), the Gini ratio was stable, if not slightly declining. However, after AFC, the Gini ratio shows a solid upward trend in urban and rural areas. In 2001, just after the AFC, the Gini ratio in urban area was 0.31. It increased to 0.41 in 2013 (an increase of 0.1 or 33 percent increase) in urban areas. The rate of change in rural areas is quite similar. In 2001 the Gini ratio was 0.24, and in 2013 it increased to 0.32 (an increase of 34 per cent). After peaking in 2012, the Gini ratio began to show a slow downward trend until 2019. In 2020, the Gini ratio began to increase slightly again, most likely due to the economic crisis of the COVID-19 pandemic.

From the longer-term perspective, it is known that the Indonesian Gini ratio since 2015 is a reversal from the solid increasing trend from the early 2000s, which is good news. However, from the longer-term perspective, the current Gini ratio is still considered high in historical standard. Currently, high Gini ratio is a result of

from the strong trend from the early 2000s to 2011, where the Gini ratio reaches a record-high surpassing the psychological threshold of 0.40.

From 2010 to 2015, the Gini ratio increased from 0.378 in 2010 to 0.408 in 2015, and then it fell to 0.380 again in 2019. Referring to the GIC curve (Figure 4.106), there is a solid positive slope of the GIC curve of 2010-2015, which means that when the percentage change in consumption is higher, the richer the population is. The slope around the top 10% wealthiest area is steeper, suggesting that the top 10% gains a disproportionately large increase in consumption. In contrast, the GIC curve of 2015-2019 shows a relatively flat slope until around the top 20% richest. Starting from that percentile (80th percentile), the percentage increase of consumption is lower the more inclined towards wealthier households. At the bottom 5%, it is shown that the percentage change of consumption is slightly higher compared to the increase during the 2010-2015 period. The GIC curve shape has caused the Gini ratio from



2015 to 2019 to decline, almost reversing the increasing trend during the previous five years.

Of course, GIC only illustrates the mathematics of distribution, and does not illustrate the underlying factors. There are not many literatures on the possible factors for the reverse of the trend from 2015 onwards, but here are several possible theories.

First, the slower increase of the top 20% richest expenditure may be caused by the end of the commodity boom after 2011. Indonesian Gini ratio reached its record high in 2011, at the same time of the peak of the Indonesian commodity boom. Indonesia has experienced a commodity boom in coal and palm oil, which has impacted inequality. Yusuf et al. (2014) used a computable general equilibrium model to show that the changes in inequality are due to world prices of mining commodities rather than estate crops. The hypothesis of the commodity boom can explain the widening gap between poor and rich in rural areas at least until 2011. After 2011, when the boom stops, inequality stalled and started declining after 2014. It may take time for the ending of the commodity boom to affect the consumption of the richest.

Second, there are progressive reforms in expansion of the target and the increase in social protection, especially after the fuel subsidy significantly reduced. It created fiscal space for more investment in social protection. The target of this program was mainly the bottom 5% population. The slope of the GIC curve around the bottom 5% area shows the effect of this program. For example, most of the poor people in this group are in the Family Hope Program (Program Keluarga Harapan/PKH). PKH is one of the social assistance programs prioritized. Yusuf (2018) analyze the social assistance program in the year 2016 that contributed to the Indonesian Gini coefficient, and found that the market Gini (without transfers) is estimated to be 0.410. The net Gini (after transfers) is 0.394. Therefore, the 5 social protection programs in that year have reduced inequality (as measured by the Gini



© UNICEF/UN0379170/Bea

ratio) nationally by 3.7%. The effect of PKH in 2016 is significant. It reduces the market Gini from 0.410 to 0.403, reducing 1.5% (See Box 4.15.



BOX 4.18

EFFECT OF SOCIAL SPENDING ON INEQUALITY

Yusuf (2018) estimate the effect of various social spending on inequality in Indonesia. By doing that, He can estimate the difference between the market Gini ratio (Gini ratio without social spending/transfers) and net Gini ratio (Gini ratio with social spending/transfers).


He defines NET_X as net household expenditure, where::

$$\text{NET_X} = \text{GROSS_X} + \text{BLSM} + \text{PKH} + \text{RASKIN} + \text{BSM} + \text{BPJSPBI}$$

Where GROSS_X is the market expenditure, or expenditure without any government transfers (of the 5 listed), BLSM is the unconditional cash transfers, PKH is the unconditional cash transfers, RASKIN is the rice subsidy for the poor, BSM is the education assistance for the poor and BPJSPBI is the health insurance subsidy for the poor. BLSM, PKH and BSM is a direct cash transfers where as RASKIN and BPJSPBI is subsidy and without cash transfers involved. Calculating each of the element of the net expenditure need to be explained as follows. The result for year 2016 is shown in the table below. The market Gini (without transfers) is estimated to be 0.410 and the net Gini (after transfers) is 0.394. Therefore the 5 programs of social protection have reduced inequality (as measured by Gini coefficient) nationally by 3.7%. The effect of PKH in 2016 is large. It reduces the market Gini from 0.410 to 0.403, a reduction of 1.5%.

THE EFFECT OF SOCIAL EXPENDITURE PROGRAM ON GINI RATIO (2016)

	GINI RATIO			CHANGE FROM MARKET/ GROSS (%)		
	Urban	Rural	All	Urban	Rural	All
Net expenditure per capita*	0.410	0.327	0.397	-1.934	-4.681	-2.973
Net expenditure per capita	0.408	0.323	0.394	-2.440	-5.698	-3.677
Market/Gross expenditure per capita	0.418	0.343	0.410	0.000	0.000	0.000
+BLSM	0.418	0.342	0.409	-0.074	-0.184	-0.117
+PKH	0.414	0.334	0.403	-1.013	-2.479	-1.495
+RASKIN	0.416	0.338	0.406	-0.564	-1.395	-0.936
+BSM	0.417	0.340	0.408	-0.296	-0.717	-0.460
+BPJS-PBI	0.416	0.339	0.407	-0.522	-1.102	-0.738
Market/Gross expenditure per capita	0.418	0.343	0.410	0.000	0.000	0.000
+BLSM	0.418	0.342	0.409	-0.074	-0.184	-0.117
+BSLM+PKH	0.414	0.333	0.403	-1.086	-2.659	-1.610
+BSLM+PKH+RASKIN	0.412	0.329	0.399	-1.644	-4.008	-2.530
+BSLM+PKH+RASKIN+BSM	0.410	0.327	0.397	-1.934	-4.681	-2.973
+BSLM+PKH+RASKIN+BSM+PBI	0.408	0.323	0.394	-2.440	-5.698	-3.677



Wright et al (2021)¹⁷ also estimated that social protection had reduced Gini Ratio by at as much as 7%.

The economic crisis caused by the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic undoubtedly increases poverty everywhere. However, during the early phase of the pandemic, the impact on inequality is not strongly confirmed by empirical analysis.

Statistics Indonesia also reports a slight increase in Gini ratio from 0.380 in September 2019 to 0.381 in March 2020. However, from a longer perspective, as seen from Figure 4.107, two alarming trends emerge: first, this is the first time since March 2014 that Gini ratio increased. Despite the pandemic has just began in March 2020, Indonesian government have begun encouraging work from home. Mobility restriction actually started during the second half of March 2020 and in the fourth week of March mobility reduced by 30-40% than February in many areas in Indonesia. In reference to previous studies, there are four mechanism possibilities that exacerbated the unequal impact of COVID-19 in household income.

First, informality of jobs. The level of informality in Indonesia is considerably higher in relative to other developing countries (Alatas and Newhouse, 2010). Using SAKERNAS, August 2019, the informality level was measured as the share of labor force, excluding salaried workers and self-employed with paid workers, to total employed workers by province. The mean value of these province-level informality rates is 56.4%. Rothenberg et al. (2016) estimated that more than 93% of firms in Indonesia are informal. These informal firms pay low wages, have low productivity and low-educated managers and serve small, very local and narrow demand markets—attributes that make informal sectors, arguably, vulnerable to economic shock.

Second, the ability to work from home. Dingel and Neiman (2020) and Mongey et al. (2020) assert that workers whose were unable to work from home will be hit disproportionately by mobility restriction

¹⁷ Gemma Wright,¹ Michael Noble,¹ Helen Barnes,¹ Ali Moechtar,² David McLennan,¹ Arief Anshory Yusuf,⁴ Katrin Gasior,^{1,3} and Ratnawati Muyanto, 2021, Estimating the distributional impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and the remedial tax and benefit policies on poverty in Indonesia



due to COVID-19, either from voluntary mobility reduction or government lockdown policies. Workers whose occupations are classified as craft and related trades workers, plant and machine operators and assemblers and elementary occupation, most likely were unable to work from home. From the SAKERNAS August 2019, the mean of shares of these types of workers to total labour force by province is 20.8%.

Third, the mobility-dependent economic sector. The heterogeneity of employment in terms of people's mobility affects the workers in these sectors based on the characteristics and product consumption. Some sectors are more sensitive to direct mobility contraction than others and in the shorter term, which are trade, transportation and accommodation sectors, based on SAKERNAS August 2019, the mean of shares of employment in these sectors by province is 17.5%.

Fourth, the workers' educational attainment. As discussed in the literature review, Cho and Winters (2020), Cortes and Forsythe (2020). Montenovo et al. (2020) and Benzeval et al. (2020) explores this mechanism and argue that less-educated workers face larger shock and far worse from the pandemic. Definition of low-educated workers are workers who attained no-school or elementary school education. Calculated from SAKERNAS, August 2019, the mean value of the share of low-educated workers to total labour force by province is 35.4%.




Figure 4.108 Top 10 Increasing Gini Coefficient in Urban Areas
Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia

When Statistics Indonesia announced the Gini ratio data of September 2020 in February 2021, it confirmed previous theories and hypotheses. Gini ratio of September 2020 was reported to be 0.385, an increase of 1.3% compared to the previous year. The difference is not significant, but it reversed inequality back to the inequality number of September 2018. Inequality increase was experienced in urban areas where Gini ratio increased by 1.7% from 0.393 to 0.399. As can be seen from Figure 4.108 and Figure 4.109 increase in urban inequality was experienced more predominantly in urban area in provinces where there are more labor-intensive industries like Banten, regions which was hit hardest with COVID-19 cases such as Jakarta, and regions where tourism is its main economic activities, such as Bali (See Figure 4.109).




Figure 4.109 Percentage Change in Urban Gini Ratio September 2019 - September 2020
Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia

In August 2020, Statistics Indonesia conducted the annual labor force survey (Survei Angkatan Kerja Nasional/SAKERNAS) which conveyed condition of employees and employment, as well as its effect on inequality. Table 4.7, data from the survey shows the pattern of reduction in working hours from August 2019 to August 2020. The data shows that formal workers experience a reduction in working hours more than informal workers, especially in the positions of managers, professionals, administrators, and machine assembly operators. This is a response to restrictions on movement (Large Scale Restriction or Pembatasan Sosial Berkala Besar/PSBB) or the policies implemented by the companies where they work. This is due to income of informal workers are dependent on their working hours; they do not have the privilege of not working; when not working, they will not be able to meet the needs for their families.

However, this is different from the perspective of change of income. Informal workers generally experience a much larger decrease in income than formal workers, although the decrease in their working hours is much smaller (Table 4.7). For example, it appears that the largest decrease in income occurred for workers in the operator/machine assembler group (-25.0%). The second largest decrease in income was experienced by those who worked as technical workers who worked informally (-23.0%). Furthermore, managers who work informally also experienced a significant decrease in their income by -14.4%. These workers are owners of small enterprises who are dependent on people's outdoor activities which have declined during the COVID-19 pandemic.

This situation can explain the decline in income is a lot larger for the poor. For example, the poorest 20% (lowest income group) experienced a decrease in income by 16.5% while the decrease in income for the richest 20% group is 8.3% (Table 4.7). During the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a decline in income among the workforce which tends to be regressive (the poor suffer more than the rich), and thus consistent with the increasing expenditure inequality discussed earlier.



Table 4.7 Working hour and earning in August 2019 and August 2020 by formality, occupation, and earning quintiles

OCCUPATION	AUGUST 2019		AUGUST 2020		CHANGES	
	WORKING HOURS	INCOME	WORKING HOURS	INCOME	%	%
FORMAL						
Armed Forces Occupations	49.8	5,917,763	48.2	5,635,417	-3.3	-4.8
Managers	40.5	7,342,967	37.6	6,348,223	-7.0	-13.5
Professional	34.7	3,377,434	29.1	3,298,751	-16.1	-2.3
Technician and Associate Professionals	42.9	4,456,636	39.4	4,150,476	-8.2	-6.9
Clerical Support Workers	41.1	3,388,176	37.3	3,395,248	-9.3	0.2
Service and Sales Workers	49.1	2,333,225	46.3	2,246,834	-5.8	-3.7
Skilled Agricultural, Forestry and Fishery Workers	35.4	1,962,612	34.9	1,864,414	-1.5	-5.0
Craft and Related Trades Workers	44.1	2,296,196	41.4	2,182,360	-6.1	-5.0
Plant and Machine Operators, and Assemblers	46.5	3,075,776	42.0	2,915,050	-9.7	-5.2
Elementary Occupations	42.8	1,940,759	40.4	1,894,002	-5.7	-2.4
INFORMAL						
Managers	41.5	3,287,612	39.9	2,815,572	-3.9	-14.4
Professional	25.3	3,012,588	24.2	2,592,413	-4.5	-13.9
Technician and Associate Professionals	29.8	2,907,732	26.0	2,239,179	-12.7	-23.0
Clerical Support Workers	36.1	3,132,220	33.2	2,434,623	-7.9	-22.3
Service and Sales Workers	44.0	2,172,968	41.8	1,885,080	-5.0	-13.2
Skilled Agricultural, Forestry and Fishery Workers	27.5	1,423,300	27.0	1,317,759	-1.7	-7.4
Craft and Related Trades Workers	35.9	1,707,194	34.7	1,632,820	-3.4	-4.4
Plant and Machine Operators, and Assemblers	44.2	2,343,785	41.0	1,757,856	-7.2	-25.0
Elementary Occupations	34.9	1,404,265	33.1	1,336,983	-5.2	-4.8
QUINTILE INCOME						
Quantile 1	30.0	540,680	27.4	451,397	-8.7	-16.5
Quantile 2	40.5	1,257,176	38.3	1,177,554	-5.3	-6.3
Quantile 3	44.2	1,925,027	42.0	1,859,038	-5.0	-3.4
Quantile 4	46.2	2,871,016	44.2	2,635,902	-4.4	-8.2
Quantile 5	45.1	5,843,860	41.5	5,356,785	-8.0	-8.3
Total	38.8	1,720,143	36.3	1,490,893	-6.5	-13.3

Source: Sakernas, Statistics Indonesia



2. THE STATUS (LEAVING NO ONE BEHIND)

a. Inequality between regions

There is significant progress from 2014 to 2018 in the number of underdeveloped villages (desa tertinggal). A village is classified as Desa Tertinggal based on its status of: (1) provision of basic needs, (2) basic services, (3) environment, and (4) activities of empowerment of villagers. Underdeveloped villages are classified as such due to minimal availability and access to basic services, infrastructure, accessibility/transportation of public services, and governance. An index is developed based on those indicators (Village Index/Indeks Desa). Underdeveloped villages scores less than or equal to 50¹⁸.




Figure 4.110 Underdeveloped Villages, Independent Villages and Underdeveloped Regions
Source: Village Index, Statistics Indonesia

Figure 4.110 shows that underdeveloped villages have declined from 20,432 villages in 2014 to 14,461 villages in 2018. The decline of almost 30% in 4 years or around 8.6% per year. This is a positive progress considering Indonesian economic growth has been at around 5% per year. With this progress, there is also an increase in the number of villages classified as independent villages (desa mandiri). A village is classified as Desa mandiri with scores higher than 75 in the Village Index. There were as many as 2,894 independent villages in 2014. In 2018, the number of desa mandiri increased to 5,606, almost doubled. It is an increase of 16% per year during these 4 years period.

Another indicator that measures the progress of development is the number of underdeveloped districts (daerah tertinggal). Underdeveloped districts are districts that are less developed than other districts on a national level. Underdeveloped districts are determined based on criteria of the economy of its population; quality of its human resources; quality of facilities and infrastructure; regional financial capacity; accessibility; and certain regional characteristics. Based on these criteria in 2015, there was at least 122 districts in Indonesia (out of 416 districts) which were classified as under developed districts (Figure 4.110). In 2018, it was reduced to 62 districts, a decline of almost half in 4 years.

18 https://www.bps.go.id/indikator/indikator/view_data/0000/data/1231/sdgs_10/1




Figure 4.111 Economic Growth and Poverty in Underdeveloped Regions
Source: PODES and Village Index, Statistics Indonesia

Based on the declining of underdeveloped districts, compared to national economic growth (in various dimensions), it can be concluded that there is significant progress in disparity of regional development.

However, progress of the declining of underdeveloped regions (villages or districts), there are still room for improvement. First, despite steadily declining from 21.7% in 2015 to 20.1% in 2019, the declining rate of poverty in underdeveloped districts is relatively slow, only 0.6% reduction in 4 years. COVID-19 pandemic hit these underdeveloped districts hard. Poverty in these districts rise from 21.7% to 26.4%, 5.3% percentage point in just one year. This shows how vulnerable these regions are to economic shocks. Second, in terms of economic growth, the economic growth of underdeveloped regions is not always higher than national average during 2015-2020—conditional to address regional inequality. Only in 2015 and 2016, economic growth of underdeveloped districts is notably higher than national average. With this condition, reducing the gap between underdeveloped and other regions became more challenging.

b. Relative Poverty

Relative poverty is measured by the proportion of people living below 50 per cent of median income (In Indonesian case, proxied by expenditure per capita). In contrast to absolute poverty, such as extreme poverty (PPP\$1.9/day/person) or poverty incidence by national poverty line, in relative poverty, the poverty line increases when median income increases over time. An increase in relative poverty incidence can mean that the income growth of the population in the bottom part of distribution (poorer) is not in line with the median income population. In this case, people with average median income are the anchor of welfare standard. Normally, when economy grows, the income of median people also grows. In this situation, it is harder to eradicate relative poverty.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.112 Relative Poverty by Region, Gender, and Age Group
Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia

In 2020, the proportion of people living below 50% of median expenditure per capita is 11.99%. Compared to 2017, the proportion fell from 12.8%, however if compared to 2015, there has been an increase (Figure 4.112). In 2015, the relative poverty incidence is 10.17%. From this we may conclude that despite consistent decline in absolute poverty, Indonesia's relative poverty does not show a similar progress. It means that, despite income of the people in the category of poorest rises, its rate of increase is not rapid enough to the increase of median income population.

Relative poverty is more prevalent in rural areas (16.8% in 2020), among youth (15.3% in 2020) and the elderly (13.24%), and those with disabilities (14.35%) (Figure 4.112). In regional terms, poverty is more prevalent in the underdeveloped areas, such as areas in the provinces of NTT, Papua, and some parts of Sulawesi Island (Figure 4.113).




Figure 4.113 Relative Poverty by Province

Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia

c. Equal opportunity, discrimination and the role of the state

To reduce inequality, it is necessary to ensure equal opportunity and reduce inequalities of outcome, including eliminating discriminatory laws, policies and practices.




Figure 4.114 Proportion of budget allocated for social protection
Source: Ministry of Finance



State support for equal opportunities should also be reflected in state budget, especially in the resources allocation to address inequality. Over the period of 2015 to 2020, the trend shows an improving trend. The percentage of government spending on social protection (relative to total spending) in 2020 is larger than 2015. In 2020, the proportion of expenditure spent on social protection reached 12.7%, while in 2015, it was only 1.7%. The increase in 2020 due to additional social protection budgets as an effort to mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.




Figure 4.115 Proportion of workers covered by Workers Insurance
Source: BPJS Ketenagakerjaan and Sakernas, Statistics Indonesia

Another indicator of equal opportunity is protection of workers (such as from being laid-off or other risks). There has been a significant improvement in coverage, at least for formal workers. In 2019, 56.51% of formal workers were covered by employment insurance. In just one year in 2020, the coverage increased to 63.82%. Coverage for informal workers, however, is still low (3.21% in 2020). In addition to insurance, Indonesian government has also improved its protection for Indonesian migrant workers. Services facilitation for Indonesian migrant workers have increased to an additional 365 occupation in 2020 from an accumulative of 650 occupations in 2019.

B. CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. CHALLENGES

We identify some of the main challenges faced in achieving the targets of Goal 10 (Reducing Inequality).

- 1. Low social mobility.** High and persistent inequality generally occurs because of low social mobility, which is represented by high inequality of opportunity. A recent study²⁰ shows that in Indonesia, people born to low-income families will earn 85-90% lower than those born in non-poor families when they are adult.

²⁰ Rizky, M., Suryadarma, D., & Suryahadi, A. (2019). Effect of Growing Up Poor on Labor Market Outcomes: Evidence from Indonesia. ADBI Working papers.



2. **High rate of urbanization.** By 2035, nearly 70% of Indonesia's population will live in cities. Two-thirds of Indonesians and three-quarters of the population in Java will live in urban areas. Two drivers drive this process: the migration of people from rural to urban areas for jobs and better lives and the transformation of the region from rural to become urban areas. The challenge that has arisen so far is that rapid urbanization goes hand in hand with increasing inequality. The data shows that inequality in urban areas is much higher than in rural areas. Poverty in urban areas has also decreased much more slowly than poverty in rural areas. The sources of new problems resulting from unanticipated urbanization include lower quality of life (urban slum areas), urban poverty, increased pollution, reduced environmental quality, and congestion. From an economic point of view, the problem of urbanization is also related to the inability of cities to create sufficient decent work opportunities for their growing population and, at the same time, the limited development of economic activities capable of providing employment and a decent level of living in rural areas.
3. **Structural transformation.** During the 2000s, economic growth in the manufacturing sector is not in line with the long-term growth of the same sector experienced between the 1970s and the mid-1990s. After peaking at 28.4% in 2001, the value-added from the manufacturing sector fell to 19.7% in 2019. The share of manufacturing employment fell from 13.1% in 1997 and increased slightly to 14.9% in 2019, with small annual fluctuations. In other words, Indonesia is experiencing stalled industrialization. The change of the main engine of structural transformation (from manufacturing to services) has had a severe impact on the productivity of the Indonesian economy. Labor productivity growth decreased from 4.5% per year during 1985–96 to 3.1% per year during 1999–2012. In

addition, the proportion of the contribution of structural transformation to labor productivity growth shrank from 39.2% to 29.8%. Various studies (e.g. Baymul and Sen (2019), also Yusuf et al. (2021) show that tertiarization tends to increase inequality.

4. **High labour informality.** The high level of labor informality is the cause of structural transformation occurred during the 2000s towards an unproductive tertiary sector that tends to increase inequality. High and persistent labor informality is also a challenge to achieve universal access to social protection.

2. ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

Actions that have been implemented in an effort to address the above challenges include the following.

1. Low social mobility is related to the low investment in human capital among low-income groups. Thus, the government continues to strive to improve access to education and health for these group of population. The Family of Hope Program (PKH) is one of these efforts. Its conditional nature where the money can be given only if the children from poor PKH recipient families stay in school or that pregnant women and infants continue to be routinely checked in government health service units, help these programs translate from income transfers into human capital development.
2. Several scholarship programs for the poor have been implemented by the national government, such as the Smart Indonesia Program (PIP), which is given to 21 million school-aged children from 30% poorest households. In addition, local governments such as the Jakarta Provincial Government also provide special programs such as the Jakarta Smart Card.
3. Low social mobility is also associated with the low nutrition-status of children under

five, which causes stunting and eventually lead to low cognitive levels and low labor market outcomes during adulthood. The Ministry of Health has formulated a national strategy to reduce stunting. The strategy includes specific nutrition interventions directly targeting children in their first 1,000 days of life. Other efforts include providing drugs or food for pregnant women or infants aged 0-23 months. Nutrition interventions are also indirectly carried out through various development activities outside the health sector, such as, the provision of clean water or sanitation, nutrition education, and food and nutrition security.

- Structural transformation is the most difficult challenge. Several initiatives have been started so that investment, especially in the manufacturing sectors, can be accelerated. The government undertakes reforms in to speed up licensing that can reduce regulatory burden on companies. All with the objective that the manufacturing sector, especially those that have the potential to increase job opportunities, can grow faster.

Several actions have been implemented to address the challenges related to Goal 10, and many non-state actors also play a role in their contribution in the achievement of Goal 10.. The following are some examples of best practices that are considered significant in Indonesia's efforts to achieve Goal 10.

GUYUB PROJECT, EAST JAVA

Guyub Project was initiated as a partnership between the Indonesian Government and the United Nations. It is a good example of what can be achieved when partners work together toward certain strategic goals. Led by UNODC, in collaboration with UNDP and UN women, the Guyub Project supports the Indonesian Government to prevent violent extremism in the Province of East Java.

BOX 4.19 GUYUB PROJECT, JAWA TIMUR



The project also brings together various government agencies, including the National Counter Terrorism Agency (BNPT), Witness and Victim Protection Agency (LPSK), Directorate General of National Unity and Politics (Kesangpol), Directorate General of Corrections (DGC), Ministry of National Development Planning (BAPPENAS), Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection, and Ministry of Religion. Among the novelty of the Guyub Project is that it leverages the partnership and collaboration between academia the provincial government especially the offices of the Governor and Deputy Governor of East Java Province. This multi-agency and multi-sectoral approach are necessary because violent extremism is a complex phenomenon requiring a coordinated multi-disciplinary response involving a wide range of relevant expertise.

Despite the challenges from COVID-19 pandemic, the project managed to reach around 9,295 direct beneficiaries and more than 40,000 indirect beneficiaries during the first year of implementation. It was done through innovative platforms and media, including podcasts, online learning and hybrid modalities.



E-MAS BAYU & E-MBAK MINA, UJUNG ALANG VILLAGE, CILACAP

The E-MAS BAYU & E-MBAK MINA is an initiative from Regional Unit IV of PERTAMINA (A fossil fuel state-owned company) Cilacap. The program utilized the abundant potential of wind and solar power in a remote area. The power plant is independent from the main grid that constitute solar and wind energy through a Hybrid Power Plant with a capacity of 12,000 WP. The people of Bondan village can use of the power plant to power up their economic activities including pond cultivation.



BOX 4.20 E-MAS BAYU & E-MBAK MINA, UJUNG ALANG VILLAGE, CILACAP

This program has benefit at least 225 beneficiaries including for farming activities, teaching and learning, and MSMEs development. It contributes significantly to the achievement of Sustainable Development Targets (SDG) 3 (Healthy and prosperous life), 4 (Quality education), 8 (Decent work and economic growth), 11 (Sustainable cities and communities), 12 (Sustainable consumption and production). responsible), 13 (Addressing climate change).

The project involves constructing 15 Windmills and 24 Solar Cells with AC properties with the involvement of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, the Government of Cilacap Regency, the Health Office.

The benefit of the project includes:

1. 98% of the area covered by Energi Mandiri Solar and Wind.
2. 10 group members have the ability to maintain PLTH,
3. Emission reduction: 8.77 tonnes CO₂ eq / year, and
4. 12 Kwh of New and Renewable energy results / day



C. POLICY RESPONSE

This section discusses some important immediate policy responses in the context of Indonesia's recovery from the multi-dimensional crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. The crisis has threatened to disrupt the targets of Goal 10 (Reducing Inequality). The discussion will primarily focus on the recovery efforts as outlined from the Government Work Plan (RKP) in 2021.

Even though, the RKP 2021 is focused on economic recovery from COVID-19, some of its themes are relevant to Goal 10 (Reducing Inequality). For example, the following are considered national priorities: (1) Strengthening Economic Resilience for Quality and Equitable Growth; (2) Regional Development to Reduce Inequality; and (3) Increasing Quality and Competitiveness of Human Resources. These three national priorities are very relevant in achieving the targets of Goal 10 (Reducing inequality).



In the 2021 RKP, there are at least four economic recovery strategies most relevant to the achievement of Goal 10.

First, social protection system reform. The development of an integrated data collection system towards social registration of 100 percent population will commence. The first step is to include data further than the bottom 40 percent. This step was taken primarily to improve the accuracy of data on program recipients, to minimize exclusion and inclusion errors, especially for the poor and vulnerable groups post-COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, integrated social assistance programs are also developed with the aim of increasing the effectiveness of poverty reduction by increasing the adequacy of benefits received by beneficiaries.

Second, labor-intensive infrastructure development strategy, especially those directed at industrial and tourism areas. There is a strong emphasis on labor intensive sector so that the economic recovery that occurred would increase equality.

Third, human development strategy. As previously discussed, human development strategies are closely related to efforts to expand social protection, strengthen the health sector, and alleviate poverty and reduce inequality. Human resource development needs to be

directed at efforts to increase the productivity and competitiveness of the workforce, including through strengthening vocational education and training, as well as general secondary and tertiary education. This strategy is directed at increasing the productivity of labor affected by the COVID-19 crisis. In the preparedness of prolonged COVID-19 pandemic, prolonged COVID-19 pandemic, the quality and effectiveness of learning during the pandemic will also be strengthened.

Fourth, challenges of de-industrialization resulting in structural transformation with its adverse inequality impact. Thus, the outlined industrial and trade recovery strategy is relevant to Goal 10. Enhancing competitiveness of leading industrial sectors will be the targets; and then encouraging procurement of local goods by government and state-owned enterprises; accelerating the development of import-substitution products, especially food, beverages and pharmaceuticals; increased export facilitation; facilitate import of raw materials; increased product standards; optimizing the Preferential Trade Agreement Free Trade Agreement (FTA) Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (CEPA) for export expansion; and improved logistics efficiency.



Goal 12



Responsible Consumption and Production

Sustainable Development Goals ensures a sustainable pattern of production and consumption through Goal 12. The main issues include the implementation of the 10-Year Framework of Programs on the Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns (10YFP SCP) (12.1), food loss and waste (FLW) (12.3), chemicals and waste management (12.4) and waste generation reduction (12.5), the active role of companies and businesses through their sustainability report (SR) (12.6), sustainable public procurement practices (12.7), education for sustainable development (12.8), and rationalization of fossil-fuel subsidies (12.c). In the last five years (2015-2019), progress has been reported for several indicators in Goal 12. Nevertheless, some indicators continue to be developed in 2020 to improve the quality of the achievement of Goal 12. Meanwhile, COVID-19 pandemic has both directly and indirectly confined the achievement of several targets in Goal 12. Directly, for instance, the pandemic generates tremendous hazardous medical waste such as medical masks, etc, therefore, further efforts to manage these medical wastes are required.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Goal 12 consists of seven reportable targets with the main impact of COVID-19 pandemic in targets of Goal 12 is the generation of hazardous medical waste to prevent the spread of the virus. It is estimated that before the pandemic, 2,867 hospitals in Indonesia generated 294,660 kg of medical waste per day (Ministry of Health, 2018). During the pandemic, medical waste generation was projected to increase by 30% (Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021). Hazardous medical waste is one of the priorities in SDG 12 achievement since the COVID-19 virus spread in early 2020 until today.

The pandemic has changed people's lives and has had multi-dimensional effects on development policies. Therefore, implementing a circular economy is even more relevant in terms of efficiency and strengthening the value chain. Circular economy provides a solution by maximizing the existing resources and also other potentials. The concept of circular economy is not only focused on designing zero-waste industries, but also focus on social factors and the provision of resources and sustainable energy. This concept is applied with the 5R approach (reduce, reuse, recycle, recovery, and repair).



1. IMPLEMENTATION OF 10YFP SCP

Since 2015, intervention of sustainable consumption and production (SCP) is indicated by its mainstreaming in the National Medium-Term Development Plan (Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Nasional/RPJMN) 2015-2019. Furthermore, SCP implementation is a part of the SDGs National Action Plan (NAP) 2017-2019 and many SDGs Subnational Action Plans. Currently, SCP implementation is part of the country's national priority (National Priority 1 Strengthening Economic Resilience for Quality Growth and National Priority 6 Building Living Environment, Increasing Disaster Resilience and Climate Change) as part of the effort in resource efficiency and environmental pollution reduction, as well as improving the quality of life development. These policies are applied in low carbon development (LCD) in the national development agenda.

At the beginning of SDGs implementation in 2016, the implementation of 10 YFP SCP was translated into the increased number of collaborations of thematic quickwins programs. In 2020, there were 11 collaboration sectors of thematic SCP quickwins programs, consisting of (1) industry: green industry standard and low-cost green car (LCGC); (2) forestry: Timber Legality Verification System (Sistem Verifikasi dan Legalitas Kayu/SVLK), Intensive Silviculture Technique (Teknik Silvikultur Intensif/SILIN), Energy Plants, and Forestry Environment Services; (3) energy: new and renewable energy; (4) tourism: Indonesia Sustainable Tourism (ISTA); (5) public works and housing: Sustainable Construction and Building; (6) transportation: Sustainable Transport, and the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) scheme to Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA or ICAO Corsia); (7) communication and information: Green Information and Communication Technology (ICT); (8) innovation and technology: Green Technology; (9) financing: Sustainable Finance; (10) agriculture: Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil System (ISPO); and (11) fisheries and marine:

Sustainable Fisheries.

In 2020, the 10YFP SCP document was updated by the publication of the SCP Indonesia Framework 2020-2030 document and the SCP Action Guidelines. The first document is an update from the SCP Indonesia Framework 2013-2030, which consists of: (1) Strategies of the implementation of SCP pattern change in Indonesia; (2) Reference in formulating strategies and actions to SCP pattern change from tread level; and (3) Reference in implementing cross-sectoral collaboration for policies on SCP (Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2020a). The latter document is a compilation of "SCP Action Guidelines" from various innovation and action initiatives on Goal 12 that has flourished in Indonesia over the last 10 years. These documents are expected to be the references for SCP implementation that is tangible, deliverable and beneficial for the Subnational Governments (Provincial, Districts and Cities), new SCP-concerned communities, and businesses. Furthermore, in the longer term, these actions may become a collaborative acceleration tool to achieve SDGs. The implementation of both documents is expected to maintain harmony and alignment, and interconnectedness among SDG actions (Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2020b).

Mainstreaming the SCP implementation is also conducted through the development of the SCP Community of Practices in Indonesia. Its establishment can be accelerated through a collaborative platform of relevant stakeholders. Community of Practices are achieved through two approaches: (1) a change in tread level focusing on operational changes of business processes by the government, businesses and community. Package/Menu of SCP action is prepared to ease the process in the SCP Action Guidelines; (2) top-down approach through SCP sectoral policies. Many sectors have initiated policies in SCP pattern change. To strengthen the implementation of sectoral SCP, started in 2020, it was encouraged that the performance indicators of these policies can be



accommodated in the medium-term development planning cycle in Indonesia.

Indonesia continues to encourage SCP implementation in companies through Companies' Rank Performance Rating Program (Program Penilaian Peringkat Kinerja Perusahaan/PROPER). PROPER is developed to assess and award companies that actively reduce their environmental damage and maintain their reputation. There are five categories of PROPER: gold, green, blue, red and black, which rank from best/most consistent to the lowest. Until 2020, 2,038 companies participated in PROPER have reduced their pollution up to 46.16 million tonnes, 3R activities of waste up to 5,029,181 tonnes, 131.2 million tonnes CO₂e of GHG emissions reduction, and water use efficiency of 339,529,963 m³. Along with the increasing number of industries that have received green to gold certification, the realization of sustainable production and consumption in Indonesia will also increase (Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2020).

Generally, the blue PROPER recipients increased from 1,422 companies in 2015-2016 to 1,629 companies in 2019-2020 (Figure 4.116). Gold PROPER recipients have increased from 12 companies (2015-2016) to 32 companies (2019-2020). Meanwhile, the trend of green PROPER recipient companies decreased from 172 in 2015-2016 to 125 in 2019-2020 as several companies increased to the Gold category. The rest for the red PROPER recipient companies are still experiencing fluctuations over the year. However, there are still 2 companies until 2020 that have received the black PROPER predicate.




Figure 4.116 Number of Companies and their PROPER categories 2015-2020.
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2020



Another policy for the industry in supporting sustainable production and consumption is through the green industry application which is carried out through the concept of cleaner production which includes the application of 5R (reduce, reuse, recycle, recovery and repair). The government efforts through the Ministry of Industry that have been made include the preparation of Green Industry Standards and Green Industry Certification. The green industry standard is an industry standard related to raw materials, auxiliary materials, energy, production processes, company management, waste management, or other aspects that are prepared to create a green industry. From 2017-2020, the Ministry of Industry has set a total of 28 green industry standards. Furthermore, green industry certification is a form of financial incentive from the government to the industry through financing the initial certification of green industry implementation. During 2017-2020, 37 industrial companies have been certified in the green industry through collaborative financing by the government and industry. To support the implementation of green industry certification, until 2020 there are 16 Green Industry Certification Institutions (Lembaga Sertifikasi Industri Hijau, LSIH) consisting of 8 Centers (Balai Besar), 1 Industrial Standardization Research Center, 1 Industrial Certification Center, and 6 external LSIH. From 2010 until 2019, 895 companies are receiving SIH, contributing to energy and water efficiency equivalent to IDR 3.5 trillion and IDR 228.9 billion consecutively.

Efforts in the implementation of 10YFP SCP is made through the implementation of SCP standards in the development sectors. One example is the SCP standard implementation in the sector of environment and forestry based on science and technology principles. Currently, 10 Indonesian National Standard (Standar Nasional Indonesia/SNI) has been established: (1) Management Standardization (forest management, seed technology, nursery and environment management); (2) Product

Standardization (non-wood forest product, log, sawn timber, wood panels, and other products, harmonization to international standards, ecolabel criteria); (3) Technology and Assessment Standardization (water quality and wastewater, quality of seawater, air quality, hazardous materials, and ecolabel criteria) (Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2021b). To strengthen this, the Institution of Environment and Forestry Instrument Standards (Badan Standar Instrumen Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/BSI LHK) will be established based on Presidential Regulation Number 92 Year 2020 on the Ministry of Environment and Forestry. It aims to strengthen the authority and capacity of standard institutions, which are currently only echelon level institutions (Pusat Standarisasi LHK). BSI is designed to reach the standards in production, implementation, monitoring and management, thus ensuring the achievements of SCP profiles.

2. REDUCING FOOD LOSS AND FOOD WASTE (FLW)

Current policies of food security in Indonesia are focused on increasing food production, setting aside the management of FLW. According to FAO (2019), food loss is the decrease in the quantity or quality of food resulting from decisions and actions by food suppliers in the chain, excluding retailers, food service providers and consumers. Meanwhile, food waste is the decrease in the quantity or quality of food resulting from decisions and actions by retailers, food service providers and consumers. Food loss occurs in production, post-harvest, until processing and packaging. Food waste occurs during the distribution and marketing until consumers' consumption.

According to the study of Food Loss and Waste in Indonesia (Bappenas, 2021), it is estimated that 23-48 million tons/year (115-148 kg/capita/year) of food loss and waste has generated in 2000-2019. The largest generation occurs in the consumption supply chain (5-19 million tons/year). The percentage of food loss for 20 years




Figure 4.117 Food Loss and Waste Generated in 2000-2019
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, 2021

tends to decrease from 61% in 2000 to 45% in 2019 with an average of 56%. Meanwhile, food waste generation for 20 years tends to increase, from 39% in 2000 to 55% in 2019 with an average of 44%. When viewed in terms of food types, the largest FLW generation was contributed by the food crops sector, specifically from grains,

which amounted to 12-21 million tons/year. Meanwhile, the most inefficient type of food is the horticulture sector, especially vegetables – where the loss reaches 62.8% of the total domestic supply of vegetables in Indonesia.

The emergence of FLW has a multidimensional impact. From the environmental aspect, these emissions result in total greenhouse gas emissions reaching 1,702.9 Megatons of CO₂e. From an economic point of view, it causes economic loss of IDR 213 - 551 Trillion per year or equivalent to 4%-5% of Indonesia's GDP, and from the social side, the loss of energy content due to food loss and waste is equivalent to the portion of food consumed by 61 million - 125 million people per year. Moreover, the issue of FLW also affects the achievement of responsible consumption and production patterns.

As a concrete step of FLW management in the context of implementing a circular economy and low carbon development in Indonesia as well as encouraging the achievement of sustainable development goals, several key points need to be encouraged, including building FLW knowledge to all stakeholders involved in the food supply chain, changes in community behavior, developing farmer corporations and optimizing appropriate funding for the provision of infrastructure and facilities that support food processing efficiency, developing FLW regulations at national and regional levels as well as strengthening institutional coordination, encouraging the development of food distribution platforms (food banks) and FLW management that supports a circular economy, and strengthening of national and regional databases on FLW through studies and censuses.

3. ACTIVE PARTICIPATION IN MULTILATERAL INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT ON CHEMICAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE

Indonesia has significant importance in actively supporting the agreement on the management of chemical and hazardous waste. This happens because the geographic nature of Indonesia as an archipelago supporting Indonesia to be geographically vulnerable to sources of pollution, including hazardous waste. Hence, close cooperation with neighbouring countries is needed. Indonesia has played an active role in several international environmental agreements related to chemicals and hazardous waste and has also ratified them, among others: (1) Basel Convention through Presidential Decree No. 61 Year 1993 and amendment of Presidential Decree No. 47 Year 2005 regarding the forbidding of solid hazardous waste import and protecting the country from other imported wastes;



(2) Stockholm Convention through Law No. 19 Year 2009 on Endorsement of Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants; (3) Rotterdam Convention through Law No. 10 Year 2013; and (4) Minamata Convention through Law No. 11 Year 2017 on Endorsement of Minamata Convention on Mercury.

Furthermore, to strengthen the implementation of the aforementioned global conventions, Indonesian government has enacted Presidential Regulation No. 21 Year 2019 on National Action Plan on Reduction and Elimination of Mercury (Rencana Aksi Nasional Pengurangan dan Penghapusan Merkuri/RAN PPM). Efforts in the reduction and elimination of mercury are part of the national development agenda which is prioritized in 4 sectors: energy, health, manufacture and small-scale gold mining (pertambangan emas skala kecil/PESK). According to a study by the Directorate of Environment, Ministry of National Development Planning (2020), it is projected that mercury from 17 institution and non-institution activities will increase from around 3,700 tonnes/year in 2019 to 8,234.1 tonnes/year in 2045. One of the first innovative efforts of integrated mercury management is establishing a Mercury and Metrology Laboratory. The construction of this lab finished in December 2020 and it is hoped to be fully operationalized in 2021. This lab is responsible to develop research and monitoring network of mercury in Indonesia and support the National Action Plan (NAP) of PPM. Moreover, this lab is expected to strengthen surveillance and monitoring of mercury pollution quickly and accurately in the country (not dependent on testing on other countries). This lab is also expected to become the center of excellence to strengthen the capacity of labs at the subnational level. This shows Indonesia's commitment to Indonesia's role as the President of Bureau and Host of COP-4 Minamata Convention as agreed in COP 3 Minamata.

Other efforts include reducing hazardous materials use and hazardous waste by reducing ozone-depleting substances (bahan perusak ozon/BPO). This effort started from ratifying the Montreal Protocol in 1992, which regulates the consumption of BPO types of CFC, HCFC, Halon, Methyl bromide, Methyl chloroform, and Carbon tetrachloride through the Ministry of Environment and Forestry as the National Focal Point. On January 1, 2015, Indonesia banned the use of HCFCs in the air conditioning and refrigeration manufacturing industries and some foam sectors. The only BPO that can be imported is HCFC which is used to meet the needs of refrigeration and air conditioning unit maintenance services, solvents, and as a developer in the foam sector and Methyl bromide for quarantine and pre-shipment purposes. In 2019, the Ministry of Environment and Forestry conducted an inventory study of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) as the alternative materials to replace BPO that do not destroy ozone. This activity is one of the preparations for ratifying the Kigali Amendment as an amendment to the Montreal Protocol, which adds a gradual reduction in HFC consumption. From 2013-2020, Indonesia has contributed to the success of the Montreal Protocol by reducing the consumption of BPO, especially the type of HCFC by 215.52 tons of ODP (Ozone Depleting Potentials).

4. WASTE MANAGEMENT TO SUPPORT SCP IMPLEMENTATION

a. Management of Hazardous Waste to Support SCP Implementation

One of the efforts in reducing industrial waste in Indonesia is executed through the management of hazardous waste. Until 2019, 68.3% of 132.7 million tonnes hazardous waste has been managed resulting more than 99% of hazardous waste recorded have been managed annually (Figure 4.118). Achievement in hazardous waste management is supported by companies that have applied clean technology to reduce the use of hazardous materials. Nevertheless, this achievement is way below the



Figure 4.118 Number of recorded and managed toxic hazardous waste
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2020c and Ministry of Forestry and Environment, 2021d

SDGs target of 150 million tonnes in 2019 (Ministry of National Development Planning, 2020). In addition, around 1.9 million m² contaminated land has been restored from the total of around 2.9 million tonnes of hazardous waste in the last 5 years.

Apart from the hazardous waste generated by industries, COVID-19 pandemic has caused more hazardous waste has been generated that requires particular attention.

Between March 2020 until March 2021, 10,575.87 tonnes of hazardous waste in regards to the pandemic has been generated (Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021). This waste generation is spread across 34 provinces, in which more than 80% (8,543.63 tonnes) come from Java Island. DKI Jakarta Province has generated the most medical hazardous waste amounting to 7,496.56 tonnes or around 70% of all medical waste generated (Figure 4.119). This is due to DKI Jakarta becoming the first epicentre of COVID-19 spread and the highest number of COVID-19 patients treated in health care facilities and isolation facilities compared to other provinces.




Figure 4.119 Number of COVID-19 medical waste generation (in tons) for the period of March 2020-March 2021.
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021

Medical waste management, including waste from COVID-19, can be done through hazardous waste management technology such as incinerator or standardized autoclave. Currently, there are 121 hospitals and 20 hazardous waste management facilities with license to manage medical waste. In order to increase the capacity of hazardous waste management, especially the medical waste of COVID-19, there are additional 84 units of waste management facilities that have not been licensed but are allowed to operate by the discretion of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry. Therefore, there are 224 units of waste management facilities with capacity to manage 475,670 kg/day of medical waste, including COVID-19 medical waste in all of Indonesia.

Amid the pandemic, the number of medical wastes from health care facilities has increased by 30% (Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2020). According to data of the Ministry of Health, 4,500 kg medical waste has been processed in hospital incinerators since March 2020. The increase of medical waste before and after the pandemic in Indonesia is illustrated in the number of medical waste (waste of PPE and other medical wastes) (Figure 4.120).



Figure 4.120 Number of medical wastes processed in incinerators²¹.
Source: Ministry of Health in Bappenas – IATL, 2021

Currently, the capacity of medical waste spread across Indonesia does not cover all 34 provinces. Of the 132 referral hospitals based on the Decree (SK) of the Minister of Health, 110 already have licensed incinerators. There are 20 hazardous waste management facilities spread across 7 regions in Indonesia (Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2020). Also, there are 6 regions in Indonesia with thermal medical waste management capacity.

In response to the situation, the Indonesian Science Institution (Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia / LIPI) introduced some technology that can be utilized to manage the increased generations of medical waste during the COVID-19 pandemic. Several technologies developed by LIPI are (1) disinfection, autoclave, incinerator and recycling waste of medical masks; (2) recrystallization method of plastic medical waste to be applied to raw plastic materials for PPE such as polypropylene, polyethene, polystyrene, and polyvinyl chloride (LIPI, 2021; DW.COM, 2021); and (3) development of second-generation needle destroyer (Alat Penghancur Jarum Suntik/APJS) with sliding electrode method and self-heating system to destroy the metal part of the syringe, and destroy the tube so it cannot be reused (LIPI, 2021a). These technologies are expected to strengthen the capacity in medical waste management to reduce infectious waste and avoid the risk of COVID-19 spread.

²¹ Updated data on medical waste in health care facilities is not included with current data on COVID-19 referral hospitals that has reached 506 hospitals in all Indonesia (PERSI in Bappenas - IATL 2021).



b. Waste Management to Support SCP Implementation

Since 2015, 3R waste management has been conducted in the recycling centers (Pusat Daur Ulang/PDU) in the city level and 3R waste management center with capacities up to 5 – 20 tonnes/day. Until 2019, 14.58% of waste has been reduced and 67.40% of waste has been managed (Figure 4.121). Ministry of Environment and Forestry has pushed extended producer responsibility policies (EPR) in Indonesia, as stipulated in the Ministerial Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry No. 75 Year 2019 on Roadmap of Waste Reduction by Producers. This policy aims to achieve the target of waste reduced by producers of 30% compared to waste generated in 2029. The waste reduction includes limitation of waste generation, recycling and upcycling.



Figure 4.121 Achievement in reduction and management of waste in Indonesia
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2021a

COVID-19 pandemic has encouraged social and economic changes, including increased urban farming, which contributes to pollution reduction (Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2018; Sedana, 2020). The work from home (WFH) policy has also encouraged urban farming to become a popular trend in the past year, apparent by the increased sales of horticulture seeds of up to 5 folds and export in house plants up to 333 million pieces until November 2020 (Ministry of Agriculture, 2021). Integrated urban farming encourages circular economy implementation at the community level, significantly reduces household waste and strengthens food security and climate change resilience.

BOX 4.21

RDF TECHNOLOGY: INNOVATION TO SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT

Population growth to 319 million people in 2045 will be followed by challenges in waste management, especially in big cities, along with land scarcity for landfill. To address this issue, PT Solusi Bangun Indonesia Tbk (SBI), represented by its waste management unit, Nathabumi, transform waste generated in cities to become Refuse-Derived Fuel (RDF). RDF substitutes fossil fuel in a certain percentage and helps reduce CO₂ emission in cement production. Collaborating with Cilacap District Government, RDF technology helps the city to manage domestic waste and reduce waste going to landfill. This RDF facility in Cilacap is the first of its kind in Indonesia and is realized through the collaboration of the Cilacap District Government, Denmark Government, Central Java Province Government, Ministry of National Development Planning, Ministry of Public Works and Housing, and Ministry of Environment and Forestry.

The first RDF facility was launched in 21 July 2020 with minimum capacity of 120 tons of waste treated using bio-drying method that generated around 60 tonnes of RDF. This amount can replace 40 tonnes of fossil fuel per day and also helps to reduce GHG emission from methane in landfills. This initiative has the potential to be replicated in all cities in Indonesia to address issues of sustainable waste management. Currently, SBI is collaborating with DKI Jakarta Province Government and PT Unilever Indonesia to manage waste in Bantargebang Landfill (TPST) using landfill mining. In East Java, SBI also participates in planning the RDF plant in Tuban District, collaborating with Ministry of Public Works and Housing, Semen Indonesia Group, and Tuban District Government. Later, cement factories under the Semen Indonesia Group like in Rembang, Aceh, Padang and Makassar plan to replicate the action, together with each districts or cities governments. This contribution is expected to give an innovative, sustainable, environmentally-friendly, and economical solution to Indonesia's challenges of urban waste management.



5. SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES AND INFORMATION IN REPORTING

The Sustainability Report (SR) is a report for the industry to disclose information on sustainability performance transparently to encourage behavioral change in managing risks, both financial, social, and environmental. Submission of SR for Financial Services Institutions (Lembaga Jasa Keuangan, LJK), Issuers, and Public Companies is obligatory and must be submitted to Financial Services Authority (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan/OJK). This has been regulated in OJK Regulation Number 51/POJK.03/2017 on Implementation of Sustainable Finance for Financial Services Institutions, Issuers, and Public Companies.

The implementation of sustainable finance for LJK, Issuers, and Public Companies is carried out in stages. The banking sector became the first implementer of the implementation of POJK 51 Year 2017. In the first implementation of 2020, around 55 (fifty-five) banks have submitted a Sustainability Report. This report was made available to the public in June 2020 or 2 (two) months later due to the OJK's Sustainability Report deadline relaxation policy due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Sustainable Finance must also be implemented in the Capital Market sector for Issuers and Public Companies since January 1, 2020. Issuers other than Issuers with small-scale assets and Issuers with medium-scale assets and Public Companies are required to submit the first Sustainability Report in 2021. However, OJK provides relaxation of the Sustainability Reports submission deadline for Issuers and Public Companies to 2022 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. In the non-bank financial sector, the implementation of Sustainable Finance is supposed to be implemented in 2020 with the obligation to submit sustainability report for the first time in 2021. However, the relaxation regulation allows Sustainability Report to be submitted 2 (two) months later, thus it will be available in June 2021 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Data sourced from the IDX shows an increase number of SR companies. As of October 2020, 100 companies have published its SRs. This number grows compared to only 55 companies in 2016 (Financial Services Authority (OJK), 2017).




Figure 4.122 Number of Companies Complying to SNI ISO 14001
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning, 2020 and Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021



In addition to the SR publication, companies can actively implement SDGs through Environmental Management System (Sistem Manajemen Lingkungan/SML). The implementation of SML by companies is considered important as a management system to protect environmental management (perlindungan pengelolaan lingkungan hidup/PPLH), including permits, training, monitoring and law enforcement. SML implementation in Indonesia is shown by the number of companies with SNI ISO 14001 certification as the standard as agreed internationally. The number of companies implementing SNI ISO 14001 Indonesia shows a positive trend (Figure 4.122). From 2009 through 2018, the number of companies implementing SNI ISO 14001 has increased from 1,028 in 2010 to 2,125 companies in 2019.

6. SUSTAINABLE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT PRACTICES

a. Eco-Friendly Products

Referring to Presidential Regulation No. 12 Year 2021 on Amendments to Presidential Regulation No. 16 Year 2018 on Government Procurement of Goods/Services, one of the objectives of Government Procurement of Goods/Services (Pengadaan Barang/Jasa Pemerintah, PBJP) is to increase sustainable procurement. Furthermore, Article 68 explains that PBJP is implemented by taking into account sustainable aspects consisting of: (1) Economic Aspects, (2) Social Aspects, and (3) Environmental Aspects. The development of environmental aspects in sustainable procurement is reflected in the environmentally friendly procurement of goods and services. The procurement of environmentally friendly goods and services is mandated in Article 43 of Law No. 32 Year 2009 on Environmental Protection and Management. In order to implement the mandate of Law 32, Articles 31-37 of Government Regulation No. 46 Year 2017 on Environmental Economic Instruments regulates the environmentally friendly label system and the procurement of environmentally friendly goods and services as an incentive instrument. Based on Presidential Regulation No. 12 of 2021 on Amendments to Presidential Regulation No. 16 of 2018 on Government Procurement of Goods/Services, sustainable procurement is carried out by: (1) PA/KPA in planning and budgeting for the Procurement of Goods/Services; (2) PPK in drawing up technical specifications/KAK and draft contracts in the procurement of goods/services; (3) The Election Working Group/Procurement Officer/Procurement Agent in Compiling the Bidding Document. Furthermore, in preparing technical specifications, terms of reference (ToR), and draft contracts, PPK uses environmentally friendly products that are labelled environmentally friendly.

Procurement of eco-friendly goods and services is aimed to encourage behavioural change and mindset from consumers in government institutions for more efficient and environmentally friendly practices. The procurement of eco-friendly goods and services also means a behavioural change leadership from the government and communities that have been established. The scheme used as the criteria of procurement of eco-friendly goods and services is an agreement from Ministry/Institution as the scheme owner to work together in monitoring the cross-sectoral environment and sustainability aspect. The list of references for eco-friendly goods and services stated in the attachment of Ministerial Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry No. 5 Year 2019 are (1) photocopy paper with ecolabel type 1 (scheme developed by Ministry of Environment and Forestry); (2) file folder with ecolabel type 2 (Ministry of Environment and Forestry); (3) wood for furniture with SVLK; (4) microwave hybrid with eco-friendly technology verification (Ministry of Environment and Forestry); (5) autoclave hybrid with eco-friendly technology verification (Ministry



of Environment and Forestry); (6) AC with the energy-saving label (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources).

As an operational reference for procurement of eco-friendly goods and services, National Procurement Board (Lembaga Kebijakan Pengadaan Pemerintah/LKPP) has enacted Circular Letter LKPP No. 16 Year 2020 on Stipulation of Green Products/Products of Green Industries to be utilized in the procurement of sustainable goods/services for the government. Green products stated in this Circular Letter consists of eco-labelled photocopy papers, eco-labelled office stationery (folder file) and wood-based furniture with Timber Legality Verification System (Sistem Verifikasi Legalitas Kayu/SVLK).

b. Ecolabel Application

The rising demand for eco-friendly goods and services supports the development of Green Public Procurement, Green Building, Green Tourism, Green Hotel, and others. To be labelled eco-friendly, Indonesia has issued an ecolabel logo for registered eco-friendly products: (1) Ecolabel Type I, this label is given to products complying with SNI ecolabel criteria, until April 2021, 31 products meet the criteria of Ecolabel Type I; (2) Ecolabel Type II, label given to products with verified eco-friendly self-declaration, until April 2021, 122 products are registered Ecolabel Type II. Eco-friendly standard/criteria are stipulated in the Indonesian National Standard (Standar Nasional Indonesia/SNI), and those stipulated by the Ministerial Regulation as well as criteria (claim) of environmental aspect in reference to SNI ISO 14021 and follow procedures of the ecolabel logo attachment as regulated in the Ministerial Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry No. 2 Year 2014 on Ecolabel Logo Attachment. Ecolabel provisions have 3 (three) sustainability aspects: (i) sustainability of forest product functions, (ii) sustainability of forest ecological functions, (iii)

socio-cultural sustainability. It is anticipated that public procurement can also be in line with forest sustainability, both from forest resources, conservation, socio-economic and institutional.

7. AWARENESS FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Awareness on the importance of environmentally friendly lifestyle can be done through education, raising awareness, and capacity building of human resources and institutions. Indicators used to measure the aspects are the number of formal educations and institutions/ communities with environment concerned and culture. Environmental education conducted by relevant stakeholders and communities aims for behavioural change by increasing knowledge, skills, and awareness on environmental issues and values and their challenges. It is expected that environmental education can influence the community to be active in environmental conservation and protection for the benefit of present and future generations. The Adiwiyata program is one of many programs of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry that encourages knowledge-sharing and increases awareness in schools communities. This program is supported by several regulations such as the Ministerial Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry No. 5 Year 2013 on Guidance for Adiwiyata Program Implementation, Ministerial Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry No. 52 Year 2019 on Action of Environmental Awareness and Lifestyle in Schools, as well as the Ministerial Regulation of the Minister of Forestry and Environment No. 53 Year 2019 on the Adiwiyata Award.

The Adiwiyata program aims to create an enabling environment for schools to become a facility for learning and raising awareness (for educators, students and school employees) that is reflected in (1) Development of a school policy on environmental awareness and lifestyle; (2) Development of an environmentally-friendly-based curriculum; (3) Development of

participative-based environmental activities; and (4) Development and management of environmentally-centered facilities. In 2019, there were an additional of 434 schools that participated in the Adiwiyata program. There were also 5 Gemilang institutions/communities representing formal education and communities with awareness and leadership of environmentally-friendly lifestyle (Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021). Furthermore, in 2019, 363 environmental and forestry cadres were established, 1,320 generations of environment and forestry, as well as 785 scouts from Saka Kalpataru and Saka Wanabakti. Conservation cadres act as pioneers and drivers to conserve and preserve the natural resources and ecosystems and foster a conservation movement in the community.

Awareness of an environmentally-friendly lifestyle can be intervened by providing environmentally-friendly public facilities complying with the Community Service Standards (Standar Pelayanan Masyarakat). Public facilities are an ideal locus for SCP intervention since public facilities are the places where interactions happen among communities as the user with government and private sector as the managers. Thus, public facilities can become a social lab to encourage the SCP lifestyle.

Community Service Standards at Public Facilities (Pos-pos Fasilitas Publik/SPM-FP) provide standards for its management through the Ministerial Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry No. P.90 Year 2016 on Community Service Standards at Public Facilities to Improve Environment Quality. This includes an integrated environmental management through the role of District/City Governments as the implementor of government affairs in public services at public facilities, and in improving the quality of the environment towards a sustainable city, with the support of the related Ministries, Provincial Government, and Stakeholders. In the Regulation of the Secretary-General of the Ministry of Environment and Forestry No.

P.8 Year 2017 on the Implementation and Assessment of Community Services Standards Public Facilities, it is further regulated the Guidelines of the First Party Compliance Assessment (Penilaian Kesesuaian Pihak Pertama/SPM-FP). Until 2020, there was 12 documents regarding SPM FP: (1) Recreation Area; (2) Eco-Tourism; (3) Public Market; (4) Religious Place of Worship; (5) Terminals of Road Transport; (6) Shopping Centers; (7) Ports; (8) Rest Areas on Toll Areas; (9) Higher Education; (10) MICE; (11) Railway Stations; and (12) Islamic Boarding Schools. The public facilities that have implemented SPM-FP as per April 2021 include (1) SPM-FP Religious Places of Worship (Salman Mosque ITB and Santa Odelia Church, Bandung); (2) SPM-FP for Eco-Tourism (Forest Park Ir. H. Djuanda, Bandung); (3) SPM-FP Train Station (Sudirman Station, Jakarta; Jurangmangu Station, South Tangerang; and Klender Station, Jakarta).

8. RATIONALIZATION OF FOSSIL FUEL SUBSIDIES TO BETTER TARGETED POLICIES

The Government has committed to reduce the negative impacts on the environment, in parallel to SDG 12 achievement. These efforts align with reducing fossil fuel subsidies as shown by a descending trend in the realization of fossil fuel subsidies as a GDP percentage. The percentage of fossil fuel subsidies to GDP over the last three (3) years has decreased from 0.65% (2018) to 0.31% (2020).

Reducing fossil fuel subsidies are part of transforming policies regarding commodity-based subsidies to a more targeted recipient-based. The assistance that is targeted recipient-based is carried out through integration with social assistance gradually. Social assistance aims to provide access to the poor. With the principle of No One Left Behind, the rationalization of fossil fuels in a more targeted direction contributes to green economy development.




Figure 4.123 Percentage of Fossil Fuel Subsidies to GDP
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning. 2021b

B. CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. CHALLENGES

The challenges in implementing SCP in Indonesia include: (i) the need for operational policy intervention on SCP implementation in the business cycle and business processes in government, private sector and community holistically, including its financing; (ii) the need to analyze and avoid trade-offs between economic growth and quality of the environment and natural resources; (iii) the need to implement SCP (with the concept of decoupling) to more sustainable development; (iv) the need to develop incentives and disincentives to encourage the SCP implementation.

In addition, the availability of data and information regarding the implementation of SCP in Indonesia is not yet fully available. This is because SCP implementation is not embedded daily, in the household level, companies, and the government. At the community level, the implementation of SCP can change behavior or lifestyle to a healthier lifestyle by considering the surrounding environmental conditions such as no littering and water and electricity use efficiency. At the company and country level, SCP implementation can result in resource efficiency such as land, food and energy. Furthermore, SCP implementation can encourage companies to innovate in producing eco-friendly products.



2. ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

Several actions in the acceleration of the achievement of Goal 12 focuses on resource efficiency, low-carbon development strategy, green economy, circular economy and more comprehensively. In the Indonesian context, there are 4 main strategies: (1) Create Demand: Green Public Procurement and improvement in Green Public Facilities; (2) Create Supply: portfolio of new environmentally friendly product or service or investment, sustainable sourcing, innovation, green technology, sustainable financing; (3) Resource Pool: platform of concrete actions of SCP for government, businesses and communities; and (4) Create jobs/new economy through integrated waste management, rainwater harvesting and others. Furthermore, in response to overcome the challenges of medical hazardous waste management due to the pandemic, in 2020, many medical waste managements were built in Aceh Province (capacity 300 kg/hour), West Sumatra (300 kg/hour), South Kalimantan (150 kg/hour), West Nusa Tenggara (300 kg/hour) and East Nusa Tenggara (150 kg/hour). Many other best practices were implemented by other stakeholders, as follows:

BOX 4.22

BOTAK: BOGOR TANPA KANTONG PLASTIK (BOGOR WITHOUT PLASTIC BAGS)



Bogor City Government supports the campaign to reduce plastic bags through the Mayor Regulation No. 61 Year 2018 on Reduction of Plastic Bags in shopping centers and modern stores. This regulation aims to reduce household plastic waste in Bogor City, which can accumulate to 1.8 tonnes monthly. This policy is called as the BOTAK program. Since August 2018, Bogor City Government has advocated this policy around 150 times in 3 months, supported by environmental communities and several CSOs. The policy was firstly aimed at retail shops, and in August 2019 started to be implemented in modern markets. The outreach to traditional markets begins in 2020 to meet the target of zero plastic bags in Bogor in 2025. In its implementation, the effectiveness of this policy has to be evaluated to ensure the zero plastic bags target is achieved. Disincentive should also be considered if the policy is not implemented. Since the BOTAK program, the number of wastes in Galuga landfill has reduced to 7% (50 tons) a day from 650 to 600 tonnes of waste (Beritasatu.com, 2019). This shows that the BOTAK program can reduce plastic bag waste in Bogor City

BOX 4.23

PLASTIC REBORN 2.0



Plastic Reborn 2.0 is a subsequent program of Plastic Reborn 1.0 implemented by the Ancora Foundation to inspire behavioral changes of Indonesian youth in plastic waste management, especially post-consumption plastic packaging. Plastic Reborn 2.0 is a step further by engaging the public, especially the youth, with their creative and innovative ideas using post-consumption plastic packaging as a new business opportunity. Selected startups are provided acceleration class to build-up their business and many other facilitations so they are known by the public. Although there were some challenges at the beginning of the program, especially the willingness of the startups to collaborate, it is realized that this collaboration unites the strength of each startup.

Collaboration among startups has produced several innovations for technology-based integrated waste management services named Mixed Waste. Another innovation is the Smart Waste Platform (SWAP), an online platform to help create transparencies and better effectiveness for scavengers and waste management workers. This program has encouraged the development of startups in waste management and recycling, which has collected 282 tonnes of post-consumption plastic packaging waste or polyethylene terephthalate (PET). Numbers continue to increase to 24% that is recycled for 18 months. The total amount of waste managed (organic and inorganic) is 464%. One of the startups experienced an increase in active users of 5,000 customers, 17,000 mobile apps users, and more than 200 waste management partners. Meanwhile, other startups also experience 100% growth in household customers and businesses. This program has been implemented in Makassar, Gowa and Denpasar, and it has created a waste management ecosystem that is based on circular economy concept. Plastic Reborn 2.0 is expected to become a role model for the youth and the other regions to create better plastic waste management in Indonesia.

BOX 4.24

ENVIRONMENT EDUCATION PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN (PROGRAM PENDIDIKAN LINGKUNGAN UNTUK ANAK/PELITA)



The waste generated in Muktijaya Village is 6.817,5 kg/day with the potential to harm the facility of PT Pertamina EP Asset 3 Subang Field if not managed properly. Moreover, 980 poor households and many infants (3-5 years) have no access to Early Childhood Education. These challenges encouraged the company to address the issue with an integrated Early Childhood Education in 2017. On the second year of establishment, PELITA developed an environment-based curriculum and upcycling waste training for parents. This program continued to expand in capacity building for entrepreneur's promotion of upcycled products and establishing Sustainable House of Food (Kawasan Rumah Pangan Lestari/ KRPL) in 2019. PELITA continues to flourish with its replication of the waste management curriculum in all Early Childhood Education in Karawang to prevent and recover COVID-19 in 2020. In the same year, a webinar series on the Early Childhood Education Teaching Technique was held in collaboration with the international institution SEAMEO CECCEP. This program has then developed into the year 2021 through the program of Early Childhood Education of Environment, strengthening of Al Firdaus coop, and the formulation of Nutritious Food Menu to prevent stunting in children.

Some challenges during the pandemic are the application of distance learning which reduced income, including those of the tutors of the Early Childhood Education, and reduced numbers of waste to be upcycled. To address those challenges, a webinar series on Early Childhood Education Learning Techniques continued during the pandemic, including the establishment of Early Childhood Education teachers entrepreneurship, and seed exchanges with waste to support food security.

Benefits of this program include: 70 children participated in Early Childhood Education, 180 people have "waste savings" to up to 850 kg, 150 households participated in KRPL, involving 340 poor people. Moreover, as much as IDR 16 million has been generated as an addition to the teachers wage, savings up to IDR 120,000 per parent/year, and the addition of IDR 400.000 per month for households in KRPL. The replication of the curriculum for Early Childhood Education has reached 1,593 schools. This program supports not only the achievement of SDG 12 but also SDG2 (No Hunger), SDG4 (Quality Education), and SDG8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth).



C. POLICY RESPONSE

Indonesia has adopted the circular economy concept into Indonesia's Vision 2045 and has integrated it into the 2020-2024 RPJMN. Ministry/Agency supports can be done through increasing technology investment in the implementation of a circular economy, especially for industry; big data development; increasing cooperation for the application of a circular economy such as cooperation in the use of domestic waste; encouraging SMEs to implement a circular economy in their production processes, especially in the food and beverage and textile sectors; providing fiscal incentives such as relaxation of tariffs for industries that have implemented a circular economy, and encouraging the implementation of a circular economy for creative actors in the culinary and fashion fields.

Policies and strategies for SCP implementation in the national development agenda consists of: (1) Increasing quality of environment to support sustainable development through (i) Reducing general and hazardous waste; as well as developing integrated general and hazardous waste management towards circular economy; (ii) Pushing the SCP agenda in everyday life; (2) Waste management through (i) household waste management, general and specific; (ii) liquid waste management; (3) Developing green industry through (i) conservation and audit of energy use in industries; (ii) application of modified process and technology; (iii) management of industrial waste; as well as (iv) encouraging the development of incentive and disincentive scheme; and (4) Increasing performance of reduction and management of household waste and other waste in household level such as plastics through (i) development of standards (Norma Standar Prosedur dan Kriteria NSPK) in reducing household waste and other waste in household level; (ii) strengthening coordination and collaboration between national and subnational government; (iii) strengthening commitment of executive and legislative institutions in national and subnational level in providing funds for activities to reduce household waste and other waste in household level; (iv) establishing information system; (v) strengthening participation of communities through communication, information and education; (vi) applying and developing incentive and disincentive system in reducing household waste and other waste in household level.

The focus for the environment development regarding SCP implementation in the Government Annual Workplan (Rencana Kerja Pemerintah/RKP) 2021 is aimed to increase community resilience to crises like COVID-19. It is focused on building a better environment quality through municipal and hazardous waste management. Considering that hazardous medical waste treatment facilities are not evenly distributed throughout Indonesia, the government, through the Ministry of Environment and Forestry and related Ministries/Institutions continues to support the construction of medical waste treatment facilities, especially in health facilities, which are prioritized in various regions that do not yet have medical waste treatment facilities. This will continue to be developed to meet medical waste facilities in Indonesia as mandated in RPJMN 2020-2024.





Goal 13

Climate Action

SDG 13 on climate action consists of two inter-correlated main issues of climate change and disaster management. Efforts to achieve the target of combating climate change, Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions issues that trigger climate change (13.2), and the response through low-carbon development and climate resilience efforts are discussed. In disaster management, the target of strengthening resilience and adaptive capacity from natural and climate-related disasters (13.1) is discussed. This target comprises the losses due to disasters, notably the number of casualties, including the COVID-19 pandemic, and disaster risk reduction strategies in line with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (SFDRR) 2015-2030. In addition, it also examines the increasing public awareness through the education institutions' existence (13.3) and the funding for climate change management (13.a). Various progress in combating climate change and disaster management are reported by the reduction of GHG emissions, adaptation to climate change, financial support in combating climate change, and the implementation of national and sub-national strategies in disaster management.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

The increase in GHG emission is the main cause of climate change. Preparedness to natural and non-natural disasters, including climate-related disasters, is the main target for achieving SDG 13. The COVID-19 pandemic has also greatly affected various development sectors in Indonesia, including SDG 13 achievement. The COVID-19 pandemic is estimated to severely affect GHG emissions due to various development activities impeded in Indonesia. The spread of COVID-19 has led to changes in the GHG emission reduction target in 2020. From one standpoint, the spread of COVID-19 has a positive impact on GHG emission levels reduction by restricting many socio-economic activities such as travels. On the other hand, the COVID-19 has adverse effects on the confined low-carbon development actions. This causes a slight decline in GHG emission reduction in 2020 from the initial target. Nevertheless, Indonesia is still trying to overcome these problems. Indonesia remains focused on stopping the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic, boosting the economic recovery efforts and addressing the multidimensional challenges, including the threat of climate change and the environment's declining quality and carrying capacity. This effort is accompanied by a stimulus policy that integrates activities that are environmentally and climate-friendly. The government has laid the foundation for a green economy and made several strategic policies related to climate. Climate action



has been mainstreamed into the National Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMN) 2020-2024 through the implementation of Indonesia's broader Low Carbon Development (LCD) strategy.




Figure 4.124 Projected GHG Emission Reduction
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS, 2021

The process of recovering economic and social activities after the COVID-19 pandemic in 2021 is expected to have impact on the increase of GHG emissions that year. According to the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas (2021) study, GHG emissions will rebound, where the emission reduction will weaken from 25.93% in 2020 to only 24.05% in 2021. However, the effect of various efforts to overcome the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and to combat climate change will begin to be felt in 2022 by increasing GHG emission reductions until 2024 (Figure 4.124).

1. GHG EMISSIONS AND THEIR REDUCTION EFFORTS

a. GHG Emissions

As a country that continues to carry out various developments, Indonesia's GHG emission level increases overtime. The national GHG inventory calculation results show that the level of GHG emissions in 2019 was 1,866,500 Gg CO₂e, an increase of 680,300 Gg CO₂e compared to the emission level in 2000 (Figure 4.125). The forestry and peatland sector are the most significant contributor to GHG emissions in 2019 at 50%. The rest are contributions from four other sectors, 34% from the energy sector, 7% from waste, 6% from agriculture, and 3% from industrial processes and product use (IPPU) (KLHK, 2021a). In 2015 there was a spike in national GHG emissions, primarily due to emissions from peat fires. The forestry and peatland sectors alone account for 1,565,579 Gg CO₂e of the total emissions of 2,374,403 Gg CO₂e that year. Meanwhile, in 2019, emissions from this sector has been successfully reduced to 924,852 Gg CO₂e. Meanwhile, the emission in other sectors experienced a change in which the delta was not too large compared to the total emission. Currently, the total area of peatlands is 14.91 million hectares or about 30% of all tropical peatlands and can



store 25-30 Gtons of carbon/year. The most extensive distribution is on the island of Sumatra with a proportion of 43%, Kalimantan 32%, and Papua 25%.




Figure 4.125 National GHG Emission Trends for 2010-2019
Source: MoEF, 2021a

b. GHG Emission Reduction Potential

Low-carbon activities have impacted the reduction of GHG emissions. The government and community's efforts have contributed to the potential reduction of GHG emissions and intensity of GHG emissions. The reduction in GHG emissions from 2010–2019 fluctuated, with the forestry and peatlands sectors as the most prominent contributors (KLHK, 2020). The increase in emissions due to forest fires in 2015 significantly contributed to the year's lower emission reductions.




Figure 4.126 Cumulative GHG emission reduction potentials for 2010-2019
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS, 2020a

Up to 2019, the potential cumulative GHG emission reduction is 23.46% from the cumulative baseline emission, or 3,974,713 Gg CO₂e (Figure 4.126). The annual GHG emission reductions in 2019 have reached 20.51% or 401,822.53 Gg CO₂e. Based on the National Greenhouse Gases Action Plan or RAN-GRK's evaluation, two sectors show the potential of significant GHG emission reductions (Figure 4.127): (a) forestry and peat-based sectors; and (b) the fields of energy, transportation, and industry. The most significant low-carbon development actions in the forestry and peatland sectors are deforestation reduction, the increase in Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) principles application, and peat fires control. From 2010 through 2019, the land and energy-based sectors contribute to 85% and 9.5% GHG emission reductions, respectively (Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, 2020a).




Figure 4.127 Sectoral GHG emission reduction potentials for 2010-2019
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS, 2020a




Figure 4.128 Percentage of GHG emission reduction for 2010-2019
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS, 2020b

c. Potential for Reducing GHG Emission Intensity

The GHG emissions intensity reduction represents the GHG emissions reduction associated with the economic sector. GHG emission intensity is the ratio of GHG emissions to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in a particular area and period. Reducing the intensity of GHG emissions indicates positive economic growth and the success of GHG emissions reduction (Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, 2020c). The national GHG emission intensity from 2010 to 2019 showed a descending trend. The decreasing emission intensity value means that to produce a product in the same economic sector in the next period, relatively less emissions will be produced. The reduction in GHG emission intensity in 2019 was 20.77% from the baseline of 420 tons CO₂e/billion rupiah due to all low-carbon development sectors' implementation (Figure 4.129).




Figure 4.129 GHG emission intensity for 2015-2019
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS, 2020b



d. Climate Change Management Report

Indonesia is committed to reducing GHG emissions by 29% below business as usual (BAU) by 2030 and up to 41% with international assistance. President Joko Widodo has stated this at the 21st Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in Paris in 2015. As a follow-up to the statement of commitment, the Paris Agreement was ratified through Law No. 16 Year 2016. At almost the same time, Indonesia submitted the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) document to the UNFCCC Secretariat, which is a further elaboration and replaces the Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) document submitted before the COP-21 Paris (KLHK, 2017).

Indonesia has regularly reported efforts to address climate change to the UNFCCC, which is a manifestation of Indonesia's commitment as a party to the UNFCCC. Efforts to deal with climate change in the form of mitigation and adaptation actions are reported in the Biennial Update and National Communications documents. Concerning the reporting and documents on the progress of climate change efforts, Indonesia has submitted its first Biennial Update (BUR) document in 2015. This document contains updates on mitigation actions implementation submitted to the UNFCCC for the forestry, agriculture, energy, industrial, and waste sectors every 2 (two) years. In addition, Indonesia has also submitted three National Communication Document reports, namely: 1) in 1999 in the form of Initial National Communication; 2) in November 2010 in the form of the Second National Communication (SNC); and 3) in 2017 in the form of the Third National Communication where the contents of the report include including the development of climate change management at the national level.




Figure 4.130 The occurrence of climate disasters period in 2010-2019
Source: Indonesian National Board for Disaster Management (BNPB) 2021



Despite mitigation efforts, as an archipelagic country, Indonesia still has the potential for hydrometeorological disasters due to climate change. Several types of disasters due to the impacts of climate change include floods, landslides, typhoons, high tides/abrasions and droughts. Since the last decade, the incidence of hydrometeorological disasters has increased even though the number of events fluctuates from year to year. Landslides and floods were the most frequent occurrences of hydrometeorological disasters during this period (Figure 4.130). The impact of this disaster can be in the form of loss of life of the population, physical loss, to economic loss, including loss of livelihood of the affected community.

Therefore, mitigation efforts against climate change must be accompanied by adaptation efforts to the impacts of climate change. Adaptation efforts to climate change are translated into increased climate resilience actions. Climate resilience action is defined as a planned or spontaneous anticipatory action to reduce the value of potential losses due to hazards, vulnerabilities, impacts, and risks of climate change on people's lives in an area. Through Climate Resilience Development (PBI), which is part of the Low Carbon and Climate Resilience Strategy, SDGs, and the Paris Agreement, is also related to macro indicators that climate change and climate hazards can affect the potential loss of GDP in the affected sectors. With the Climate Resilience Development in place, it is expected that potential loss of GDP in sectors affected by climate hazards can be reduced by 1.15%. The success in increasing national climate resilience and reducing GHG emissions can maintain the economic growth targets and strengthen community capacity, which has implications for reducing poverty levels. So that economic growth can be achieved 5.4 - 6.0% and the poverty rate 6.5 - 7.0%.




Figure 4.131 GDP Projection With and Without Adaptation Efforts
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS, 2021



The efforts to increase resilience to the impacts of climate change include reducing the estimated economic losses that will arise. Based on the results of the Economic Loss Assessment Study conducted by the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas in 2019, it is projected that GDP will continue to increase from IDR 17,130 trillion in 2020 to IDR 24,550 trillion in 2024. However, with the impact of climate change, GDP can decrease to IDR 17,020 trillion in 2020 to IDR 24,430 trillion in 2024. Adaptation efforts to the national development agenda can avoid this potential economic loss from IDR 115 trillion (without intervention) to IDR 57 trillion (with intervention) in 2024 (Figure 4.131).

2. INCREASING PUBLIC AWARENESS ON CLIMATE CHANGE ISSUES

Addressing climate change is also carried out through increasing education, raising awareness, and human and institutional capacities related to mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction, and early warning of climate change. The indicator used is the number of formal education and the environmentally cultured and concerned institutions/communities. Environmental education aims to change the behavior and attitudes carried out by various parties or elements of society, which seeks to increase the community's knowledge, skills, and awareness about environmental values and issues. This can move the community to play an active role in conservation efforts and environmental safety to benefit the present and future generations. The Adiwiyata program is one of the programs of Ministry of Environment and Forestry to encourage the creation of knowledge and awareness of school residents in environmental conservation efforts and sustainable development for the benefit of present and future generations. This program is supported by several regulations, including Ministerial Regulation (Permen) LHK Number 5 Year 2013 on Guidelines for the Implementation of the Adiwiyata Program,

Minister of Environment and Forestry Number 52 Year 2019 on the Movement for Care and Culture of the Environment in Schools, and Minister of Environment and Forestry Number 53 Year 2019 on the Adiwiyata Award.

The Adiwiyata program aims to create good learning place and increase the awareness of all school residents (teachers, students and school employees), which is manifested in (1) the Development of environmentally concerned and cultured school policies; (2) Development of an environment-based curriculum; (3) Development of participatory-based environmental activities; and (4) Development and management of supporting facilities for environmentally cultured schools. In 2019, an additional 434 Adiwiyata schools participating in the Adiwiyata program. There are also 5 (five) units of Gemilang institutions/communities that represent formal education units and institutions/communities of environmentally concerned and cultured people (KLHK, 2021). In addition, in 2019, there were also 363 environmental and forestry cadres, 1,320 environmental and forestry generations, and 785 Saka Kalpataru and Saka Wanabakti Scouts.

3. FUNDING RELATED TO CLIMATE ACTIONS

Indonesia's commitment to addressing climate change is also translated in funding allocation for low-carbon development activities and climate resilience, which are carried out primarily by the government and the private sector. In 2020, the Ministry of National Development Planning/ Bappenas conduct a mapping study of the government's budget through the APBN used for LCD. The budget analyzed includes the State Budget for Ministries/Agencies from 2018-2020 for core and supporting activities in priority sectors: energy, industry, transportation, forestry and peat, agriculture, waste, and blue carbon.




Figure 4.132 Low carbon development budget and expenditure
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS, 2020b

Cumulatively in the last three years, the total APBN allocation for low-carbon development activities was IDR 54.57 trillion, with an 82% realization rate of IDR 44.69 trillion. From 2018 to 2019, there was an 8% increase in the budget from IDR 19.5 trillion to IDR 21.1 trillion. However, in 2020, there was a drastic decrease due to budget cuts for handling COVID-19, bringing the budget to IDR 14 trillion (Figure 4.132).

The amount of LCD funding for 2018-2020 is in the range of 0.8% of the total APBN for the three years. Referring to the study 'Government Investment for A Greener and Fairer Economy', the proportion of green funding to the government budget is recommended to be in the range of 3-5% per year so that sustainable development can continue to run progressively. Thus, further efforts are needed to increase low-carbon finance in Indonesia by launching new programs or modifying conventional programs to be more environmentally sound.

4. STRENGTHENING DISASTER RESILIENCE AND ADAPTATION CAPACITY

a. Disasters and Their Impacts

Indonesia is a natural and climate-related disaster-prone country. Climate-related disasters or disasters with hydro-meteorological types in 2005-2020 occurred 29,513 times (98.64%) during the last 15 years. Meanwhile, disasters with geological types occurred in the same period as many as 402 incidents (1.36%). The incidence of hydro-meteorological disasters has increased from 2005 to 2020, with a four-time increase in the last five-year period (2015-2020). However, in the same period, geological disasters were the disasters that caused the most casualties, adding up to 8,928 people (41.50%) due to the earthquake and 4,141 (46.3%) people due to the earthquake followed by the tsunami.




Figure 4.133 Number of disaster victims
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS 2020a and BNPB 2021

Based on the National Disaster Management Agency (BNPB) data, the earthquake and tsunami in Central Sulawesi in 2018 caused the most deaths. Meanwhile, in 2020, 409 people died and were missing, and 6,451,439 people were affected and disturbed displaced by the disaster. The impact of this disaster escalated with the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic at the end of 2019. The number of dead and missing victims per 100,000 people due to disasters (without COVID-19 victims) was 0.15 and 8.34 per 100,000 population when considering the victims of COVID-19 (Figure 4.133). BNPP noted that due to the COVID-19 pandemic, 22,138 people died during 2020 from 743,198 confirmed cases of COVID-19. In addition, there were also 611,097 recovered cases of COVID-19. Meanwhile, 866 health workers died during the COVID-19 pandemic until March 30, 2021. This also significantly affects handling the COVID-19 pandemic as a non-natural disaster, especially in 2019. Before the pandemic, there were 213 health facility units affected by the disaster.

In terms of economic resilience, from 2010 to 2019, the value of economic losses due to disasters fluctuated with an average economic loss due to disasters of IDR 10.68 trillion per year (Figure 4.134) (Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, 2020a). In 2018, along with the increasing number of victims due to disasters, economic losses reached IDR 45.55 trillion (0.44% of GDP) due to massive disasters in West Nusa Tenggara Province and Central Sulawesi Province. In 2019, the loss was IDR 6.26 trillion (0.06% of GDP).




Figure 4.134 Economic losses due to disasters in 2010-2019 (in IDR Trillion)
Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, 2020

b. National disaster risk reduction strategies in line with SFDRR 2015-2030

Indonesia has made various efforts to reduce disaster risk; this is reflected in the Indonesia Disaster Risk Index (IRBI), which decreased from 169.6 (high-risk class) in 2015 to 143.6 in 2019. To provide a better reference for disaster management to all parties, the Government of Indonesia has established a Master Plan for Disaster Management (RIPB) for 2020-2044 through Presidential Regulation Number 87 Year 2020. RIPB 2020-2044 is in line with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015 -2030), the Paris Agreement to UNFCCC and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The focus of achieving RIPB 2020-2024 is Indonesia that is resilient to disasters which are realized through (1) Resilient and sustainable disaster management; (2) Professional and inclusive disaster management; and (3) Excellent handling of disaster emergencies and post-disaster recovery (KemenPPN/Bappenas, 2021b). In addition, in the medium-term, the 2020-2024 National Disaster Management Plan (Renash PB) has been prepared, which aligns with the medium-term national development agenda (RPJMN 2020-2024). In the latest National Disaster Management Plan, health disasters such as the COVID-19 pandemic are also one

of the non-natural disasters that need more attention, especially in terms of prevention and management.

c. Local disaster risk reduction strategies

national level serve as a reference for the Regional Government to prepare Local Disaster Management Plans (RPBD) at the provincial and district/city levels. From 2011 to 2017 the National Disaster Management Agency (BNPB) has facilitated the preparation of RPBD in 33 provinces in Indonesia. Currently all (34) provinces or 100% of provinces already have RPBD documents, although renewal is needed in some provinces whose RPBD validity period has expired. Meanwhile, at the district/city level in 2012-2019, 182 (35%) districts/cities have RPBDs. Given that there are 514 districts/cities in Indonesia, more substantial efforts are needed to encourage the preparation of RPBDs in all districts/cities in Indonesia (BNPB, 2021). In the future, efforts to mainstream disaster aspects, whether through Action Plans or integrated into the RPJMD, must be intensified to increase awareness and reduce the risk of disasters occurring in Indonesia.



B. CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. CHALLENGES

The challenges faced in dealing with climate change, especially related to the COVID-19 pandemic, have resulted in the policy scenario of Low Carbon Development in the 2020-2024 RPJMN requiring adjustments to remain relevant to current conditions. Suppose the recovery process of post-COVID-19 economic and social activities is not accompanied by an increase in low-carbon development actions to reduce emissions. In that case, it is estimated that the level of GHG emissions will rebound. This is reflected in the decrease in GHG emissions, which are estimated to weaken, from 25.93% in 2020 to 24.05% in 2021, when compared to the baseline. In this situation, low-carbon development actions need to be directed at programs and activities that can significantly reduce GHG emissions and become an integral part of the economic recovery program.

Another challenge faced is the increased potential for impacts and risks of hydrometeorological disasters due to climate change. The trend of hydrometeorological disasters is increasing in Indonesia, influenced by short-term extreme climate variability and the effects of climate change. In the short term, it can be felt that extreme climatic events are becoming more frequent, while in the long term, the Earth's surface temperature will experience a significant increase from current conditions. Climate change is predicted to cause surface temperatures in Indonesia to continue to increase consistently. With an increase in temperature of 0.45 – 0.75°C, a change in rainfall of ± 2.5 mm/day, a sea-level rise of 0.8-1.2 cm/year, and an increase in extreme waves of > 1.5 m, 5.8 million km² of Indonesian waters are dangerous for fishing vessels <10 GT, 1,800 km of coastline are in the very vulnerable category, and rice production will decline in some areas. This will increase the threat of other disasters such as increasing extreme weather and drought periods and intensity, inhibiting the growth of main agricultural crops, causing health problems due to heat stress, potential forest fires, crop failures, lack of clean water, transportation safety due to smoke disturbances, and increased incidence of floods and landslides.

The challenge faced in disaster management is the high risk of disasters in Indonesia. This condition is because most areas in Indonesia are still included in the high-risk category with the condition that the number of disaster events has an increasing trend. This is due to the high level of exposure and the vulnerability to natural and climate-related disasters, and non-natural disasters such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Almost 75% of industrial infrastructure and basic connectivity in Indonesia, including its supporting facilities, are built-in hazard/hazard zones.

Another challenge is the weak governance and financing (investment) of disaster management. Efforts to increase disaster resilience have not been supported by an adequate budget, especially for post-disaster recovery. The lack of budget allocation for recovery occurred at the central and provincial and district/city levels of local government. Based on a survey (BNPB, 2018), of all regions that have compiled RPB documents, it is recorded that only 45% have used them as input for the Subnational development plan. Meanwhile, government investment in disaster management efforts is still low in several sectors such as construction, government spending, and other sectors. The percentage of total investment to the new national GDP is around 0.08%. Although post-disaster disaster management is still a large gap, it is recognized the need for a national strategy to build resilience to disasters by strengthening aspects of disaster prevention and preparedness.




2. ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

Through Climate Resilience Development, it is expected to provide sectoral benefits, reduce vulnerability, increase adaptive capacity, and lead to a reduction in economic losses (GDP). The sectoral benefits include maintaining fisheries production, maintaining rice food production, and protecting coastal settlement areas. The reduction in vulnerability includes avoiding cases of dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) and Malaria due to climate change, the potential for ship accidents that can be avoided, and protecting water supplies for households, agriculture and industry. Increased adaptive capacity, including adaptive technology to climate change, is increasing, and land conservation and ecosystem-based adaptation are increasing.


Various efforts to intervene in low-carbon and climate-resilient development actions are also encouraged by the Government in various regions by various parties, including local governments and non-governmental institutions. The following are good practices related to Goal 13.

BOX 4.25 LOW CARBON AND CLIMATE RESILIENCE DEVELOPMENT



In anticipation of the impact of climate change, the Government has initiated a low-carbon development policy (PRK) that is oriented towards a balance of economic growth, social stability and reducing Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions and GHG emission intensity. In line with this, to reduce the potential loss of GDP for sectors affected by climate hazards, a climate resilience development policy (PBI) has been launched. The PRK is expected to be able to support GHG emission reductions of up to 29% by 2030. Meanwhile, PBI is expected to reduce the potential loss of GDP for sectors affected by climate hazards by 1.15% (KemenPPN/Bappenas, 2021). Various PRK and PBI action interventions support disaster risk management or risk reduction (mitigation), especially slow onset disasters.

Currently, the contribution of local governments to the PRK has resulted in 17,717 actions



taken by 34 provinces in Indonesia. This PRK action intervention covers the fields of energy, land and waste. Meanwhile, PBI action interventions have been carried out in almost all provinces and at the district/city level only in a few provinces, in four sectors, namely marine and coastal, water, agriculture and health. This contribution is also supported by 23 non-governmental organizations (NGOs), consisting of 67 actions across the four sectors.

Since 2010-2019, the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas has implemented several PRK pilot projects through the Indonesia Climate Change Trust Fund (ICCTF) spread throughout Indonesia. There are 7 priority provinces as pilot projects for implementing the PRK, namely

South Sulawesi, Central Java, West Java, Papua, West Papua, Riau and Bali. These various projects have encouraged the reduction of GHG emissions as well as having an impact on the economic welfare of the community and the recovery of various social conditions of the community. As an example of a biogas utilization project for household energy supply in Keningar and Ngargomulyo Villages, Malang Regency, Central Java, it has contributed to the reduction of 16,515 tons of CO₂e/year while increasing the community's additional income by IDR 3,000,000 per household per month and involving 155 people in the project by restoring ex-mining land owned by the community. In addition, there are several PBI intervention actions in various parts of Indonesia that support increasing community resilience to the impacts of climate change. Some of these projects include improving water and food security in the regencies of Rote Ndao and Kupang, NTT, and Bantur sub-district, East Java; increasing the economic and ecological resilience of coastal communities in Deli Serdang Regency, North Sumatra; increasing water resistance in Bandar Lampung City and Cirebon City; and resilience to flood disasters in the city of Semarang. The success of these pilot projects will be developed and replicated to several national priority areas according to the potential and needs of the region.





Meanwhile, disaster management is strengthened by regional development efforts to accelerate recovery from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, continue the socio-economic transformation, optimize regional competitive advantages, and increase equitable distribution of quality of life between regions. To realize this goal, regional development will be carried out with a regional socio-economic growth or transformation strategy and an equity strategy that is strengthened by disaster mitigation and risk reduction. The regional development strategy is spatially indicated by growth corridors, equity corridors, and distribution of disaster risk loci. Various efforts at the field level by stakeholders also encourage the improvement of community disaster resilience in various parts of Indonesia.

BOX 4.26

BUILDING COMMUNITY DISASTER PREPAREDNESS AND RESILIENT THROUGH SCHOOL-BASED DISASTER RISK REDUCTION (BCDPR SbDRR)

The earthquake, tsunami and liquefaction disasters that occurred on September 28, 2018 in Central Sulawesi have resulted in various very detrimental impacts, ranging from around 2,227 people died, 965 people were missing and 2,537 people were injured. Various responses from the government and non-government were immediately carried out in the emergency response. In the recovery phase, various organizations are still carrying out programs in the community, but we assess that the programs in the school environment to make schools prepared for disasters are still very minimal, and this has become the basis for the Foundation for the Study and Protection of Children (PKPA) to develop programs BCDPR SbDRR in a school environment in Sigi Regency.

The implementation of this program is carried out with various approaches, starting from the coordination of 11 schools, village governments, sub-districts and several stakeholders at the district level. In addition to coordinating, activities such as training, FGD, socialization and procurement of disaster risk reduction facilities in the school environment are also carried out. This program has reached 1,442 beneficiaries consisting of all students and teachers in 11 schools in 5 villages in the Tanambulava sub-district and beneficiaries from the village, sub-district and stakeholder representatives at the district level.

The implementation of this program began to face challenges when the COVID-19 pandemic situation occurred, where activities with students could not be carried out in large numbers. This program has the potential to inspire various regions in Indonesia that are prone to disasters to do the same thing to increase resilience to disasters early on.





BOX 4.27

CLIMATE CHANGE VULNERABILITIES ASSESSMENT (CCVA)-BASED COASTAL COMMUNITIES FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR COVID-19 RECOVERY


In 2019, the provincial and district governments of Southeast Sulawesi Province, in partnership with Rare, completed Climate Change Vulnerability Assessments (CCVA) for coastal villages from 22 coastal areas in the province, that depend on local fisheries production for their food security and livelihoods. The CCVA aims to identify the magnitude of climate change threats and the opportunities to adapt under projected climate variability conditions. CCVAs were conducted at the provincial level to provide a province-wide assessment, and at the district level to inform the placement and management plans prepared under the Managed Access with Reserves (MA+R) which is known as Fish Forever Program that has been implemented since 1 Jan 2019 up to 30 June 2024. As the most climate change-impacted segment, the coastal communities have actively participated in the assessment by the provision of very much needed data, information, analyses, and recommended actions that will assist in “future proofing” the designs of Fish Forever areas, as much as possible, in the face of predicted changes to both resources and communities.

The CCVA applies a flexible framework approach that incorporates both technical, quantitative data and socially informed participatory data to provide a robust, efficient, yet adaptive perspective on local vulnerability (Zhou, 2008). Therefore, the assessment phase was done collaboratively and participatory with local governments and coastal community members to compile the data for 26 variables in 22

MA+R locations. The data was normalized and analyzed to determine 5 key CCVA's components: Exposure, Social Sensitivity, Ecological Sensitivity and Social Adaptive Capacity and Ecological Adaptive Capacity (IPCC SREX 2012, Ofori et al., 2017, Cinner et al., 2013). The tool provides a suite of actions to illuminate the community and local government regarding the potential actions that can be selected as their adaptive actions to climate change.

The partnership conducted a workshop to share CCVA methodologies and the subsequent results and recommendations. Based on recommendations, Rare presented a final report in June 2020 in coordination with the Marine Affairs and Fisheries Agency of Southeast Sulawesi province. The published report highlights high climate change vulnerability at 11 of the 22 Fish Forever areas and suggests targeted actions for each based on vulnerability levels and the critical drivers of that vulnerability. Community fisheries management bodies established in 22 Fish Forever sites are now using this tool to prioritize their village-based adaptive actions and finalize their climate-smart fisheries management plans for each Fish Forever area.

Using the CCVA results, local communities in those 22 Fish Forever sites work to produce fisheries management plan, initiate collective responses, improve knowledge about climate change impact and adaptation strategy, and, in some sites, commence financial inclusion initiative. Those specific climate change vulnerability assessment-based social adaptive actions prioritized during



village planning, specifically those aimed at improving access to finances, diversifying livelihoods, and increasing the value of fishery products, are critically important for communities to adapt to the short medium-term economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. This can be attained as the ecological, social and financial adaptive capacities of the coastal communities improve and make them more resilient during a hard time, such as the COVID-19 pandemic or extreme weather when they cannot go fishing.





C. POLICY RESPONSE

The focus of developing disaster resilience and climate change is directed at increasing community resilience in the face of pandemics such as COVID-19. Policy trajectories and strategies for dealing with the impacts of climate change are carried out through Low Carbon Development and Climate Resilience Development. The main policies for dealing with climate change in 2022 prioritizes increasing the achievement of emission reductions and greenhouse gas (GHG) emission intensity during the recovery period of Indonesia's socio-economic activities, focusing on reducing GHG emissions in land use, industry, and energy sectors.

1. In low-carbon and climate-resilient development, the government's future direction is to implement more resilient and sustainable development, emphasizing low-carbon and emission-emission development activities and efforts to address climate change as the basis for economic recovery. This principle is known as Build Forward Better. There are three main strategies in implementing low-carbon development that can change the development paradigm more comprehensively.
2. Implement and integrate a green post-disaster recovery plan into national development planning documents. For example, low-carbon development and climate resilience are priorities for the next five years. This means that development is carried out in a low-carbon framework, using a scientific and analytical approach.
3. Implement fiscal stimulus that can accelerate the transition to low-carbon development. For example, development is directed at developing new and renewable energy, developing environmentally friendly mass transportation, and developing green job opportunities and green tax incentives. In addition, efforts to protect the environment continue to be carried out in the form of

rehabilitation of critical lands, ecotourism that can empower the community to participate in preserving the environment.

By building community and sector resilience against external shocks/disruptions, such as pandemics and climate-related disasters, the government seeks to improve the quality of the environment. This also aims to increase the quality of water, air, sanitation and waste systems, as well as efforts to increase mastery of technology and innovation to strengthen food systems and resource efficiency through a circular economy approach.

As in anticipating the impacts of climate change, the focus of developing disaster resilience and climate change is directed at increasing community resilience in the face of pandemics such as COVID-19. Increasing disaster resilience is more directed at improving the resilience of non-natural disaster systems through efforts for preparedness and disaster risk reduction and capacity building and institutional coordination. Policy directions to achieve these targets include increasing disaster and climate resilience through strengthening the convergence between disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation. The strategy to achieve this is disaster management through: (1) Strengthening data, information, monitoring potential geo-hydrometeorological disasters and early warning, and disaster literacy; (2) Strengthening disaster systems, regulations and governance; (3) Strengthening disaster risk reduction plans; (4) Improvement of infrastructure for disaster mitigation and management; (5) Integration of cooperation between regions; (6) Strengthening disaster emergency management; (7) Implementation of rehabilitation and reconstruction; (8) Strengthening the integrated multi-threat disaster mitigation system; and (9) Strengthening preparedness in disaster management; and (10) Increasing the development and innovation of alternative schemes for disaster management financing.



In addition, efforts are also made to increase the synergy in the use of regional space, through development strategies: (a) Enforcement of spatial plans based on climate change mitigation and disaster risk reduction to ensure the protection of spatial functions and the prevention of negative impacts on the environment due to spatial use; and (b) Strengthening climate change mitigation and disaster management to reduce climate change and disaster risks; and increase resilience to climate change and disasters, especially in regional growth centers, urban areas and metropolitan cities.

The main policies for developing disaster resilience in 2022 are prioritized on strengthening the system and early warning response to natural disasters (focusing on geological, tectonic, volcanic, hydrometeorological and environmental disasters) and non-natural disasters (focusing on preparedness in preventing the spread of infectious diseases/pandemic) . This is supported by efforts for preparedness and disaster risk reduction as well as capacity building and institutional coordination in the context of reforming (strengthening) the disaster resilience system.







Goal 16

Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions

Reporting the progress of Goal 16 achievement in 2021 cannot be done without discussing about the COVID-19 global pandemic that has hit the world, including Indonesia. Goal 16 plays a vital role in the Government of Indonesia's efforts to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic and mitigate its detrimental impacts through its three components: 1) access to justice for all, 2) peaceful and inclusive society for sustainable development, and 3) effective, accountable, and inclusive institution at all levels.

Therefore, VNR 2021 is developed by situating Goal 16 as the enabler for other goals to succeed and achieving the national agenda to reform the disaster response (including social-health emergencies), health, social protection systems. Goal 16 is also placed to facilitate economic recovery toward Indonesia's green economy to achieve national resilience. As an enabler, the policies developed to support Goal 16, directly and indirectly, serve as prerequisites of Indonesia's development programs that leave no one behind.

See Figure 4.135 below to understand better how Indonesia sees Goal 16 as an enabler as described above:




Figure 4.135 Conceptual Framework of Goal 16 in Indonesia's Voluntary Review 2021



Goal 16 consists of ten targets and two cross-target sub-goals, all are interlinked, and the success or failure of one depends on those of the other. Since its Voluntary National Review 2019, the Government of Indonesia has conceptualized a comprehensive framework on Goal 16, which holistically describes how Indonesia places Goal 16 as a foundation to achieve all sustainable development goals in just and inclusive manners. The framework is still relevant for this Voluntary National Review 2021 because:

First, the development and implementation of laws and policies based on evidence and free from corruption and discrimination must become the basis of development practices, including handling, recovery, and rehabilitation from the COVID-19 pandemic.

Second, the evidence that became the basis for health, education, social-economic protection, legal aid, and livelihood programs, including as responses to the COVID-19 pandemic and other disasters, must come from a system that counts everyone and provides legal identity regardless of one's social-economic status, gender, capacity, religion, ethnicity, and various other social identities. To this end, the Voluntary National Review 2021 will highlight the civil registration system and population data management in Indonesia as the basis of social assistance distributions during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Third, the development of policies and responses to the COVID-19 pandemic must ensure a readiness to mitigate the risk of violence against children, youth, women, persons with disabilities, and other vulnerable groups. This Voluntary National Review will discuss the Government of Indonesia's policy to support more ethical evidence and use such data to develop more effective programs, including during the pandemic, Indonesia has witnessed an increasing risk of domestic violence, child marriage, and online bullying and exploitation.

Fourth, law and policies, including during pandemic responses, must ease everyone's

access to justice. This Voluntary National Review highlights the legal aid policies and adaptation of court services for poor and vulnerable people that maintain inclusive access for justice seekers during the pandemic.

Fifth, the system must ensure public access to information to enable people to attain their rights and provide feedback to increase government services accountability. This is even more critical as the pandemic has shifted and limited the Government's priorities. At the same time, information regarding the pandemic evolves rapidly from day to day, from the scientific evidence on the disease itself to how to best respond to it at an individual and policy level. Related to that, the Voluntary National Review 2021 discusses the role of a freedom of press, community's monitoring initiative, and civil society's participation in responding to the COVID-19 pandemic.

In this section, the achievements of Goal 16 as an enabler will be organized through the three components of Goal 16. Each segment will look at trend analysis before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, the section will discuss challenges, mitigations, and policy responses, particularly addressing the COVID-19 pandemic impacts.



1. FOUNDATION FOR EFFECTIVE, ACCOUNTABLE, AND INCLUSIVE INSTITUTIONS AT ALL LEVEL

A. REDUCING CORRUPTION AND BRIBERY IN ALL FORMS

1. Trend Analysis and Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Corruption remains one of the biggest challenges in Indonesia that hinders the country from achieving optimal benefits from development, including economic growth. To measure society's awareness of corruptive behavior and the public's satisfaction and perception of the handling of corruption, the Government of Indonesia issued the Anti-Corruption Behavior Index (Indeks Perilaku Anti Korupsi/ IPAK). The index shows an increasing trend. In 2017, IPAK showed a score of 3.71, which went downward to 3.66 in 2018, yet rebounded in the subsequent two consecutive years at 3.7 in 2019, and 3.84 in 2020 (IPAK, Statistics Indonesia/BPS).

On the other hand, in 2020, Indonesia's Corruption Perception Index (Indeks Persepsi Korupsi/IPK) that Transparency International issued went back to 37/100 from the previous 40/100 in 2019. In comparison, the 2019 score was its highest achievement over the past 25 years, marked by a two-point increase compared to 2018. Unlike IPAK, IPK indicates some optimism in corruption handling reflected by the perception of strengthened law and policy, consistent enforcement, and stronger public trust.

Table 4.8 Anti-Corruption Behavior Index (IPAK) and Corruption Perception Index of 2015-2020

INDEX	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Anti-Corruption Behavior Index	3.59	N/A	3.71	3.66	3.7	3.84
Corruption Perception Index	36	37	37	38	40	37

Source: Statistics Indonesia (BPS), Anti-Corruption Behavior Index (IPAK); Transparency International, Corruption Perception Index (IPK).

Several studies show that vulnerable groups often have to bear disproportionate negative impacts of corruption compared to others. Vulnerable groups often rely more on public services as they had limited choices to access private services as an alternative (Peiffer & Rose, 2016). For children, corruption in the health sector led to the high child mortality rate caused by unequal coverage and health service quality (Hanf et al., 2011). This situation also contributed to the high rate of chronic disease patients among the elderly, particularly in low-income countries (Ferrari & Salustri, 2020).

In the law enforcement sector, corruption hurdled women victims of violence in accessing protection and handling services as the services became suboptimal (UNDP, 2010). Finally, corruption in the natural resources sector brought about negative impacts to the ethnic groups. Natural resource exploitation through corrupt practices has caused significant environmental damage that might threaten the ethnic groups' survival (Transparency International, 2010).



2. Challenges and Actions to Overcome

Corruption remains a risk during the pandemic. At the same time, many resources have been reallocated to respond to the health, economic, and social crises. The resource reallocations have decreased the corruption prevention and mitigation mechanism's coverage during the emergency. In addition, rapid action needed to respond to the pandemic might lead to a relaxation of procurement procedures.

A study conducted by Transparency International (2020) and World Justice Project (2020a) confirmed the decrease of the state's capacity globally to prevent and address corruption. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the urgency to launch an immediate response to the emergency by loosening up public procurement procedures has increased the risk of corruption (World Justice Project, 2020b). Corruption is highly harmful to the responses against the pandemic, as it compromises public trust and deprives critical resources of those who need them (World Justice Project, 2020b).

3. Policy Response

The Government of Indonesia recognized the need for improvements in corruption prevention and mitigation measures. Therefore, during this pandemic and the upcoming recovery phase, anti-corruption approaches such as bidder selection, cash flow tracking, and complaint and reporting mechanism must be consistently applied. In addition, the internal supervision mechanism must also be improved by maximizing the inspectorate's role in every government agency to conduct internal control, ensure good governance, and ensure that all activities associated with the state's finance are already under the prevailing regulation.

COVID-19 has also urged the government to immediately conduct procurement to provide the necessary facilities and infrastructures for COVID-19 mitigation. To ensure that the goods and services procurement is still performed

effectively, transparent, and accountable, adhering to the best value-for-money principle, the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) issued a guideline for goods and services budgeting to accelerate COVID-19 handling.

B. DEVELOPING ACCOUNTABLE AND TRANSPARENT INSTITUTIONS AT ALL LEVELS

Strengthening good governance mechanisms and practices will result in an accountable and transparent institution. An accountable and transparent institution will ensure that public service is run effectively. In addition, good governance practices will encourage citizens to monitor and hold the government responsible for any development measures.

One of the good governance aspects is financial accountability. Financial accountability encourages accurate and timely reporting of public budget use, which is usually conducted through a report that has been professionally audited. The main goal is to ensure that the public fund is used following the designated purposes in efficient and effective manners. (Agere, 2000)

1. Trend Analysis and Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic

In 2020, the budget realization rate was 94.55%, and a high score was obtained from the state financial audit (Ministry of Finance).



Table 4.9 Primary government expenditures as a proportion of original approved budget

2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
94.69%	91.05%	89.5%	94.1%	99.7%	93.83%	94.55%

Source: Ministry of Finance

There has been a stable increase in government agencies that received 'Unqualified' (Wajar Tanpa Pengecualian/WTP) status from the state financial audit between 2014 to 2020 at various government levels. From 2014 to 2020, various provincial governments consistently ranked the highest in WTP percentage. Whereas district governments still ranked the lowest in their audit compared to the national, provincial, and city governments.




Figure 4.136 Percentage of government agencies that received Unqualified (WTP) Status
Source: The Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia (Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan/BPK)
(The 2020 data would be available in the second semester of 2020)

The Government of Indonesia commits to build a clear, orderly, effective, and efficient accountability system in the government's performance accountability system (SAKIP) as a form of accountability in managing resources and policy implementation entrusted to institutions. At the ministerial/agency level, the SAKIP percentage has increased from 92.77% in 2018 to 94.12% in 2019 and slightly decreased to 94.05% in 2020. At the provincial level, the SAKIP rate has increased from 94.12% in 2018 to 97.06% in 2019 and 2020. At the district/city level, the SAKIP was recorded to consistently increase from 46.85% in 2018 to 57.28% in 2019 and 62.80% in 2020 (The Ministry of State Apparatus Empowerment and Bureaucracy Reform).




Table 4.10 Percentage of Government Agencies with Government Agency's Performance Accountability System (SAKIP) Score ≥ B

LEVEL	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Ministry/ Agency	98.76	76.62	85.00	93.90	92.77	94.12	94.05
Provincial Government	87.88	50.00	65.00	85.29	94.12	97.06	97.06
District/City Government	44.90	2.38	14.53	35.40	46.85	57.28	62.80

Source: Ministry of State Apparatus Empowerment and Bureaucracy Reform

In bureaucracy reform, Indonesia also records the bureaucracy reform percentage index at various levels. At the ministerial/agency level, there has been a consistent increase of bureaucracy reform index with score $\geq B$ from 93.98% in 2018 to 95.29% in 2019 and 96.39% in 2020. Constant improvement has also taken place at the provincial level, with the bureaucracy reform index in 2018 reaching 70.59%, which later increased to 73.53% in 2019 and 88.24% in 2020. However, a different situation occurred in the bureaucracy reform index at the district/city level that recorded growth from 11.22% in 2018 to 25.20% in 2019, yet declined in 2020 to 24.80%.


Figure 4.137 Percentage of Government Institutions with Bureaucracy Reform Index $\geq B$
Source: Ministry of State Apparatus Empowerment and Bureaucracy Reform

In public service, Ombudsman Indonesia is responsible for assessing government agencies' compliance to the designated standards in the Public Service Law. At the national level (ministry), the compliance level tends to fluctuate. The public service compliance was 52.50% in 2016 and decreasing to 35% in 2017. In 2018, the compliance level increased to 46.15% before declining in 2019 to 28.57%. At the provincial level, there was a significant increase from 27.27% in 2017 to 62.5% in 2018, followed by a decline to 33.3% in 2019. At the city level, there was an increase from 29.09% in 2017 to 36.73% in 2018, followed by a decline to 33.3% in 2019. Despite all the falls, district-level agencies showed consistent positive growth from 12.15% in 2017 to 31.66% in 2018 and 33.02% in 2019 (Ombudsman Indonesia, 2019).




Figure 4.138 Percentage of Government Institutions with 'Good' Public Service Compliance Category (%)
Source: Ombudsman Indonesia

2. Challenges and Actions to Overcome

Handling the COVID-19 pandemic and mitigating its adverse impacts, providing rapid service to ensure safety, recovery, and governance that is inclusive, responsive, and accountable is the foundation for carrying out pandemic responses and preparing for a prevention system to reduce inequality.



Figure 4.139 Flow of Inclusion, Response, and Accountability of COVID-19 Pandemic Handling



The public services have been facing a lot of challenges since the COVID-19 outbreak. A community survey shows that public service institutions have implemented health protocols such as social distancing, handwashing, temperature check, and mask-wearing (Statistics Indonesia, 2020). However, there are still challenges in enforcing health protocol in public transport and dense settlement, which significantly increased the risk exposure of the local community, particularly the vulnerable.

One of the most vital public services during the pandemic is social assistance and protection services. Based on the SMERU survey (2021), 85.3% of households have received cash and non-cash social assistance, and 50.8% have received cash assistance. Of all the households at the bottom 40% of expenditure distribution, 90% have received at least one assistance, and more than 60% have received cash assistance (SMERU, 2021). On the other hand, the community has different perceptions of social protection services during the pandemic. There are significant differences in perception regarding social assistance's match and distribution received by age group (LDUI & INFID, 2020).

In addition, social assistance during the pandemic cannot only depend on the definition of poverty. Social assistance distribution needs to consider vulnerabilities that have been made worse by the pandemic (PUSKAPA, Bappenas, UNICEF, and KOMPAK, 2020). The existing data underlined that more than half of disability groups have not received social protection packages yet during the economic crisis (MAHKOTA, 2020). Another data source shows that less than 40% of women with disabilities could access development and social protection programs during the pandemic (HWDI, 2020).

Civil society's participation in creating an accountable government is also hindered by the pandemic, which impacts are genuinely felt by the CSOs. INFID (2020) shows that CSO's facilitation activities became constrained due to

the social restriction policy. The study concluded that its high dependency on donor funding caused barriers experienced by CSOs during the pandemic.

From consultation conducted with the youth, it was found out that the facilitation undertaken by the youth group for victims of violence with special needs had been significantly affected by the pandemic. Since they had to prioritize health protocol, facilitations for blind and deaf people were restricted by the limited access to digital technology that could ensure physical distancing while facilitating their special needs.



BOX 4.28

FINDING THE HIDDEN: COMMUNITY FACILITATION TO ENSURE THAT SOCIAL ASSISTANCE LEAVES NO ONE BEHIND

During the COVID-19 pandemic, social assistance became critical to support the recovery of vulnerable people and their families. The pandemic response's effectiveness that requires a behavioral change of the community depends on how the government can mitigate their vulnerabilities. The government should fulfil the basic needs of vulnerable people to ensure the smooth running of the health protocol. Social assistance coverage is also essential to facilitate testing, tracing, and treatment (3T) to curb the pandemic's spread. However, the 3T has caused anxiety among the vulnerable people, who worried that they would lose their daily income and job. This is where social assistance comes into play to mitigate it. Unfortunately, the existing social assistance has not reached out to all the vulnerable.

The Women Headed Family Empowerment Foundation (PeKKA) is a non-government agency that focuses on poverty alleviation and justice for vulnerable groups. It took the initiative to monitor the implementation of government social assistance programs during the pandemic. Through its cadres in 20 provinces, PeKKA collected community data in 90 villages to identify the barriers to social assistance distribution. Through this activity, they found vulnerable people that had not been registered as beneficiary and were hidden from the outreach of various programs. Some of them had not even received any kind of assistance, while others had mobility constraints due to old age or disability. These factors made it challenging for them to access services outside of their homes.

Based on the findings gathered from community facilitation, PeKKA cadres submitted recommendations to the village government in each beneficiary location. They shared complete data of the vulnerable people who were not registered yet in the system, gave some recommendations to improve the social assistance distribution system, and improved the social assistance beneficiary data. Until this story was authored, the evidence-based advocacy at the village level conducted by PeKKA had managed to include ten more household heads to the social assistance beneficiary list. Ten might not be a significant number for many.

However, under the "no one left behind" principle, now ten vulnerable households managed to benefit from the social assistance that they deserve.

Source: PeKKA (Perempuan Kepala Keluarga/Women Headed Family Empowerment Foundation)





3. Policy Response

The Government of Indonesia admitted that efforts to improve the country's institutional governance and public service must be further boosted, including ensuring readiness and inclusiveness in the post-pandemic era. The Government Work Plan of 2021 stated that one of the priority programs to strengthen the stability in politics, law, defense, and security (Polhukhankam) sectors and ensure public service transformation is bureaucracy and governance reform. Bureaucracy and governance reform will increase the percentage of government agencies that score Good and Beyond in the Bureaucracy Reform Index.

By the Regulation of the Minister of State Apparatus Empowerment and Bureaucracy Reform Number 26/2020 on Guideline for Evaluation of Bureaucracy Reform Implementation, several targets to strengthen government agencies' accountability have been set forth, which include: 1) increase of leadership and staff's commitment to performance, which goes beyond routine; 2) increase of ministry/agency/local government's capacity in managing organization's performance; 3) increase of ministry/agency/local government's capacity in implementing the appropriate strategy to achieve the organization's goal; 4) increase of effectiveness and efficiency of ministry/agency/local government's budget use.

In addition, the regulation also governs the targets of the public service quality improvement, which aims to increase public service quality in each ministry/agency/local government to the point that meets the society's need and expectation. The targets of the program are 1) increased public service quality (faster, cheaper, safer, and more reachable) in ministry/agency/local government; 2) increased number of service units that meet international service standards in ministry/agency/local government; 3) increased community's satisfaction indices to the public service administered by ministry/agency/local government.

C. GUARANTEEING PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION AND PROTECTING FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOM

The Government of Indonesia has ratified the International Convention on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) through Law No. 12/2005. Article 19 of the covenant stated that everyone should have the right to freedom of expression. This right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds. The ratification explicitly shows Indonesia's commitment to respect and guarantee the rights enshrined in the covenant, including remedy for any violated rights.

Through Article 28F of the 1945 Constitution, the Government of Indonesia also recognized the right to receive information as a human right. This recognition was further strengthened by Law Number 14/2008 on Public Information Disclosure that specifies that public information disclosure and civil freedom are absolute prerequisites to run a democratic state and build a conducive situation to fulfill human rights. The press and public information management agency's role also becomes crucial and must be supported to protect and increase the quality of public information disclosure and civil freedom.

1. Trend Analysis and Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic

In 2020, the Press Freedom Index Survey (Indeks Kemerdekaan Pers/IKP) recorded an increase from the previous four years. The press freedom in Indonesia was categorized as relatively free, scoring at 75.27 or a 1.56-point increase compared to 2019, which was 73.71. Press Freedom Index is defined by several aspects, including violence against journalists, mass media business factors, etc. In 2020, the Alliance of Independent Journalists (AJI) reported 84 violent incidents against journalists (AJI, 2020). Verbal intimidation by public officials, damaging equipment or data from news coverage, and physical violence was the most frequent violence experienced by journalists throughout 2020 (AJI, 2020).



PRESS FREEDOM INDEX




Figure 4.140 Press Freedom Index (IKP) of 2016-2020
Source: National Press Council

On transparency, Indonesia still works to ensure public institutions' compliance since promulgating Law No.14/2008 on Public Information Disclosure. The Central Information Commission (Komisi Informasi Pusat/KIP) recorded an increase in public agencies qualified as 'informative' from 34 in 2019 to 60 in 2020. The figures represented the proportion of public agencies that fulfilled their duties, including providing public information and managing and documenting public information.




Figure 4.141 Number of Public Agencies Qualified as Informative
Source: Central Information Commission (KIP)



KIP reported that the percentage of public information disputes that were settled through mediation and/or non-litigation measures had fluctuated from 2014 to 2020. At least from 2018 to 2019, KIP issued the vexatious request policy that directed dispute settlement for public information toward mediation and/or non-litigation adjudication for public information requests without goodwill. Another source shows that based on the survey on public access to information throughout 2018, 34 information requests were fully granted, and four were partially granted in Indonesia (UNESCO, 2020).

Table 4.11 Number of public information dispute settlement through mediation and/or non-litigation adjudication

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Amount	123	94	54	32	1.280	350	76

Source: Central Information Commission (KIP)

2. Challenges and Actions to Overcome

Challenges around press freedom were one of the Universal Periodic Review (UPR) (2017) concerns, as highlighted by the Press Council and AJI's reports. Albeit IKP noted an increase, several barriers for press freedom implementation in Indonesia still occurred, such as pressure from the press company owner to the editorial board--including in determining the media's political direction, local government's intervention toward the news content, and violence against journalists in the political year of 2019 (Press Council, 2020). The issue of press well-being also rose during the pandemic. A study involving media workers shows that COVID-19 caused economic impacts for most respondents (83.5%) (IFJ, 2020). Furthermore, more than one in every three media workers were not equipped with personal protective equipment (PPE) in doing their job during the pandemic.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the government's responsibility to provide accurate public information in health crisis handling, financial budgeting, policymaking, provision of public goods and services, and economy became even more critical than ever (UNESCO, 2020). Public information disclosure is a crucial part of ensuring the effectiveness and accountability

of government programs and policies. However, various challenges came in the way to achieve public information disclosure, such as public agency's reluctance to share information without any clear mandate, lack of implementing guideline, unavailable data, inaccurate data, time and cost, and lack of supervisory mechanism (World Bank, 2015; Lubis, Kusumasari, Hakim, 2018). In addition, the fact that many information requests were not made with good intentions had made public agencies have difficulty selecting them, hence taking even more time (Greiling & Spraul, 2010). During the COVID-19 pandemic, the challenge grows, mainly because they have to ensure reliability, accuracy, and completeness of the information regarding response toward pandemic (UNESCO, 2020a).

Since the outbreak and throughout 2020, there have been special needs for information on pandemic and application of health protocol. CSOs' monitoring shows that information on health protocol is available, but only in certain areas, such as in education and public transport (Tempo & INFID, 2020). The data from the people with disability organizations (DPOs) show that 59.40% of respondents with sensory disability (deaf and blind) said that media had not provided sufficient access to information on the COVID-19 (Bappenas, 2020a).



3. Policy Response

The Government of Indonesia recognized the need to improve public access to information and civil freedom, including during emergencies such as the current COVID-19 pandemic. In the Medium-Term Development Plan of 2020-2024, the increase of public information disclosure quality is incorporated into the domestic political development policies, which will be carried out through the following strategies: 1) strengthening of integration of public information and communication governance in Ministry/Agency/Local Government; 2) strengthening of local and alternative media as community's sources of information; 3) providing quality, well-spread, and just public information content, particularly for communities living in the frontier, outermost, and least developed areas (3T); 4) increasing human resources quality in communication and informatics; 5) increasing access to public communication; 6) increasing media literacy; 7) developing standardization for press and journalist organizations, and 8) increasing quality of content or broadcast program.

Regarding the COVID-19 pandemic, the public information and communication management by the ministry/agency or local government is not well integrated. The access to and content of information is not yet equal and just. To improve the situation, in the Government's Work Plan (RKP) of 2021, the Government will strengthen socialization and information dissemination programs that are equal (reach everyone) and fair regarding COVID-19 development, handling, and recovery through various media at the national and local levels.

2. FOUNDATION OF ACCESS TO JUSTICE FOR ALL

A. ENSURING THE STATE'S RULE OF LAW AND ACCESS TO JUSTICE FOR ALL

The Government of Indonesia recognized that people could fall into poverty when they experience shocks in their lifetime. The shock might be caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and other emergencies related to natural disasters, climate change, or legal disputes.

1. Trend Analysis and Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic

When they have to undergo a legal process, poor and vulnerable people often have to do it without sufficient legal support to protect their rights. However, from 2015 to 2020, legal aid organizations that received the legal aid budget had provided 54,213 litigation supports for the poor in total. Legal aid organizations also conducted legal coaching and socialization that reached dozens of thousands of vulnerable individuals through 13,091 activities (BPHN/National Law Development Agency). The provision of litigation and non-litigation legal support, although fluctuating, shows a tendency to increase the number of poor people receiving legal aid assistance from 2015 to 2019. Even in the midst of a pandemic, the percentage of poor people receiving litigation legal aid to all the poor people who requested litigation legal aid has increased from 77% in 2019 to 89% in the year 2020.



Figure 4.142 Number of litigation and non-litigation legal aid beneficiary
Source: Ministry of Law and Human Rights: National Law Development Agency (BPHN)

Legal services were also provided for poor people in courts, according to the Supreme Court Regulation 1 of 2014. Legal services in the courts include out-of-court trial, proceeding cost exemption, and legal aid post. Since 2015, around 100% of poor people who applied for legal aids were assisted, albeit the proceeding cost exemption decreased in 2019 and 2020.

Table 4.12 Percentage of poor people receiving legal services, including out-of-court trial, proceeding cost exemption, and legal aid post

SERVICE	2015*)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Out-of-court trial	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Proceeding cost exemption	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	73%
Legal aid post	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: Supreme Court (Mahkamah Agung). (*the data only involves state administration, military, and religious courts)

Based on the data from National Commission on Violence Against Women's (Komnas Perempuan) service provider partners, in 2020, most of the victims were referred to legal aid services (Komnas Perempuan, 2021). The referral also depends on the availability of service in partner organizations and the victims' demand and needs (Komnas Perempuan, 2021). This finding, however, should be taken with a grain of salt, as the survey had a low response level (16%).

Subsequently, from 2015 to 2019, it was reported that there were a small number of detainees that had surpassed the maximum detainment period allowed by the law, at 6.63% in 2014, 3.22% in 2015, 2.94% in 2016, 3.34% in 2017, 3.63% in 2018, 1.68% in 2019 and 3.00% in 2020. (Directorate General of Penitentiary).



Although it is not directly related to the fact that some maximum detainment period had been surpassed, the facility overcrowding was identified as one of the risk factors of COVID-19 spread. It has put inmates and detainees in vulnerable situations (Simpson et al., 2019). In March 2020, 42% of penitentiary facilities in Indonesia, which 178,228 adults inhabited, were overcrowded (Directorate General of Penitentiary, 2020). In May 2020, 1,660 children still underwent their detainment or imprisonment sentences (PUSKAPA, Bappenas, and UNICEF, 2020).

Therefore, the Government of Indonesia decided to do some early release to mitigate further COVID-19 spread in detention centers and prisons. In June 2020, Indonesia released 992 children, half of the children in detention and imprisonment (UNICEF, 2020). Furthermore, in March 2020, there were 14,512 adult women and 53 girls in detention and prison facilities. The number decreased in December 2020 to 12,675 adult women and 19 girls (Directorate General of Penitentiary, 2020). Meanwhile, the decreasing number of adult men and elderly detainees and inmates is not yet available.

To measure access to justice, Bappenas and the Civil Society Consortium developed an Access to Justice Index for the first. Access to Justice Index measures several elements, including the prevalence of legal problems, legal framework, problem settlement mechanism, legal aid, quality of legal problem settlement, results of legal problem settlement, and community's ability (Civil Society Consortium for Access to Justice, 2019). The assessment outcome shows a score of 69.6, which indicates that access to justice is available but not inclusive in meeting the community's need to access justice (Civil Society Consortium for Access to Justice, 2019).

2. Challenges and Actions to Overcome

The Government of Indonesia recognized that the legal aid budget policy must be in line

with monitoring and improving access to and quality of legal aid, both litigation and non-litigation ones. This includes the availability of budget and infrastructure supports to facilitate the special need of persons with disabilities, children, women, and the elderly who need legal assistance. There must be more data available by area distribution, type of case, age of suspect and victim, the special need of the suspect and victim, and facilitation results. On the other hand, the facilitation data needs to be given context by regularly assessing the community's legal needs. This will enable a more comprehensive analysis of the accuracy and effectiveness of legal aid in Indonesia.

Legal aid policy consideration also needs to take into account the challenges that occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic. Several legal aid institutions such as LBHM, LBH Apik, LBH Jakarta, and LBH Masyarakat reported the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on face-to-face facilitation, mainly due to social distancing (LBHM, 2020). LBH Masyarakat reported that legal aid seekers also had trouble accessing digital legal aid due to internet connection issues (LBHM, 2020). In addition, LBH Apik informed that women victims of domestic violence often lived in the same house with the perpetrator, hindering service providers from providing legal consultation (LBHM, 2020). Whereas, studies found that before the pandemic, communities opted to get legal aid from individual who did not have professional capacities, such as family, neighborhood (RT) head, or religious leaders, and usually settled their issue amicably, which indicated the lack of legal aid's role that the government had provided and recognized (IJRS, 2020).

Open access to court decisions from Supreme Court is a very positive initial step. Through court rulings, analyses can be carried out to look at the characteristics of the case. However, Indonesia still needs to strengthen its court decisions' quality, availability, and indexing. Rulings must be made more standardized and include variables on the availability of legal

representation, hearing taken place in mobile courts, court fee waiver, and assistances from the court's legal aid post. In addition, there needs to be a more comprehensive data confidentiality protocol in uploading and opening public access to court rulings. This is particularly important for cases involving children and other vulnerable groups.

Early release as a COVID-19 mitigation measure shows that this strategy works to reduce over-population effectively. However, it can be implemented only with additional support and facilitation for the released inmates, particularly to help them finding employment. COVID-19 pandemic has closed much of the employment opportunities. The situation faced by former inmates is even more difficult due to their status. This is especially true as the policy package launched by the government to reduce the number of unemployment, which is the Pre-Work Program, did not include the released inmates as a target beneficiary.

Indonesia has successfully applied the concluding observation recommendations from the Convention of Rights of Children (CRC) Indonesia (2014) by guaranteeing that detention will only be used as the last resort and that it will seek detention alternative mechanism through Law No. 11/2012 on Juvenile Justice System (SPPA). However, a recommendation is not granted yet, increasing the criminal liability age to 14 years old. In addition, based on the recommendation from HR Periodic Report/UPR (2017), Indonesia also needs to strengthen the Criminal Procedural Law (KUHAP) to ensure the protection of women and guarantee follow-up against any suspected violence against children in detention.

3. Policy Response

In 2020, Indonesia issued various policies to achieve Goal 16 during the COVID-19 pandemic. The government issued policies to allow trials by teleconference to attain optimal, effective,

efficient, and safe results. The government considers that law enforcement must go in line with the rights of suspects, defendants, victims, witnesses, and the general public, including assessing the health risk that might occur due to the pandemic.

COVID-19 pandemic has also forced the judicial system to adapt. One of the responses conducted by the law enforcement to prevent COVID-19 spread was by facilitating online trial through the Cooperation Agreement between the Supreme Court, Prosecution Office, and Ministry of Law and Human Rights Number 402/DJU/HM.01.1/4/2020, Decision Number 17/E/EJP/04/2020, Number PAS-08.HH.05.05 on Trial by Teleconference. Through this agreement, the court, prosecution office, and the Ministry of Law and Human Rights agreed to support each other in implementing online trials. The cooperation agreement was then followed up by the Supreme Court, which issued the Supreme Court Regulation Number 4/2020 on Electronic Court Administration and Trial of Criminal Case that governs in more detail the criminal trial mechanism.

To expand the vulnerable group's access to court services, the Government of Indonesia issued policies that mandated law enforcement officers to provide decent accommodation for persons with disabilities in the judicial process. The decent accommodation shall at least meet several conditions, including non-discriminatory treatment; fulfillment of safety and comfort; effective communication; fulfillment of information on persons with disability and progress of judicial process; provision of remote audio-visual communication facilities; provision of persons with disability examination standards, and legal service provision standards; and provision of assistant and/or interpreter for People with disabilities.

The government also issued policies to release adult and child inmates through assimilation and integration programs (UNICEF, 2020). The policy considered that prison had become one



of the sites with high COVID-19 spread due to its overpopulation and inadequate sanitation facility, making it challenging to keep distance and apply hygiene and a healthy lifestyle. The Directorate General of Corrections (DGC) developed the Guideline and related Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) on the prevention and management of Covid-19 in Indonesia's prison facilities, tailoring existing knowledge and expertise from UNODC, ICRC, and WHO to the national context. The regulatory framework was synchronised to the health standard's put forward by the Ministry of Health, thereby connecting the prison system to the COVID-19 response at national level. Expedited dissemination of the Guidelines and SOPs to over 525 prison facilities across the country in the first half of 2020 help to defuse signs of panic, anxiety and agitation identified in several prisons. DGC's Early Warning System developed in 2019 proved valuable in this regard, and also benefitted the early identification and care of both prisoners with health symptoms and those considered to be particularly vulnerable in prison.

To respond to the outbreak, the government instructed to intensify the use of alternative detention, such as home detention or city detention, to minimize incarceration in the crowded penitentiary, increasing the risk of virus spread. The government learned and adapted new ways to use information technology to conduct supervision and coaching on adult and child inmates who received assimilation and integration programs that had never been performed before. The government's policy on early release and assimilation and integration programme were being presented by the Minister of Law and Human Rights at a high-level side event at the UN Crime Congress held in Kyoto in March 2021. The policy has been well appreciated by other countries or member states that attended the forum.

Regarding the protection of children in conflict with the law, the Government of Indonesia has issued a policy that governs the rights of children victims and witnesses. In addition

to getting their basic rights as victims and witnesses, through this policy, the Government of Indonesia intends to ensure that children victims and witnesses can get medical and social rehabilitation services both within and outside the institution; guarantee of physical, mental, and social security; and ease in obtaining information on the progress of their case.

The Government of Indonesia also planned to create access to justice in 2021 through 1) optimization of the electronic-based facility and infrastructure used in case handling process in the judicial system; 2) optimization of alternative case settlement and detention suspension; 3) optimization of assimilation and reintegration of the former inmates to reduce prison over-density.

Through its Government Work Plan (RKP) of 2021, the Government of Indonesia is prioritizing governance improvement to address COVID-19 impacts, particularly in recovering economic resilience and community life. The Government of Indonesia also emphasized the importance of law enforcement to cut off the COVID-19 spread. Governance improvement to achieve Goal 16 is carried out by disseminating information on COVID-19 handling through various national and local media. In addition, electronic voting technology to facilitate elections is developed.

B. PROVIDING LEGAL IDENTITY FOR ALL, INCLUDING BIRTH CERTIFICATE

Legal identity is a state's recognition of an individual's existence before the law. The World Bank (2016) identified three outputs of the legal identity ownership: 1) inclusion in basic services; 2) implementation of effective and efficient public services, transparent policies, and improved governance; and 3) more accurate measurement of development achievement. Therefore, access to legal identity is critical to facilitate the achievement of other development goals as a vehicle for the government to identify, plan and distribute services effectively (Dahan & Gelb, 2015).



In Indonesia, legal identity ownership signifies the registration of an individual in the population database, which indirectly guarantees access to various basic services such as health, education, and social assistance (Duff, Kusumaningrum, & Stark, 2016; Jackson, Duff, Kusumaningrum, & Stark, 2014; Kusumaningrum, Bennouna, Siagian, & Agastya, 2016; Sumner & Kusumaningrum, 2014). In addition, the absence of legal identity will make it more difficult for justice seekers to access legal aid or dispute settlement mechanism in court (Legal Aid Law and Government Regulation on Provision of Legal Aid and Legal Aid Fund Distribution).

1. Trend Analysis and Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic

In Indonesia, 88.11% of children (aged 0-17) have obtained birth certificates in 2020, a 2.1 point percent increase from 2019. Consecutively since 2015, the coverage of birth certificate ownership among the child population has steadily increased every year, respectively 79.92% (2015), 81.68% (2016), 83.33% (2018), and 86.01% in 2019 (Susenas).

Birth certificates coverage among children under-five is 72.7% in 2015, 72.5% in 2016, 73% in 2017, 72.12% in 2018, and 74.6% in 2019. On the other hand, birth certificates coverage for children under one-year old is 53.4% in 2015, 50.7% in 2016, 53.8% in 2017, 51.79% in 2018, 55.29% in 2019, and 58.03% in 2020.




Figure 4.143 Birth Certificate Ownership Trend based on Age Groups
Source: National Social Economic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia (BPS)

A deeper analysis of Susenas data by region shows that the percentage of birth certificate ownership in rural area was lower than in urban area from 2015 to 2019.




Figure 4.144 Birth certificate ownership from 2015-2019 in rural and urban areas in Indonesia
Source: National Social Economic Survey (Susenas), Statistics Indonesia (BPS)



Other than a birth certificate, legal identity in Indonesia starts from a marker named Single Identity Number or Citizen Registration Number (Nomor Induk Kependudukan/NIK). Based on the Population Administration Law, NIK is issued when an individual is born in Indonesia, supposedly with a birth certificate. It is a gate to various other legal identity documents and public services that can support an individual's well-being. Furthermore, legal identity is a prerequisite for individuals to access and practice their civil and political rights.

In Indonesia, NIK ownership is close to universal. In 2020, the proportion of NIK ownership in Indonesia was 96.01% (Susenas, Statistics Indonesia). Nevertheless, the 2020 progress must be interpreted in the context of the 2020 Susenas (National Social Economic Survey), which was conducted during the pandemic, using the 2020 Population Census as its basis. Meanwhile, the first round of the 2020 Population Census was conducted by referring to the population who owned NIK. Thus, the 2020 Susenas might represent various data collection limitations experienced by the Government of Indonesia and only described the documented population.

Table 4.13 Ownership of Citizen Registration Number (NIK) of 2017-2020 by age group in Indonesia

AGE GROUPS		2017	2018	2019	2020
All ages		96.88%	93.80%	95.16%	96.01%
Elderly	Elderly	97.89%	94.39%	95.74%	96.51%
	Non Elderly	96.78%	93.75%	95.09%	95.96%
Children		92.92%	88.81%	91.04%	92.35%
Under-fives	>= age 18	98.79%	96.16%	97.06%	97.68%
	< age 5	83.98%	75.09%	78.82%	81.06%
Under-ones	>= age 5	98.15%	95.64%	96.73%	97.42%
	< age 1	62.98%	50.85%	56.39%	58.92%
>= age 1	>= age 1	97.45%	94.60%	95.86%	96.67%

Source : Statistics Indonesia/BPS, Susenas

2. Challenges and Actions to Overcome

The NIK as citizens' identity in Indonesia is utilized to validate social assistance beneficiaries. Not all beneficiaries have NIK during the pandemic or registered in the Population Administration System (SIAK), hindering the distribution of assistance to beneficiaries (PUSKAPA and KOMPAK, 2020). In June 2020, it was found out that some social assistance beneficiaries in Indonesia did not have any NIK (Purnamawati, 2020). Furthermore, the social assistance beneficiary data was not in harmony with the population data, leaving some rightful beneficiaries such as family members overlooked. Inappropriate population registration and documentation hindered the effective distribution of assistance and emergency responses plan (PUSKAPA, Bappenas, UNICEF, and KOMPAK, 2020).

NIK registration is based on birth registrations. Children from the poorest household still experience barriers in birth registration. For children from households of the lowest income and consumption quintile, the birth certificate between children aged 0-17 only reached 80.87% in 2020 (Susenas). The birth registration trend for children under five and one-year-old is running slowly. Only 77.2% of children under five own a birth certificate in 2020 (Susenas).



Further analysis of Susenas data according to the regions shows that the percentage of birth certificate ownership in the rural area is lower than in the urban area. This gap can be observed from the estimated children's birth certificate ownership province. The 2019 Susenas data shows that children's birth certificate ownership was highest in Yogyakarta (98%), a stark contrast to Papua as the lowest one with 46% (KPPPA, 2020).

Recommendations to UPR (2017) and concluding observations of CRC (2014) and CEDAW (2012) suggested Indonesia issue birth certificates for every child without exception for free. Indonesia has ensured free birth certificate issuance through the Population Administration Law. However, the country still needs to address other barriers that occur when trying to access birth certificates. The existing studies show that various barriers limit community's access to birth certificates, such as unaffordable service, cost incurred from the application process, complicated procedure, and the high possibility for children from parents with disabilities to not have a birth certificate compared to those whose parents are without disability (Duff, Kusumaningrum, & Stark, 2016; Sumner & Kusumaningrum, 2014). During the pandemic, the barrier will make it even more difficult for those who have never been registered to access basic services (PUSKAPA, Bappenas, UNICEF, and KOMPAK, 2020). Various studies also underlined the link between the provision of social assistance and legal identity, particularly related to the risks of exclusion (Chudnovsky & Peeters, 2021; IFRC, 2018).

During the pandemic, the Government of Indonesia has attempted to transfer the civil and population registration to the online domain. Since mid-March 2020, the Directorate General of Population and Civil Registration (Dukcapil/Civil Registry) has mandated the registry offices through its circular (443.1/2978/Dukcapil) across Indonesia to prioritize online services. Several dukcapil offices have interpreted this directive into their technical guidelines, such as the Registry Office of Kulon Progo, Pacitan, and Bener Meriah Districts.

BOX 4.29

STRIVING FOR FULFILLMENT OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF VULNERABLE COMMUNITY

After serving the poor and vulnerable groups for over ten years, Yayasan Pondok Kasih (YPK) realized that poverty alleviation efforts require the fulfillment of rights to a legal identity document, such as an ID Card, marriage, and birth certificate. The lack of access to legal identity might hinder access to education, health, social services, and employment and business opportunities. The situation is more difficult for households in rural areas and isolated islands..

Therefore, since 2001, YPK has been conducting a series of mass marriage and assisted several families in registering the birth of their members. In 2013 and 2015, YPK held cross-religion mass marriage for 4,541 and 5,150 couples, respectively, and provided birth certificates for their children. YPK collaborated with the Ministry of Social Affairs to integrate



this activity into the National Solidarity Day (HKSN) every year in Jakarta (2015), Palangkaraya (2016), East Java (2017), Gorontalo (2018), and Banjarmasin (2019). In addition, YPK also collaborated with the Coordinating Ministry of Human and Cultural Development (Kemenko PMK) for PMK Community Service (Bhakti PMK) activity in Kaimana (2016). In carrying out such activities, YPK built a partnership with various partners, particularly the national and local governments, business sector, NGOs, youth, religious leaders, and community leaders.

On the HKSN commemoration day of 2019, YPK assisted the registration of marriage certificate and birth certificate in Tabalong, Balangan, Hulu Sungai Selatan, Tapin, Banjar Districts, and Banjarmasin City. The activity has successfully resulted in the issuance of 3,570 marriage certificates and 192 birth certificates. Furthermore, in 2019, YPK team also assisted the community in Nias Island, Ciseeng Village (Bogor District), and Tanjung Burung, Tanjung Anom, Tanjung Kait, and Bojong Villages (Tangerang District). It supported the issuance of 3,144 birth certificates.

YPK kept continuing the activity in 2020 during the pandemic, although in a limited manner, by adhering to the health protocols. The facilitation process included socialization to the villages in collaboration with the PKK (Family/Women Welfare Program) and local partners to collect data, write down, and submit the form for a birth certificate, application and coordination with Registry Office, and hand over the certificate to the applicant. The YPK team conducted the facilitation in Palembang through a free mobile hospital and the Indonesian Movement for Administrative Awareness (Gerakan Indonesia Sadar Administrasi/GISA). In 2020, YPK successfully assisted the community in six sub-districts in Palembang and supported 750 population documents.

YPK realized that such achievements were not sufficient to address the gap in birth certificate ownership. YPK has been consistently promoting similar practice intensively, including the strategies for immediate birth registration. In addition, YPK called for a consistent national campaign and local government to actively provide mobile service for reaching out to all segments of communities that need it.

Source: Yayasan Pondok Kasih (Pondok Kasih Foundation)

3. Policy Response

Improving the civil registration and population data management system has always been the commitment of the Government of Indonesia. At the end of 2019, the President issued a Presidential Regulation Number 62/2019 on the National Strategy for Population Administration Acceleration for Vital Statistics Development. The Presidential Regulation serves as a guideline for the national and local governments to accelerate the vital registration services. Furthermore, the policy is also the basis for developing vital statistics that will be used for improving basic services and development plans in various sectors. The Government of Indonesia has also issued multiple policies. The Ministry of Home Affairs, for instance, has simplified registration procedures and more actively reached out to the population through mobile and integrated service.

The progress, however, must be further supported by the Government by providing all civic documents for all citizens, from birth certificate to death certificate, which will serve as the basis to complete the population data and support population health, as well as provide security for the family that is left

behind. A robust civil and population registration system is critical to cope with and recover from the COVID-19 pandemic (UN Legal Identity Agenda Task Force, 2020; World Justice Project, 2020). As such, the Government of Indonesia has also recognized that the strategy for the national health system, social protection, and disaster resilience must be based upon proper organizing of population data. Bappenas policy paper highlights civil registration systems reform as a necessary policy action (PUSKAPA, UNICEF, BAPPENAS, and KOMPAK, 2020). In the health sector, Bappenas also stated that the COVID-19 pandemic had impacted the national health reform. One of the eight key areas of national health reform is health financing, which has been carried out through strengthening the National Health Insurance (JKN) program. JKN aims to provide universal health and support COVID-19 recovery efforts for everyone. To achieve such a target, JKN must be backed by a reliable population data system.

The efforts to fix the data include strengthening the registration mechanism of all populations without exception, ensuring accountable data management, and population administrative data sharing for planning basic services, protection, justice, and other public sector-related purposes.

3. FOUNDATION FOR PEACEFUL AND INCLUSIVE COMMUNITY FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

A. REDUCING ALL FORMS OF VIOLENCE, INCLUDING VIOLENCE AND TORTURE AGAINST CHILDREN

Violence against children, women and vulnerable groups not only bring long-term adverse impacts to the victims (Walker et al., 2011; Gartland et al., 2019). The slow responses toward violence against vulnerable groups reflect the state's weakness in enacting the law and human rights (Hayati, Emmelin, & Eriksson, 2014; Stark et al., 2012). Therefore, the Government of Indonesia

made a long-term commitment to increasing the life quality, well-being, and child protection in various development sectors; decrease the number of violence, exploitation, and discrimination against children; and strengthen the child institution and network at national and local levels (National Long-Term Development Plan of 2005-2025).

1. Trend Analysis and Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic

To measure the prevalence of violence against children and women, the Government of Indonesia has allocated a significant budget and carried out National Women Life Experience Survey (SPHPN) in 2016 and the National Children and Adolescents Life Experience Survey (SNPHAR) 2018. Both cross-sectional studies found that violence against children and violence against women were severe problems that had affected many women and children.

One in every three women aged 15-64 have experienced physical or sexual violence throughout their lifetime (SPHPN, 2016). Furthermore, SNPHAR (2018) found that 62% of girls and 61.7% of boys aged 13-17 have experienced violence. The government is currently analyzing the data from both studies to obtain information on the causes, risks, and protective factors to inform programs and policies.

One form of violence against children is child marriage. The Government of Indonesia recorded that child (young girl) marriage prevalence from 2015 to 2018 were 12.14%, 11.11%, 11.54%, 11.21% consecutively (Bappenas, Statistics Indonesia/ BPS, PUSKAPA & UNICEF, 2020).

Another group vulnerable to violence is the elderly. BPS/Statistics Indonesia (2020) recorded that 0.97% of the elderly became victims of crime in 2020. These elderly victims experienced theft (88.11%), theft with violence (1.42%), abuse (1%), and sexual harassment (0.45%) (Statistics Indonesia/BPS, 2020). Further



analysis shows that the percentage of elderly victims who were PwD was higher (1%) compared to the non-disability ones (0.8%) (Statistics Indonesia/BPS, 2020). In addition, the elderly from the 20% top expenditure group experienced more crime (1.5%) than those from the 40% bottom expenditure group (0.63%) (Statistics Indonesia/BPS, 2020).

Statistics Indonesia/BPS (2020a) also reported that 1.14% of youth (aged 16-who became crime victims in 2020. The crimes experienced by the youth in 2020 included theft (80.12%), theft with violence (2.98%), abuse (5.24%), and sexual harassment (1.84%) (Statistics Indonesia/BPS, 2020a).

Indonesia is still developing an integrated database mechanism from the ministries and agencies handling trafficking in person. Due to its complexities, reliable data is the first step toward establishing effective policies and responses. As one of the effort to prevent and handle the trafficking in person cases, Indonesia has established the Anti-Trafficking Task Force (ATTF), an inter-ministerial and inter-agency coordination center, since 2008 through the Presidential Regulation 69 of 2008. Indonesia also launched the National Action Plan to Eliminate Trafficking in Person for 2015-2019. The Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection, as the daily chair of the National Anti-Trafficking Task Force, in 2019 has developed a technical guidance for government and non-government actors on the data collection and reporting of trafficking in persons cases. However, its nationwide implementation still needs to be supported.

From 2016-2020, IOM supported comprehensive efforts of the Government of Indonesia in preventing and handling Trafficking in Persons (TIP) in Indonesia through the “3P Approach” – Prevention, Protection, and Prosecution. In collaboration with government and civil society partners, 837 trafficking survivors were assisted and empowered through enhanced referral mechanisms, and whole-of society approaches were strengthened through capacitation of ATTF at the national and sub-national level.

2. Challenges and Actions to Overcome

The recommendations from the concluding observation of CEDAW (2012) and CRC (2014) urged the Government of Indonesia to collect data on violence against women and children, and develop strategies to provide complaint service and facility for children victims of violence. Some improvement has been recorded from the data generated in SPHPN (2016) and SNPHAR (2018).

However, it is still challenging to regularly obtain the complete picture of violence against children and women in Indonesia. On the service side, various service mechanisms have been available, yet not all functions correctly. The services are still below optimal due to the absence of Standard Operating Procedures for service and referral in all places, limited human resources' quantity and quality, and limited coverage of the service, especially to handle cases down to community level. In addition, various efforts for violence prevention and handling have not targeted vulnerable groups, such as persons with disability or children, youth, and women who don't live in traditional household settings (Bappenas, 2020).

During the pandemic, mobility restriction to mitigate the COVID-19 spread has placed women and children vulnerable. UN Women (2020) study shows the vulnerability experienced by women, particularly the married ones, with low income and aged 31-40. Another study shows that 43% of respondents felt unsafe at home, further exacerbated by COVID-19 (East Asia & Pacific Gender Innovation Lab, 2020).



Several service provider organizations for the victim of violence against women and children recorded increased complaints compared to before the pandemic (Komnas Perempuan, 2020; Komnas Perempuan, 2021; LBH Apik, 2020; Rifka Annisa, 2020). However, the increase of complaints might not reflect women who faced barriers or felt reluctant to report the violence they experienced. A SMERU survey report (2019) found that 24% of poor women did not want to report the domestic violence they experienced. Only a few of them said that they would report the domestic violence to the police (21%) and integrated service center for women and child protection (P2TP2A) (10%) (SMERU, 2019).

Furthermore, a survey by Wahana Visi Indonesia (WVI) (2020) covered limited area shows that in the households they assisted, 11% of children experienced physical violence, and 33.8% of children experienced verbal violence during the pandemic. The Indonesian Women with Disability Association (HWDI) (2020) conducted a rapid online assessment covering 50 women with disability respondents. They reported that 80% of them had experienced violence, which was not that much different from before the pandemic.

Quite the opposite, with the increase of complaints, the number of service providers who respond to violence cases has decreased during the pandemic. The National Commission on Violence against Women (Komnas Perempuan, 2020) reported that the budget cuts for government agencies' services were the leading cause of the decline in service quality. In addition, CSOs needed to seek funding independently to help cover the additional cost incurred from applying health protocols in responding to the cases (Komnas Perempuan, 2020).

Furthermore, there have been several studies that discussed gender inequality during the pandemic. The restriction of movement due to the pandemic has brought more domestic chores for women. A survey by Hill, Baird, and Seetahul (2020) found that women were more likely to work from home than men. The female respondents in both studies reported increased domestic chores (Hill, Baird, & Seetahul, 2020; Komnas Perempuan, 2020a). Furthermore, these female respondents also had to allocate more time cleaning, preparing food, grocery shopping, and taking care of their children during the pandemic (Hill, Baird, & Seetahul, 2020). Another study shows that mothers were three times more frequent (71.3%) in taking care of their children compared to men (22%) (SMERU, 2021).

BOX 4.30

PROTECTING CHILDREN IN INTEGRATED AND COMMUNITY-BASED MANNERS

Upon observing the high rate of involvement of child labour in the agricultural sector (60% of child labourers in the world work in the agricultural sector), Save the Children and Cargill Cocoa & Chocolate developed a Child Protection Program with Sustainable Cocoa in Indonesia that was to be implemented from 2020-2023. The program aims to ensure child protection through a system that functions to identify, prevent, and recover child labour to increase the safety and well-being of the children in Bone and Wajo Districts (South Sulawesi). The program has been kicked off in Limapuluh Kota (West Sumatera), Pesawaran, Pringsewu and Tanggamus (Lampung), and Soppeng (South Sulawesi) Districts. It is also currently being developed in Luwu Utara and Luwu Selatan (South Sulawesi), Poso (Central Sulawesi) Districts, and Flores Island.

The Community-based Child Protection Mechanism (PATBM) was carried out child labour protection by supporting which served to conduct prevention, identification, and follow-up



of cases associated with child protection issue in the village. The program involved local civil society organizations, district and village governments, and community cadres, including community leaders, religious leaders, women groups, PKK (Family Welfare Program)/posyandu (integrated health post) cadres farmer groups. The merchants involved in the supply chain monitor the existence of child labour in their surroundings.

When this story was authored, the program had managed to build 10 PATBM in 10 villages in Bone and Wajo Districts. Through PATBM, the community's understanding of the elderly, cocoa, and supply chain merchants on child protection and prevention of child labour have increased. In addition, PATBM also supported the formalization of a proper SOP and building a referral mechanism with relevant parties to handle child protection cases at the village level. The PATBM activities have also been incorporated into the village planning document to obtain the village fund support.

The implementation of PATBM program shows that commitment, communication, and collaboration of all stakeholders and beneficiaries are crucial to success. Furthermore, the PATBM also found the importance of engaging prominent figures or influential group representatives from the community. As a government-initiated child protection program, PATBM can be established in every village with the support of commitment from the community members to identify and handle child protection issues and promote the fulfilment of children's fundamental rights.

Source: YSTC (Yayasan Sayangi Tunas Cilik)

Evidence from the field shows that child marriage, as various other violence against children, reflects a hidden inequality. Among women aged 20-24 who were married before age 18, women who came from a household of the first expenditure quintile made up the highest prevalence (26.76%) compared to the second, third, fourth, and fifth quintile, respectively 23.96%, 20.73%, 17.40%, and 11.14% (Bappenas, Statistics Indonesia/ BPS, PUSKAPA & UNICEF, 2020). In addition, disaggregation by domicile shows that the prevalence of girl marriage was higher in rural areas than the urban area from 2008 to 2018 (Bappenas, Statistics Indonesia/ BPS, PUSKAPA, & UNICEF, 2020).

Although Indonesia's level of child marriage has shown a declining trend, Indonesia still ranks eight globally for child marriage (Girls not Brides, 2019). Under the CRC concluding observation (2014) 's recommendation, the Government of Indonesia has increased the minimum age of marriage to 19 years old in Law No. 16/2019 on Amendment to Law No. 1/1974 on Marriage.

CCEDAW Report (2012), CRC (2014), and UPR (2017) resulted in the recommendation to strengthen the efforts to eradicate human trafficking. Furthermore, the observation conclusion of CEDAW (2012) and UPR (2017) underlined the need for addressing the root problems of human trafficking and strengthen the investigation and support mechanism for victims. The efforts to combat human trafficking is still going. Yet, a study conducted by Habibie Center in 2019 stated that Indonesia is still one of the countries with many human trafficking victims. Most exploitation cases involved forced labour and debt-slave, most of which involved Indonesian migrant workers. Exploitation cases were reported mainly by domestic workers, factory workers, construction workers in Asia and the Middle East; workers in palm oil plantation in Malaysia; and workers in the fishery industry in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. In addition, the study also mentioned sexual exploitation for prostitution (primarily internal trafficking) as the most frequently reported, with women and children as the primary victims.

3. Policy Response

The Government of Indonesia recognized that there is a need a lot of improvement in the protection efforts for children, women, and other vulnerable groups. RPJMN of 2020-2024 shows the Government of Indonesia's intention to strengthen a child protection system that is responsive to the diversity and characteristics of the child's area to ensure that the children will enjoy their rights. The strengthening includes: (1) strengthening regulation and law enforcement that is proportional to the child's best interest; (2) strengthening institutional effectiveness through capacity building of human resources, service provider, coordination, data and information system, and coaching and supervisory functions; (3) increasing understanding on child protection for stakeholders, community, family, and children; (4) strengthening network between the government and community, mass media, business sector, and community organizations; (5) strengthening children's participation in development in accordance with their maturity; (6) strengthening efforts to prevent and handle various violence, exploitation, including with regard to child labor issue and child neglect; (7) strengthening coordination and synergy to prevent child marriage by engaging various stakeholders; (8) strengthening child caring in family and temporary caring in institutions; (9) increasing access to integrated, friendly and inclusive basic services for all children, particularly children in special situation and condition; and (10) increasing services and rehabilitation for children who need special protection.

The Government of Indonesia continues its commitment to reduce violence, discrimination, and exploitation toward children, women, and vulnerable populations. The commitment is manifested in several priorities: (1) the strengthening of inclusive, gender-sensitive, and child-friendly services, strengthening of coordination in enhancing access to basic services for children, women, and vulnerable populations; (2) the network strengthening of the communities and other stakeholders; (3) the

enhancement of children, women, and vulnerable population participation in the development; (4) strengthening the prevention measures; and (5) the enhancement of institutional effectiveness (RPJMN 2020-2025). In addition, the government has set up a policy to protect women and children from gender-based violence in a disaster context. The policy is expected to increase stakeholders' awareness that during a disaster situation, women and children are at risk of becoming victims of gender-based violence (GBV); hence, their specific needs as victims must be fulfilled.

Furthermore, the government has also developed a policy on service standards for child victims or perpetrators of pornography. The policy aims at providing service standards for staff of social, education, religious, health service, and local government institutions to provide early handling, coaching, and recovery for children victims or perpetrators of pornography.

Throughout the pandemic, the government has formulated several multi-sectoral protocols for child protection, including 1) Cross-sectoral Protocol for the Prevention and Handling of Violence against Children, Maltreatment, Neglect, and Provision of Substitute Caretaker in Covid-19 pandemic; 2) Cross-sectoral Protocol for Release and Liberation of Children through Assimilation, Rehabilitation, and Unconditional Release; 3) Protocols for Children in correctional institution/LPKA Who Are Given Assimilation and Integration to Prevent and Mitigate Covid-19 Spread; 4) Protocol for Children Data Security and Use to Prevent and Mitigate Covid-19; 5) Protocol for Handling Children Victims of Violence in COVID-19 Pandemic, and 6) Protocol for Protection of Child Refugee and Foreign Child Refugee during Covid-19 Pandemic.

In 2020, the Government of Indonesia strengthened the protection for Indonesian migrant workers placed overseas with a new policy. One of the measures is establishing the One-Stop Service for Placement and Protection of Indonesian Migrant Worker (LTSA)



to ensure that these workers get information, protection, and problem handling support that is easy, sensible, and non-discriminatory. To increase women protection, including migrant workers from violence and human trafficking, the Government of Indonesia has mentioned several strategies in the RPJMN of 2020-2024: (1) strengthening policies and regulations for prevention, handling, rehabilitation, repatriation, and reintegration; (2) increasing knowledge and understanding of both women and men, family, community, business sector, and other stakeholders on violence against women and human trafficking; (3) building capacity for law enforcement officers and government administrators on violence against women and human trafficking; (4) strengthening women protection institutions through capacity building of the providers, coordination between service provider units, strengthening of data, information, and supervision; (5) developing a unified data system on violence against women and human trafficking; (6) developing an integrated service system for handling violence against women and human trafficking; (7) strengthening network and collaboration between national and local governments, community, mass media, business sector, and legal aid; and (8) developing innovations that aim to prevent violence against women and human trafficking.

The above policies were built upon various inputs, including from children and youth. In addition to several response-related protocols, children and youth also contributed to distance learning policies and efforts to prevent child marriage during the pandemic. Lastly, the government has also implemented a policy to rehabilitate neglected children using in-shelter and out-shelter rehabilitation. With this policy, the local governments play essential roles to provide social services and rehabilitation for neglected children.

B. PROMOTING AND ENFORCING NON-DISCRIMINATORY LAWS AND POLICIES FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Discrimination policies will prevent the vulnerable group from getting their human rights, access to basic services, and economic opportunities; the situation exacerbated the challenges of multidimensional poverty, these vulnerable groups will have a high chance to pass down such poverty to the next generation (Arauco et al., 2015). In the end, it will make all efforts to improve the community's well-being and reduce poverty more difficult.

1. Trend Analysis and Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Based on the number of human rights violation report handling indicators, Indonesia showed fluctuating situation from 2015 to 2020. This was demonstrated in the violation reports received from the National Human Rights Commission (Komnas HAM), as shown in the table below:


 Table 4.14 Number of human rights violation complaint handling ²²

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Number of human rights violation complaint handling	8,249 dossiers	7,188 dossiers	5,387 dossiers	6,098 dossiers	2,757 complaints	2,639 complaints

Source: KOMNAS HAM (National Human Rights Commission)

In addition, based on the indicators of the number of violence against women complaint handling, the National Commission on Violence against Women (Komnas Perempuan) recorded that the increase of complaints handled to 2,389 cases. The increase in complaints indicates higher violence against women cases during the pandemic.

THE NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS FOR HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, PARTICULARLY VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN



Figure 4.145 The Number of Complaints about Human Rights Violations, particularly Violence Against Women

Source: Komnas Perempuan (National Commission on Violence Against Women)

Komnas Perempuan recorded a number of discriminatory regulations against women from 2014 to 2020. There were 32 discriminatory regulations in 2016, the highest between 2014 to 2020. Since 2016, there were apparent efforts from the regional government from the reduction of discriminatory regulation until it was not present in 2020.

During the pandemic, reports on discrimination are still received. There remain reports of discrimination against adat communities. The Indonesian Legal Aid Foundation (Yayasan Lembaga Bantuan Hukum Indonesia/YLBHI) recorded that from the total land in the conflict in 2019, approximately 19,617 hectares or 36% belonged to local communities. Such disputes have been claimed to violate the right to live of 94 people and 3,279 head of households of the adat communities. (YLBHI, 2020).

YLBHI also recorded 15 cases of violation of rights to religious and faith freedom throughout 2019. The violation included violation to practice particular religion or faith, right to worship, right to build a

²² In 2019, Komnas HAM changed its calculation unit to provide more accurate complaint data. The dossier unit refers to the number of documents submitted by the complainer or complainee of a complaint (case). Whereas, complaint unit refers to the cases submitted by the complainer, hence one complaint might consist of more than one dossier.



worship house, right to conduct the religious activity, right to the decent funeral, and right to celebrate a religious festival. Local governments are accused of committing these violations through several policies, such as issuing local regulations or circular that restricted certain religious activities. YLBHI recorded at least 38 suspected cases of religious defamation filed to the police from January-May 2020 (YLBHI, 2020). Most of these cases occurred on social media.

2. Challenges and Actions to Overcome

In 2012, the observation conclusion of CEDAW implementation in Indonesia recommended abolishing discriminatory regulations at the local level. However, Indonesia is still facing some challenges to ensure that non-discriminatory regulations and policies are in place and provide equal access to justice and basic services for women, children, and other vulnerable groups.

BOX 4.31

WOMEN'S LEADERSHIP FOR JUSTICE: ADDRESSING GENDER-BASED ISSUE CHALLENGES DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC

COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated gender-based violence and impacted on women in all of their life aspects, including 1) loss of a job or most of their income; 2) enormous workload in the household; 3) domestic violence; 4) increase of child marriage 5) social safety net that often overlooks women. KAPAL Perempuan, through its programs, has attempted to address gender issues during this pandemic.

KAPAL Perempuan has conducted efforts to strengthen grass-roots women leaders, organized women through Sekolah Perempuan, and collaborated with various stakeholders to build awareness of the importance of responding to gender issues during COVID-19. They run multiple programs, including 1) Legal education for prevention and handling of child marriage; 2) COVID-19 emergency response to handle gender issues during a pandemic; 3) Emergency assistance and development of women business to fulfil family's food needs; 5) Advocacy of gender data and COVID-19 Task Force to address gender issues during a pandemic; 5) Village Women Complaint Post for dealing with social assistance, gender-based violence, and data issues.

The measure involved multi-stakeholders network that is spread in 16 districts/cities, including KPS2K (East Java), LPSDM (NTB), YKPM (South Sulawesi), PEKA PM (Kupang), PBT (Padang), Kartini Manakarra (West Sulawesi), Bali Sruti (Denpasar), GPP (Jember), LPP (Bone), Pondok PERGERAKAN (Kupang), and Gerakan Stop Perkawinan Anak Indonesia (Indonesian Movement for Stopping Child Marriage).

The program has increased legal knowledge of the women leaders in the community that helped prevent and handle child marriage and gender-based violence cases. By strengthening women leaders, 37 child marriages have been addressed in North and East Lombok, and domestic violence cases were brought to court in Gresik.

Furthermore, KAPAL Perempuan also provided data that informed the responses to gender issue during the pandemic and provided direct emergency assistance through educating the vulnerable community about the health protocol. The program has also successfully supported women through the development of the business group and grass-root cadres. Grass-root village Covid-19 Taskforce has advocated DTKS data, village policies, and budgeting to respond to gender issues during the pandemic.

The activity was successfully implemented by support from the Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (MWECP/KPPPA), local governments in the activity sites, individual solidarity supports for the most pandemic impacted group, MAMPU Program, Equal Measures 2030, Digital Access Programme (DAP), and Move92/Partner Asia.

Source: KAPAL Perempuan



Indonesia also faces challenges in guaranteeing freedom of religion and opinion, which raised attention in observing the implementation of CEDAW (2012), CRC, and UPR (2017). YLBHI found some improvement in handling religious defamation case by law enforcement, as police now clarified, facilitated mediation, and even ceased report in many instances (YLBHI, 2020). However, systemic challenges persist for national and local governments in finding the best solution and adequate protection. Meanwhile, on the other hand, the issue of criminalization that is often brought through the use of religious defamation clause in Criminal Code and Electronic Information and Transaction Law (EIT LAW) also remains a challenge.

Consultation with the youth revealed several problems related to policy makers' lack of sensitivity toward human rights, gender equality, and different social identities. The situation has made advocacy at national and local levels to ensure gender-sensitive and inclusive policies more complex and challenging.

During the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a lot of social identity-based incitement. However, various responses, both the government and community, tend to show cross-identity solid social solidarity.

Consultation with youth revealed some youth organizations' concerns about the risk of radicalism that they observe during the pandemic. They argued that the pandemic had increased online interaction, hence paving the way to exposure to radicalism. On the other hands, the opportunity to build critical thinking capacity in schools that could mitigate such a risk was stifled by the shift to distance learning or "school from home."



3. Policy Response

The Government of Indonesia recognizes that much improvement is needed to protect human rights from discrimination. Therefore, in the Government Work Plan of 2021, one of the objectives is to create consolidated democracy, preserve freedom, strengthen the capacity of democratic institutions, and protect citizens' equality optimally. These targets are to be achieved by increasing Indonesia's democracy index and law development index. The government continues to maximize efforts of human rights protection and discrimination prevention. For example, the Sexual Violence Prevention Bill is still being pushed to be passed. Efforts to examine application of the EIT Law (electronic information and transaction) are pursued to sustain civil and press freedom.

In the National Medium-Term Development Plan of 2020-2024, the Government of Indonesia has targeted to improve its score in the Global Terrorism Index. Furthermore, the Government of Indonesia will also increase national security and stability by creating policies and programs to prevent and address terrorism and deradicalization. At the beginning of 2021, the Government of Indonesia issued Presidential Regulation Number 7/2021 on the National

Action Plan for the Prevention and Mitigation of Violent Extremism that Leads to Terrorism for 2020-2024 (RAN PE). The national action plan consists of series of activities that are to be conducted systematically and well-planned to prevent and address violent extremism that leads to terrorism. The action plan serves as a guideline for ministries, government agencies, and local governments to prevent terrorism. Specifically, the national action plan aims at increasing coordination and participation between ministries and institutions to prevent and address violent extremism that leads to terrorism. The RAN PE also develops a data collection and monitoring instrument and system to support the measures to prevent and mitigate violent extremism.





© UNICEF/UNI360178



Goal 17 Partnership for the Goals

This section outlines the Goal 17 of SDGs with a focus on five important Targets that are relevant and affect the achievement of the SDGs in Indonesia, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, namely tax revenue, growth of non-oil and gas export, internet use, international development, and data. Taxes as an indicator of Goal 17 can show the situation of resource mobilization in the country. Exports may capture the external circumstance as well as may describe the internal situation. The use of internet can illustrate how Indonesia is rapidly turning to the digital world in response to the pandemic. International cooperation shows how Indonesia interacts with the international community in development. Furthermore, the data—especially with the implementation of the 2020 Population Census—shows how the country continues to carry out its ten-year national agenda even in the midst of the pandemic by leveraging available resources.

This section will also discuss how the trends of the main indicators behave and the challenges that correspond to the five Target, and how the government and stakeholders respond to the behavior of the indicators during a pandemic. In addition to reviewing in depth the relevant selected indicators, the analysis of Goal 17 also emphasizes how these indicators are achieved within the framework of multi-stakeholder cooperation.

A. TREND ANALYSIS AND IMPACTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

1. TAX REVENUE

The catastrophic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic goes beyond the health issue. Already experiencing disadvantages, women has been severely impacted more than men in many dimensions²³, albeit their resilience capability was found impressive²⁴. Experienced globally, the resources to cope with the adverse effects of pandemic were limited, while the prevailing issues in relation to virus transmission and related health issues is daunting. Unsurprisingly, government faced state budget constraints. On one side, state revenue was negatively affected by the decline of economic growth. On the other side, the government had to refocus state budget for pandemic response efforts, especially

23 Gani, I. (2021). Poverty of Women and the COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia. BIRCI-Journal: Humanities and Social Sciences, 4(1): 10-34-1041, DOI. A press release No. B- 285 /Set/Rokum/MP 01/10/2020 of the Ministry of Woman Empowerment and Child Protection (accessed 15 March 2021) also mentions a similar phenomenon.

24 National Commission on Violence Against Women. (2021). Resiliensi Perempuan dalam Menyikapi Pandemi COVID-19 (Women Resilience in Coping with the COVID-19 Pandemic). Policy Brief 3.



targeting vulnerable groups, to ensure the acceleration of national economic recovery.

The government spending significantly increased from IDR 2,309 trillion to IDR 2,739 trillion between 2019 and 2020; the highest increase at least in the last five year (Table 4.15). However, the tax revenue fell notably from IDR 1,546 trillion to IDR 1,405 trillion—its figure from four years ago (2017), in which at the time government expenditure was only IDR 2,007 trillion. This implies that the total government revenue in 2020 dropped significantly, as indicated in Figure 4.146. As seen in the figure, the ratio of government revenue to GDP in 2020 was the lowest in the last decade.

Table 4.15 Government Spending and Domestic Revenue

ITEM	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Proportion of domestic budget financed by domestic taxes (%) [*]	67.02	64.98	67.01	65.18	62.60
Total Spending**	1864.3	2007.4	2213.1	2309.3	2739.1
+ Central Government**	710.3	742.0	757.8	813.0	763.9
+ Transfer to Sub-national Governments**	1154.0	1265.4	1455.3	1496.3	1975.2
Domestic Revenue**	1546.9	1645.7	1928.1	1955.1	1698.6
+ Tax**	1285.0	1343.5	1518.8	1546.1	1404.5
+ Non-Tax**	262.0	311.2	409.3	409.0	294.1

Source: *Ministry of Finance and Statistics Indonesia; ** Financial Note and State Budget (APBN) 2021
All data are in IDR trillion




Figure 4.146 Government Revenue and Tax
Source: Government Revenue: Ministry of Finance and the Statistics Indonesia; Tax to GDP: Ministry of Finance and Ministry of Home Affairs

The tax ratio was at a declining trend, which may be caused by the sources of tax revenue that is not diverse enough. The tertiary sector solely dominates the tax revenue composition for the last six to seven years, surpassing the ones of primary and secondary sectors. In the meantime, the revenues from agriculture and mining tend to be erratic following the rhythm of commodity prices at the global market, while revenues from manufacture slows down along with deindustrialization in the last



decade.²⁵

The tax revenue contribution of the tertiary sector increased from 48% to 51% between 2015 and 2019. This is the opposite trend with the tax revenue contribution of the secondary sector that declined from 39% in 2015 to 37% in 2019. Furthermore, the tax revenue contribution of the primary sector to the total tax revenue was the smallest, about 12-13% in the same period²⁶. Main challenges remains in tax compliance.

The trend of tax ratio continues to decline since a decade ago, despite lower-bound taxable income has been adjusted and a tax amnesty has been once introduced in 2017-2018 through Law 11/2016. The declining generation of revenue through tax has directly affected the proportion of domestic spending financed by domestic tax. In, 2018-2019, this proportion fell from 67% to drop to 65%, continue to decrease to 63% in 2020.

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic can be traced through the trend in tax revenue by type of tax. The following table shows that all types of tax decreased in 2021, thus reversing the increasing trend that had occurred since 2015. In 2020, the total tax revenue decreased by around IDR 140 trillion from the level in 2019. In nominal terms, the deepest decrease—around IDR 100 trillion—came from income tax, namely from IDR 772 trillion to IDR 670 trillion. The rest is distributed unevenly among various other types of taxes. The income tax revenue in 2020 is closer to income tax in 2016, i.e., IDR 666 trillion. Moreover, from international trade sources, the sharp decline was shown by export duty revenue, from IDR 3.6 trillion to about half, namely IDR 1.7 trillion. This picture shows that export activities have declined along with the restrictions on the mobility of goods and people in many countries during the pandemic.

25 Deindustrialization phenomenon is clearly recognized in a document published by the Ministry of Finance (2021). See, the Ministry of Finance (2021). Kerangka Ekonomi Makro dan Pokok-Pokok Kebijakan Fiskal Tahun 2021: Percepatan Pemulihan Ekonomi dan Penguatan Reformasi. (Macroeconomic Framework and the Principles of Fiscal Policy 2021: Acceleration of Economic Recovery and Economic Reform Strengthening).

26 The Ministry of Finance, *ibid*.



Table 4.16 Sources of Tax Revenue (Trillion Rupiah)

SOURCES OF TAX REVENUE	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Tax Revenue	1240.2	1285.0	1343.5	1518.8	1546.2	1404.5
a. Domestic Tax Revenue	1205.3	1249.5	1304.3	1472.9	1505.1	1371.0
a.1. Income Tax Revenue	602.3	666.2	646.8	750.0	772.3	670.4
a.1.a. Income Tax Revenue: Oil and Gas	49.7	36.1	50.3	64.7	59.2	31.9
a.1.b. Income Tax Revenue: Non-Oil and Gas	552.6	630.1	596.5	685.3	713.1	638.5
a.2. Value Added Tax Revenue	423.7	412.2	480.7	537.3	531.6	507.5
a.3 Land and Building Tax Revenue	29.3	19.4	16.8	19.4	21.1	13.4
a.4. Excise Revenue	144.6	143.5	153.3	159.6	172.4	172.2
a.5. Other Income Tax	4.6	8.1	6.7	6.6	7.7	7.5
b. International Tax Revenue	34.9	35.5	39.2	45.9	41.1	33.5
b.1. Import Duties	31.2	32.5	35.1	39.1	37.5	31.8
b.2. Export Duties	3.7	3.00	4.1	6.8	3.6	1.7

Source: Financial Note and State Budget (APBN) 2020; 2021

2. GROWTH OF NON-OIL AND GAS EXPORT

The drop in the government revenues, among others, is also caused by the decline of export growth, especially non-oil and gas export. As Indonesia has no longer been an oil exporter since 2009, the non-oil and gas export then becomes an important substitute in the country's international trade. The country itself has long been an exporter of agricultural and mineral products for years. Many different crops and products have been internationally traded and are now still exported. However, the generated nominal values cannot gainsay the fact that the majority of export earnings has always been limited to a short list of primary commodities.

Non-oil and gas export growth has not met its targets. A further look at the data shows that the growth of non-oil and gas export had been negative in the last two years prior to the pandemic. Between 2018 and 2019, the growth rate was -5%, while amid the pandemic it was still negative, -0.5%. However, the trend of negative growth of non-oil and gas export is not new. Between the years of 2011 and 2016, for about five years, Indonesia experienced negative export growth rates—displayed in Figure 4.147. In 2015, the growth was at about -10%. Clearly, as it may affect the trade balance, even the overall country's balance of payment³², a systematic improvement in the performance of non-oil and gas export is an important and critical agenda. As an illustration, until 2019, the majority of single-digit SITC exports has declined, with the exception of SITCs 0 (food and live animal) and 6 (manufacturing)—that's even with small increases in export value from US\$ 13,104 and US\$ 26,337 million (2018) to US\$ 13,225 million and US\$ 26,409 million (2019), respectively for SITCs 0 and 6.³³

32 Inflow remittances need also a special attention. A significant decline in remittances touched 17.6% in 2020, previously, in 2019, the remittances sent to Indonesia reached highest record at USD 11.7 billion—about 1% contribution to the GDP. With more than 180,000 migrant workers returned home during the pandemic, and more than 80,000 placements delayed, Indonesia has experienced a massive drop to only USD 9,43 billion in 2020.

33 See <https://bps.go.id/Indicator/8/1494/1/nilai-ekspor-menurut-golongan-sitc.html>; accessed 27 April 2021.




Figure 4.147 Growth of Non-Oil and Gas; Oil and Gas Exports (%)
Source: Statistics Indonesia

A similar trend is experienced by the growth of oil and gas export. As depicted in Figure 4.147, the trend line of oil and gas export resembles the pattern of the non-oil and gas export. In 2012, the non-oil and gas export growth was about -6%, the oil and gas export growth was at the rate of -11%. In 2015, when the oil and gas export suffered most with the growth rate of -38%, the non-oil and gas export growth also touched the worst at -10%. During the 2020 pandemic, the negative growth rate of oil and gas export was still unsatisfactory at -30%, while the one of non-oil and gas export was slowing down at the rate of -0.6%. A comparable pattern is also detected when the two growth rates reached positive points as found in the years of 2010-2011 and 2017-2018. Challenges remain in the declining trend of both oil and gas and non-oil and gas export.

Table 4.17 provides some details on the non-oil and gas export performance compared to the oil and gas export performance. First, as mentioned, the non-oil and gas export dominates the country's export composition. All the time, the share is always above 80%, albeit as mentioned earlier it is still concentrated on a few numbers of goods. Second, just like in the growth rate, the nominal values of non-oil and gas exports also decreased for three years of 2018-2020—also followed by a similar oil and gas export behavior. The tendency even could be detected from the years of 2011 to 2015. Export performance once hiked from the year of 2018, but then persistently fell afterwards, indicating that the year of 2018 was the peak year of export in the last five years. Third, the pandemic has set the export value of non-oil and gas export back to the level that had been achieved previously in the year of 2017. During the pandemic, the oil and gas export value was the worst one, at least for the last 10 years.



Tabel 4.17 Oil and Gas; Non-Oil and Gas Exports

YEAR	EXPORT	VALUE (US\$ MILLION)	SHARE (%)
2016	Oil and Gas	13105.5	9.03
	Non-Oil and Gas	132028.5	90.97
	Total	145134.0	100.00
2017	Oil and Gas	15744.4	9.33
	Non-Oil and Gas	153083.8	90.67
	Total	168828.2	100.00
2018	Oil and Gas	17171.7	9.54
	Non-Oil and Gas	162841.0	90.46
	Total	180012.7	100.00
2019	Oil and Gas	11789.3	7.03
	Non-Oil and Gas	155893.7	92.97
	Total	167683.0	100.00
2020	Oil and Gas	8309.1	5.09
	Non-Oil and Gas	154997.4	94.91
	Total	163306.5	100.00

Source: Statistics Indonesia, accessed 22/03/2020

In the last three years, international factors—the US-China trade tension and a serial weakening of commodity prices—are responsible for the declining trend. At the country level, China, for example, is still a big export market for Indonesia as it takes about 20% of the total export of the country. Undoubtedly, any shock occurred in China—due to, for example, the increase in trade tension—would have a direct effect on Indonesia's export. At the global level, the World Bank³⁴ shows that in 2018 the global economic growth was relatively robust at 3.1%, but slowly fell over the next two years along with the deceleration of advanced-economy growth and the leveling-off recovery in major commodity-exporting emerging market and developing economies.

Along with the international factors, however, domestic issues also matter. The Global Competitiveness Index of the World Economic Forum, for example, reports that the ranks of the country sharply dropped from the 45th (of 140 countries) in 2018, to the 50 (of 141) in 2019. In 2020 the World Economic Forum also reported that within a scale of 0-100—the higher, the better—the country's scores on five arenas of industrial performance were not impressive. The scores on (1) incentives to direct financial resources, (2) competition and antitrust frameworks, (3) public-private collaboration, (4) investments in research, innovation and invention as well as (5) diversity, equity and inclusion to enhance creativity were consecutively 59.7, 62.9, 45.0, 45.6, and 60.4. Certainly, this achievement is far from the expected level. Still in the same 2020 Global Competitiveness report, it is also recorded that between 2016 and 2020 the percentage change in the skill sets of graduates of Indonesia among the G20 economies are all negative. All of these imply that the capacities to innovatively search for new international markets and to diversify export goods still need to be developed more. Market intelligence and market brief, export communication forum, buyer reception desk and inquiry service, as well as export library are, among others, the list of actions required to be strengthened.

34 Cited 7 April 2021 from the World Bank.



3. INTERNET USE

'Working from home' and 'schooling from home' was implemented as a response to policies on social restrictions to control the spread of the COVID-19. Therefore, internet connection is key to support these activities³⁵.

With only few Internet users in 1990s, according to the World Development Indicators of the World Bank³⁶, currently around half of the population are frequent internet users. Statistics Indonesia also released the data that internet users have increased from about 22% in 2015 to 48% in 2019.³⁷ The last survey-based data by Indonesian Association of Internet Providers (APJII), however, shows a higher number of users; that is, almost three-quarter of the country population are internet users. This is more than double that of the 2014 figure where the users were only 35%. In 2020, when the pandemic hit the country, the internet users according to the APJII data were about similar with the one in 2019, 74%.

Nevertheless, two important signs emerge. **First**, overtime there are similar increasing trends reported by those-mentioned institutions. This to say that, no matter how it is measured, the proportion of population categorized as internet users increase steadily from time to time. This is, however, not a phenomenon unique to Indonesia solely, because it is a global phenomenon as reported in the Internet World Stats.³⁸ According to the Internet World Stats³⁸. According to the Internet World Stats about 0.4% of the world population was internet users in 1995, but now it takes around 64%.




Figure 4.148 Internet Users in Indonesia
Source: Blue Line: Percentage of Internet User (APJII);
Brown Line: Percentage of Customer Served by Broadband to Total Household
(The Ministry of Communication and Informatics)

35 Almost at the same moment, in April 2020, the Ministry of Education and Culture in a collaboration with the national public TV (TVRI) released a program "Learning from Home" to add what has been programmed through the internet network.

36 The detail figures can be seen in the World Bank website.

37 In the last five years, the WB uses the data released by Statistics Indonesia, accessed 2 May 2021.

38 <https://www.internetworkworldstats.com/emarketing.htm>, accessed 9 April 2021.



Second, this certainly reflects a combination of demand and supply sides for the internet. On the demand side, with the increase of welfare, internet use also increases³⁹. To illustrate more, the statistics indicates that customers served by the broadband almost doubled in five years since 2016 (Figure 4.148). Five years ago, it was only 7.84 of the total population, while in 2020 it reached to 14.3%. In between a consistent increase is found: 9.38% in 2017, 10.45% (2018), and 13.59 (2019). On the supply side, there has been massive development of internet infrastructure over the country, even at sub-district level. In 2019, there was only 35.75% sub-districts served by fiber optic network, while a year later it increased to 57.58%.

On the demand side, a more detail characteristics of consumers is recorded by the APJII data (Table 4.18). First, digital divide by gender is not significant as male users only slightly dominate the use of internet, while the gap tends to decrease overtime. In 2017, there were 51.5% male users, and decreased to 51% in 2019; thus, there was an increase in female users from 48.5% to 49%. Nevertheless, second, spatial digital divide is more apparent. More than half of internet users are in Java, the main island with the most densely populated area; while the rest is unevenly distributed among the remaining islands, while challenges remain on the number of internet users in the eastern part of Indonesia. Nonetheless, third, initial signs of convergence can also be detected as portion of internet users in Java tends to decrease, while the opposite occurs in the rest of the groups of islands.

Table 4.18 Internet Users

INTERNET USERS	2017	2018	2019
National	54.68	64.8	73.7
Sex			
Male	51.43	-	51
Female	48.57	-	49
Island			
Jawa	58.08	55.7	56.4
Bali-Nusa	5.63	5.2	5.2
Sumatera	19.1	21.6	22.1
Kalimantan	7.97	6.6	6.3
Sulawesi	6.73	10.9	7
Maluku-Papua	2.49		3

Source: Indonesian Association of Internet Providers (APJII)

39 This even applies also to the elderly group as reported by Statistics Indonesia (2020) in Statistik Penduduk Lanjut Usia 2020 (Elderly Statistics 2020).



The spatial dimension of digital divide is most likely explained by general socio-economic development gap between the main islands and the outer islands—and urban and rural areas as well. The main islands—and urban areas—represent the more developed areas as contrast to the outer islands—and rural areas⁴⁰. In the meantime, gender dimension of digital divide—albeit the APJII data does not show a sharp difference—may associate with various factors.

Furthermore, if the internet users are seen from a particular age cohort, the Youth Statistics 2020⁴¹ of Statistics Indonesia records that in 2015 only 44% of youth were internet users. By 2020, the figure has changed to almost double, to 86%. Therefore, the number of internet users among the youth is significantly increasing. However, relative to 2019, the increase in internet users in this group tends to decrease, where the increase was only five percentage points from 81%; whereas between 2018 and 2019 the increase was about eight percentage points from 73%. This slowdown occurred amid the COVID-19. Stronger efforts need to be put in place to achieve the ideal target of 100%.

There is growing attention to the elderly users. There is an increasing tendency that those categorized as elderly are also internet users and the size is bigger over time. The Elderly Statistics 2020⁴² reports that in 2016 only 2% of the elderly population were internet users, but five years later it rocketed to 11%. This number will continue to grow, a research reports that during the pandemic about 75%

of elderly stated that they keep social relations through various devices, like telephone, short message service (SMS), or social networking service (WhatsApp)⁴³. Albeit the research does not explicitly inform the internet communication behavior of the elderly, this may still provide information about the importance of communication technology for the elderly. At the same time, other aspects of life—e-commerce, e-banking, and other digital business serving also the elderly group—are also rising very fast. Digital literacy skill to the elderly is, therefore, an inevitable need. Moreover, since the elderly group is not sociologically and geographically homogenous, a further attention needs also to be paid to female elderly, those with lower socio-economic status, disability groups as well as those living in rural and remote areas.

In the midst of digital divide and unsatisfactory digital literacy, the big size of internet user in Indonesia has some positive impacts on the electronic- or internet-based business sector. Even in the pandemic time, the internet has become a savior for the overall economy from a deeper fall down. Among some few others that grew in the pandemic time, this sector was the one that grew progressively. Telecommunication and information are the closest proxy for this sector. During the pandemic in 2020, while the aggregate growth level was -2%, this sector grew at nearly 11%. This was a boost if compared to the rate in 2019 that took around 9%. This rate was very close to the rate in the first quarter of 2020 when the pandemic just began in March. In the second, third, and fourth

40 This perhaps a 'helicopter view' of contrast. A closer look at, even, a capital city like Jakarta, such a divide is still found, as reported by a study of SMERU (2020).

41 See, Statistics Indonesia. 2020. Statistik Pemuda Indonesia 2020

42 See, Statistics Indonesia. 2020. Statistik Penduduk Lanjut Usia 2020.

43 ERIA, Bappenas, and Survey Meter. (2021).Older People and COVID-19 in Indonesia. Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA).



quarters of 2020 when the virus hiked explosively, the growth of IT sector was quite stable to maintain the same rate of growth, 11%. ⁴⁶

4. DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION: THE SOUTH-SOUTH COOPERATION

Becoming a middle-income country and as member of G20, Indonesia continues to strengthen international cooperation, including through South-South Cooperation (SSC). This is a translation of the 1955 Asia-Africa Conference and Indonesia's "independent and active" foreign policy, aligned with the current vision and mission of the President "Nawacita Jilid II". Furthermore, this initiative is also directed to build collective independence that will strengthen the position of developing countries in international fora.

In 2019, there are 3 flagship programs of the SCC, as illustrated in figure 4.149, where development cooperation was the main focus. Moreover, scholarship program had the highest number of beneficiaries. Furthermore, the closest neighbor, Timor Leste, was the country partner that benefited most from the SSC program compared to the other nine countries.

⁴⁶ The Startup Report 2020 of the Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy (2021) recorded that the top five unicorns of Indonesia booked impressive ecosystem values ranging from US\$ 3 billion to almost US\$ 11 billion. The five unicorns includes (1) 'Gojek' that was initially an online transport network platform company (TNC), (2) 'Tokopedia', a marketplace platform company, (3) 'Traveloka', an online ticket company, (4) 'Bukalapak', a marketplace platform company, and (5) 'OVO', a payment gate company. This advancement is confirmed by the report of Startup Genome that rank Indonesia at the second place after India in the top 100 emerging ecosystem rankings.



3 FLAGSHIP PROGRAMS



DEVELOPMENT (57)
78 %



GOVERNANCE (9)
12 %



ECONOMY (7)
10 %



10,418*
SCHOLARSHIP
RECIPIENTS



1,305
TRAINING
PARTICIPANTS



134
RECIPIENT
COUNTRIES



74
TRAINING
PROGRAMS,
CONFERENCES,
AND EXPERTS
DISPATCH



6
SCHOLARSHIP
PROGRAMS

*The number of recipients of scholarships based on the Government of Indonesia's funding in 2019

TOP 10 BENEFICIARY COUNTRIES OF THE INDONESIA'S SSC PROGRAMS 2019*



TIMOR-LESTE
31



LAO PDR
12



AFGHANISTAN
11



PALESTINE
9



BANGLADESH
8



Fiji
8



PHILIPPINES
8



MYANMAR
8



VIETNAM
8



SRI LANKA
8

Based on data of Indonesia's SSC Program's Recipients 2019

Figure 4.149 Activities of the SSC
Source: The 2019 Annual Report of the Indonesia SSC



The international development cooperation, however, is not limited to SSC. It also covers a wider range of development cooperation beyond the SSC; and the initiative has been established long before the pandemic. Figure 4.150 displays the number of programs and the financial amount of the cooperation, including the SSC. The two graphs show the increasing trends of the country-initiated international cooperation programs. On the basis of the temporary report of the SSC, the number of programs during the pandemic has dropped to only 68 activities, albeit the temporary data on program financing increased to IDR 123.5 billion, higher than 2019 figure of IDR 112.8 billion.




Figure 4.150 Total Amount of SSCT Financing and Activities

Source: Bappenas/The Implementing Ministries

* Note: Temporary data

5. THE ROLES OF DATA: THE 2020 POPULATION CENSUS

Internet increases regional connectivity through the Palapa Ring and the High Throughput Satellite (HTS), but there remain challenges of internet coverage in some areas. Indonesia is the biggest archipelagic country and the fourth most populous country in the world, and according to the latest 2020 Population Census (PC), is home to 270.20 million people, and additional of 32 million people in comparison to the population recorded in the 2010 census.

Male and female population proportion are 50.6% and 49.4% consecutively, sex ratio of 102. The annual population growth of 2010-2020 is 1.25%—lower than 1.49% in 2000-2010. It is also important to know that millennial generation and Gen Z collectively take more than half of the population, where the former is around 25.9% and the latter is 27.9%. About 9.78% of the population is the elderly, increasing from 7.59% in the 2010 census. Productive age still dominates the population structure with 70.72%, implying that the country is still in the era of demographic dividend. Last but not least, geographically, the main island of Java is still the most densely-populated land as it takes 56.1% of the whole population living in this island covering only seven percent of the total area of the



country.

For the SDGs, the 2020 Population Census has some strategic roles. First, the census provides an updated version of population data to adjust various Statistics Indonesia surveys—or other surveys—with much smaller samples. There are many SDGs indicators where the underlying data are constructed from sampling-based surveys that need to be adjusted by the census results. Welfare, health, and education statistics, are few among many SDGs data that needs to be adjusted. Second, the data provides information about the size of SDGs target population, and the implied budget, for various intervention programs in the four pillars—social, economic, environment, and justice and governance pillar—by both national and sub-national governments, including non-state actors.

During the Dutch era, i.e., between 1815 and 1930, there were about 10 population censuses effectively conducted, and in that period of time, quality of census data continues to improve, in which the 1930 census data had the highest quality⁴⁷. After the independence in 1945, for about every 10 years since 1961, Indonesia conducts the population census regularly. Figure 4.151 depicts the journey of the country's censuses since 1961⁴⁸. As displayed in the Figure, the country's population grows, but with a declining trend. With an amount of 94 million people, ten years after the 1961 census, the population growth was 1.7%, but by the latest two censuses, the growth was only 1.3%. All the censuses were conducted with the enumeration method of direct visits to the targeted citizens.




Figure 4.151
The Journey of Indonesia Population Census

The latest 2020 census, however, was different from the ones that have ever been conducted in the history of Indonesia demography statistics in various dimension. First, it was unexpectedly conducted in the hard times of pandemic. The area-based lock down and physical distancing policies and various social dynamics due to the pandemic had made the census extremely challenging. This does not include yet the uncertainty of the pandemic: when it would end and when the new wave of the pandemic would come. Second, the census combined both traditional and registration-based data collection. The former was run as a full field enumeration, while the latter was conducted through an online system. The online system later on successfully recorded 51.4 million people or 13.6 units of household.

47 Kompas, accessed 12 April 2021.

48 Statistics Indonesia, as quoted by Kata Data, accessed 12 April 2021



B. CHALLENGES AND ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

1. CHALLENGES

On tax revenue, Indonesia is struggling with the low tax ratio, thus increasing the ratio should be addressed. **First**, the expansion and diversification of tax payers and tax sources. The number of tax payers needs to be increased. The sources for tax also need to be diversified to generate new sources. **Second**, retaining and intensifying current tax payers and tax sources. As the economy grows, the income levels of the current tax payers will also increase. Similarly, as the country's economy grows, the generated economic values of the current businesses will escalate as well. These will be another set of sources for tax revenue in the upcoming future.

On export performance, its governance needs to be improved to accommodate its many fronts: at desks, seaports and freight, airports and cargo, including land transportation. Market diversity also remains a necessity, especially in the expansion to new markets and the creation of new goods with high value-added. Bilateral and multilateral collaborations are also unavoidable in increasing non-oil exports. Trade counselors in Indonesian embassies abroad needs to strengthen their roles. Market intelligence will be a serious and strategic task to be played by the counselors. Promoting and marketing Indonesia products—and services—as well as inviting export-oriented investments should become a priority.

On the internet, digital ecosystem should be prioritized, thus digital literacy is a must for content creators, programmers and users, especially the elderly. Parallel with digital literacy, manners of proper communication in digital ecosystem, such as social media, should be disseminated. Adverse effects of internet use, such as hate speech and hoaxes continue to spread widely through digital platforms, creating social tensions and some are followed by legal processes. At the same time, digital divide remains. Those living in rural areas and underdeveloped regions, as well as vulnerable groups, such as women, elderly and people with disabilities, continue to experience disadvantage in internet access.

Development of internet infrastructure should continue to prioritize hard-to-reach areas, to ensure that the population has coverage to internet, which can be utilized for business development, innovation creation, social network expansion, intensive political participation, and many others. The future will be the internet—the ‘Internet of Things’ (IoT), therefore more areas should be covered by internet.

The 2021 Government Work Plan stated the challenges that still need to be addressed in Technology, Information and Communication (ICT) sector are (1) inadequate access and unreliability of ICT infrastructure to boost digital economic growth with the targeted quality. Increasing the reliability and speed of information services requires some expansions in fixed broadband networks and mobile broadband networks; (2) the use of ICT infrastructure that has been implemented needs to be optimized for public sector services, industry, tourism and services to restore economic productivity; (3) the use of ICT infrastructure services is hampered by limited Human Resources (HR) who have mastered expertise in the digital field.

In terms of **international development cooperation** related to SSTC, the main challenge is in ensuring the inclusiveness of non-state actors through several strategies. **First**, the existing program is still limited to the government programs. In the future, private sector through their CSR programs and the philanthropy will be two potential actors in strengthening international cooperation. **Second**, future



cooperations can focus on strategic issues, such as sustainable development. Indonesia can share the experiences and lessons learned in the preparation and implementation of SDGs.

Indonesia has entered a new era of system of **online-based data enumeration**. Currently, the online system is a complementary to the classic field-based data enumeration system. However, in the near future, the online system would dominate data enumeration. This requires a set of strategic investments in both hardware and software development. At the same time, this also needs a new perspective on data management. Big data with the 5Vs—volume, velocity, variety, veracity and value—will also be a huge opportunity in the near future. In the public sector, online system is utilized in the data collected and recorded by the offices dealing with statistics, civil registration and population, taxation, social assistance and social protection affairs, political election, and other relevant sectors. Big data will play an important role, alongside data with better speed, coverage and accuracy.

The country is in the process to achieve the agenda of **“Satu Data Indonesia” (One Data Indonesia)**. This is a daunting task, knowing that integrating various sources and characteristics of data in the country into one system is very challenging. This, however, will provide sense of urgency and opportunity for evidence-based policy using standardized, interoperable, and updated data, to strengthen transparency and accountability of government.

2. ACTIONS TO OVERCOME

In terms of domestic resources mobilization, the government has developed strategies to address this issue. **First**, in response to the COVID-19, government refocused state budget to the efforts in prevention, treatment and control spread of the COVID-19. Efforts in health sector, social protection, and SMEs were prioritized. The health sector focused on health-related equipment supply, incentives to medical staff, and other health efforts related to the COVID-19.

Efforts in social protection was directed to maintain consumption levels of the poor and vulnerable groups. Subnational governments need special assistances to compensate the significant reductions generated in their regions.

Second, the government also provided various kinds of tax incentive. This includes a series of tax exemptions on incomes of medical staff, those with income levels lower than IDR 200 million per annum, and SMEs; importation of medicines, equipment, and health goods, special alcohol ingredients for sanitizer, and many others. This undoubtedly reduced the capacity of the government to generate revenues as the sources of tax revenues were also reduced. However, those policy actions were necessary to stimulate the economy and, more importantly, to save the human lives.

Under the limited revenue but with urgently requiring needs for spending, a larger fiscal deficit, therefore, was consequently unavoidable—reached at about 6% of the GDP where the normal ratio was less than 3%. To cope with the deficit in April 2020, the government issued a sovereign bond⁴⁹, and led the way in raising funds from the international bond market. It was recognized as an important bond for two reasons.⁴⁹ **First**, it was the first sovereign bond issued by a government in Asia with a special purpose in response of COVID-19 pandemic. **Second**, it was also considered as one of the first market reopening transactions, building the road for investors to participate with the country in its response to COVID-19 pandemic. As the largest issuance of dollar-denominated bonds by the Indonesian government in history, the bond issuance attracted a lot of interest from investors at the Singapore Stock Exchange and the Frankfurt Stock Exchange. It was reported that the bond was two times oversubscribed in both markets with a total bid of \$10.9 billion⁵⁰. Later on, the bond was integrated into the overall sovereign bond of the country.

49 Drawn from Global Capital, 15 March 2020.

50 Jakarta Globe, <https://jakartaglobe.id/business/indonesia-raises-43b-from-pandemic-bonds>; accessed 8 April 2021.



Domestic resource mobilization actually covers a broad dimension. This includes not only the government's efforts to cover the budget deficit and finance development, but also reach out to the efforts of people who are genuinely engaged. This movement of resource mobilization by the community can even influence policy making due to the very strong evidence it shows. In various places and sectors of community activity, these efforts are embedded and expanded widely. The following box, on 'Women and Sorghum', illustrates how resource mobilization by reviving tradition has been able to influence policy.



BOX 4.32

WOMAN AND SORGHUM: A SHORT STORY OF LONG LOCAL RESOURCE MOBILIZATION

It was Monday, 29 March 2021; a smiling day in the mid of the COVID-19 pandemic. That day was the first time for the Provincial Governor of East Nusa Tenggara, a province in the tip of the country, to harvest 'Sorgum Bioguma 3'. 'Sorgum Bioguma 3' is a hybrid, high-yielding, variety of Sorghum bicolor (L) Moench, bred by the Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development. On that day the Governor said, "In 2020 we planted 2,800 hectare (ha) of Sorgum, in 2021 we are going to reach 3,200 ha. In 2022, we expect to have 50,000 ha of land to be planted by Sorgum."

Sorgum, however, is not a new kind of food seed introduced to the province. It is rooted deeply in the local tradition with dozens of local names, each with its legend, indicating that it has been long known by the local communities. Unfortunately, it had ever been lost and forgotten once among the community, when the centralistic national government extensively brought paddy rice to almost every corner in the country to execute a national food security program in 1970-80s; ironically under a name 'food self-sufficiency' program. The first official harvesting day, therefore, was a symbol that local food, local resource, is officially recognized and revitalized.

It was Maria Loretha—called 'Mama Tata'; also widely known as 'Mama Sorgum' (mother of Sorgum)—who first realized that the local community traditionally has special food seeds to be planted in the dried, rocky, and hilly land of East Nusa Tenggara. In 2014 Mama Tata with a long patience tried to recollect various seeds of Sorgum from village to village. It was, however, not easy at all to bring back Sorgum to the existing rice-reliance agriculture. The seeds and the cultivating tradition of Sorgum were almost gone. Pessimism and doubt among the farmers was spread over the villages. The days were so long.

Started in one small village, called Likotuden, in Flores island, Mama Tata reintroduced Sorgum to the village life. Under a collaboration with a local foundation name Yaspensel (the Foundation for Socio-Economic Development of Larantuka) organized by Frater Benyamin Daud, Sorgum was revitalized. The Catholic Church of the Larantuka Archdiocese also supported a pilot project in the village. The church motivated the villagers to replant Sorgum and brought a new perspective about the importance of Sorgum among other externally-introduced food. Mama Tata and the church recalled the memory of the villagers about Sorgum; recollected the seeds from the local who still had them, recultivated, and expanded the Sorgum agriculture to other villages, even to other islands in the province. The farmers and villagers adopted this reinvention. A Jakarta-based foundation, KEHATI, played an important role in expanding the Sorgum agriculture to those places in the East Nusa Tenggara province. These stakeholders have been hand in hand, shoulder to shoulder, worked with and for the local lives through Sorgum.

Sorgum is now going back to live in the hearts of the communities. From village to village, from a mountain to another, even in the flat land, Sorgum is coloring those places. To illustrate, when Sorgum was reintroduced in Likotuden village in 2014-2016, the area of production was only 65 ha. In 2020 it has covered around 217 ha. Also around the same years, in the first village of the Sorgum reintroduction, the production was only 800 kg per 2.5 ha and the market price was IDR 2,200 per kg. By the beginning of the last quarter 2020, the production increased three times to 2.8 ton per the same size of land and the price hiked almost five times to IDR

10,000 per kg. Similar stories are also found in other places, like the one mentioned in the beginning of this box.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, when food security was under a pressure in many places, Sorgum has changed the game. It has not only made food diversity discourses real at the provincial level, even at the country level as a whole, but also has provided the local community with local resources to be genuinely mobilized. The provincial government has realized that Sorgum is both the current and future agriculture of the province. The Agriculture Office of the province now is preparing to promote the local seeds of Sorgum to be the nationally-recognized seeds to be planted in the forthcoming years. The national government through the Ministry of Agriculture has committed to support a number of regencies to extend the Sorgum agricultural development in the province. Mama Tata, the villagers, and the church have successfully reintroduced Sorgum and changed the game in the provincial agricultural development.

Source: Kehati Foundation



Furthermore, observing that the country's performance in export is far from impressive, the authorities have initiated various trade cooperation to widen the size of and variation in the export market. In 2019, a MoU had been signed between the Indonesian and the Dutch (the Centre for the Promotion of Imports from Developing Countries--CBI) authorities on home decorative products. What is interesting in this is that the cooperation focuses on the capacity development of small and medium enterprises to enter the Dutch market with the decorative products. Still in this year, a Memorandum of Cooperation (MoC) was signed between Indonesia and Eurasian Economic Commission (EEC) targeting 18 trade areas to explore—agriculture, transportation, energy, and others. Euroasia is a non-traditional export market of Indonesia. Still in 2019, Indonesia and UK continued what has been agreed in ASEAN high level conference in Bangkok and the Trade Review in the Trade Expo Indonesia (TEI) in Tangerang, Indonesia. In addition to

this, in the same year of 2019, a Preferential Trade Agreement had been achieved between Indonesia and Mozambique. Mozambique is not only seen as a non-traditional export market of Indonesia, but more importantly is treated as an export hub of Indonesia to enter to and widen the export markets in Africa.

The list is extended to cooperation in 2020. In this year, Indonesia and China agreed to further cooperate in coal trade. Continuing what had happened in 2019, the agreement was achieved in a meeting called 'China-Indonesia Coal Procurement Matchmaking Meeting' and participated by the coal associations of the two countries. Also in this year, the Parliament of Indonesia (DPR) finally has made a new law on Indonesia-Australia Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (IA-CEPA). The law facilitates various trade and investment exchanges between the two countries, including reciprocal skills exchange. There are 23 more agreements of international trade between Indonesia and trading partner countries this



year. It is expected that these cooperations can positively impact Indonesia's economic growth amid the pandemic.

Trade cooperation can also be expanded towards **development cooperation**. The SSC National Coordination Team, has also carried out various activities that have had a significant impact on the development of SSC. Some of them are programs in the form of strengthening the SSC governance, namely (1) Formulating Guidelines for Country Partnership Strategy (CPS), (2) Monitoring and evaluating the placement of experts, (3) Updating of international training M&E guidelines, (4) Completion and refinement of the Indonesia SSC Database application. Replacement of PP 48/2018 to PP 57/2019 as well as the establishment of LDKPI (International Development Cooperation Fund Institution). This was also accompanied by outreach programs, publications, and promotions, namely the preparation of "Indonesia's Action in South-South Cooperation: Moving Forward Together towards a Better World".

To further expand **the internet access**, the Palapa Ring Project is the first project of cooperation between the government and private companies (KPBUs, Kerjasama Pemerintah dan Badan Usaha) in telecommunication sector using availability payment scheme initiated by the Ministry of Finance through the mechanism regulated in the Universal Service Obligation (USO) principles. The availability payment scheme is a regularly-scheduled payment mechanism along with the concession period, where the payment will follow the work completion done by the private companies. The project integrates the existing network in the western and the middle paths of the country to a new network in the eastern part. The western and middle paths of the network have already completed, while the eastern network is now under its finalization of infrastructure development through 3,850 km-length submarine cable and 600 km land cable with landing points in the selected regencies. In total it covers 8,500 km-length submarine cable

and 12,200 km-length land cable. The overall network is designed for 100-160 GB capacity, run by three consortiums of communication companies in the country—Konsorsium Mora Telematika Indonesia and Ketrosden Triasmitra in the west (the Palapa Ring Barat), a group of companies called PT LEN Telekomunikasi Indonesia in the middle (the Palapa Ring Tengah), and another group called PT Palapa Timur Telematika in the east (the Palapa Ring Timur). The network will become a melting pot for those companies to cooperate and build partnership, connecting point to point in the country. In addition to this, in the very coming future, a new satellite—High Throughput Satellite (HTS)—is going to be released to cover the remaining spots and areas that cannot be covered by the current network of Palapa Ring.

The widespread of internet access opens up many possibilities for innovation to be created. In fact, this innovation will positively modernized traditions in the community level. 'Taking care of and helping each other', for example, are long-standing societal norms that rise to the forefront when internet technology is introduced into this tradition. In Central Java, the "Jogo Tonggo" (literally 'taking care of and helping each other') tradition has linked up with the internet to reach millions of people. This innovation is presented in the following box.



BOX 4.33

'JOGO TONGGO': WHEN TRADITION MEETS MODERN TECHNOLOGY



Upper panel: Above, Staple Food Supports in 'Jogo Tonggo' (detik.com). Below, text in the banner You may take and give your almsgivings; kendalkab.go.id. Accessed 26/04/21.

The COVID-19 pandemic has spread over the country and the province of Central Java is not an exception. However, the way the province responds the pandemic is different. The Governance of the province through Governor's Decree 1/2020 reactuates a local wisdom, 'Jogo Tonggo', that literally means taking care of your neighbors. In every village in the province, 'Jogo Tonggo' is run by a unit called 'Rukun Warga' (RW), an administrative jurisdiction below the village—with four focused working tasks: health, economy, social and security, and entertainment. It is a massive program across the 32,800 km-squared area of the province involving millions of village cadres of those already in the existing family-based women groups ('PKK'), health services ('Posyandu'), social housing ('Dasawisma'), farmers' groups, youth groups ('Karang Taruna'), and many others.

At the RW level, apart from the standard protocol of the COVID-19 to implement, the cadres also have a series of tasks to accomplish, namely recording the in-and-out people mobility, identifying the RW members with their health status, and providing special places and supports for local isolation for those. 'Jogo Tonggo' also identifies the RW members in needs—including the elderly, difables, pregnant women, children, etc—and ensures all economic supports (staple food, cash transfer, to match the identified targets. 'Jogo Tonggo' also revitalizes the 'kentongan', a wood-made traditional communication tool beaten with special rhythmic for special meaning until everyone gets the message.

Information transfer, however, is not only among the RW members, but also between the RW and the higher administrative jurisdictions up to the provincial level. For this purpose, in September 2020 the Telkom Regional IV Office supported the 'Jogo Tonggo' with a mobile-phone based application to collect the information through the hierarchical structure of jurisdictions. A local university, the Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, was also behind this technical assistance. The application gathers various information including data of those impacted by the virus and the updated health status, the handling mechanisms applied at the filed, and other related health and non-health information. All are centered in the Central Java Government website, <https://jogotonggo.jatengprov.go.id/login>. Prior to the use of this on-line application, a series or training was conducted to those working at the RW level to input and update the

data. In addition to this, social-media groups of communication were also established to bridge discussion among the 'Jogo Tonggo' members. Field-level data verification is also conducted to ensure the precision of information transferred to the authorities for policy execution. Clearly, the 'Jogo Tonggo' has successfully capitalized the traditional kentongan and the modern application of communication to send messages and information.



Upper Panel, Above: 'Jogo Tonggo', Let's take care each other.
Below: Tasks and Organizations Structure of 'Jogo Tonggo'

The Telkom's support is not the only assistance the 'Jogo Tonggo' receives. Knowing that as a massive program both the provincial and regency government budgets are not enough, other participating supports are received from various entities. To mention some, the private business' CSRs and the communities themselves are the two entities actively who assist the people with staple food packages through the 'Jogo Tonggo' during the pandemic. At the same time, the 'Baznas'—the Islamic-based National Alms Agency—of the Central Java Office provides also special supports to those impacted by the pandemic. The CSRs and the Baznas, and certainly the communities within the neighborhoods, have their own agile structures and mechanisms to work at the field level and effectively reach the target.

With the various combinations of technology, assistance, and stakeholder, it is not surprising if the 'Jogo Tonggo' received a special award from the national government through the Ministry of Administrative and Bureaucratic Reform as the Top 21 and Top 45 Innovative Public Services in the COVID-19 handling management. The governor admits that the success is because the RW communities actively input and update the data, share their knowledge and experience in the neighborhoods; and, last but least, many active stakeholders have engaged in the 'Jogo Tonggo'. The so-called 'gotong royong' (literally, a side by side mutual collaboration) has been revitalized from the tradition to find its fertile land to grow in the modern era.

Source: Central Java Provincial Government

In the meantime, **as a new data enumeration in the 2020 census**, its online system was challenging and required collaboration among the stakeholders to ensure its success. Statistics Indonesia worked together with the statistics offices in subnational level and other related Ministries/Institutions. Collaboration with the Ministry of Home Affairs was established to obtain records of civil administrative data collected by the Directorate for Population Affairs (Direktorat Jenderal Kependudukan dan Catatan Sipil, Dukcapil). The Ministry of Home Affairs further also released a circular letter delivered to the heads of sub-national governments—provinces, regencies, and municipalities—to inform the census and increase the participation of the local community in it.

The Ministry of Education modified the online census as homeworks for students at schools and universities. The Ministry of Villages, Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration assisted the census through its field-level officers throughout the country—similarly done by the office of the National Population and Family Planning Board (BKKBN). Furthermore, data of The National Armed Forces (TNI) and

The National Police (Polisi Republik Indonesia) also supported the online census. Statistics Indonesia also brought social media influencers to disseminate and promote online census to ensure greater outreach to the community level.

The result of the cooperation is incredible. As mentioned above, Statistics Indonesia records an amount of 270.20 million people, while the registered citizens of the country in the Ministry of Home Affairs are 271.35 million. The difference is quite reasonable due to, say, the dynamic geographical mobility of the population. This is not to mention yet the country's geographical size of about two million km-square that makes any effort to reach the people and generate accurate data not easy at all. At the same time, this also shows that—apart from those living in accessible areas—those living in hard-to-reach areas was well recorded. Clearly, even in the difficult times of enumeration, Statistics Indonesia was able to produce reliable population data. In the near future, the country will develop a Vital Statistics Register (VSR) system to cover birth and death data integrated to the current population data.

The series of collaboration has shaped some degrees of confidence of Statistics Indonesia that in the future such a data enumeration



Left: Online or interview. Make sure that you're registered. Register yourself at sensus.bps.go.id. February-March 2020. Let the Census officers come to your place on July 2020. The secrecy of your data is protected by the law. Middle: Check yourself. Get a chance to check your ID and your family's ID. Easy, visit sensus.bps.go.id/cek. Fill the chaptcha. If you're already in the database, you can participate in the online census. If your data is not yet in the database, please wait for the officer to interview. Right: The results of the 2020 Population Census. (See the text)



system will be able to be implemented again with some successes. The online census has provided the country with simpler but reliable data enumeration. The stakeholders have proven the willingness and capacity to cooperate, while at the same time the general public can actively participate to supply their information. In the future, a real time data collection mechanism will no longer be impossible knowing that each element of the nation is ready to jointly work for development.

C. POLICY RESPONSES

The country authorities have set various policies to respond the challenging situation documented in the 2021 Government Work Plan ('Rencana Kerja Pemerintah', RKP). First, tax policy and administration reform has been formulated as the direction of government policy in the 2021 RKP to achieve the tax revenue target of 8.2% by 2021. This includes (1) refining tax regulations in order to increase economic activity, including tax treatment through electronic trading activities that have been carried out in 2020; (2) optimizing tax revenue based on improvements in economic activity, especially income tax and value added tax (3) expansion of the new tax base, including extensification of excisable goods; (4) improvement of tax information technology; (5) increasing tax compliance and supervision; (6) relaxation of customs procedures and development of digital-enabled customs and excise services to accelerate national economic recovery; and (7) enacting fiscal incentives that are more precise, measurable, and based on economic justice.

Second, in terms of non-oil and gas exports, the 2021 RKP in addition to focusing on accelerating economic recovery and social reform, has also set a target of Indonesia's non-oil and gas exports of 6.0% -7.9%. In line with the recovery of industry and trade, the policies stipulated in the 2021 RKP include increasing export growth, namely optimizing the competitive advantage of leading industrial

sectors; increasing export facilitation, increasing product standards; optimizing the Preferential Trade Agreement (PTA), Free Trade Agreement (FTA), and Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (CEPA) for export expansion; and improved logistics efficiency

In the meantime, the policy direction and strategy of the manufacturing sector during the COVID-19 recovery period in 2021 will focus on three main objectives, namely (1) assisting industry in restoring production to meet consumer demand; (2) assisting the workforce rehiring/re-training process and (3) opening and facilitating access to imported raw materials and export markets. In line with that, from the trade sector, recovery will be encouraged by (1) increasing domestic and foreign demand, including increasing product standards, strengthening public purchasing power, increasing export facilitation, and accelerating the development of imported substitute products, especially food, beverages and pharmaceuticals; (2) logistics efficiency improvement; (3) strengthening the capacity of trading business actors, one of which is through the use of information technology.

Third, the policy direction in the ICT sector is focused on increasing the development and utilization of ICT infrastructure and the contribution of the information and communication sector to economic growth, including by encouraging an increase in the number of digital HR training institutions, simplifying the investment process in the ICT sector to absorb labor, and encouraging acceleration. the implementation of the Electronic-based Government System (SPBE) to organize efficient digital public services. These priority activities are aimed at dealing with the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, which has directly accelerated the adoption of digitization.

Finally, Indonesia continues to improve and strengthen its SSC management through various activities that are carried out both through National Coordination Team and implementing



line ministries. These activities are carried out independently and with supports from international development partners. Strengthening the capacity and management of Indonesia's SSC is carried out in the form of (1) strengthening of governance that focuses on cordial relations with related line ministries as well as with actors outside the Government (Non-State Actors), human resource development, and contributions in RPJMN; (2) Dissemination of information, publication, and promotion of Indonesia's SSC activities to increase domestic and international awareness of Indonesia's contribution to SSC activities.



4.2 PROGRESS OF VOLUNTARY NATIONAL REVIEW





Goal 4 Quality Education

Goal 4 aims to ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all. During the period of 2015-2019, there has been good progress in the achievement of several indicators of Goal 4, but this was not the case for other indicators. Discussion of Goal 4 covers four main issues: (1) fulfillment of access to education; (2) improving the quality of teaching and learning; (3) education for employability including vocational education and trainings; and (4) strengthening one year of pre-primary school education.

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. FULFILMENT OF ACCESS TO EDUCATION

a. School Completion Rate

The school completion rate (indicator 4.1.2*) is used to monitor access to and completion of education. While the school participation rate indicates the proportion of children and adolescents enrolled in school, the school completion rate provides information about children and adolescents who complete their last education level without excessive delays. Figure 4.152 shows the progress of the school completion rate from 2015 to 2020. An increase occurred at the primary and junior secondary education levels, but there was a slight decrease for the senior secondary education completion rate from 2017 to 2018; but then the figure increased from 56.25% (2018) to 63.95% (2020). Data shows that the higher the education level, the lower the completion rate.

The government promotes an affirmative program in the form of assistance to children from low socio-economic groups through the Smart Indonesia Program (Program Indonesia Pintar/PIP). This program aims to increase access to education. Through Smart Indonesia Program, education cash assistance is provided to: school-age children (aged 6-21 years) from poor and near poor families: Prosperous Family Card (Kartu Keluarga Sejahtera/KKS) beneficiaries, Family Hope Program (Program Keluarga Harapan/PKH) beneficiaries, orphans, persons with disabilities, and victims of natural disasters. According to the study conducted by Ministry of Education and Culture, almost half of the parents of PIP recipient students only completed primary school education and no more than 2% were university graduates. This phenomenon indicates the proper targeting of PIP program.




Figure 4.152 School Completion Rates 2015-2020
Source: Core Suseñas, 2015-2020

b. Out-Of-School Children

The school completion rate shows the proportion of students who complete certain level of education. However, it does not explain the situation of children and adolescents' participation in education. Figure 4.153 shows the number of out-of-school children for each education level age group from 2019 to 2020. For children aged 7 to 12 years, this figure is very low, indicating that the majority of children have already participated in primary education. However, out of school children of junior secondary school age (13-15 years) tends to increase during the 2019-2020 period. Although there was a decrease in the proportion of out-of-school of senior secondary school age (16-18 years), the figure was still high, stood at 20% within the two years period.




Figure 4.153 Number of out-of-school children 2019-2020
Source: Core Susenas, 2019-2020

c. Participation Rate at Higher Education

More efforts are needed to improve access to tertiary education to achieve the RPJMN target of 36.73% in 2019. Statistics Indonesia (BPS) data shows that the achievement of the gross enrollment rate (GER) of tertiary education was only 30.85% in 2020. Although there was a tendency of increasing GER for higher education (Perguruan Tinggi/PT) over years, this figure shows that most senior secondary school graduates (almost 70%) did not continue their education to tertiary level. This reinforces the importance to expand the opportunity to access non-formal education and training that can increase their employability.




Figure 4.154 Higher Education Gross Enrollment Rate
Source: Core Susenas, 2015-2020



Statistics Indonesia (BPS) data show that the gross enrollment rate (GER) of higher education varied across expenditure groups. The GER of higher education in the richest household group (Quintile 5) reached 56.87%, compared to only 16.13% in the bottom 20 percent households (Quintile 1). The GER of higher education for people with disabilities was 13.85%; this was half the proportion for those who did not have disabilities (30.99%). The wide gap in access to higher education needs special attention since it is essential in increasing employment and serves opportunities for social mobility.

2. IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF TEACHING AND LEARNING

a. Quality of Student Learning Outcome

The quality of teaching and learning is measured through student learning outcomes including literacy and numeracy skills. However, to determine the quality of learning process experienced by students, indicators of the quality of teachers and school infrastructures need to be examined.

The 2019 SDGs Achievement Report (BAPPENAS, 2020) shows that there was a decrease in the proportion of children and adolescents who achieved the minimum competencies in reading and mathematics. This decline can be observed from the result of PISA test, which is an international literacy and numeracy survey followed by 15-year-old students (students in grade 9 junior secondary school and Grade 10 senior secondary school). In 2015, 44.62% students achieved the minimum proficiency level in reading and 31.35% for the minimum proficiency in mathematics. In 2018, Indonesia again participated in the PISA test and the result shows that proportion of students who achieved the minimum proficiency level in reading and mathematics decreased to 30.1% and 28.1%, respectively. The proportion of Indonesian students aged 15 years who achieved the minimum proficiency in reading and mathematics from 2003 to 2018 is shown in Figure 4.155.




Figure 4.155 Proportion of Students Achieving Minimum Proficiency Level in Reading and Mathematics
Source: PISA, 2018



The low proportion of Indonesian students who achieved the minimum proficiency in reading and mathematics indicates that strengthened efforts are needed to improve the quality of education in Indonesia.

b. Education Facilities and Learning Environments

Indonesia needs to continue to build and improve educational facilities that are friendly to all children regardless of gender and disability status, as well as providing a safe, non-violent, inclusive and effective learning environment for all. The need for adequate learning facilities is not limited to facilities and infrastructure that are directly related to learning activities, but also health-related needs, given that children and adolescents spend a lot of time at school. Figure 4.156 shows the status of availability of facilities in schools. It appears that not all of education units have access to electricity, computers and the internet. Until 2018, the proportion of education units using the internet and computers for learning purposes was still low, especially at the primary and junior secondary education levels. Access to information and communication technology (ICT) in learning is essential to improve literacy proficiency of children and adolescents in Indonesia.




Figure 4.156 Proportion of Schools with Access to Educational Facilities
Source: Core Education Data (Dapodik), Ministry of Education and Culture 2018



The 2019 SDGs Achievement Report also noted that the hand washing facilities (WASH: water, sanitation, and hygiene) available in schools need to be improved. At all education levels, the proportion of educational units that have this facility is only around 50%. This data shows that the education unit's attention to provide basic hygiene facilities needs to be increased.

c. Bullying at School

The quality of student learning outcomes is also related to the bullying phenomenon that occurs at school. Students aged 15 years who experienced bullying at least a few times per month had lower PISA reading scores than those who were not bullied. In the 2018 PISA survey, it was found that as many as 41.1% of Indonesian students experienced bullying at least several times a month. In Indonesia in particular, bullying tends to be higher in male students and in students who are financially privileged. The results of this survey indicate that efforts to create child-friendly schools must continue to be strengthened.

3. EDUCATION FOR EMPLOYABILITY

a. Information and Communications Technology (ICT) Skills

Information and communications technology (ICT) skills are one of the basic competencies that adolescents and adults need to have in order to improve their employability. This indicator is assessed using a proxy indicator on proportion of adolescent and adults who have access to internet. From 2015 to 2020, the proportion of youth aged 15-24 years with ICT skills increased from 51.83% to 87.17%. This increase was in line with the expansion of access to digital technology in Indonesia.

Table 4.19 Proportion of adolescents (aged 15-24 years) with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	15-24 YEARS OLD					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban Areas	66.33	71.71	80.89	86.29	90.63	92.97
Rural Areas	35.56	42.46	54.44	65.14	74.03	79.36
SEX						
Male	51.59	57.55	68.79	77.03	83.53	87.20
Female	52.09	58.90	69.07	77.08	83.63	87.13
Indonesia	51.83	58.21	68.93	77.05	83.58	87.17

Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

In rural areas, the proportion of adults aged 15-59 years with information and communication technology (ICT) skills increased from 25.65% in 2017 to 49.77% in 2020.



Table 4.20 Proportion of adults (aged 15-59 years) with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	15-59 YEARS OLD					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban Areas	38.39	43.72	53.02	62.00	69.89	75.17
Rural Areas	14.88	18.59	25.65	34.20	42.74	49.77
SEX						
Male	29.57	34.52	28.47	53.06	61.83	67.88
Female	24.48	29.12	22.80	46.37	54.56	60.60
Indonesia	27.04	31.83	40.48	49.73	58.22	64.26

Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

4. ONE YEAR OF PRE-PRIMARY EDUCATION

a. Participation Rate in Organized Learning (one year before the official primary entry age)

One year of pre-primary school education or early childhood education (PAUD) for five and six years old children is an important education for the transition from learning at home which is generally unorganized, to a more organized and structured education in schools. At this golden age, children need a variety of stimulations for their development. Susenas data shows that there was an increase in participation in organized learning one year of pre-primary education (indicator 4.2.2*), from 95.85% in 2015 to 96.37% in 2019.

The COVID-19 pandemic that has led to the dismissal of face to face learning activities which also affected the PAUD participation rate. In 2020, there was a decrease in the participation rate of organized learning for pre-primary, from 96.37% in 2019 to 92.76% in 2020. Table 4.21 shows that the decline in participation rates occurred both in the top 20% richest households and the bottom 40%. This may be due to a decrease in household needs for PAUD facilities. Initially, PAUD was an alternative for parents to entrust their children to educational programs when the parents worked. When the pandemic forces parents to work from home and take over their childcare and education, this could contribute to lower participation rates. In addition, the existence of family economic pressures can also cause difficulties for parents to access PAUD.

Table 4.21 Participation Rate in Organized Learning Before Primary Entry School Age

EXPENDITURE GROUP	PARTICIPATION RATE	
	2019	2020
40% lowest	95.26	92.46
40% middle	96.98	93.29
20% highest	98.32	92.25

Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)



Even for children who are still registered in PAUD service, the quality of learning during the COVID-19 pandemic has also been disrupted. There is also concern that learning from home will reduce the quality of learning which will have an impact on school readiness. The rapid assessment conducted by Save The Children Indonesia in April 2020 shows that 25 percent of parents do not have adequate tools and materials to support children's learning at home, and around 40 percent of parents report that learning motivation of their young children has dropped.

B. CHALLENGES

The challenges in fulfilling access to education include: (a) underprivileged children tend to discontinue and drop out of school at the secondary education level. Likewise, at the higher education level, the education gap between economic groups is still wide; (b) out-of-school children remains a challenge particularly to synchronize cross-sector interventions in minimizing the social, economic, cultural, and geographical barriers faced by school-age children in accessing educational services. There is a need to address out-of-school children issue by accommodating and paying adequate attention to specific needs and various issues faced by children, such as children with special needs, street children and neglected children, children in conflict with the law, children in marriage or teenage mothers, and children who work or child labor; and (c) the implementation of inclusive education in Indonesia is still facing several challenges, for example, not all schools are ready to accept children with special needs, schools do not have teachers with required backgrounds to guide students with disabilities, and the availability of social infrastructure and facilities in schools is still inadequate to support the implementation of inclusive education.

Challenges in improving the quality of learning

and teaching include: (a) infrastructure and teacher interventions aimed at supporting the improvement of the quality of education services have not shown a significant impact on student learning outcomes; (b) decreasing number of certified teachers at each level of education; (c) curriculum development must be more inclusive and collaborative to produce curricula that considers children's abilities and interests; and (d) learning assessment should be directed to provide feedback in the formulation of future learning strategies.

The challenges in education for increasing skilled employment include: (a) the Indonesian workforce is still dominated by junior secondary school graduates; (b) large gaps between persons with disabilities and persons without disabilities; and (c) the view that attending a higher education does not necessarily guarantee greater employment opportunities, which creates a reluctance for youth to continue their education to a higher level.

Challenges in strengthening one year of pre-primary education include: (a) better coordination between related ministries (Ministry of Education and Culture, Ministry of Religious Affairs, and Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration) and with local governments for providing childhood education; (b) better synchronization of policies related to teacher development; (c) the welfare of PAUD teachers still needs to be improved; (d) the certification for PAUD teachers is uncertain; (e) stronger partnership between parents and teacher of PAUD students; and (f) the level of education, interest, and socio-economy status of the parents especially in rural-remote area is still low.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

According to the Government Work Plan (RKP) for 2021, efforts to increase the distribution of quality education services are carried out



through: (a) providing internet network infrastructure and developing digital technology to support virtual learning, especially during the pandemic (school from home); (b) increasing productivity and competitiveness, through revitalizing vocational education and trainings in line with the needs of the industry, creating digital-based education, developing a credible and world-class labor market information system, and implementing vocational training and apprenticeship in Industry 4.0





Goal 5

Gender Equality

Goal 5 calls for gender equality and women empowerment. Achieving Goal 5 is not only a fundamental human right and justice, but also a necessary foundation for creating a more prosperous nation. Goal 5 does not stand alone. Gender sensitive development encompasses all the goals of the SDGs to ensure the realization of gender equality in all aspects of life.

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. GENDER RESPONSIVE LEGAL FRAMEWORKS

The availability of legal frameworks that promote, enforce and monitor gender equality and eliminate discrimination on the basis of sex are efforts to end all forms of discrimination against women. Based on the 2020 assessment, the existence of legal frameworks that encourage and/or promote gender equality and law enforcement and/or monitoring of the implementation results in the area of overarching legal framework has reached 100%, while the score in the area of violence against women was 91.67%, employment and economic sector was 90 %, and the field of marriage and family by 81.82% (Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection, 2021).

2. VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN

Based on the 2016 National Women's Life Experience Survey (SPHPN), as many as 10.4% of women who have ever/were married experienced violence from their partners in the past year with the highest rate of emotional violence, namely 7.5%. The same survey also showed that as many as 4.66% of women experienced sexual violence by someone other than their partner.




Figure 4.157 Prevalence of violence against women aged 15-64 years who have ever/were married by a partner in the previous 12 months, 2016

Source: SPHPN 2016 (Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection and Statistics Indonesia)

3. CHILD MARRIAGE

The rate of child marriage in Indonesia has shown a decline. The proportion of women aged 20-24 who are married or living together before the age of 18 has decreased from 12.14% (2015) to 10.35% (2020). Meanwhile, the number of child marriages under 15 years has decreased from 0.60% (2015) to 0.50% (2020). Child marriage was still prevalent in certain provinces in Indonesia. In 2020, 21 out of 34 provinces in Indonesia had rates of child marriage under the age of 18 that is higher than the national average. Child marriage appears more prevalent in women who live in rural areas and come from households with the lowest 40% expenditure. Moreover, girls who were married at child age tend to have low levels of education.




Figure 4.158 Proportion of women aged 20-24 years who were first married or living together before age 18 by province, 2020

Source: Core Susenas 2020, Statistics Indonesia




Figure 4.159 Characteristics of women aged 20-24 years who were first married or living together before age 18, 2020
Source: Core Susenas 2020 (Statistics Indonesia)

4. WOMEN'S PARTICIPATION

The participation of women as political decision makers has been encouraged in the laws and regulations, with a target of at least 30% women representation in parliament. This target was only fulfilled for the Regional Representative Council (DPD) members in the 2019 general election. Meanwhile, the proportion of women who are members of the House of Representatives (DPR) and the Regional House of Representatives (DPRD) at provincial and district/city levels is still far from the target figure of 30%.

Table 4.22 Proportion of national and regional legislative seats held by women, 2009, 2014 and 2019

LEGISLATIVE	YEAR		
	2009	2014	2019
The House of Representatives (DPR)	17.86	17.32	20.52
The Regional Representative Council (DPD)	26.57	25.76	30.88
The Provincial House of Representatives (DPRD Provinsi)	15.50	15.92	17.53
The District House of Representatives (DPRD Kabupaten/Kota)		14.24	15.30

Source: General Election Commission (KPU)

The proportion of women in managerial positions in both government and public and private companies continues to increase from 22.32% (2015) to 33.08% (2020). The figure varies across provinces, with the highest proportion in Gorontalo Province (50.43%) and the lowest in Southeast Sulawesi Province (21.54%).




Figure 4.160 Proportion of women in managerial positions by province, 2020

Source: National Labor Force Survey (Sakernas) Statistics Indonesia

5. REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

Based on the Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS) data, the proportion of women of reproductive age 15-49 years who make their own informed decisions regarding sexual relations, contraceptive use, and reproductive health services continues to increase during the 2012-2017 period. For women of reproductive age (WUS) this proportion increased from 28.3% (2012) to 29.5% (2017). Meanwhile, for married women of reproductive age (PUS) the figure was much higher, increasing from 38.6% (2012) to 41.0% (2017).

Women who make their own decisions regarding sexual relations, contraceptive use, and reproductive health services are found mostly in the adult age group (20 years and over), with higher levels of education and from the middle and upper expenditure quintile. Disparities between provinces also still occur. In 2017, the highest proportion of decision making for both WUS and PUS was in Central Kalimantan (42.6% and 55.1% respectively) and the lowest was in Aceh Province (15.1% and 23.1% respectively).




Figure 4.161 Proportion of women of reproductive age 15-49 years who make their own informed decisions regarding sexual relations, contraceptive use, and reproductive health services, 2012 and 2017
Source: SDKI (Statistics Indonesia and National Population and Family Planning Board/BKKBN)



6. ACCESS TO ECONOMIC RESOURCES

The results of the 2020 Statistics Indonesia (BPS) Integrated Agricultural Survey (SITASI) pilot in the provinces of West Java, East Java and West Nusa Tenggara show that only 26.74% of the agricultural population owned agricultural land in these 3 (three) provinces. Among the agricultural population with land rights, women's ownership of agricultural land was only 18.95% while the rest was owned by men. The proportion of women in the agricultural population as owners or who have rights to agricultural land in East Java Province was 24.28%, West Nusa Tenggara was 21.24%, and West Java was 13.54%.

7. ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY

The proportion of individuals who own mobile telephone continues to increase from 56.92% (2015) to 63.53% (2019) but then decreases to 57.48% in 2020. The highest access to mobile telephone was among the young population (15-24 years old), followed by population aged 25-64 years. The level of access to mobile telephone in urban areas was better than in rural areas. Although the access remains higher in men compared to women, the gap was getting narrower during the 2015-2020 period.



Figure 4.162 Proportion of individuals who own mobile telephone, 2015-2020
Source: Core Susenas (Statistics Indonesia)

Disparities in access to mobile telephones also occurred between regions in Indonesia. The provinces of DKI Jakarta, East Kalimantan, Riau Islands and North Kalimantan were the provinces with the highest levels of mobile telephone access in Indonesia during the 2015-2019 period. The level of mobile telephone access in these 4 (four) provinces has reached over 70%.

8. GENDER RESPONSIVE BUDGET ALLOCATION

The availability of a system to monitor and track gender responsive budget allocations (Anggaran Responsif Gender/ARG) has an important role in achieving gender equality. The assessment of the system to monitor, track, and make ARG budget allocation in Indonesia in 2020 shows that the performance of Indonesia's gender responsive budget was classified as "approaches requirements" (Case E). Criteria that have been met are aspects of programs and budget allocations to reduce gender disparities (criterion 1) and a budget system to promote the achievement of gender equality (criterion



2). Meanwhile, the aspect of public transparency (criterion 3) is still not yet to be fulfilled because there is no built-in mechanism for reporting ARGs to the public.

B. CHALLENGES

Cases of violence against women remains an iceberg phenomenon in Indonesia. The number of reported cases did not represent the actual number of violence cases. There are two main challenges behind this phenomenon, namely external factors and internal factors from the victim. In terms of external factors, data collection system needs to be improved, communication, information and education has to be effective, and access to services needs to be expanded. From the internal side of the victims, strengthened efforts are needed to increase awareness of the violence experienced, remove assumption that the violence experienced is a disgrace that must be covered up, and stop the bad stigma against victims of violence that prevent them from reporting the case.

Challenges in preventing child marriage include: (a) empowerment and understanding of reproductive health and the negative impact of child marriage on children and adolescents; (b) family socio-economic factors such as parents' incomes, education background, and their knowledge regarding reproductive health and the impact of child marriage; (c) unequal power relations between parents and children and the development; (d) interpretation social norms and values which serve as justification for the practice of child marriage; and (5) the needs for technical regulations to implement the Law on maturity of marriage age and the high rate of marriage dispensation by religious courts.

The challenge of increasing women's representation in politics and as decision makers in various institutions is rooted in a patriarchal culture. This patriarchal culture creates barriers to women's participation as decision makers in the form of: (a) limited space and time due to the double burden of women in public and

private spheres; (b) limited resources (financial, social networks), political experience, and low motivation/ambition/interest of women to have a career in politics; (c) the development of gender stereotypes that regard leadership positions as positions for men, as well as the greater priority given by workplace agencies and political parties to male candidates than female candidates.

The challenge for women to make their own informed decisions regarding sexual relations, contraceptive use, and the reproductive health services use is influenced by women's knowledge and access to information about sexual and reproductive health. However, efforts to increase the women capacity still face a number of challenges, namely: (a) equal access to quality promotive and preventive services related to reproductive health in health facilities (b) sexual and reproductive health education; (c) the implementation of policies related to reproductive health, not limited to issue of family planning and sexually transmitted diseases, and (d) regulations that reach all women of reproductive age to ensure protection against violence.

Challenges to encourage ownership and control of agricultural land by women include: (a) equal inheritance rights of economic resources; (b) equal access to arable and information related to arable; (c) equal responsibility between men and women in the domestic sphere; and (d) accommodation the needs of women farmers and/or women from adat communities.

The challenges in expanding access to mobile telephone include: (a) increasing the coverage of cellular networks and internet signal with the large and varied geographic area of Indonesia; (b) eliminating the problem of theft and vandalism against the telecommunications infrastructure, as well as the improving quality of human resources working in the ICT sector; and (c) improving community capacity and affordability (community economic factors) to access mobile telephone.



The challenges faced regarding the availability of the system for tracking and formulating gender responsive budget allocations are: (a) developing a special mechanism for monitoring ARGs; (b) conducting gender impact assessment as a basis for the ARG allocation policy; (c) developing a specific evaluation mechanism to measure the outcome of the ARG allocation policy; (d) developing mechanism for ARGs as independent audit subjects related to their support for gender responsive policies; (e) publishing ARG data officially so that it is available for the public; and (f) improving the accuracy of budget allocation calculation resulting from the ARG budget tagging.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

Based on the Government Work Plan (RKP) for 2021, efforts to improve the quality of women and children are carried out through: (a) strengthening data systems and integrated services to protect women and children from violence and human trafficking; (b) strengthening child protection from various negative impacts of COVID-19, such as discrimination, mistreatment, stigma, violence, exploitation, child marriage, and loss of care due to separation from parents/primary caregivers; and (c) strengthening coordination for women's economic empowerment, including for women victims of violence and groups affected by COVID-19.





Goal 6

Clean Water and Sanitation

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. ACCESS TO DRINKING WATER

The proportion of households using improved drinking water services is quite high nationally. The proportion in 2019 has reached 89.27% and increased by 0.94% where it reaches 90.21% in 2020. Unimproved drinking water services were mostly influenced by the use of unprotected wells, which reached 4.69%.

Meanwhile, for the category of access to safely managed drinking water services still needs special attention since it was only 11.9% (Safe Drinking Water Quality Survey/SKAM 2020, National Institute of Health Research and Development/NIHRD Ministry of Health). Looking at the distribution of areas, only Kalimantan (16.9%) and Java and Bali (13.8%) have figures above the national level. The other regions are still below the national figure. Based on urban rural areas, access to safely managed drinking water services in rural areas is higher at 15.1% compared to urban areas which only reached 8.3%.




Figure 4.163 Proportion of households using improved drinking water services, 2019-2020
Source: Susenas Statistics Indonesia, 2019-2020⁵¹

⁵¹ Since 2019, the concept used refers to the SDGs Metadata, where households are said to have access to improved drinking water services, that is, if the main drinking water sources used are tap, protected water and rainwater. Households that use a source of drinking water in the form of bottled water are categorized as having access to improved drinking water services if the water used for bathing/washing comes from a tap, borehole/pump, protected well, protected spring, and rain water.




Figure 4.164 Proportion of households using safely managed drinking water services by region, 2020

Source: SKAM 2020, NIH RD Ministry of Health

2. ACCESS TO IMPROVED SANITATION

The proportion of households using improved sanitation services (including safely managed sanitation) has increased by 2.14% within a year, from 77.34% in 2019 to 79.53% in 2020. Based on household expenditure groups, there was an increase in households with access to improved sanitation services. In 2020, the middle 40% and the top 20%'s access is above the national figure. However, in the lowest 40% group, only 70.03% of households have enjoyed access to improved sanitation services and it is still below the national figure. As part of sanitation, the proportion of households using a hand-washing facility with soap and water has also risen from 76.07% to 78.3% during 2019-2020.




Figure 4.165 Households with Improved Sanitation Facilities, 2019-2020
Source: Susenas Statistics Indonesia, 2019-2020⁵²

52 Since 2019, the concept used refers to the SDGs metadata, namely that households are said to have access to improved sanitation services if the household has a defecation facility (BAB) that is used alone or with certain (limited) households or in communal toilets, using type of goose neck toilet, and a place to dispose of feces in a septic tank or WWTP or it can also be in a hole in the ground if the area is in a rural area.



In 2020, provincial disparities are reflected in the achievement of different access to drinking water and proper sanitation for each province. For improved drinking water, the highest access occurred in DKI Jakarta (99.84%) and the lowest was in Bengkulu (62.47%). For improved sanitation, the province with the highest access was in DI Yogyakarta (96.96%). There are 14 provinces that have access to improved drinking water above the national average, and there are 17 provinces where access to improved sanitation is above the national average. Nationally, access to improved drinking water is relatively better than access to improved sanitation. However, there are more provinces that already have access to improved sanitation above the national average, although the difference is not significant.




Figure 4.166 Disparities in Access to Improved Drinking Water and Improved Sanitation Services in 2020
Source: Susenas, Statistics Indonesia



3. WATER QUALITY

The water quality index (Indeks Kualitas Air/IKA) in 2020 was 53.53 or an increase of 0.91 from the previous year. However, the IKA has not met the target of 55.1. There are eight provinces that have met the IKA target, namely Bengkulu, East Java, Central Java, West Nusa Tenggara, Papua, Banten, DI Yogyakarta and North Sulawesi. Meanwhile, there are 26 other provinces that still have not met the IKA target. The main parameters that cause IKA to not reach the target are Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Dissolved Oxygen/Oxygen Demand (DO), and Fecal Coli. This shows that the source of pollutants from domestic activities is still dominant as the cause of the decline in water quality.

IKA TARGET VS REALIZATION



Figure 4.167 Water Quality Index (IKA) 2015-2020
Source: Environmental Quality Index Media Briefing
(Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021)

B. CHALLENGES

Challenges faced in realizing the target of Goal 6 Clean Water and Sanitation include:

1. Efforts to supply drinking water services still face challenges, including challenges in governance for the provision of drinking water; and commitment and capacity of local governments as the main implementers of the Drinking Water Supply System (Sistem Pelayanan Air Minum).
2. Regarding the provision of access to improved sanitation services, it is necessary to optimize the operation of the Wastewater Treatment Plant (Instalansi Pengolahan Air Limbah/IPAL), Fecal Sludge Treatment Plant (Instalasi Pengolahan Lumpur Tinja/IPLT) , and the Domestic Wastewater Management System (Sistem Pengelolaan Air Limbah Domestik/SPALD).
3. In the management of groundwater and raw water, challenges include the low level of raw water supply services; problems of quantity and quality of water (3T: too much, too little, too dirty); and problems of monitoring of water quality; and utilization of technology to ensure the quantity and quality of raw water that is safe and feasible in a sustainable manner.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

Policies related to Goal 6 include:


1. Provision of access to safely managed and improved drinking water services is implemented with the following policies: (1) Improving institutional governance for the provision of safely managed and improved drinking water services; (2) Increasing the capacity of drinking water providers; (3)



Development and management of SPAM; and (4) Education to the public.

2. For a sustainable sanitation service system, it is realized through the Program for the Acceleration of Housing Sanitation Development (PPSP) through the following policy directions: (1) Increasing institutional capacity in sanitation management services; (2) Increasing commitment of regional governments; (3) Development of settlement sanitation infrastructure and services; (4) Increasing changes in community behavior; and (5) Development of cooperation and funding patterns.
3. The policy directions for sustainable groundwater and raw water management are: (1) accelerating the supply of raw water from protected water sources; (2) enhancement of integration in drinking water supply, (3) and utilization of technology in raw water management.





Goal 7

Affordable and Clean Energy

Discussion on Goal 7 focuses on electrification ratios, per capita electricity consumption, household gas networks, household gas use ratios, renewable energy mix, primary energy intensity and installed capacity of electricity generation from renewable energy. Besides presenting an analysis based on trends up to 2020, this section will also explain the impact of COVID-19 on the achievement of the SDGs, especially on relevant indicators.

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. ENSURING AFFORDABLE, RELIABLE, SUSTAINABLE, AND MODERN ENERGY ACCESS

The energy and mineral resources sector are strategic sectors and have become a backbone in supporting national development and economy. Energy is a basic need which is a prerequisite for the running of economic activities which in turn will have a direct impact on economic growth and other community activities. Energy demand will continue to increase frequently with the growth of the economy and population in Indonesia. Energy supply and utilization activities will also have an impact on the environment, both greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and other environmental impacts. Attention to the environmental and social impacts of energy supply and utilization activities is increasing at both the global and national levels, this further demonstrates the importance of a sustainable national energy supply and utilization strategy.

The Electrification Ratio (RE) is the ratio between the number of electrified households with the total number of Indonesian households. The electrification ratio continued to increase from 88.3% in 2015 to 98.89% in 2019. The electrification ratio (RE) for the fourth quarter of 2020 was 99.20%, slightly below the RE target for 2020 as stated in the RKP 2020 at 99.9%.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.168 Target and realization of renewable energy
Source: ESDM (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources)

In 2019, there were 29 provinces that had RE of more than 95% covering all provinces on the island of Sumatra, Java, parts of Sulawesi and Kalimantan, Maluku, North Maluku, and Bali and West Nusa Tenggara. Four provinces, namely Central Kalimantan, Southeast Sulawesi, Maluku and Papua have RE in the range of 90-95%, while the provinces with RE less than 90% are East Nusa Tenggara. The government is making efforts to increase RE either through the on-grid strategy, namely through the extension of the PT. PLN (Persero) and the off-grid strategy, namely through the distribution of Energy Saving Solar Lamps (Lampu Tenaga Surya Hemat Energi/LTSHE) as well as non-PLN electrification programs (local government, community and private sector organizations).

One of the benchmarks for the progress of a country is the provision of adequate and reliable energy for the whole society which can be indicated by the level of electricity consumption. In the 2015-2019 period, electricity consumption per capita increased from 910 kWh per capita in 2015 to 1,084 kWh per capita in 2019, or increased by an average of 43.5 kWh per capita per year. However, this increase has not yet reached the target set in the 2015-2019 RPJMN of 1200 kWh per capita in 2019 so it still needs to be accelerated. As of the fourth quarter of 2020, per capita electricity consumption has reached 1,089 kWh per capita or 93.8% of the 2020 target of 1161 kWh per capita. This achievement only increased by 5 kWh per capita compared to the previous year which reached 1,084 kWh per capita, much smaller than the average annual increase of 43.5 kWh per capita per year in the 2015-2019 period

In supporting the use of cleaner, more reliable and affordable energy sources, the use of natural gas for household needs continues to be developed. The household gas network continues to be built. In the 2015-2019 period, 337.93 thousand household connections (Sambungan Rumah Tangga/SR) of city gas networks (Jargas) were built so that the total cumulative Jargas increased from 220.36 thousand SR in 2015 to 537,940 SR in 2019. In other words, the addition household gas line is around 68 thousand SR per year (Table 4.23) Until the fourth quarter of 2020, the construction of the city gas network has reached 135,286 SR or 50.85% of the target in RKP 2020 of 266,070 SR. With this addition, the cumulative number of city's Jargas becomes 673,226 SR.



Table 4.23 Development of City Gas Networks (Jargas) (in thousand SR)

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Non-State Budget Realization	12.72	10.22	3.74	0.52	0	0
State Budget Realization	7.64	88.93	49.93	89.73	74.51	135.28
Addition 2015-2020	20.36	99.15	53.67	90.25	74.51	135.28
Cumulative	220.36	319.51	373.18	463.43	537.94	673.22

Source: ESDM (Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources) Strategic Plan 2020-2024 and ESDM 2020 Performance Report

By taking into account the 2020-2024 Medium-Term National Development Plan (RPJMN) target of 4.1 million SR in 2024, an additional 692 thousand SR is required per year. This shows that more effort is needed to achieve the predetermined targets in 2024.

The ratio of household gas use is an indicator to describe the proportion of households that have used gas as fuel for cooking to the total household as a whole. In the 2015-2019 period, according to the People's Welfare Statistics data, Statistics Indonesia (BPS), the achievement of this indicator continues to increase every year, from 68.78% in 2015 to 79.9 in 2019. Realization in 2020, the ratio of use household gas valued at 81.98%. Although nationally the value continues to increase and the achievement of the proportion is relatively high, this condition is not evenly distributed throughout Indonesia. Several provinces, such as East Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, North Maluku, Papua, and West Papua, have a very low household gas use ratio of below 5%, even Maluku Province has a household gas use ratio below 1%. This shows that the implementation challenges in increasing the value of the ratio of household gas use, especially in these four provinces in Eastern Indonesia, are still very large, because they are related to gas fuel distribution infrastructure as well as price affordability, as well as community habits.

One of the benchmarks for the provision of clean and sustainable energy can be seen from the renewable energy mix. In this case, it can be measured from the share of New and Renewable Energy (Energi Baru dan Terbarukan/EBT) in the

primary energy supply or Total Primary Energy Supply (TPES). In the 2015-2019 period, the share of EBT continued to increase from 4.4% in 2015 to 9.15% in 2019. This achievement was still below the target set in the 2015-2019 RPJMN of 16% in 2019. Meanwhile, the mix portion EBT in the national primary energy supply reaches 11.2%, lower than the target in the 2020 RKP of 13.4%. In the fourth quarter of 2020, the achievements of the development of geothermal, bio-energy and other PLT ET are 2,131 MW, 1,904 MW, and 6,246 MW, respectively. This achievement is still below the targets set in the 2020 RKP of 2,273 MW, 1922.5 MW, and 6949.7 MW for geothermal, bio-energy and other PLT ET, respectively.

The increase in energy use efficiency can be indicated by the value of the primary energy intensity. Primary energy intensity is the amount of primary energy supply needed to produce each unit of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The lower the energy intensity figure in Barrel of Oil Equivalent (BOE) per unit of GDP (constant 2000 prices), the more productive and efficient the use of energy in a country is. During the 2015-2019 period, energy intensity decreased by 76.1 BOE/billion Rupiah, from 501 BOE/billion Rupiah in 2015 to 424.87 BOE/Billion Rupiah in 2019. This achievement in 2019 is equivalent to 140.6 BOE/BIB billion rupiah (in constant 2010 GDP at constant prices).

The installed capacity of electricity generation from renewable energy (in Watts per capita) is used to describe the priority to use renewable energy to meet the energy needs of the



population for one year. This indicator continues to increase from 33.26 Watts per capita in 2015 to 38.42 Watts per capita in 2019, along with an increase in EBT generation capacity from 8.49 GW in 2015 to 10.30 GW in 2019, or an increase. an average of 451.29 MW per year.

B. CHALLENGES

In the energy and electricity sector related to Goal 7 Clean and Affordable Energy, there are several main challenges, including:

1. Although the national RE achievement is already close to 100%, the challenge of expanding access to electricity is still quite large in several areas, including in the provinces of East Nusa Tenggara, Central Kalimantan, Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, and Papua, especially in remote and geographic areas hard to reach. In 2020 there are still 409 villages without electrification and 3090 LTSHE villages that need access to electricity and increased reliability.
2. In terms of per capita electricity consumption, the existence of a policy for Work from Home (WfH) has implications for an increase in electricity consumption in the household sector. However, this increase was unable to keep up with the decline in consumption in the industrial and business sectors. Often with better economic growth, the increase in electricity consumption per capita is expected to improve as well. In general, increasing per capita electricity consumption requires efforts to ensure the availability of electricity infrastructure access for the public, industry and business; reduce grid losses, provide competitive electricity rates for industrial and business customers, encourage the use of electric stoves, and encourage the use of electric vehicles.
3. Some of the obstacles from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the construction of city Jargas, among others, are obstacles to the mobilization of workers, project materials, to difficulty obtaining spare parts.

This fact has resulted in an adjustment to the cumulative target for Jargas development in the 2021 RKP update to 715,800 SR or an additional target of 42,578 SR from the 2020 achievements. construction of Jargas in the 2015-2019 period which only relies on funding from the state budget and assignments to business entities.

4. The COVID-19 pandemic has an impact on the development of New and Renewable Energy (EBT). This is due to the low price of fossil energy or fuel oil (Bahan Bakar Minyak/BBM), decreased demand for B30 which directly reduces the use of Biodiesel, and disruption of the supply chain for the implementation of EBT-based power generation projects, including jobs that require experts from abroad which ultimately results in slow EBT development.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

In order to achieve the goal of ensuring access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all levels of society by 2030 and to support economic recovery after the COVID-19 pandemic, priority programs carried out in accordance with RKP 2021 are:

1. **Strengthening the provision of affordable energy;**
In an effort to restore the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, various important activities carried out in relation to Goal 7 are as follows: opening up "labor-intensive" jobs in the energy, minerals and mining sectors, through (a) accelerating the development of renewable energy which is supported setting feed-in tariff (FIT) policies, reducing import duties, relaxing the Domestic Component Level (Tingkat Kandungan Dalam Negeri/TKDN), and providing incentives; (b) development of "strategic reserves" by utilizing idle tanks in old refineries that are not producing and using old field wells that are no longer producing as storage for imported crude oil; and (c)



accelerating the construction of smelters for downstream minerals in the country.

2. Increasing access and supply of energy and electricity

The policy direction for infrastructure development in 2021 related to Goal 7 will be focused on efforts to support the post-COVID-19 economic recovery, namely the development of targeted energy and electricity subsidies including reducing payment of electricity bills and encouraging synergies between the supply of energy and electricity with various government programs. The government has attempted to provide electricity bill relief stimulus for more than 33 million PLN electricity customers for household, business, industrial, social and special service consumers with provisions that have been set by the Government (household sector with a power of 450 VA and household customers of 900 VA subsidized; social and small business 450 VA).

In the 2021 RKP, several Major Projects have been established which will directly support the achievement of the 7th goal of the SDGs, namely:

1. Development of Palm Oil-based Green Fuel Renewable Energy;
2. 27,000 MW Power Plant, 19,000 KMS Transmission and 38,000 MVA Substation;
3. City Gas Network Infrastructure for 4 Million House Connections;
4. Trans Kalimantan Natural Gas Pipeline (2,219 km)

**Goal 9**

Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure

Sustainable and inclusive economic growth needs to be supported by infrastructure development to support national connectivity, industrial growth and expansion to support the growth of the labor-intensive sector, and the development of innovation by using technology to increase competitiveness and productivity.

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. INFRASTRUCTURE FOR CONNECTIVITY

Accelerating infrastructure development is needed for equitable development throughout Indonesia, especially in the eastern region. For this reason, it is necessary to facilitate integrated and well-connected and integrated regional infrastructure, particularly road and transportation infrastructure, both sea and air transportation, including information and communication networks, so as to create national connectivity. This connectivity can also support industrial growth as one of the largest contributors to GDP, and absorb a large amount of labor.

Infrastructure development has become a priority for the national agenda, particularly infrastructure for inter-regional connectivity. After the pandemic, many infrastructure projects have stopped, but priority projects, especially for smooth logistics distribution, are still being implemented. The length of railway line development continues to increase from 6,222 km in 2019, to 6,357 in 2020. This is also in line with the number of ferry ports, where in 2019 there were an additional 24, and in 2020 there were an additional 6.

2. LABOR INTENSIVE INDUSTRY

The manufacturing industry sector is one of the strategic sectors in boosting national economic development and improving people's welfare. The manufacturing industry sector is able to absorb a large number of workers so that its role in increasing household income and reducing poverty is very crucial.

For the manufacturing industry, the proportion of value added to GDP decreased from 21.54 in 2015 to 20.61 in 2020. This trend is not in line with the proportion of its workforce, which has continued



to increase from 13.5 in 2015 to 14.91 in 2019. However, like the labor conditions affected by the pandemic, the proportion of workers in the manufacturing industry sector also experienced a decline to 13.61 in 2020.




Figure 4.170 Proportion of Value Added of Manufacturing Industry Sector to GDP and Growth Rate of Manufacturing Industry
Source: Statistics Indonesia

3. INNOVATIONS TO SUPPORT ECONOMIC GROWTH

To support sustainable industrial growth and integrated infrastructure development, various researches are needed to encourage the creation of various innovations that can be used in efforts for economic growth, especially after the pandemic.

Various innovations are created to support the production of high-tech industrial products. The proportion of exports of high-tech industrial products continues to increase from 20.80 in 2017 to 22.26 in 2019, but has decreased to 21.38 in 2020 after the pandemic.




Figure 4.171 Proportion of Exports of High-Tech Industrial Products
Source: Statistics Indonesia



B. CHALLENGES

In the Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure sectors related to Goal 9, there are several main challenges, including:

1. Infrastructure development to support connectivity in equitable development needs to be continuously improved, particularly the integration of all existing infrastructure.
2. The role of small industries needs to be continuously strengthened, especially in the post-pandemic period.
3. The need for a larger budget allocation for R&D (Research and Development), and not only depending on government funding, but it is necessary to explore funding collaboration with non-government parties such as the private sector.
4. Data, especially sectoral data, are not yet centralized in one place, making the data collection process difficult.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

Policies to support the achievement of Goal 9 are directed towards infrastructure development for connectivity, growth of labor-intensive, inclusive and sustainable industries, as well as research, technology and innovation.

In an effort to ensure infrastructure development for connectivity, inclusive and sustainable industrial growth, and innovation to support sustainable development, various policies are formulated in the development agenda.

Infrastructure development policies for connectivity are emphasized in PN 5 (Strengthening Infrastructure to Support Economic Development and Basic Services)):

1. Increasing regional connectivity through road, rail, sea, air and land connectivity;
2. Increased development and utilization of ICT infrastructure, as well as the contribution of

the information and communication sector to economic growth through the completion of ICT infrastructure.

Labor-intensive, inclusive and sustainable industrial growth policies are emphasized in PN 1 (Strengthening Economic Resilience for Quality and Equitable Growth) and PN 6 (Building the Environment, Enhancing Disaster Resilience and Climate Change):

1. Strengthening entrepreneurship, micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) and cooperatives through increasing business capacity and access to finance for entrepreneurs and increasing the creation of start-ups and business opportunities;
2. Increasing added value, employment and investment in the real sector and industrialization;
3. Increasing high value-added exports and strengthening the Domestic Content Level (Tingkat Kandungan Dalam Negeri/ TKDN) through increasing diversification, added value and competitiveness of export products and services;
4. Increased achievement of GHG emission reduction against the baseline in the industrial sector.

The innovation policy to support economic growth is emphasized in PN 3 (Increasing Quality and Competitive Human Resources) by increasing productivity and competitiveness through increasing science and technology capabilities and creating innovations.



Goal 11 Sustainable Cities and Communities

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. ACCESS TO ADEQUATE AND AFFORDABLE HOUSES

The proportion of households with access to adequate and affordable housing continue to increase from 56.51% in 2019 to 59.54% in 2020. Based on 4 (four) aspects of feasibility, the adequacy of building area, building resilience (roofing, floors and walls as proxies), access to improved drinking water and sanitation is quite high.




Figure 4.172 Proportion of households with access to adequate and affordable housing, 2019-2020
Source: Susenas 2019 and 2020, Statistics Indonesia

Based on household expenditure groups, access to adequate and affordable housing in the bottom 40% and middle 40% groups are still below the national figure, namely 48.69% and 61.21% in 2020, respectively. The discrepancy is seen in the top 20% group with a gap of around 23.65% when compared to the bottom 40%.

The disparity in access to adequate and affordable housing occurs between provinces. The province with the highest household access to decent and affordable housing is DI Yogyakarta, reaching 86.19% in 2020. Meanwhile, the province with the lowest access is Papua Province, which is 28.56% in 2020.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




Figure 4.173 Proportion of households with access to adequate and affordable housing
by province in 2019-2020

Source: Susenas 2019-2020, Statistics Indonesia



2. ACCESS TO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

The proportion of population that has convenient access to public transport is analyzed based on the number of people who have an access distance within a radius of 0.5 km from their place of residence to public transportation, compared to the number of all residents within the area of the public transportation service. During the period of 2017-2020, the convenient access to public transport increased from 54.67% to 56.01%. The province that has the highest access is North Sulawesi, while DI Yogyakarta ranks the lowest with an achievement of only 34.33%.




Figure 4.174 Proportion of population that has convenient access (0,5 km distance) to public transport in 2017 and 2020
Source: Susenas 2017 and 2020, Statistics Indonesia



3. WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste management is defined as an effort to reduce and manage household waste and other waste. Based on Ministry of Environment and Forestry's waste management data collected from 290 districts/cities throughout Indonesia, in 2020 the total managed waste was 53.55%. In other words, 46.45% of waste is still unmanaged.




Figure 4.175 Proportion of waste composition based on waste sources in 2020
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry's National Waste Management Information System (SIPSN), 2020

Looking at the composition of waste based on its source, the most waste comes from households at 32.4%. Traditional markets and trade centers rank next with the respective percentages of 21.7% and 13.9%.

4. AIR QUALITY

During the 2015-2020 period, the air quality index (Indeks Kualitas Udara/IKU) was relatively fluctuating but in the last two years it has increased, namely by 87.21 in 2020. The IKU value in 2020 reached the highest value due to the decrease in NO₂ and SO₂ concentrations in districts/cities as a result from decreasing activity from both mobile and immovable sources due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the implementation of pollution control policies and activity restrictions.



Figure 4.176 Air Quality Index 2015-2020
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021



DKI Jakarta Province as the state capital and metropolitan city has the lowest KPI rank at 66.69, followed by the next two provinces, namely Banten (72.83) and West Java (78.46). Regarding the Annual Average of PM 10 Fine Particulate Matter, the measurement results from 26 cities as measured by the Air Quality Monitoring Station show good air quality with a result of <50 µg/m³ in 2020.



Figure 4.177 Air Quality Index by Province in 2020
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021



B. CHALLENGES

The challenges in the achievement of Goal 11 Sustainable Cities and Communities targets include:

1. Adequate and affordable housing: (1) limited access to housing finance, especially for low-income and irregular income groups; (2) the growth of an unstructured urban area (urban sprawl); and (3) guidance and supervision in the housing and settlement areas has not been optimal.
2. Access to public transportation: (1) private vehicle growth continues to increase from year to year; and (2) public transportation is not yet the main choice for residents, especially in cities.
3. Urban waste management: (1) the application of the principle of reducing waste is still low and the infrastructure for reducing waste is still low. such as for example: Integrated Waste Management Site (Tempat Pembuangan Sampah Terpadu/TPST) and Waste Management Site for Reuse, Reduce, Recycle (Tempat Pembuangan Sampah 3R/TPS 3R); (2) lack of waste transport fleets and geographic and accessibility challenges that hinder the waste transportation process; (3) Most of the landfill (Tempat Pembuangan Akhir/TPA) are still operated with an open dumping system, while the design of the TPA system prepared is a sanitary landfill system; and (4) the commitment of local governments to continue to be improved in waste management in the regions. One form of commitment that must be considered is the financing of solid waste infrastructure for operations and maintenance (O&M).

C. POLICY RESPONSE

Policies related to Goal 11 include:


1. In the framework of providing access to adequate, safe and affordable housing and settlements, policies are directed at gradually increasing community access to affordable and safe housing and settlements in order to realize an inclusive and livable city. The strategy is focused on three aspects, namely the demand side related to the financing system, from the supply side related to land use and housing provision, and an enabling environment related to collaboration with local governments, communities and business.
2. In addition, the 2020-2024 RPJMN has also planned and budgeted a Major Project for Urban Flats (1 million) as concrete steps to achieve development goals related to urban and sustainable settlements. This Major Project is planned to be integrated between several funding sources such as the State Budget, Regional Budget, and Business Entities. One of the benefits expected from the Major Project is increased community access to affordable and safe housing for a million urban households and dealing with slum settlements.
3. Urban development policies, including: (1) planning for metropolitan areas outside Java; (2) development of metropolitan areas outside Java; (3) improving the quality of the Java metropolitan area; (4) development of big, medium and small cities; (5) construction of new cities; (6) development of the State Capital.
4. Policies related to public transportation access are implemented with the development of an urban mass public transport system prioritizing 6 (six) major metropolitan cities, namely the metropolitan areas of Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung, Medan, Semarang and Makassar. Urban transportation priority projects include: (1) development of mass public transport systems including in 6 (six) metropolitan areas (Major Projects); (2) development of modal transfer facilities that are integrated with centers of economic activity, settlements and public facilities at transportation nodes, (3) development of non-level crossings between roads and railways in urban areas, (4) construction of



urban ring roads, and (5) provision of PSO and subsidies for urban mass public transport.

5. Policies in waste management include: (1) waste management from upstream to downstream with the principle of reduction and reuse; (2) strengthening waste reduction campaigns; (3) strengthening the capacity of local governments, regulations and waste management institutions; and (4) monitoring, evaluation and law enforcement.
6. Policies to improve air quality, among others, by improving environmental quality and low carbon development, through prevention, countermeasures, restoration and strengthening of institutions and law enforcement against pollution and damage to natural resources and the environment.





Goal 14

Life Below Water

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. AREA OF MARINE PROTECTED AREAS

Indonesia has succeeded in increasing the area of marine protected areas by 24.1 million ha (101.3%) from the 2020 target of 23.8 million ha. The area of marine conservation area comes from: (a) 10 conservation areas managed by the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries covering an area of 5.3 million hectares, (b) 161 conservation areas managed by the provincial government covering an area of 14.2 million hectares and (c) 30 conservation areas managed by the Ministry of Environment and Forestry covering an area of 4.6 million ha.




Figure 4.178 Area of Marine Protected Areas 2015-2020
Source: Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2021

In addition to efforts to increase the area of marine conservation areas, measurements of the quality of management are also carried out by developing measurement/evaluation tools for the effectiveness of the management of marine, coastal and small islands conservation areas. The procedure for determining marine conservation areas refers to Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries Regulation (Permen KP) No. 2 Year 2009 on Procedures for Designating Marine Protected Areas.



2. PROPORTION OF FISH STOCKS WITHIN SAFE BIOLOGICAL LIMITS

Indonesia consistently updates fish stock assessment in the sea, to estimate the value of the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) and the amount of allowable catch (Total Allowable Catch/TAC). The amount of catch allowed is 80% of its maximum sustainable potential (Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries, FAO 1995). In 2011, the MSY was set at 6.52 million tons (Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries Decree (Kepmen KP) No.45/2011); furthermore in 2016, the MSY value was set at 9.93 million tons (Kepmen KP No.47/2016); and finally in 2017, the MSY value was set at 12.54 million tons (Kepmen KP No.50/2017).

Fish resources in the Indonesian sea cover 37% of the world's fish species, of which several types have high economic value, such as tuna, shrimp, lobster, reef fish, various types of ornamental fish, shellfish, and seaweed. The sustainable potential of Indonesia's marine fish resources is estimated at 12.54 million tons per year, which are scattered in Indonesian territorial waters and the waters of the Indonesian Exclusive Economic Zone (Zona Eksklusif Ekonomi Indonesia/ZEEI). Of all the potential fish resources, the total allowable catch (TAC) is 10.03 million tons per year or about 80% of the sustainable potential.

In 2020, national capture fishery production will reach 7.70 million tons, consisting of capture fisheries production in mainland public waters of 0.56 million tons and marine capture fisheries production of 7.14 million tons or 71.14% of the total allowable catch (TAC).

Table 4.24 Catch Proportion of Fish Type

DESCRIPTION	YEAR			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
Potential Fish Resources (Maximum Sustainable Yield/MSY; million tons)	12.54	12.54	12.54	12.54
Total Allowable Catch (TAC) (80% of MSY; million tons)	10.03	10.03	10.03	10.03
Marine Capture Fisheries Production (million tons)	6.60	6.70	6.98	7.14
Proportion of catches of fish species that are within safe biological limits (marine capture fisheries production/TAC; %)	65.79	66.79	69.58	71.14

Source: Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2021



3. 11 FISHERIES MANAGEMENT AREAS OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA (WPPNRI) ARE MANAGED SUSTAINABLY

The Indonesian government continues to encourage the use and management of sustainable fisheries resources. The government has divided fisheries management into 11 Fishery Management Areas (Wilayah Pengelolaan Perikanan/WPP). Fishery Management Plans for all WPPs have been determined through a Decree of the Minister of Marine and Fisheries. This regulation regulates the distribution of fishing areas through a licensing mechanism, so that fishermen can definitely utilize fishery resources in a sustainable manner and do not exceed safe biological limits.




Figure 4.179 Indonesia's Fishery Management Areas

Source: Regulation of the Minister of Marine Affairs and Fisheries of the Republic of Indonesia Number 18/Permen-KP/2014 concerning the State Fisheries Management Area of the Republic of Indonesia (WPPNRI)

In 2019, there are 3 WPPNRI (27%) that have mapped the potential of marine and fisheries resources for sustainable marine economic development. The involvement of the central and local governments, academics and non-governmental organizations is needed in mapping the potential of marine and fisheries resources.

4. COMPLIANCE WITH MARINE AND FISHERIES BUSINESS ACTORS

The compliance of marine and fishery business actors in utilizing marine and fishery resources is in accordance with the permits and provisions of the stipulated legislation. Achievement of the percentage of compliance (compliance) of marine and fisheries business actors with the provisions of the legislation in force in 2019 amounted to 93.57%.



Law enforcement and the eradication of illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing are problems that require high commitment and cross-institutional cooperation at the operational level. Indonesia as an archipelagic country that has the largest water area in the world, has a strong legal basis to combat IUU fishing in Law No. 31 Year 2004 jo Law No. 45 Year 2009 on Fisheries. To combat IUU fishing, the Indonesian Government has implemented a monitoring, control and supervision system, increased cross-agency cooperation related to marine patrols, air patrols and regional cooperation with foreign countries in fighting IUU fishing, increased community participation-based supervision, and licensing arrangement..

B. CHALLENGES

The challenges faced in achieving the target of Goal 14 Ocean Ecosystems include:

1. Optimization of integrated and sustainable management of 11 established WPPs.
2. The designated marine conservation areas need to be managed more effectively. This requires efforts to improve institutions and infrastructure in the management of marine conservation areas.
3. The potential for marine capture fisheries production has not been utilized optimally due to the limited fishing fleet (the dominance of traditional fishing fleets) and IUU fishing activities/practices. This requires support for the availability of adequate and modern capture fisheries infrastructure, increasing the capacity of competent human resources in sufficient numbers, and increasing the eradication of IUU fishing activities.
4. A proper regulatory framework is needed in the mechanism for providing assistance to small-scale fishermen, including support

for fishery production facilities and infrastructure as well as facilitating access to finance and marketing, while maintaining sustainable use of fish resources.

5. Improving sustainable capture fisheries management through optimizing the utilization of fisheries capacity, both in terms of productivity and quality of catches; promoting sustainable fishing practices; strengthening supply chains and traceability mechanisms; improve data reliability for stock assessments and associated management measures; and carry out inclusive fisheries governance at the WPP, national and regional levels.

C. POLICY RESPONSE


Policies related to Goal 14 Ocean Ecosystems include:

1. Make the Fisheries Management Area (WPP) a spatial basis for sustainable fisheries development, institutional transformation and function of WPP, improving the quality of WPP management, as well as managing and structuring marine spatial and coastal zoning plans. This is supported by conducting comprehensive fisheries stock assessments on a regular basis at 11 WPP locations, developing a Sustainable Fisheries Platform for all stakeholders, and structuring permits.
2. Managing marine ecosystems and utilization of marine services in a sustainable manner.
3. Increasing welfare and empowerment as well as protection of small-scale marine and fisheries businesses as well as access to fishery resource management.
4. Increasing human resources and maritime, marine and fisheries research, as well as strengthening marine and fisheries databases.



5. Preventing and restoring damage to marine resources through conservation and rehabilitation of water, coastal areas and small islands, and prevention of marine pollution.
6. Combating illegal, unreported and unregulated and destructive fishing (IUU and destructive fishing).





Goal 15 Life on Land

A. TREND ANALYSIS

1. CONDITION OF FOREST AREA, AS WELL AS FOREST AND LAND COVER

Indonesia continues to maintain its forest area and strives to increase forest and land cover. Until 2019, Indonesia's mainland forest area was recorded at 120.39 million hectares, consisting of: 21.89 million hectares of Conservation Forest, 29.66 million hectares of Protected Forest, and 68.84 million hectares of Production Forest. The condition of forest cover in forested areas until 2019 reached 94.11 million hectares (50.1%), and the land that was not covered by forest (not forested) was 93.64 million hectares (49.9%) of the total area. mainland Indonesia which reaches 187.75 million hectares.

2. FOREST MANAGEMENT UNITS (KESATUAN PENGELOLAAN HUTAN/KPH)

Indonesia seeks to improve forest governance performance through the establishment and operation of Forest Management Units (FMUs). The establishment of an FMU area is divided into Protected Forest Management Units (Kawasan Pengelolaan Hutan Lindung/KPHL) and Production Forest Management Units (Kawasan Pengelolaan Hutan Produksi/KPHP). In 2020, there will be 24 FMUs in both production and protected areas. There are 10 Production Forest Management Units (KPHP), while 14 Protected Forest Management Units (KPHL).

The establishment of FMUs is very important to overcome various problems in forest management at the site level, such as illegal activities (logging, hunting, encroaching), theft of germplasm, forest and land fires that are still ongoing in forest areas which have an impact on the destruction of the forest ecosystem. The legal basis for the establishment of FMUs is through Government Regulation Number 23 year 2021 on Forestry Management.

3. FOREST AND LAND REHABILITATION (REHABILITASI HUTAN DAN LAHAN/RHL)

In addition, in 2020 the government formed the Peat and Mangrove Restoration Agency through Presidential Regulation No. (Perpres) No. 120 Year 2020 on the Peat and Mangrove Restoration Agency (Badan Restorasi Gambut dan Mangrove/BRGM) with a Peat Restoration target of 1,200,000



hectares and a Mangrove Rehabilitation target of 600,000 hectares within 4 years.

Presidential Decree No. 120 Year 2020 on the Peat and Mangrove Restoration Agency (BRGM) is a continuation of the peat restoration efforts that have been carried out by the Peat Restoration Agency (Badan Restorasi Gambut/ BRG) which was formed through Presidential Decree No. 1 Year 2016 on the Peatland Restoration Agency (BRG). BRG has succeeded in realizing the peatland restoration program by December 2019, reaching 778,181 hectares.

4. HIGH CONSERVATION VALUE (HCV) AREAS

Conservation area management is carried out with conservation principles, namely the protection of the ecosystem as a life support system, preservation of natural and genetic resources, and sustainable use. Indonesia has designated a High Conservation Value (HCV) area of 33.71 million hectares. This area consists of a conservation area of 27.04 million hectares, an essential conservation area of 1.08 million hectares and an essential ecosystem area with high conservation value of 5.58 million hectares.

High conservation value (HCV) areas are areas that contain important environmental and social values. These values include, among other things, wildlife habitat (key species/mega fauna), water catchment protection areas and archaeological (cultural) sites. These values are calculated as very significant or very important, both locally, regionally and globally (Consortium revised HCV Toolkit Indonesia, 2008). In other words, high conservation value areas are forest areas that have important biodiversity, both at the ecosystem level, population to species level, including in areas which are priority animal pockets whose home ranges reach and enter into essential ecosystem area (Kawasan Ekosistem Esensial/KEE).

5. LAW ENFORCEMENT IN THE ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTRY SECTOR

The Indonesian government has a strong commitment to law enforcement in the environmental and forestry sector. The filing of court cases (P.21) for violations of the criminal law against illegal logging and trade in wild animal plants (*Tumbuhan dan Satwa Liar/TSL*) from year to year continues to show an increase.

Criminal law enforcement is one of the important pillars in law enforcement activities after administrative sanctions and civil law enforcement. The implementation of environmental and forestry law enforcement is divided into 6 (six) typologies, namely: 1) illegal logging, 2) forest encroachment, 3) illegal flora and fauna (TSL) circulation, 4) environmental pollution, 5) forest fires and land, and 6) environmental damage. Most cases are cases with a typology of illegal logging and the circulation of illegal TSL. During 2019, as many as 104 cases of illegal logging (54.74%) and 65 cases of illegal TSL circulation (34.21%) had been handled up to P.21. Challenges in law enforcement in the environmental and forestry sector include, among others, the wide coverage of work areas throughout the archipelago that must be monitored, as well as the limited human resources.

B. CHALLENGES

Environmental damage, especially in terrestrial ecosystems, is caused by violations of law in the field of natural resources and the environment, such as illegal logging, forest and land fires, mining without permits, and illegal forest control. In addition, there is a reduction in the ideal habitat area for endangered species on four large islands (Sumatera, Java, Kalimantan and




Figure 4.180 Number of illegal TSL cases processed by P.21 in 2015-2020
Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021

Sulawesi) which is driven by an increase in monoculture plantation areas which further depress forest cover and can lead to increased loss of biodiversity if not treated immediately. Another obstacle faced in restoring ecosystems is the settlement of land tenure status (clear and clean) so that land conflicts can be avoided.

C. POLICY RESPONSE

1. Recovery of pollution and damage to natural resources and the environment, which is carried out by: (1) restoration and restoration of peatlands; (2) forest and land rehabilitation; (3) restoring examining and land contaminated with hazardous and toxic waste; (4) restore damage to the coastal and marine environment; (5) restoring the habitat of endangered species; and (6) increasing the population of endangered wild plant and animal species.
2. Reducing the rate of deforestation in Indonesia, through: (1) restructuring Indonesia's forest area allocation; (2) using technology and information in land use and forest areas towards Forestry 4.0; (3) developing incentive and disincentive mechanisms for forest management; and (4) increasing community management access rights in forest management
3. Reducing the rate of forest degradation by: (1) continuing the moratorium policy on suspending permits or concessions for the use of primary forest; (2) optimizing utilization of plantation forests; and (3) continuing the peat protection policy.





5

MEANS OF IMPLEMENTATION


The country faced 2020 with optimism. Two important national agendas were accomplished quite well, namely parliamentary and presidential elections, with the voter participation rate of 82% for each type of election. Through the two elections the country was able to move forward with a new development plan, the RPJMN 2020–2024—where the SDGs are mainstreamed, which means implementing SDGs is implementing the national development agenda. The national development plan and the SDGs as an integrated development plan were reported in the 2017 and 2019 VNRs. This section describes the country's SDGs means of implementation through **the pandemic-related policies, data provision, technology, coordination, and capacity building**.

5.1 POLICIES TO RESPOND THE PANDEMIC

As the economy was declining, strategic plans were needed for economic recovery. Government Regulation (GR) 23/2020, and its revision in GR 43/2020, on economic recovery program was released to accommodate strategies for economic recovery. This was followed by the enactment of Law 2/2020 on the State Budget Policy and Financial System Stability to respond the pandemic. The President also released a regulation on the establishment of the COVID-19 Response and the National Economic Recovery Committee ('Peraturan Presiden', Perpres/ Presidential Regulation) 82/2020. The Perpres mandated expansion of the previous works of the task force⁵³ by including two new units, namely policy committee and special task force for national economic recovery and transformation. The overall policy serial is depicted in Figure 5.1.

⁵³ A special task force was previously established through a presidential decree, namely 'Keputusan Presiden' (Keppres/ Presidential Decree) 7/2020, to work in five arenas: (1) national health resilience; (2) management of COVID-19 pandemic; (3) monitoring of COVID-19 distribution and escalation; (4) operational policy actions; and (5) COVID-19 prevention, detection, and response.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021



DATE	GOVERNMENT POLICY AND RESPONSES	
02/03/2020	The Government Announcement	Case 01 and Case 02
13/03/2020	Presidential Decree 7/2020	Establishment of COVID-19 Control Task Force
20/03/2020	Presidential Decree 9/2020	Revised version of Keppres 7/2020
31/03/2020	Government Regulation In Lieu of Law 1/2020	State Budget Policy and Financial System Stability to Cope with the Impacts of COVID-19 on National Economy and Financial System Stability
31/03/2020	Government Regulation 21/2020	Large Scale Social Restriction
31/03/2020	Presidential Decree 11/2020	Health Emergency Status
09/05/2020	Government Regulation 23/2020	National Economic Recovery Program
16/05/2020	Law 2/2020	State Budget Policy and Financial System Stability to Cope with the Impacts of COVID-19 on National Economy and Financial System Stability
20/07/2020	Presidential Regulation 82/2020	Establishment of National Committee for COVID-19 and Economic Recovery
08/04/2020	Government Regulation 43/2020	Revised version of PP 23/2020

Figure 5.1 The COVID-19 Cases and Policy Responses



Throughout 2020 until the time this VNR was reported, the Government of Indonesia has issued 1,590 policies ranging from circular letters of the first echelon officials to laws or government regulations in lieu of laws. A total of 366 regulations specifically regulates the COVID-19 pandemic response. In addition, there are various derivatives of national policies and local government policy initiatives.

The Government of Indonesia also sets public health policies. The government announced a status on public health emergency and determines it as a non-natural disaster. To reduce the rate of increase in cases, the government established Large-Scale Social Restrictions (LSSR) and intensified the obligation to implement health protocols. As one of the steps to reduce the rate of transmission, the government is also committed to carrying out a universal vaccination, which has been rolled out since the beginning of 2021.

GOVERNMENT OF INDONESIA'S COVID-19 POLICY RESPONSE IN 2020




Figure 5.2 Policy Response on Large-Scale Social Restriction (PSBB) during COVID-19 Pandemic
Source: Various sources, collected by the Directorate of MacroPlanning and Statistical Analysis of Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas



To ensure the responses to the COVID-19 pandemic were measured and targeted, the government established a set of policies that can be aligned and harmonious with one another. The goal is to reduce legal uncertainty caused by overlapping policies. The Indonesian government has also issued a special policy on the village funds that is usually allocated for the development of villages and their communities, so it could be utilized for economic recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic translated into activities on COVID-19 pandemic prevention and treatment efforts and for social protection schemes.

At the micro level, a series of government policy actions was also made, released as summarized in Table 5.1. The government response is a mitigation strategy to prevent the overall economy falling to a deeper crisis through maintaining the activities of economy in production and consumption. In the production side, the focus was directed to support small and medium enterprises (SMEs), State-owned Companies (SOCs), banking and industrial sectors in general. In the consumption side, specific interventions were emphasized for the poor and unemployed labors. This is due to the income declines experienced by workers during the pandemic. A survey found that people who were still working in August 2020 also reported income declines with high prevalence across all sectors⁵⁴. Figure 5.3 clearly shows a comparative situation in the household level, those that are out of employment, have loss of income, and have shortage of food were the three issues emerged during the crisis.

Table 5.1 Mitigation Strategies in the Pandemic

WAKTU	SOCIO-ECONOMIC SITUATION		POLICY ACTION	
	PRODUCTION	CONSUMPTION	STIMULUS FOR PRODUCTION	STIMULUS FOR CONSUMPTION
Q2: 2020	Sharp drops in tourism, transportation, trade, manufacturing sector	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Income loss Drops in general purchasing Raises in poverty and unemployment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SMEs: Tax incentive, interest rate subsidy, credit restructure and credit guarantee Regions: Incentive funds for severely impacted regions Industry: Tax incentive Banking: Placement fund State-Owned Companies (SOCs): Bailout, working capital supports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subsidy acceleration for the poor
Q3: 2020		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Raises in poverty and unemployment Electronic (online) based purchasing 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement in consumption (tourism, hotel, restaurant, electronics, transportation)
Q4: 2020	Wriggling movement of sectors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Electronic (online) based purchasing Improvement in labor participation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SMEs: Working capital support SOCs: Government investment Banking: Placement fund Regions: Incentive funds 	

Source: Adapted from Macro Economic Framework (Kerangka Ekonomi Makro) and Fiscal Policy Principles (Pokok-pokok Kebijakan Fiskal) 2021, Ministry of Finance.

54 See <https://www.COVID19indonesia.net/events/pgsqlhousehold>, accessed 25 March 2021.



Figure 5.3 Impact of Pandemic on Labor Market
Source: World Bank High-Frequency Monitor of COVID-19 Impacts, Round 1 and Round 3

Another survey shows that companies have adjusted their working hours as a way to reduce labor costs, while some others chose to reduce wages or implemented leave without pay and layed off their employees⁵⁵. Meanwhile, the BPS survey of 34,559 businesses throughout Indonesia shows the following picture. First, 24.31% of businesses reduced their working hours, and reduce their use of machinery and labor. Second, 58.76% of businesses stopped their activities. Third, 5.45% businesses have applied WFH for some their employees and 2.05% have applied with WFH for all employees. However, fourth, most (58.95%) businesses continue to run as they would pre-pandemic. Even, fifth, although only in a small portion, there were some others businesses (0.49%) running with more economic activities than pre-pandemic. From these findings, it is apparent that policies in place have been targetted to address economic recovery.

Figure 5.4 and Table 5.2 below shows a more detailed illustration on how the poor and unemployed had been assisted by the government with a number of programs. The assistances included cash transfer, food staple support, and subsidy. A technical model simulation⁵⁶ indicates that the assistances had likely softened the impact of the pandemic on poverty despite the projected lower growth and weaker labor market. The simulation also shows that without the assistances, 8.5 million people would fall into poverty. However, with better implementation and non-error targeting scenarios, it would ensure social protection for the poor and those most vulnerable to become poor. These findings show that the assistances could be highly effective in protecting the bottom 30% of households and, even, the households in the middle of the distribution, i.e., 40th to 80th percentile.

⁵⁵ World Bank (2020). Indonesia COVID-19 Business Pulse Survey (COV-BPS) Round 1-2, Indonesia COVID-19 Observatory.
⁵⁶ The World Bank, *ibid*.




Figure 5.4 Social protection during the pandemic

5.2 FINANCING TO RESPOND THE PANDEMIC

In response to the pandemic, two essential policy actions were made in March and May 2020. First, on 31 March 2020, the government enacted Regulation lieu of Law 1/2020 on State Budget Policy and Financial System Stability. Second, on 16 May 2020, the government and the parliament agreed to raise up the regulation into a law, called Law 2/2020.

On the basis of this law, it was allowable for the state budget to take a wider deficit—from 3% by the Law No 17/2003 on State Budget to 6% of GDP—to respond to fiscal expansion as a counter-cyclical policy. The counter-cyclical measure was done by amplifying the spending from IDR 2,309 trillion in 2019 to IDR 2,739 trillion in 2020 to boost the decline in economic activities. Later on, it is evident that the economy fell, but soon grew at the negative rate of -2%, while its pre-pandemic number was on average around 5% (Figure 5.5).



Table 5.2 Distribution of Social Assistance by Income Decile

INCOME DECILE	REGULAR PROGRAMS			NEW ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS							
	FAMILY HOPE PROGRAM	GROCERIES PROGRAM	ELECTRICITY SUBSIDIES	GREATER JAKARTA - BASIC FOOD ASSISTANCE	NON-CASH SOCIAL ASSISTANCE - GREATER JAKARTA	DIRECT CASH ASSISTANCE - VILLAGE FUNDS	PRE-EMPLOYMENT CARD	RICE ASSISTANCE	ADDITIONAL CASH SOCIAL ASSISTANCE	PROMOTIVE ASSISTANCE	LABOR ASSISTANCE
6+											
5			450 VA; 24 million HHs	Jakarta: 1.3 million Beneficiaries	9 million Beneficiaries	11 Million Beneficiaries		5.6 million people		9.12 million SMEs	15.7 million workers
4			900 VA; 7.2 million HHs	Bodetabek area: 600 thousand Beneficiaries							
3			20 million Beneficiaries								
2			10 million Beneficiaries								
1											
Type of Disbursement	100% Non-Cash	100% Non-Cash	Voucher	100% In-Kind	60% Cash (PT.Pos) 40% Non-Cash	70% Cash 30% Non-Cash	100% Non-Cash	100% In-kind	100% Non-Cash	100% Non-Cash	100% Non-Cash
IDR37.4 trillion (USD 2.597 million)	IDR43.6 trillion (USD 3.028 million)	IDR6.9 trillion (USD 479 million)	IDR3.42 trillion (USD 237.5 million)	IDR9.72 T (USD 675 million) (Cash)	IDR21.86 T (USD 1.518 million) (Cash)	IDR20.0 trillion (USD 1.389 million) (Cash)	IDR4.6 trillion (USD 319 million)	IDR4.6 trillion (USD 319 million)	IDR22.02 trillion (USD 1.529 million)	IDR37.78 trillion (USD 2.624 million)	

Source: Adapted from Macro Economic Framework (Kerangka Ekonomi Makro) and Fiscal Policy Principles (Pokok-pokok Kebijakan Fiskal) 2021, Ministry of Finance

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021



Figure 5.5 Economic Growth and Fiscal Deficit
Source: Financial Note and State Budget for Year 2021

The law also provides a legal basis for the Central Bank to take initiatives during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Central Bank is allowed to purchase the government bonds. The purchases during the first half of the year 2020 were aimed at maintaining and improving the functioning of bond markets due to a large exit of investors from the country's bond market. Later on, as the World Bank (2020) indicates, since July, the purchases had also funded to finance the government deficit. The purchase of the Central Bank might, to a certain degree, compensate the decrease in the government revenues, especially in the tax revenue. In the midst of limited resources, the government has to strengthen efforts in generating revenues from other sources like the sovereign bond, whilst at the same time to prepare a room of maneuver for the Central Bank to involve.

The Central Bank had also been successful in maintaining the inflation low (at the rate of 1.6%, while the target was 2-4%) and the Rupiah currency relatively stable. Nevertheless, the negative economic growth and dormant domestic demand had opened a substantial

negative output gap. Under the negative gap and low oil price, the Central Bank had maintained the interest rates at the acceptable range of territory. With these records, the economy is projected to begin rebounding in 2021 and further improve in 2022, while at the same time the SDGs performance is expected to return to its improving trend.

The government was not alone in its effort to recover from the pandemic. There was a mechanism established to share the burden between the government and the Central Bank of Indonesia—a partnership in the hard times. Under Regulation lieu of Law No. 1/2020 on State Finance and Financial System Stability, the government opens an opportunity for the Central Bank to play its role as the last resort. The law later on is replaced by Law No. 2/2020. The replaced law authorizes the Central Bank to buy the remaining government bond in the first offering of the primary market in case the market cannot fully absorb the bond. This measure allows the Central Bank to support the government in financing the fiscal deficit, which is conditional to the pandemic. The joint decree between the government and the Central Bank in April 2020 further regulates the



buying mechanism of the Central Bank in the prime market. This includes a proposal to buy via a sequential series of stages, namely non-competitive bidding mechanism, green-shoe option, and private placement in case the bond target is not achieved yet. At the same instant, the law also allows the Central Bank to buy the (repo) bond of the Indonesia Deposit Insurance Corporation ('Lembaga Penjamin Simpanan'—LPS) if the pandemic is considered to have serial systemic impacts on the solvability of banking sector.

The LPS itself is also permitted to raise its ceiling of guarantee from IDR 1 billion to IDR 2 billion per depositor's bank account. In the meanwhile, the Financial Service Authority ('Otoritas Jasa Keuangan', OJK) is also allowed to do merger, consolidation, taking-over, integration, and conversion of banks and other financial institutions. There are still some other measures in the law conditional to the pandemic, but it prioritized collaboration among stakeholders in initiatives and shared burden during these hard times.

In the midst of limited resources, it is understandable that the government also needs other sources, such as sovereign bonds. In the near future, the government will again issue a new sovereign bond in which its preparation process was carried out before the pandemic. At the end of 2019, Indonesia had succeeded issuing Green Sukuk in the international financial market. Green Sukuk offers nine sectors to the market for financing, namely renewable energy, energy efficiency, climate change resilience, sustainable transportation, waste management, sustainable natural resource management, green tourism, green buildings, and sustainable agriculture. These are sectors that are considered priorities in responding to the challenges of climate change after Indonesia ratified the 2016 Paris Agreement and established Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs).

After the success of the Green Sukuk, the government will issue SDGs Bond with the same

expectations of success. In issuing this bond, the Indonesian government involves stakeholders in a fairly long list to the market, each with their respective roles joint lead manager and joint bookrunners⁵⁷, joint green structuring advisor⁵⁸, and transaction co-managers⁵⁹. The SDGs Bond is directed to finance a number of SDGs projects throughout the country. Currently, the ongoing administration process is in the project selection stage and budget tagging. This Indonesian SDGs Bond will be the second SDGs Bond in the world after Mexico introduced it to the market in 2020 but will be the first in the Asia Pacific.

In the meantime, the future "green" issues—or issues of sustainability in general—will be the direction of national development. Responsible investors are growing and always demanding new financial approaches and products. Sustainable finance also needs to be adopted and adapted to new trends in sustainable development projects. The meeting place for these matters lies in the domestic and international financial markets, where "profit, people, and planet" will be a serious matter to consider in the decision-making process. In other words, some adjustments to the new characteristics of the market will be needed; and Indonesia must be prepared for these market changes.

The OJK has issued the Second Phase of Sustainable Finance Roadmap which focuses on the enabling environment to create transparent regulations, to build synergies in collaboration with relevant ministries/agencies and stakeholders, and to improve the capabilities of the financial industry. The OJK is currently in the process of developing a green taxonomy for several strategic objectives, namely developing standard definitions and information disclosures for green investment, encouraging green

57 Deutsche Bank AG, Dubai Islamic Bank PJSC, Maybank Investment Bank Berhad, PT Mandiri Sekuritas, HSBC, BNP Paribas, and Standard Chartered.

58 BNP Paribas and HSBC

59 PT Bahana Sekuritas, PT Danareksa Sekuritas, and PT Trimegah Sekuritas Indonesia Tbk.



domestic financial market growth, increasing attractiveness for responsible investors, assisting in monitoring and tracking public expenditure and investment to achieve sustainable goals along with the pre-defined categories and in line with international best practices, provide a signal to investors and financial markets more broadly that the government is promoting sustainable development, as well as identify areas where investment is still needed.

5.3 DATA PROVISION

The aforementioned policies and programs would not be possible to be executed without the Integrated Data Base of the bottom 40% of population. The Data Base is managed, maintained, and developed by a national team for poverty alleviation acceleration—called TNP2K (Tim Nasional Percepatan Penanggulangan Kemiskinan)—established 10 years ago via Presidential Regulation 15/2010. Two other institutions, namely Statistics Indonesia (BPS) and the Ministry of Social Affairs, also play crucial roles in collecting, producing, and utilizing the data. With some notes on the needs for data improvement, especially the reliability and validity of data, it is evident that during the pandemic the data was reliable and valid, thus adequately supported policy making. This also emphasized the importance of high-quality statistics and data in support of SDGs implementation. Apart from Goal 17, through the underlying policy actions, the data also supported and facilitated the achievement of Goal 1 for No Poverty, Goal 2 for No Hunger, Goal 4 for Quality Education in social development pillar as well as Goal 8 for Decent Work and Economic Growth and Goal 9 for Industry, Infrastructure and Innovation—and feasibly Goal 10 for Reducing Inequality—in economic development pillar. On top of these, the use of the data and other health data in a series of policies in health sector undoubtedly also had facilitated the achievement of Goal 3 for Good Health and Well-Being during the pandemic.

In the beginning the data consisted of eligible names of the country's citizens—with the

corresponding addresses—for the social protection program, covering about 92 million people within 25 million households nationwide. It was first generated on the basis of three data enumerations, namely the 2010 Population Census, the 2010 National Socio-Economic Survey, and the 2010 Village Potential to be merged in an initiative called 'Pendataan Program Perlindungan Sosial' (PPLS, Data Management for Social Protection Program) 2011⁶⁰. The data is updated regularly to adjust with dynamic changes in the field. Amid the pandemic, the Minister of Social Affairs enacted a ministerial decree ('Keputusan Menteri', 'Kepmen') No. 19/HUK/2020 on Integrated Data for Social Welfare 2020 updating the previous 2019 ('Kepmen' 8/HUK/2019) data. The 2020 list records 27.7 million households, 29.7 million families, and 96.9 million household members. In addition to this, the 'Kepmen' also includes adat communities totalling 1,534 households, 1,535 families, and 6,234 family members. There are still 631,000 people listed but outside of the two groups. These eligible names were the specific targets of the various aforementioned social safety net and social protection programs in the pandemic to be executed (Table 5.3) to the bottom-40% population.

60 The PPLS is rooted in an initiative called Socio-Economic Data Management ('Pendataan Sosial Ekonomi', PSE) 2005 to identify the targets of a cash transfer program.



Table 5.3 Integrated Data Base 2019 and 2020

CATEGORY	2019	2020
POOR GROUP		
Household	27,110,241	27,703,976
Family	29,157,990	29,737,982
Household Members (people)	98,608,619	96,961,972
ADAT COMMUNITIES		
Household	1,534	1,534
Family	1,535	1,535
Household Members (people)	6,234	6,234
Other	615,646	621,618

Source: The Ministerial Decrees of Social Affairs No 8/2019 for the 2019 data, and No 19/2020 for the 2020 data.


Apart from the data for targeting program, the government also established the online pandemic data, updated daily. There are two interconnected sources of the pandemic data. First, the one in the Ministry of Health; called 'Infeksi Emerging', published in <https://infeksiemerging.kemkes.go.id/>, where the COVID-19-related data is located in a special section⁶¹. This special section reports various information about the health standard protocol and manuals, underlying regulations, including the daily data of the infectious COVID-19. The last data is composed of, in detail, number of tested people, number of confirmed positive case, number of recovered cases, number of death-related the virus, and number of negative cases. Various comparisons with other countries are also displayed.

Second, the data published by the country's special task force, named the National Committee for the COVID-19 Response and Economic Recovery" ('Komite Penanganan COVID-19 dan Pemulihan Ekonomi Nasional', as described earlier, presented in <https://covid19.go.id/>. This site is also known in a tagline "Bersatu Melawan COVID-19" (literally, "Together fighting against COVID-19"). Apart from basic information of the COVID-19—protocols, regulations, announcement, Q&A's, and others—the website also informs two maps of the COVID-19: the map of infected cases and the map of the risk zones. While the former map depicts number of cases, the latter displays four risk categorizations—no case, low, medium, and high risk; and all are disaggregated into district-city administration level. In visual graphs, the former records of numbers of positive case, recovery, and death. Also, in visual graphs, using 10 health indicators, the latter classifies provinces across the country into one of the four COVID-19 risk categorizations.

Furthermore, there are several other sites disclosing information about the pandemic, namely the "Indonesia COVID-19 Hub" (<https://bnpb-inacovid19.hub.arcgis.com/>) and "Kawal COVID-19" (<https://kawalcovid19.id/>). The former site, "Indonesia COVID-19 Hub", is a hub managed by BNPB with GIS-based data, which is also connected to the National and Subnational Task Force for COVID-19 Response. In addition, this site is also linked to the Ministry of Health's "Emerging Infection" website. The latter site, "Kawal COVID-19" (literally, "monitoring COVID-19"), is a voluntary contribution from the community that provides information on the dynamics of the pandemic on field. Information from this site can also be accessed via an application that can be downloaded for easier user experience.

61 <https://infeksiemerging.kemkes.go.id/document/download/cover?category=kie-covid-19>.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021




The cover page of
"Infeksi Emerging" website
<https://infeksiemerging.kemkes.go.id/>

The cover page of
"Bersatu Melawan COVID-19" website
<https://covid19.go.id/>



The cover page of "Hub InaCOVID-19" website
<https://bnpb-inacovid19.hub.arcgis.com/>



The cover page of "Kawal COVID-19" website
<https://kawalcovid19.id/>

Figure 5.6 The Websites of COVID-19



The media, academia, and general public have access to all of this available information. Even in the last three quarters of 2020, every day the media updates the COVID-19 status. During that time, daily news in media was focused on COVID-19: new infected cases, new number of deaths and recovered cases—and additional inputs from experts and the public. The data was not only beneficial for evidence-based policy making, but has also supported news and research⁶².

5.4 TECHNOLOGY

Technology plays a pivotal role in facilitating the collection of data, especially during the pandemic, to show dynamic changes in people's social behavior and market activities. Geo-tagging location from mobile phones, Google Mobility Index reports that between March and December 2020 there was a 20% drop of people mobility, on average, if compared to pre-pandemic as the baseline. Sharp drops were found in people's activities in transit stations (-37%), work places (-23%), as well as retail and recreation (-21%), while limited mobility was found in residential places with positive changes of the index (11%). It is apparent, as shown in Figure 5.7 that, the first three months after the announcement of the first two Corona-infected cases in March, people's mobility decreased sharply; and after the announcement of area-based lock down in April, the drop was more significant. Local limited mobility around residential areas affected other types of mobility. By the beginning of June 2020, the decrease in mobility was stagnant; the mobility to grocery and pharmacy stores-located areas increased to its pre-pandemic mobility. The latter may indicate the need of people for buying their daily necessities.




Figure 5.7 Google Mobility Index
Source: Community Mobility Report: <https://www.google.com/COVID19/mobility/>
Accessed 23 March 2021

62 tBy 13 April 2021, the Google Scholar through its search engine informed 28,200 results for a diction of "Indonesia COVID-19", limited to the year of 2020-2021. Articles from various disciplines use the aforementioned sources of data, apart from their owned-field data gathered during the pandemic time.

Face-to-face social interactions were replaced by a handful selection of online platform: Zoom, MS Teams, Google Meet, or Skype, in addition to existing social online interactions through social media platforms of WhatsApp, Telegram, Signal, Facebook, Twitter, or Tiktok. People from different age group, regions, gender, and social classes are involved massively in these platforms. To illustrate, among the two billion of WhatsApp users over the global, Indonesia was ranked at the third place,⁶³ and by December 2020 the WhatsApp users in Indonesia were about 143 million.⁶⁴

Apart from social media concerns, work and school from home were proved challenging, along with the loss of direct interpersonal communication—discussion, developing empathy, and so on.⁶⁵ In addition to the socio-economic context of the underlying causes, limited access and even unavailability of internet infrastructure are the challenges of work and school from home. On the contrary, those with internet access benefit from the availability of infrastructure. The government has started investing in fiber optic-based backbone communication infrastructure for 36,000 km to reach around 500 districts in the country—the so-called Palapa Ring Project.

In the meantime, economic activities were conducted through various online transactions of goods and services, the e-commerce, using also various platforms—in which some of the platforms are classified as unicorns (see the previous chapter on Goal 17). The circulation of money through these platforms is significant. Last year, the e-commerce transactions totalled to IDR 90.3 trillion, or about 50% increase compared to the figure in 2019⁶⁶. At the same time, the online transaction is also marked by an impressive growth in fintech—financial

technology—platform. Fintech has become a connecting bridge towards financial inclusion to reach those who have no access to the banking sector, especially during the pandemic.

The Financial Service Authority ('Otoritas Jasa Keuangan', OJK) data shows that by December 2020 the outstanding of lending was about IDR 15 trillion—IDR 2 trillion increase if compared to the figure in December 2019—involving 137 million accounts (Table 5.4). Until the last year, there was 149 peer-to-peer lending institutions registered in the OJK. The quality of lending in December 2019 and December 2020 both were above 95%. This indicated the high success of lending to get repaid, albeit the impact of the COVID-19 was also felt as clearly seen in the declining trend of the quality of lending⁶⁷ in March, June, and September consecutively about 95.8%, 93.9%, and 91.7%. The same table also shows the average loan value of around IDR 100 million (USD 6,944) which more or less reflects the customers facilitated by the fintech.

Knowing the size of transaction in the fintech, the Central Bank released the Payment Gate Blueprint 2025 ('Sistem Pembayaran Indonesia', SPI 2025). The Blueprint is a direction for the financial stakeholders in 5 areas, namely (1) the integration of national economy and financial digital, (2) the digitalization of banking sector, (3) the interlink between the banking and fintech sectors, (4) the balance between financial innovation and consumer protection, and (5) the promotion of national interest in the international financial-economic digital. The Blueprint considers three types of the latest technology, namely artificial intelligence, cloud computing, and block chain and Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT). In addition to this, through other three types of approach—industrial, regulatory, and collaborative approaches—the

63 <https://uzone.id/indonesia-masuk-3-besar-pengguna-whatsapp-terbanyak-di-dunia-tapi>; accessed 13 April 2021.

64 <https://pop.grid.id/read/302464341/beda-jauh-dari-indonesia-yang-penggunanya-sampai-143-juta ternyata-whatsapp-cuma-dipakai-19-persen-orang-amerika-kenapa-bisa-kalah-pam-or-di-negaranya-sendiri?page=all>; accessed 13 April 2021.

65 The User's Experience of Remote Working Survey, UNESCO Jakarta, Juni-Juli 2020.

66 <https://money.kompas.com/read/2021/03/24/123355326/bicat-aliran-modal-ke-fintech-capai-rp-315-triliun?page=all>; accessed 13 April 2021.

67 The quality of lending, captured by the TKB 90 (Table 5.4), refers to the capacity of credit repayment within 90 days of lending.



SPI 2025 takes five areas of initiatives, namely open banking, retail payment system, financial market infrastructure, data development, and regulation.

The Payment System is moving the fintech sector towards standardized, real-time and smooth payments so that economic activities can move at lower costs and drive the future growth.

Interestingly, the COVID-19 pandemic has brought payment systems into a sharper focus. Changes in market behavior in digital payments, especially face-to-face and touchless payments, are proven to be able to support social distancing policies. This is also stimulated by the ‘work from home’ policy in almost all parts of Indonesia. The double increase in the number of accounts from 60 million to 137 million involved in the fintech from 2019 to 2020 (Table 5.4) shows that the payment system has supported the economy—through digital overlays, QR codes⁶⁸, and others—from a deteriorating trend in other sectors. At the same time, transaction efficiency is also formed, especially when the pandemic forced the economic activities to continue while the health aspects must also be maintained.

Table 5.4 The Fintech Performance

DESCRIPTION	DECEMBER 2019	MARCH 2020	JUNE 2020	SEPTEMBER 2020	DECEMBER 2020
LENDING OUTSTANDING (IDR)					
a. Java	11,309,499,043,447	12,624,846,886,585	10,066,192,151,919	10,685,553,889,263	12,577,247,035,388
b. Outside Java	1,847,656,966,380	2,167,202,007,473	1,700,637,603,425	2,027,959,979,219	2,741,838,359,562
c. Total	13,157,156,009,827	14,792,048,894,059	11,766,829,755,345	12,713,513,868,482	15,319,085,394,949
Quality of Lending					
TKB 90	96.35%	95.78%	93.87%	91.73%	95.22%
ACCUMULATED LENDER'S TRANSACTION (NO. OF ENTITY)					
a. Java	41,126,937	56,445,722	65,449,947	78,800,270	93,609,610
b. Outside Java	1,459,580	1,598,056	1,694,964	1,875,884	2,150,152
c. Foreign Countries	17,831,694	20,713,236	21,872,735	31,743,981	40,843,117
d. Total	60,418,211	78,757,014	89,017,646	112,420,135	136,602,879
ACCUMULATED BORROWER'S ACCOUNT (NO. OF ENTITY)					
a. Java	68,215,545	95,342,352	118,851,597	146,888,086	210,745,007
b. Outside Java	13,660,488	18,941,003	22,492,924	27,618,137	37,662,416
c. Total	81,876,033	114,283,355	141,344,521	174,506,223	248,407,423
CHARACTERISTICS OF LENDING					
The Lowest Lending Value (IDR)	1,020	1,716	1,110	1,000	1,000
Average Lending Value (IDR)	34,130,705	42,950,127	45,517,518	53,501,911	28,520,697
Average Lending Distributed (IDR)	99,708,028	122,481,756	135,691,436	142,461,666	113,761,116

Source: Financial Services Authority (OJK), Organizing Overview of Fintech Lending December 2020

68 In May 2019 Bank Indonesia launched the QR-Code Indonesia Standard (QRIS) as a part of digital transformation. This is in line with the domestic real-time payment scheme at the BI-FAST and the local implementation of ISO 20022 as the national payment infrastructure.



At the company level, another proxy for e-business can provide a clearer detail. The Startup Report 2020 of the Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy (2021) recorded that the top five unicorns of Indonesia booked impressive ecosystem values ranging from US\$ 3 billion to almost US\$ 11 billion. The five unicorns include (1) 'Gojek' that was initially an online transport network platform company (TNC), (2) 'Tokopedia', a marketplace platform company, (3) 'Traveloka', an online ticket company, (4) 'Bukalapak', a marketplace platform company, and (5) 'OVO', a payment gate company (Figure 5.8). This advancement is confirmed by the report of Startup Genome⁶⁹ that rank Indonesia at the second place after India in the top 100 emerging ecosystem rankings (Table 5.5).

	Gojek	Est. \$10.5 billion
	Tokopedia	Est. \$7.5 billion
	Traveloka	Est. \$5 billion
	Bukalapak	Est. \$3.5 billion
	OVO	Est. \$3 billion

Figure 5.8 The Five Unicorns

Source: Startup Report 2020

Table 5.5 Top Emerging Ecosystem Rankings

RANK	COUNTRY	PERFORMANCE	FUNDING	MARKET REACH	TALENT
#1	India	10	10	10	10
#2	Indonesia	10	10	10	9
#3	Switzerland	9	10	10	8
#4	Finland	8	10	10	9
#5	China	10	9	4	10

Source: Startup Genome, accessed 26 March 2021.

5.5 COORDINATION MECHANISM

Indonesia's commitment in implementing SDGs is reflected through the enactment of the Presidential Regulation ('Perpres') 59/2017 which serves as the legal basis for its implementation and coordination, in which the Minister of National Development Planning is appointed as coordinator of SDGs implementation. The role of coordination includes monitoring, evaluation and reporting of SDGs achievement, pushing efforts in mobilizing government and non-government funding sources for SDGs financing, and establishing SDGs National Coordination Team (Tim Koordinasi Nasional/TKN) to orchestrate the efforts of sustainable development in national and disseminate and facilitate efforts of sustainable development in subnational level. TKN, supported and facilitated by the SDGs National Secretariat, is responsible to strengthen commitment of SDGs in national to subnational level, and

⁶⁹ Startup Genome built data infrastructure that host more than 1.27 million companies and more than 250 ecosystems that are sustained by a survey of more than 10,000 startup executives ("The Voice of Entrepreneurs").



work together in synergy with related Ministries/ Institutions as well as relevant stakeholders to implement and achieve SDGs in Indonesia.

The role of TKN in a number of areas are first, in introducing and increasing awareness and participation of stakeholders in sustainability issues. Second, in mainstreaming the principle of sustainability in the development agenda of stakeholders. Third, in ensuring that the sustainable development agenda is linked to financing and investment sources—even innovative ones.

As mandated by the Presidential Regulation, TKN supported by the SDGs National Secretariat, is responsible for the development of strategic document to ensure smooth implementation of SDGs: the SDGs Roadmap towards 2030, SDGs National and Subnational Action Plans. All of the documents are developed with active participation and collaboration of the four participation platforms—civil society, academia, philanthropy, and business—to develop their own SDGs initiatives and in support of government SDGs initiatives.

The development process of the SDGs National and Subnational Action Plan is explained in detail in the technical guidance for the Action Plan development, currently in its second edition. For the SDGs National Action Plan, the process began with the development of technocratic drafts, drafted by the government in consultation with the academia. The technocratic drafts are then published for public consultation. The RAN includes annexes of both government and non-state actors' activities in their contribution to SDGs achievement. The process is reported in the website of the Ministry of Development Planning at <http://sdgs.bappenas.go.id/>. RAN also includes activities of both government and non-state actors as their contribution to the achievement of SDGs. With the support of the national government, a similar process is conducted by the subnational governments in developing their action plans.

Currently there are 29 SDGs Subnational Action Plans developed by the coordination of the

provincial government with their respective district/city governments and their non-state actor counterparts. On subnational level, 23 SDGs Centers have been established at the university level, from the western to the eastern parts of the country, to support SDGs implementation and to further disseminate SDGs in their respective regions and areas of concern.

To ensure SDGs monitoring and evaluation, a guideline was formulated. This guideline is currently also in its second edition, aligned with revised guidelines for action plans development guidelines.

To inform the public on the latest version of the SDGs indicators which are in line with the SDGs Indicator Metadata set by UNStat, Indonesia also developed SDGs Indonesian Metadata. This document is essential to ensure common understanding of SDGs indicators and its measurement to all stakeholders.

With these strategic documents, it is expected that all SDGs stakeholders can take part in contributing to the achievement of indicators, in their respective areas of work and concern.

5.6 CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity building is an integral part of leaving no one behind in SDGs implementation. To ensure spread of SDGs awareness to everyone, SDGs has to be disseminated to as many stakeholders, such as academicians, businesses, civil society organizations, subnational governments, youth and children and other relevant stakeholders. Trainings should be conducted to ensure that all stakeholders are equipped with the substance and planning and budgeting of SDGs.

An example of a collaboration in capacity building efforts is the establishment of SDG Academy Indonesia, initiated by Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas, Tanoto Foundation, and UNDP Indonesia, was established as a learning institution on the SDGs through three programs that are open to the public, namely mobile learning, leadership, and



study abroad programs. Another example is capacity building efforts organized by business sectors, such as the Indonesian Global Compact Network (IGCN), the Indonesian Business Council for Sustainable Development (IBCS), and the Indonesia office of Global Reporting Initiatives (GRI) that are active in conducting activities to disseminate the information about the SDGs and to bring commercial companies to integrate SDGs in their business process.

The Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas also collaborated with the Ministry of State-Owned Companies (BUMN) Affairs to carry out activities to transform the BUMN companies into sustainable businesses. A series of intensive facilitation processes have been carried out with special assistance and involvement of state-owned companies to align their businesses and the CSR activities with SDGs Goals, Targets and indicators. About 100 state-owned companies have mapped their activities linked to the SDGs, and their implementation will be monitored and evaluated regularly.

The currently 23 SDGs Center established throughout Indonesia also strengthened capacity building of their subnational government counterpart in planning and implementing SDGs.

Development partners continue to support in strengthening capacity in the subnational level, for example bilateral cooperation of Japan-Indonesia through Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) and Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH on the development of subnational action plans in pilot selected provinces.

Capacity building on data was also supported by development partners like UNICEF in developing SDGs dashboard, and UNICEF with UNFPA in developing database on poverty for children and youth.

5.7 POST PANDEMIC: NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE FUTURE OF SDGS

Indonesia continues to strive amid the pandemic, with progress in economic growth and strengthened policies in recovery. Efforts should be continued to ensure that the recovery should be closer to a 'V'-shape, illustrating continued rapid recovery and resilience to crisis. There are theories that Indonesia will recover in a 'Nike'-swoosh pattern illustrating a steady pace of recovery, or a 'K'-shape, illustrating widening recovery gap between the rich and the poor, in which the poor does not recover.

Lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic and climate change; Indonesia needs to focus on natural and unnatural disaster resilience efforts. In the likely event of upcoming crisis, first Indonesia needs strategies in place for recovery in future crises, and second Indonesia continues to adapt new ways of development.

Strategies for better recovery are in place, as stated in the Government Work Plan 2021 (Presidential Regulation 86/2020 and revised by Presidential Regulation 122/2020). The two Presidential Regulations focused on seven sectors called 'National Priorities' (NPs). The seven NPs include (1) economic resilience and equitable growth, (2) development gap reduction, (3) qualified human resources, (4) cultural development, (5) basic and economic infrastructure development, (6) environment, disaster resilience, and climate change, and (7) governance and public service transformation.

These seven NPs are translated from the RPJMN 2020-2024 with SDGs mainstreaming, thus these NPs are aligned with SDGs. The policies in response to COVID-19 pandemic strive to build forward better. Indonesia is going forward with the development of SDGs National Action Plan, to engage more non-state actors, to achieve our mutual goal of achieving SDGs without leaving no one behind.

Relevant with current crises, Indonesia has



strengthened its development with economic transformation. The pandemic has accelerated the shift to digital ecosystem, seen through the rise of e-commerce during the pandemic. Blended learning and hybrid education have been adopted to facilitate learning process, and telemedicine has been utilized. Other production sectors—agriculture, mining, manufacture, services—will soon follow. The use of artificial intelligence, internet of things, cloud computing, 3D printing, and robotics will be more common in the future.

Government continue to adopt digital ecosystem. In tax system, e-filing, e-billing, e-form, and the likes has been introduced since 4-5 years ago. E-planning and e-budgeting have also been developed and integrated further. In 2020, the experience of the Online Population Census proved that Indonesia is ready to implement e-administration. The current rolling out of the vaccine is also supported by an integrated national digital ecosystem.

The use of digital ecosystem also extends to other public services such as suggestions and complaints delivered via social media, as well as the use of social network data to map political participation.

This picture of the near future is very relevant to SDGs implementation. Indonesia strives to achieve SDGs targets, emphasizing on sustainable development for sustainable recovery and resilience from future crisis. In line with SDGs implementation by leaving no one behind, participation and involvement of all relevant stakeholders in all sectors is key for a more inclusive and sustainable development.







6

CONCLUSION AND FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS

The follow-up action for the acceleration of the implementation of the 2030 agenda. Indonesia is committed to the successful implementation of the SDGs in the context of achieving the 2030 development agenda and continuously striving to become a sovereign, advanced, fair, and prosperous country. The achievement of the SDGs target since 2020 has experienced a slowdown as the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. This is the perfect opportunity for transformation or radical change, namely, recovery of industry, tourism and investment towards green economy, reform of national health system, reform of social protection system, and reform of disaster resilience system. Indonesia is moving towards an inclusive and sustainable economic transformation to build forward better, by increasing the role of industry manufacturing and services, strengthening the domestic economy through maintaining inter-island connectivity, green growth program, digital transformation and human resource that are competitive at the global level. The Indonesian Transformation Agenda is expected to drive the achievement of the 2030 development agenda which provides a solid foundation for achieving Indonesia Vision for 2045 to become a high-income country, to be part of the 5 highest GDP countries, extreme poverty rate of 0%, and increase the access to quality jobs.

Monitoring and evaluating the implementation at the national and sub-national levels. The achievements of sustainable development are assessed and evaluated periodically every semester and annually. For this reason, the SDGs monitoring and evaluation system is integrated in the planning cycle, through Action Plans at the national (RAN) and subnational (RAD) level. Planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of Sustainable Development are carried out inclusively by involving all stakeholders. Development are carried out inclusively by involving all stakeholders.

The government has published the SDGs Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation Guidelines (Monye) edition 2 in 2020 which is an Since



Since 2020, the monitoring and evaluation of SDGs is integrated with other monitoring and evaluation platforms such as e-Monev for National Development under the coordination of the Ministry of National Development Planning. Digital monitoring process and evaluation, as well as reporting of SDGs achievement is integrated to the e-Monev of National Development and the digital planning and budgeting system (KRISNA), facilitated by SDGs budget tagging feature. Codification of government programs and activities to support the achievement of the SDGs is very important as a reference code for connectivity between e-Planning, e-Budgeting and e-Monev platforms, both at the national and subnational levels. The scope of e-Monev SDGs is broader for both government (Ministries and Institutions) as well as non-state actors that are philanthropy, businesses, CSOs and other relevant stakeholders.

The lesson learned in the VNR drafting process is the increasing data limitations as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. VNR preparation that includes trend analysis requires the most recent data, which in this case was challenging due to COVID-19. Statistics Indonesia (BPS) experienced challenges in the process of data collection and processing due to the large-scale social restrictions (PSBB) policy and the implementation of health protocols. Thus, there are data of SDGs indicators that could not be collected, especially the data for 2020. Statistics Indonesia (BPS) has adjusted its business processes and the availability of Big Data has been a reference in the preparation of 2021 VNR. With this limitation, Indonesia can still report the achievements of the SDGs in a meaningful and comprehensive manner using data collected through various surveys by Statistics Indonesia (BPS) and related Ministries/ Institutions, studies from other institutions, as well as program reports by Ministries/ Institutions.

In addition, due to the social restrictions in place

as a COVID-19 response, the coordination for the preparation of VNR 2021 is carried out online. Outreach was easier as more stakeholders could participate, thus the VNR 2021 consultation process is more extensive and broader than the previous two VNRs.

The support needed to prepare for the upcoming VNR. Indonesia developed the 2021 VNR with support from development partners. The UN System, coordinated by the UN Resident Coordinator, supported the VNR development through enrichment of the analysis of the 9 goals under review, data provision including disaggregated data on vulnerable groups, and many online serial discussions and meetings related to VNR development and data collection mechanisms. This fruitful cooperation is expected to be continued in future VNR developments.

During the process of the 2021 VNR development, Indonesia and Norway reviewed each country's VNR draft. This peer review initiative demonstrated willingness to be transparent and share innovations and lessons learned. This is a reflection of Indonesia's effort in strengthening global, regional and bilateral cooperation.

New and emerging challenges. The implementation for the SDGs in 2020 was faced with the challenge of the COVID-19 pandemic that has changed life as we know it. Economic growth has contracted, and unemployment and poverty has increased in 2020. Government pushed all efforts in controlling the spread of COVID-19 and its impacts through policies of social restrictions, strengthening of testing-tracking-treatment system and stimulus packages, as well as the roll out of the vaccine.

COVID-19 pandemic has an unprecedented shock, significantly changing interhuman relations and its implications on activities of economy, social and public services. New and emerging challenges due to the pandemic are seen in transformations in health system, acceleration of automatization and digitalization,



global value change, increased telework trend, increased in roles of artificial intelligence and big data, and green economy being the key factor in redesigning strategies for economic transformation.

Strategies in redesigning economic transformation for mid and long term will focus on (1) competitive human resources through reform on health system, education system and character education; (2) productivity of economic sectors through industrialization, productivity of MSMEs and agriculture modernization; (3) green economy through low carbon economy, blue economy and energy transition; (4) digital transformation through digital infrastructure, digital utilization and strengthening of enabler; (5) integration of domestic economy through connectivity of infrastructure-super hub, maritime hub, aerial hub and domestic value chain; (6) relocation of capital city to reduce regional economic disparity. In the implementation of the achievement of these agendas, SDGs is the main instrument.

Strategy numbers 3, 4, 5, and 6 are game changers in economic recovery and acceleration of economic transformation. Furthermore, strategy numbers 1 and 2 are sufficient conditions that needs to be met to enable economic transformation, which are increased productivity and competitiveness to industrialization and agricultural modernization.

Concrete efforts in the acceleration of economic recovery and transformation is the implementation of 13 Major Projects in 2022, which are (1) Priority Industrial Areas and Smelter, (2) Integrated Management of MSMEs, (3) Acceleration of Renewable Energy Mix and Energy Conservation, (4) Food Estate, (5) Priority Touristic Destinations, (6) Major Project in Papua, (7) Relocation of the Capital City, (8) Reform of Social Protection System, (9) Reform of Health System, (10) Reform of Vocational Education, (11) Network of Integrated Main Ports, (12) Digital Transformation, and (13) Development of Hazardous Toxic Waste Management Facilities.

Heterogenous social capital is key for collective action in response of the COVID-19 pandemic. One of the examples is the Sonjo Movement conducted in the province of DI Yogyakarta which is a community movement that is organized through a WhatsApp group. This initiative facilitates mobilization of non-financial aids, as well as other supports to assist and help those affected by the pandemic.

The aforementioned policies and strategies for economic transformation in response to COVID 19 pandemic are expected to accelerate the pace of development in a sustainable and green manner as a means to Built Forward Better in attaining the 2030 Agenda as instructed by the President of the Republic of Indonesia.





REFERENCES

- Alatas, Vivi, and David Newhouse. (2010). Indonesia jobs report: Toward better jobs and security for all. Main Report, World Bank, Washington, DC.,
- Aliansi Jurnalis Independen/Alliance of Independent Journalists (AJI) (2020). Data Kekerasan terhadap Jurnalis. <https://advokasi.aji.or.id/index/data-kekerasan/1.html>
- Amaluddin, Amaluddin. (2020)."The Dynamic Link of Electricity Consumption, Internet Access and Economic Growth in 33 Provinces of Indonesia." International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 10(4): 309-317.
- Aulia, Fisca & Maliki. (2021). How to overcome the impact of COVID-19 on poverty in Indonesia?. What's next for social protection in light of COVID-19: country responses. International Policy Center for Inclusive Growth, Policy in Focus, Volume.19, Issue No 1, March 2021, p.43
- Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. (2020). COVID-19 and food system in the Indo-Pacific: An Assessment of vulnerabilities, impacts and opportunities for action. Canberra.
- Ayyagari, M., Juarros, P., Martinez Peria, MS, & Singh, S. (2016). Akses ke keuangan dan pertumbuhan pekerjaan: bukti tingkat perusahaan di seluruh negara berkembang. Bank Dunia.
- Badan Kebijakan Fiskal/Fiscal Policy Agency and UNICEF (2020). Ringkasan Kebijakan Dampak Covid-19 Terhadap Kemiskinan Dan Mobilitas Anak di Indonesia url: <https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/id/coronavirus/rapor/ringkasan-kebijakan-dampak-covid-19-kemiskinan-mobilitas-anak>
- Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia (BPS). (2020). Statistik Penduduk Lanjut Usia.
- Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia (BPS). (2020a). Statistik Pemuda Indonesia.
- Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2020a). Hasil Survei SOSial Demografi Dampak COvid-19. <https://www.bps.go.id/publication/2020/06/01/669cb2e8646787e52dd171c4/hasil-survei-sosial-demografi-dampak-covid-19-2020.html>
- Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2020b). Keadaan Ketenagakerjaan Indonesia Agustus 2020. <https://www.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2020/11/05/1673/agustus-2020--tingkat-pengangguran-terbuka--tpt--sebesar-7-07-persen.html>
- Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2020c). Statistik Penduduk Usia Lanjut 2020. <https://www.bps.go.id/publication/2020/12/21/0fc023221965624a644c1111/statistik-penduduk-lanjut-usia-2020.html>
- Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2021). Ekonomi Indonesia 2020 Turun sebesar 2,07 Persen (c-to-c). [https://www.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2021/02/05/1811/ekonomi-indonesia-2020-turun-sebesar-2-07-persen--c-to-c-.html#:~:text=Perekonomian Indonesia 2020 yang diukur,-c\) dibandingkan tahun 2019.](https://www.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2021/02/05/1811/ekonomi-indonesia-2020-turun-sebesar-2-07-persen--c-to-c-.html#:~:text=Perekonomian Indonesia 2020 yang diukur,-c) dibandingkan tahun 2019.)
- Bank Dunia/World Bank. (2018). Press Release. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2018/06/05/global-economy-to-expand-by-3-1-percent-in-2018-slower-growth-seen-ahead#:~:text=WASHINGTON%20June%202018%202018%E2%80%94,levels%20off%2C%20the%20World%20Bank.> Dirujuk 8 April 2021.
- Bank Dunia/World Bank. (2021). Data. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IT.NET.USER.ZS?locations=ID>. Dirujuk 15 Maret 2021.



Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency - IATL. (2021). Rekomendasi Kebijakan: Pengelolaan Limbah B3 Medis dan Sampah Rumah Tangga Penanganan COVID-19

Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency - UNDP. (2021). Kajian Manfaat Ekonomi, Sosial, dan Lingkungan dari Ekonomi Sirkular di Indonesia. Bappenas. Jakarta

Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency. (2020). Laporan Background Study RPJMN 2020-2024 Perlindungan Anak - Direktorat Keluarga, Perempuan, Anak, Pemuda, dan Olahraga. Tidak diterbitkan.

Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency. (2020a). ResUME Webinar Hasil Asesmen Cepat Dampak Covid-19 terhadap Difabel. 9 Juni 2020

Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency, BPS/Statistics Indonesia, PUSKAPA/Center on Child Protection and Wellbeing at Universitas Indonesia and UNICEF Indonesia (2020). Pencegahan Perkawinan Anak - Percepatan Yang Tidak Bisa Ditunda.

Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency. (2019). Roadmap of SDGs Indonesia : A Hihglight. 27–36. https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/sites/unicef.org.indonesia/files/2019-07/ROADMAP OF SDGs INDONESIA_final draft.pdf

Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency. (2020). Rencana Kerja Pemerintah 2021. Jakarta: Bappenas.

Benzeval, Michaela, et al. (2020) "The Idiosyncratic Impact of an Aggregate Shock: The Distributional Consequences of COVID-19." Available at SSRN 3615691.

Biswas, R. K., Ananna, N., & Bhowmik, J. (2020). Women's education and coverage of skilled birth attendance: An assessment of Sustainable Development Goal 3.1 in the South and Southeast Asian Region. Statistics for Data Science and Policy Analysis, 253-264.

BPS/Statistics Indonesia. (2021). "Jumlah Penduduk Indonesia' dalam Kata Data, <https://lokadata.beritagar.id/chart/preview/jumlah-penduduk-indonesia-1476290129>. Diakses 12 April 2021

BPS/Statistics Indonesia. 2021. Analisis Hasil Survei Dampak COVID-19 terhadap Pelaku Usaha.

Brooks, M. I., Thabraney, H., Fox, M. P., Wirtz, V. J., Feeley, F. G., & Sabin, L. L. (2017). Health facility and skilled birth deliveries among poor women with Jamkesmas health insurance in Indonesia: a mixed-methods study. BMC Health Services Research, 17(1), 1-12.

Burke, P. J., & Siyaranamual, M. D. (2019). No one left behind in Indonesia?. Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies, 55(3), 269-293.

Charlotte S Benson, Akshay Shah, Matthew C Frise and Charlotte J Frise. (2020). Iron deficiency anemia in pregnancy: A contemporary review. Obstetric Medicine

Cho, Seung Jin, and John V. Winters. (2020)."The Distributional Impacts of Early Employment Losses from COVID-19." Available at SSRN 3602755.

Chudnovsky, M., Peeters, R. (2021). A cascade of exclusion: administrative burdens and access to citizenship in the case of Argentina's National Identity Document. International Review of Administrative Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852320984541>

Cilloni, L., Fu, H., Vesga, J. F., Dowdy, D., Pretorius, C., Ahmedov, S., . . . Sahu, S. (2020). The potential impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the tuberculosis epidemic a modelling analysis. EClinicalMedicine, 28.

Copenhagen Concensus Center. (2014). Food Security and Nutrition. Perspective Paper.



Cortes, Guido Matias, and Eliza Forsythe. (2020). "The Heterogeneous Labor Market Impacts of the Covid-19 Pandemic." Available at SSRN 3634715.

Cousins, S. (2020). 2.5 million more child marriages due to COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet*, 396(10257), 1059.

Dahan, M., Gelb, A. (2015). The Role of Identification in the Post-2015 Development Agenda. World Bank Working Paper

Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2013). Mengukur inklusi keuangan: Menjelaskan variasi dalam penggunaan layanan keuangan di seluruh dan di dalam negara. Makalah Brookings tentang Kegiatan Ekonomi, 2013 (1), 279-340.

Dewan Pers/Press Council. (2020). Hasil Survei Indeks Kemerdekaan Pers Indonesia

Dingel, Jonathan I., and Brent Neiman. (2020) How many jobs can be done at home?. No. w26948. National Bureau of Economic Research.

Duff, P., Kusumaningrum, S., Stark, L. (2016). Barriers to birth registration in Indonesia. *The Lancet Global Health* 4:4, E234-E235, April 1, 2016

DW.COM. (2021). Limbah Medis Meningkat Selama Pandemi, LIPI Tawarkan Metode Rekrystalisasi. Diakses dari: <https://www.dw.com/id/metode-rekrystalisasi-untuk-solusi-penanganan-limbah-medis/a-56606464>.

East Asia and Pacific Gender Innovation Lab (2020). What factors exacerbate and Mitigate the Risk of Gender-Based Violence During Covid-19: Insights from Phone Survey in Indonesia.

ERIA, Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning Agency, and Survey Meter. (2021). Older People and COVID-19 in Indonesia.

FAO, UNICEF, WFP, WHO. (2021). Asia and the Pacific Regional Overview of Food Security and Nutrition: Maternal and Child Diets at the Heart of Improving Nutrition.

FAO-UNICEF-WFP-WHO-IFAD. (2020). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in The World.

Ferrari, L., & Salustri, F. (2020). The relationship between corruption and chronic diseases: evidence from Europeans aged 50 years and older

Firmansyah A. (2021). Bogor Tanpa Kantong Plastik. Diakses dari Antara Foto: <https://www.antarafoto.com/seni-budaya/v1543673114/bogor-tanpa-kantong-plastik>

Gani, I. (2021). Poverty of Women and the COVID-19 Pandemi in Indonesia. *BIRCI-Journal: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4(1): 10-34-1041, DOI.

Gartland, D., Giallo, R., Woolhouse, H., Mensah, F., Brown, S. (2019). Intergenerational Impacts of Family Violence - Mothers and Children in a Large Prospective Pregnancy Cohort Study

Gemma Wright, Michael Noble, Helen Barnes, Ali Moechtar, David McLennan, Arief Anshory Yusuf, Katrin Gasior, and Ratnawati Muyanto. (2021). Estimating the distributional impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and the remedial tax and benefit policies on poverty in Indonesia, Forthcoming.

Global Capital Asia Awards (2020). <https://www.globalcapital.com/article/b1pm51h0bqyqk0/globalcapital-asia-awards-2020-bonds>.

Global Nutrition Report. (2020). Nutrition Report in the Context of COVID-19

Greiling, D., & Spraul, K. (2010). Accountability and The Challenges of Information Disclosure



Habibie Center (2019). Memahami Potensi Kaitan Ancaman Ekstremisme Berkekerasan dan Perdagangan Orang di Indonesia - Habibie Center

Hadley, M., & Maher, D. (2000). Community involvement in tuberculosis control: lessons from other health care programmes. *The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 4(5), 401-408.

Halimatussadiyah, A., Cesarina, A., Siregar, A. A., Hanum, C., Wisana, D., Rahardi, F., Bintara, H., Rezki, J. F., Husna, M., Azar, M.S., Anabil, N., Sholihah, N. K., Amri, P., Samudra, R.R., Kurniawan, R., Hambali, S., Sabrian, S., Riefky, T., Anky, W. A. L., & Sofiyandi, Y. (2020). Thinking Ahead: Indonesia's Agenda on Sustainable Recovery from COVID-19 Pandemic. Diterima dari: <http://sdgs.bappenas.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Thinking-Ahead-Indonesia%20%99s-Agenda-on-Sustainable-Recovery-from-COVID-19-Pandemic.pdf>

Handayani I. (2020). Plastic Reborn 2.0 Kumpulkan 282 Ton Sampah Kemasan Plastik PET. Diakses dari <https://investor.id/lifestyle/plastic-reborn-20-kumpulkan-282-ton-sampah-kemasan-plastik-pet#:~:text=Program%20Plastic%20Reborn%20merupakan,atau%20grantees%20dari%20program%20itu.>

Hanf, M., van-Melle, A., Fraisse, F., Roger, A., Carme, B., Nacher, M. (2011). Corruption Kills: Estimating the Global Impact of Corruption on Children Deaths

Hayati, E. N., Emmelin, M., & Eriksson, M. (2014). Challenges for a local service agency to address domestic violence—A case study from rural Indonesia.

Hill, E., Baird, M., Seetahul, S. (2020). Indonesia and Covid-19: Impact on the private sector

Himpunan Wanita Disabilitas Indonesia/Indonesian Women with Disabilities Association (2020). Risalah Kebijakan: Dampak Covid-19 pada Kekerasan berbasis Gender terhadap Perempuan Disabilitas di Indonesia.

Hogan, A. B., Jewell, B. L., Sherrard-Smith, E., Vesga, J. F., Watson, O. J., & Whittaker, C. (2020). Potential impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria in low-income and middle-income countries: a modelling study. *Lancet Global Health*, 8, e1132-41.

<http://bappeda.jatengprov.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/SATGAS-Jogo-Tonggo-2020.pdf>, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://delikntt.com/panen-perdana-sorgum-bioguma-3-kerja-sama-pemprov-ntt-bersama-sepasi-ntt/>, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://ekonomi.bisnis.com/read/20160509/99/545555/penanaman-sorgum-di-ntt-dinilai-berhasil>, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://infeksiemerging.kemkes.go.id/document/download/cover?category=kie-covid-19>.

<https://jateng.inews.id/berita/keren-jogo-tonggo-jateng-raih-penghargaan-top-21-inovasi-pelayanan-publik-penanganan-covid-19>, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://jogotonggo.jatengprov.go.id/login>, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://money.kompas.com/read/2021/03/24/123355326/bi-catatan-aliran-modal-ke-fintech-capai-rp-315-triliun?page=all>, diakses 13 April 2021.

<https://pop.grid.id/read/302464341/beda-jauh-dari-indonesia-yang-penggunanya-sampai-143-juta-ternyata-whatsapp-cuma-dipakai-19-persen-orang-amerika-kenapa-bisa-kalah-pamor-di-negaranya-sendiri?page=all>; diakses 13 April 2021.



<https://regional.kompas.com/read/2020/07/20/15345881/bantu-kebutuhan-masyarakat-selama-pandemi-ganjar-galakkan-program-jogo>, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://uzone.id/indonesia-masuk-3-besar-pengguna-whatsapp-terbanyak-di-dunia-tapi->; diakses 13 April 2021.

<https://www.COVID19indonesia.net/events/ipglobalhousehold>, diakses pada 25 Maret 2021.

<https://www.eria.org/uploads/media/Books/2021-Older-People-Covid-Indonesia/Older-People-and-COVID-19-in-Indonesia.pdf>. Dirujuk 15 Maret 2021.

https://www.kendalkab.go.id/berita/id/20200527001/jogo_tonggo_warga_di_kendal_gotong_royong_bersedekah_tangani_covid19, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://www.mongabay.co.id/2016/07/02/sukses-kembangkan-sorgum-di-ntt-maria-jatuh-cinta-pada-rasa-pertama/>, diakses 26 April 2021.

<https://www.mongabay.co.id/2020/10/08/sorgum-pangan-lokal-ntt-yang-kian-mempesona-bagaimana-pengembangannya-bagian-pertama/>, diakses 26 April 2021.

Human Rights Watch (2021). World Report 2021. New York: Human Rights Watch

Inasius, Fany, Giri Darijanto, Engelwati Gani, and Gatot Soepriyanto. (2020). "Tax Compliance After the Implementation of Tax Amnesty in Indonesia." Sage Open 10(4):1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020968793>.

IndoRelawan, UNV. 2021. Survey Report on Volunteering in Indonesia.

Institute of Medicine and National Research Council. (2015). A Framework for Assessing Effects of the Food System. The National Academies Press. Washington, D.C.

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) (2018). World Disasters Report 2018: Leaving No One Behind

Internet World Statistics. (2021). <https://www.internetworldstats.com/emarketing.htm>. Dirujuk 9 April 2021.

Ipa, M., Laksono, A. D., Astuti, E. P., Prasetyowati, H., & Hakim, L. (2020). Predictors of Malaria Incidence in Rural Eastern Indonesia. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, 3105-3111.

Jackson, M., Duff, P., Kusumanigrum, S. et al. (2014). Thriving beyond survival: Understanding utilization of perinatal health services as predictors of birth registration: A cross-sectional study. BMC Int Health Hum Rights 14, 306. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12914-014-0038-3>

Jakarta Globe. (2021). <https://jakartaglobe.id/business/indonesia-raises-43b-from-pandemic-bonds>; Dirujuk 8 April 2021.

Kantor Sekretariat Wakil Presiden/Vice President Office (2019). Strategi Nasional Penanggulangan Stunting di Indonesia. Jakarta: Kantor Setwapres.

Kementerian Kelautan dan Perikanan/Ministry of Maritime and Fisheries. (2020). Rencana Strategis Kementerian Kelautan dan Perikanan Tahun 2020-2024 (Peraturan Menteri Kelautan dan Perikanan Nomor 17/PERMEN-KP/2000).

Kementerian Kesehatan/Ministry of Health and Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2019). Laporan Pelaksanaan Integrasi Susenas Maret 2019 dan SSGBI Tahun 2019. Jakarta.



Kementerian Kesehatan RI/Ministry of Health. (2017). Pedoman Pelaksanaan Kesehatan Reproduksi bagi Penyandang Disabilitas Usia Dewasa. Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan RI.

Kementerian Kesehatan RI/Ministry of Health. (2020). Pedoman Pelayanan Antenatal, Persalinan, Nifas dan Bayi Baru Lahir di Era Adaptasi Kebiasaan Baru. Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan RI.

Kementerian Kesehatan RI/Ministry of Health. (2020). Profil Kesehatan Indonesia Tahun 2019. Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan RI.

Kementerian Kesehatan RI/Ministry of Health. (2020, Maret 30). Protokol tentang pelayanan tuberkulosis selama pandemi COVID-19. Jakarta.

Kementerian Kesehatan/Ministry of Health. (2013). Riset Kesehatan Dasar (Riskesdas) Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan

Kementerian Kesehatan/Ministry of Health. (2018). Riset Kesehatan Dasar (Riskesdas). Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan

Kementerian Kesehatan/Ministry of Health. (2020). Profil Kesehatan Indonesia 2019. Jakarta.

Kementerian Kesehatan/Ministry of Health. (2020). Rencana Strategis Kementerian Kesehatan Tahun 2020-2024. Jakarta (Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor 21 Tahun 2020).

Kementerian Keuangan/Ministry of Finance. (2021). Kerangka Ekonomi Makro dan Pokok-Pokok Kebijakan Fiskal Tahun 2021: Percepatan Pemulihan Ekonomi dan Penguatan Reformasi.

Kementerian Keuangan/Ministry of Finance. 2021. Kerangka Ekonomi Makro dan Pokok-pokok Kebijakan Fiskal 2021.

Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak/Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (KPPPA) and Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia (BPS) (2017). Statistik Gender Tematik: Mengakhiri Kekerasan terhadap Perempuan dan Anak di Indonesia. Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Republik Indonesia/Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection

Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak/Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (KPPPA) (2020). Profil Anak Indonesia. Jakarta: Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak

Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak/Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection. Siaran pers No. B- 285 /Set/Rokum/MP 01/10/2020. Dirujuk 15 Maret 2021.

Kementerian Pertanian/Ministry of Agriculture. (2020). Rencana Strategis Kementerian Pertanian Tahun 2020-2024. Revisi I. Jakarta (Peraturan Menteri Pertanian Nomor 760.1/Kpts/RC.020/M/11/2020).

Kementerian Pertanian/Ministry of Agriculture. (2021). Food Estate Sumba Tengah (FEST) Nusa Tenggara Timur. Bahan presentasi (ppt) Menteri Pertanian.

Kementerian Pertanian/Ministry of Agriculture. (2021). Roadmap Diversifikasi Pangan Lokal Sumber Karbohidrat Nonberas (2020-2024). (ppt)

Kementerian PPN/Bappenas/Ministry of Agriculture. (2007). Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Panjang Nasional Tahun 2005-2025.

Kementerian PPN/Bappenas (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2021). Studi Evaluatif Penguatan Kapasitas Kantor Kesehatan Pelapuhan di Indonesia.



Khairunnisa, C., Siagian, A., Siregar, F. A., Zuska, F., Suharto, & Muhammad, Z. (2020). The Selected Socio-Demographic Factors and Its Association with the Pulmonary Tuberculosis in the North Aceh, Indonesia. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29(8), 1080-1084.

Komnas Perempuan/National Commission on Violence Against Women (2020), Melayani dengan Berani: Gerak Juang Pengada Layanan dan Perempuan Pembela HAM di Masa Covid-19.

Komnas Perempuan/National Commission on Violence Against Women (2020a). Study on the Dynamics of Changes in a Household during Covid-19 in 34 Provinces in Indonesia.

Komnas Perempuan/National Commission on Violence Against Women (2021). Perempuan dalam Himpitan Pandemi: Lonjakan Kekerasan Seksual, Kekerasan Siber, Perkawinan Anak, dan Keterbatasan Penanganan di Tengah Covid-19. Catatan Tahunan 2021: Catatan Tahunan Kekerasan terhadap Perempuan tahun 2020. Jakarta: Komnas Perempuan//National Commission on Violence Against Women.

Komnas Perempuan/National Commission on Violence Against Women. (2021). Resiliensi Perempuan dalam Menyikapi Pandemi COVID-19. <https://komnasperempuan.go.id/kertas-posisi-detail/policy-brief-3-resiliensi-perempuan-dalam-menyikapi-pandemi-covid-19>

Kompas. (2020). Sejarah Perjalanan Sensus di Indonesia. <https://www.kompas.com/skola/read/2020/03/01/150000069/sejarah-perjalanan-sensus-penduduk-di-indonesia?page=all>. Diakses 15 Maret 2021.

Kompas.com. (2020). Teknologi RDF, Inovasi Pengelolaan Sampah Ramah Lingkungan Pertama di Cilacap. Diakses dari <https://biz.kompas.com/read/2020/07/22/151754028/teknologi-rdf-inovasi-pengelolaan-sampah-ramah-lingkungan-pertama-di-cilacap>

Kumparan. (2021). 5 Hal yang Perlu Kamu Tahu tentang Plastic Reborn 2.0. Diakses dari: <https://kumparan.com/millennial/5-hal-yang-perlu-kamu-tahu-tentang-plastic-reborn-2-0-1ue19A0UIAZ/full>

Kusumaningrum, S., Bennouna, C., Siagian, C., Agastya, N.L.P. (2016). Back to what counts: Birth and death in Indonesia. PUSKAPA, Bappenas, KOMPAK

LBH Apik (2020). Siaran Pers #16HAKTP Gerak Bersama Ciptakan Ruang Aman di Masa Pandemi.

LBH Masyarakat (2020). Panduan Bantuan Hukum selama Pandemi Covid-19. Jakarta: LBH Masyarakat

Le Blanc D. (2015). Towards integration at last? The sustainable development goals as a network of targets. Working Paper No 141. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA)

Le Blanc D. (2015). Towards integration at last? The sustainable development goals as a network of targets. Working Paper No 141. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA)

Lee, W.-C., Jiang, L., Phillips, C. D., & Ohsfeldt, R. L. (2014). Rural-Urban Differences in Health Care Expenditures: Empirical Data from US Households. Advances in Public Health, 2014, 435780. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/435780>

Leigh, A., & Van der Eng, P. (2009). Inequality in Indonesia: What can we learn from top incomes? Journal of public economics, 93(1-2), 209-212.

Lestaria, Anna Puji dan Sunarto Sunarto. (2018). Digital Gender Gap Pattern in Indonesia. E3S Web Conference 73.

LPEM FEB UI (2017). Memetakan penyandang disabilitas (PWD) di pasar tenaga kerja Indonesia. Diterima dari:https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/-/-asia/-/-ro-bangkok/-/-ilo-jakarta/documents/publication/wcms_587669.pdf



LPEM FEB UI dan UNDP (2021). Dampak pandemi COVID-19 pada UMKM di Indonesia. Diterima dari:<https://www.id.undp.org/content/indonesia/en/home/library/ImpactofCOVID19MSMEs.html>

Lubis, M., Kusumasari, T. F., & Hakim, L. (2018). The Indonesia Public Information Disclosure Act (UU-KIP): Its Challenges and Responses

MAHKOTA (2020). Dampak ekonomi dan akses perlindungan sosial selama krisis COVID-19: Pengalaman penyandang disabilitas di Indonesia. Diterima dari:<https://www.ksi-indonesia.org/assets/uploads/original/2020/09/ksi-1600400214.pdf>

MAHKOTA (2020). Economic Impacts and Access to Social Protection during the Covid-19 Crisis: The Experiences of People with Disabilities in Indonesia (Policy Brief).

Manafe, D. (2020). Pemerintah Siapkan IDR 2,4 T untuk Subsidi BPJS Kesehatan Kelas 3 Tahun Depan. <https://www.beritasatu.com/kesehatan/712483/pemerintah-siapkan-rp-24-t-untuk-subsidi-bpjks-kesehatan-kelas-3-tahun-depan>

Mangan, D. (2016). No Title. Wealthy Spending More on Health Care than Poor and Middle Class, Reversing Trend. <https://www.cnbc.com/2016/07/06/wealthy-spending-more-on-health-care-than-poor-and-middle-class-reversing-trend.html>

McAllister, S., Wiem Lestari, B., Sujatmiko, B., Siregar, A., Sihaloho, E. D., Fathania, D., ... & Alisjahbana, B. (2017). Feasibility of two active case finding approaches for detection of tuberculosis in Bandung City, Indonesia. Public health action, 7(3), 206-211.

Microsoft. (2021). Digital Civility Index Report, https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/digital-skills/digital-civility?activetab=dci_reports%3aproimaryr4; dirujuk 10 April 2021.

Migrasi IOM PBB. (2020). Laporan situasi COVID-19 IOM Indonesia. Diterima dari:<https://indonesia.iom.int/sites/default/files/IOMIndonesiaCOVID19ResponseUpdate1.pdf>

Montenovo, Laura, et al. (2020). Determinants of disparities in covid-19 job losses. No. w27132. National Bureau of Economic Research.

Moretti, E. (2004). Pendidikan pekerja, limpahan, dan produktivitas: bukti dari fungsi produksi tingkat pabrik. Tinjauan Ekonomi Amerika, 94 (3), 656-690.

Myles, J. (2003). Where have all the sociologists gone? Explaining economic inequality. The Canadian Journal of Sociology/Cahiers canadiens de sociologie, 28(4), 551-559.

National Research Council. (2013). The Indonesian Health Care System. In Reducing maternal and neonatal mortality in indonesia: Saving lives, saving the future. National Academies Press (US).

Neni Wahyuningtyas dan Khofifatu Rohmah Adi. (2016). "Digital Divide Perempuan Indonesia." Jurnal Sejarah, Budaya, dan Pengajarannya 10(1): 80-89.

Ningsih H, Arif R. (2015). Draft Report on Alignment of National Data with the Proposed Goals of Post 2015 (Sustainable Development Goals – SDGs). Jakarta: United Nation Development Program

Nutrition International. (2019). Final Report Review Program Suplementasi Tambah Darah Pada Ibu Hamil. Jakarta.

OECD. (2021). Tax Revenue Trends in Asian and Pacific Economies. <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/8b682cbe-en/index.html?itemId=/content/component/8b682cbe-en>



Ombudsman Republik Indonesia (2019). Hasil Penilaian Kepatuhan terhadap Standar Pelayanan Penyelenggara Pelayanan sesuai dengan Undang-Undang Nomor 25 Tahun 2009 Tentang Pelayanan Publik

Owens, G. M. (2008). Gender differences in health care expenditures, resource utilization, and quality of care. *Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy : JMCP*, 14(3 Suppl), 2–6. <https://doi.org/10.18553/jmcp.2008.14.S6-A.2>

Paulhypepage. (2021). Financial and Tax Compliance in Indonesia. <https://www.paulhypepage.co.id/financial-and-tax-compliance/>. Diakses 8 April 2021.

Peiffer, C., & Rose, R. (2016). Why Are the Poor More Vulnerable to Bribery in Africa? The Institutional Effects of Services

PEKKA (2020). Laporan Pemantauan Program Bantuan Sosial Covid-19

Powell, A. (2016). No Title. The Costs of Inequality: Money = Quality Health Care = Longer Life. <https://news.harvard.edu/gazette/story/2016/02/money-quality-health-care-longer-life/>

Purnamawati, D. (2020, 19 Juni). Mensos sebut 18 juta nama di DTKS akan diperbaiki. Antara. <https://www.antaranews.com/berita/1563844/mensos-sebut-18-juta-nama-di-dtks-akan-diperbaiki>

Pusat Analisis Determinan Kesehatan/Center for Social Determinants of Health Analyses. (2019). Analisis pembiayaan ketahanan kesehatan.

Pusat Analisis Sosial Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Pertanian/Center for Socio-Economic and Agriculture Policy Analyses. (2020). Dampak Pandemi COVID-19: Perspektif Adaptasi dan Resiliensi Sosial Ekonomi Pertanian. Bogor

PUSKAPA/Center on Child Protection and Wellbeing at Universitas Indonesia, Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning, and KOMPAK (2020). Layanan Administrasi Kependudukan dalam Situasi Bencana: Kajian Singkat Berdasarkan Kejadian Gempa Nusa Tenggara Barat dan Sulawesi Tengah Tahun 2018

PUSKAPA/Center on Child Protection and Wellbeing at Universitas Indonesia, Bappenas/Ministry of National Development Planning, and UNICEF (2020). Kesempatan Kedua dalam Hidup: Memulihkan Kesempatan bagi Anak dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana di Indonesia

PUSKAPA/Center on Child Protection and Wellbeing at Universitas Indonesia, Bappenas/ Ministry of National Development Planning, UNICEF, and KOMPAK (2020). Berkejaran dengan Waktu: Kajian Kebijakan untuk Mengatasi dan Mencegah Dampak Covid-19 pada Anak dan Individu Rentan

Puslitbang Peternakan Kementerian Pertanian/Center of Livestock Research and Development Ministry of Agriculture . (2019). Laporan Data Hewan Ternak Menurut Risiko Kepunahan. Jakarta.

Rai, N. K., Rim, K. II, Wulandari, E. W., Subrata, F., Sugihantono, A., & Sitohang, V. (2020). Strengthening emergency preparedness and response systems: experience from Indonesia. WHO South-East Asia Journal of

Raja Gangopadhyay, Mahantesh Karoshi, Louis Keith . (2011). Anemia and Pregnancy: A link to maternal Chronic diseases. *International Journal of Gynecology and Osbtetrics* 115 Suppl.1 (2011)

Rifka Annisa (2020). Akses Keadilan Perempuan Korban di Tengah Pandemi. <https://rifka-annisa.org/id/berita/blog/item/718-akses-keadilan-perempuan-korban-di-tengah-pandemi>

Rokhmah, D. (2013). Gender dan Penyakit Tuberkulosis: Implikasinya Terhadap Akses Layanan Kesehatan Masyarakat Miskin yang Rendah. *Kesmas: Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat Nasional*, 447-452.



Rothenberg, Alexander D., Arya Gaduh, Nicholas E. Burger, Charina Chazali, Indrasari Tjandraningsih, Rini Radikun, Cole Sutera, and Sarah Weilant. "Rethinking Indonesia's informal sector." *World Development* 80, 2016: 96-113.

Sedana G. (2020). Urban Farming sebagai Pertanian Alternatif dalam Mengatasi Masalah Ekonomi pada Masa dan Pasca Pandemi Covid 19. Universitas Dwijendra. Denpasar.

Sekretariat Wakil Presiden RI-TNP2K/The National Team for The Acceleration of Poverty Reduction, Vice President's Office. (2017). 100 Kabupaten/Kota Prioritas Untuk Intervensi Anak Kerdil (Stunting), Ringkasan. Jakarta TNP2K

Simpson P. L., Simpson M., Adily A., et al. (2019). Prison cell spatial density and infectious and communicable diseases: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2019 doi: 0.1136/bmjopen-2018-026806

SMERU (2019). Laporan Tematik Studi Midline MAMPU, Tema 5: Pengurangan Kekerasan terhadap Perempuan.

SMERU (2021). Dampak Sosial Ekonomi Covid-19 terhadap Rumah Tangga dan Rekomendasi Kebijakan Strategis Indonesia.

SMERU Research Institute. (2020). Strategic Review of Food Security and Nutrition in Indonesia 2019-2020 Update. WFP-SMERU. Jakarta.

SMERU Research Institute. (2021). Studi Dampak Sosial-Ekonomi Pandemi COVID-19 di Indonesia. Jakarta. <https://smeru.or.id/id/content/studi-dampak-sosial-ekonomi-pandemi-COVID-19-di-indonesia>.

SMERU, PROSPERA, UNDP, UNICEF. (2021). The Social and Economic Impacts of COVID-19 on Households and Strategic Policy Recommendation for Indonesia. Jakarta. <https://smeru.or.id/en/content/executive-summary-report-social-and-economic-impacts-COVID-19-households->.

SMERU. (2020). Mewujudkan Ekonomi Digital yang Inklusif. Catatan Kebijakan SMERU 1/Mar/2020. https://smeru.or.id/sites/default/files/publication/pb_edi-in.pdf. Dirujuk 15 Maret 2021.

Sparrow, R., Dartanto, T., & Hartwig, R. (2020). Indonesia under the new normal: Challenges and the way ahead. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 56(3), 269-299.

SSC Indonesia. (2020). The 2019 Annual Report of the SSC.

Stark, L., Bancroft, C., Cholid, S., Sustikarini, A., & Meliala, A. (2012). A qualitative study of community-based child protection mechanisms in Aceh, Indonesia

Sumner, C., Kusumaningrum, S. (2014). Baseline Study on Legal Identity: Indonesia's Missing Millions. DFAT, PEKKA and PUSKAPA

Tampubolon, Jongkers . (2019). "Indonesian Export Performance and Competitiveness in the ASEAN-China FTA." *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics* 16: 120-129.

Todaro, Michael P., and Stephen C. Smith. (2011). *Economic Development*. Boston: Pearson Addison Wesley.

Togun, T., Kampmann, B., Stoker, N. G., & Lipman, M. (2020). Anticipating the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on TB patients and TB control programmes. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, 19, 1-6.

Transparency International. (2010). U4 Expert Answers: Impact of Corruption on Ethnic Group

Transparency International. (2020). *Corruption Perception Index 2020*.

UCLG ASPAC. (2021). Botak: Bogor Tanpa Kantong Plastik. <https://localisesdgs-indonesia.org/beranda/cs/botak-bogor-tanpa-kantong-plastik#:~:text=Kebijakan%20 pengurangan%20kantong%20plastik%20ini,dan%20beberapa%20LSM%5Bu1%5D%20>



UN Legal Identity Agenda Task Force (2020). Maintaining Civil Registration and Vital Statistics during the Covid-19 Pandemic: recommendations for civil registration authorities to ensure operational continuity during Covid-19 and allow for the continued production of comprehensive vital statistics.

UN Policy Brief on Food and Nutrition Security.

UN Women (2020). Menilai Dampak Covid-19 terhadap Gender dan Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan di Indonesia.

UNDP (2010). Corruption, Accountability, and Gender: Understanding the Connections

UNESCO (2020). Survey on Public Access to Information (SDG Indicator 16.10.2): Indonesia

UNESCO (2020). The Right to Information in Times of Crisis: Access to Information-Saving Lives, Building Trust, Bringing Hope!

UNESCO. (2020). The User's Experience of Remote Working Survey, UNESCO Jakarta, Juni-Juli 2020.

UNESCO. 2020. The User's Experience of Remote Working Survey.

UNFPA. (2020). The Impact analysis of Covid-19 Pandemic on key Sexual Reproductive Health (SRH) Indicators in Indonesia. Jakarta: UNFPA.

UNICEF (2018). Evaluasi Program Roots Indonesia untuk Uji Coba Pencegahan Kekerasan Sebaya dan Perundungan. Jakarta: UNICEF

UNICEF (2020). Access to Justice for Children in the era of COVID-19: Notes from the Field. New York: UNICEF

UNICEF. (2018). Nutrition in Indonesia. Background Paper for the Health Sector Review 2018. Jakarta.

Uppal, J.S. (1986). "The Indonesian Tax Structure." EKI 34(1): 41-69. <https://www.lpm.org/repec/lpe/efijnl/198602.pdf>, diakses 8 April 2021.

Wahana Visi Indonesia (2020). Covid-19 and Its Impacts on Children of Indonesia - Rapid Assessment for Early Recovery Initiation

Wahana Visi Indonesia(2020). COVID-19 Pandemic and Its Impact on the Children in Indonesia: A Rapid Assessment for Early Recovery Initiation,

Walker, S. P., Wachs, T. D., Grantham-McGregor, S., Black, M. M., Nelson, C. A., Huffman, S. L., Richter, L. (2011). Inequality in early childhood: risk and protective factors for early child development. *The Lancet* 378:9799, P1325-1338, October 8, 2011

World Bank (2015). A Framework for Disclosure in Public-Private Partnerships: Technical Guidance for Systematic, Proactive Pre- & Post-Procurement Disclosure of Information in Public-Private Partnership Programs

World Bank (2016). Identification for Development Strategic Framework

World Bank (2020). Indonesia COVID-19 Business Pulse Survey (COV-BPS) Round 1-2, Indonesia COVID-19 Observatory.

World Bank. (2018). 128954 Revised WB Nutrition Book Aiming High, 11 September 2018

World Bank. (2020). Indonesia High-frequency Monitoring of COVID-19 Impacts Round 1 (1-17 May 2020). Jakarta.



World Economic Forum. Why stunting remains a major challenge.

World Health Organization. (2020). Global Tuberculosis Report 2020. Geneva.

World Health Organization. (2020). Indonesia: a community-led advocacy campaign to mobilize local funding for tuberculosis: case study.

World Health Organization. (2020). World Malaria Report 2020. Geneva.

World Health Organization. (n.d.). Electronic State Parties Self-Assessment Annual Reporting Tool. Retrieved April 28, 2021, from <https://extranet.who.int/e-spar/#submission-details>

World Justice Index (2020a). Rule of Law Index 2020.

World Justice Index (2020b). Corruption and the Covid-19 Pandemic.

World Justice Project (2020). The Covid-19 Pandemic and the Global Justice Gap.

Wulandari, R. D., Putri, N. K., & Laksono, A. D. (2020). Socioeconomic Disparities in Antenatal Care Utilisation in Urban Indonesia. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 14(2), 498-514.

Yayasan Lembaga Bantuan Hukum Indonesia/Indonesia Legal Aid Foundation (YLBHI). (2020). Laporan YLBHI tentang Penodaan Agama Januari-Mei 2020

Yusuf, Arief Anshory (2014). "International Commodity Prices and Inequality in Indonesia," Working Papers in Economics and Development Studies (WoPEDS) 201409, Department of Economics, Padjadjaran University, revised Jun 2014. Url: <https://ideas.repec.org/p/unp/wpaper/201409.html>

Yusuf, Arief Anshory. (2018). The effect of social protection programs on inequality in Indonesia, Report to Asian Development Bank (ADB).

Zhou X, M Moinuddin. (2017). Sustainable Development Goals Interlinkages and Network Analysis: A practical tool for SDG integration and policy coherence. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES).

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Tahun 2020-2024. Jakarta. (Peraturan Presiden Nomor 18 tahun 2020).

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2018). Rencana Aksi Nasional Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan Tahun 2017- 2018. Jakarta.

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2019). Kajian Sektor Kesehatan, Pembangunan Gizi di Indonesia. Jakarta

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2019). Laporan VNR 2019 Indonesia. Jakarta.

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2019). Policy Brief Tema 4 Pembangunan Gizi. Jakarta.

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2019a). Peta Jalan SDGs Indonesia Menuju 2030. Jakarta.



[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Metadata Indikator Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan Indonesia: Pilar Pembangunan Sosial. Edisi II. Jakarta.

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Pedoman Teknis Penyusunan Rencana Aksi Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan (TPB)/Sustainable Development Goals (SDGS). Edisi II. Jakarta

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Pemutakhiran Rencana Kerja Pemerintah Tahun 2021.Jakarta. (Peraturan Presiden Nomor 122 tahun 2020)

[BAPPENAS] Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Laporan Pencapaian Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan (TPB/SDGs) di Indonesia Tahun 2019. Jakarta.

[BB Biogen] Balai Besar Penelitian dan Pengembangan Bioteknologi dan Sumber Daya Genetik/Center for Agricultural Biotechnology and Genetic Resources Ministry of Agriculture. (2021). Upaya Pelaporan Akses Koleksi Sumber Daya Genetik (SDG) Pertanian untuk Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Concept note, komunikasi pribadi. Bogor.

[BKP] Badan Ketahanan Pangan Kementerian Pertanian/Food Security Agency Ministry of Agriculture. (2020). Direktori Perkembangan Konsumsi Pangan, Jakarta,

[BKP] Badan Ketahanan Pangan/Food Security Agency Ministry of Agriculture. Kementerian Pertanian. (2020). Rencana Strategis Badan Ketahanan Pangan 2020-2024. Revisi 1. Jakarta.

[BNPB] Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana/National Agency for Disaster Management. (2020). Data Informasi Bencana Indonesia. BNPB. Jakarta

[BNPB] Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana/National Agency for Disaster Management. (2020). Laporan Kinerja 2019. BNPB. Jakarta

[BNPB] Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana/National Agency for Disaster Management. (2020a). Data Informasi Bencana Indonesia. BNPB. Jakarta

[BNPB] Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana/National Agency for Disaster Management. (2021). Bencana Indonesia 2020. BNPB. Jakarta

[BNPB] Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana/National Agency for Disaster Management. (2021). Pelaksanaan Kerangka Sendai Untuk Mengurangi Risiko Bencana 2015-2030 di Indonesia: Fokus Capaian target E. BNPB. Jakarta

[BPMI] Biro Pers, Media dan Informasi Sekretariat Presiden/Bureau for Press, Media, and Information President's Office. (2021). Pemerintah Komitmen Turunkan Gas Rumah Kaca Sesuai Konvensi Perubahan Iklim. Diakses dari: <https://www.presidentri.go.id/siaran-pers/pemerintah-komitmen-turunkan-gas-rumah-kaca-sesuai-konvensi-perubahan-iklim/>. BPMI Sekretariat Presiden. Jakarta

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia (2020). Indikator Pembangunan Berkelanjutan.

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia dan Kementerian Kesehatan/Ministry of Health. (2019). Laporan Pelaksanaan Integrasi Susenas Maret 2019 dan SSGBI Tahun 2019. Jakarta.

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia dan Kementerian Sekretariat Negara RI Sekretariat Wapres/Vice President's Office Ministry of State Secretariat. (2020). Laporan Indeks Khusus Penanganan Stunting 2018-2019. Jakarta.



[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2018). Hasil Survey Pertanian Antar Sensus (SUTAS) 2018. Jakarta.

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2018). Proyeksi Penduduk Indonesia 2015-2045 Edisi Revisi (Hasil SUPAS 2015). Jakarta.

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2019). Indikator Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan Indonesia 2019. Jakarta.

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2020). Online Survey Sosial Demografi Dampak Covid 19. Jakarta

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2020). Indikator Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan Indonesia 2020. Badan Pusat Statistik. Jakarta

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2021). Laci BPS: Update Capaian SGDs untuk VNR 2021 . Sekretariat SDGs BPS. Jakarta

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2021). Tujuan Pembangunan Berkelanjutan Sektor Pertanian 2020 di Provinsi Jawa Barat, Jawa Timur, dan Nusa Tenggara Barat. (Hasil Survey Pertanian Terintegrasi). Jakarta.

[BPS] Badan Pusat Statistik/Statistics Indonesia. (2021).Hasil Sensus Penduduk 2020 (SP 2020). Jakarta.

[FAO] Food and Agriculture Organization. (2018). Sustainable Food Systems Concept and Framework. Rome.

[FAO] Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). Addressing the Impacts of COVID -19 in Food Crises April-December 2020. Rome.

[FAO] Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). Asia and the Pacific, Regional Overview of Food Security and Nutrition. Maternal and Child Diets at The Hearth of Improving Nutrition. Bangkok.

[ILO] International Labour Organization (2020). Jam terus berdetak untuk kelangsungan hidup perusahaan Indonesia, pekerjaan yang berisiko. Ringkasan ILO. Diterima dari:https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/-/-asia/-/-ro-bangkok/-/-ilo-jakarta/documents/publication/wcms_745055.pdf

[ILO] International Labour Organization. (2020). COVID-19 dan dunia kerja: Memastikan pelibatan penyandang disabilitas di semua tahap respons. Diterima dari:https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/-/-ed_emp/-/-ifp_skills/documents/publication/wcms_746909.pdf

[Kemenkes] Kementerian Kesehatan/Ministry of Health. (2021). Hasil Utama Studi Kualitas Air Minum Rumah Tangga Di Indonesia. Puslitbang Upaya Kesehatan Masyarakat: Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Masyarakat. Jakarta

[Kementerian] Kementerian Pertanian/Ministry of Health. (2021). Kementerian: Urban Farming Dukung Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia. Diakses dari: <https://www.pertanian.go.id/home/?show=news&act=view&id=4691>. Kementerian. Jakarta.

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Pelaksanaan Pencapaian TPB/SDGs Indonesia Tahun 2019: Tujuan 14. Kementerian PPN/ Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Pelaksanaan Pencapaian TPB/SDGs Indonesia Tahun 2019: Tujuan 15. Kementerian PPN/ Bappenas. Jakarta



[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Pelaksanaan Pencapaian TPB/SDGs Indonesia Tahun 2019: Tujuan 6. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2018). Kajian Lingkungan Hidup Strategis (KLHS) RPJMN 2020-2024 dan SDG Roadmap dalam Kerangka Pembangunan Rendah Karbon. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2019). Roadmap of SDGs Indonesia Towards 2030. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Pelaksanaan Pencapaian TPB/SDGs Indonesia Tahun 2019: Tujuan 13. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Rencana Kerja Pemerintah Tahun 2021. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020a). Pelaksanaan Pencapaian TPB/SDGs Indonesia Tahun 2019: Tujuan 13. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020a). Policy Brief: Pemetaan Awal Pendanaan Pembangunan Rendah Karbon untuk Mendukung Pembangunan Kembali yang Lebih Baik Pasca Pandemi COVID-19. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020b). Policy Brief: Pemetaan Awal Pendanaan Pembangunan Rendah Karbon untuk Mendukung Pembangunan Kembali yang Lebih Baik Pasca Pandemi COVID-19. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020c). Metadata Indikator TPB/SDGs Pilar Pembangunan Lingkungan. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020d). Capaian Kumulatif Terkait Pembangunan Rendah Karbon. Diakses dari: https://pprk.bappenas.go.id/aksara/aksara_dashboard_nasional/. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2021). Draft I Rancangan Awal KEM RKP 2022. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta



[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2021a). Kebijakan Pembangunan Berketahanan Iklim (Climate Resilience Development Policy) 2020-2024. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/ Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2021b). Kebijakan Penanggulangan Bencana dalam Sistem Perencanaan dan Pembangunan Nasional. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2018). Kajian Lingkungan Hidup Strategis (KLHS) RPJMN 2020-2024 dan SDG Roadmap dalam Kerangka Pembangunan Rendah Karbon. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2020). Pelaksanaan Pencapaian TPB/SDGs Indonesia Tahun 2019: Tujuan 12. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2021). Kajian Food Loss and Waste di Indonesia. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2021a). Pengelolaan Merkuri dalam Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional RPJMN 2020-2024. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[Kementerian PPN/Bappenas] Kementerian Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional/Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional (Ministry of National Development Planning/National Development Planning Agency). (2021b). Masukan Direktorat KNAM terkait Rancangan Narasi VNR Tujuan 12 Konsumsi dan Produksi yang Bertanggung Jawab. Kementerian PPN/Bappenas. Jakarta

[KKP] Kementerian Kelautan dan Perikanan/Ministry of Maritime and Fisheries. (2021). Laporan Kinerja KKP. KKP. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2015). Menteri Siti Nurbaya Pimpin Inisiasi Rencana Pengelolaan DAS Lintas NegaraPejabat Pengelola Informasi dan Dokumentasi KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2017). Strategi Implementasi NDG (Nationally Determined Contribution). KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2018). Pedoman Pelaksanaan Pertanian Perkotaan (Urban Farming). KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2019). Infografis Sampah Makanan KLHK. Diakses dari <https://twitter.com/kementerianlhk/status/1133259175071346688>. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2019). Rekalkulasi Penutupan Lahan Indonesia Tahun 2018. Direktorat Inventarisasi dan Pemantauan Sumber Daya Hutan. Jakarta



[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2020). Laporan Inventarisasi Gas Rumah Kaca (GRK) dan Monitoring, Pelaporan, Verifikasi (MPV). KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2020). Program Penilaian Peringkat Kinerja Perusahaan Dalam Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup: Masa Pandemi Masa Untuk Peduli & Berbagi. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2020). Statistik 2019 KLHK. Pusat Data dan Informasi. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2020a). Kerangka Kerja Strategi Pencapaian Konsumsi dan Produksi Berkelanjutan Indonesia Tahun 2020 - 2030. Pustanlinghut KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2020a). Sejarah Adiwiyata. Diakses dari: <http://p3ejawa.menlhk.go.id/article24-sejarah-adiwiyata.html>. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2020b). Pedoman Aksi Konsumsi dan Produksi Berkelanjutan. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2020c). Statistika 2019. Direktorat Jendral Pengelolaan Sampah, Limbah, dan Bahan Beracun Berbahaya, KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021). Pengelolaan Sampah dan Limbah: Fasilitas Pelayanan Kesehatan dan Tempat Isolasi Mandiri Pasien (OTG) pada Masa Pandemi COVID-19. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021). Update Informasi Kinerja Indikator Metadata SDGs Nasional yang Datanya Bersumber dari KLHK. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021a). Laporan Kinerja 2020. Biro Perencanaan KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021a). Media Briefing Agenda Pengendalian Perubahan Iklim. Diakses dari: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=elF36b_VpCE. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021a). Update Informasi Kinerja Indikator Metadata SDGs Nasional yang Datanya Bersumber dari KLHK. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021b). Masukkan Badan Litbang dan Inovasi-BLI KLHK sebagai institusi embrio Badan Standar Instrumen LHK, per 16 April 2021. KLHK. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021b). Update Capaian Metadata SGD Nasional . Badan Penelitian Pengembangan dan Inovasi. Jakarta

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021c). Komposisi Sampah Berdasarkan Jenis Sampah. Diakses dari: <https://sipsn.menlhk.go.id/sipsn/public/data/komposisi>. KLHK. Jakarta.

[KLHK] Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan/Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2021d). Update Capaian Metadata SGD Nasional. Badan Penelitian Pengembangan dan Inovasi, KLHK. Jakarta

[LIPI] Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia/Indonesia Institute of Sciences. (2021). Teknologi LIPI untuk Pengelolaan Limbah Masker. Diakses dari: <https://bkhh.lipi.go.id/teknologi-lipi-untuk-pengelolaan-limbah-masker/>. LIPI. Jakarta.



[OJK] Otoritas Jasa Keuangan/Financial Service Authority. (2017). Infografis Lembaga Jasa Keuangan dan Emiten Penerbit Sustainability Report. Diakses dari <https://www.ojk.go.id/sustainable-finance/id/publikasi/riset-dan-statistik/Pages/Sustainability-Report-bagi-Lembaga-Jasa-Keuangan-dan-Emiten.aspx>. OJK. Jakarta

[UNEP] UN Environment Programme. (2021). Food Waste Index Report 2021. UNEP. Nairobi

[W4C] Waste4Change. (2021). Kajian Food Loss and Waste di Indonesia. Bahan Paparan pada Stakeholder Meeting. W4C. Bekasi

[WWF] World Wildlife Fund. (2020). Fight climate change by preventing food waste: A few simple steps could help reduce the environmental impacts of wasted food. Diakses dari: <https://www.worldwildlife.org/stories/fight-climate-change-by-preventing-food-waste>

Decree of Head of Population and Civil Registration Office of Kulon Progo District Number 53 Year 2020 Concerning Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Population Administration Services in the New Normal Amid the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Regent Instruction of Bener Meriah District Number 2 Year 2020 Concerning Population Administration Services and the COVID-19 Prevention.

Law Number 16 Year 2011 Concerning Legal Aid Article 14 (1).

Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 42 Year 2013 Concerning Terms and Procedures for Providing Pro Bono Legal Aid and Distribution of Legal Aid Funds Article 7.

Presidential Instruction Number 6 Year 2020 Concerning Maintaining Self-Discipline and Law Enforcement of Health Protocols in the Prevention and Control of COVID-19.

Decree of the Minister of Law and Human Rights Number M. HH-19 PK.01.04.04 Year 2020 Concerning the Release of Prisoners and Children through Assimilation and Integration To Prevent and Control the Spread of COVID-19.

Presidential Decree Number 7 Year 2020 Concerning Task Force for Acceleration of the COVID-19 Handling.

Presidential Decree Number 11 Year 2020 Concerning Stipulation of Public Health Emergency of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19).

Presidential Decree Number 12 Year 2020 Concerning Stipulation of Non-Natural Disasters for the Spread of COVID-19 as a National Disaster.

Cooperation Agreement with the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia, the Attorney General's Office, and the Minister of Law and Human Rights Number 402/DJU/HM.01.1/4/2020, Number KEP-17/E/EJP/04/2020, and Number PAS-08.HH.05.05 of 2020 Concerning the Implementation of Trials Via Teleconference.

Regulation of the Witness and Victim Protection Agency (LPSK) Number 7 Year 2020 Concerning Procedures for Filling and Examination of Applications for Compensation, Medical Assistance, or Psychosocial and Psychological Rehabilitation for Victims of Past Terrorism Crimes.

Regulation of Minister of Law and Human Rights Number 12 Year 2020 Concerning Acceleration of Harmonization of Draft Ministerial/Agency Regulations on the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Regulation of Minister of Finance Number 40/PMK.07/2020 Year 2020 Concerning Amendment to Minister of Finance Regulation Number 205/PMK.07/2019 Concerning Management of Village Funds.

Regulation of Minister of Women Empowerment and Child Protection Number 8 Year 2020 Concerning Service Standards for Children Who Are Victims or Perpetrators of Pornography.



Regulation of Minister of Women Empowerment and Child Protection Number 13 Year 2020 Concerning Women and Child Protection Against Gender-based Violence in Emergencies.

Regulation of Minister of Social Affairs Number 4 Year 2020 Concerning Basic Social Rehabilitation Services for Abandoned Children.

Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 10 Year 2020 Concerning Procedures for Placement of Indonesian Migrant Workers by the Indonesian Migrant Workers Protection Board.





ANNEXES

1. LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC
2. STATISTICAL ANNEX VNR 2021
3. DATA PROCESSING SYNTAX
4. GOOD PRACTICES INDONESIA'S VNR 2021
5. LIST OF PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE PREPARATION OF VNR INDONESIA 2021





*Ministry of National Development Planning/
National Development Planning Agency*



REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

ANNEXES INDONESIA'S VOLUNTARY NATIONAL REVIEW (VNR) 2021

Sustainable and Resilient Recovery from the COVID-19
Pandemic for the Achievement of the 2030 Agenda



1 NO POVERTY



2 ZERO HUNGER



3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING



8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH



10 REDUCED INEQUALITIES



12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION



13 CLIMATE ACTION



16 PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS



17 PARTNERSHIP FOR THE GOALS



ANNEXES

INDONESIA'S

VOLUNTARY NATIONAL REVIEW 2021

Sustainable and Resilient Recovery from the COVID-19
Pandemic for the Achievement of the 2030 Agenda



LIST OF ANNEXES

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC	1
STATISTICAL ANNEX	51
DATA PROCESSING SYNTAX	269
GOOD PRACTICES INDONESIA'S VNR 2021	279
LIST OF PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE PREPARATION OF VNR INDONESIA 2021	331



1

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC



LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
1	Indonesian National Police	Decree of the Chief of Police Number Mak.2/I/II/2020 concerning Compliance with Government Policies in Handling the Spread of the Corona Virus (COVID-19)	3/19/2020	3/19/2020	Not revoked	Appeal to the public not to carry out social activities in public places, not to spread information that is not certain about the truth regarding COVID-19, to be calm, etc.
2	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/1098/IV/HUK.7.1/2020 concerning Case Handling and Guidelines for Implementing Tasks During the Prevention of the Spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019 in the Implementation of the Tasks of the Criminal Investigation Function Related to Large-Scale Social Restrictions with Understanding Restrictions on Certain Population Activities in an Suspected Area Infected with COVID-19	4/4/2020	4/4/2020	Not revoked	Guidelines for police officers in enforcing restrictions on community activities in certain areas suspected of being infected with COVID-19.
3	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter from the National Police Chief Number ST/1099/IV/HUK.7.1/2020 concerning Case Handling and Guidelines for Implementing Tasks During the Prevention of the Spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the Implementation of Criminal Investigation Functions Related to Availability of Basic Materials and Distribution Process	4/4/2020	4/4/2020	Not revoked	Guidelines for police officers to take action against hoarding goods or violations in the distribution of basic goods during the COVID-19 pandemic.
4	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/1100/IV/HUK.7.1/2020 concerning Case Handling and Guidelines for Implementing Tasks During the Prevention of the Spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019 in the Implementation of the Tasks of the Criminal Investigations Function Related to the Development of Situations and Opinions in the Cyber Room and Cyber Crime Law Enforcement	4/4/2020	4/4/2020	Not revoked	Guidelines for police officers in cracking down on the spread of hoaxes and other cyber crimes during the COVID-19 pandemic.
5	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number STR/80/II/PAM.3/2020 Jukrah Responding to the Circulation of COVID-19	2/21/2020	2/21/2020	Not revoked	Information related to the situation of the spread of COVID-19 in Wuhan and actions that need to be taken by the authorities to anticipate if the pandemic also spreads to Indonesia.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
6	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter from the National Police Chief Number STR/121/III/PAM.3/2020 regarding the Directive for Massive Consecrated Work Activities Related to the COVID-19 Virus Outbreak	3/13/2020	3/13/2020	Not revoked	Directions for police officers to coordinate with various institutions related to community service during the COVID-19 pandemic.
7	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the Chief of Police Number ST/766/III/OPS.4.5/2020 Year 2020	3/4/2020	3/4/2020	Not revoked	An appeal to the public not to panic-buying in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic situation.
8	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/868/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/13/2020	3/13/2020	Not revoked	Anticipate the spread of COVID-19 by providing a thermometer-gum, antiseptic liquid, wearing a mouth covering when coughing or sneezing, not making physical contact, carrying out contingency plans, reporting prevention activities to superiors.
9	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/872/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/15/2020	3/15/2020	Not revoked	The policy of the National Police Chief in the COVID-19 pandemic situation is to instruct his subordinates to monitor the availability of basic necessities, not to issue statements that contradict the government, conduct personnel training to spray disinfectants, offer cooperation in spraying disinfectants to K/L, delay the granting of crowd permits, and all other steps. This must be done properly without causing panic.
10	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number STR/122/II/PAM.3/2020 Year 2020	3/15/2020	3/15/2020	Not revoked	Restrictions on activities involving large numbers of people
11	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/889/III/BIN.1.1/2020 Year 2020	3/16/2020	3/16/2020	Not revoked	Directions for maintaining the cleanliness of places of worship within the Indonesian National Police
12	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/884/III/KES.2/2020 Year 2020	3/16/2020	3/16/2020	Not revoked	Preventive activities of the police function in the area of irregularities and the sale of basic necessities to prevent hoarding.
13	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/895/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/17/2020	3/17/2020	Not revoked	Directions to the ranks of traffic units to anticipate the spread of COVID-19.
14	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/900/III/HUM.1.1/2020 Year 2020	3/17/2020	3/17/2020	Not revoked	Preventive activities to prevent negative impacts related to the spread of the COVID-19 virus in the community.
15	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/909/III/VAN.1.2/2020 Year 2020	3/18/2020	3/18/2020	Not revoked	Anticipatory steps in the context of the spread of COVID-19 in traffic services such as making driving license and vehicle registration.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
16	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/940/III/BIN.1.1/2020 Year 2020	3/19/2020	3/19/2020	Not revoked	The postponement of worship in places of worship within the Indonesian National Police.
17	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/942/III/OPS.1.2/2020 Year 2020	3/20/2020	3/20/2020	Not revoked	Directions to form a COVID-19 task force team in the field of medicine and health in all regional police in Indonesia.
18	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/965/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/23/2020	3/23/2020	Not revoked	Directions to postpone reception/party activities organized by members or civil servants within the Indonesian National Police.
19	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/968/III/RES.10.1. /2020 Year 2020	3/24/2020	3/24/2020	Not revoked	The postponement of the implementation of the development of the capacity of civil servant investigators in the context of anticipating the spread of COVID-19.
20	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/972/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/24/2020	3/24/2020	Not revoked	Video conference of general officials in the medical and health fields of the Police and the head of the Bhayangkara Hospital in the context of empowering the Shadowkara Hospital for handling PDP and positive COVID-19 patients.
21	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/983/III/OPS.4.5/2020 Year 2020	3/24/2020	3/24/2020	Not revoked	Directions to the ranks of Binmas, Samapta, Pamobvit, and Polairud to appeal to the public in order to anticipate the impact of the spread of COVID-19.
22	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/1004/III/PP.2/2020 Year 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	Directions to intelligence and security units to monitor the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic
23	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/1007/III/HUK.5/2020 Year 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	Instructions and directions for handling general criminal acts during the prevention of the spread of the COVID-19 virus include coordinating with the Attorney General's Office and Regional Office of Law and Human Rights, and local courts. Extension of detention can be carried out provided that investigators are willing to accept custody of prisoners and adjust the trial schedule according to the schedule of the panel of judges.
24	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/1008/III/KES.7/2020 Year 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	Directions to the ranks to carry out a massive movement of spraying disinfectant liquid at locations deemed necessary to be sprayed.
25	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/1009/III/OPS.2/2020 Year 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	The implementation of Aman Nusa's special contingency operations related to COVID-19.
26	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST/1001/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	Instructions and directions from the traffic unit police to provide advice to anticipate the spread of the COVID-19 virus.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
27	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1002/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	Directions to traffic officers to implement health protocols in public service areas to prevent the spread of COVID-19.
28	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1003/III/PP.2/2020 Year 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	Instructions and directions to the intelligence and security ranks for monitoring related to the spread of the COVID-19 virus.
29	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1031/III/OPS.4.3/2020 Year 2020	3/31/2020	3/31/2020	Not revoked	Directions to the Director of Birmas to disseminate information regarding the spread of the COVID-19 virus to the public.
30	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1041/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/31/2020	3/31/2020	Not revoked	Directions to traffic police officers to carry out standard handling of traffic accident victims to anticipate the spread of COVID-19.
30	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1041/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/31/2020	3/31/2020	Not revoked	Directions to traffic police officers to carry out standard handling of traffic accident victims to anticipate the spread of COVID-19.
31	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1042/III/KEP/2020 Year 2020	3/31/2020	3/31/2020	Not revoked	Build smart policing in the form of an information system that can quickly analyze the decision-making process.
32	Indonesian National Police	Letter of the National Police Chief Number B/2251/IV/KEP/2020/ PUSDOKKES Year 2020	4/1/2020	4/1/2020	Not revoked	Directions for implementing and socializing the self-isolation protocol for handling COVID-19 to the entire Polri community.
33	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number STR/159/IV/OPS.4/2020 Year 2020	4/2/2020	4/2/2020	Not revoked	In the Aman Nusa II operation members are advised to use a two-tone Field Service Clothes (PDL) II with the sleeves not folded.
34	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1083/IV/KEP/2020 Year 2020	4/3/2020	4/3/2020	Not revoked	An appeal for members of the National Police and Police Civil Servant and their families not to travel during the COVID-19 pandemic.
35	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1097/IV/HUK.7.1/2020 Year 2020	4/4/2020	4/4/2020	Not revoked	Assistance to members of the Criminal Investigation Unit of the National Police who participate in handling COVID-19.
36	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1101/IV/HUK.7.1/2020 Year 2020	4/4/2020	4/4/2020	Not revoked	Instructions and directions for handling crime violations related to the hoarding of PPE and other medical devices during the COVID-19 pandemic.
37	Indonesian National Police	Telegram Letter of the National Police Chief Number ST1102/IV/HUK.7.1/2020 Year 2020	4/4/2020	4/4/2020	Not revoked	Carry out supervision procedures for Indonesian workers (TKI) who come from abroad to follow health procedures and quarantine for 14 days.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
38	Attorney General Office of Indonesia	Circular Letter of the Attorney General Number B-049/A/SUJA/03/2020 Concerning Optimizing the Implementation of Duties, Functions, and Authorities Amid Efforts to Prevent the Spread of Covid-19	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	<p>The Attorney General's appeal to the chief prosecutors across Indonesia covers nine points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. finish the trial where it is not possible to extend it 2. conduct a trial via video conference 3. Implement a brief examination program for criminal acts that are vulnerable to appear during covid-19 4. postpone the trial where it is still possible for an extension to be carried out 5. Coordinate with the head of the regional office/detention center/prison and the head of the local police regarding detention 6. consider granting transfer/suspension of detention 7. understand and ensure the implementation of some of the Attorney General's policies 8. Disseminate this circular to the head of the state attorney general's office 9. report implementation
39	Attorney General Office of Indonesia	Attorney General's Instruction Number 6 of 2020 concerning Securing State Financial Policies and Financial System Stability and Large-Scale Social Restrictions in the Context of Accelerating Handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019	4/7/2020	4/7/2020	Unknown	<p>Instructions for prosecutors to support, secure, and encourage state financial policies and financial system stability for handling COVID-19 through several points of action.</p>
40	Attorney General Office of Indonesia	The Attorney General's Instruction Number 8 of 2020 concerning the Task Force for Optimizing the Duties and Functions of the Prosecutor's Office of the Republic of Indonesia in the Implementation of Refocusing Activities and Reallocating Budgets Sourced from the State Budget (APBN), Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBD), and Village Funds for COVID-19 Response	4/30/2020	4/30/2020	Unknown	<p>The Prosecutor's Office is tasked with integrating and synergizing security and/or legal assistance in the implementation of refocusing activities and reallocating the budget as well as the procurement of goods and services sourced from the State Budget (APBN), Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBD), and Village Funds for the prevention of COVID-19.</p>
41	Supreme Court of Indonesia	Supreme Court Circular No. 9 of 2020	9/7/2020	9/7/2020	Unknown	<p>Changes from Supreme Court Circular (SEMA) No. 8 of 2020 and adding rules regarding the number of judges and court officials who carry out official duties in the Covid-19 red zone area.</p>
42	Supreme Court of Indonesia	Supreme Court Registrar's Letter Number 126/PAN/HK.02/5/2020 Year 2020 Tahun	5/5/2020	5/5/2020	Not revoked	<p>Submission of information that the Singapore government implements a circuit-breaker policy during April 3 -1 June 2020. Therefore, the office in Singapore is closed and the Indonesian Embassy in Singapore cannot send court documents to parties in Singapore.</p>

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
43	Supreme Court of Indonesia	Cooperation Agreement with the Supreme Court, Attorney General's Office, Minister of Law and Human Rights Number 402/DJU/HM.01.1/4/2020, KEP-17/E/EJP/04/2020, PAS-08.HH.05.05 of 2020	4/13/2020	4/13/2020	Not revoked	An agreement between the Supreme Court, the Attorney General's Office, and the Ministry of Law and Human Rights to conduct a teleconference trial policy during the COVID-19 pandemic. The aim is to achieve optimization, effectiveness, efficiency, and security in carrying out the duties and functions of the Supreme Court, the Attorney General's Office, and the Ministry of Law and Human Rights related to the implementation of court activities through teleconference. The scope regulated in this regulation includes the authorities and responsibilities, work relations, and the conduct of court proceedings via teleconference.
44	Supreme Court of Indonesia	Circular of the Director General of the General Judiciary Agency Number 379/DJU/PS.001/3/2020 of 2020	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	An appeal to the leadership of the court during the covid-19 pandemic to conduct criminal case trials remotely and teleconference in coordination with the district attorney's office and related detention centers/prisons
45	Constitutional Court of Indonesia	Circular of the Secretary General of the Constitutional Court Number 19 of 2020 concerning Restrictions on Traveling Outside the Region and/or Homecoming for Structural, Functional Officials, TN/TN/POLRI Auxiliary Personnel, PPNPN, and Mancadavas in Efforts to Prevent the Spread of COVID-19 within the Constitutional Court	4/8/2020	4/8/2020	Not revoked	Regulate the ban on going home, including disciplinary sanctions, for judges and court apparatus and remind the implementation of health protocols.
46	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Regulation of the Minister of Law and Human Rights Number 12 of 2020 concerning Acceleration of Harmonization of Draft Ministerial/ Agency Regulations related to the Covid-19 Pandemic	4/2/2020	4/2/2020	Not revoked	The harmonization of draft ministerial/institutional regulations can be carried out simultaneously when the draft ministerial/institutional regulation is drawn up by the relevant ministries/institutions by involving the working group for drafting laws and/or other officials appointed by the Director General of Legislation. If there is conflicting material, then the letter of completion of harmonization cannot be given.
47	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Decree of the Minister of Law and Human Rights Number MHH-19PK.01.04.04 of 2020 concerning the Release and Release of Prisoners and Children through Assimilation and Integration in the Context of Prevention and Control of the Spread of Covid-19	3/30/2020	3/30/2020	Not revoked	Efforts to prevent the spread of Covid-19 in prisons, Children's Special Guidance Institute (LPKA), and detention centers through assimilation and integration mechanisms for prisoners and children. Arrangements regarding post-release guidance and supervision by involving the fathers. Mechanism for the release of prisoners and children.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
48	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Regulation of the Minister of Law and Human Rights Number 11 of 2020 concerning the Temporary Prohibition of Foreigners from Entering the Territory of the Republic of Indonesia	3/31/2020	4/2/2020	Already revoked	Temporary prohibition of foreigners from entering/transiting Indonesian territory during the Covid-19 pandemic. Prohibition is excluded for some conditions with certain conditions that must be met. As well as the extension of certain residence permits for foreigners in forced circumstances.
49	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Decree of the Director General of Corrections Number PAS-25.OT.02.02 YEAR 2020 concerning Guidelines for the Prevention and Handling of Covid-19 at the UPT Penitentiary in Adapting to New Habits	8/25/2020	8/25/2020	Unknown	Guidelines for the Prevention and Handling of COVID-19 at the Correctional Service Unit in Adapting to New Habits is the first revision of the Guidelines for the Implementation of Health Services at the Correctional UPT in the Prevention and Management of COVID-19 which is used as a reference in the implementation of COVID-19 prevention and control efforts at the Penitentiary, for officers, residents (Detainees, Convicts and Children) and guests/visitors.
50	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Dirjenpas Circular Number PAS-KP.09.01-55 Year 2020	3/9/2020	3/9/2020		COVID-19 prevention and control
51	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Instructions of the Director General of Corrections Number PAS-08.OT.02.02 of 2020 concerning Prevention, Handling, Control and Recovery of Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) at the Correctional Technical Implementation Unit	3/17/2020	3/17/2020	Not revoked	Instructions regarding matters that need to be taken related to policies for prevention (yellow zone), handling (yellow zone), control (red zone), and recovery (red zone) of correctional technical implementing units that have the potential to have a history of COVID-19.
52	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Letter of the Minister of Law and Human Rights Number: MHH.PK.01.01-01-03 Regarding the Temporary Delay of Sending Detainees to Rutan/Lapas within the Ministry of Law and Human Rights as an Effort to Prevent the Spread of Covid-19	3/24/2020	3/24/2020	Not revoked	Starting from Wednesday, March 18, 2020, visiting service activities, accepting new prisoners, and trial activities have been postponed until a time limit will be determined later. In addition, the majority of detention/prison conditions are in an overcrowded condition, so the Ministry of Law and Human Rights has asked to stop sending detainees to prisons/prisons.
53	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Circular Letter Number PAS-20. PR.01.01 of 2020 concerning Progressive Steps in Combating the Spread of the Corona Disease Virus (Covid-19) at the Correctional Technical Implementation Unit	26/04/2020	26/04/2021	Unknown	Progressive steps in overcoming the spread of the Corona Disease Virus (Covid-19) in correctional technical implementing units, such as providing sterile booths, extending the program for providing Conditional Leave (CB), Leave Before Free (CMB), and Parole (PB), optimizing agricultural land, eliminating face-to-face visits, then replacing them with online visits., take advantage of city/house detention, conduct hearings through teleconference, supervision and guidance through video calls.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
54	Ministry of Law and Human Rights	Circular of the Director General of Corrections No.: PAS-497.PK.01.04.04 Year 2020	31/03/2020	31/03/2021	Unknown	Release and Release of Convicts and Child Correctional Inmates through Assimilation and Integration in the context of Prevention and Control of the Spread of Covid-19
55	The Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection	Minister of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection Regulation Number 13 of 2020 concerning Protection of Women and Protection of Children from Gender-Based Violence in Disasters	29/12/2020	30/12/2020	Not revoked	This regulation aims to increase stakeholder awareness that in a disaster situation, women and children are at risk of becoming victims of the KGB so that their specific needs and needs must be met. This regulation contains basic standards, mitigation standards, operational coordination standards, monitoring and evaluation formats. The Minister of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (PPPA) is tasked with coordinating the implementation to K/L and local governments for the implementation of this effort.
56	The Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection	Regulation of the Minister of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection Number 1 of 2020 concerning Provision of Houses for Protection of Women Workers in the Workplace	31/3/2020	6/4/2020	Not revoked	This regulation aims to provide a House for the Protection of Women Workers (RP3), mechanisms and procedures for providing, cooperation and coordination in handling cases of workers/labor and female employees who are victims of labor problems, discrimination, violence, and human rights violations.
57	The Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection	Minister of Women Empowerment and Child Protection Regulation Number 8 of 2020 concerning Service Standards for Children Who Are Victims or Perpetrators of Pornography	27/11/2020	2/12/2020	Not revoked	This regulation contains service standards for officers of social institutions, education, religion, health services, and local governments in providing initial treatment, guidance, assistance, and recovery for children who are victims and perpetrators of pornography.
58	The Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection	Circular Letter of the Minister of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection Number 25 of 2020 concerning the Use of Cars for the Protection of Women and Children as a Media for Socializing the Prevention of Covid-19	1/4/2020	1/4/2020	Not revoked	This circular is intended as an appeal to all provincial and district/city offices that carry out government affairs in the field of women's empowerment and child protection and the technical service unit for the protection of women and children (UPPTD PPPA) to make efforts to prevent the transmission of Covid-19 to women and children, throughout Indonesia by utilizing Molin as a medium for socializing the prevention of Covid-19.
59	The Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection	Circular of the Minister of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection Number 57 of 2020 concerning the Development of Family Learning Center Services in the Regions	2/11/2020	2/11/2020	Not revoked	This circular is intended to encourage provincial and district/city governments to accelerate the development of Family Learning Center (PUSPAGA) services in accordance with the guidelines set by the Ministry of PPPA as an effort to improve the quality of Indonesian families.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
60	The Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection	Joint Decree of the Minister of PPA, Minister of Health, and Head of BNPNB Number 33 of 2020 concerning Family Health Protocols During the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) Pandemic	16/10/2020	16/10/2021	Not revoked	This joint decision aims to provide health protocols to prevent the spread of Covid-19 in family clusters. This was formulated in coordination with K/L and local governments to prevent and control transmission, strengthen the role of RTs, and provide KIE for family health during the pandemic.
61	The Ministry of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection	Joint Decree of the Minister of PPA, Minister of Home Affairs, Minister of Village PDTT, Minister of Social Affairs, and Head of BKBN Number 12 of 2020 concerning Synergy of Programs for Protection of Women and Children During the Covid-19 Pandemic	22/5/2020	22/5/2020	Not revoked	This joint decision aims to strengthen the synergy in handling Covid-19 through collaboration with regional task forces, village volunteers, Hope Family Program (PKH) program assistants, social workers, family planning extension workers, Family Planning Field Extension (PLKB), BKB Cadres, BKL Cadres, BKR Cadres, PATBM, Puspaga, Puspa, and volunteers, other. Prioritize deterrence, and handling the spread of Covid-19 by taking into account the special needs of women, children and vulnerable groups.
62	Ministry of Social Affairs	Regulation of the Minister of Social Affairs Number 10 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Regulation of the Minister of Social Affairs Number 4 of 2015 concerning Direct Assistance in the Form of Cash for Disaster Victims	12/9/2020	23/9/2020	Unknown	This regulation reduces some of the requirements for the provision of cash for disaster victims, so that the existing requirements are not complicated. This regulation also extends the period of giving cash that can be given since the emergency alert period (the previous regulation stated that cash was given after the emergency response transition period).
63	Ministry of Social Affairs	Minister of Social Affairs Regulation Number 4 of 2020 concerning Basic Social Rehabilitation for Abandoned Children	24/4/2020	29/4/2020	Unknown	This regulation provides a basis for providing rehabilitation for abandoned children with several criteria set both inside and outside the orphanage. The implementation of basic social rehabilitation for neglected children is the responsibility of the local government. This regulation also regulates the forms of services and stages of basic social rehabilitation for neglected children.
64	Ministry of Social Affairs	Circular Letter Number 430/3.3/BS.01.02/03/2020	23/3/2020	23/3/2021	Unknown	Circular of the Director General of Social Protection and Security of the Directorate of Social Protection for Natural Victims for Heads of Provincial Social Services in Indonesia regarding the handling of Covid-19: 1. Postpone the coordination activities of the National Cluster of Refugees and Protection (Klasnas PP) which involve many people; 2. Involving all sectors for the prevention of Covid-19; 3. survivors in disaster areas to get information, access clean water, carry out social distancing; 4. Humanitarian vigilance.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021



NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
65	Ministry of Social Affairs	Decree of the Minister of Social Affairs Number 54/HUK/2020 concerning the Implementation of Basic Food Social Assistance and Cash Social Assistance in Handling the Impact of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid 19)	16/4/2020	16/4/2020	Has been changed	The Ministry of Social Affairs stipulates social assistance for necessities for the Greater Jakarta area and cash outside the Greater Jakarta area. Preferred recipient data comes from integrated Social Welfare Data (DTKS) but can be supplemented by local government proposals. The local government has a role to carry out accountability for distribution, monitoring and evaluation.
66	Ministry of Social Affairs	Decree of the Minister of Social Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia Number 86/HUK/2020 concerning Amendments to Decree of the Minister of Social Affairs Number 54/HUK/2020 concerning the Implementation of Basic Food Social Assistance and Cash Social Assistance in Handling the Impact of Corona virus Disease (Covid 19)	28/4/2020	8/7/2020	Already revoked	This change is mostly about data sources for implementing the basic food assistance and cash assistance. Sources of recipient data come from Integrated Social Welfare Data (DTKS), local government, and other data sources. Other data sources can be registered with each work unit by Echelon 1 officials to be reported to the Director General of Social Protection (for basic food assistance) and the Center for Social Welfare Data and Information to be assigned to the Integrated Social Welfare Data (DTKS).

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
67	Ministry of Social Affairs	Circular Letter Number B.101/Kemensos/4/KS.03/3/2020	24/3/2020	24/3/2020	Unknown	<p>Risks related to child protection:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increased risk of neglect and lack of parental or substitute care; 2. Increased psychosocial pressure; 3. Increased exposure to violence; <p>Instructions for Social Service:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take an active role in assisting the efforts of the central government in preventing the spread of Covid-19, especially for Need for Social Welfare Services (PPKS); 2. Assignment of Supervisors of Social Worker Service Units and Social Worker Service Units; 3. Supervision of preventive measures at the orphanage; 4. Restriction of referral services and visits to Panti/ Child Welfare Institutions (LKSAs); 5. Preparation of personnel to be the focal point for monitoring and communication with LKSAs. <p>Instructions for Children's Social Rehabilitation Center, LKSA, and other children's social institutions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Coordination with Social Services regarding SOPs, facilitation and socialization of hand washing with soap and Clean and Healthy Life Behavior (PHBS); 2. Adjustment of social-distancing in institutions (bed placement, dining room, etc.); 3. Review the visit schedule and enforce restrictions on the visit of guests other than immediate family; 4. Ensuring the cleanliness and sanitation of the facilitation of care institutions; 5. Ensure SOPs for children or caregivers who are sick and/or showing symptoms of Covid-19; 6. Night picket for adult caregivers in anticipation of sick children; 7. Learning activities/recitations/religious schools and children's psychosocial activities are still fun by paying attention to social distancing; 8. Availability of balanced nutritious food; 9. Provide child-friendly information and communication on the introduction of Covid 19; 10. Facilitate so that parents & families still have access to communication with children; 11. Accept limited referrals referring to the guidelines.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
68	Ministry of Social Affairs	Decree of the Minister of Social Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia Number 100/HUK/2020 concerning the Second Amendment to the Decree of the Minister of Social Affairs Number 54/HUK/2020 concerning the Implementation of Basic Food Social Assistance and Cash Social Assistance in Handling the Impact of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid 19)	11/8/2020	11/8/2020	Already revoked	This change expands the implementation of social assistance in the form of cash, including for all regions in Indonesia, including the Jabodetabek area. The cash assistance provided can be in the amount of IDR 300,000 or IDR 500,000.
69	Ministry of Social Affairs	Decree of the Minister of Social Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia Number 146/HUK/2020 concerning the Second Phase of Integrated Social Welfare Data for 2020	26/10/2020	26/10/2020	Unknown	The Ministry of Social Affairs determines the second stage of integrated Social Welfare Data (DTKS) which includes the need for social welfare services and the potential and sources of social welfare. The need for social welfare services includes household-based DTKS, DTKS outside the household, and isolated ethnic groups-based DTKS. Meanwhile, the potential and sources of social welfare come from individual DTKS, and group based DTKS.
70	Ministry of Social Affairs	Decree of the Minister of Social Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia Number 161/HUK/2020 concerning the Implementation of Cash Social Assistance for Handling the Impact of the 2019 Coronas Virus Disease (Covid-19) Pandemic in 2021	30/12/2020	30/12/2020	Not revoked	Through this regulation, the Government establishes cash assistance for 2021 in all regions of Indonesia. The amount of assistance is IDR 300,000 per month, which is adjusted to state finances. Beneficiary data comes from DTKS, local government proposals, and other data sources.
71	Ministry of Social Affairs	Circular of the Director General of Social Rehabilitation Number B.106/Kemensos/4/KS.03/3/2020 Regarding Instructions related to the Protection of Persons with Disabilities during the Corona Virus 19 (COVID-19) Pandemic	26/3/2020	26/3/2020	Unknown	The Ministry of Social Affairs appealed to rehabilitation centers or social institutions that accommodate persons with disabilities to anticipate the spread of the corona outbreak. The trick is to reduce the visit schedule and monitor the condition of people with disabilities who are in the orphanage. This instruction is similar in content to the instructions related to child protection for social services and institutions/LKSAs.
72	Witness and Victim Protection Agency	Witness and Victim Protection Agency Regulation Number & Year 2020 concerning Procedures for Filing and Examination of Applications for Compensation, Medical Assistance, or Psychosocial and Psychological Rehabilitation for Victims of Past Terrorism Crimes	31/8/2020	16/9/2020	Not revoked	This regulation is a derivative regulation of PP 35/2020 as a technical regulation to provide victims of terrorism crimes with compensation, medical assistance, psychosocial-psychological rehabilitation. Applications can be made by the victim, family, heirs or proxies through direct means (coming to the LPSK office) or indirectly (by post, facsimile, e-mail, LPSK official website, and mobile phone applications). The applicant will be asked for a description of the criminal act of terrorism experienced no later than 22 June 2021.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
73	Ombudsman of the Republic of Indonesia	Circular of the Head of the Ombudsman Number 23 of 2020 concerning the Covid-19 Online Complaints Post for Communities Affected by the National Disaster of Covid-19 Ombudsman of the Republic of Indonesia	28/4/2020	28/4/2021	Not revoked	This regulation is intended as a guideline and opening of complaint posts in supervising government service policies to open online complaint rooms and report suspected maladministration. This regulation also includes the classification of government services that can be reported and complained about, procedures for submitting reports/complaints from the public, follow-up procedures, patterns of coordination of ombudsman representatives, procedures for closing reports, and procedures for compiling reports on the complaint post.
74	Indonesian Presidential Office	Perppu Number 1 of 2020 concerning State Financial Policy and Financial System Stability for Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic and/or in Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy and/or Financial System Stability	31/3/2020	31/3/2020	Not revoked	State Financial Policy and Financial System Stability for Handling the 2019 (Covid-19) Coronavirus Disease Pandemic and/or in Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy and/or Financial System Stability
75	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Decree No. 52 of 2020 concerning Construction of Observation and Shelter Facilities in Combating Covid-19 on Gaiang Island, Batam, Riau Islands	31/3/2020	31/3/2020	Not revoked	The President assigned the PUPR Ministry to build observation and shelter facilities to deal with Covid-19 along with public facilities, infrastructure and utilities on Gaiang Island. The PUPR Ministry will appoint SOEs to carry out development with a mechanism for the procurement of goods and services under certain circumstances. In addition to the PUPR Ministry, relevant K/L and local governments can provide support according to their respective tasks.
76	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 54 of 2020 concerning Changes in Posture and Details of the State Revenue and Expenditure Budget for Fiscal Year 2020	4/3/2020	4/3/2020	Has been changed	The President made changes to the posture and details of the 2020 State Budget in the context of handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and/or facing threats that endanger the national economy and/or financial system stability. The central government's spending budget is prioritized for its use in the context of handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) with a focus on spending on health, social safety nets, and economic recovery.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
77	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Instruction Number 4 of 2020 concerning Refocusing of Activities, Budget Reallocation, and Procurement of Goods and Services in the Context of Accelerating Handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	3/20/2020	3/20/2020	Not revoked	The President instructs Ministries/Agencies and Regional Governments to: 1) Prioritizing the use of the existing budget to accelerate the handling of COVID-19 2) Accelerate the refocusing of activities and budget relocation through budget revisions to the Minister of Finance 3) Accelerate the procurement of goods and services to accelerate the handling of COVID-19 4) Procurement of goods and services for medical devices and medical devices in accordance with the standards of the Ministry of Health 5) Special instructions, namely: a. Minister of Finance to facilitate the budget revision process b. Minister of Home Affairs for the use of the APBD to accelerate the handling of COVID-19 c. Minister of Public Works and Public Housing for infrastructure development to accelerate the handling of COVID-19 d. Minister of Health for registration of medical devices and medical devices e. Head of the Financial and Development Supervisory Agency for financial supervision and assistance f. Head of the Government Goods/Services Procurement Policy Institute for assistance in the procurement of goods and services.
78	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Decree Number 7 of 2020 concerning the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	3/13/2020	3/13/2020	Has been changed	The President established a Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) which is under and responsible to the President. This decision also stipulates a list of ministries/agencies involved as directors and implementers of the Task Force. In addition, this decision mandates the Governor and the Regent/Mayor to form a Regional COVID-19 Handling Acceleration Task Force.
79	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Decree Number 9 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Presidential Decree Number 7 of 2020 concerning the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	3/20/2020	3/20/2020	Not revoked	This decision adds K/L as the direction and implementation of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and regulates the source of funding for the implementation of the task force's activities to the APBN, APBD, and other legal and non-binding sources. In addition, the President also decided that the Head of the Task Force would grant an exemption from the import trade permit.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
80	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Decree Number 11 of 2020 concerning the Determination of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Public Health Emergency	3/31/2020	3/31/2020	Not revoked	The President stipulates: 1) Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a type of disease that causes a public health emergency 2) COVID-19 Public Health Emergency in Indonesia
81	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Decree Number 9 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Presidential Decree Number 7 of 2020 concerning the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	4/13/2020	4/13/2020	Not revoked	The President stipulates: 1) non-natural disasters caused by the spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a national disaster 2) National disaster management caused by the spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is carried out by the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) 3) The Governor and the Regent/Mayor as Chair of the Regional Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) set their respective policies by taking into account the policies of the central government.
82	Indonesian Presidential Office	Decree of the President of the Republic of Indonesia number 12 of 2020 concerning the determination of non-natural disasters spreading the corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as a national disaster.	13/4/2020	13/4/2020	Not revoked	The President designated Covid-19 as a non-natural national disaster, so that the handling was delegated to the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Covid-19 to take the necessary actions. Regional governments in determining the Covid-19 disaster must follow the policies of the central government.
83	Indonesian Presidential Office	Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 2 of 2020 concerning the Stipulation of Government Regulation in Lieu of Law Number 1 of 2020 concerning State Financial Policy and Financial System Stability for Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Pandemic and/or In Facing Dangerous Threats National Economy and/or Financial System Stability Becomes Law	16/5/2020	18/5/2020	Not revoked	The scope of policies in the field of taxation and state expenditure policies with policies to implement financial policies include: - Setting a budget deficit - Adjust the amount of mandatory spending - Perform budget shifts - Carry out unplanned shopping actions - Using various budgets from various sources; More Budget Balance (SAL), endowments, and so on - Issuing government bonds - Determine sources of financing from within and outside the country - Providing loans to Deposit Insurance Agency (LPS) - Doing budget refocusing - Giving grants - Doing simplification
84	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Decree of the Republic of Indonesia Number 18 of 2020 concerning the National Team for Accelerating the Development of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Vaccine	3/9/2020	3/9/2020	Not revoked	The President formed the National Team for the Acceleration of the Development of the Covid-19 Vaccine. This team consists of various related ministries/agencies, which are divided into directors, person in charge, and day-to-day implementers. Funding comes from the state budget and other sources that are not binding.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
85	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 87 of 2020 concerning the Master Plan for Disaster Management (RIPB) for 2020-2024	10/9/2020	11/9/2020	Not revoked	RIPB in the national plan consists of: 1. disaster threat recognition and assessment 2. understanding of community vulnerability 3. analysis of the possibility of disaster impact 4. choice of disaster risk reduction actions 5. determination of readiness mechanisms and mitigation of material impacts 6. allocation of tasks, authorities, and resources"
86	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 86 of 2020 concerning the Government's Work Plan for 2021	8/9/2020	9/9/2020	Not revoked	The 2021 RKP discusses many things related to Covid-19, one of which is the use of village funds to respond to the Covid-19 situation, improving health services and strengthening health security, protection for workers and job seekers affected by Covid-19, social assistance with a comprehensive scheme.
87	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 99 of 2020 concerning Procurement of Vaccines and Implementation of Vaccinations in the Context of Combating the Covid-19 Pandemic	5/10/2020	6/10/2020	Has been changed	Vaccine procurement is carried out for 2020, 2021, and 2022. Procurement is given to BUMN (under Bio Farma), provider business entities (both domestic and abroad), as well as cooperation with international institutions/agencies (CEPI and GAVI). The government can provide fiscal facilities in the form of taxation, customs, and excise on the import of vaccines. Vaccination is carried out by the Ministry of Health by considering: criteria and priorities for vaccine recipients; priority areas; schedule and stages; and standard of vaccination service. The Ministry of Health can cooperate with K/L, local government, BUMN, BUMS, professional/community organizations as deemed necessary.
88	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 123 of 2020 concerning Technical Guidelines for Physical Special Allocation Funds for Fiscal Year 2021	30/12/2020	30/12/2020	Not revoked	Physical Special Allocation Fund (DAK) Assignments aimed at supporting the achievement of major project targets and certain priorities, as well as to support the handling of COVID-19 and economic recovery. Physical DAK Assignments include: a. health and family planning, b. Street, c. Drinking water, d. sanitation, e. Housing and Settlements, f. Irrigation, g. Agriculture, h. Marine and Fisheries, i. Small and Medium Industry, j. Tourism, and k. Environment

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
89	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 12 of 2020 concerning the Update of the Government's Work Plan for 2021	29/12/2020	29/12/2020	Not revoked	The updating of the 2021 Government work plan (RKP) occurred in several things, such as narratives and writing systematics and priority projects. The narrative and systematics of improvement include the recovery of national development after the Covid-19 pandemic and priority/major projects. The 2021 RKP update also contains a development matrix that includes national priorities, priority programs, priority activities and priority projects with the description of targets, indicators, targets, and the allocation of funding,
90	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 114 of 2020 concerning the National Strategy for Financial Inclusion	7/12/2020	7/12/2020	Not revoked	This regulation serves as a guideline for ministries/agencies that are members of the National Council for Inclusive Finance (DNKI) in formulating sectoral policies related to inclusive finance. In addition, this regulation is used as a means to synergize the RPJMN, RPJMD, RKP, RKPD, and SDGs documents.
91	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 108 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Presidential Regulation Number 82 of 2020 concerning the Covid-19 Handling Committee and National Economic Recovery	10/11/2020	10/11/2020	Not revoked	This regulation changes the Committee by specifying more specific tasks. The latest regulation contains a strict division between the chairman, deputy chairman, implementing team, task force for handling Covid-19, task force for PEN, and the secretariat.
92	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 103 of 2020 concerning Central Government Guarantees for Development Financing in the Framework of Encouraging the National Economy and/or the National Economic Recovery Program	26/10/2020	26/10/2021	Not revoked	The government will provide guarantees to financial institutions to finance development activities and national economic recovery programs. The false guarantee is in the form of a guarantee against the risk of default and a guarantee against other financial risks.
93	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Regulation Number 82 of 2020 concerning the Covid-19 Handling Committee and National Economic Recovery Pemulihhan	20/7/2020	20/7/2020	Has been changed	To deal with the Covid-19 pandemic and to restore the transformation of the national economy, the Government established the Covid-19 Handling Committee and National Economic Recovery (PEN). The Committee has several tasks including formulating strategic policy recommendations for handling Covid-19 and PEN, integrating and determining steps for implementing strategic policies, conducting money.
94	Indonesian Presidential Office	Presidential Instruction Number 6 of 2020 Regarding Discipline Improvement and Law Enforcement of Health Protocols in the Prevention and Control of Covid-19	4/8/2020	4/8/2020	Not revoked	Instructions to take the necessary steps according to their respective duties, functions and authorities in ensuring legal certainty, strengthening efforts and increasing the effectiveness of the prevention and control of Covid-19 in all provinces and districts/cities in Indonesia. In addition, instructions for the obligation to comply with health protocols.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
95	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 23/PMK.03/2020 concerning Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the Corona Virus Outbreak	3/21/2020	3/21/2020	Already revoked	This regulation regulates the provision of Article 21 Income Tax incentives, Article 22 Import Income Tax incentives, Article 25 Income Tax installment incentives, and VAT incentives.
96	Ministry of Finance	Circular of the Minister of Finance Number SE-19/PJ/2020 concerning Instructions for Implementing Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 23/ PMK.03/2020 concerning Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the Corona Virus Outbreak	3/31/2020	3/31/2020		This letter provides technical instructions to echelon II Head Offices within the Directorate General of Taxes Head Office, Head of the Ministry of Finance Regional Office, Head of Tax Service Office, and Head of Tax Counseling and Consultation Service Office within the Directorate General of Taxes to provide Income Tax incentives Article 21, PPh incentives Article 22 Imports, Article 25 Income Tax installment incentives, and VAT incentives for taxpayers affected by the corona virus (business fields listed in the attachment to the Minister of Finance Regulation Number 23/PMK.03/2020 concerning Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the Corona Virus Outbreak)
97	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 19/PMK.07/2020 concerning Distribution and Use of Profit-Sharing Funds, General Allocation Funds, and Regional Incentive Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 in the Context of Combating Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	16/3/2020	16/3/2020	Already revoked	1) Regulations require local governments to budget for mandatory spending in the health sector for COVID-19 prevention and/or handling activities. 2) The distribution of the Natural Resources Revenue Sharing Fund for the second and third quarters and the distribution of the General Allocation Fund for May 2020-September 2020 is carried out provided that the Regional Government has submitted a Health Sector Performance Report for the prevention and/or handling of COVID-19 and a Health Sector Performance Report for prevention and/or handling of COVID-19 shows the realization of the implementation of activities. 3) Distribution of DID phase I and Phase II of FY 2020 for the Basic Public Service Category Group in the Health Sector no later than March 2020 and no later than June 2020. 4) DBH Excise on Tobacco Products can be used for prevention and/or handling of COVID-19, DBH SDA in the context of special autonomy allocated for health and nutrition improvement (Papua and West Papua) can be used for prevention and/or handling of COVID-19, and DID is prioritized for the prevention and/or handling of COVID-19.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
98	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 28/PMK.03/2020 concerning Provision of Tax Facilities for Goods and Services Necessary in the Context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	4/6/2020	4/6/2020	Already revoked	<p>This regulation stipulates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provision of VAT incentives for certain parties on the import or acquisition of Taxable Goods, acquisition of Taxable Services, and/or utilization of Taxable Services from outside the Customs Area within the Customs Area which is needed in the context of handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 pandemic during the April tax period 2020 until the tax period September 2020. 2) Exemption from collection of PPh Article 22 Import and/or PPh Article 22 for certain parties (Government Agencies/Agencies, Hospitals, or other parties) who import and/or purchase goods needed in the context of handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 pandemic during the period tax April 2020 -September 2020 3) Exemption from the collection of Article 22 Income Tax for Third Parties who sell goods needed in the context of handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 pandemic to certain parties (Government Agencies/Agencies, Hospitals, or other parties) in the April 2020 -September 2020 tax period. 4) Taxable goods needed in the context of handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 pandemic, namely medicines, vaccines, laboratory equipment, detection equipment, personal protective equipment, equipment for patient care, and/or other supporting equipment declared to be needed for handling the Corona Virus pandemic Disease 2019.
99	Ministry of Finance	Decree of the Minister of Finance Number 6/KM.7/2020 concerning Distribution of Physical Special Allocation Funds for the Health Sector and Health Operational Assistance Funds in the Context of Prevention and/or Handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)		3/14/2020		<p>This decree contains policies for local governments regarding:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Physical DAK in the Health Sector can be used for prevention and/or handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and is carried out through revision of activity plans. If there is no menu for prevention and/or handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), an additional activity menu will be added for this activity. 2) Distribution of Phase I Health Operational Assistance Funds is carried out without submitting a report on the realization of the previous fiscal year by not taking into account the remaining funds in the Regional General Treasury account. 3) Distribution of Phase II Health Operational Assistance Funds is carried out by submitting a 2019 realization report and a report on the realization of the delivery and use of Phase I in 2020, and taking into account the remaining funds in the Regional General Treasury Account for the previous fiscal year.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
100	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Director General of Budget Number PER-2/A/G/2020 concerning Technical Guidelines for the Acceleration of Completion of Budget Revision Proposals that are under the Authority of the Directorate General of Budget for Fiscal Year 2020	3/20/2020			This document contains technical proposals for revision of the budget section of the Ministry/Agency budget and the budget section of the State General Treasurer which requires review and revision of approval for substance. The revision process is carried out through the SAKTI application system, SATU Budget, DSW Application, and SPAN according to the level of the budget revision process.
101	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 29/PMK.03/2020 concerning the Implementation of Tax Administration Services in Force Majeure Due to the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic	4/7/2020	4/7/2020	Already revoked	This regulation regulates: 1) extension of the settlement due for the completion of the Tax Administration during the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) force majeure. The extension is stipulated by a Decree of the Director General of Taxes. 2) the procedure for submitting the application for Tax Administration Services electronically by the Taxpayer to the Head of the DGT Regional Office, the Head of Kkp where the taxpayer is registered, or the Head of KP2KP under the Tax office (KPP) Pratama where the taxpayer is registered.
102	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 30/PMK.04/2020 concerning Amendments to the Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 57/PMK.04/2017 concerning Postponement of Excise Payments for Factory Entrepreneurs or Importers of Excisable Goods Executing Payments by Attaching Excise Tape	4/8/2020	4/8/2020	Not revoked	This regulation regulates the relaxation of excise payment delays for factory entrepreneurs who make payments by attaching excise tapes to maintain productivity and cash flow of entrepreneurs of excisable goods manufacturers in the midst of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
103	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 31/PMK.04/2020 concerning Additional Incentives for Companies Recipient of Bonded Zone Facilities and/or Ease of Import for Export Destinations for Handling the Disaster Impact of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	4/13/2020	4/13/2020	Not revoked	<p>This regulation stipulates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) physical inspection of the entry and/or release of goods to and from the bonded zone is carried out selectively. If the bonded storage area is located in an area determined by the PSSB, then the bonded storage area can be approved for self-service. 2) Entrepreneurs in the Bonded Zone can import goods into the Bonded Zone in the form of disinfectants, masks, personal protective equipment, body temperature measuring devices, and/or other goods for the purpose of handling the corona virus disease. Importation of certain goods originating from outside the customs area is subject to suspension of Import Duties and is not collected Tax in the Context of Imports. Importation of certain goods originating from other places in the customs area is not subject to Value Added Tax or Value Added Tax and Sales Tax on Luxury Goods. 3) Goods originating from other places within the region Customs duties entered by the Exemption KITE Company or IKM KITE Company to be further processed or combined with the production of the Liberation KITE Company or IKM KITE Company are not subject to Value Added Tax or Value Added Tax and Sales Tax on Luxury Goods.
104	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 34/PMK.04/2020 concerning Provision of Customs and/or Excise Facilities and Taxation on Imported Goods for the Purpose of Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Pandemic	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	Not revoked	To accelerate services in providing fiscal facilities for the import of goods needed in handling the Covid-19 pandemic, it is necessary to regulate provisions regarding customs and/or excise and taxation on imports of goods needed in handling the Covid-19 pandemic, as well as to implement the provisions of Article 9 and Article 10 Government Regulation in Lieu of Law Number 1 of 2020 needs to stipulate a Regulation of the Minister of Finance regarding the Provision of Customs and/or Excise Facilities and Taxation on Imported Goods for the Purpose of Handling the Covid-19 Pandemic.
105	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 35/PMK.07/2020 concerning the Management of Transfers to Regions and Village Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 in the Context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	Already revoked	<p>Based on the provisions of Article 2 paragraph (2) of Government Regulation in Lieu of Law Number 1 of 2020 concerning State Financial Policy and Financial System Stability and to implement the provisions of Article 4 of Presidential Regulation Number 54 of 2020 concerning Changes in Posture and Details of the State Revenue and Expenditure Budget for Fiscal Year 2020, it is necessary to stipulate a Regulation of the Minister of Finance regarding the Management of Transfers to Regions and Village Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 in the Context of Handling the Covid-19 Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy.</p>

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
106	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 36/PNK.07/2020 concerning Determination of Budget Allocations for Underpayment of Revenue Sharing Funds for the 2019 Fiscal Year in the Context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic (Covid-19)	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	Already revoked	Provisions regarding the temporary allocation of underpayment of profit-sharing funds for the 2019 fiscal year in the context of handling the Covid-19 pandemic, which consists of underpayment of tax-sharing funds and underpayment of natural resource revenue-sharing funds.
107	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 38/PNK/02/2020 concerning the Implementation of State Financial Policies for Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy and/or Financial System Stability	4/18/2020	4/18/2020	Not revoked	Provisions governing the implementation of state financial policies for handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and/or facing serious threats endanger the national economy and/or financial system stability, shall be carried out: a. setting limits on budget deficits; b. adjustment of the amount of mandatory spending (mandatory spending); c. shifting of budgets between organizational units, between functions, and/or between programs; d. actions that result in expenditures at the expense of the State Budget for which the budget to finance these expenditures is not yet available or insufficient, as well as determining the process and method of procuring goods/services; e. using a budget sourced from SAL, endowment funds and the Accumulated Endowment Fund for Education, funds controlled by the state with certain criteria, funds managed by BLU, and/or funds originating from the reduction of PMN in BUMN; f. issuance of SUN and/or SBSN with a specific purpose; g. determination of sources of Budget Financing originating from within and/or abroad; h. providing loans to IDIC; i. prioritizing the use of budget allocations for certain activities (refocusing), adjustment of allocations, and/or cutting/delaying the distribution of budget transfers to the Regions and Village Funds, with certain criteria; j. granting of grants to Regional Governments; and/or k. simplification of mechanisms and simplification of documents in the field of state finance.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
108	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 39/PMK.02/2020 concerning Procedures for Revision of the Budget for Fiscal Year 2020	4/20/2020	4/20/2020	Not revoked	<p>Budget Revisions consist of Budget Revisions in the event that the Budget Ceiling changes, Budget Revisions in the event that the Budget Ceilings remain, and administrative revisions. The Budget Revision also applies in the event that there are changes to the Law concerning the State Budget for Fiscal Year 2020 and/or changes to the Government's Priority Policies That Have Been Determined in the Law concerning the State Budget for Fiscal Year 2020 and/or the Law concerning amendments to the Law concerning State Budget for Fiscal Year 2020, including cutting policies, budget savings, and/or self blocking. Budget revisions are processed by the Directorate General of Budget, Directorate General Treasury, and/or KPA.</p> <p>If there are directives from the President/Vice President, and/or priorities of Ministries/Institutions that are urgent and urgent to be implemented, causing the need for Budget Revision, the mechanism of which has not been regulated and/or has passed the deadline, the proposed Budget Revision can be processed after obtaining approval from the Minister, Finance.</p>
109	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 40/PMK.07/2020 concerning Amendments to Minister of Finance Regulation Number 205/PMK.07/2019 concerning Village Fund Management	4/20/2020	4/20/2020	Already revoked	<p>In accordance with Government Regulation in Lieu of Law Number 1 of 2020 concerning State Financial Policy and Financial System Stability for Handling the Covid-19 Pandemic and/or in Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy and/or Financial System Stability, Village Funds can be used for activities handling the Covid-19 pandemic and Village Cash Direct Assistance.</p>
110	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 43/PMK.05/2020 Concerning the Mechanism of Budget Implementation on the Burden of the State Revenue and Expenditure Budget in Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	4/24/2020	4/24/2020	Not revoked	<p>Activities in handling the Covid-19 pandemic are carried out based on the allocation of funds in the DIPA. In the event that there are urgent/cannot be delayed conditions in handling the Covid-19 pandemic, the Treasury Officer can take actions that result in expenditures at the expense of the APBN whose funds are not available/sufficiently available in DIPA. Urgent / non-delayed conditions are carried out only for activities to handle the Covid-19 pandemic in the form of medicines, medical devices, health infrastructure, human resources both health and non-health workers, and other activities related to handling the Covid-19 pandemic. Payments at the expense of the State Budget to providers of goods/ services, officials/employees or implementing other activities at state ministries/agencies, or other payment recipients in handling the Covid-19 pandemic are carried out through the LS or UP Payment mechanism.</p>

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
111	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 44/PMK.03/2020 concerning Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	4/27/2020	4/27/2020	Already revoked	The Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is a national disaster that affects economic stability and community productivity, especially with the increasingly widespread impact of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic to other sectors, including small and medium businesses, it is necessary to stipulate a Regulation of the Minister of Finance concerning Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic.
112	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 45/PMK.04/2020 concerning Procedures of Submission of Invoice Declaration along with Complementary Document of Custom Research, Invoice Declaration for Imposing Import Duty Tariffs on Imported Goods Based on International Agreements During The COVID-19 Pandemic.	4/29/2020	4/29/2020	Not revoked	The COVID-19 pandemic caused changes on mechanism in administering and utilizing Invoice Declaration and in providing services for imported goods using Affixed Signature and Stamp (ASnS) from partner countries during the pandemic. Therefore, Minister of Finance Regulation is needed to regulate procedures of submission Invoice Declaration along with Complementary Document of Custom Research, Invoice Declaration for Imposing Import Duty Tariffs on Imported Goods Based on International Agreements During The COVID-19 Pandemic.
113	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 46/PMK.07/2020 Management of Grants from the Central Government to Regional Governments in the Context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) Pandemic and the Impact of the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) Pandemic	4/30/2020	5/5/2020	Not revoked	<p>Provisions regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Forms and Sources of Grants for Handling the COVID-19 Pandemic are in the form of money, which is sourced from domestic revenues, b. PPA BUN and KPA BUN determined by the Minister of Finance, c. Budgeting and Allocation consisting of provisions regarding the budgeting of grants for handling the Covid-19 pandemic and provisions for the allocation of grants for handling the Covid-19 pandemic and the calculation of allocations per region) d. SPPH and PHD, e. The distribution of the COVID-19 Pandemic Handling Grant is carried out through a book-entry procedure from RKUN to RKUD and carried out no later than December 23. Budgeting for the COVID-19 Pandemic Handling Grant in the APBD is carried out in accordance with the Regulation of the Minister of Home Affairs regarding the guidelines for the preparation of the APBD. f. Monitoring and Evaluation, namely the Ministry of Finance and EA monitoring and evaluating the performance of the implementation of activities and the use of the COVID-19 Pandemic Handling Grant in order to achieve the targets and targets set out in the PHD in accordance with the authority, the implementation of which can be carried out independently or jointly.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
114	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 75/PMK.09/2020 concerning Guidelines for Supervision of the Implementation of the National Economic Recovery Program in Support of State Financial Policies for Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy and/or Stability Financial System and Saving the National Economy	6/26/2020	6/29/2020	Not revoked	This Ministerial Regulation is prepared as a guideline for APIP in supervising the implementation of the PEN Program. Supervision is carried out by the Supervisory Team in accordance with the Supervision plan. The report on the results of supervision is submitted by the APIP leadership to the minister/head of the institution/ regional head concerned. The Summary of Supervision is submitted by the APIP leadership on a monthly basis to the Minister c.q. Inspector General no later than the 5th (fifth) day of the following month. In the event that the Minister requests a Supervision report on the implementation of the PEN Program incidentally, the Inspectorate General may coordinate with APIP regarding information on the development and/or results of the Supervision activities that have been carried out by the relevant APIP. All stages of supervision are carried out by optimizing the use of electronic media.
115	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 83/PMK.04/2020 concerning Amendments to Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 3/4/ PMK.04/2020 concerning Provision of Customs and/or Excise Facilities and Taxation on Imported Goods for the Purpose of Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic)	7/6/2020	7/7/2020	Not revoked	To encourage national economic growth in the industrial sector hand sanitizer, products containing disinfectant, as well as masks and clothing certain types of protection, as well as providing legal certainty and acceleration services in customs and/or excise facilities as well as taxation on imports of goods for the purpose of handling the COVID-19 pandemic.
116	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 86/PMK.03/2020 concerning Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	7/16/2020	7/16/2020	Already revoked	Provisions regarding Article 21 Income Tax incentives, namely income received or obtained by employees must be deducted according to the provisions of Article 21 Income Tax by the Employer, can be borne by the Government with certain criteria. Further provisions regarding Final PPh incentives based on Government Regulation Number 23 of 2018, Article 22 Import Income Tax incentives, Article 25 Income Tax installment incentives, and VAT incentives, as well as transitional provisions such as Employers or Taxpayers who have submitted notification of the use of Article PPh incentives. 21 DTP does not need to resubmit the notification and/or application based on this Ministerial Regulation.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
117	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 101/P/PMK.07/2020 concerning Distribution and Use of Transfers to Regions and Village Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 to Support the Handling of the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic and National Economic Recovery	8/5/2020	8/5/2020	Already revoked	The distribution of Village Funds phase III for Fiscal Year 2020 or Phase II Village Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 for villages with the status of independent villages is carried out after the Head of KPPN receives the distribution requirements document from the regent/mayor, in the form of a regent/mayor regulation regarding procedures for distribution and determination of Village Fund details each village and regulations of the regent/mayor regarding changes to the procedures for the distribution and determination of the details of the Village Fund for each village; village regulations regarding the Village Revenue and Expenditure Budget; and reports on the realization of the absorption and output of the Village Fund for the previous fiscal year. The Village Fund for Fiscal Year 2020 is used for Village BLT in the amount of IDR 300,000.00 (three hundred thousand rupiah) for the fourth month to the sixth month carried out according to the availability of the Village Fund budget per month. In the event that the Village Government does not budget and does not carry out BLT Village activities, the distribution of the Village Fund phase II for the 2021 Fiscal Year will be deducted by 50% (fifty percent).
118	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 103/P/PMK.05/2020 concerning Procedures for Managing Special Accounts in the Context of Financing for Handling the Impact of the Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) Pandemic and National Economic Recovery	8/6/2020	8/7/2020	Not revoked	The Director General of Treasury opened 2 (two) special accounts for the handling of the COVID-19 pandemic and PEN at Bank Indonesia, consisting of a special account for the handling of the COVID-19 pandemic and PEN for public goods, to accommodate funds resulting from the issuance of SBN in order to fulfill public goods financing and a special account for handling the COVID-19 pandemic and PEN non-public goods, to accommodate the proceeds from the issuance of SBN in order to fulfill the financing of non-public goods.
119	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 107/P/PMK.05/2020 concerning Mechanisms for Implementation and Accountability for Government-Born Taxes in the context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic	8/7/2020	8/7/2020	Not revoked	The DTP Tax Subsidy Expenditure Budget in handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is sourced from the State Budget which is regulated in the Law concerning the State Budget, Revised State Budget, and/or laws and regulations regarding changes in the APBN posture. This Ministerial Regulation is used to account for DTP Tax revenues and DTP Tax Subsidy Expenditures according to the tax period based on the provisions in the Minister of Finance Regulation regarding the provision of tax facilities for goods and services needed in the context of handling the COVID-19 pandemic and the Minister of Finance Regulation regarding DTP Tax incentives for mandatory Taxes affected by the COVID-19 pandemic pandemi

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
120	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 110/PMK.03/2020 concerning Amendments to Minister of Finance Regulation Number 86/PMK.03/2020 concerning Tax Incentives for Taxpayers Affected by the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	8/14/2020	8/14/2020	Already revoked	Provisions regarding general understanding (vide Article 1), additional provisions regarding final PPh incentives for construction services (vide Chapter IIIA), provisions regarding income from construction services businesses subject to final PPh and how to pay them off (vide Article 6A), provisions on reports on the realization of final PPh borne by the Government (Article 6B), provisions concerning taxpayers who are given a reduction in the amount of installments of PPh Article 25 by 50% (fifty percent) of the installments of PPh Article 25 that should be payable (vide Article 10), provisions regarding Employers or Taxpayers who have send notices and/or certificates of tax incentives (see Article 14) and provisions regarding Employers or Taxpayers who have been approved to take advantage of tax incentives (see Article 15).
121	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 134/PMK.010/2020 concerning Import Duties Borne by the Government on Imports of Goods and Materials to Produce Goods and/or Services by Certain Sector Industries Affected by the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic	9/21/2020	9/22/2020	Not revoked	Provisions regarding Government Borne Import Duties (BM DTP) that can be granted on imports of goods and materials by certain industrial sector companies with KPA BM DTP and budget ceiling allocations as regulated in the attachment to this Ministerial Regulation. It also regulates state treasury and budgeting officials as the State General Treasurer KPA, procedures for submitting applications for BM DTP, customs notification, administration and recording of BM DTP, ratification of bills for subsidy spending for BM DTP, monitoring and evaluation of the implementation of BM DTP grants, abuse and sanctions, and realization of import or release of Goods and Materials receiving BM DTP.
122	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 137/PMK.021/2020 Concerning Zero Rupiah Tariff for Certificate of Origin Issuance Services Applicable to the Ministry of Trade due to the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic	9/23/2020	9/25/2020	Not revoked	In an effort to reduce the negative impact of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on export activities, the tariff for the type of Non-Tax State Revenue in the form of Certificate of Origin Issuance Services as referred to in Article 1 can be set at IDR 0.00 (zero Rupiah). Tariff for Non-Tax State Revenue in the form of Certificate of Origin Issuance Services as referred to in Article 2, may be given to all exporters who apply for a tariff of IDR.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
123	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 143/PMK.03/2020 concerning Provision of Tax Facilities on Goods and Services Necessary in the context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic and Extension of the Application of Income Tax Facilities Based on Government Regulation Number 29 of 2020 concerning Income Tax Facilities in the Context of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	10/1/2020	10/1/2020	Already revoked	VAT incentives are given to: a. Certain Parties on the import or acquisition of Taxable Goods, acquisition of Taxable Services, and/or utilization of Taxable Services from outside the Customs Area within the Customs Area; b. Pharmaceutical Industry for Vaccine and/or Drug Production upon import or acquisition of vaccine and/or drug raw materials for the handling of COVID-19; c. Taxpayers who obtain vaccines and/or drugs for handling COVID-19 from the Pharmaceutical Industry for Vaccine and/or Drug Production as referred to in letter b, which are required in the context of handling the COVID-19 pandemic since the Tax Period of April 2020 until the Tax Period of December 2020.
124	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 145/PMK.07/2020 concerning Amendments to Minister of Finance Regulation Number 35/PMK.07/2020 concerning Management of Transfers to Regions and Village Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 in the context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy	10/1/2020	10/1/2020	Not revoked	The distribution of the adjustment to the allocation of the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI is carried out starting from the distribution of phase I. The distribution of the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI is carried out after the Director General of Fiscal Balance receives the complete and correct distribution requirement documents. The Special Autonomy Fund and DTI which are not distributed by the end of the fiscal year cannot be used as an addition to the budget ceiling for the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI for the following fiscal year. In the event that the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI have been disbursed taking into account the remaining Special Autonomy Fund and DTI in the RKUD at the end of the previous fiscal year, the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI are redistributed in the amount of the remaining Special Autonomy Fund and DTI in the RKUD which has been taken into account in the distribution.
125	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 145/PMK.07/2020 concerning Amendments to Minister of Finance Regulation Number 35/PMK.07/2020 concerning Management of Transfers to Regions and Village Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 in the context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy	10/1/2020	10/1/2020	Already revoked	The distribution of the adjustment to the allocation of the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI is carried out starting from the distribution of phase I. The distribution of the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI is carried out after the Director General of Fiscal Balance receives the complete and correct distribution requirement documents. The Special Autonomy Fund and DTI which are not distributed by the end of the fiscal year cannot be used as an addition to the budget ceiling for the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI for the following fiscal year. In the event that the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI have been disbursed taking into account the remaining Special Autonomy Fund and DTI in the RKUD at the end of the previous fiscal year, the Special Autonomy Fund and DTI are redistributed in the amount of the remaining Special Autonomy Fund and DTI in the RKUD which has been taken into account in the distribution.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
126	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 149/PMK.04/2020 concerning the Second Amendment to the Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 34/PMK.04/2020 concerning the Provision of Customs and/or Excise Facilities and Taxation on Imported Goods for the Purpose of Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	10/8/2020	10/8/2020	Not revoked	To encourage national economic growth as well as providing customs and/or excise facilities as well as taxation on imported goods for the purpose of handling the COVID-19 pandemic. This regulation changes Attachment letter A of the Minister of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia 34/PMK.04/2020.
127	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 185/PMK.02/2020 concerning Budget Management in the context of Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic and/or National Economic Recovery Program	11/24/2020	11/24/2020	Not revoked	Budget management in the context of handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and/or PEN Program sourced from the APBN, consists of allocations, changes and shifts, and marking and reporting. In the context of handling the COVID-19 pandemic and/or facing threats that endanger the national economy, the Government establishes policies and strategies for handling the COVID-19 pandemic and/or PEN Program which is formulated and determined in the discussion meeting. Ministries/agencies may submit proposals for the use of the remaining budget for handling the COVID-19 pandemic and/or the PEN Program to the Ministry of Finance as long as they are in the same field/sector/program.
128	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 187/PMK.05/2020 concerning Procedures for Issuing State Securities in the context of Handling the Impact of the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic and National Economic Recovery in 2020, as well as the use of the remaining 2020 State Securities Issuance Funds in for Financing the Implementation of the Continued Implementation of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic Handling Activities and the 2021 National Economic Recovery	11/26/2020	11/26/2020	Not revoked	In the context of financing the handling of the COVID-19 pandemic and PEN Fiscal Year 2020, in Fiscal Year 2020, the Minister of Finance may issue SBN with Specific Purposes which include the issuance of SBN with Specific Purposes through a private placement to Bank Indonesia to finance activities that fall into the category of Public Goods and/or issuance of SBN. Certain Purposes through auctions, including those purchased by Bank Indonesia in the primary market to finance activities in the Non-Public Goods category.
129	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 188/PMK.04/2020 concerning the Provision of Customs and/or Excise and Taxation Facilities on the Import of Vaccine Procurement in the context of Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic	11/26/2020	11/26/2020	Not revoked	Provisions regarding Vaccine imports for the prevention of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic are given customs and/or excise facilities as well as taxation in the form of exemption from import duties and/or excise, no Value Added Tax or Value Added Tax and Sales Tax on Luxury Goods are collected, and exempted from collection of imported Income Tax Article 22.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
130	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 200/PMK.02/2020 Concerning the Determination of Zero Rupiah Rates for Services for Requesting Changes to Matters Listed in Fiduciary Guarantee Certificates Applicable to the Ministry of Law and Human Rights during the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic	12/16/2020	12/17/2020	Not revoked	Types of Non-Tax State Revenues that apply to the Ministry of Law and Human Rights include receipts from Applications for Changes to Matters Included in Fiduciary Guarantee Certificates in Legal Services, and in an effort to reduce the negative impact of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, it can be determined of IDR 0.00 (zero Rupiah). Tariffs for Non-Tax State Revenues in the form of Requests for Changes to Matters Included in Fiduciary Guarantee Certificates are given to Fiduciary Recipients, Proxies, or their Representatives who submit applications to the Minister of Law and Human Rights through the Fiduciary Guarantee Registration System electronically, and the tariff is set at IDR 0.00 (zero Rupiah) until March 31, 2021.
131	Ministry of Finance	Minister of Finance Regulation Number 21/7/PMK.05/2020 concerning Budget Implementation in the context of Completion of Work during the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic that was not completed until the end of the 2020 Fiscal Year and will be continued in the 2021 Fiscal Year	12/28/2020	12/28/2020	Not revoked	The remaining work that is not completed until the end of the 2020 Fiscal Year can be continued for completion to the 2021 Fiscal Year as long as it fulfills the following conditions: based on the PPK research, the goods/services provider will be able to complete the entire work after being given the opportunity up to 90 calendar days from the end of the work implementation period and the provider/goods/services are able to complete the remaining work no later than 90 calendar days from the end of the work execution period which is stated by a statement of ability signed on paper with sufficient stamp duty.
132	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 219/PMK.07/2020 concerning the Second Amendment to the Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 35/PMK.07/2020 concerning the Management of Transfers to Regions and Village Funds for Fiscal Year 2020 in the context of Handling the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy	12/28/2020	12/28/2020	Already revoked	In the context of controlling the implementation of the APBN for Fiscal Year 2020, the distribution of a portion of DBH and/or DAU for Fiscal Year 2020 may be delayed and/or deducted by considering: the condition and capacity of regional finances to carry out rationalization of expenditures, an extreme decrease in Regional Original Income as a result of the decline community and economic activities, and/or the level of the COVID-19 pandemic that requires an adequate budget for handling COVID-19. The Regional Head or appointed official submits a report on the realization of the Additional BOK payment to the Ministry of Finance c.q. the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance and the Ministry of Health no later than January 15, 2021.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
133	Ministry of Finance	Regulation of the Minister of Finance Number 239/PNK.03/2020 concerning Provision of Tax Facilities on Goods and Services Necessary in the context of Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic and Extension of the Application of Income Tax Facilities Based on Government Regulation Number 29 of 2020 concerning Income Tax Facilities in the context of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)	12/30/2020	12/30/2020	Not revoked	VAT incentives are given to: a. Certain Parties on the import or acquisition of Taxable Goods, a acquisition of Taxable Services, and/or utilization of Taxable Services from outside the Customs Area within the Customs Area; b. Pharmaceutical Industry for Vaccine and/or Drug Production for the acquisition of raw materials for vaccines and/or drugs for handling COVID-19; and c. Taxpayers who obtain vaccines and/or drugs for handling COVID-19 from the Pharmaceutical Industry for Vaccine and/or Drug Production, which are needed in the context of handling the COVID-19 pandemic.
134	Ministry of Education and Culture	Circular Letter Number 27/29/C/PD/2020 Concerning Preparedness in Efforts to Prevent the Spread of Pneumonia which is One of the Symptoms of the Corona Virus or COVID-19 in the School Environment	2/21/2020	2/21/2020	Unknown	Preparedness in Efforts to Prevent the Spread of Pneumonia in the School Environment: 1. Schools and Health Centers coordinate to prevent the transmission of Pneumonia. 2. Informing the prevention of Pneumonia transmission through washing hands with soap and coughing or sneezing etiquette by optimizing the role of Youth Health Cadres/Little Doctors in each school. 3. Inviting school residents to increase vigilance remain calm, and not overreact, and participate in efforts to prevent the spread of Covid-19. 4. Cultivate PHBS to all school members. 5. Immediately report suspected cases of severe pneumonia who have a history of travel from infected countries to the Directorate General of P2P.
135	Ministry of Education and Culture	Circular Letter Number 2 of 2020 concerning Prevention and Handling of Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) at the Ministry of Education and Culture	3/9/2020	3/9/2020	Not revoked	Prevention and Handling of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) at the Ministry of Education and Culture: 1. Ensure facilities for washing hands with soap (CTPS) and cleaning tools, or hand sanitizers in the work unit environment. 2. Ensure employees use CTPS facilities and have PHBS. 3. Ensure that the work unit cleans the room and environment regularly, especially facilities that are often held hands. 4. Limiting official travel abroad. 5. Check the body temperature of all employees and visitors. 6. Avoid direct physical contact. 7. Provide a bulletin board containing information regarding the prevention of Covid-19. 8. Calling on all employees and visitors who are coughing/cold to use masks. 9. Clarification of all information related to Covid-19 received and not spreading hoaxes.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
136	Ministry of Education and Culture	Surat Edaran Nomor 3 Tahun 2020 Pencegahan Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) pada Satuan Pendidikan	3/9/2020	3/9/2020	Not revoked	Prevention of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) in Education Units. Its contents are similar to SE 27/29 and SE No. 2 of 2020. Plus, several provisions regarding leniency regarding the absence of education unit residents; meals served at the education unit, avoiding physical contact, postponing activities that gather a large number of people, restricting guests, and education unit residents and families traveling to affected countries are asked not to be in the education unit area for 14 days after returning to their homeland.
137	Ministry of Education and Culture	Surat Edaran Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi Nomor 1 Tahun 2020	3/16/2020	3/16/2020	Unknown	Prevention of the spread of Covid-19 in Universities, Ministry of Education and Culture: 1. Leaders of PTN and LL Dikti convey the academic community to become ambassadors for PHBS education, social distancing, and carry out the Ministry of Health guidelines. 2. Delivering Covid-19 precautionary protocols to lecturers, students, and education staff.
138	Ministry of Education and Culture	Surat Edaran Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 36962/M/PK/A/HK/2020 Tentang Pembelajaran secara Daring dan Bekerja dari Rumah untuk Mencegah Penyebaran Covid-19	3/17/2020	3/17/2020	Unknown	Advise to postpone events that invite many participants or replace with online communication. Especially for areas that have been affected by Covid-19, learning is carried out online from home for students and students. The head of the work unit makes guidelines for the implementation of Work from Home (BdR) and online learning as needed. The Minister of Education and Culture has also attached several free online learning facilities that have collaborated with the Ministry of Education and Culture (House of Learning, Google G Suites for Education, Smart Class, Microsoft Office 365, Quipper School, Teacher Room Online School, Your School, Zenius).
139	Ministry of Education and Culture	Circular of the Minister of Education and Culture Number 4 of 2020 concerning the Implementation of Educational Policies in the Emergency Period for the Spread of Covid-19	3/24/2020	3/24/2020	Unknown	Implementation of Education Policies in the Emergency Period for the Spread of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19). 1. Cancellation of the National Examination and National Examination as a condition for graduation or selection to enter a higher education level. 2. Learning from Home Process. 3. Provisions for carrying out the School Examination. 4. Provisions for Class Promotion. 5. Provisions for Admission of New Students. 6. BOS/BOP funds can be used for the prevention of the Covid-19 pandemic (providing cleaning equipment and financing online/distance learning).

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
140	Ministry of Education and Culture	Circular of the Secretary General of the Ministry of Education and Culture Number 9 of 2020 concerning the Implementation of the Policy for the Equalization Process for Graduates of Package A, Package B, and Package C Programs for the 2019/2020 Academic Year	3/30/2020	3/30/2020	Unknown	This letter is the implementation of the policy for the process of equalizing graduates of the Package A, B, and C programs as a follow-up to the implementation of education policies during the COVID-19 emergency and the cancellation of the 2020 National Examination. The Equality Education Examination in the form of a test that collects students is not allowed. The Equality Education Examination can be conducted in the form of a distance assessment.
141	Ministry of Education and Culture	Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture Number 19 of 2020 Amendments to Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture Number 8 of 2020 concerning Technical Guidelines for Regular School Operational Assistance	4/9/2020	4/13/2020	Not revoked	Amendments to Permendikbud No. 8 of 2020 concerning the Technical Guidelines for Regular School Operational Assistance with the addition of one article (9A): 1. During the Covid-19 Public Health Emergency determined by the central government, schools can use Regular BOS funds to finance learning from home (pulse, data packages, paid online education) for educators and students, purchase of cleaning support equipment; 2. The terms of payment of honorarium of at most 50% do not apply during Covid-19; 3. Payment of honorarium is given to non-ASN teachers who meet the requirements; 4. The provisions on the use of regular BOS funds in paragraphs 2 & 3 apply from April 2020 until the Covid-19 emergency status is revoked.
142	Ministry of Education and Culture	Minister of Education and Culture Regulation Number 20 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture Number 13 of 2020 concerning Technical Guidelines for Non-Physical Special Allocation Funds for Operational Assistance in the Implementation of Early Childhood Education and Equality Education for Fiscal Year 2020	4/9/2020	4/13/2020	Not revoked	Amendments to Permendikbud No. 13 of 2020 concerning Technical Guidelines for Non-Physical Special Allocation Funds for Operational Assistance in the Implementation of Early Childhood Education and Equality Education for Fiscal Year 2020 concerning Amendments to Regulation of the Minister of Education and Culture Number 13 of 2020 concerning Technical Guidelines for Non-Physical Special Allocation Funds for Operational Assistance in the Implementation of Early Childhood Education and Equality Education for Fiscal Year 2020 1. During the Covid-19 Public Health Emergency determined by the central government, the Non-Physical DAK BOP PAUD can be used by education units for the implementation of learning from home (pulse, data packages, paid online education), financing for educator fees, purchasing cleaning support equipment; 2. Non-physical DAK BOP Equivalence can be used by Education Units for the implementation of learning from home (pulse, data packages, paid online education), financing for educator fees, purchasing equipment; 3. The use of Non-Physical DAK BOP PAUD and BOP Equivalence as referred to in paragraph (1) and paragraph (2) does not use the provisions on the percentage amount as stated in the Attachment to Ministerial Regulation Number 13 of 2020; 4. Provisions for the use of BOP PAUD and BOP Equivalence funds in paragraphs 1 & 2 apply from April 2020 until the Covid-19 emergency status is revoked.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
143	Ministry of Education and Culture	Circular of the Secretary General of the Ministry of Education and Culture Number 15 of 2020 concerning Guidelines for Organizing Learning from Home in an Emergency Period for the Spread of Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19)	5/18/2020	5/18/2020	Not revoked	<p>Guidelines for organizing learning from home (BDR):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BDR method; inside and outside network (online & offline). Online & offline distance learning media and resources (TV, radio, self-study modules, printed teaching materials, teaching aids, etc.). 2. Guidelines for the implementation of BDR by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Education office: establish education posts; coordination with the Ministry of Education and Culture; data collection; formulating and establishing education policies during the COVID-19 emergency; facilitate learning; IEC prevention of COVID-19; monitoring & evaluation of BDR; report the progress of the implementation of BDR to the Ministry of Education and Culture and inform the public on a regular basis. - Head of Education Unit: stipulates the model for managing the education unit during BDR; ensure affordable learning systems; create a learning continuity plan; teacher coaching and monitoring; ensure the availability of teacher infrastructure; create a parenting program to support parents/guardians in assisting learning; establish an emergency preparedness team for handling COVID-19; periodic reports to the education office/education post. - Teachers: prepare distance learning implementation plans; facilitation of online distance learning; facilitation of offline distance learning; - Learners: online learning; offline learning. - Parents/guardians: online & offline learning assistance. 3. Guidance on learning activities when the education unit resumes operations.
144	Ministry of Education and Culture	Circular of the Secretary General of the Ministry of Education and Culture Number 17 of 2020 concerning Technical Assistance Services for Admission of New Students in the Network in the Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Emergency Period	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	Not revoked	Online technical assistance services for new student admissions during the COVID-19 emergency. The letter is addressed to the Governor, Regent/Mayor, and Head of Education Quality Assurance Institutions throughout Indonesia. Assistance services are provided by the Ministry of Education and Culture's Pusdatin for local governments.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
145	Ministry of Education and Culture, Ministry of Religion, Ministry of Health, and Ministry of Home Affairs.	Joint Decree: Minister of Education and Culture (No. 01/KB/2020); Minister of Religion (No 516 of 2020); Minister of Health (No. HK.03.01/ Menkes/363/2020); and Minister of Home Affairs (No. 440-882 of 2020)	6/15/2020	6/15/2020	Not revoked	<p>Guidelines for organizing learning in the academic year & academic year 2020/2021 during the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>The 2020/2021 academic & academic year starts in July 2020. Face-to-face learning decision making:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. District/city in the green zone. 2. The local government or the regional office/office of the Ministry of Religion grants the permit. 3. Education units fulfill all face-to-face learning checklists & slaps. 4. Parents agree to face-to-face learning. <p>Tier for the first entry:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Transition period, month 1 (July 2020 at the earliest): High school and junior high school or equivalent - New custom period, month 3 (if the zone remains green, September 2020 at the earliest): SD and equivalent - Month 5 (if the zone remains green): PAUD <p>Boarding schools and madrasas in the green zone are prohibited from opening dormitories and conducting face-to-face learning during the transition period. The opening is done gradually during the new habit.</p> <p>Restrictions on the transition period (first 2 months) and new habits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Primary and secondary education: 50% class capacity, maximum 18 students per class) with a distance of 1.5 m. PAUD; keep a distance of 3 m, maximum 5 students per class. Number of days and hours of study with a shift system. - Implementation of health protocols (wearing masks, washing hands, and keeping a distance) - There are no activities other than teaching and learning that gather students (in canteens, sports, extracurriculars, etc.). <p>The academic year will continue to start in August 2020 and religious higher education in September 2020. Learning in all zones will remain online, except for activities related to graduation and difficult to do online (eg research in the lab or practicum).</p>
146	Ministry of Education and Culture	Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture No 581/P/2020 concerning Special Regions with Emergency Conditions Impact of the 2019 Corona Virus Disease (Covid-19) Outbreak	6/19/2020	6/19/2020	Not revoked	Determination of special areas with emergency conditions due to the impact of COVID-19.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
147	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Regulation of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 14 of 2020 concerning the Third Amendment to the Regulation of the Minister of Villages for Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration Number 11 of 2019 concerning Priorities for Use of Village Funds in 2020	9/28/2020	9/30/2020	Not revoked	This regulation changes the priority of using village funds that are adjusted to include non-natural disasters, such as Covid-19. The use of village funds in tackling Covid-19 can be used to form village volunteers against Covid-19, direct cash assistance from village funds, emergency response activities, and so on.
148	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Regulation of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 13 of 2020 concerning Priorities for Use of Village Funds in 2020	9/14/2020	9/15/2020	Not revoked	Priority for the use of village funds is directed to program activities to accelerate the achievement of village SDGs through national economic recovery according to village authority, village priority programs according to village authority, and adaptation of new habits. In addition to setting the priority of use, this regulation also regulates general guidelines for the implementation of the use of village funds.
149	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Regulation of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 7 of 2020 concerning the Second Amendment to the Regulation of the Minister of Villages for Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration Number 11 of 2019 concerning Priorities for Use of Village Funds in 2020	6/16/2020	6/16/2020	Not revoked	This regulation adds one article to regulate further non-natural disasters such as Covid-19. For handling Covid-19, village funds can be used to channel BLT village funds to poor families. BLT village funds can be used for poor families who are not recorded in DTKs.
150	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Regulation of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 6 of 2020 concerning Amendments to the Regulation of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 11 of 2019 concerning Priorities for Use of Village Funds in 2020	4/13/2020	4/14/2020	Has been changed	This regulation adds a focus on using village funds for public health and food security. This regulation also lists non-natural disasters, such as the Covid pandemic, bird flu, cholera, and other infectious diseases that were not regulated in the previous regulation.
151	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Decree of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 63 of 2020 concerning the Village New Normal Protocol	7/2/2020	7/2/2020	Not revoked	This Ministerial Decree regulates the village's new normal protocol for daily life. Must be socialized by the village head. In addition, the government is obliged to provide guidance, supervision, and coordination to the Task Force.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
152	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Instruction of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 2 of 2020 concerning Acceleration of the Distribution of Phase One Direct Cash Assistance of Village Funds Holding Special Village Deliberations	5/17/2020	5/17/2020	Not revoked	This ministerial instruction calls on village heads to distribute BLT-DD to beneficiary families as stipulated in village meetings. Then submit the approval of the BLT-DD KPM to the regent/mayor along with the distribution of the first stage of BLT-DD. The results of the regent/mayor approval will be used for the next stage of distribution.
153	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Circular Letter Number 8 of 2020 concerning Villages Responding to Covid-19 and Affirmation of Village Cash Intensive Work	3/24/2020	3/4/2020	Has been changed	This regulation regulates the implementation of Covid-19 response villages and the implementation of village cash labor intensive (PKTD) with village funds. This regulation details the duties of village volunteers against Covid-19 and changes to the APBDes.
154	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Circular Letter Number 11 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Circular Letter of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 8 of 2020 concerning Villages Responding to Covid-19 and Affirmation of Village Cash Intensive Work	3/30/2020	3/30/2020	Not revoked	This regulation changes several special directors that need attention, such as registering people who are vulnerable to illness, such as the elderly, toddlers, and people with chronic diseases, permanent illnesses, and other chronic diseases, as well as registering families who are entitled to benefit from various policies related to social safety nets and social security nets, central and local governments, both those who have and have not received.
155	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Circular Letter Number 15 of 2020 concerning Village Cash Intensive Work and Economic Empowerment Through Village-Owned Enterprises	7/27/2020	7/27/2020	Not revoked	This circular is intended as a guideline for village governments in using village funds that are still available for activities that generate productive economies in rural areas through the Kartika-intensive program and strengthening the bumdes.
156	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Circular Letter of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 4 of 2020 concerning Development and Control of 2020 Budget Village Funds	3/17/2020	3/17/2020	Unknown	This circular urges villages to use village funds for activities with the Village Cash Intensive Work (PKTD) pattern by prioritizing poor, unemployed and underemployed family members, as well as other marginalized community members. In the COVID-19 situation, PKTD is carried out by maintaining physical distance and using masks.
157	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration	Circular Letter of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 9/PRI.00/IV/2020 Regarding Technical Guidelines for Data Collection of Prospective Family Recipients of Village Fund BLT	4/16/2020	4/16/2020	Unknown	The Minister regulates the technical data collection of prospective families who receive BLT-Village Funds by establishing data collectors (village volunteers with a minimum number of 3 people), prospective recipients (poor families recorded in DTKS, non PKH, non BPNT, and have a NIK. If not included in DTKS then added for updating DTKS), as well as procedures for data collection and reporting.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
158	Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration	Letter of the Minister of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions, and Transmigration Number 1261/PRI.00/2020 regarding Notifications	4/14/2020	4/14/2020	Unknown	The Minister informed that the Village Fund could be used for direct cash assistance to poor families in the village. Poor families are non-PKH/non-BPNT poor families who have lost their livelihoods, have not been registered, and have family members who are prone to chronic/chronic illness. The amount of BLT is IDR 60,000 per family with a distribution period of 3 months.
159	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Covid-19 Number 7 of 2020 concerning Criteria and Requirements for People's Travel in the Adaptation Period of New Habits Towards a Productive and Safe Society Corona Virus Disease 2019	6/6/2020	6/6/2020	Has been changed	A travel guide for the community during the adaptation period to the new habits of the Covid-19 pandemic.
160	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Covid-19 Number 4 of 2020 concerning Criteria for Restricting People's Travel in the Context of Accelerating Handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019	5/6/2020	5/6/2020	Already revoked	Restrictions on the travel of people to/out of the country's borders and/or administrative boundaries by private vehicles or public transportation facilities throughout Indonesia.
161	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Covid-19 Number 9 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Circular Letter Number 9 of 2020	6/26/2020	6/26/2020	Not revoked	Regulate changes to the conditions for people who will travel within the country and people who come from abroad.
162	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Covid-19 Number 6 of 2020 concerning the Status of Non-Natural Disasters for Corona Virus Disease 2019 as a National Disaster	5/27/2020	5/27/2020	Not revoked	Notification that the status of non-natural disasters COVID-19 will end when there has been a presidential decree regarding the determination of the end of non-natural disasters COVID-19.
163	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Decree of the Head of the Task Force for Handling COVID-19 Number 9 of 2021 concerning Quarantine Places, Isolation and RT-PCR Obligations for Indonesian Citizens Who Do International Travel	2/9/2021	2/9/2021	Not revoked	Establishing an isolation/quarantine area for Indonesian citizens traveling internationally (with certain criteria) at Wisma Pademangan or 2- and 3-star hotels if the guesthouse is full. Isolation/quarantine financing comes from the BNPB Ready-to-Use Fund.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
164	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 for the City of Bekasi Number 566/1294.SET.COVID-19 of 2020 concerning the Amendment to the Circular of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 for the City of Bekasi Number 556/1211-SET.COVID-19	9/29/2020	9/29/2020	Not revoked	Changes in regulations related to health and security protocols in order to control the spread of COVID-19, including in tourism areas.
165	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular Letter of the Head of the Covid-19 Handling Task Force Number 1 of 2021 concerning Provisions for Travel of Domestic People during the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	1/9/2021	1/9/2021	Not revoked	Regulate health protocols for people traveling within the country.
166	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Head of the Covid-19 Handling Task Force Number 6 of 2021 concerning International Travel Health Protocols during the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	01/26/2021	01/26/2021	Not revoked	Regulate health protocols for Indonesian citizens and foreigners who enter Indonesia.
167	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of Tasks for Handling Covid-19 Number 4 of 2020 concerning Travel Health Protocols for People during the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	12/28/2020	12/28/2020	Not revoked	Regulate the implementation of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 health protocol which is accompanied by monitoring, control, and evaluation in order to prevent an increase in COVID-19 transmission, including new variants that have mutated.
168	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for Handling COVID-19 Number 8 of 2021 concerning International Travel Health Protocols During the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	02/09/2021	02/09/2021	Not revoked	Extend the validity period of the application of health protocols for international travellers.
169	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Head of the Covid-19 Handling Task Force Number 2 of 2021 concerning International Travel Health Protocols during the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	01/14/2021	01/14/2021	Not revoked	Extend the validity period of the implementation of health protocols for international travellers by adding several new provisions related to monitoring, control, and evaluation in order to prevent an increase in COVID-19 cases.
170	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Head of the Task Force for Handling COVID-19 Number 2 of 2021 concerning International Travel Health Protocols during the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	01/15/2021	01/15/2021	Not revoked	Extending the implementation of health protocols for international travellers by adding new provisions related to monitoring, controlling, and evaluating in order to prevent an increase in COVID-19 cases including the new variant of S-CoV-2 variant B117.
171	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Head of the Task Force for Handling COVID-19 Number 5 of 2021	01/26/2021	01/26/2021	Not revoked	Extend the implementation of health protocols for domestic travelers.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
172	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for handling COVID-19 concerning Provisions for the Establishment of Command Posts for Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 in the Context of Enforcement of Restrictions on Community Activities at the Village/Kelurahan Level	02/12/2021	02/12/2021	Not revoked	Regulate the formation of Command Posts and the implementation of restrictions on community activities to the micro level, namely the Village/Kelurahan.
173	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular Letter of the Head of the Task Force for Handling COVID-19 Number 3 of 2020 concerning Health Protocols for Traveling People During the Christmas Holiday and Welcoming the 2021 New Year During the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	12/19/2020	12/19/2020	Not revoked	Improve COVID-19 health protocols during the 2021 Christmas and New Year holidays accompanied by monitoring, control, and evaluation.
174	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Circular of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling COVID-19 Number 8 of 2020 concerning Regulation of Working Hours During the Adaptation of New Habits Towards a Productive and Safe Society Corona Virus Disease 2019 in the Greater Jakarta Area	06/14/2020	06/14/2020	Not revoked	This circular was prepared as a guide for government agencies, BUMN, BUMD, and the private sector in determining and implementing technical arrangements for employee working hours during the adaptation period for new habits.
175	Indonesia COVID-19 Task Force	Decree of the Chairperson of the Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling COVID-19 Number 16 of 2020 concerning Job Descriptions, Organizational Structure, Secretariat, and Work Procedures for the Implementation of Tasks for Accelerating Handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019	3/18/2020	3/18/2020	Not revoked	Establish job descriptions, organizational structure, secretariat, and work procedures for implementing the task force for the acceleration of handling COVID-19.
176	Ministry of Home Affairs	Joint Circular Letter of the Minister of Home Affairs and the Head of the Government Goods/Services Procurement Policy Institute Number 119/3039/SU Number 11 of 2020 concerning Follow-Ups on Goods/ Services Procurement Contracts Against Adjustment of the 2020 Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget as the Impact of Public Health Emergencies as a COVID-19 National Disaster	04/20/2020	04/20/2020	Unknown	Instructions for local governments to determine regional budgets and adjust regional budget allocations to deal with the crisis due to COVID-19.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
177	Ministry of Home Affairs	Circular Letter Number 910/6650/SJ concerning Support for Budget Allocation for the Implementation of COVID-19 Immunization	12/08/2020	12/08/2020	Unknown	The government is accelerating the procurement of COVID-19 vaccines through: a. vaccine procurement, b. vaccination checks, c. funding for vaccine procurement and vaccine implementation. d. support and facilities of ministries, institutions, and local governments.
178	Ministry of Home Affairs	Instruction of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 4 of 2021 concerning Extension of the Implementation of Micro-Based Community Activity Restrictions and Optimizing the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Handling Posts at the Village and Sub-District Levels to Control the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Spread	2/19/2021	2/23/2021	Unknown	Regulate restrictions on micro community activities by taking into account the zoning criteria for regional control.
179	Ministry of Home Affairs	Circular of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 440/2622/SJ of 2020 concerning the Establishment of a Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling the 2019 Regional Corona Virus Disease	3/29/2020	3/29/2020	Not revoked	Assign governors and regents/mayors to be the Chairpersons of the regional COVID-19 Task Force for the Acceleration of Handling and order that regional task forces take steps to deal with COVID-19, compile a COVID-19 task force organization, and impose task force funding on the APBD.
180	Ministry of Home Affairs	Instruction of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 1 of 2021 concerning the Implementation of Activity Restrictions to Control the Spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019	1/6/2021	1/6/2021	Not revoked	Arrange for regional heads to impose restrictions on work activities, study, restaurants, shopping centers, places of worship, etc.
181	Ministry of Home Affairs	Instruction of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 2 of 2021 concerning Extension of the Implementation of Restrictions on Community Activities to Control the Spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019	1/22/2021	1/26/2021	Not revoked	Arrange for regional heads listed in this document to extend restrictions on community activities.
182	Ministry of Home Affairs	Instruction of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 6 of 2020 concerning Enforcement of Health Protocols for Controlling the Spread of COVID 2019	11/18/2020	11/18/2020	Has been changed	Directions for Governors and Regents/Mayors to enforce COVID-19 health protocols.
183	Ministry of Home Affairs	Circular of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 440/5876/SJ of 2020 concerning Anticipation of the Spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019 on Public Holidays and Leaves in 2019	10/21/2020	10/21/2020	Not revoked	Directions for Governors and Regents/Mayors to enforce COVID-19 health protocols during public holidays and leave, especially on the commemoration of the Birthday of the Prophet Muhammad SAW.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
184	Ministry of Home Affairs	Letter of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 440/2703/SJ of 2020 concerning Mitigation of the Impact of COVID-19 in Villages	4/2/2020	4/7/2020	Not revoked	Establish a Village COVID-19 Handling Acceleration Task Force and facilitate village governments in socializing and funding to tackle COVID-19.
185	Ministry of Home Affairs	Letter of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 440/2627/SJ of 2020 concerning Data Requests for Handling COVID-19 Needs	3/30/2020	3/30/2020	Not revoked	Instructions for the central and regional governments to record the need for medical equipment and food handling within the scope of the regional government for the needs of handling COVID-19.
186	Ministry of Home Affairs	Minister of Home Affairs Regulation Number 20 of 2020 concerning Acceleration of Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019 in Local Governments	3/16/2020	3/16/2020	Not revoked	Instructions for local governments to prioritize the use of APBD to anticipate and handle the impact of COVID-19 transmission.
187	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Minister of Health Number HK.02.01/MENKES/332/2020 of 2020 concerning Health Protocols for Handling Return of Indonesian Citizens and Arrivals of Foreigners from Abroad at State Entrances and in Territories in Situations of Large-Scale Social Restrictions in the Framework of Preventing the Spread of Corona Virus Disease 2019	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	Not revoked	Guidelines related to the implementation of health protocols for handling Indonesian citizens and foreigners who come from abroad.
188	Ministry of Health	Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 10 of 2021 concerning the Implementation of Vaccination in the Context of Combating the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	2/25/2021	2/25/2021	Not revoked	This regulation is a reference for the central and regional governments as well as the community in implementing the COVID-19 vaccination.
189	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/446/2021 of 2021 concerning the Use of Antigen Rapid Diagnostic Tests in COVID-19 Examination	2/8/2021	2/8/2021	Not revoked	Establishing Antigen Rapid Diagnostic Test as one of the methods in COVID-19 examination for contact tracing, diagnosis, and screening of COVID-19 under certain conditions.
190	Ministry of Health	Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 84 of 2020 concerning the Implementation of Vaccination in the Context of Combating Corona Virus Disease 2019	12/18/2020	12/18/2020	Not revoked	A reference for the Central, Provincial, Regency/City Governments, health workers, stakeholders, and the community in the implementation of COVID-19 vaccination.
191	Ministry of Health	Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 28 of 2020 concerning the Implementation of Vaccine Procurement in the Context of Combating the COVID-19 Pandemic	10/22/2020	10/22/2020	Not revoked	Regulating the procurement of COVID-19 vaccines, including the type and quantity of vaccines, procedures for procuring vaccines, payment procedures, guidance and supervision.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
192	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter Number HK.02.02/I/3167/2020 concerning Submission of Claims for Reimbursement of Services for Certain Emerging Infectious Disease Patients for Hospitals Providing Corona Virus Disease 2019 Services	8/5/2020	8/5/2020	Not revoked	Arrange for the provincial and district/city health offices to disseminate technical instructions for claiming reimbursement for services for patients with certain emerging infectious diseases.
193	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter Number HK.02.02/I/4611/2020 concerning the Highest Tariff Limit for Antigen-Swab Rapid Test Examination	12/18/2020	12/18/2020	Not revoked	The highest tariff limit for rapid antigen-swab examination is IDR 250,000 for Java Island and IDR 275,000 for outside Java Island. This rate does not apply to health facilities that receive grants/assistance from the government.
194	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Minister of Health Number HK.02.01/MENKES/455/2020 concerning Licensing and Accreditation of Health Service Facilities, and Designation of Teaching Hospitals During the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	7/29/2020	7/29/2020	Not revoked	Permits to operate hospitals, health centers, clinics, health laboratories, and blood transfusion units whose validity period has expired but are constrained by COVID-19, the permit is still valid for one year from the time the national disaster status is declared revoked by the government.
195	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Ministry of Health Number HK.02.02/1/2875/2020 concerning the Highest Rate Limit for Antibody Rapid Test Examination	7/6/2020	7/6/2020	Not revoked	Instructions for health facilities to follow the maximum tariff limit for antibody rapid tests of IDR 150,000.
196	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HKK.01.07/MENKES/405/2020 concerning the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Examination Laboratory Network	7/1/2020	7/1/2020	Not revoked	Regulate the criteria and duties of the national referral laboratory for COVID-19 examination and the COVID-19 examination laboratory.
197	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/391/2020 concerning Dispute Claims Settlement Teams at Hospitals Conducting COVID-19 Services	6/30/2020	6/30/2020	Not revoked	Regulate the formation of Dispute Claims Settlement Teams at Hospitals Providing COVID-19 Services. This team is tasked with confirming, clarifying, verifying, and resolving disputes regarding the implementation of COVID-19 services and recommending the results of dispute settlement claims for payment.
198	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia Number HK.01.07/MENKES/392/2020 concerning Provision of Incentives and Death Compensation for Health Workers Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019	6/30/2020	6/30/2020	Not revoked	Regulate the provision of incentives and death benefits for health workers who handle COVID-19 starting from March-May 2020 and can be extended through laws and regulations.
199	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/382/2020 concerning Protocols for Public Health in Public Places and Facilities in the Context of Prevention and Control of Corona Virus Disease 2019	6/19/2020	6/19/2020	Not revoked	Reference to health protocols for the public in public places and the government's obligation to supervise activities in public places and facilities.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
200	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/346/2020 concerning the Clinical Trial Research Team for the Provision of Convalescent Plasma as Supplementary Therapy for COVID-19	6/4/2020	6/4/2020	Not revoked	The Clinical Trial Research Team for Convalescent Plasma Administration is tasked with coordinating the preparation of research to reporting, both from a technical and scientific perspective, as well as coordinating with relevant stakeholders in clinical trial research on convalescent plasma administration as an additional therapy for COVID-19.
201	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Minister of Health Number HK.02.01/MENKES/303/2020 of 2020 concerning the Implementation of Health Services through the Utilization of Information and Communication Technology in the Context of Preventing the Spread of COVID-19	4/29/2020	4/29/2020	Not revoked	Doctors who include doctors, dentists, specialist doctors, specialist dentists, and sub-specialist doctors can take advantage of information and communication technology in the form of telemedicine in the provision of health services.
202	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/24/2020 of 2020 concerning Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of Corona Virus Disease 2019	4/9/2020	4/9/2020	Not revoked	These guidelines for prevention and handling of COVID-19 are intended for Central and Regional Governments, health facilities, and health workers in the efforts of surveillance and response to outbreaks/outbreaks, clinical management, specimen management and laboratory confirmation, infection prevention and control, as well as risk communication and community empowerment.
203	Ministry of Health	Letter of the Minister of Health Number UM.01.05/MENKES/241/2020 of 2020 concerning Support in Maintaining the Availability of Drugs, Drug Ingredients, Traditional Medicines, Health Supplements, Medical Devices, and PKRT During PSBB Status Determination	4/9/2020	4/9/2020	Not revoked	Instructions for Governors and Regents/Mayors to support the implementation of supply chain activities for drugs, medicinal ingredients, traditional medicines, health supplements, medical devices and PKRI, starting from the importation of production, distribution, pharmaceutical services and sales by business actors who already have the appropriate permits, provisions of laws and regulations.
204	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/27/5/2020 concerning the Designation of Referral Hospitals for the Management of Certain Emerging Infectious Diseases	4/23/2020	4/23/2020	Not revoked	Establish a referral hospital for handling certain emerging infectious diseases and its duties include managing suspected cases that have the potential for extraordinary events/outbreaks of certain emerging infectious diseases including COVID-19 as well as providing patient referral health services and specimen referrals.
205	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter Number HK.02.01/MENKES/295/2020 concerning Claims for Reimbursement for Treatment of Certain Emerging Infectious Disease Patients for Hospitals Providing 2019 COVID Services	4/24/2020	4/24/2020	Not revoked	This circular stipulates that reimbursement of costs for COVID-19 services can be submitted by referral hospitals for PIE prevention and other hospitals that provide COVID-19 services to the Director General of Health Services cq. Director of Referral Health Services.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
206	Ministry of Health	Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 9 of 2020 concerning Guidelines for Large-Scale Social Restrictions in the Context of Accelerating Handling of Corona Virus Disease 2019	4/3/2020	4/3/2020	Not revoked	Regulations related to the requirements of a province/district/city area in order to apply Large-Scale Social Restrictions.
207	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/218/2020 concerning Medical Devices, In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices, and Exempted Household Health Supplies and Import Trading Administration Permits in the Context of Coping with COVID-19	3/30/2020	3/30/2020	Not revoked	Regulate the exemption of import trade licensing for the importation of medical devices, in vitro diagnostic medical devices, and household health supplies in the context of dealing with COVID-19.
208	Ministry of Health	Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 7 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 51 of 2014 concerning Importation of Medical Devices through the Special Route Mechanism	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	Changed the pre-existing conditions by adding: - Medical devices imported through SAS for the purpose of handling Outbreaks and/or Public Health Emergencies may be circulated without having a permit. - The importation of medical devices as referred to in the previous paragraph is granted an exception to the import trade system. - Medical devices as referred to in the previous paragraph shall be determined by the Minister. An appeal for the heads of ministries/agencies, governors, and regents/mayors to instruct all levels of units/organizations in the sector of their respective Regional Apparatus Organizations to implement protocols to prevent transmission of COVID-19.
209	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Minister of Health Number HK.02.01/MENKES/216/2020 of 2020 concerning Protocols for Prevention of Corona Virus Disease Transmission in the Workplace	3/27/2020	3/27/2020	Not revoked	An appeal for the heads of ministries/agencies, governors, and regents/mayors to instruct all levels of units/organizations in the sector of their respective Regional Apparatus Organizations to implement protocols to prevent transmission of COVID-19.
210	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/216/2020 concerning the Establishment of a COVID-19 Examining Laboratory	3/26/2020	3/26/2020	Not revoked	Established laboratories at Sebelas Maret Surkarta University Hospital, Mataram University Hospital, Institute for Tropical Diseases, Airlangga University, and Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine UI as a COVID-19 examination laboratory
211	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Minister of Health Number HK.02.01/MENKES/335/2020 of 2020 concerning Protocols for Prevention of Corona Virus Disease Transmission in the Services and Trade Sector in Supporting Business Continuity	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	Unknown	Arrange for the Head of the Ministry of Business Empowerment, Regional Heads, and Workplace Management or Managers to instruct their respective units/organizations to implement health protocols for workplace managers, business actors/consumers and workers in the service and trade sectors during the PSBB period.

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
212	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/328/2020 concerning Guidelines for the Prevention and Control of COVID 2019 in the Office and Industrial Workplace in Supporting Business Continuity in a Pandemic Situation	5/20/2020	5/20/2020	Not revoked	Guidelines for preventing and controlling COVID-19 in office and industrial workplaces during a pandemic situation for workplace managers/managers in government agencies, private companies, BUMN, Provincial and Regency/City Health Offices.
213	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/327/2020 concerning the Determination of COVID 19 Due to Work as a Specific Occupational Disease in Certain Occupations	5/19/2020	5/19/2020	Not revoked	Establishing COVID-19 as an occupational disease in the profession of health workers and non-health workers who handle COVID-19.
214	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/215/2020 of 2020 concerning the Utilization of the Special Allocation Fund for Health for the Prevention of COVID-19 Handling for Fiscal Year 2020	3/20/2020	3/20/2020	Not revoked	To determine the DAK for the health sector for the 2020 fiscal year so that it can be used for the prevention and/or handling of COVID-19.
215	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/214/2020 of 2020 concerning the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Examination Laboratory Network	3/19/2020	3/19/2020	Not revoked	Establish a national reference laboratory for COVID-19 examinations and a COVID-19 examination laboratory as a network of COVID-19 examination laboratories. The laboratory must meet the Bio Safety Level 2 standard.
216	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Minister of Health Number HK.02.01/MENKES/202/2020 of 2020 concerning Protocols for Self-Isolation in Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019	3/16/2020	3/16/2020	Not revoked	An appeal for all Heads of Ministries/Institutions and Regional Governments to instruct all levels of units/organizations in their respective sectors and Regional Apparatus Organizations to apply self-isolation if they are in the handling of COVID-19.
217	Ministry of Health	Circular Letter of the Minister of Health Number GJ.02.01/MENKES/199/2020 of 2020 concerning Communication for Handling Corona Virus Disease 2019	3/12/2020	3/12/2020	Not revoked	This circular is intended to increase support and cooperation between sectors and the government in the implementation of communication to the community in dealing with the threat of COVID 19 so that the public is calm and gains an understanding of the things that must be done.
218	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/169/2020 of 2020 concerning the Designation of Referral Hospitals for the Management of Certain Emerging Infectious Diseases	3/10/2020	3/10/2020	Not revoked	Establish hospitals that can be used as a reference for handling certain emerging infectious diseases and the duties of the hospital.
219	Ministry of Health	Decree of the Minister of Health Number HK.01.07/MENKES/104/2020 of 2020 concerning Determination of Novel Corona Virus Infections as Diseases Causing Outbreaks and Efforts to Overcome them	2/4/2020	2/4/2020	Not revoked	Establishing Novel Coronavirus infection as a disease that can cause outbreaks and ordering the central and regional governments to take countermeasures.

LIST OF POLICIES AND REGULATION TO RESPONSE THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

NUMBER	MINISTRY/ INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
220	Government regulations	Government Regulation Number 23 of 2020 concerning Implementation of the National Economic Recovery Program in Order to Support State Financial Policies for Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic and/or Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy and/or Financial System Stability and Rescue the National Economy	5/11/2020	5/11/2020	Unknown	Implementing regulations of Law no. 1 of 2020 which essentially regulates the implementation of the National Economic Recovery Program (PEN) by the government through appointed SOEs.
221	Government regulations	Government Regulation Number 1 of 2020 concerning State Financial Policy and Financial System Stability for Handling the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic and/or in Facing Threats That Endanger the National Economy and/or Financial System Stability.	3/31/2020	3/31/2020	Unknown	Instructions for the implementation of the State Budget and the determination of state financial policies (covering policies in the fields of taxation, state spending, regional finance, and financing policies) and policies on financial system stability in the context of handling the COVID-19 virus pandemic.
222	Government regulations	Government Regulation Number 21 of 2020 concerning Large-Scale Social Restrictions in the Context of Accelerating the Handling of COVID-19	3/31/2020	3/31/2020	Not revoked	Regulate the requirements for determining PSBB for an area.
223	Government regulations	Government Regulation in Lieu of Law Number 2 of 2020 concerning the Third Amendment to Law Number 1 of 2015 concerning Stipulation of Government Regulation in Lieu of Law Number 1 of 2014 concerning the Election of Governors, Regents, and Mayors to Become Laws	5/4/2020	5/4/2020	Not revoked	Amend some provisions related to elections, such as holding a follow-up election/advanced simultaneous election if the election or simultaneous election cannot be held due to a disaster or pandemic.
224	Government regulations	Government Regulation Number 30 of 2020 concerning Reduction of Income Tax Rates for Domestic Corporate Taxpayers in the Form of a Public Company	6/18/2020	6/18/2020	Not revoked	Adjusting the reduction in income tax rates for Domestic Corporate Taxpayers in the form of a Public Company in the context of dealing with the COVID-19 pandemic that endangers the national economy.
225	Ministry of Religion	Circular Letter of the Ministry of Religion Number SE.18 of 2020 concerning the Implementation of Eid al-Adha Prayers and the Slaughter of Sacrificial Animals in 1441 H/2020 M Towards a Productive and Safe Society COVID-19	6/30/2020	6/30/2020	Not revoked	Instructions for the application of health protocols in the implementation of Eid al-Adha prayers and the slaughter of sacrificial animals.
226	Ministry of Religion	Circular Letter of the Minister of Religion Number SE.6 of 2020 concerning Guidelines for the Worship of Ramadan Eid Al-Fitr 1 Shawwal 1441 H in the midst of the COVID-19 Outbreak	4/6/2020	4/6/2020	Not revoked	Technical regulations regarding the implementation of fasting in the month of Ramadan during the COVID-19 pandemic.

NUMBER	MINISTRY/INSTITUTION	POLICY/REGULATION TITLE	VALIDATED DATE	EFFECTIVE DATE	CURRENT STATUS	CORE SUMMARY
227	Ministry of Religion	Guidelines for Organizing Umrah Travel During the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic	10/27/2020	10/27/2020	Not revoked	Guidelines for organizing Umrah pilgrimages during the COVID-19 pandemic
228	Ministry of Religion	Decree of the Minister of Religion Number 494 of 2020 concerning Cancellation of Departure of Hajj Pilgrims in the Organization of the Hajj Service of 1441H/2020 AD	6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Not revoked	To stipulate the cancellation of the departure of Hajj pilgrims during the Hajj pilgrimage in 1441 H/2020 AD for all Indonesian citizens and regulate the consequences of the cancellation.
229	Ministry of Religion	Circular Letter of the Minister of Religion Number SE.15 of 2020 concerning Guidelines for the Implementation of Religious Activities in Houses of Worship in Realizing a Productive and Safe Society for COVID in a Pandemic Period	5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Not revoked	Allowing religious activities in places of worship based on certain requirements during the COVID-19 pandemic.
230	Ministry of Religion	Circular of the Minister of Religion Number SE.1 of 2020 concerning Implementation of the COVID-19 Handling Protocol in Houses of Worship	3/13/2020	3/13/2020	Not revoked	Orders for all levels of agencies under the Ministry of Religion to carry out socialization and synergies with stakeholders in their respective areas to clean houses of worship and implement health protocols in places of worship.

Note:

There are 28 Ministries, Institutions and Task Forces that issue Policies and Regulations related to COVID-19 handling in Indonesia



2

STATISTICAL ANNEX





GOAL 1

NO POVERTY

Indicator 1.1.1* Proportion of population living in extreme poverty 2015 -2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	MARCH (7)
Indonesia	7.2	6.5	5.7	4.6	3.7	3.8	4.2

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

For 2020, it is calculated based on conversion value 1 US\$ PPP = IDR 6,058.9

**Indicator 1.2.1* Proportion of population living below the national poverty line, by sex and age group,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR											
	2015			2016			2017			2018		
	MAR	SEPT										
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
PROVINCE												
Aceh	17.08	17.11	16.73	16.43	16.89	15.92	15.97	15.68	15.32	15.01	14.99	15.43
North Sumatera	10.53	10.79	10.35	10.27	10.22	9.28	9.22	8.94	8.83	8.63	8.75	9.14
West Sumatera	7.31	6.71	7.09	7.14	6.87	6.75	6.65	6.55	6.42	6.29	6.28	6.56
Riau	8.42	8.82	7.98	7.67	7.78	7.41	7.39	7.21	7.08	6.90	6.82	7.04
Jambi	8.86	9.12	8.41	8.37	8.19	7.90	7.92	7.85	7.60	7.51	7.58	7.97
South Sumatera	14.25	13.77	13.54	13.39	13.19	13.10	12.80	12.82	12.71	12.56	12.66	12.98
Bengkulu	17.88	17.16	17.32	17.03	16.45	15.59	15.43	15.41	15.23	14.91	15.03	15.30
Lampung	14.35	13.53	14.29	13.86	13.69	13.04	13.14	13.01	12.62	12.30	12.34	12.76
Bangka Belitung Islands	5.40	4.83	5.22	5.04	5.20	5.30	5.25	4.77	4.62	4.50	4.53	4.89
Riau Islands	6.24	5.78	5.98	5.84	6.06	6.13	6.20	5.83	5.90	5.80	5.92	6.13
DKI Jakarta	3.93	3.61	3.75	3.75	3.77	3.78	3.57	3.55	3.47	3.42	4.53	4.69
West Java	9.53	9.57	8.95	8.77	8.71	7.83	7.45	7.25	6.91	6.82	7.88	8.43
Central Java	13.58	13.32	13.27	13.19	13.01	12.23	11.32	11.19	10.80	10.58	11.41	11.84
DI Yogyakarta	14.91	13.16	13.34	13.10	13.02	12.36	12.13	11.81	11.70	11.44	12.28	12.80
East Java	12.34	12.28	12.05	11.85	11.77	11.20	10.98	10.85	10.37	10.20	11.09	11.46
Banten	5.90	5.75	5.42	5.36	5.45	5.59	5.24	5.25	5.09	4.94	5.92	6.63
Bali	4.74	5.25	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.14	4.01	3.91	3.79	3.61	3.78	4.45
West Nusa Tenggara	17.10	16.54	16.48	16.02	16.07	15.05	14.75	14.63	14.56	13.88	13.97	14.23

DISAGGREGATION	2015						2016						2017						2018						2019					
	MAR		SEPT		MAR		SEPT		MAR		SEPT		MAR		SEPT		MAR		SEPT		MAR		SEPT		MAR		SEPT			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)					
East Nusa Tenggara	22.61	22.58	22.19	22.01	21.85	21.38	21.35	21.03	21.09	20.62	20.90	21.21																		
West Kalimantan	8.03	8.44	7.87	8.00	7.88	7.86	7.77	7.37	7.49	7.28	7.17	7.24																		
Central Kalimantan	5.94	5.91	5.66	5.36	5.37	5.26	5.17	5.10	4.98	4.81	4.82	5.26																		
South Kalimantan	4.99	4.72	4.85	4.52	4.73	4.70	4.54	4.65	4.55	4.47	4.38	4.83																		
East Kalimantan	6.23	6.10	6.11	6.00	6.19	6.08	6.03	6.06	5.94	5.91	6.10	6.64																		
North Kalimantan	6.24	6.32	6.23	6.99	7.22	6.96	7.09	6.86	6.63	6.49	6.80	7.41																		
North Sulawesi	8.65	8.98	8.34	8.20	8.10	7.90	7.80	7.59	7.66	7.51	7.62	7.78																		
Central Sulawesi	14.66	14.07	14.45	14.09	14.14	14.22	14.01	13.69	13.48	13.18	12.92	13.06																		
South Sulawesi	9.39	10.12	9.40	9.24	9.38	9.48	9.06	8.87	8.69	8.56	8.72	8.99																		
Southeast Sulawesi	12.90	13.74	12.88	12.77	12.81	11.97	11.63	11.32	11.24	11.04	11.00	11.69																		
Gorontalo	18.32	18.16	17.72	17.63	17.65	17.14	16.81	15.83	15.52	15.31	15.22	15.59																		
West Sulawesi	12.40	11.90	11.74	11.19	11.30	11.18	11.25	11.22	11.02	10.95	10.87	11.50																		
Maluku	19.51	19.36	19.18	19.26	18.45	18.29	18.12	17.85	17.69	17.65	17.44	17.99																		
North Maluku	6.84	6.22	6.33	6.41	6.35	6.44	6.64	6.62	6.77	6.91	6.78	6.97																		
West Papua	25.82	25.73	25.43	24.88	25.10	23.12	23.01	22.66	22.17	21.51	21.37	21.70																		
Papua	28.17	28.40	28.54	28.40	27.62	27.76	27.74	27.43	27.53	26.55	26.64	26.80																		
Indonesia	11.22	11.13	10.86	10.70	10.64	10.12	9.82	9.66	9.41	9.22	9.78	10.19																		

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, National Socio-Economic Survey (Susenas) - Consumption and Expenditure Module

**Indicator 1.2.1* Proportion of population living below the national poverty line, by sex and age group,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019	
	MAR	SEPT								
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
AREA OF RESIDENCE										
Urban	8.29	8.22	7.79	7.73	7.72	7.26	7.02	6.89	6.69	6.56
Rural	14.21	14.09	14.11	13.96	13.93	13.47	13.20	13.10	12.85	12.60
SEX										
Male	10.91	10.84	10.59	10.47	10.39	9.75	9.59	9.44	9.18	8.97
Female	11.54	11.42	11.14	10.93	10.89	10.50	10.06	9.89	9.63	9.47
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD										
Male	9.34	9.02	9.03	8.70	8.67	8.16	7.98	7.62	7.46	7.34
Female	11.13	9.73	9.82	9.23	9.32	8.64	8.52	8.43	7.88	8.09
AGE GROUP										
< 18 years	13.67	13.50	13.31	13.02	13.19	12.33	12.05	11.92	11.76	11.63
≥ 18 years	10.01	9.97	9.66	9.58	9.41	9.07	8.77	8.61	8.32	8.11
AGE GROUP										
0-17 (children)	13.67	13.50	13.31	13.02	13.19	12.33	12.05	11.92	11.76	11.63
10-19 (adolescent)	12.83	12.55	12.16	12.14	11.80	11.31	10.79	10.95	10.32	10.41
16-30 (youth)	9.48	9.75	9.35	9.60	9.01	8.88	8.51	8.50	8.08	8.00
60+ (elderly)	14.08	13.40	13.05	12.40	12.82	12.00	12.29	11.35	11.12	10.46

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019	
	MAR	SEPT	MAR	SEPT	MAR	SEPT	MAR	SEPT	MAR	SEPT
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
DISABILITY STATUS										
<i>Age 2-17 years</i>										
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	11.83	11.71	11.60	NA
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	12.38	12.29	12.15	NA
<i>Age ≥ 18 years</i>										
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8.38	8.15	7.90	NA
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	10.90	11.82	11.08	NA
Total (All ages)										
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9.66	9.45	9.22	NA
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	11.04	11.85	11.15	NA
Indonesia	11.22	11.13	10.86	10.70	10.64	10.12	9.82	9.66	9.41	9.22
										9.78
										10.19

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, Susenas - Consumption and Expenditure Module

Note:

- Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018
- Microdata for Susenas in September 2020 has not available yet
- NA = Not Available



Indicator 1.3.1* Proportion of population covered by social protection programme, by sex, distinguishing children, unemployed persons, older persons, persons with disabilities, pregnant women, newborns, work-injury victims and the poor and the vulnerable, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019*	2020**
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Indonesia	85.92	88.03	87.96	87.17	90.02	90.11

Source: Integrated Database (BDT) in Final Evaluation of RPJMN 2015-2019, Bappenas and Social Security Administrator for Health (BPJS Health) processed.

Note:

* Premium Assistance Beneficiaries (Penerima Bantuan Iuran/PBI) of the National Health Insurance (Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional/JKN) in 2019 reached 96.5 million people from the target of 107.2 million.

** PBI JKN in 2020 reached 96.6 million people from the target of 107.2 million.

Indicator 1.3.1.(a) Proportion of population enrolled in health insurance through the National Social Security System (SJSN) for Health, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019*	2020**
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Indonesia	85.92	88.03	87.96	87.17	90.02	90.11

Source: Integrated Database (BDT) in Final Evaluation of RPJMN 2015-2019, Bappenas and Social Security Administrator for Health (BPJS Health) processed

Note:

* Premium Assistance Beneficiaries (Penerima Bantuan Iuran/PBI) of the National Health Insurance (Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional/JKN) in 2019 reached 96.5 million people from the target of 107.2 million.

** PBI JKN in 2020 reached 96.6 million people from the target of 107.2 million.

Indicator 1.3.1.(b) Proportion of workers covered by the social insurance for employment programme, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
Formal	56.51	63.82
Informal	3.85	3.21

Source: Social Security Administrator for Employment (BPJS Employment)

Indicator 1.4.1* Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	ACCESS TO BASIC DRINKING WATER SERVICES*			ACCESS TO IMPROVED SANITATION SERVICES					
	2018	2019	2020	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
PROVINCE									
Aceh	21.71	33.77	36.96	54.16	61.02	62.92	67.09	73.16	77.06
North Sumatera	28.05	40.20	41.32	67.18	72.43	72.56	74.60	79.59	81.08
West Sumatera	29.66	35.94	38.47	44.53	52.93	52.56	56.85	63.98	68.11
Riau	32.08	35.66	32.99	50.77	70.67	69.84	71.48	80.04	83.99
Jambi	38.32	46.26	45.49	57.81	65.11	64.20	63.99	75.60	77.82
South Sumatera	37.44	47.13	49.57	61.03	64.51	66.10	68.60	74.67	76.94
Bengkulu	30.98	35.88	37.36	38.96	49.45	42.54	44.31	75.91	78.10
Lampung	31.81	44.18	47.51	44.53	58.29	52.78	52.48	79.22	78.81
Bangka Belitung Islands	15.36	21.06	19.21	80.13	82.49	83.56	85.64	90.32	92.58
Riau Islands	16.50	16.46	13.02	71.38	78.71	85.55	85.07	89.13	89.19
DKI Jakarta	20.08	27.48	24.94	88.39	90.42	90.37	90.73	92.89	93.04
West Java	24.06	39.30	38.54	58.86	63.12	64.02	64.73	69.64	71.40
Central Java	44.41	56.98	55.00	66.68	70.19	71.56	74.04	80.29	83.24
DI Yogyakarta	49.46	58.91	61.92	86.03	85.60	89.14	88.92	94.67	96.96
East Java	37.53	52.38	52.41	62.90	67.47	68.60	68.84	78.78	80.98
Banten	20.86	34.42	33.75	66.24	72.92	71.12	70.65	81.01	82.00
Bali	36.92	43.49	40.22	84.99	88.74	90.07	91.14	94.59	95.01
West Nusa Tenggara	33.78	49.12	46.98	63.46	69.76	69.08	73.70	80.02	82.89
East Nusa Tenggara	25.19	28.96	26.91	23.37	38.74	43.77	50.72	64.55	69.70
West Kalimantan	49.33	50.62	48.68	39.48	51.46	49.33	53.97	72.08	75.81
Central Kalimantan	21.49	26.64	26.76	35.38	50.30	45.15	52.55	69.23	72.31
South Kalimantan	32.88	37.21	36.90	59.66	60.71	58.09	62.76	76.56	81.17
East Kalimantan	19.40	17.66	15.28	68.17	75.60	72.36	79.19	89.27	89.17
North Kalimantan	22.21	20.16	24.68	48.09	64.26	66.06	71.75	77.20	82.09
North Sulawesi	24.88	34.45	34.20	64.62	74.75	71.14	75.23	82.36	85.49
Central Sulawesi	30.18	35.48	34.02	54.89	59.76	61.03	64.15	71.95	74.61
South Sulawesi	33.17	44.25	44.39	71.82	76.05	76.52	79.61	87.80	88.96
Southeast Sulawesi	42.08	41.45	42.07	63.29	67.44	69.22	69.90	79.75	82.38
GORONTALO	23.58	34.87	32.04	54.47	59.38	58.62	64.30	74.57	75.68
West Sulawesi	25.84	32.80	30.49	50.97	59.35	59.48	63.21	73.39	77.07
Maluku	20.31	29.38	29.63	59.14	66.31	63.02	69.05	70.00	75.06
North Maluku	30.98	39.60	43.31	58.99	64.13	66.14	66.96	72.52	75.99
West Papua	24.68	32.06	25.21	61.63	63.69	64.91	73.95	76.39	78.71
Papua	26.01	24.64	25.37	27.10	30.97	32.56	33.75	38.27	40.31
Indonesia	31.75	42.84	42.31	61.57	67.20	67.54	69.27	77.39	79.53

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

*Access to basic drinking water services is measured as the use of drinking water from improved water sources, located on premises, available when needed (no shortage within 24 hours), and meet the quality requirement of free of physical contamination (not cloudy, colored, or foamy). Therefore, it can be categorized as access to safely managed drinking water services from a physical aspect. Data are available from Susenas since 2018.



Indicator 1.4.1* Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	ACCESS TO BASIC DRINKING WATER SERVICES*			ACCESS TO IMPROVED SANITATION SERVICES					
	2018	2019	2020	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
AREA OF RESIDENCE									
Urban	30.26	40.26	38.89	75.67	80.16	80.27	80.48	82.27	83.66
Rural	33.55	46.12	46.66	47.38	53.57	53.15	55.74	71.17	74.27
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE									
Quintile 1	31.88	47.00	48.12	41.76	46.51	48.61	50.72	62.77	66.15
Quintile 2	33.03	46.82	47.43	51.30	57.95	58.12	59.92	70.08	73.61
Quintile 3	33.00	45.36	44.39	58.69	64.89	65.30	68.14	76.65	78.93
Quintile 4	32.48	43.22	42.31	68.47	74.35	73.32	74.28	82.45	83.90
Quintile 5	29.02	34.62	32.82	81.14	85.57	85.09	86.20	89.22	89.85
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE									
40% lowest	32.47	46.90	47.76	46.63	52.39	53.51	55.47	66.57	70.03
40% medium	32.73	44.25	43.31	63.71	69.77	69.46	71.34	79.67	81.52
20% highest	29.02	34.62	32.82	81.14	85.57	85.09	86.20	89.22	89.85
Indonesia	31.75	42.84	42.31	61.57	67.20	67.54	69.27	77.39	79.53

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

*Access to basic drinking water services is measured as the use of drinking water from improved water sources, located on premises, available when needed (no shortage within 24 hours), and meet the quality requirement of free of physical contamination (not cloudy, colored, or foamy). Therefore, it can be categorized as access to safely managed drinking water services from a physical aspect. Data are available from Susenas since 2018.

Indicator 1.4.1* Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	ACCESS TO BASIC HYGIENE FACILITIES*			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
PROVINCE				
Aceh	62.13	70.87	64.00	67.98
North Sumatera	63.59	71.94	71.43	67.30
West Sumatera	67.36	77.49	78.80	82.69
Riau	61.54	71.26	72.11	73.05
Jambi	59.49	67.79	71.47	73.12
South Sumatera	65.82	82.58	73.84	75.04
Bengkulu	69.25	79.22	77.81	79.12
Lampung	62.81	78.51	74.73	78.06
Bangka Belitung Islands	79.01	90.78	85.35	86.67
Riau Islands	67.13	78.45	74.49	80.35
DKI Jakarta	68.96	73.45	73.18	76.83
West Java	64.43	75.34	76.82	79.10
Central Java	73.64	83.09	81.22	84.42
DI Yogyakarta	82.11	88.48	80.89	84.64
East Java	70.21	83.36	77.08	79.36
Banten	71.17	77.61	74.19	78.36
Bali	82.49	92.78	88.33	89.68
West Nusa Tenggara	61.13	83.92	71.47	74.26
East Nusa Tenggara	43.15	63.90	51.92	54.43
West Kalimantan	63.67	77.55	71.31	72.90
Central Kalimantan	67.77	78.88	77.16	77.82
South Kalimantan	73.68	80.64	85.39	86.70
East Kalimantan	69.02	79.38	75.84	76.83
North Kalimantan	87.81	86.18	83.40	87.02
North Sulawesi	80.02	85.73	83.12	83.71
Central Sulawesi	79.69	83.19	81.38	81.93
South Sulawesi	79.28	88.02	85.34	88.71
Southeast Sulawesi	80.89	89.42	82.97	85.97
Gorontalo	65.56	74.61	79.71	85.40
West Sulawesi	72.25	82.89	80.77	79.52
Maluku	77.70	91.26	75.60	79.13
North Maluku	73.68	83.02	72.81	80.52
West Papua	63.05	85.26	69.47	73.53
Papua	32.85	37.63	35.55	36.44
Indonesia	68.16	78.87	76.07	78.30

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note: *Access to basic hygiene facilities refers to the availability of hand washing facilities with soap and water.



Indicator 1.4.1* Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	ACCESS TO BASIC HYGIENE FACILITIES*			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	72.86	83.32	79.42	82.09
Rural	62.84	73.49	71.81	73.47
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
Quintile 1	57.41	70.95	67.12	70.70
Quintile 2	63.48	74.51	72.74	74.95
Quintile 3	66.94	78.83	75.36	77.85
Quintile 4	71.67	80.70	78.57	80.26
Quintile 5	77.38	86.28	83.19	84.68
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
40% lowest	60.54	72.79	70.04	72.91
40% medium	69.39	79.80	77.03	79.10
20% highest	77.38	86.28	83.19	84.68
Indonesia	68.16	78.87	76.07	78.30

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

*Access to basic hygiene facilities refers to the availability of hand washing facilities with soap and water.

Indicator 1.4.2* Proportion of total adult population with secure tenure rights to land, with legally recognized documentation, and who perceive their rights to land as secure, by sex and type of tenure, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	PROPORTION OF HOUSEHOLDS OWNING THEIR RESIDENCE					
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	2020 (7)
	PROVINCE					
Aceh	82.36	81.66	80.42	81.21	80.32	80.96
North Sumatera	71.09	69.95	67.53	68.32	68.35	69.16
West Sumatera	74.13	72.89	70.58	71.21	70.75	71.24
Riau	71.56	71.53	68.15	69.90	70.55	69.78
Jambi	83.94	84.13	81.39	83.64	82.26	84.32
South Sumatera	83.02	83.09	80.60	80.65	81.52	81.76
Bengkulu	85.52	84.27	80.83	83.39	82.72	83.56
Lampung	90.35	90.71	86.36	87.89	88.60	88.39
Bangka Belitung Islands	87.85	87.59	83.75	85.91	85.51	85.33
Riau Islands	67.67	68.99	65.70	69.44	66.62	66.37
DKI Jakarta	51.09	50.16	48.33	47.85	47.12	45.04
West Java	80.63	81.58	76.83	77.71	77.89	77.60
Central Java	90.93	90.77	88.01	88.17	89.04	89.20
DI Yogyakarta	76.99	77.40	75.26	76.54	73.29	74.55
East Java	90.46	90.76	87.55	87.46	87.58	87.12
Banten	80.94	81.52	81.38	81.33	80.36	82.26
Bali	77.31	74.05	70.54	71.75	72.88	71.17
West Nusa Tenggara	87.85	88.30	85.66	85.48	87.15	87.43
East Nusa Tenggara	88.52	88.53	86.50	85.91	86.88	87.39
West Kalimantan	90.07	89.06	87.99	88.84	88.52	88.83
Central Kalimantan	77.99	75.74	76.80	75.24	75.58	78.35
South Kalimantan	79.22	79.84	77.30	76.77	76.33	77.58
East Kalimantan	72.69	71.12	68.87	70.31	69.13	68.83
North Kalimantan	74.77	70.02	69.72	67.23	72.06	73.77
North Sulawesi	80.44	79.77	76.79	77.65	77.27	78.67
Central Sulawesi	87.14	85.37	83.70	84.32	84.31	84.32
South Sulawesi	86.85	85.72	82.75	83.61	83.69	83.40
Southeast Sulawesi	86.47	86.37	84.78	84.43	85.28	86.14
Gorontalo	81.66	82.93	80.45	79.48	80.64	80.18
West Sulawesi	91.47	90.99	88.98	86.85	86.80	87.56
Maluku	81.51	80.48	80.15	80.09	79.48	79.00
North Maluku	87.84	87.65	86.83	83.87	82.73	83.65
West Papua	74.57	72.50	72.06	69.19	71.27	72.09
Papua	81.69	85.16	81.00	81.36	82.12	83.05
Indonesia	82.63	82.58	79.61	80.02	80.07	80.10

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 1.4.2* Proportion of total adult population with secure tenure rights to land, with legally recognized documentation, and who perceive their rights to land as secure, by sex and type of tenure, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	PROPORTION OF HOUSEHOLDS OWNING THEIR RESIDENCE					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	73.87	73.96	70.92	71.96	71.85	72.04
Rural	91.44	91.64	89.44	89.76	90.53	90.35
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD						
Male	82.32	82.26	79.35	79.74	79.83	79.71
Female	84.40	84.40	81.06	81.60	81.38	82.14
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	88.81	88.88	85.48	85.69	87.35	86.92
Quintile 2	86.25	85.63	83.24	83.18	83.62	83.41
Quintile 3	83.59	83.97	80.81	80.18	80.56	80.43
Quintile 4	80.71	81.20	79.44	79.81	79.67	79.62
Quintile 5	75.92	75.52	71.79	73.62	72.29	73.06
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	87.50	87.21	84.33	84.39	85.41	85.10
40% medium	82.11	82.54	80.09	79.99	80.10	80.01
20% highest	75.92	75.52	71.79	73.62	72.29	73.06
Indonesia	82.63	82.58	79.61	80.02	80.07	80.10

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 1.4.2* Proportion of total adult population with secure tenure rights to land, with legally recognized documentation, and who perceive their rights to land as secure, by sex and type of tenure, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	PROPORTION OF HOUSEHOLDS RENTING THEIR RESIDENCE					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	5.52	6.26	6.61	7.31	6.69	6.98
North Sumatera	11.76	12.75	13.99	12.87	14.02	12.54
West Sumatera	9.58	10.55	11.31	12.51	11.93	11.44
Riau	14.37	15.39	16.04	16.00	15.40	13.61
Jambi	5.91	6.29	7.11	7.06	6.53	5.83
South Sumatera	6.36	6.18	6.93	6.25	6.09	5.13
Bengkulu	5.48	6.86	9.30	7.59	7.74	7.49
Lampung	2.87	3.21	4.60	3.96	4.20	4.16
Bangka Belitung Islands	4.75	5.47	6.75	5.25	5.92	5.76
Riau Islands	25.89	24.44	27.36	23.48	24.03	26.29
DKI Jakarta	34.13	36.76	36.27	35.63	36.36	37.71
West Java	8.54	8.71	11.06	10.50	11.11	10.68
Central Java	2.29	2.36	2.88	2.85	2.91	2.56
DI Yogyakarta	13.58	14.07	13.57	13.71	17.53	15.01
East Java	4.55	4.32	5.81	5.97	6.02	5.65
Banten	13.17	13.13	11.23	12.48	12.35	11.62
Bali	17.10	19.69	21.39	19.99	21.03	22.03
West Nusa Tenggara	2.90	2.78	2.52	3.47	3.10	3.38
East Nusa Tenggara	4.04	4.81	5.17	6.17	6.00	5.93
West Kalimantan	2.55	3.84	3.60	3.39	3.19	2.86
Central Kalimantan	7.67	7.69	8.58	7.99	8.47	7.57
South Kalimantan	9.77	9.85	9.50	10.66	10.98	9.91
East Kalimantan	15.32	17.86	18.45	17.90	16.97	17.44
North Kalimantan	13.02	18.88	16.81	17.69	15.94	14.09
North Sulawesi	4.78	4.85	7.02	6.66	6.43	5.64
Central Sulawesi	4.52	5.22	5.98	5.99	6.25	5.61
South Sulawesi	4.68	5.55	6.43	5.36	5.20	5.31
Southeast Sulawesi	6.00	6.09	6.22	5.80	5.95	5.30
Gorontalo	2.56	2.79	3.48	2.92	4.65	2.46
West Sulawesi	1.77	2.36	2.69	2.49	2.16	2.15
Maluku	5.65	6.93	6.53	6.57	6.50	7.29
North Maluku	4.86	5.59	5.47	7.32	7.36	6.63
West Papua	12.39	13.28	13.10	14.42	14.50	13.35
Papua	7.79	6.96	9.02	9.18	8.85	8.47
Indonesia	8.08	8.51	9.52	9.35	9.64	9.27

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 1.4.2* Proportion of total adult population with secure tenure rights to land, with legally recognized documentation, and who perceive their rights to land as secure, by sex and type of tenure, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	PROPORTION OF HOUSEHOLDS RENTING THEIR RESIDENCE					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	14.99	15.38	16.51	15.76	15.95	15.34
Rural	1.14	1.30	1.60	1.61	1.61	1.54
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD						
Male	8.09	8.53	9.48	9.34	9.58	9.28
Female	8.02	8.41	9.75	9.44	9.96	9.19
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	2.62	2.65	3.48	3.10	3.09	3.17
Quintile 2	4.50	5.31	5.49	5.85	5.79	5.42
Quintile 3	6.55	6.81	8.17	8.79	8.72	8.22
Quintile 4	9.41	9.62	9.93	9.85	10.10	9.83
Quintile 5	15.22	15.87	17.66	16.52	17.42	16.67
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	3.58	4.02	4.51	4.53	4.49	4.34
40% medium	8.02	8.26	9.09	9.34	9.44	9.06
20% highest	15.22	15.87	17.66	16.52	17.42	16.67
Indonesia	8.08	8.51	9.52	9.35	9.64	9.27

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 1.5.1* Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019*	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Number of deaths and missing persons per 100,000 population	0.17	0.29	0.17	1.98	0.18	0.15 (without COVID-19) 8.34 (with COVID-19)
Number of people injured per 100,000 population	0.18	1.09	0.42	8.01	1.25	0.20
The number of people suffered and displaced per 100,000 population	480.67	1,222.43	1,403.02	3,930.78	1,935.31	2,387.62

Source: National Agency for Disaster Management (BNPB) in BPS (2020) and BNPB 2021 (processed)

Note:

*Data until October 2019

Indicator 1.a.2* Proportion of total government spending on essential services (education, health, and social protection), 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Proportion of total government spending on education	20.6	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Proportion of total government spending on health	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.20
Proportion of total government spending on social protection	13.7	14.0	13.7	16.0	16.4	18.1

Source: Ministry of Finance



GOAL 2

ZERO HUNGER

Indicator 2.1.1* Prevalence of undernourishment, 2017-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR			
	2017 (2)	2018 (3)	2019 (4)	2020 (5)
PROVINCE				
Aceh	8.40	8.68	9.41	8.58
North Sumatera	7.39	5.75	4.84	6.73
West Sumatera	5.53	5.45	4.90	5.86
Riau	8.61	9.66	8.32	9.16
Jambi	10.94	10.05	8.95	9.12
South Sumatera	7.47	10.84	9.04	9.77
Bengkulu	12.51	8.71	7.66	7.72
Lampung	9.20	12.11	10.51	12.19
Bangka Belitung Islands	9.61	10.07	7.85	9.35
Riau Islands	4.09	4.38	4.08	6.07
DKI Jakarta	1.80	1.46	1.43	1.94
West Java	3.60	3.82	3.70	3.90
Central Java	13.82	11.22	11.61	11.80
DI Yogyakarta	8.84	8.70	7.35	9.90
East Java	8.51	7.40	7.47	8.58
Banten	2.64	2.95	2.13	2.11
Bali	4.69	2.73	2.91	4.01
West Nusa Tenggara	8.71	5.97	2.77	2.97
East Nusa Tenggara	11.26	13.46	14.11	13.12
West Kalimantan	18.90	18.68	19.11	19.92
Central Kalimantan	9.03	8.71	9.38	10.10
South Kalimantan	2.29	2.67	2.51	2.72
East Kalimantan	11.61	10.18	6.97	8.24
North Kalimantan	14.35	11.05	10.12	12.11
North Sulawesi	3.10	3.12	3.96	4.49
Central Sulawesi	4.60	6.95	8.44	8.85
South Sulawesi	5.43	6.22	7.65	10.14
Southeast Sulawesi	7.55	7.12	8.79	10.06
Gorontalo	9.27	11.55	7.78	10.33
West Sulawesi	10.59	10.23	6.79	9.16
Maluku	26.57	34.17	34.12	35.55
North Maluku	34.05	30.75	35.81	35.48
West Papua	27.22	21.18	19.22	23.09
Papua	34.27	38.35	38.21	31.49
Indonesia	8.23	7.92	7.63	8.34

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, Susenas - Consumption and Expenditure Module

Indicator 2.1.1* Prevalence of undernourishment, 2017-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	6.44	6.17	5.64	6.56
Rural	10.14	10.00	10.11	10.47
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD				
Male	7.92	7.64	7.41	8.09
Female	10.53	10.03	9.20	10.01
AGE GROUP				
0-17 years (children)	1.09	0.96	0.88	1.00
10-19 years (adolescent)	7.76	7.49	7.18	7.79
16-30 years (youth)	14.82	14.60	14.13	15.27
60+ years (elderly)	7.60	7.10	6.55	7.05
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
Quintile 1	15.56	15.13	13.98	14.72
Quintile 2	9.38	8.99	8.60	9.54
Quintile 3	6.41	6.09	5.95	6.72
Quintile 4	3.85	3.89	3.74	4.42
Quintile 5	1.85	1.86	1.95	2.27
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
40% lowest	12.60	12.18	11.42	12.26
40% medium	5.18	5.05	4.90	5.63
20% highest	1.85	1.86	1.95	2.27
DISABILITY STATUS				
Non-disabled	NA	7.80	7.52	8.24
Disabled	NA	11.72	11.47	12.17
Indonesia	8.23	7.92	7.63	8.34

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, Susenas - Consumption and Expenditure Module

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



**Indicator 2.1.2* Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity in the population,
based on the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES), 2017-2020**

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR			
	2017 (2)	2018 (3)	2019 (4)	2020 (5)
	PROVINCE			
Aceh	11.35	7.35	6.41	4.88
North Sumatera	9.94	8.73	6.60	6.41
West Sumatera	11.18	7.24	6.34	5.91
Riau	8.99	7.45	8.00	4.91
Jambi	7.07	5.87	5.57	4.70
South Sumatera	8.82	8.54	6.19	6.87
Bengkulu	9.20	8.16	6.26	4.87
Lampung	9.01	7.12	7.60	7.51
Bangka Belitung Islands	3.97	3.55	2.96	3.10
Riau Islands	8.65	6.63	6.39	4.77
DKI Jakarta	5.20	4.96	2.68	3.13
West Java	9.52	7.16	6.02	5.79
Central Java	5.43	4.26	3.61	2.84
DI Yogyakarta	4.58	3.14	2.91	2.00
East Java	5.58	4.28	3.49	3.24
Banten	8.54	7.97	6.16	6.31
Bali	7.29	4.41	4.09	1.84
West Nusa Tenggara	15.13	13.39	9.93	10.85
East Nusa Tenggara	27.54	21.32	10.59	15.46
West Kalimantan	8.29	7.26	6.76	7.08
Central Kalimantan	7.98	5.81	5.08	4.31
South Kalimantan	5.96	4.68	3.27	3.70
East Kalimantan	5.71	5.38	4.61	4.84
North Kalimantan	9.86	8.71	3.45	3.37
North Sulawesi	11.94	10.08	8.48	6.29
Central Sulawesi	14.37	13.57	12.58	9.61
South Sulawesi	7.63	6.78	4.83	4.33
Southeast Sulawesi	11.85	8.28	7.55	5.58
Gorontalo	19.36	10.81	8.79	5.67
West Sulawesi	11.69	8.84	8.63	8.25
Maluku	13.98	13.84	12.03	10.95
North Maluku	13.96	14.74	14.99	12.55
West Papua	11.18	11.89	10.14	8.56
Papua	9.85	15.12	10.98	8.68
Indonesia	8.66	6.86	5.42	5.12

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

**Indicator 2.1.2* Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity in the population,
based on the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES), 2017-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	7.57	5.98	4.77	4.51
Rural	9.60	7.91	6.57	5.72
SEX				
Male	8.12	6.52	5.06	4.77
Female	11.47	9.12	7.35	6.78
AGE GROUP				
0-17 years (children)	9.93	8.26	6.29	5.86
10-19 years (adolescent)	10.22	8.24	6.50	6.12
16-30 years (youth)	8.70	7.07	5.39	5.16
60+ years (elderly)	8.39	6.91	5.52	5.05
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
Quintile 1	17.23	14.38	10.90	10.64
Quintile 2	11.21	9.46	7.21	6.75
Quintile 3	7.73	6.45	5.01	4.82
Quintile 4	5.16	4.18	3.12	3.03
Quintile 5	2.48	1.71	1.62	1.32
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
40% lowest	14.04	11.79	9.04	8.52
40% medium	6.57	5.36	4.04	3.88
20% highest	2.48	1.71	1.62	1.32
DISABILITY STATUS				
Non-disabled	NA	6.81	5.46	5.09
Disabled	NA	12.21	10.85	10.03
Indonesia	8.66	6.86	5.42	5.12

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 2.2.1* Prevalence of stunting among children under 5 years of age, 2013 and 2018-2019

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR		
	2013 (2)	2018 (3)	2019 (4)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	41.5	37.1	34.18
North Sumatera	42.5	32.4	30.11
West Sumatera	39.2	29.9	27.47
Riau	36.8	27.4	23.95
Jambi	37.9	30.2	21.03
South Sumatera	36.7	31.6	28.98
Bengkulu	39.7	28.0	26.86
Lampung	42.6	27.3	26.26
Bangka Belitung Islands	28.7	23.4	19.93
Riau Islands	26.3	23.6	16.82
DKI Jakarta	27.5	17.6	19.96
West Java	35.3	31.1	26.21
Central Java	36.7	31.3	27.68
DI Yogyakarta	27.3	21.4	21.04
East Java	35.8	32.8	26.86
Banten	33.0	26.6	24.11
Bali	32.6	21.9	14.42
West Nusa Tenggara	45.2	33.5	37.85
East Nusa Tenggara	51.7	42.7	43.82
West Kalimantan	38.6	33.3	31.46
Central Kalimantan	41.3	34.0	32.30
South Kalimantan	44.2	33.1	31.75
East Kalimantan	27.6	29.2	28.09
North Kalimantan	NA	26.9	26.25
North Sulawesi	34.8	25.5	21.18
Central Sulawesi	41.0	32.3	31.26
South Sulawesi	40.9	35.7	30.59
Southeast Sulawesi	42.6	28.7	31.44
Gorontalo	38.9	32.5	34.89
West Sulawesi	48.0	41.6	40.38
Maluku	40.6	34.0	30.38
North Maluku	41.1	31.4	29.07
West Papua	44.7	27.8	24.58
Papua	40.1	33.1	29.36
Indonesia	37.2	30.8	27.67

Source: Basic Health Research (Riskesdas), Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018 and Indonesian Under-five Nutrition Status Survey (SSGBI) 2019 (Ministry of Health)

2.2.1* Prevalence of stunting among children under 5 years of age, 2013 and 2018-2019

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2013 (2)	2018 (3)
	AREA OF RESIDENCE	
Urban	32.5	27.3
Rural	42.1	34.9
SEX		
Male	38.1	31.7
Female	36.2	29.7
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EDUCATION LEVEL		
No education	41.3	35.7
Pre-primary education	41.2	35.1
Primary education	42.1	35.7
Junior secondary education	38.0	32.3
Senior secondary education	32.3	25.9
Tertiary education	27.3	22.4
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	48.4	NA
Quintile 2	42.4	NA
Quintile 3	38.5	NA
Quintile 4	32.3	NA
Quintile 5	29.0	NA
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Not working	34.2	27.6
Attending school	NA	30.1
Civil servants/Military personnel/National police/ state-owned and region-owned enterprise employees	29.6	23.4
Private sector employee		24.5
Entrepreneur	35.5	29.2
Farmer		36.2
Fisherman	42.3	37.5
Worker/driver/domestic worker		33.0
Others	37.1	28.7
Indonesia	37.2	30.8

Source: Riskesdas 2013 and Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018 (Ministry of Health)



Indicator 2.2.2* Prevalence of wasting (weight for height) among children under 5 years of age, 2013 and 2018-2019

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR		
	2013 (2)	2018 (3)	2019 (4)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	15.7	11.9	9.2
North Sumatera	14.9	12.1	10.6
West Sumatera	12.6	11.3	6.2
Riau	15.6	12.3	9.1
Jambi	13.5	12.0	8.0
South Sumatera	12.3	11.5	9.1
Bengkulu	14.8	8.2	6.4
Lampung	11.8	10.6	6.8
Bangka Belitung Islands	10.2	9.9	6.2
Riau Islands	12.3	11.2	6.0
DKI Jakarta	10.2	10.1	5.4
West Java	10.9	8.4	6.6
Central Java	11.1	8.5	6.6
DI Yogyakarta	9.4	8.4	6.1
East Java	11.4	9.1	6.6
Banten	13.8	10.5	6.9
Bali	8.8	6.3	3.3
West Nusa Tenggara	11.9	14.4	6.5
East Nusa Tenggara	15.5	12.8	9.9
West Kalimantan	18.7	14.3	8.8
Central Kalimantan	12.4	13.9	7.9
South Kalimantan	12.8	13.2	9.1
East Kalimantan	11.6	7.6	7.3
North Kalimantan	NA	4.6	5.5
North Sulawesi	9.9	9.5	5.5
Central Sulawesi	9.4	12.8	8.5
South Sulawesi	11.0	10.1	6.8
Southeast Sulawesi	11.4	11.9	8.6
Gorontalo	11.7	14.4	7.8
West Sulawesi	10.8	10.5	6.6
Maluku	16.2	13.2	15.8
North Maluku	12.2	11.9	8.8
West Papua	15.4	12.2	13.1
Papua	14.8	10.3	9.4
Indonesia	12.1	10.2	7.4

Source: Riskesdas 2013 and Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018 and SSGBI 2019 (Ministry of Health)

Indicator 2.2.2* Prevalence of wasting (weight for height) among children under 5 years of age, 2013 and 2018-2019

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2013 (2)	2018 (3)
	AREA OF RESIDENCE	
Urban	11.4	9.8
Rural	12.8	10.7
SEX		
Male	12.8	11.1
Female	11.5	9.2
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EDUCATION LEVEL		
No education	13.3	12.2
Pre-primary education	12.4	11.2
Primary education	12.6	10.5
Junior secondary education	13.0	9.9
Senior secondary education	11.3	9.8
Tertiary education	10.3	9.4
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	14.0	NA
Quintile 2	13.0	NA
Quintile 3	11.7	NA
Quintile 4	11.9	NA
Quintile 5	10.6	NA
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Not working	12.6	10.9
Attending school	NA	11.7
Civil servants/Military personnel/National police/ state-owned and region-owned enterprise employees	10.9	7.8
Private sector employee		8.8
Entrepreneur	12.0	9.7
Farmer		11.0
Fisherman	12.9	13.0
Worker/driver/domestik worker		10.1
Others	11.1	12.2
Indonesia	12.1	10.2

Source: Riskesdas 2013 and Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018 (Ministry of Health)



Indicator 2.2.2.(a) Quality of food consumption indicated by Desirable Dietary Pattern (DDP) score, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR					
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	2020 (7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	89.4	89.8	90.6	92.3	91.0	89.6
Rural	79.9	79.7	87.5	86.4	86.4	85.4

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR				
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	70.9	72.7	76.2	74.8	74.5
North Sumatera	80.2	81.6	85.9	86.8	87.6
West Sumatera	83.8	84.5	86.3	83.7	83.9
Riau	83.4	82.5	84.9	85.0	85.5
Jambi	87.2	84.1	90.9	88.0	87.0
South Sumatera	87.0	82.0	93.1	89.7	87.1
Bengkulu	80.6	80.5	82.6	85.6	82.6
Lampung	79.3	78.0	90.6	88.4	89.7
Bangka Belitung Islands	81.5	79.1	83.0	82.9	85.3
Riau Islands	85.9	87.6	87.1	84.8	86.1
DKI Jakarta	83.6	83.7	83.8	90.8	89.9
West Java	81.0	84.3	85.2	89.0	87.8
Central Java	83.3	82.1	86.2	92.1	91.4
DI Yogyakarta	86.3	88.5	89.0	95.6	94.8
East Java	82.6	84.8	89.7	92.5	91.3
Banten	88.3	88.9	89.1	88.8	87.9
Bali	96.5	95.5	95.9	92.2	90.9
West Nusa Tenggara	75.7	77.0	82.1	89.9	94.8
East Nusa Tenggara	71.1	71.9	81.2	71.2	71.4
West Kalimantan	77.8	79.2	83.5	77.0	78.6
Central Kalimantan	89.3	90.2	92.3	84.7	85.1
South Kalimantan	88.6	86.2	86.5	84.7	86.3
East Kalimantan	82.6	83.1	84.6	84.7	87.3
North Kalimantan	71.6	77.0	82.8	81.2	82.5
North Sulawesi	86.7	87.3	94.9	86.9	88.1
Central Sulawesi	89.5	85.9	91.4	82.5	84.5
South Sulawesi	81.2	80.5	92.6	86.8	87.8
Southeast Sulawesi	88.2	86.9	93.3	84.2	86.2
Gorontalo	82.8	79.4	92.8	78.9	83.0
West Sulawesi	73.2	73.3	76.8	73.0	81.4
Maluku	78.3	80.4	80.6	70.6	70.4
North Maluku	73.2	74.2	79.2	75.5	76.5
West Papua	93.8	91.9	94.1	80.5	80.7
Papua	85.2	82.7	87.6	70.6	72.3
Indonesia	85.2	86.0	90.4	91.3	90.8

Source: Food Security Agency (BKP), Ministry of Agriculture

Indicator 2.2.3* Prevalence of anaemia in pregnant women aged 15 to 49 years, 2013 and 2018

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2013	2018
	(1)	(2)
AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Urban	36.4	48.3
Rural	37.8	49.5
AGE GROUP		
15-24 years	NA	84.6
25-34 years	NA	33.7
35-44 years	NA	33.6
45-54 years	NA	24.0
EDUCATION LEVEL		
No education	NA	41.5
Pre-primary education	NA	42.9
Primary education	NA	50.8
Junior secondary education	NA	51.5
Senior secondary education	NA	50.6
Tertiary education	NA	31.0
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Not working	NA	53.0
Attending school	NA	54.4
Civil servants/Military personnel/ National police/ state-owned and region-owned enterprise employees	NA	27.0
Private sector employee	NA	38.5
Entrepreneur	NA	29.8
Farmer	NA	43.0
Fisherman	NA	NA
Worker/driver/domestik worker	NA	55.5
Others	NA	39.0
Indonesia	37.1	48.9

Source: Riskesdas 2013 and Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018 (Ministry of Health)



Indicator 2.3.1* Volume of production per labour unit by classes of farming/pastoral/forestry in 3 provinces in Indonesia, 2020

		<i>USD PPP per working day</i>
DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2020	(2)
PROVINCE		
West Java	43.58	
East Java	28.52	
West Nusa Tenggara	20.15	
Average value of 3 provinces	36.30	

Source: Agricultural Integrated Survey/SITASI (BPS)

Indicator 2.3.1.(a) Agriculture value added divided by number of workers in agriculture sector (rupiah per worker), 2015-2020

PROVINCE	DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					(7)
		2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	
Aceh	51,098,095	48,388,843	50,084,142	57,250,212	59,904,972	58,690,003	
North Sumatera	47,068,955	56,473,626	61,221,844	65,310,979	69,138,829	71,402,715	
West Sumatera	51,923,659	57,203,732	60,403,961	63,307,996	64,734,428	57,956,243	
Riau	124,492,105	140,456,267	145,498,342	149,541,382	156,282,522	165,191,544	
Jambi	54,692,095	63,896,279	69,106,275	75,191,618	78,006,702	79,015,243	
South Sumatera	29,349,592	31,065,338	32,808,983	34,096,042	35,959,867	37,027,262	
Bengkulu	37,754,455	36,052,887	37,349,512	44,305,315	47,276,232	42,987,646	
Lampung	42,534,940	49,568,863	54,137,567	60,612,097	63,238,034	55,346,023	
Bangka Belitung Islands	54,654,715	59,894,430	60,476,245	63,201,971	65,319,587	67,966,031	
Riau Islands	68,853,637	98,106,166	109,922,192	112,616,920	114,321,347	88,571,947	
DKI Jakarta	34,746,300	120,232,474	130,123,081	93,703,148	96,613,458	85,412,596	
West Java	42,002,733	47,613,208	53,298,284	58,644,423	64,258,519	52,418,673	
Central Java	31,008,568	38,046,315	40,151,523	43,558,768	44,998,199	41,857,202	
DI Yogyakarta	22,707,670	25,352,929	27,619,041	31,311,675	32,659,447	32,859,327	
East Java	33,107,268	37,154,897	38,903,037	40,419,810	41,701,072	39,536,566	
Banten	39,825,753	46,149,543	47,249,015	64,305,741	66,923,234	56,685,817	
Bali	51,049,776	60,355,088	59,417,239	70,263,726	74,547,914	62,012,771	
West Nusa Tenggara	24,410,637	29,726,254	37,687,011	39,959,418	41,749,323	50,108,355	
East Nusa Tenggara	18,740,425	19,117,853	19,812,513	24,101,871	25,663,275	23,664,784	
West Kalimantan	27,076,215	27,367,983	30,134,374	33,986,428	37,073,115	21,289,901	

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					2020 (7)
	2015 (1)	2016 (2)	2017 (3)	2018 (4)	2019 (5)	
Central Kalimantan	50,934,665	49,993,479	52,839,200	54,743,303	59,635,309	105,947,468
South Kalimantan	29,020,592	32,405,026	33,915,646	40,011,969	42,436,888	23,859,347
East Kalimantan	112,811,919	127,408,296	135,705,272	157,265,984	161,981,962	82,071,825
North Kalimantan	123,346,018	138,693,395	147,289,097	135,364,238	151,817,513	415,618,861
North Sulawesi	49,681,714	82,469,709	87,863,675	77,633,467	84,042,233	324,311,982
Central Sulawesi	50,217,998	58,379,028	60,753,682	70,143,912	72,560,641	48,065,207
South Sulawesi	53,635,084	63,482,699	67,374,646	75,472,191	77,987,060	10,158,597
Southeast Sulawesi	44,406,145	54,795,444	60,489,783	66,597,934	72,197,781	41,291,792
Gorontalo	62,963,958	67,849,729	78,538,010	84,553,260	92,890,314	169,804,281
West Sulawesi	44,593,616	49,700,457	50,730,017	61,617,670	64,496,277	89,912,658
Maluku	30,621,418	36,727,304	36,841,676	40,699,488	43,831,465	42,135,729
North Maluku	32,193,874	36,557,854	32,773,537	37,434,296	39,508,683	37,776,123
West Papua	45,970,362	51,385,571	56,320,178	62,503,283	66,750,715	60,688,864
Papua	18,257,339	18,316,416	18,499,048	19,730,773	20,124,224	21,614,132
Indonesia	41,197,190	44,253,159	49,769,966	49,722,772	56,776,482	55,333,444

Source: National Labor Force Survey (Sakernas), BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 2.3.2* Average income of small-scale food producers by subsector in 3 provinces in Indonesia, 2020

		USD PPP
DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2020	
(1)	(2)	
PROVINCE		
West Java	683.37	
East Java	573.83	
West Nusa Tenggara	466.73	
Average value of 3 provinces	641.97	

Source: SITASI (BPS)

Indicator 2.4.1 Proportion of agricultural area under productive and sustainable agriculture in 3 provinces in Indonesia, 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR
	2020
(1)	(2)
PROVINCE	
West Java	13.52
East Java	8.33
West Nusa Tenggara	1.57
Average value of 3 provinces	10.28

Source: SITASI (BPS)



**Indicator 2.c.1* Indicator of food price anomalies,
2019-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	0.08	0.96
North Sumatera	-0.57	0.09
West Sumatera	0.89	-0.18
Riau	0.05	-0.22
Jambi	-1.66	0.24
South Sumatera	-1.01	-0.24
Bengkulu	-0.51	-0.10
Lampung	-0.65	0.19
Bangka Belitung Islands	-0.02	-0.22
Riau Islands	-0.06	-0.07
DKI Jakarta	-0.23	-0.26
West Java	-0.83	-1.02
Central Java	-0.85	0.04
DI Yogyakarta	-0.21	0.59
East Java	-0.66	0.09
Banten	-1.57	-0.35
Bali	-0.83	0.86
West Nusa Tenggara	-0.19	0.10
East Nusa Tenggara	-1.11	0.96
West Kalimantan	-0.21	0.07
Central Kalimantan	1.09	0.92
South Kalimantan	0.25	1.35
East Kalimantan	-0.51	0.09
North Kalimantan	NA	NA
North Sulawesi	-0.60	0.50
Central Sulawesi	-0.45	0.20
South Sulawesi	-0.07	0.20
Southeast Sulawesi	-0.15	0.38
Gorontalo	-0.25	0.19
West Sulawesi	-0.35	-0.18
Maluku	-0.67	1.14
North Maluku	-0.37	-0.30
West Papua	0.03	-0.03
Papua	-1.43	0.02
Indonesia	0.21	0.43

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia



GOAL 3

GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

Indicator 3.1.1* Maternal mortality ratio (per 100,000 live births), 2010 and 2015

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2010	2015
(1)	(2)	(3)
Indonesia	346	305

Source: Population Census (SP) 2010 and Inter-Censal Population Survey (SUPAS) 2015, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note:

The latest data on maternal mortality will be obtained based on the second data collection of the 2020 Population Census (Census sample, using the long form questionnaire), that will be conducted in September 2021.

Indicator 3.1.1* Maternal mortality ratio (per 100,000 live births) by Island, 2015

DISAGGREGATION	2015
(1)	(2)
ISLAND	
Sumatera	344
Java - Bali	247
Kalimantan	466
Sulawesi	282
Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, Papua	489
Indonesia	305

Source: SUPAS 2015 (BPS)



Indicator 3.1.2* Proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years whose last birth process was (a) attended by skilled health personnel, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	95.40	96.28	97.32	97.57	98.29	98.23
North Sumatera	94.08	95.75	95.27	94.51	96.40	96.30
West Sumatera	96.34	95.85	97.43	96.82	97.66	97.29
Riau	88.96	92.29	93.99	93.41	94.51	95.82
Jambi	88.30	90.42	90.29	88.44	90.32	93.37
South Sumatera	92.03	93.77	93.62	92.66	92.93	95.30
Bengkulu	94.91	95.17	93.40	95.80	96.52	97.78
Lampung	91.45	92.37	95.07	94.04	95.59	97.32
Bangka Belitung Islands	97.56	98.09	98.76	97.06	98.08	99.67
Riau Islands	97.64	99.16	99.30	97.90	97.92	99.46
DKI Jakarta	100.00	98.82	99.59	99.72	99.86	99.43
West Java	89.18	89.02	90.68	93.10	92.76	93.00
Central Java	98.93	98.87	98.97	99.48	99.64	99.74
DI Yogyakarta	99.49	98.43	99.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
East Java	96.27	97.04	97.30	96.82	98.17	98.06
Banten	85.95	88.64	87.66	90.16	91.70	91.79
Bali	99.81	99.40	99.65	99.87	99.84	99.68
West Nusa Tenggara	95.85	94.44	95.68	94.77	96.99	97.36
East Nusa Tenggara	73.92	77.88	80.59	82.08	83.19	84.88
West Kalimantan	81.63	83.23	83.86	84.59	86.93	87.99
Central Kalimantan	81.44	84.49	84.44	85.97	90.42	88.53
South Kalimantan	92.68	94.28	94.43	95.96	97.16	97.39
East Kalimantan	94.83	95.57	96.44	96.34	96.39	97.46
North Kalimantan	95.39	92.63	95.11	95.98	97.48	95.92
North Sulawesi	90.03	92.92	93.50	91.77	93.92	95.88
Central Sulawesi	80.99	81.39	87.96	87.64	92.38	93.75
South Sulawesi	91.14	93.53	95.31	95.81	97.21	97.65
Southeast Sulawesi	78.50	86.50	88.41	86.39	91.55	92.93
Gorontalo	87.65	89.87	95.72	94.99	97.68	98.22
West Sulawesi	73.97	80.17	83.27	89.18	91.81	91.30
Maluku	60.52	65.62	66.50	63.66	68.34	72.81
North Maluku	65.96	72.79	71.38	75.94	81.34	80.98
West Papua	77.31	84.65	76.78	82.63	82.50	85.56
Papua	61.38	63.92	68.29	61.55	69.41	68.49
Indonesia	91.51	92.60	93.25	93.63	94.71	95.16

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 3.1.2* Proportion of ever-married women aged 15-49 years whose last birth process was (a) attended by skilled health personnel, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	96.78	96.68	96.74	97.41	97.65	97.70
Rural	86.24	88.27	89.33	89.24	91.04	92.05
AGE GROUP						
15-19 years	88.00	89.63	87.52	88.29	92.17	92.33
20-24 years	91.01	91.70	92.44	93.49	93.80	94.77
25-29 years	92.14	93.78	93.94	94.40	95.86	96.07
30-34 years	92.80	93.66	94.16	94.21	95.39	95.65
35-39 years	91.13	92.80	94.07	93.68	94.55	94.99
40-44 years	89.36	89.51	91.35	92.67	92.59	93.23
45-49 years	86.99	83.03	91.12	84.58	88.40	85.43
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	84.48	85.90	87.86	88.38	89.90	90.69
Quintile 2	88.80	91.42	91.97	92.30	93.84	94.70
Quintile 3	92.64	94.08	94.49	94.63	96.01	96.22
Quintile 4	96.08	96.32	96.72	96.97	97.27	97.30
Quintile 5	98.98	98.74	98.39	98.82	99.00	99.21
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	86.55	88.46	89.75	90.21	91.74	92.56
40% medium	94.29	95.14	95.56	95.72	96.62	96.74
20% highest	98.98	98.74	98.39	98.82	99.00	99.21
MOTHER'S EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/Pre-primary education	71.27	72.89	84.19	79.43	82.45	81.59
Primary education	84.68	87.43	87.30	87.55	89.29	89.93
Junior secondary education	93.66	94.34	94.12	94.95	94.86	95.32
Senior secondary education	97.24	97.36	96.82	97.49	97.74	97.72
Tertiary education	99.38	99.26	99.07	99.31	99.27	99.34
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	93.65	94.74	95.17
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	89.12	90.64	92.82
Indonesia	91.51	92.6	93.25	93.63	94.71	95.16

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 3.1.2* Proportion of births among ever married women aged 15-49 years whose last birth process was (b) at health facility, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	69.11	80.15	81.57	86.12	88.80	89.97
North Sumatera	58.33	65.53	70.11	72.90	78.44	83.54
West Sumatera	85.73	88.79	89.73	91.76	92.60	94.80
Riau	62.71	64.23	71.15	71.25	74.38	78.68
Jambi	51.29	52.31	57.16	60.11	65.59	70.80
South Sumatera	68.91	72.47	68.98	73.43	78.95	83.05
Bengkulu	52.89	56.24	62.50	63.81	72.35	80.47
Lampung	78.98	75.99	81.79	85.00	86.85	89.12
Bangka Belitung Islands	81.86	84.65	90.26	88.04	91.00	95.66
Riau Islands	90.57	94.27	91.38	92.37	92.41	94.30
DKI Jakarta	99.71	98.46	97.86	97.19	98.81	99.15
West Java	79.57	78.10	80.74	82.22	85.41	86.88
Central Java	94.48	95.16	95.57	96.77	98.08	98.09
DI Yogyakarta	99.49	98.16	99.40	99.05	99.79	99.76
East Java	92.28	93.57	93.83	93.69	95.11	96.09
Banten	71.45	75.18	75.46	79.40	83.36	86.69
Bali	99.60	98.93	99.30	99.87	99.84	98.33
West Nusa Tenggara	88.05	88.67	90.42	89.63	93.16	93.90
East Nusa Tenggara	65.35	69.18	73.05	76.42	79.06	80.65
West Kalimantan	53.51	61.06	62.12	64.93	71.91	72.73
Central Kalimantan	43.62	47.63	46.80	47.68	56.82	57.89
South Kalimantan	59.08	68.02	72.71	77.27	77.60	80.45
East Kalimantan	81.29	87.64	87.37	87.34	89.31	90.48
North Kalimantan	74.76	72.39	81.76	84.29	90.25	90.03
North Sulawesi	74.43	79.41	82.16	83.90	89.13	91.38
Central Sulawesi	53.86	54.16	67.47	70.50	78.10	77.26
South Sulawesi	74.56	77.98	82.24	83.50	87.99	92.72
Southeast Sulawesi	40.99	46.30	43.78	47.74	54.92	56.64
Gorontalo	74.45	79.97	89.86	86.63	88.65	88.78
West Sulawesi	52.84	60.76	66.39	69.87	78.60	81.59
Maluku	27.06	32.71	30.21	33.91	39.74	43.35
North Maluku	40.70	42.03	40.96	41.12	57.60	62.23
West Papua	55.27	65.79	55.96	59.46	69.13	73.96
Papua	48.38	51.05	57.25	53.11	61.00	62.46
Indonesia	77.63	79.70	81.31	82.74	85.94	87.91

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 3.1.2* Proportion of births among ever married women aged 15-49 years whose last birth process was (b) at health facility, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	90.80	90.94	91.10	91.86	92.66	94.03
Rural	64.45	67.77	70.33	72.15	77.56	80.39
AGE GROUP						
15-19 years	70.52	75.89	73.05	74.93	81.93	82.14
20-24 years	76.93	77.78	79.58	81.27	84.76	86.71
25-29 years	78.24	81.14	82.38	84.33	87.52	89.15
30-34 years	79.47	81.45	83.01	83.86	86.63	89.14
35-39 years	78.40	80.41	82.06	83.30	85.66	87.95
40-44 years	74.03	76.14	79.47	80.56	83.17	85.46
45-49 years	72.98	66.78	80.19	73.36	82.46	76.00
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	68.26	70.55	73.31	74.84	78.45	80.74
Quintile 2	72.42	75.94	76.74	79.07	83.97	86.15
Quintile 3	76.72	78.50	82.42	82.60	86.91	88.82
Quintile 4	84.04	86.35	86.70	87.93	89.79	91.99
Quintile 5	92.42	93.35	93.46	94.75	94.94	96.09
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	70.25	73.05	74.89	76.82	81.02	83.26
40% medium	80.23	82.20	84.47	85.10	88.30	90.33
20% highest	92.42	93.35	93.46	94.75	94.94	96.09
MOTHER'S EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/Pre-primary education	52.81	53.68	70.29	66.48	69.24	70.01
Primary education	66.19	69.74	71.44	70.99	76.73	78.57
Junior secondary education	79.28	81.15	81.27	83.21	85.33	87.47
Senior secondary education	86.26	87.58	86.66	89.20	90.68	91.98
Tertiary education	91.75	93.00	93.03	93.59	94.55	95.65
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	82.79	85.99	87.93
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	73.87	77.10	83.77
Indonesia	77.63	79.70	81.31	82.74	85.94	87.91

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



**Indicator 3.2.1* (a) Under-5 mortality rate per 1,000 live births (U5MR);
(b) Infant mortality rate (IMR) per 1,000 live births, 2012 and 2017**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	U5MR		IMR	
	2012	2017	2012	2017
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
ISLAND				
Sumatera	51	36	40	29
Java – Bali	36	32	29	26
Kalimantan	49	34	40	23
Sulawesi	54	50	40	42
Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, Papua	87	57	58	42
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	34	31	26	24
Rural	52	33	40	23
SEX				
Male	48	37	39	28
Female	37	31	28	25
MOTHER'S AGE AT BIRTH				
< 20 years	61	40	50	34
20-29 years	39	31	31	24
30-39 years	42	35	31	27
40-49 years	70	58	58	44
MOTHER'S EDUCATION LEVEL				
No education	96	82	66	49
Pre-primary education	76	58	60	46
Primary education	52	36	43	27
Junior secondary education	32	31	24	26
Senior secondary education	32	28	27	22
Tertiary education	18	27	15	23
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
Quintile 1	70	52	52	40
Quintile 2	43	33	34	26
Quintile 3	39	29	33	23
Quintile 4	34	31	28	24
Quintile 5	23	24	17	20
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
40% lowest	67	49	51	39
40% medium	36	30	29	25
20% highest	23	24	17	20
Indonesia	40	32	32	24

Source: Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS), BPS and BKBN

**Indicator 3.2.2* Neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births,
2012 and 2017**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2012	2017
(1)	(2)	(3)
ISLAND		
Sumatera	25	19
Java – Bali	16	18
Kalimantan	23	18
Sulawesi	20	27
Nusa Tenggara, Maluku, Papua	35	20
AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Urban	15	16
Rural	24	15
SEX		
Male	24	19
Female	15	15
MOTHER'S AGE AT BIRTH		
< 20 years	34	24
20-29 years	18	16
30-39 years	17	17
40-49 years	33	33
MOTHER'S EDUCATION LEVEL		
No education	31	24
Pre-primary education	37	26
Primary education	24	17
Junior secondary education	15	18
Senior secondary education	16	15
Tertiary education	10	14
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	29	23
Quintile 2	21	19
Quintile 3	23	15
Quintile 4	15	18
Quintile 5	10	13
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
40% lowest	28	23
40% medium	18	18
20% highest	10	13
Indonesia	19	15

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKBN)



Indicator 3.3.2* Tuberculosis incidence per 100,000 population, 2012-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR							
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Indonesia	335	332	329	325	322	319	316	312

Source: WHO Global TB Report

Indicator 3.3.3* Malaria incidence per 1,000 population, 2013-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR							
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Indonesia	1.38	0.99	0.85	0.84	0.99	0.84	0.93	0.87

Source: Indonesia Health Profile (Ministry of Health)

Indicator 3.3.3* Malaria incidence per 1,000 population, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.02
North Sumatera	0.49	0.27	0.17	0.09	0.07
West Sumatera	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.06
Riau	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02
Jambi	0.47	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.02
South Sumatera	0.31	0.28	0.11	0.08	0.07
Bengkulu	2.03	1.45	0.53	0.16	0.04
Lampung	0.49	0.40	0.52	0.38	0.18
Bangka Belitung Islands	1.08	0.11	0.07	0.16	0.09
Riau Islands	0.35	0.36	0.17	0.11	0.06
DKI Jakarta	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
West Java	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01
Central Java	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01
DI Yogyakarta	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00
East Java	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02
Banten	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bali	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01
West Nusa Tenggara	0.42	0.24	0.15	0.34	0.19
East Nusa Tenggara	7.04	5.41	5.76	3.42	2.37
West Kalimantan	0.13	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.00
Central Kalimantan	0.42	0.19	0.29	0.25	0.07
South Kalimantan	0.68	0.52	0.28	0.20	0.20
East Kalimantan	0.46	0.35	0.44	0.63	0.55
North Kalimantan	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.08
North Sulawesi	0.88	0.72	0.37	0.25	0.20
Central Sulawesi	0.68	0.50	0.18	0.06	0.06
South Sulawesi	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.10
Southeast Sulawesi	0.41	0.44	0.21	0.31	0.30
Gorontalo	0.57	0.15	0.04	0.05	0.03
West Sulawesi	0.17	0.09	0.11	0.19	0.14
Maluku	5.81	3.95	2.30	1.16	0.72
North Maluku	2.77	2.44	0.79	0.39	0.46
West Papua	31.29	6.79	14.97	8.49	7.38
Papua	31.93	45.85	59.00	52.99	64.03
Indonesia	0.85	0.84	0.99	0.84	0.93

Source: Indonesia Health Profile (Ministry of Health)



**Indicator 3.3.3.(a) Number of districts that have achieved malaria elimination,
2016-2020**

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR				
	2016 (2)	2017 (3)	2018 (4)	2019 (5)	2020 (6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	18	19	19	21	21
North Sumatera	18	21	21	21	21
West Sumatera	16	16	16	17	17
Riau	7	7	10	10	10
Jambi	3	3	5	7	7
South Sumatera	7	8	8	8	9
Bengkulu	3	3	3	3	4
Lampung	5	7	10	11	11
Bangka Belitung Islands	5	5	5	6	6
Riau Islands	3	3	3	3	3
DKI Jakarta	6	6	6	6	6
West Java	23	23	23	23	25
Central Java	28	29	30	33	33
DI Yogyakarta	4	4	3	4	4
East Java	37	38	38	38	38
Banten	6	6	6	6	6
Bali	9	9	9	9	9
West Nusa Tenggara	3	3	3	3	3
East Nusa Tenggara	0	0	0	0	3
West Kalimantan	2	2	3	3	4
Central Kalimantan	5	6	9	10	11
South Kalimantan	4	5	7	7	7
East Kalimantan	3	3	3	3	3
North Kalimantan	1	1	1	1	3
North Sulawesi	3	5	6	6	8
Central Sulawesi	3	3	4	5	6
South Sulawesi	14	18	19	20	21
Southeast Sulawesi	8	8	9	9	11
Gorontalo	2	2	2	2	2
West Sulawesi	1	3	3	5	5
Maluku	0	0	0	0	0
North Maluku	0	0	0	0	1
West Papua	0	0	0	0	0
Papua	0	0	0	0	0
Indonesia	247	266	285	300	318

Source: Indonesia Health Profile (Ministry of Health)

Indicator 3.3.5.(a) Number of districts that have achieved leprosy elimination, 2016-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Indonesia	375	372	382	368	401

Source: Indonesia Health Profile (Ministry of Health)

**Indicator
3.3.5.(b) Number
of filariasis
endemic districts
that achieved
elimination target,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	0	0	0	0	2	2
North Sumatera	0	1	1	3	5	5
West Sumatera	1	1	2	4	6	7
Riau	1	2	2	3	5	6
Jambi	0	0	1	1	1	1
South Sumatera	0	0	0	0	0	2
Bengkulu	0	0	0	0	0	1
Lampung	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bangka Belitung Islands	2	2	2	2	4	5
Riau Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
DKI Jakarta	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
West Java	2	3	3	4	6	6
Central Java	0	0	0	0	0	0
DI Yogyakarta	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
East Java	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Banten	0	0	2	3	5	5
Bali	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
West Nusa Tenggara	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
East Nusa Tenggara	2	2	2	2	2	2
West Kalimantan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Central Kalimantan	1	1	1	1	3	3
South Kalimantan	1	1	1	2	2	1
East Kalimantan	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Kalimantan	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Sulawesi	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Central Sulawesi	1	1	1	1	3	3
South Sulawesi	0	0	1	1	1	2
Southeast Sulawesi	2	2	2	3	3	3
Gorontalo	4	4	4	4	4	4
West Sulawesi	1	1	1	1	1	1
Maluku	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Maluku	0	0	0	1	1	1
West Papua	0	0	0	0	0	0
Papua	0	1	2	2	2	4
Indonesia	18	22	28	38	56	64

Source: Indonesia Health Profile (Ministry of Health)

Note: No filariasis endemic districts/cities in DKI Jakarta, DI Yogyakarta, East Java, Bali, West Nusa Tenggara, and North Sulawesi provinces.



Indicator 3.4.1.(a) Percentage of population aged 10-18 years who are smokers, 2013, 2016, and 2018

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2013	2016	2018	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Indonesia	7.2	8.8	9.1	

Source: Riskesdas 2013, Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018, and National Health Indicator Survey (Sirkensas) 2016, Ministry of Health

**Indicator 3.4.1.(b)
Prevalence of
hypertension,
2013, 2016, and
2018**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR		
	2013	2016	2018
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	30.20	21.50	26.45
North Sumatera	26.30	24.70	29.19
West Sumatera	31.20	22.60	25.16
Riau	34.00	20.90	29.14
Jambi	29.90	24.60	28.99
South Sumatera	31.50	26.10	30.44
Bengkulu	25.10	21.60	28.14
Lampung	24.10	24.70	29.94
Bangka Belitung Islands	37.20	30.90	29.90
Riau Islands	30.30	22.40	25.84
DKI Jakarta	28.80	20.00	33.43
West Java	29.40	29.40	39.60
Central Java	37.00	26.40	37.57
DI Yogyakarta	35.80	25.70	32.86
East Java	37.40	26.20	36.32
Banten	27.60	23.00	29.47
Bali	29.10	19.90	29.97
West Nusa Tenggara	32.40	24.30	27.80
East Nusa Tenggara	28.10	23.30	27.72
West Kalimantan	29.80	28.30	36.99
Central Kalimantan	33.60	26.70	34.47
South Kalimantan	39.60	30.80	44.13
East Kalimantan	31.30	29.60	39.30
North Kalimantan	NA	NA	33.02
North Sulawesi	31.20	27.10	33.12
Central Sulawesi	36.60	28.70	29.75
South Sulawesi	29.00	28.10	31.68
Southeast Sulawesi	31.60	22.50	29.75
Gorontalo	31.50	29.00	29.64
West Sulawesi	33.90	22.50	34.77
Maluku	29.30	24.10	28.96
North Maluku	28.40	21.20	24.65
West Papua	20.10	20.50	25.90
Papua	22.00	16.80	22.22
Indonesia	31.70	25.80	34.11

Source: Riskesdas 2013, Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018, and Sirkensas 2016 (Ministry of Health)



Indicator 3.4.1.(b) Prevalence of hypertension, 2013, 2016, and 2018

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR		
	2013 (2)	2016 (3)	2018 (4)
	AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Urban	26.10	31.70	34.43
Rural	25.50	30.20	33.72
SEX			
Male	22.80	28.70	31.34
Female	28.80	32.90	36.85
Indonesia	25.80	32.40	34.11

Source: Riskesdas 2013, Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018, and Sirkesnas 2016 (Ministry of Health)



Indicator 3.4.1.(c) Prevalence of obesity among population aged 18 years and older, 2013 and 2018

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2013	2018
(1)	(2)	(3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	16.3	24.4
North Sumatera	18.1	25.8
West Sumatera	13.5	20.4
Riau	13.7	24.1
Jambi	12.3	17.6
South Sumatera	10.9	17.4
Bengkulu	12.9	19.9
Lampung	8.7	17.3
Bangka Belitung Islands	18.0	23.7
Riau Islands	18.2	26.2
DKI Jakarta	20.8	29.8
West Java	15.2	23.0
Central Java	12.8	20.4
DI Yogyakarta	15.8	21.4
East Java	16.4	22.4
Banten	13.6	22.1
Bali	15.5	23.3
West Nusa Tenggara	10.2	14.9
East Nusa Tenggara	6.2	10.3
West Kalimantan	10.4	17.0
Central Kalimantan	12.2	18.7
South Kalimantan	14.0	19.5
East Kalimantan	20.6	28.7
North Kalimantan	NA	26.1
North Sulawesi	24.1	30.2
Central Sulawesi	16.4	20.7
South Sulawesi	13.6	19.1
Southeast Sulawesi	12.4	19.3
Gorontalo	21.0	24.4
West Sulawesi	10.2	18.7
Maluku	14.1	19.9
North Maluku	18.3	24.6
West Papua	18.0	26.4
Papua	15.9	20.2
Indonesia	15.4	21.8

Source: Riskesdas 2013 and Integration of Susenas and Riskesdas 2018 (Ministry of Health) and BPS

Indicator 3.4.1.(c) Prevalence of obesity among population aged 18 years and older, 2013 and 2018

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2013 (2)	2018 (3)
	AREA OF RESIDENCE	
Urban	17.9	25.1
Rural	11.4	17.8
SEX		
Male	9.6	14.5
Female	20.0	29.3
AGE GROUP		
19 years	4.4	8.9
20-24 years	7.0	12.1
25-29 years	11.8	18.8
30-34 years	15.9	23.0
35-39 years	18.9	27.0
40-44 years	20.2	29.6
45-49 years	20.3	28.6
50-54 years	18.6	26.0
55-59 years	16.1	23.1
60-64 years	13.5	19.3
65+ years	7.7	11.9
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	7.0	NA
Quintile 2	10.7	NA
Quintile 3	13.5	NA
Quintile 4	17.9	NA
Quintile 5	21.4	NA
EDUCATION LEVEL		
No education	9.1	14.2
Pre-primary education	12.5	18.5
Primary education	14.4	21.5
Junior secondary education	15.0	22.8
Senior secondary education	15.7	22.5
Tertiary education	20.7	28.1
EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Not working	18.1	27.3
Attending school	NA	13.8
Civil servants/Military personnel/National police/ state-owned and region-owned enterprise employees	17.1	33.7
Private sector employee		21.8
Entrepreneur	18.2	26.2
Farmer		12.7
Fisherman	8.3	12.7
Worker/driver/domestik worker		15.7
Others	16.4	24.8
Indonesia	15.4	21.8

Source: Riskedas 2013 and Integration of Susenas and Riskedas 2018 (Ministry of Health and BPS)



Indicator 3.5.2* Alcohol consumption (in litres per capita) among population aged 15 years and older within the last year, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	0.34	0.21	0.27	0.28	0.23	0.22
Rural	0.62	0.47	0.85	0.72	0.64	0.61
SEX						
Male	0.89	0.63	1.01	0.87	0.75	0.73
Female	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.06
AGE GROUP						
0-17 years (children)	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.12	0.09	0.09
10-19 years (adolescent)	0.12	0.06	0.10	0.17	0.15	0.13
16-30 years (youth)	0.41	0.28	0.43	0.36	0.32	0.32
60+ years (elderly)	0.28	0.20	0.31	0.41	0.27	0.28
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	0.23	0.14	0.31	0.35	0.29	0.25
Quintile 2	0.38	0.29	0.46	0.48	0.35	0.39
Quintile 3	0.48	0.42	0.63	0.50	0.50	0.45
Quintile 4	0.55	0.39	0.59	0.55	0.43	0.48
Quintile 5	0.70	0.40	0.66	0.50	0.46	0.37
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	0.31	0.22	0.38	0.42	0.32	0.32
40% medium	0.52	0.40	0.61	0.52	0.46	0.46
20% highest	0.70	0.40	0.66	0.50	0.46	0.37
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	0.48	0.42	0.40
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	0.36	0.18	0.24
Indonesia	0.48	0.33	0.54	0.48	0.41	0.39

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, Susenas - Consumption and Expenditure Module

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018

Indicator 3.7.1* Proportion of women of reproductive age (aged 15–49 years) who have their need for family planning satisfied with modern methods, 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION	ALL WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE	MARRIED WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE	
	2017	2012	2017
	(1)	(2)	(3)
AREA OF RESIDENCE			
Urban	73.9	77.2	73.9
Rural	79.9	80.8	80.0
AGE GROUP			
15-19 years	78.9	87.1	81.7
20-24 years	80.0	86.1	80.6
25-29 years	79.0	83.3	79.0
30-34 years	81.0	81.9	80.9
35-39 years	79.7	79.0	79.7
40-44 years	75.2	74.3	75.2
45-49 years	66.6	67.1	66.3
EDUCATION LEVEL			
No education	70.3	73.5	70.4
Pre-primary education	79.0	74.9	79.0
Primary education	81.4	82.6	81.3
Junior secondary education	79.5	82.9	79.6
Senior secondary education	73.5	74.8	73.5
Tertiary education	68.0		68.1
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE			
Quintile 1	78.4	76.1	78.5
Quintile 2	80.3	82.4	80.4
Quintile 3	78.9	81.2	78.9
Quintile 4	76.8	79.5	76.8
Quintile 5	70.8	75.3	70.8
Indonesia	77.0	79.0	77.1

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKKBN)



Indicator 3.7.1* Proportion of women of reproductive age (aged 15–49 years) who have their need for family planning satisfied with modern methods, 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION	MARRIED WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE	
	2012	2017
(1)	(2)	(3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	73.1	72.5
North Sumatera	61.9	63.1
West Sumatera	71.1	72.3
Riau	74.1	70.7
Jambi	82.9	83.0
South Sumatera	85.1	80.4
Bengkulu	83.5	83.1
Lampung	84.8	84.3
Bangka Belitung Islands	82.3	81.1
Riau Islands	71.0	67.8
DKI Jakarta	75.8	69.7
West Java	82.3	80.0
Central Java	81.4	77.8
DI Yogyakarta	73.2	69.7
East Java	82.8	81.3
Banten	82.6	80.2
Bali	78.9	70.3
West Nusa Tenggara	76.3	74.9
East Nusa Tenggara	58.6	60.9
West Kalimantan	85.5	79.5
Central Kalimantan	86.5	87.3
South Kalimantan	86.6	84.1
East Kalimantan	74.1	77.3
North Kalimantan	NA	68.4
North Sulawesi	80.0	76.4
Central Sulawesi	73.4	79.3
South Sulawesi	67.6	68.5
Southeast Sulawesi	69.4	67.4
Gorontalo	80.1	80.0
West Sulawesi	72.3	70.6
Maluku	62.4	59.6
North Maluku	75.5	71.9
West Papua	64.9	56.0
Papua	42.0	66.9
Indonesia	79.0	77.1

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKKBN)

Indicator 3.7.2* Adolescent birth rate (aged 10–14 years; aged 15–19 years) per 1,000 women in that age group, 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION	ADOLESCENT BIRTH RATE	
	2012	2017
(1)	(2)	(3)
Aged 10-14 years*	0.325	0.179
Aged 15-19 years	48	36

Note: *Adolescent birth rate aged 10-14 years is estimated using Schmertmann Model

DISAGGREGATION	ADOLESCENT BIRTH RATE AGED 15–19 YEARS	
	2012	2017
(1)	(2)	(3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	25	21
North Sumatera	32	29
West Sumatera	26	16
Riau	42	54
Jambi	75	46
South Sumatera	66	46
Bengkulu	51	49
Lampung	59	32
Bangka Belitung Islands	61	50
Riau Islands	33	21
DKI Jakarta	20	20
West Java	52	35
Central Java	35	38
DI Yogyakarta	32	15
East Java	53	29
Banten	32	27
Bali	48	22
West Nusa Tenggara	75	42
East Nusa Tenggara	39	49
West Kalimantan	104	63
Central Kalimantan	89	83
South Kalimantan	73	56
East Kalimantan	50	53
North Kalimantan	NA	33
North Sulawesi	68	36
Central Sulawesi	91	60
South Sulawesi	53	45
Southeast Sulawesi	57	46
Gorontalo	62	44
West Sulawesi	101	46
Maluku	51	51
North Maluku	60	57
West Papua	82	39
Papua	53	65
Indonesia	48	36

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKBN)



Indicator 3.7.2* Adolescent birth rate (aged 10–14 years; aged 15–19 years) per 1,000 women in that age group, 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION	ADOLESCENT BIRTH RATE AGED 15–19 YEARS	
	2012	2017
(1)	(2)	(3)
AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Urban	32	24
Rural	69	51
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	93	73
Quintile 2	72	50
Quintile 3	45	38
Quintile 4	35	17
Quintile 5	13	10
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
40% lowest	81	61
40% medium	40	28
20% highest	13	10
EDUCATION LEVEL		
No education	91	NA
Pre-primary education	138	108
Primary education	121	139
Junior secondary education	53	43
Senior secondary education	27	24
Tertiary education	5	3
Indonesia	48	36

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKKBN)

Indicator 3.7.2.(a) Total Fertility Rate (TFR), 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2012	2017
(1)	(2)	(3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	2.8	2.7
North Sumatera	3.0	2.9
West Sumatera	2.8	2.5
Riau	2.9	2.9
Jambi	2.3	2.3
South Sumatera	2.8	2.6
Bengkulu	2.2	2.3
Lampung	2.7	2.3
Bangka Belitung Islands	2.6	2.3
Riau Islands	2.6	2.3
DKI Jakarta	2.3	2.2
West Java	2.5	2.4
Central Java	2.5	2.3
DI Yogyakarta	2.1	2.2
East Java	2.3	2.1
Banten	2.5	2.3
Bali	2.3	2.1
West Nusa Tenggara	2.8	2.5
East Nusa Tenggara	3.3	3.4
West Kalimantan	3.1	2.7
Central Kalimantan	2.8	2.5
South Kalimantan	2.5	2.4
East Kalimantan	2.8	2.7
North Kalimantan	NA	2.8
North Sulawesi	2.6	2.2
Central Sulawesi	3.2	2.7
South Sulawesi	2.6	2.4
Southeast Sulawesi	3.0	2.8
Gorontalo	2.6	2.5
West Sulawesi	3.6	2.7
Maluku	3.2	3.3
North Maluku	3.1	2.9
West Papua	3.7	3.2
Papua	3.5	3.3
Indonesia	2.6	2.4

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKKBN)


Indicator 3.7.2.(a) Total Fertility Rate (TFR), 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2012	2017
	(1)	(2)
AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Urban	2.4	2.3
Rural	2.8	2.6
EDUCATION LEVEL		
No education	2.8	2.7
Pre-primary education	3.0	2.8
Primary education	2.9	2.9
Junior secondary education	2.6	2.5
Senior secondary education	2.7	2.5
Tertiary education	2.4	2.3
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	3.2	2.9
Quintile 2	2.7	2.6
Quintile 3	2.5	2.3
Quintile 4	2.4	2.3
Quintile 5	2.2	2.1
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
40% lowest	2.9	2.8
40% medium	2.5	2.3
20% highest	2.2	2.1
Indonesia	2.6	2.4

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKKBN)

Indicator 3.8.1.(a) Unmet need of health services, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	2020 (7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	3.09	2.86	3.62	4.53	4.36	4.33
North Sumatera	3.16	3.47	4.19	3.80	4.23	4.75
West Sumatera	3.49	3.19	4.22	3.31	3.28	4.13
Riau	5.15	5.36	6.25	6.11	4.86	4.63
Jambi	4.57	4.66	4.62	4.66	4.57	4.48
South Sumatera	4.33	3.60	4.65	4.05	5.14	5.36
Bengkulu	5.52	4.92	4.58	5.65	5.33	5.14
Lampung	5.49	4.03	5.64	5.27	5.47	5.42
Bangka Belitung Islands	3.26	3.76	3.57	3.99	3.69	4.31
Riau Islands	3.12	3.10	3.88	3.46	3.05	2.61
DKI Jakarta	3.40	3.08	3.56	3.20	2.81	3.93
West Java	4.01	4.40	5.12	4.69	5.38	5.87
Central Java	4.71	4.44	5.26	4.84	5.10	5.83
DI Yogyakarta	4.61	4.41	4.37	4.31	4.61	3.90
East Java	5.24	4.11	5.75	4.74	5.10	5.28
Banten	4.45	4.22	4.74	5.98	6.97	7.00
Bali	4.35	3.44	4.36	3.19	2.96	2.96
West Nusa Tenggara	6.06	6.49	6.44	7.31	7.37	7.68
East Nusa Tenggara	7.00	6.06	7.66	8.09	7.79	7.03
West Kalimantan	4.91	4.74	6.01	5.72	5.55	5.93
Central Kalimantan	6.05	5.62	7.11	6.76	6.84	6.06
South Kalimantan	7.80	6.35	6.41	5.51	6.70	6.55
East Kalimantan	2.99	3.41	4.18	4.04	3.85	3.39
North Kalimantan	3.14	3.20	7.55	4.81	3.76	4.62
North Sulawesi	4.55	4.58	5.44	5.46	4.73	4.68
Central Sulawesi	7.85	7.06	9.32	8.57	8.67	7.52
South Sulawesi	5.15	4.53	5.51	5.49	5.80	5.66
Southeast Sulawesi	8.21	7.89	7.52	7.58	7.34	8.54
Gorontalo	8.51	6.23	8.81	7.46	7.89	6.03
West Sulawesi	7.16	6.20	6.78	5.93	6.36	6.84
Maluku	5.37	5.05	6.28	5.57	5.18	4.38
North Maluku	4.68	3.67	5.05	5.50	4.39	4.77
West Papua	3.92	4.01	5.46	4.33	4.19	3.33
Papua	2.78	2.63	3.28	3.01	2.41	2.70
Indonesia	4.66	4.33	5.26	4.91	5.18	5.44

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia


Indicator 3.8.1.(a) Unmet need of health services, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	4.24	3.92	4.86	4.33	4.41	4.87
Rural	5.09	4.76	5.71	5.62	6.15	6.16
SEX						
Male	4.83	4.39	5.30	4.94	5.18	5.41
Female	4.49	4.27	5.22	4.88	5.17	5.46
AGE GROUP						
0-4 years	4.96	5.02	5.96	6.05	6.49	6.78
5-9 years	5.40	5.22	6.04	6.08	6.89	6.81
10-14 years	4.24	3.84	4.52	4.18	4.75	5.36
15-19 years	3.27	3.10	3.50	3.43	3.75	3.92
20-24 years	3.01	2.67	3.27	3.12	3.34	3.60
25-29 years	3.48	3.04	3.74	3.36	3.86	3.80
30-34 years	3.96	3.39	4.46	3.67	3.64	4.30
35-39 years	4.14	3.59	4.59	4.08	4.12	4.60
40-44 years	4.48	4.17	5.15	4.52	4.72	4.83
45-49 years	4.93	4.52	5.31	5.05	5.19	5.25
50-54 years	5.51	4.98	6.37	5.47	5.66	5.72
55-59 years	5.94	5.76	6.96	6.40	6.57	6.53
60-64 years	6.61	6.14	7.82	7.06	6.92	7.22
65+ years	8.81	8.26	9.80	9.31	8.76	9.27
AGE GROUP						
0-17 years (children)	4.65	4.47	5.18	5.14	5.71	5.96
10-19 years (adolescent)	3.79	3.50	4.02	3.82	4.26	4.66
16-30 years (youth)	3.25	2.92	3.57	3.27	3.60	3.76
60+ years (elderly)	7.97	7.46	9.05	8.46	8.06	8.49
EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/Pre-primary education	5.87	5.65	6.58	6.47	6.86	7.11
Primary education	4.66	4.35	5.54	5.14	5.54	5.90
Junior secondary education	3.80	3.27	4.38	3.86	4.24	4.58
Senior secondary education	3.33	2.99	3.83	3.31	3.41	3.73
Tertiary education	3.02	2.61	2.88	2.65	2.63	3.11
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	5.38	4.71	5.97	5.74	6.30	6.12
Quintile 2	4.82	4.59	5.46	5.31	5.71	5.82
Quintile 3	4.56	4.36	5.42	5.07	5.11	5.74
Quintile 4	4.55	4.32	5.07	4.68	4.86	5.34
Quintile 5	3.99	3.68	4.37	3.77	3.92	4.16
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	5.10	4.65	5.71	5.52	6.00	5.97
40% medium	4.55	4.34	5.25	4.88	4.99	5.54
20% highest	3.99	3.68	4.37	3.77	3.92	4.16
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	4.67	4.97	5.22
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	13.49	13.04	14.41
Indonesia	4.66	4.33	5.26	4.91	5.18	5.44

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note: Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018

Indicator 3.8.2* Proportion of population with large household expenditures on health as a share of total household expenditure or income, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	GREATER THAN 10%		GREATER THAN 25%	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	2.48	2.18	0.48	0.40
Rural	2.60	2.30	0.59	0.46
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD				
Male	2.48	2.19	0.51	0.41
Female	2.81	2.45	0.64	0.51
AGE GROUP				
<30 years	2.04	1.93	0.31	0.31
30-59 years	2.06	1.82	0.37	0.30
60+ years	4.19	3.55	1.10	0.86
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
Quintile 1	1.08	1.09	NA	NA
Quintile 2	1.62	1.50	NA	NA
Quintile 3	2.40	1.99	NA	NA
Quintile 4	3.06	2.76	NA	NA
Quintile 5	3.86	3.28	NA	NA
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
40% lowest	1.36	1.30	0.12	0.10
40% medium	2.74	2.39	0.52	0.41
20% highest	3.86	3.28	1.12	0.92
Indonesia	2.53	2.23	0.53	0.43

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, Susenas - Consumption and Expenditure Module


Indicator 3.8.2.(a) Coverage of National Health insurance (JKN), 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	102.42	100.63	103.65	109.65	100.82	101.02
North Sumatera	58.83	62.36	67.40	72.69	75.45	74.45
West Sumatera	64.69	68.88	72.71	78.72	80.56	79.73
Riau	49.20	52.23	57.97	62.28	64.68	68.99
Jambi	49.74	53.74	60.07	66.88	70.37	72.30
South Sumatera	49.28	51.71	55.43	60.53	75.57	74.46
Bengkulu	59.02	67.06	70.51	77.60	78.48	79.22
Lampung	57.91	61.97	65.51	69.79	77.69	76.78
Bangka Belitung Islands	52.37	58.14	63.62	74.09	73.78	77.48
Riau Islands	62.31	62.97	67.59	76.32	77.04	77.91
DKI Jakarta	115.53	129.46	142.14	165.45	164.32	164.04
West Java	55.63	60.88	65.66	71.57	73.21	75.25
Central Java	62.16	66.61	72.11	78.18	82.70	83.04
DI Yogyakarta	68.05	72.84	81.99	89.59	85.41	83.90
East Java	55.95	59.12	62.59	68.65	72.81	74.65
Banten	55.34	60.02	65.64	77.04	80.07	74.78
Bali	49.91	52.61	73.99	85.07	95.02	90.66
West Nusa Tenggara	62.50	67.17	71.42	76.23	84.09	82.89
East Nusa Tenggara	70.25	73.58	75.92	79.79	80.78	82.32
West Kalimantan	50.53	55.58	60.55	66.61	74.46	75.83
Central Kalimantan	49.78	54.19	60.45	78.69	87.28	88.91
South Kalimantan	40.19	43.33	50.15	58.36	71.38	75.52
East Kalimantan	57.61	77.12	62.82	90.36	90.79	96.18
North Kalimantan	62.54	70.77	74.43	91.05	83.54	96.63
North Sulawesi	65.80	70.87	80.79	100.71	102.63	96.53
Central Sulawesi	59.40	64.78	72.13	81.16	87.30	81.53
South Sulawesi	58.50	76.90	83.29	92.88	95.28	92.20
Southeast Sulawesi	58.30	62.03	69.97	85.57	87.44	84.12
Gorontalo	91.75	95.75	96.97	106.96	93.45	84.61
West Sulawesi	71.99	76.10	82.15	88.58	93.09	93.96
Maluku	63.73	64.98	72.11	81.83	79.60	78.47
North Maluku	48.10	53.26	61.80	70.30	72.89	68.86
West Papua	112.10	119.70	125.58	139.76	133.53	115.15
Papua	105.85	107.56	108.07	114.14	111.86	81.91
Indonesia	61.38	66.46	71.59	79.44	82.69	82.51

Source: Indonesia Health Profile (Ministry of Health)



Indicator 3.8.2.(a) Coverage of National Health insurance (JKN), 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
SEX						
Male	NA	50.75	50.85	50.94	50.95	50.87
Female	NA	49.25	49.15	49.06	49.05	49.13
Indonesia	61.38	66.46	71.59	79.44	82.69	82.51

Source: Indonesia Health Profile (Ministry of Health)



**Indicator 3.a.1* Percentage of population aged 15 years and older who are smokers,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	29.82	28.16	28.85	31.76	28.70	28.06
North Sumatera	29.15	27.88	28.47	31.10	27.46	27.28
West Sumatera	32.41	30.59	31.71	35.32	30.75	30.08
Riau	31.21	29.61	29.34	32.72	29.04	28.06
Jambi	30.82	29.18	29.18	28.21	28.54	28.01
South Sumatera	33.13	31.57	32.46	33.07	30.91	30.56
Bengkulu	33.68	33.15	33.41	35.53	33.14	32.31
Lampung	34.12	33.39	33.75	35.95	34.39	33.43
Bangka Belitung Islands	30.70	29.32	29.67	32.32	29.18	28.23
Riau Islands	29.18	29.25	29.98	29.67	27.59	26.16
DKI Jakarta	27.31	26.42	24.72	30.77	26.04	25.75
West Java	33.82	32.67	33.19	35.78	32.97	32.55
Central Java	28.57	27.19	27.69	30.79	27.40	27.70
DI Yogyakarta	24.12	23.11	22.92	25.80	22.87	22.64
East Java	29.03	28.16	27.69	30.66	27.93	27.78
Banten	32.95	31.64	31.77	34.93	31.69	31.58
Bali	22.96	21.62	22.22	26.05	20.96	20.50
West Nusa Tenggara	31.60	30.88	30.59	33.92	30.49	30.58
East Nusa Tenggara	25.47	24.91	27.31	31.30	27.33	26.14
West Kalimantan	29.35	28.09	28.84	30.92	28.50	27.49
Central Kalimantan	30.53	29.21	29.24	32.64	29.84	28.89
South Kalimantan	25.76	25.34	25.03	27.18	23.95	23.83
East Kalimantan	25.59	25.23	24.69	29.17	24.52	24.42
North Kalimantan	28.61	28.38	28.18	29.82	27.63	25.66
North Sulawesi	29.31	29.23	29.27	32.80	28.41	27.95
Central Sulawesi	32.56	31.88	32.18	35.57	31.64	30.64
South Sulawesi	25.49	25.13	25.44	29.51	25.59	24.89
Southeast Sulawesi	28.49	27.60	29.22	31.46	26.80	25.77
Gorontalo	33.93	31.71	34.46	36.56	32.37	30.30
West Sulawesi	28.29	27.36	26.59	29.41	27.06	26.85
Maluku	27.19	25.68	27.46	32.74	27.09	26.18
North Maluku	31.14	30.23	30.57	35.29	31.18	29.83
West Papua	29.28	26.18	27.60	32.73	28.67	25.80
Papua	26.67	24.04	27.28	28.97	26.05	26.97
Indonesia	30.08	28.97	29.25	32.20	29.03	28.69

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

**Indicator 3.a.1* Percentage of population aged 15 years and older who are smokers,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	28.19	27.19	27.24	30.74	27.64	27.33
Rural	32.06	30.91	31.57	34.02	30.84	30.46
SEX						
Male	58.98	57.01	57.38	62.51	57.06	56.38
Female	1.28	1.05	1.23	2.11	1.06	1.07
AGE GROUP						
15-19	10.57	9.57	10.46	20.59	10.54	10.61
20-24	28.68	27.32	29.01	33.41	28.77	28.65
25-29	34.28	32.68	33.54	34.98	32.79	31.81
30-34	35.38	34.85	35.00	36.66	34.71	34.20
35-39	35.79	35.12	35.14	36.23	35.28	35.00
40-44	34.42	33.72	33.94	35.69	34.36	34.23
45-49	33.86	33.22	32.95	34.56	32.58	32.45
50-54	32.59	31.74	31.53	33.28	31.32	31.41
55-59	32.70	31.61	31.16	32.78	30.03	29.69
60-64	30.80	28.91	28.49	30.22	28.76	27.31
65+	23.08	21.21	21.64	24.38	21.61	21.18
AGE GROUP						
0-17 years (children)	1.10	1.01	1.18	3.41	1.12	1.14
10-19 years (adolescent)	5.08	4.65	5.28	11.15	5.34	5.35
16-30 years (youth)	27.04	25.51	26.34	31.35	25.99	25.70
60+ years (elderly)	26.04	24.13	24.22	26.58	24.27	23.51
EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/Pre-primary education	29.18	27.15	27.98	30.40	27.32	27.46
Primary education	32.90	32.20	32.56	33.95	32.11	31.74
Junior secondary education	28.19	24.29	27.17	32.08	27.93	27.38
Senior secondary education	32.30	31.79	31.56	34.96	31.76	31.16
Tertiary education	20.64	19.60	18.94	21.83	18.51	18.09
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	26.91	26.16	27.63	32.57	27.27	27.09
Quintile 2	31.70	29.63	30.26	33.52	30.20	29.84
Quintile 3	32.47	31.39	31.22	33.41	30.67	30.30
Quintile 4	32.07	30.48	30.83	32.56	30.67	30.27
Quintile 5	27.28	27.14	26.44	28.96	26.44	26.08
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	29.34	27.93	28.97	33.01	28.77	28.49
40% medium	32.26	30.93	31.02	33.07	30.67	30.29
20% highest	27.28	27.14	26.44	29.19	26.44	26.08
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	32.50	29.38	29.03
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	23.96	18.78	17.46
Indonesia	30.08	28.97	29.25	32.20	29.03	28.69

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note: Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 3.b.1* Proportion of the target population received national vaccination programme, 2013 and 2018

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2013	2018
	(1)	(2)
JENIS VAKSINASI		
Coverage of DPT-3	75.6	61.3
Coverage of Measles-2	82.1	77.3
Complete Basic Immunization	59.2	57.9

Source: Riskesdas (Ministry of Health)

Note:

Complete Basic Immunization: 1 dose of Hb-0, 1 dose of BCG, 3 doses of DPT, 4 doses of polio or 3 doses of IPV, and 1 dose of measles.

Indicator 3.b.1* Proportion of the target population received national vaccination programme, 2013 and 2018

DISAGGREGATION	DPT-3		MEASLES-2		COMPLETE BASIC IMMUNIZATION	
	2013	2018	2013	2018	2013	2018
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	52.9	22.0	62.4	37.9	38.3	19.5
North Sumatera	63.1	39.2	70.1	65.4	39.1	32.7
West Sumatera	60.2	45.4	71.4	63.9	39.7	38.7
Riau	70.0	36.7	77.3	68.6	52.2	34.4
Jambi	76.7	60.5	79.7	80.3	60.3	62.6
South Sumatera	73.6	51.1	82.6	78.4	48.3	48.3
Bengkulu	86.7	67.6	90.2	85.1	62.1	62.6
Lampung	82.5	70.1	87.9	83.0	62.4	67.3
Bangka Belitung Islands	83.7	72.0	86.4	81.9	67.7	75.2
Riau Islands	87.4	74.9	91.9	80.0	71.6	71.2
DKI Jakarta	79.1	72.9	85.3	82.1	64.5	68.0
West Java	71.5	61.9	80.8	78.1	56.6	58.3
Central Java	89.2	77.1	92.6	86.6	76.9	75.0
DI Yogyakarta	95.1	91.0	98.1	96.9	83.1	83.7
East Java	85.7	72.0	89.0	82.8	74.5	69.2
Banten	63.3	52.6	66.7	63.8	45.8	47.0
Bali	90.4	90.6	93.5	94.4	80.8	92.1
West Nusa Tenggara	85.2	69.2	90.6	87.9	75.4	70.8
East Nusa Tenggara	66.0	46.8	84.1	82.5	50.3	51.6
West Kalimantan	71.9	61.9	77.3	68.1	47.4	48.0
Central Kalimantan	67.9	54.2	77.4	67.0	42.0	47.5
South Kalimantan	72.0	68.6	74.1	81.0	52.0	68.7
East Kalimantan	81.4	71.6	84.1	84.1	65.9	73.6
North Kalimantan	NA	75.2	NA	85.4	NA	73.3
North Sulawesi	83.3	61.8	94.4	84.1	60.9	56.9
Central Sulawesi	72.6	53.3	76.7	75.7	47.1	47.9
South Sulawesi	69.5	63.7	76.9	79.8	49.5	60.8
Southeast Sulawesi	75.3	49.8	83.8	80.2	47.3	45.6
Gorontalo	93.0	66.3	94.9	81.3	80.6	61.6
West Sulawesi	67.1	56.9	72.5	75.3	52.4	50.2
Maluku	53.8	42.8	70.5	68.9	29.7	33.1
North Maluku	68.9	48.8	80.3	66.0	42.6	38.1
West Papua	60.0	50.7	76.9	73.0	35.6	47.6
Papua	40.8	34.0	56.8	60.7	29.2	29.2
Indonesia	75.6	61.3	82.1	77.3	59.2	57.9

Source: Riskesdas (Ministry of Health)



Indicator 3.b.1* Proportion of the target population received national vaccination programme, 2013 and 2018

DISAGGREGATION	DPT-3		MEASLES-2		COMPLETE BASIC IMMUNIZATION	
	2013	2018	2013	2018	2013	2018
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	79.9	64.5	84.1	78.9	64.5	61.5
Rural	71.1	57.7	80.0	75.5	53.7	53.8
SEX						
Male	75.6	60.8	81.5	77.0	59.0	57.2
Female	75.7	61.9	82.8	77.6	59.4	58.7
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	56.6	NA	68.9	NA	39.5	NA
Quintile 2	73.4	NA	81.7	NA	55.1	NA
Quintile 3	76.9	NA	82.6	NA	61.1	NA
Quintile 4	80.5	NA	86.0	NA	65.4	NA
Quintile 5	83.9	NA	86.7	NA	67.8	NA
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EDUCATION LEVEL						
No education	64.8	53.7	73.2	67.5	50.6	50.3
Pre-primary education	66.6	57.4	74.6	71.9	49.0	52.5
Primary education	70.8	61.2	78.9	74.9	53.3	55.5
Junior secondary education	77.0	62.0	83.1	79.2	59.2	58.5
Senior secondary education	81.4	63.6	86.6	79.8	66.6	61.9
Tertiary education	86.0	61.3	89.2	82.7	72.5	60.6
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EMPLOYMENT STATUS						
Not working	75.5	60.7	83.2	72.6	59.2	56.5
Attending school	NA	44.8	NA	57.9	NA	45.0
Civil servants/Military personnel/National police/ state-owned and region-owned enterprise employees	83.9	63.0	87.9	86.8	69.5	68.5
Private sector employee		67.0		83.4		66.4
Entrepreneur	77.3	61.5	83.2	76.2	61.7	57.0
Farmer		57.5		75.1		53.4
Fisherman	70.5	45.5	78.3	62.3	52.9	42.0
Worker/driver/domestik worker		64.5		77.3		58.9
Others	75.3	59.1	83.0	80.3	58.7	55.4
Indonesia	75.6	61.3	82.1	77.3	59.2	57.9

Source: Riskesdas (Ministry of Health)

Indicator 3.b.2* Total net official development assistance to medical research and basic health sectors, 2020

DISAGGREGATION	Rupiah
	YEAR
	2020
(1)	(2)
GRANTS RECIPIENT COUNTRIES	
Government of Fiji	2,880,000,000
Government of Timor Leste	2,880,000,000
Government of Solomon	2,880,000,000
Total	8,640,000,000

Source: LDKPI Ministry of Finance

Note: Grants to foreign governments channeled through the International Development Cooperation Fund (LDKPI) in 2020 were used by grant recipients to purchase pharmaceuticals, medical devices, and domestic production of health facilities, to respond the COVID-19 pandemic.



Indicator 3.b.3* Proportion of health facilities that have a core set of relevant essential medicines available and affordable on a sustainable basis, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	78.23	76.45	75.83	93.10	99.43	94.10
North Sumatera	69.74	79.17	81.34	96.70	95.78	89.60
West Sumatera	83.96	89.38	100.00	100.00	98.53	96.00
Riau	85.53	87.89	82.38	97.20	96.73	89.82
Jambi	83.44	76.88	90.71	98.40	100.00	91.58
South Sumatera	76.63	77.26	52.40	72.50	90.36	82.70
Bengkulu	75.29	73.82	100.00	91.60	93.30	89.39
Lampung	77.80	78.27	81.69	90.80	94.02	77.35
Bangka Belitung Islands	70.00	70.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Riau Islands	65.00	75.71	84.13	95.40	98.85	97.75
DKI Jakarta	89.60	89.00	99.40	99.70	98.51	96.32
West Java	77.99	82.82	77.23	96.80	95.84	93.33
Central Java	85.57	83.96	90.63	89.60	95.92	95.95
DI Yogyakarta	92.73	97.73	98.35	100.00	100.00	100.00
East Java	81.62	96.94	95.66	97.70	99.42	96.63
Banten	74.87	65.26	84.27	87.00	97.86	78.93
Bali	85.45	95.91	100.00	97.50	100.00	91.67
West Nusa Tenggara	87.00	85.00	100.00	98.70	100.00	99.41
East Nusa Tenggara	NA	86.54	61.11	67.20	82.46	94.24
West Kalimantan	90.00	82.05	65.37	85.20	99.59	97.56
Central Kalimantan	82.78	71.39	86.77	85.40	91.01	87.00
South Kalimantan	83.57	86.90	95.85	95.70	100.00	98.29
East Kalimantan	80.94	89.69	97.83	98.90	99.47	98.93
North Kalimantan	90.00	77.50	98.00	95.80	100.00	100.00
North Sulawesi	77.50	56.39	90.43	88.30	100.00	100.00
Central Sulawesi	83.24	85.77	90.00	91.40	94.69	90.29
South Sulawesi	NA	82.99	100.00	99.80	99.55	90.83
Southeast Sulawesi	87.83	83.25	57.20	91.30	91.52	82.41
Gorontalo	80.00	84.38	86.02	92.30	96.67	86.02
West Sulawesi	NA	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Maluku	66.39	78.33	100.00	98.50	100.00	93.78
North Maluku	84.17	83.75	89.84	82.00	99.22	88.89
West Papua	NA	80.36	70.86	83.20	95.63	89.93
Papua	69.70	75.68	84.32	85.40	93.37	89.83
Indonesia	79.38	81.57	85.99	92.83	96.34	92.12

Source: Routine reports from Directorate General of Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices, Ministry of Health

**Indicator 3.c.1* Health worker density and distribution (per 100,000 population),
2016-2018**

DISAGGREGATION (1)	GENERAL PRACTITIONERS			DENTISTS		
	2016 (2)	2017 (3)	2018 (4)	2016 (5)	2017 (6)	2018 (7)
	PROVINCE					
Aceh	31	30	21	6	6	4
North Sumatera	24	21	13	7	6	5
West Sumatera	20	20	18	8	7	7
Riau	17	20	20	5	5	5
Jambi	19	19	29	5	6	7
South Sumatera	15	14	11	3	3	2
Bengkulu	20	22	23	5	6	6
Lampung	14	13	11	3	2	2
Bangka Belitung Islands	25	26	35	6	6	6
Riau Islands	28	17	25	7	4	6
DKI Jakarta	26	38	118	9	10	24
West Java	11	11	7	4	4	2
Central Java	15	13	18	4	3	4
DI Yogyakarta	40	25	54	11	9	18
East Java	12	11	22	4	4	6
Banten	12	12	10	4	4	3
Bali	28	27	33	7	9	9
West Nusa Tenggara	12	14	51	3	3	8
East Nusa Tenggara	13	12	9	3	3	2
West Kalimantan	14	16	14	3	4	3
Central Kalimantan	21	20	20	4	4	4
South Kalimantan	18	19	18	4	5	5
East Kalimantan	25	29	29	7	8	8
North Kalimantan	32	37	33	8	9	6
North Sulawesi	39	36	36	4	4	3
Central Sulawesi	18	11	14	4	3	4
South Sulawesi	17	18	15	7	8	7
Southeast Sulawesi	16	15	17	5	6	6
Gorontalo	22	22	27	4	4	5
West Sulawesi	13	13	14	5	6	6
Maluku	19	11	14	5	2	2
North Maluku	21	22	18	4	3	3
West Papua	25	15	25	5	4	4
Papua	24	24	18	4	3	2
Indonesia	31	30	21	6	6	4

Source: Human resource information from Board for Development and Empowerment Human Resources of Health (BPPSDMK), Ministry of Health



**Indicator 3.c.1* Health worker density and distribution (per 100,000 population),
2016-2018**

DISAGGREGATION	NURSES			MIDWIVES		
	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	168	234	175	124	233	213
North Sumatera	72	109	82	63	113	123
West Sumatera	114	145	130	83	108	105
Riau	88	120	92	68	92	99
Jambi	110	184	185	73	129	148
South Sumatera	113	137	120	87	111	133
Bengkulu	144	190	216	133	163	195
Lampung	51	91	78	31	77	92
Bangka Belitung Islands	180	186	231	68	81	96
Riau Islands	125	135	169	52	61	82
DKI Jakarta	115	223	320	21	44	79
West Java	48	71	50	21	37	36
Central Java	90	107	132	39	53	68
DI Yogyakarta	135	175	237	33	42	64
East Java	70	86	133	38	46	64
Banten	68	67	56	42	35	41
Bali	101	159	193	44	84	107
West Nusa Tenggara	82	110	377	37	61	138
East Nusa Tenggara	96	126	118	37	77	96
West Kalimantan	90	142	160	41	73	81
Central Kalimantan	156	199	222	57	99	131
South Kalimantan	100	118	151	47	53	107
East Kalimantan	187	207	217	65	84	96
North Kalimantan	211	300	238	73	126	137
North Sulawesi	142	205	246	33	49	68
Central Sulawesi	142	151	216	49	103	169
South Sulawesi	131	142	156	54	63	106
Southeast Sulawesi	111	180	206	44	139	157
Gorontalo	96	139	190	64	90	124
West Sulawesi	138	133	131	95	99	105
Maluku	157	210	236	48	74	90
North Maluku	159	179	166	110	145	140
West Papua	166	141	295	52	54	108
Papua	127	193	172	37	66	74
Indonesia	168	234	175	124	233	213

Source: Human resource information from Board for Development and Empowerment Human Resources of Health (BPPSDMK), Ministry of Health

**Indicator 3.c.1* Health worker density and distribution (per 100,000 population),
2016-2018**

DISAGGREGATION	PHARMACISTS			PUBLIC HEALTH PERSONNEL		
	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	23	24	20	63	37	33
North Sumatera	11	12	8	21	11	12
West Sumatera	18	23	24	15	9	9
Riau	15	16	17	8	8	9
Jambi	17	20	30	34	10	13
South Sumatera	13	17	13	22	16	17
Bengkulu	14	22	29	34	46	43
Lampung	6	8	7	15	9	8
Bangka Belitung Islands	20	21	38	23	12	16
Riau Islands	12	14	22	16	5	8
DKI Jakarta	26	67	162	4	6	7
West Java	7	10	7	4	3	3
Central Java	13	14	26	10	3	5
DI Yogyakarta	33	30	69	6	5	6
East Java	10	12	23	3	3	4
Banten	11	10	8	5	4	3
Bali	10	18	24	22	6	7
West Nusa Tenggara	9	11	50	5	7	12
East Nusa Tenggara	12	15	13	17	14	12
West Kalimantan	12	18	15	11	10	8
Central Kalimantan	15	17	22	26	12	13
South Kalimantan	13	16	23	21	18	11
East Kalimantan	21	26	33	6	7	11
North Kalimantan	19	26	33	33	42	26
North Sulawesi	18	20	24	15	12	14
Central Sulawesi	20	18	25	48	29	45
South Sulawesi	16	18	23	18	16	24
Southeast Sulawesi	16	25	27	41	41	45
Gorontalo	20	18	27	29	31	47
West Sulawesi	13	15	18	12	14	14
Maluku	12	14	16	20	19	22
North Maluku	18	25	22	52	45	42
West Papua	18	14	23	33	15	21
Papua	13	16	13	35	17	17
Indonesia	23	24	20	63	37	33

Source: Human resource information from Board for Development and Empowerment Human Resources of Health (BPPSDMK), Ministry of Health



**Indicator 3.c.1* Health worker density and distribution (per 100,000 population),
2016-2018**

DISAGGREGATION	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSONNEL			NUTRITIONISTS		
	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	17	20	20	15	11	11
North Sumatera	4	4	4	8	7	7
West Sumatera	7	7	7	9	11	11
Riau	4	4	4	5	6	6
Jambi	11	10	10	6	7	7
South Sumatera	8	8	8	6	7	7
Bengkulu	8	8	8	10	16	16
Lampung	5	5	5	3	4	4
Bangka Belitung Islands	16	8	8	10	10	10
Riau Islands	5	5	5	6	5	5
DKI Jakarta	2	4	4	4	11	11
West Java	2	3	3	2	3	3
Central Java	4	4	4	5	5	5
DI Yogyakarta	9	6	6	9	10	10
East Java	3	3	3	4	5	5
Banten	2	2	2	3	3	3
Bali	8	9	9	8	10	10
West Nusa Tenggara	8	10	10	9	11	11
East Nusa Tenggara	11	12	12	8	11	11
West Kalimantan	6	10	10	8	12	12
Central Kalimantan	9	10	10	15	15	15
South Kalimantan	7	8	8	10	11	11
East Kalimantan	6	7	7	7	9	9
North Kalimantan	6	11	11	9	14	14
North Sulawesi	13	15	15	14	14	14
Central Sulawesi	11	10	10	6	7	7
South Sulawesi	8	10	10	10	11	11
Southeast Sulawesi	18	17	17	19	24	24
Gorontalo	17	15	15	21	24	24
West Sulawesi	9	8	8	8	10	10
Maluku	16	20	20	18	23	23
North Maluku	7	9	9	19	23	23
West Papua	12	8	8	12	11	11
Papua	9	12	12	12	18	18
Indonesia	17	20	20	15	11	11

Source: Human resource information from Board for Development and Empowerment Human Resources of Health (BPPSDMK), Ministry of Health

**Indicator 3.c.1* Health worker density and distribution (per 100,000 population),
2016-2017**

DISAGGREGATION (1)	MEDICAL LABORATORY PERSONNEL	
	2016 (2)	2017 (3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	13	12
North Sumatera	5	5
West Sumatera	11	13
Riau	6	7
Jambi	11	12
South Sumatera	7	7
Bengkulu	11	9
Lampung	5	6
Bangka Belitung Islands	13	11
Riau Islands	7	6
DKI Jakarta	8	15
West Java	4	5
Central Java	7	9
DI Yogyakarta	15	15
East Java	5	5
Banten	5	5
Bali	7	9
West Nusa Tenggara	6	6
East Nusa Tenggara	8	8
West Kalimantan	10	9
Central Kalimantan	10	11
South Kalimantan	8	8
East Kalimantan	11	14
North Kalimantan	9	15
North Sulawesi	1	3
Central Sulawesi	4	4
South Sulawesi	9	9
Southeast Sulawesi	5	6
Gorontalo	3	4
West Sulawesi	6	7
Maluku	3	3
North Maluku	6	7
West Papua	10	8
Papua	9	11
Indonesia	13	12

Source: Human resource information from Board for Development and Empowerment Human Resources of Health (BPPSDMK), Ministry of Health



GOAL 4

QUALITY EDUCATION

Indicator 4.1.2* Completion rate for primary education, junior secondary education, and senior secondary education, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	PRIMARY EDUCATION					
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	2020 (7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	96.47	98.07	97.85	96.75	98.60	98.33
North Sumatera	92.76	96.61	97.63	95.76	97.12	96.48
West Sumatera	87.85	90.69	92.67	90.54	91.25	93.26
Riau	90.44	93.23	94.76	95.39	95.11	95.18
Jambi	93.12	95.93	95.65	94.62	96.99	95.34
South Sumatera	90.27	94.86	95.88	94.02	96.08	95.93
Bengkulu	92.15	93.98	94.23	93.20	93.33	95.16
Lampung	94.46	96.26	96.67	95.39	96.05	97.69
Bangka Belitung Islands	90.05	89.38	92.36	92.04	92.80	96.05
Riau Islands	97.85	94.90	96.28	98.13	95.69	95.25
DKI Jakarta	96.56	95.32	97.20	95.26	96.05	97.80
West Java	92.42	93.75	96.97	97.08	97.92	97.76
Central Java	92.91	95.59	96.25	96.15	96.61	97.20
DI Yogyakarta	95.98	96.64	96.97	95.12	94.92	96.43
East Java	91.76	94.78	96.10	95.70	96.30	96.81
Banten	91.66	94.48	97.56	95.35	96.26	96.03
Bali	96.73	97.00	97.21	96.40	98.34	96.66
West Nusa Tenggara	94.40	96.03	96.79	97.59	96.62	97.29
East Nusa Tenggara	78.94	84.71	85.07	83.87	87.39	86.92
West Kalimantan	83.99	87.93	86.00	86.44	88.23	91.79
Central Kalimantan	93.18	93.75	93.06	93.33	94.66	95.45
South Kalimantan	87.15	93.89	92.49	92.20	91.85	94.10
East Kalimantan	94.65	98.16	96.42	95.32	96.07	95.84
North Kalimantan	92.11	92.72	92.04	92.03	93.23	96.38
North Sulawesi	93.41	94.97	95.90	95.48	95.97	95.58
Central Sulawesi	87.06	90.93	92.83	91.44	93.56	95.05
South Sulawesi	91.18	93.79	94.53	94.05	95.18	96.13
Southeast Sulawesi	92.21	95.58	94.16	95.25	94.54	95.28
Gorontalo	85.72	88.98	87.14	88.24	89.04	91.90
West Sulawesi	90.27	90.78	90.29	92.60	91.54	94.70
Maluku	91.56	93.60	93.98	94.49	94.95	97.47
North Maluku	92.25	91.83	95.37	95.65	94.66	95.59
West Papua	82.45	84.77	85.96	86.46	88.46	89.25
Papua	62.34	65.66	74.18	71.83	73.07	78.40
Indonesia	91.44	93.88	95.25	94.68	95.48	96.00

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.1.2* Completion rate for primary education, junior secondary education, and senior secondary education, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	PRIMARY EDUCATION					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	94.62	95.59	97.20	96.34	97.01	96.89
Rural	88.47	92.22	93.17	92.80	93.68	94.95
SEX						
Male	90.05	92.17	94.28	93.58	94.46	95.69
Female	92.90	95.66	96.29	95.86	96.54	96.33
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	85.33	88.81	90.53	90.21	92.23	94.04
Quintile 2	89.29	93.00	95.02	94.05	95.05	96.09
Quintile 3	92.61	95.34	95.92	95.47	95.86	95.84
Quintile 4	94.91	95.92	97.11	96.77	97.01	96.81
Quintile 5	96.99	97.60	98.33	98.04	98.19	97.81
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	87.25	90.90	92.81	92.13	93.63	95.06
40% medium	93.71	95.62	96.50	96.09	96.40	96.31
20% highest	96.99	97.60	98.33	98.04	98.19	97.81
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	95.04	95.72	96.17
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	53.95	64.51	67.90
Indonesia	91.44	93.88	95.25	94.68	95.48	96.00

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 4.1.2* Completion rate for primary education, junior secondary education, and senior secondary education, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	JUNIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	89.01	88.70	89.42	87.15	89.50	90.92
North Sumatera	80.87	87.97	88.70	87.97	88.26	91.63
West Sumatera	78.77	82.50	81.11	82.59	84.07	87.12
Riau	76.54	81.30	85.37	83.45	84.97	86.84
Jambi	78.04	79.86	84.90	83.29	84.40	86.31
South Sumatera	78.35	82.41	82.59	84.18	83.40	85.02
Bengkulu	78.16	84.21	82.90	86.34	85.13	87.68
Lampung	76.68	79.68	85.02	85.09	84.08	86.66
Bangka Belitung Islands	70.35	75.77	75.30	79.70	77.96	81.91
Riau Islands	88.25	86.92	92.11	90.15	91.56	94.80
DKI Jakarta	86.79	90.75	91.20	92.80	93.02	94.57
West Java	79.09	84.24	84.64	85.18	85.53	88.17
Central Java	78.96	85.93	87.24	86.90	85.47	89.00
DI Yogyakarta	87.41	92.87	92.13	92.66	92.34	92.36
East Java	80.98	84.70	86.20	86.04	86.21	89.98
Banten	79.23	82.69	86.82	85.21	87.40	86.70
Bali	85.95	91.99	91.43	93.78	93.33	93.21
West Nusa Tenggara	84.04	86.91	87.14	87.88	88.64	89.91
East Nusa Tenggara	66.62	70.73	70.54	71.42	74.31	78.81
West Kalimantan	63.41	72.66	72.54	71.47	72.78	80.88
Central Kalimantan	72.59	80.64	76.84	79.87	81.01	84.83
South Kalimantan	72.89	77.25	77.11	84.05	82.77	82.48
East Kalimantan	85.13	86.70	88.31	89.14	86.98	92.40
North Kalimantan	83.02	81.96	82.36	82.85	83.85	87.14
North Sulawesi	82.35	88.08	86.01	86.72	85.67	86.69
Central Sulawesi	77.31	80.76	81.72	78.61	82.23	82.79
South Sulawesi	78.08	82.46	83.01	83.32	83.74	85.08
Southeast Sulawesi	82.75	88.06	85.10	86.05	85.46	89.18
Gorontalo	68.81	73.35	73.62	77.41	80.60	80.10
West Sulawesi	75.58	79.45	78.46	78.83	77.68	83.08
Maluku	86.29	88.43	84.35	86.38	88.43	88.04
North Maluku	76.39	83.23	83.76	85.39	85.07	87.41
West Papua	76.33	76.28	78.31	81.19	80.91	83.47
Papua	50.57	54.82	57.86	57.19	59.31	65.75
Indonesia	79.05	83.76	84.74	84.96	85.23	87.89

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.1.2* Completion rate for primary education, junior secondary education, and senior secondary education, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	JUNIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	85.33	89.26	89.21	89.20	88.61	90.82
Rural	72.26	77.65	79.68	79.78	80.80	84.04
SEX						
Male	77.44	81.56	82.70	82.65	83.34	86.26
Female	80.78	86.06	86.82	87.34	87.23	89.58
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	65.93	71.56	73.16	73.87	77.02	80.42
Quintile 2	73.93	79.60	81.54	82.50	82.38	86.19
Quintile 3	78.89	85.19	85.61	86.21	85.88	88.80
Quintile 4	84.55	88.96	88.84	89.11	87.88	90.30
Quintile 5	91.42	93.29	93.51	92.83	92.64	93.53
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	69.97	75.66	77.54	78.34	79.81	83.45
40% medium	81.76	87.05	87.21	87.65	86.87	89.55
20% highest	91.42	93.29	93.51	92.83	92.64	93.53
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	85.41	85.54	88.19
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	36.61	53.23	49.15
Indonesia	79.05	83.76	84.74	84.96	85.23	87.89

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 4.1.2* Completion rate for primary education, junior secondary education, and senior secondary education, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	68.16	74.46	70.64	70.68	69.96	70.07
North Sumatera	59.54	69.69	67.16	68.34	65.21	70.39
West Sumatera	58.04	64.97	60.80	65.34	60.32	67.11
Riau	57.28	62.12	61.90	63.71	58.78	66.62
Jambi	49.05	60.50	58.27	66.06	56.87	63.66
South Sumatera	48.90	55.37	54.15	63.94	58.23	65.42
Bengkulu	55.94	64.31	62.57	58.86	61.47	62.73
Lampung	40.60	47.62	48.75	54.89	54.87	57.59
Bangka Belitung Islands	43.46	53.84	51.55	55.01	53.84	56.74
Riau Islands	65.28	75.93	83.55	82.86	78.14	78.65
DKI Jakarta	74.10	74.74	78.25	83.48	84.35	85.67
West Java	48.53	55.03	48.32	61.04	57.46	63.56
Central Java	43.86	44.59	51.52	55.62	49.79	55.82
DI Yogyakarta	80.77	79.95	85.53	81.96	84.54	87.99
East Java	52.04	55.13	59.90	62.48	57.74	63.53
Banten	52.95	60.83	59.87	67.54	56.94	64.24
Bali	69.08	73.65	74.62	78.67	64.52	74.88
West Nusa Tenggara	51.83	55.01	59.10	52.60	57.60	64.66
East Nusa Tenggara	37.78	48.95	41.44	43.41	43.85	50.65
West Kalimantan	35.69	35.69	42.70	47.66	49.29	55.23
Central Kalimantan	47.28	52.42	56.48	53.47	50.01	60.77
South Kalimantan	44.85	52.91	56.75	61.09	59.52	63.05
East Kalimantan	67.56	66.76	67.72	68.73	64.74	71.63
North Kalimantan	47.64	58.60	57.43	58.22	61.10	67.77
North Sulawesi	55.50	72.33	67.46	70.02	67.58	73.79
Central Sulawesi	45.84	61.79	62.73	53.84	52.00	57.68
South Sulawesi	50.85	59.56	63.82	56.86	60.97	66.22
Southeast Sulawesi	61.52	67.12	67.75	67.67	64.26	68.28
Gorontalo	44.67	50.79	55.30	52.39	50.87	55.35
West Sulawesi	39.29	53.45	56.17	37.65	48.20	56.60
Maluku	58.59	72.87	73.58	66.42	67.82	70.55
North Maluku	57.12	64.87	65.14	60.07	59.13	66.52
West Papua	55.24	56.12	62.81	60.47	50.95	61.49
Papua	28.23	35.69	33.82	29.56	27.44	30.92
Indonesia	52.04	57.47	57.71	61.84	58.33	63.95

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.1.2* Completion rate for primary education, junior secondary education, and senior secondary education, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	64.27	68.10	66.70	71.56	65.89	72.43
Rural	36.87	43.67	45.79	47.83	47.25	51.76
SEX						
Male	48.61	55.40	56.64	60.64	57.00	62.15
Female	55.68	59.71	58.84	63.10	59.75	65.85
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	28.62	32.48	37.81	38.52	40.57	45.19
Quintile 2	37.29	44.21	46.58	52.24	48.90	54.87
Quintile 3	46.83	52.91	53.13	59.55	54.31	61.37
Quintile 4	59.43	65.92	62.33	68.23	63.33	69.05
Quintile 5	76.97	81.10	77.27	82.38	77.26	82.84
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	33.20	38.60	42.47	45.66	44.91	50.20
40% medium	53.34	59.63	57.88	64.01	58.92	65.36
20% highest	76.97	81.10	77.27	82.38	77.26	82.84
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	62.24	58.54	64.29
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	25.99	36.94	21.54
Indonesia	52.04	57.47	57.71	61.84	58.33	63.95

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 4.1.2.(a) Out of school children of early childhood education age; primary school age; junior secondary school age; and senior secondary school age, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	EDUCATION LEVEL					
	PRIMARY SCHOOL AGE		JUNIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL AGE		SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL AGE	
	2019	2020	2019	2019	2020	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	0.27	0.13	2.76	3.23	14.97	12.82
North Sumatera	0.64	0.44	4.95	4.75	16.87	14.60
West Sumatera	0.42	0.31	5.72	4.59	16.44	17.11
Riau	0.70	0.28	6.69	6.95	23.12	17.22
Jambi	0.29	0.24	7.05	6.22	24.00	22.83
South Sumatera	0.56	0.43	8.09	8.08	23.03	23.37
Bengkulu	0.23	NA	4.93	5.21	20.35	17.47
Lampung	0.50	0.26	6.41	8.31	27.50	22.96
Bangka Belitung Islands	0.38	0.74	9.93	9.47	29.99	28.02
Riau Islands	0.27	NA	2.59	3.52	10.29	9.31
DKI Jakarta	NA	NA	4.88	6.24	15.93	15.84
West Java	0.66	0.39	8.98	9.29	27.54	25.80
Central Java	0.34	0.23	6.53	7.62	26.56	25.02
DI Yogyakarta	NA	NA	0.47	2.48	8.13	6.28
East Java	0.43	0.22	4.72	5.41	23.40	23.21
Banten	0.59	0.52	7.35	7.28	26.83	26.09
Bali	0.43	0.16	3.04	2.86	16.73	14.88
West Nusa Tenggara	NA	NA	3.75	4.06	19.66	20.36
East Nusa Tenggara	1.40	1.22	7.16	8.18	24.04	23.66
West Kalimantan	1.42	1.20	9.93	10.68	29.88	27.11
Central Kalimantan	0.57	0.52	9.40	9.78	25.68	27.70
South Kalimantan	1.04	0.73	9.45	9.82	26.43	26.16
East Kalimantan	0.38	0.21	1.94	3.31	15.68	12.07
North Kalimantan	0.71	0.70	6.87	5.76	20.25	19.85
North Sulawesi	0.84	0.53	7.03	7.43	19.62	17.92
Central Sulawesi	1.93	1.07	9.15	9.06	23.39	24.60
South Sulawesi	0.99	0.83	9.44	8.83	26.54	24.19
Southeast Sulawesi	0.93	0.87	7.31	6.63	21.10	20.38
Gorontalo	1.55	0.87	10.12	12.07	27.57	28.32
West Sulawesi	1.99	1.60	13.94	13.09	29.10	25.76
Maluku	0.50	0.48	4.57	3.53	16.74	13.94
North Maluku	1.13	0.61	3.78	5.26	23.29	17.00
West Papua	1.87	1.40	4.96	4.85	16.89	15.40
Papua	15.92	14.53	22.12	21.18	39.65	36.68
Indonesia	0.85	0.62	6.92	7.29	23.75	22.31

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

- Not Available
- Out of school children of early childhood education age are already included in the figure of out of school children of primary school age.



Indicator 4.1.2.(a) Out of school children of early childhood education age; primary school age; junior secondary school age; and senior secondary school age, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	EDUCATION LEVEL					
	PRIMARY SCHOOL AGE		JUNIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL AGE		SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL AGE	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	0.48	0.31	5.18	5.83	19.46	18.11
Rural	1.28	0.98	8.97	9.02	29.36	27.81
SEX						
Male	1.00	0.72	7.59	8.42	25.17	23.57
Female	0.69	0.52	6.22	6.08	22.24	21.00
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	1.57	1.07	11.47	12.04	34.46	34.60
Quintile 2	0.75	0.57	7.54	7.96	28.68	26.11
Quintile 3	0.71	0.49	6.05	6.36	23.01	21.14
Quintile 4	0.57	0.48	4.83	5.32	20.21	17.93
Quintile 5	0.38	0.35	3.47	3.43	12.76	12.03
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	1.17	0.83	9.51	10.00	31.46	30.14
40% medium	0.64	0.48	5.48	5.86	21.62	19.53
20% highest	0.38	0.35	3.47	3.43	12.76	12.03
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	0.76	0.57	6.73	7.14	23.48	22.00
Disabled	12.26	10.16	30.62	31.27	51.01	62.92
Indonesia	0.85	0.62	6.92	7.29	23.75	22.31

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note: Out of school children of early childhood education age are already included in the figure of out of school children of primary school age.



Indicator 4.2.2* Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age), by sex, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	98.70	97.62	98.85	98.16	97.84	94.02
North Sumatera	95.72	95.78	95.79	96.85	96.83	92.66
West Sumatera	94.51	94.46	96.30	96.90	95.54	92.17
Riau	95.13	95.52	93.79	93.68	93.68	91.44
Jambi	96.52	95.88	95.98	97.37	96.99	95.64
South Sumatera	97.42	95.40	98.29	97.90	97.12	97.93
Bengkulu	95.52	95.87	95.13	99.43	97.90	95.84
Lampung	97.63	95.38	96.27	98.36	97.57	92.90
Bangka Belitung Islands	95.32	95.70	94.37	98.91	95.43	97.74
Riau Islands	94.66	94.46	95.86	91.50	93.71	90.98
DKI Jakarta	97.33	93.44	94.48	93.63	96.44	89.38
West Java	96.68	94.37	93.69	94.56	97.05	93.93
Central Java	97.88	97.48	97.71	99.21	98.75	96.73
DI Yogyakarta	98.45	98.66	98.38	99.67	100.00	99.18
East Java	97.07	96.69	95.66	96.75	97.03	94.89
Banten	95.20	95.27	93.94	95.93	96.95	89.26
Bali	96.70	94.23	93.20	97.32	97.77	91.45
West Nusa Tenggara	97.14	94.88	94.78	96.96	97.67	90.21
East Nusa Tenggara	92.48	91.82	91.16	93.37	94.16	92.82
West Kalimantan	90.86	92.48	92.59	95.40	93.85	91.39
Central Kalimantan	96.84	98.05	97.48	97.50	97.46	93.40
South Kalimantan	96.96	97.52	97.22	96.52	99.31	91.87
East Kalimantan	97.77	96.75	97.07	97.56	97.25	90.26
North Kalimantan	94.68	95.46	90.94	87.64	94.87	89.82
North Sulawesi	99.16	97.41	97.18	97.56	98.84	94.06
Central Sulawesi	93.05	91.11	94.90	94.76	96.03	93.89
South Sulawesi	96.80	95.52	96.90	97.43	95.51	91.77
Southeast Sulawesi	96.49	97.57	98.16	98.51	98.04	93.12
Gorontalo	96.19	93.28	95.10	97.24	99.13	91.36
West Sulawesi	90.76	93.18	89.04	95.65	94.55	93.05
Maluku	95.25	96.39	95.43	95.34	94.46	95.21
North Maluku	94.36	92.97	93.47	95.12	94.18	88.47
West Papua	85.48	87.50	85.10	88.61	92.19	91.11
Papua	60.30	57.96	62.11	61.51	61.69	52.68
Indonesia	95.85	94.88	94.87	95.86	96.37	92.76

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.2.2* Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age), by sex, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	97.14	96.20	95.30	96.43	97.44	92.97
Rural	94.60	93.55	94.42	95.26	95.13	92.49
SEX						
Male	95.38	94.49	94.27	95.60	96.15	92.81
Female	96.35	95.28	95.51	96.14	96.59	92.70
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	93.22	91.20	92.13	94.22	94.37	91.47
Quintile 2	95.55	94.76	94.38	95.31	96.20	93.58
Quintile 3	96.40	95.79	95.79	96.54	96.71	93.14
Quintile 4	97.08	96.03	96.20	97.17	97.28	93.48
Quintile 5	98.00	97.76	96.79	96.77	98.32	92.25
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	94.33	92.92	93.23	94.76	95.26	92.46
40% medium	96.73	95.90	95.99	96.83	96.98	93.29
20% highest	98.00	97.76	96.79	96.77	98.32	92.25
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	96.02	96.48	92.83
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	80.81	83.24	80.89
Indonesia	95.85	94.88	94.87	95.86	96.37	92.76

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 4.3.1* Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, 2018

AGE GROUP (1)	2018
	(2)
Aged 15-24 years	48.66
Aged 25-64 years	2.30

DISAGGREGATION (1)	AGED 15-24 YEARS
	2018 (2)
PROVINCE	
Aceh	58.07
North Sumatera	52.22
West Sumatera	57.69
Riau	52.17
Jambi	46.43
South Sumatera	43.85
Bengkulu	53.76
Lampung	45.34
Bangka Belitung Islands	43.51
Riau Islands	51.02
DKI Jakarta	49.37
West Java	44.97
Central Java	46.83
DI Yogyakarta	70.45
East Java	48.35
Banten	45.30
Bali	53.99
West Nusa Tenggara	50.82
East Nusa Tenggara	51.69
West Kalimantan	46.31
Central Kalimantan	47.15
South Kalimantan	48.00
East Kalimantan	54.30
North Kalimantan	45.95
North Sulawesi	47.73
Central Sulawesi	47.99
South Sulawesi	52.27
Southeast Sulawesi	51.56
Gorontalo	52.82
West Sulawesi	47.03
Maluku	57.50
North Maluku	56.68
West Papua	55.61
Papua	42.58
Indonesia	48.66

Source: Susenas, Social, Culture and Education Module (MSBP), BPS- Statistics Indonesia

Note: MSBP module is carried out every three years

Indicator 4.3.1* Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, 2018

DISAGGREGATION	AGED 15-24 YEARS	AGED 25-64 YEARS
	2018	2018
	(1)	(2)
AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Urban	50.90	2.78
Rural	45.72	1.67
SEX		
Male	48.61	2.34
Female	48.71	2.25
AGE GROUP		
10-19 years (adolescent)	86.38	NA
16-30 years (youth)	27.93	NA
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	40.78	0.81
Quintile 2	44.53	0.97
Quintile 3	47.44	1.60
Quintile 4	50.00	2.42
Quintile 5	59.41	5.06
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
40% lowest	42.69	0.89
40% medium	48.74	2.03
20% highest	59.41	5.06
DISABILITY STATUS		
Non-disabled	48.86	NA
Disabled	24.46	NA
Indonesia	48.66	2.30

Source: Susenas, Social, Culture and Education Module (MSBP), BPS- Statistics Indonesia

Note:

MSBP Module is carried out every three years

**Indicator 4.3.1.(a) Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in tertiary education, 2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	41.67	42.06	45.73	43.86	44.51	44.58
North Sumatera	25.89	28.93	30.71	31.11	30.82	31.14
West Sumatera	38.51	40.54	43.53	44.19	42.18	43.09
Riau	30.00	29.81	33.37	34.15	33.93	35.07
Jambi	26.33	26.98	32.27	33.78	30.71	31.42
South Sumatera	18.60	21.64	23.77	26.23	25.59	26.41
Bengkulu	36.53	41.00	41.52	38.31	37.63	38.39
Lampung	12.46	16.05	18.84	21.32	21.98	23.08
Bangka Belitung Islands	11.13	14.48	15.01	13.20	14.27	14.73
Riau Islands	21.14	22.11	23.08	27.64	25.11	26.68
DKI Jakarta	32.72	33.58	34.94	36.71	39.43	40.34
West Java	21.31	24.59	25.50	25.14	25.15	25.75
Central Java	19.28	19.69	21.88	21.96	21.80	22.62
DI Yogyakarta	64.83	65.51	70.10	70.60	73.14	74.69
East Java	22.14	28.13	30.23	29.99	29.20	29.52
Banten	23.60	29.02	31.40	33.40	33.22	33.07
Bali	32.06	31.61	35.81	36.40	35.96	36.46
West Nusa Tenggara	26.30	25.89	28.09	29.75	30.70	31.28
East Nusa Tenggara	24.51	26.92	29.21	30.14	30.22	31.28
West Kalimantan	19.24	20.98	23.04	22.68	24.87	25.36
Central Kalimantan	21.10	23.90	24.89	25.24	25.45	25.70
South Kalimantan	24.56	23.24	26.08	26.41	27.04	27.35
East Kalimantan	28.44	31.60	35.58	35.64	37.78	39.16
North Kalimantan	18.25	24.35	26.76	21.58	23.43	22.71
North Sulawesi	27.68	31.32	31.74	35.00	33.86	34.61
Central Sulawesi	31.56	35.30	39.22	40.61	38.64	39.32
South Sulawesi	40.44	40.75	43.00	41.23	42.72	42.69
Southeast Sulawesi	42.31	45.35	46.98	46.42	47.56	47.40
Gorontalo	30.35	32.23	37.88	35.23	36.71	37.74
West Sulawesi	25.51	27.54	29.72	28.90	30.85	29.44
Maluku	44.46	46.38	47.39	48.42	47.65	48.62
North Maluku	33.72	40.87	45.01	42.68	44.02	43.97
West Papua	32.83	32.37	36.32	35.97	34.83	35.30
Papua	16.01	20.44	20.37	19.03	21.08	21.87
Indonesia	25.26	27.98	29.93	30.19	30.28	30.85

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.3.1.(a) Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in tertiary education, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	35.23	36.77	39.86	40.39	39.75	38.58
Rural	13.02	16.78	16.99	15.97	16.54	19.77
SEX						
Male	23.07	26.00	28.17	28.34	28.93	29.55
Female	27.53	30.05	31.75	32.09	31.67	32.21
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	5.08	8.08	9.96	10.19	11.44	16.13
Quintile 2	8.60	13.69	14.74	14.86	16.34	19.31
Quintile 3	14.99	18.78	19.80	20.98	21.88	24.27
Quintile 4	26.48	30.47	29.72	31.38	29.83	30.23
Quintile 5	59.61	58.12	60.78	63.41	62.14	56.87
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	6.94	11.01	12.49	12.62	13.98	17.78
40% medium	20.96	24.80	24.89	26.32	25.96	27.34
20% highest	59.61	58.12	60.78	63.41	62.14	56.87
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	30.39	30.36	30.99
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	11.35	21.39	13.85
Indonesia	25.26	27.98	29.93	30.19	30.28	30.85

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 4.4.1.(a) Proportion of youth (aged 15-24 years) and adults (aged 15-59 years) with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	AGED 15-24 YEARS					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	36.82	39.85	51.78	62.96	69.01	76.68
North Sumatera	44.62	51.11	63.90	71.24	77.28	82.51
West Sumatera	56.34	59.36	67.78	76.27	80.64	84.73
Riau	52.28	56.25	66.69	75.76	82.03	84.61
Jambi	50.53	53.48	62.67	74.00	81.58	86.06
South Sumatera	44.00	49.45	61.55	72.36	77.26	83.31
Bengkulu	48.48	49.91	60.07	69.56	77.02	80.69
Lampung	33.68	45.06	58.71	73.25	81.61	85.18
Bangka Belitung Islands	49.14	55.88	64.40	77.06	84.49	87.10
Riau Islands	68.63	76.60	84.48	86.37	93.05	90.65
DKI Jakarta	80.01	82.53	89.93	92.15	95.41	95.85
West Java	55.72	61.81	74.78	82.69	88.79	92.14
Central Java	55.99	63.63	75.51	83.33	90.93	94.02
DI Yogyakarta	83.22	85.43	92.19	95.48	97.91	98.22
East Java	54.42	61.52	73.07	80.51	87.97	90.93
Banten	51.61	59.38	71.44	81.53	87.86	88.47
Bali	66.63	76.42	81.45	87.56	91.40	94.75
West Nusa Tenggara	36.83	46.63	54.67	66.23	77.54	81.17
East Nusa Tenggara	22.49	29.12	38.68	43.32	51.03	58.40
West Kalimantan	37.03	44.60	51.82	63.05	70.40	78.07
Central Kalimantan	45.34	51.69	61.19	68.48	80.96	83.94
South Kalimantan	56.49	62.79	69.00	78.81	87.16	90.59
East Kalimantan	64.30	73.12	76.99	83.52	89.87	91.39
North Kalimantan	53.85	63.36	72.13	80.51	87.29	88.29
North Sulawesi	54.87	62.27	70.78	74.69	81.22	84.93
Central Sulawesi	38.71	44.35	56.01	61.45	68.81	76.52
South Sulawesi	51.51	55.01	66.90	76.72	83.30	88.78
Southeast Sulawesi	42.30	49.68	59.71	70.66	79.51	84.21
Gorontalo	44.84	52.85	61.68	74.22	79.60	82.70
West Sulawesi	32.38	39.58	49.39	57.05	68.06	74.15
Maluku	38.64	42.98	49.60	54.29	60.17	65.83
North Maluku	27.15	30.15	35.19	49.32	52.88	62.11
West Papua	37.47	39.40	50.04	61.29	65.40	70.93
Papua	17.03	23.09	30.42	33.48	32.88	39.06
Indonesia	51.83	58.21	68.93	77.05	83.58	87.17

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.4.1.(a) Proportion of youth (aged 15-24 years) and adults (aged 15-59 years) with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	AGED 15-24 YEARS					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	66.33	71.71	80.89	86.29	90.63	92.97
Rural	35.56	42.46	54.44	65.14	74.03	79.36
SEX						
Male	51.59	57.55	68.79	77.03	83.53	87.20
Female	52.09	58.90	69.07	77.08	83.63	87.13
Indonesia	51.83	58.21	68.93	77.05	83.58	87.17

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 4.4.1.(a) Proportion of youth (aged 15-24 years) and adults (aged 15-59 years) with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	AGED 15-59 YEARS					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	20.50	23.31	30.56	40.47	46.77	54.25
North Sumatera	22.09	25.99	35.11	43.65	51.78	58.60
West Sumatera	29.03	32.53	38.03	47.49	52.85	58.67
Riau	28.49	32.33	39.78	49.45	55.37	62.67
Jambi	24.51	27.03	32.80	43.42	50.83	56.87
South Sumatera	21.80	25.20	32.03	41.33	46.50	54.52
Bengkulu	24.24	26.34	32.90	40.42	48.70	53.42
Lampung	16.27	20.87	28.36	40.23	48.37	55.57
Bangka Belitung Islands	24.86	28.70	35.31	45.45	54.93	60.37
Riau Islands	43.42	50.10	58.87	65.60	77.18	81.73
DKI Jakarta	53.25	58.40	71.39	77.14	85.17	88.08
West Java	29.98	34.84	46.09	55.91	65.37	71.09
Central Java	24.54	29.89	38.75	48.63	58.75	65.78
DI Yogyakarta	43.16	49.23	57.37	68.82	75.04	81.36
East Java	24.61	29.59	38.76	48.07	57.23	63.91
Banten	31.48	37.01	45.49	57.86	66.96	69.35
Bali	34.81	41.78	48.33	57.71	65.48	72.56
West Nusa Tenggara	18.26	23.71	30.04	37.11	47.85	52.72
East Nusa Tenggara	14.63	18.92	25.30	29.65	36.33	42.89
West Kalimantan	20.23	24.66	30.38	38.92	47.04	54.10
Central Kalimantan	24.03	28.52	35.43	43.17	54.54	59.66
South Kalimantan	27.92	32.61	37.37	49.32	57.82	62.88
East Kalimantan	37.49	46.11	50.56	60.85	69.44	75.33
North Kalimantan	30.88	38.50	45.68	58.42	65.36	71.99
North Sulawesi	30.16	37.20	44.70	51.22	57.48	63.03
Central Sulawesi	20.24	22.99	31.70	37.02	44.13	51.68
South Sulawesi	27.47	31.37	38.74	47.07	54.85	60.50
Southeast Sulawesi	23.02	28.27	35.14	43.94	53.36	60.35
Gorontalo	23.06	27.30	34.39	42.71	50.62	55.68
West Sulawesi	16.60	20.86	26.24	33.95	40.95	47.66
Maluku	22.11	27.55	31.55	39.20	44.02	49.96
North Maluku	15.37	19.21	25.10	34.24	38.11	45.22
West Papua	22.95	26.08	34.68	45.41	52.37	59.45
Papua	11.43	15.00	21.29	24.23	26.45	30.93
Indonesia	27.04	31.83	40.48	49.73	58.22	64.26

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

**Indicator 4.4.1.(a) Proportion of youth (aged 15-24 years) and adults (aged 15-59 years)
with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, 2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	AGED 15-59 YEARS					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	38.39	43.72	53.02	62.00	69.89	75.17
Rural	14.88	18.59	25.65	34.20	42.74	49.77
SEX						
Male	29.57	34.52	28.47	53.06	61.83	67.88
Female	24.48	29.12	22.80	46.37	54.56	60.60
Indonesia	27.04	31.83	40.48	49.73	58.22	64.26

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
EDUCATION LEVEL						
Primary education						
Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in Primary Education, Female/Male	100.33	99.70	99.69	99.57	100.02	99.98
Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in Primary Education, Rural/Urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	99.27	99.44
Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in Primary Education, Lowest Quintile/Highest Quintile	NA	NA	NA	NA	99.79	99.97
Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in Primary Education, Disabled/Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	90.92	91.18
Junior secondary education						
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Junior Secondary Education, Female/Male	NA	NA	NA	NA	99.85	99.09
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Junior Secondary Education, Rural/Urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	96.37	98.98
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Junior Secondary Education, Lowest Quintile/Highest Quintile	NA	NA	NA	NA	96.90	97.87
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Junior Secondary Education, Disabled/Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	72.38	78.66
Senior secondary education						
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Senior Secondary Education, Female/Male	NA	NA	NA	NA	104.92	100.92
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Senior Secondary Education, Rural/Urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	87.44	90.63



DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Senior Secondary Education, Lowest Quintile/Highest Quintile	NA	NA	NA	NA	76.95	76.75
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Senior Secondary Education, Disabled/Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	57.24	62.18
Tertiary education						
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Tertiary Education, Female/Male	119.36	115.60	112.72	113.24	109.47	109.01
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Tertiary Education, Rural/Urban	NA	NA	NA	NA	41.61	51.24
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Tertiary Education, Lowest Quintile/Highest Quintile	NA	NA	NA	NA	18.41	28.37
Ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in Tertiary Education, Disabled/Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	NA	70.44	44.69

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF NER IN PRIMARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	102.83	99.13	99.93	99.64	99.68	100.01
North Sumatera	100.55	100.53	100.11	99.26	99.74	100.45
West Sumatera	99.72	100.57	100.41	99.65	99.74	99.70
Riau	98.26	100.48	98.52	99.96	99.90	99.63
Jambi	101.30	99.28	100.61	99.69	100.62	100.16
South Sumatera	100.39	99.37	98.29	99.13	99.39	99.11
Bengkulu	102.15	100.04	99.93	100.26	99.90	99.92
Lampung	101.26	99.09	99.80	99.22	100.41	100.15
Bangka Belitung Islands	100.53	100.90	100.92	98.77	98.48	100.11
Riau Islands	99.13	99.81	101.01	99.12	99.97	100.71
DKI Jakarta	99.61	100.70	99.87	99.09	99.66	100.37
West Java	101.37	99.21	99.47	99.29	100.47	99.44
Central Java	99.68	99.09	99.28	99.96	99.60	100.71
DI Yogyakarta	100.03	99.88	99.33	99.89	99.92	99.64
East Java	99.68	99.82	99.74	99.78	99.99	100.26
Banten	98.68	100.26	100.00	99.29	100.23	100.77
Bali	97.98	97.20	100.95	99.12	99.52	100.28
West Nusa Tenggara	98.66	99.30	100.57	100.30	100.17	99.89
East Nusa Tenggara	100.28	98.52	100.16	99.48	100.31	100.72
West Kalimantan	103.18	100.90	99.76	99.65	99.70	100.22
Central Kalimantan	100.93	99.65	99.53	99.91	99.78	100.14
South Kalimantan	102.83	98.63	99.74	98.78	99.70	100.24
East Kalimantan	96.37	99.36	99.80	100.13	100.57	99.95
North Kalimantan	99.10	99.78	101.95	99.11	95.80	99.19
North Sulawesi	101.03	99.99	99.32	100.04	99.07	98.28
Central Sulawesi	99.66	102.84	99.83	98.06	99.23	97.48
South Sulawesi	101.55	100.83	100.09	100.25	101.32	99.58
Southeast Sulawesi	102.56	101.12	96.90	100.39	100.33	99.45
Gorontalo	102.96	100.94	99.44	100.89	101.11	100.38
West Sulawesi	100.69	101.13	100.18	100.57	101.91	98.34
Maluku	97.49	99.15	100.84	99.74	99.25	97.85
North Maluku	100.14	99.01	100.70	99.78	99.84	99.89
West Papua	97.91	98.21	98.30	98.22	98.47	99.02
Papua	99.40	99.28	99.42	99.18	99.28	98.27
Indonesia	100.33	99.70	99.69	99.57	100.02	99.98

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF NER IN PRIMARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	100.43	99.62	99.46	99.39	99.96	100.14
Rural	100.23	99.77	99.93	99.77	100.11	99.81
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	99.56	99.95	99.95
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	98.35	108.89	107.08
Indonesia	100.33	99.70	99.69	99.57	100.02	99.98

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF NER IN PRIMARY EDUCATION, RURAL/URBAN		RATIO OF NER IN PRIMARY EDUCATION, LOWEST QUINTILE/ HIGHEST QUINTILE		RATIO OF NER IN PRIMARY EDUCATION, DISABLED/ NON- DISABLED	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban			100.81	100.71	91.96	95.31
Rural			99.38	99.83	89.65	84.43
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	99.28	99.48	99.87	100.04		
Disabled	96.79	88.13	90.69	88.96		
Indonesia	99.27	99.44	99.79	99.97	90.92	91.18

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF NER IN PRIMARY EDUCATION, LOWEST QUINTILE/HIGHEST QUINTILE		RATIO OF GER IN JUNIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE		RATIO OF GER IN JUNIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, LOWEST QUINTILE/HIGHEST QUINTILE	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	99.72	100.13	96.26	96.48	107.75	104.49
North Sumatera	102.69	101.57	96.50	101.58	105.74	105.13
West Sumatera	100.47	99.84	105.09	103.11	94.67	107.22
Riau	103.89	100.64	98.81	100.01	87.09	89.95
Jambi	99.66	101.89	100.65	96.98	105.18	103.86
South Sumatera	103.41	101.86	99.06	102.12	95.80	108.02
Bengkulu	101.00	100.15	96.89	90.21	90.54	101.40
Lampung	99.68	100.00	109.07	92.60	93.48	97.25
Bangka Belitung Islands	99.96	100.89	105.36	100.24	97.31	106.69
Riau Islands	99.06	100.74	89.61	101.31	85.04	87.37
DKI Jakarta	104.02	101.66	100.22	102.64	101.51	91.98
West Java	100.12	100.28	97.03	98.80	101.52	97.62
Central Java	100.37	100.70	103.50	96.67	98.45	104.96
DI Yogyakarta	99.72	100.35	107.10	92.73	145.35	135.08
East Java	99.43	99.74	97.57	99.09	102.33	98.45
Banten	99.15	98.37	100.30	102.70	98.49	97.24
Bali	102.11	100.40	101.68	99.96	110.97	112.59
West Nusa Tenggara	99.49	98.91	98.39	96.93	96.04	97.71
East Nusa Tenggara	100.59	102.09	107.63	99.86	98.81	90.36
West Kalimantan	99.50	98.53	101.15	99.48	89.26	97.19
Central Kalimantan	100.08	99.69	102.95	95.56	84.80	104.92
South Kalimantan	98.75	99.53	100.65	99.39	87.11	85.87
East Kalimantan	99.26	100.00	97.10	93.19	98.72	105.98
North Kalimantan	100.76	102.29	105.39	99.96	83.80	84.33
North Sulawesi	99.31	104.41	105.02	107.68	105.98	99.99
Central Sulawesi	99.45	101.08	99.39	106.62	89.23	86.71
South Sulawesi	100.70	100.60	98.31	95.30	91.81	92.12
Southeast Sulawesi	101.59	98.68	100.00	102.56	96.77	107.54
Gorontalo	98.48	97.70	101.66	107.22	94.50	87.90
West Sulawesi	99.66	98.93	97.71	102.17	81.24	92.32
Maluku	107.17	103.66	99.59	109.29	98.90	101.47
North Maluku	99.34	102.26	98.28	95.28	95.18	98.46
West Papua	97.90	101.44	101.55	107.83	81.01	97.11
Papua	85.03	89.26	101.26	103.86	70.87	80.07
Indonesia	99.79	99.97	99.85	99.09	96.90	97.87

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF GER IN JUNIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE		RATIO OF GER IN JUNIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, RURAL/URBAN		RATIO OF GER IN JUNIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, LOWEST QUINTILE/ HIGHEST QUINTILE	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	98.55	99.04			101.95	99.38
Rural	101.55	99.18			96.15	97.72
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	99.72	99.06	96.51	99.09	97.39	97.90
Disabled	106.37	94.99	77.99	78.58	48.76	102.66
Indonesia	99.85	99.09	96.37	98.98	96.90	97.87

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE		RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, RURAL/URBAN		RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, LOWEST QUINTILE/ HIGHEST QUINTILE	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	103.38	105.42	88.09	93.12	77.46	80.60
North Sumatera	111.68	97.76	101.01	96.59	83.80	81.44
West Sumatera	115.52	107.91	77.65	89.47	82.16	73.83
Riau	115.72	106.05	82.69	83.00	72.21	69.95
Jambi	92.88	104.83	71.62	80.77	68.03	77.53
South Sumatera	107.04	93.58	76.21	75.13	79.29	76.38
Bengkulu	96.45	104.01	87.80	87.38	73.29	80.99
Lampung	102.14	106.86	69.13	83.73	72.38	74.05
Bangka Belitung Islands	118.32	100.67	75.12	90.76	63.71	64.62
Riau Islands	124.89	97.69	100.15	98.25	80.02	109.35
DKI Jakarta	96.53	89.03	NA	NA	103.82	114.78
West Java	104.04	101.07	79.06	82.18	63.91	64.79
Central Java	102.16	103.03	94.93	95.59	78.59	70.15
DI Yogyakarta	102.13	104.07	82.82	95.28	89.61	96.03
East Java	104.31	96.85	77.41	81.57	70.23	66.98
Banten	107.67	97.41	88.80	84.62	67.03	74.18
Bali	91.37	90.38	103.94	94.93	97.93	92.06
West Nusa Tenggara	97.61	93.79	91.02	96.22	92.06	103.53
East Nusa Tenggara	110.44	121.25	70.62	82.21	55.75	67.64
West Kalimantan	101.00	108.90	78.80	87.13	80.47	82.36
Central Kalimantan	99.92	104.73	83.17	80.99	89.69	83.52
South Kalimantan	96.28	93.54	85.91	95.04	55.14	85.40
East Kalimantan	106.60	103.83	91.65	93.42	105.94	80.93
North Kalimantan	97.12	96.64	60.46	72.97	89.23	75.66
North Sulawesi	104.29	103.24	99.39	97.84	79.47	83.84
Central Sulawesi	121.63	108.05	76.41	82.58	68.30	69.31
South Sulawesi	109.05	105.37	87.48	92.81	72.88	84.09
Southeast Sulawesi	107.48	100.86	78.42	88.41	71.76	81.99
Gorontalo	125.35	104.56	91.75	97.61	80.04	76.18
West Sulawesi	126.83	109.19	81.27	107.30	78.17	80.30
Maluku	117.68	102.60	82.13	91.45	74.43	80.23
North Maluku	112.83	120.80	87.46	92.48	88.85	85.65
West Papua	116.28	98.53	76.61	71.89	67.44	82.44
Papua	81.11	90.80	48.57	54.31	53.00	61.42
Indonesia	104.92	100.92	87.44	90.63	76.95	76.75

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, DISABLED/NON-DISABLED		RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE		RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, RURAL/URBAN	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	79.70	86.54	103.40	97.48		
Rural	64.41	68.63	107.37	106.02		
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled			104.72	100.88	87.63	90.79
Disabled			131.87	99.47	66.19	61.93
Indonesia	72.38	78.66	104.92	100.92	87.44	90.63

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, LOWEST QUINTILE/HIGHEST QUINTILE		RATIO OF GER IN SENIOR SECONDARY EDUCATION, DISABLED/NON-DISABLED	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	83.88	82.31	64.85	72.09
Rural	73.05	73.96	48.99	49.18
Indonesia	76.95	76.75	57.24	62.18

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF GER IN TERTIARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	155.52	128.71	117.88	119.55	119.84	107.36
North Sumatera	158.45	152.56	115.50	124.15	122.32	115.41
West Sumatera	148.50	141.22	133.32	117.78	123.63	133.35
Riau	128.80	115.67	123.67	100.31	128.94	100.22
Jambi	135.29	106.98	124.66	118.32	118.29	109.22
South Sumatera	141.61	107.85	124.03	124.26	109.65	122.14
Bengkulu	168.29	127.88	126.92	142.45	145.87	118.84
Lampung	146.10	117.43	136.41	128.53	119.21	125.37
Bangka Belitung Islands	173.03	245.05	134.40	153.04	113.88	112.94
Riau Islands	141.23	124.05	96.86	88.63	91.49	134.90
DKI Jakarta	116.87	104.68	91.22	94.42	108.29	95.71
West Java	108.06	123.04	109.86	106.81	109.49	102.11
Central Java	126.27	135.84	115.51	110.70	98.47	129.61
DI Yogyakarta	95.48	97.67	102.49	110.16	96.79	96.18
East Java	97.99	84.59	111.77	119.35	103.47	107.17
Banten	115.69	95.75	115.54	112.69	109.75	88.36
Bali	96.37	86.27	96.47	110.48	96.96	97.37
West Nusa Tenggara	99.27	118.84	99.19	104.80	92.79	99.60
East Nusa Tenggara	108.60	131.36	91.28	122.41	107.66	101.38
West Kalimantan	100.72	105.88	111.94	87.48	117.96	127.71
Central Kalimantan	122.82	133.06	101.93	111.47	86.02	105.33
South Kalimantan	124.32	140.03	115.49	92.21	131.15	135.09
East Kalimantan	131.01	160.99	106.04	104.09	120.37	111.63
North Kalimantan	117.93	137.25	80.80	195.63	140.52	110.05
North Sulawesi	130.41	119.90	117.46	129.71	118.85	99.92
Central Sulawesi	123.53	128.75	106.23	132.27	102.35	106.49
South Sulawesi	132.62	133.46	122.69	126.50	122.00	116.50
Southeast Sulawesi	129.66	108.51	113.28	106.72	108.75	109.98
Gorontalo	149.45	136.57	126.54	136.66	106.72	130.40
West Sulawesi	112.01	119.99	138.92	123.30	97.73	110.47
Maluku	137.76	107.18	128.58	119.62	106.09	114.10
North Maluku	155.21	113.73	97.24	105.49	120.42	97.91
West Papua	131.54	123.37	103.62	126.51	92.76	102.45
Papua	80.27	111.37	97.60	97.78	92.82	101.38
Indonesia	119.36	115.60	112.72	113.24	109.47	109.01

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF GER IN TERTIARY EDUCATION, FEMALE/MALE					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	116.97	109.90	110.66	109.89	108.45	109.41
Rural	125.78	127.48	117.67	121.76	115.28	111.57
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	112.89	109.35	109.04
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	151.41	122.12	95.06
Indonesia	119.36	115.60	112.72	113.24	109.47	109.01

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018

Indicator 4.5.1* Ratio of Net Enrolment Rate (NER) in primary education; and ratio of Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) in junior secondary education, senior secondary education, and tertiary education for (a) female/male, (b) rural/urban, (c) lowest quintile/highest quintile, (d) disabled/non-disabled, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	RATIO OF GER IN TERTIARY EDUCATION, RURAL/URBAN		RATIO OF GER IN TERTIARY EDUCATION, LOWEST QUINTILE/ HIGHEST QUINTILE		RATIO OF GER IN TERTIARY EDUCATION, DISABLED/ NON-DISABLED	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban			19.37	27.88	NA	44.46
Rural			32.17	50.93	NA	52.02
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	51.28	NA	NA		
Disabled	NA	59.99	NA	NA		
Indonesia	41.61	51.24	18.41	28.37	70.44	44.69

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



**Indicator 4.6.1.(a) Literacy rates among population aged 15 years and above,
2015-2020**

Disagregasi (1)	YEAR					
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	2020 (7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	97.63	97.74	97.94	98.03	98.21	98.25
North Sumatera	98.68	98.88	98.89	99.07	99.15	99.16
West Sumatera	98.56	98.81	98.85	99.07	99.17	99.17
Riau	98.87	99.07	99.17	99.20	99.21	99.23
Jambi	97.84	98.01	98.09	98.15	98.20	98.19
South Sumatera	98.22	98.46	98.54	98.66	98.76	98.75
Bengkulu	97.63	97.75	97.90	97.91	98.01	98.01
Lampung	96.67	96.78	96.89	96.93	97.11	97.24
Bangka Belitung Islands	97.63	97.66	97.79	97.76	98.09	98.08
Riau Islands	98.79	98.84	98.83	98.87	99.00	99.00
DKI Jakarta	99.59	99.64	99.67	99.72	99.74	99.69
West Java	98.01	98.22	98.23	98.48	98.53	98.63
Central Java	93.12	93.30	93.39	93.45	93.54	93.62
DI Yogyakarta	94.50	94.59	94.64	94.83	94.96	95.09
East Java	91.47	91.59	91.82	91.85	92.32	92.50
Banten	97.37	97.55	97.57	97.62	97.62	97.88
Bali	92.77	92.82	92.90	92.98	94.53	94.80
West Nusa Tenggara	86.97	87.06	87.14	87.42	87.59	87.60
East Nusa Tenggara	91.45	91.52	91.68	91.90	93.24	93.31
West Kalimantan	92.32	92.39	92.48	92.58	93.21	93.41
Central Kalimantan	98.88	98.97	99.08	99.21	99.22	99.20
South Kalimantan	98.21	98.28	98.40	98.42	98.50	98.45
East Kalimantan	98.69	98.82	98.96	98.96	99.03	98.97
North Kalimantan	94.99	95.05	95.14	95.18	96.39	96.66
North Sulawesi	99.63	99.79	99.76	99.87	99.80	99.79
Central Sulawesi	97.34	97.51	97.69	97.87	98.22	98.24
South Sulawesi	91.29	91.52	91.65	91.81	92.45	92.56
Southeast Sulawesi	94.10	94.25	94.32	94.46	94.91	95.00
Gorontalo	98.24	98.44	98.44	98.63	98.75	98.75
West Sulawesi	92.64	92.75	92.79	92.85	93.59	93.17
Maluku	98.85	98.94	99.13	99.22	99.39	99.42
North Maluku	98.49	98.67	98.68	98.76	98.79	98.77
West Papua	96.88	97.05	97.16	97.37	97.72	97.52
Papua	70.83	71.02	73.89	76.79	78.00	77.90
Indonesia	95.22	95.38	95.50	95.66	95.90	96.00

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



**Indicator 4.6.1.(a) Literacy rates among population aged 15 years and above,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	97.43	97.53	97.57	97.56	97.71	97.81
Rural	92.91	93.03	93.11	93.30	93.56	93.64
SEX						
Male	97.11	97.17	97.25	97.33	97.48	97.45
Female	93.34	93.59	93.76	93.99	94.33	94.55
AGE GROUP						
15-24 years	99.67	99.67	99.66	99.71	99.76	99.78
15-59 years	97.71	97.93	97.93	98.07	98.22	98.29
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	90.00	90.26	90.46	90.85	91.35	91.88
Quintile 2	93.76	94.37	94.55	94.73	95.05	95.18
Quintile 3	95.72	95.84	95.91	96.16	96.34	96.43
Quintile 4	97.15	96.94	96.77	97.05	97.26	97.23
Quintile 5	98.64	98.71	98.66	98.78	98.82	98.65
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	91.91	92.35	92.57	92.83	93.24	93.56
40% medium	96.45	96.40	96.35	96.61	96.81	96.84
20% highest	98.64	98.71	98.66	98.78	98.82	98.65
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	96.34	96.48	96.54
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	76.67	78.63	77.95
Indonesia	95.22	95.38	95.50	95.66	95.90	96.00

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018



Indicator 4.a.1* Proportion of schools with access to (a) electricity, (b) internet for learning purposes, (c) computer for learning purposes, (d) improved drinking water, (e) basic sanitation facilities separated for males and females, (f) handwashing facilities (with water, sanitation and hygiene for all (WASH)), 2015-2018

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR			
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)
SCHOOL FACILITIES				
Proportion of schools with access to (a) electricity				
(1) SD	90.77	93.25	93.91	94.40
(2) SMP	93.60	96.08	96.56	96.85
(3) SMA	97.19	98.05	98.41	98.93
(4) SMK	97.83	99.08	99.37	99.56
Proportion of schools with access to (b) internet for learning purposes				
(1) SD	5.98	21.28	22.36	34.70
(2) SMP	29.85	28.72	31.08	49.23
(3) SMA	83.17	41.26	45.70	69.20
(4) SMK	86.62	47.80	49.47	75.99
Proportion of schools with access to (c) computer for learning purposes				
(1) SD	32.05	39.67	41.07	40.38
(2) SMP	43.41	54.00	55.00	55.00
(3) SMA	55.02	64.36	67.50	72.92
(4) SMK	60.90	73.00	74.00	79.00
Proportion of schools with access to (d) improved drinking water				
(1) SD	NA	68.15	86.79	87.11
(2) SMP	NA	69.75	86.74	87.04
(3) SMA	NA	73.97	89.51	89.85
(4) SMK	NA	77.77	91.97	92.21
Proportion of schools with access to (e) basic sanitation facilities separated for males and females				
(1) SD	NA	31.40	39.73	68.99
(2) SMP	NA	41.36	50.05	79.48
(3) SMA	NA	35.82	49.99	83.40
(4) SMK	NA	41.88	53.31	84.14
Proportion of schools with access to (f) handwashing facilities (with water, sanitation and hygiene for all (WASH))				
(1) SD	NA	39.23	47.51	51.14
(2) SMP	NA	44.58	42.60	44.67
(3) SMA	NA	47.03	49.78	51.65
(4) SMK	NA	47.21	49.28	51.63

Source: Core Education Data (Dapodik), Ministry of Education and Culture

Indicator 4.c.1* Proportion of teachers with the minimum required qualifications according to national standard, by education level, 2016 - 2018

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR		
	2016 (2)	2017 (3)	2018 (4)
Percentage of teachers who are certified educators			
(i) ECD	68.95	66.67	NA
(ii) Primary School	45.03	47.80	42.81
(iii) Junior Secondary School	50.07	49.43	45.75
(iv) Senior Secondary School	50.95	49.17	46.49
(v) Vocational School	37.34	35.72	35.30
Percentage of teachers with minimum qualifications of university graduate (S1/D4)			
(i) ECD	52.30	52.00	NA
(ii) Primary School	84.20	86.00	88.40
(iii) Junior Secondary School	92.10	93.20	94.50
(iv) Senior Secondary School	96.90	97.40	98.00
(v) Vocational School	94.00	94.60	95.40

Source: Core Education Data (Dapodik), Ministry of Education and Culture



GOAL 5

GENDER EQUALITY

Indicator 5.1.1* The availability of legal frameworks to promote, enforce and monitor gender equality and non-discrimination on the basis of sex, 2020

BIDANG (1)	PERCENTAGE VALUE OF THE AVAILABILITY OF LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN EACH FIELD 2020 (2)
	FIELD
1. Overarching legal framework	100.00
2. Violence against women	91.67
3. Employment and economic sector	90.00
4. Marriage and family	81.82

Source: Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection, 2021

Indicator 5.2.1* Proportion of women and girls (aged 15-64 years) subjected to physical, sexual or psychological violence by a current or former partner in the previous 12 months, 2016

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR 2016 (2)
TYPE OF VIOLENCE	
Proportion of ever-married women (aged 15-64 years) subjected to physical violence by a partner in the previous 12 months	1.8
Proportion of ever-married women (aged 15-64 years) subjected to sexual violence by a partner in the previous 12 months	3.8
Proportion of ever-married women (aged 15-64 years) subjected to psychological violence by a partner in the previous 12 months	7.5
Proportion of ever-married women (aged 15-64 years) subjected to physical, sexual or psychological violence by a partner in the previous 12 months	10.4

Source: National Women's Life Experience Survey (SPHPN), BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 5.2.2* Proportion of women and girls (aged 15-64 years) subjected to sexual violence by persons other than partner in the previous 12 months, 2016

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR
	2016
(1)	(2)
MARRIAGE STATUS	
Never married/never had a partner	19.04
Ever/currently have a partner	2.16
Indonesia	4.66

Source: National Women's Life Experience Survey (SPHPN), BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 5.3.1* Proportion of women aged 20–24 years who were married or in a union before age 15 and before age 18, 2015–2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AGE OF FIRST MARRIAGE						
Before age 15 years	0.60	0.54	0.48	0.56	0.57	0.50
Before age 18 years	12.14	11.11	11.54	11.21	10.82	10.35
DISAGGREGATION	THOSE WHO WERE MARRIED OR IN A UNION BEFORE AGE 15					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	0.26	0.19	0.19	0.28	0.33	0.19
Rural	1.01	1.00	0.86	0.95	0.92	0.94
EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/pre-primary education	3.60	2.89	1.01	1.18	1.54	3.29
Primary school	1.95	2.01	1.88	2.61	2.56	2.23
Junior secondary school	0.44	0.47	0.40	0.49	0.65	0.66
Senior secondary school	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.10	0.16	0.11
Tertiary education	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.01
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/pre-primary education	1.08	0.77	0.91	0.94	0.61	0.75
Primary education	0.67	0.74	0.53	0.85	0.80	0.69
Junior secondary education	0.49	0.52	0.58	0.43	0.86	0.64
Senior secondary education	0.36	0.26	0.18	0.22	0.28	0.24
Tertiary education	0.14	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.04
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	1.00	0.98	0.78	1.08	1.11	0.94
Quintile 2	0.90	0.77	0.53	0.86	0.66	0.65
Quintile 3	0.61	0.64	0.50	0.48	0.67	0.58
Quintile 4	0.39	0.30	0.46	0.43	0.36	0.36
Quintile 5	0.26	0.17	0.24	0.13	0.22	0.11
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	0.95	0.87	0.65	0.97	0.88	0.79
40% medium	0.50	0.46	0.48	0.46	0.50	0.47
20% highest	0.26	0.17	0.24	0.13	0.22	0.11
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	0.57	0.57	0.51
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	0.07	0.80	0.05
Indonesia	0.60	0.54	0.48	0.56	0.57	0.50

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note: Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018

Indicator 5.3.1* Proportion of women aged 20–24 years who were married or in a union before age 15 and before age 18, 2015–2020

DISAGGREGATION	THOSE WHO WERE MARRIED OR IN A UNION BEFORE AGE 18					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	4.17	5.12	4.62	5.29	6.59	5.43
North Sumatera	6.20	4.61	5.72	4.90	6.50	5.95
West Sumatera	5.51	5.99	6.83	6.68	5.96	5.03
Riau	9.40	9.75	10.52	7.93	8.30	9.19
Jambi	14.98	16.74	14.51	12.71	14.78	14.03
South Sumatera	13.95	13.65	13.29	12.07	13.53	13.44
Bengkulu	12.77	14.50	14.61	14.33	13.24	10.68
Lampung	11.48	9.88	11.81	10.70	12.10	10.24
Bangka Belitung Islands	15.58	15.98	18.16	14.22	15.48	18.76
Riau Islands	4.11	5.64	4.00	4.68	3.82	7.31
DKI Jakarta	4.88	4.93	3.18	4.06	3.12	1.45
West Java	14.14	11.47	12.24	13.26	12.33	11.96
Central Java	11.47	11.72	10.37	11.04	10.19	10.05
DI Yogyakarta	4.73	4.76	2.21	6.20	3.06	1.83
East Java	14.68	12.14	13.32	12.71	11.11	10.67
Banten	8.78	7.78	9.06	6.78	6.00	6.23
Bali	8.52	10.45	9.17	8.55	10.18	8.79
West Nusa Tenggara	14.68	15.38	16.02	15.48	16.09	16.61
East Nusa Tenggara	9.34	10.22	10.53	8.78	8.51	9.22
West Kalimantan	17.28	16.95	19.07	17.46	17.86	17.14
Central Kalimantan	21.90	19.67	20.94	19.13	20.16	16.35
South Kalimantan	23.19	22.26	23.12	17.63	21.18	16.24
East Kalimantan	14.78	14.85	13.90	11.54	12.36	11.79
North Kalimantan	14.93	17.29	16.57	12.42	12.94	12.70
North Sulawesi	16.32	12.78	15.34	14.88	13.54	14.01
Central Sulawesi	19.32	18.09	16.65	15.84	16.25	14.89
South Sulawesi	13.80	14.48	14.76	14.10	12.11	11.25
Southeast Sulawesi	16.88	15.03	19.08	18.96	16.56	16.09
Gorontalo	15.90	14.74	14.51	15.29	13.16	14.73
West Sulawesi	21.37	15.67	19.37	19.43	19.17	17.12
Maluku	9.88	8.99	10.81	8.94	9.54	6.84
North Maluku	10.01	11.97	17.21	13.36	14.36	15.29
West Papua	14.67	15.86	12.80	11.16	13.20	12.91
Papua	14.20	11.99	12.34	11.52	11.21	13.78
Indonesia	12.14	11.11	11.54	11.21	10.82	10.35

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 5.3.1* Proportion of women aged 20–24 years who were married or in a union before age 15 and before age 18, 2015–2020

DISAGGREGATION	THOSE WHO WERE MARRIED OR IN A UNION BEFORE AGE 18					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	7.08	6.52	6.98	7.15	7.18	6.82
Rural	18.30	17.11	17.55	16.87	15.96	15.24
EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/pre-primary education	27.86	28.94	12.83	12.97	11.35	24.53
Primary education	29.86	29.76	28.66	32.13	34.53	34.02
Junior secondary education	21.81	18.17	22.25	23.10	18.99	20.74
Senior secondary education	2.17	2.46	2.84	2.71	3.73	3.32
Tertiary education	0.42	0.38	0.33	0.42	0.24	0.41
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EDUCATION LEVEL						
No/pre-primary education	14.34	14.16	13.31	13.44	11.54	13.04
Primary education	16.38	12.97	14.98	15.38	14.28	12.76
Junior secondary education	15.09	14.96	14.79	14.04	14.73	14.40
Senior secondary education	6.85	7.62	6.63	6.55	6.85	6.73
Tertiary education	2.32	2.44	2.14	1.55	2.36	2.25
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
Quintile 1	18.83	18.19	19.16	19.31	18.91	18.74
Quintile 2	16.95	15.03	15.73	14.67	14.45	13.24
Quintile 3	14.19	12.51	12.72	11.66	11.34	11.83
Quintile 4	9.87	8.50	9.46	9.39	8.37	7.30
Quintile 5	4.24	4.20	3.77	3.76	3.76	3.22
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE						
40% lowest	17.86	16.56	17.40	16.91	16.65	15.93
40% medium	11.96	10.44	11.03	10.51	9.78	9.52
20% highest	4.24	4.20	3.77	3.76	3.76	3.22
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	NA	NA	11.28	10.88	10.38
Disabled	NA	NA	NA	4.36	4.28	5.89
Indonesia	12.14	11.11	11.54	11.21	10.82	10.35

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Note:

Disability module was included in Susenas started in 2018

Indicator 5.5.1* Proportion of seats held by women in (a) national parliaments and (b) local governments, 2014 and 2019

(a) Proportion of seats held by women in the House of Representatives (DPR) and the Regional Representative Council (DPD), 2009, 2014 and 2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR		
	2009	2014	2019
	(1)	(2)	(3)
The House of Representatives (DPR RI)	17.86	17.32	20.52
Regional Representative Council (DPD RI)	26.57	25.76	30.88
Total (DPR and DPD RI)		18.93	22.50

Source: General Election Commision (KPU)

DISAGGREGATION	NUMBER OF WOMEN IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (DPR RI)	
	2019	
(1)	(2)	
EDUCATION LEVEL		
Senior Secondary Education	10	
Graduate degree	47	
Master degree	51	
Doctoral Degree	10	
Total	118	

Source: General Election Commision (KPU)



Indicator 5.5.1* Proportion of seats held by women in (a) national parliaments and (b) local governments, 2014 and 2019

(b) Proportion of seats held by women in the Regional House of Representatives (DPRD), 2009, 2014 and 2019

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR		
	2009 (2)	2014 (3)	2019 (4)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	5.80	14.81	11.11
North Sumatera	16.00	13.00	13.00
West Sumatera	12.73	9.23	6.15
Riau	18.18	27.69	16.92
Jambi	15.56	13.33	11.11
South Sumatera	11.11	12.73	14.55
Bengkulu	14.67	17.33	21.33
Lampung	11.11	11.11	4.44
Bangka Belitung Islands	17.78	17.78	15.56
Riau Islands	18.67	16.47	20.00
DKI Jakarta	23.40	17.92	21.70
West Java	25.00	22.00	20.00
Central Java	16.47	18.82	17.65
DI Yogyakarta	21.00	23.00	19.17
East Java	20.00	10.91	18.18
Banten	18.00	13.00	16.67
Bali	7.27	9.09	16.36
West Nusa Tenggara	10.91	9.23	1.54
East Nusa Tenggara	5.45	9.23	18.46
West Kalimantan	7.27	10.77	13.85
Central Kalimantan	15.56	22.22	35.56
South Kalimantan	12.73	12.73	20.00
East Kalimantan	18.18	10.91	16.36
North Kalimantan	0.00	0.00	11.43
North Sulawesi	15.56	31.11	26.67
Central Sulawesi	17.78	15.56	26.67
South Sulawesi	16.00	18.82	28.24
Southeast Sulawesi	15.56	17.78	17.78
Gorontalo	17.78	26.67	26.67
West Sulawesi	8.89	17.78	11.11
Maluku	31.11	26.67	22.22
North Maluku	6.67	8.89	24.44
West Papua	5.56	11.11	14.55
Papua	13.64	2.22	15.56
Indonesia	15.50	15.92	17.53

Source: General Election Commision (KPU)

Indicator 5.5.2* Proportion of women in managerial positions, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	15.47	18.18	20.80	20.56	26.13	32.61
North Sumatera	20.82	29.23	34.04	29.76	28.96	26.92
West Sumatera	31.74	31.62	31.29	32.30	33.67	38.48
Riau	18.19	23.59	24.87	26.68	26.67	32.46
Jambi	24.80	19.16	18.50	24.68	28.72	35.29
South Sumatera	21.40	27.03	23.04	27.76	28.49	30.50
Bengkulu	25.94	22.33	19.98	22.87	28.14	24.67
Lampung	22.31	25.81	33.98	26.31	30.55	26.64
Bangka Belitung Islands	21.25	16.65	24.48	22.80	23.61	23.19
Riau Islands	31.70	11.38	24.40	39.38	33.75	23.63
DKI Jakarta	23.51	24.24	30.31	30.16	35.96	34.19
West Java	20.36	22.84	24.10	27.56	28.17	31.44
Central Java	25.34	23.99	25.16	31.67	33.10	33.43
DI Yogyakarta	19.02	27.01	31.89	39.43	33.41	40.54
East Java	20.77	26.61	32.25	28.13	35.92	39.99
Banten	16.13	25.33	24.76	28.48	26.88	33.89
Bali	22.17	33.02	25.49	21.08	23.58	36.38
West Nusa Tenggara	19.53	21.68	18.04	26.23	23.31	32.45
East Nusa Tenggara	21.14	19.13	26.56	26.22	31.24	29.82
West Kalimantan	22.38	25.66	18.65	27.20	23.25	24.37
Central Kalimantan	18.26	10.25	16.53	22.08	29.03	29.69
South Kalimantan	22.49	20.69	24.73	20.07	26.58	29.27
East Kalimantan	16.68	23.39	23.35	24.60	21.94	30.52
North Kalimantan	13.51	18.41	13.45	19.16	21.08	26.27
North Sulawesi	27.09	36.76	43.35	41.22	36.62	47.93
Central Sulawesi	27.20	18.54	27.28	34.02	32.49	37.01
South Sulawesi	26.98	10.86	27.44	28.60	27.36	26.42
Southeast Sulawesi	37.60	17.68	18.04	32.21	30.76	21.54
Gorontalo	43.28	36.52	37.29	39.47	45.82	50.43
West Sulawesi	21.35	32.32	34.63	32.07	29.95	31.49
Maluku	28.03	30.24	31.54	35.67	35.80	26.47
North Maluku	25.41	28.10	28.46	29.77	20.62	29.75
West Papua	22.28	13.52	21.05	25.43	27.02	33.40
Papua	23.71	10.25	19.11	27.52	23.70	22.38
Indonesia	22.32	23.60	26.56	28.75	30.37	33.08

Source: Sakernas, BPS -Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 5.5.2* Proportion of women in managerial positions, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015 (1)	2016 (2)	2017 (3)	2018 (4)	2019 (5)	2020 (7)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	22.83	24.92	28.21	29.60	30.87	33.10
Rural	20.25	18.99	21.05	26.05	29.08	33.03
AGE GROUP						
15-19 years	48.01	61.17	55.40	44.20	57.53	47.56
20-24 years	34.75	46.34	47.79	46.33	45.77	52.63
25-29 years	31.82	27.41	36.90	39.72	32.73	38.70
30-34 years	29.63	31.33	25.41	31.61	30.33	35.08
35-39 years	21.12	21.88	26.55	28.94	32.47	31.42
40-44 years	22.01	25.73	26.37	28.25	29.77	31.07
45-49 years	19.71	21.58	24.90	25.91	29.67	30.33
50-54 years	19.45	15.98	22.39	26.01	27.79	30.09
55-59 years	16.84	19.54	26.83	25.33	30.02	31.08
60 + years	19.18	25.06	20.67	18.47	24.34	31.79
AGE GROUP						
16-30 years (youth)	34.28	32.36	36.56	41.18	36.54	43.72
60+ years (elderly)	19.18	25.06	20.67	18.47	24.34	31.79
EDUCATION LEVEL						
Primary Education or below	26.51	18.64	36.96	35.46	41.16	42.43
Junior Secondary Education	23.34	28.93	28.87	34.69	32.73	39.98
Senior Secondary Education	18.15	13.98	23.47	27.97	26.35	27.85
Vocational School	19.42	24.77	22.64	25.61	26.50	29.85
Diploma I/II/III	28.70	22.84	30.01	32.55	33.43	35.19
University degree	22.92	26.27	25.40	26.49	28.11	28.60
STATUS PERKAWINAN						
Single	34.91	32.98	38.56	32.20	35.36	39.67
Married	19.11	20.93	23.16	26.50	26.77	28.74
Divorced	60.37	40.60	62.03	50.70	58.76	57.18
Widowed	55.20	70.20	68.19	71.65	76.05	76.44
DISABILITY STATUS						
Non-disabled	NA	23.36	26.69	28.96	30.40	32.95
Disabled	NA	26.13	24.65	25.58	29.94	35.04
Indonesia	22.32	23.60	26.56	28.75	30.37	33.08

Source: Sakernas, BPS -Statistics Indonesia

Note: Disability module was included in Sakernas started in 2016

Indicator 5.6.1* Proportion of women aged 15–49 years who make their own informed decisions regarding sexual relations, contraceptive use and reproductive health care, 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION (1)	ALL WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE		MARRIED WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE	
	2012 (2)	2017 (3)	2012 (4)	2017 (5)
	PROVINCE			
Aceh	15.4	15.1	24.2	23.1
North Sumatera	20.3	23.4	31.2	35.5
West Sumatera	23.4	26.2	34.0	42.0
Riau	26.7	27.6	35.1	38.6
Jambi	33.1	33.1	42.5	43.9
South Sumatera	36.2	34.6	46.8	46.1
Bengkulu	31.8	33.6	42.3	44.7
Lampung	38.3	35.1	49.4	45.4
Bangka Belitung Islands	32.2	36.6	43.2	51.6
Riau Islands	22.3	22.7	31.6	32.8
DKI Jakarta	24.2	24.4	37.2	39.2
West Java	28.9	29.6	38.7	40.3
Central Java	26.5	27.9	35.5	37.7
DI Yogyakarta	35.6	32.4	51.1	47.7
East Java	31.8	34.4	40.7	45.6
Banten	30.1	29.4	41.5	41.5
Bali	27.9	34.1	37.5	47.9
West Nusa Tenggara	20.9	24.0	30.4	34.2
East Nusa Tenggara	21.4	23.2	32.7	35.2
West Kalimantan	39.2	28.8	50.1	38.8
Central Kalimantan	38.6	42.6	48.6	55.1
South Kalimantan	32.4	33.1	44.1	44.4
East Kalimantan	34.0	34.3	45.8	46.7
North Kalimantan	NA	24.8	NA	38.2
North Sulawesi	39.3	38.7	53.1	54.3
Central Sulawesi	32.7	35.4	43.8	49.1
South Sulawesi	24.9	28.7	38.1	44.1
Southeast Sulawesi	23.1	29.0	31.2	40.9
Gorontalo	29.0	31.9	39.5	43.1
West Sulawesi	19.6	25.5	28.6	38.3
Maluku	17.3	19.1	25.6	29.8
North Maluku	23.2	24.8	33.3	35.6
West Papua	17.5	22.1	24.4	31.9
Papua	8.6	18.2	11.7	25.3
Indonesia	28.3	29.5	38.6	41.0

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKKBN)



Indicator 5.6.1* Proportion of women aged 15–49 years who make their own informed decisions regarding sexual relations, contraceptive use and reproductive health care, 2012 and 2017

DISAGGREGATION	ALL WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE		MARRIED WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE	
	2012	2017	2012	2017
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	27.5	27.7	39.8	40.9
Rural	29.1	31.4	37.4	41.1
AGE GROUP				
15-19 years	3.6	2.6	28.4	28.4
20-24 years	23.2	19.1	39.0	38.7
25-29 years	35.8	32.5	41.5	39.0
30-34 years	38.2	40.5	41.8	44.0
35-39 years	38.2	41.4	41.5	44.8
40-44 years	34.9	40.7	39.2	44.9
45-49 years	23.3	29.4	27.2	33.4
EDUCATION LEVEL				
No education	19.9	17.7	24.7	22.0
Pre-primary education	27.6	33.2	32.1	38.9
Primary education	34.5	38.8	39.1	42.8
Junior secondary education	27.6	27.5	44.4	43.7
Senior secondary education	28.5	30.0	39.2	41.2
Tertiary education	21.2	20.2	35.0	35.7
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
Quintile 1	25.8	28.5	33.6	38.3
Quintile 2	30.1	31.9	40.0	42.7
Quintile 3	30.3	31.4	40.7	42.9
Quintile 4	29.5	29.4	39.8	41.1
Quintile 5	25.7	26.4	38.0	39.6
Indonesia	28.3	29.5	38.6	41.0

Source: IDHS (BPS and BKBN)

Indicator 5.a.1* (1) Proportion of total agricultural population with ownership or secure rights over agricultural land; and (2) share of women among owners or rights-bearers of agricultural land, by type of tenure, 2020

DISAGGREGATION	(1) PROPORTION OF AGRICULTURAL POPULATION WITH OWNERSHIP OR SECURE RIGHTS OVER AGRICULTURAL LAND			(2) SHARE OF WOMEN AMONG OWNERS OR RIGHT-BEARERS OF AGRICULTURAL LAND
	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
PROVINCE				
West Java	40.13	7.97	25.95	13.54
East Java	39.41	14.30	27.63	24.28
West Nusa Tenggara	40.65	11.03	25.88	21.24
Average value of 3 provinces	39.67	11.10	26.74	18.95

Source: SITASI (BPS)



Indicator 5.b.1* Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	51.50	52.97	54.21	59.05	57.75	53.45
North Sumatera	52.87	54.28	55.55	59.45	60.66	53.60
West Sumatera	57.04	57.94	59.44	62.83	62.62	55.89
Riau	62.10	62.98	63.64	67.74	67.29	59.59
Jambi	58.68	58.49	60.08	64.29	64.75	58.76
South Sumatera	53.66	56.48	57.01	61.12	60.70	55.18
Bengkulu	55.86	55.84	58.30	60.31	61.18	54.72
Lampung	52.73	55.07	56.44	59.81	61.54	53.88
Bangka Belitung Islands	61.81	62.12	63.43	68.41	67.77	60.75
Riau Islands	74.17	73.77	73.35	74.11	76.39	67.15
DKI Jakarta	76.93	75.78	76.99	76.16	78.42	71.25
West Java	59.50	60.99	62.55	65.01	66.24	59.27
Central Java	54.97	56.41	57.62	60.47	61.66	56.41
DI Yogyakarta	64.79	64.57	65.73	68.32	67.66	62.93
East Java	55.29	57.21	58.30	60.66	62.16	57.59
Banten	60.49	60.92	61.63	64.25	65.21	58.52
Bali	63.77	65.19	66.24	67.99	69.61	64.87
West Nusa Tenggara	45.26	47.79	48.96	53.88	57.10	50.94
East Nusa Tenggara	36.65	37.96	40.97	43.91	45.01	39.19
West Kalimantan	51.89	53.94	54.73	58.25	58.57	52.80
Central Kalimantan	63.29	62.89	65.64	68.20	70.28	62.46
South Kalimantan	62.74	63.97	65.42	66.04	68.97	61.64
East Kalimantan	74.43	74.47	74.30	76.36	76.88	69.56
North Kalimantan	67.48	68.72	68.62	73.99	74.32	65.01
North Sulawesi	59.45	61.78	61.95	64.64	67.90	61.97
Central Sulawesi	50.64	51.08	53.49	56.66	57.68	52.54
South Sulawesi	56.21	58.50	60.30	63.85	65.07	59.18
Southeast Sulawesi	54.84	56.39	56.85	60.34	62.68	56.91
Gorontalo	51.34	52.93	55.36	58.50	60.36	55.70
West Sulawesi	42.56	46.17	46.76	50.44	52.18	48.58
Maluku	47.98	49.84	52.64	56.13	56.96	50.13
North Maluku	45.08	47.08	49.53	53.55	53.69	49.11
West Papua	55.44	56.93	59.88	65.08	66.49	59.81
Papua	32.04	34.24	36.31	40.46	38.50	36.91
Indonesia	56.92	58.30	59.59	62.41	63.53	57.48

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 5.b.1* Proportion of individuals who own a mobile telephone, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	66.26	67.03	67.77	69.66	70.51	63.70
Rural	47.38	49.04	50.33	53.61	54.67	49.58
SEX						
Male	63.41	64.43	65.34	67.59	68.68	62.22
Female	50.38	52.13	53.80	57.19	58.35	52.69
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD						
Male	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	57.43
Female	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	57.85
AGE GROUP						
< 15 years	20.31	19.96	20.95	26.49	28.85	17.01
15-24 years	83.46	84.40	84.55	86.43	87.55	87.75
25-64 years	66.38	68.38	69.37	71.52	72.34	72.39
65+ years	17.63	19.60	21.70	24.27	24.85	25.66
AGE GROUP						
0-17 years (children)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	27.67
10-19 years (adolescent)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	63.15
16-30 years (youth)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	88.77
60+ years (elderly)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	32.14
EDUCATION LEVEL						
No education	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3.86
Pre-primary education	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	27.17
Primary education	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	57.95
Junior secondary education	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	81.33
Senior secondary education	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	88.55
Vocational education	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	93.21
Diploma I/II/III	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.01
University degree	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	97.36
Indonesia	56.92	58.30	59.59	62.41	63.53	57.48

Source: Susenas, BPS - Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 5.c.1* Availability of systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women's empowerment, 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YES ANSWER (2)	MET THE REQUIREMENTS (3)
CRITERIA		
Criterion 1: Which of the following aspects of public expenditure are reflected in your government programs and its resource allocations? (in the last fiscal year)	2 out of 3 questions	YES
Criterion 2: To what extent does your Public Financial Management system promote gender-related or gender-responsive goals? (in the last fiscal year)	4 out of 7 questions	YES
Criterion 3: Are allocations for gender equality and women's empowerment made public? (in the last completed fiscal year)	0 out of 3 questions	NO
Summary classification	Case E (Approaches requirements)	

Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/Development Planning Agency, 2021



GOAL 6

CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION

Indicator 6.1.1* Proportion of households using safely managed drinking water services, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
INDONESIA	6.7	11.9

Source: Bappenas, 2020 and Ministry of Health, 2021

Indicator 6.1.1* Proportion of households using safely managed drinking water services, 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2020	(2)
(1)	(2)	
REGION		
Java and Bali	13.8	
Nusa Tenggara	10	
Kalimantan	16,9	
Sulawesi	11,6	
Papua	1	
Sumatera	6.4	
Maluku	7.5	
AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Urban	8.3	
Rural	15.1	

Source: Ministry of Health, 2021

Note:

Assessed from contamination of TDS, E. coli, pH, Nitrate, and Nitrite.



**Indicator 6.2.1* (a) Proportion of households using improved sanitation services,
2019-2020**

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2019 (2)	2020 (3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	73.16	77.06
North Sumatera	79.59	81.08
West Sumatera	63.98	68.11
Riau	80.04	83.99
Jambi	75.60	77.82
South Sumatera	74.67	76.94
Bengkulu	75.91	78.10
Lampung	79.22	78.81
Bangka Belitung Islands	90.32	92.58
Riau Islands	89.13	89.19
DKI Jakarta	92.89	93.04
West Java	69.64	71.40
Central Java	80.29	83.24
DI Yogyakarta	94.67	96.96
East Java	78.78	80.98
Banten	81.01	82.00
Bali	94.59	95.01
West Nusa Tenggara	80.02	82.89
East Nusa Tenggara	64.55	69.70
West Kalimantan	72.08	75.81
Central Kalimantan	69.23	72.31
South Kalimantan	76.56	81.17
East Kalimantan	89.27	89.17
North Kalimantan	77.20	82.09
North Sulawesi	82.36	85.49
Central Sulawesi	71.95	74.61
South Sulawesi	87.80	88.96
Southeast Sulawesi	79.75	82.38
Gorontalo	74.57	75.68
West Sulawesi	73.39	77.07
Maluku	70.00	75.06
North Maluku	72.52	75.99
West Papua	76.39	78.71
Papua	38.27	40.31
INDONESIA	77.39	79.53

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021

**Indicator 6.2.1* (a) Proportion of households using Improved Sanitation Services,
2019-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD		
Male	77.52	79.66
Female	76.66	78.81
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
40% lowest	66.57	70.03
40% medium	79.67	81.52
20% highest	89.22	89.85

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021



Indicator 6.2.1* (b) Proportion of households using a hand-washing facility with soap and water, 2017–2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
PROVINCE				
Aceh	64.43	75.34	64.00	67.98
North Sumatera	73.64	83.09	71.43	67.30
West Sumatera	82.11	88.48	78.80	82.69
Riau	70.21	83.36	72.11	73.05
Jambi	71.17	77.61	71.47	73.12
South Sumatera	82.49	92.78	73.84	75.04
Bengkulu	61.13	83.92	77.81	79.12
Lampung	43.15	63.90	74.73	78.06
Bangka Belitung Islands	63.67	77.55	85.35	86.67
Riau Islands	67.77	78.88	74.49	80.35
DKI Jakarta	73.68	80.64	73.18	76.83
West Java	69.02	79.38	76.82	79.10
Central Java	87.81	86.18	81.22	84.42
DI Yogyakarta	80.02	85.73	80.89	84.64
East Java	79.69	83.19	77.08	79.36
Banten	79.28	88.02	74.19	78.36
Bali	80.89	89.42	88.33	89.68
West Nusa Tenggara	65.56	74.61	71.47	74.26
East Nusa Tenggara	59.48	63.21	51.92	54.43
West Kalimantan	77.70	91.26	71.31	72.90
Central Kalimantan	73.68	83.02	77.16	77.82
South Kalimantan	63.05	85.26	85.39	86.70
East Kalimantan	32.85	37.63	75.84	76.83
North Kalimantan	64.43	75.34	83.40	87.02
North Sulawesi	73.64	83.09	83.12	83.71
Central Sulawesi	82.11	88.48	81.38	81.93
South Sulawesi	70.21	83.36	85.34	88.71
Southeast Sulawesi	71.17	77.61	82.97	85.97
Gorontalo	82.49	92.78	79.71	85.40
West Sulawesi	61.13	83.92	80.77	79.52
Maluku	43.15	63.90	75.60	79.13
North Maluku	63.67	77.55	72.81	80.52
West Papua	67.77	78.88	69.47	73.53
Papua	73.68	80.64	35.55	36.44
INDONESIA	68.16	78.87	76.07	78.30

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021

Indicator 6.2.1* (b) Proportion of households using a hand-washing facility with soap and water, 2017–2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD				
Male	68.53	79.14	76.47	78.69
Female	66.11	77.35	73.89	76.21
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE				
40% lowest	60.54	72.79	70.04	72.91
40% medium	69.39	79.80	77.03	79.10
20% highest	77.38	86.28	83.19	84.68

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021

Indicator 6.3.1.(a) Proportion of industrial wastewater flows safely treated, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
INDONESIA	84	91

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

**Indicator 6.3.2.(a) Surface water quality as raw water (Water quality index), 2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINSI						
Aceh	71.32	70.36	80.00	75.71	60.56	61.43
North Sumatera	76.00	75.43	78.33	63.06	51.11	53.33
West Sumatera	31.04	40.00	64.56	83.98	53.19	53.50
Riau	46.39	50.75	65.23	73.68	53.55	53.24
Jambi	57.50	61.00	57.50	81.21	58.49	56.75
South Sumatera	88.67	84.05	77.62	88.15	64.45	63.33
Bengkulu	88.33	80.97	80.80	82.08	47.64	50.83
Lampung	71.85	68.10	55.56	68.73	55.74	56.21
Bangka Belitung Islands	81.67	82.08	72.50	82.13	69.29	65.63
Riau Islands	84.67	80.00	66.67	57.85	54.00	50.00
DKI Jakarta	22.35	24.62	21.33	51.93	41.94	42.73
West Java	75.30	32.86	29.00	65.77	45.59	41.50
Central Java	47.45	46.73	45.43	77.77	51.64	55.21
DI Yogyakarta	21.84	26.97	20.19	81.63	35.37	50.00
East Java	48.25	40.08	37.08	74.43	50.79	53.85
Banten	72.75	80.00	35.98	67.32	43.11	50.56
Bali	87.67	89.09	79.50	77.67	65.33	64.33
West Nusa Tenggara	23.59	27.19	79.50	74.63	40.23	50.98
East Nusa Tenggara	55.19	35.18	39.63	58.09	59.48	59.19
West Kalimantan	82.33	80.80	80.00	69.38	50.00	51.67
Central Kalimantan	70.89	82.22	62.35	61.15	56.80	53.61
South Kalimantan	36.00	43.78	73.57	75.80	55.31	51.67
East Kalimantan	77.90	79.77	73.33	86.19	62.01	60.00
North Kalimantan			72.96	81.86	52.22	51.82
North Sulawesi	50.46	59.62	57.69	78.50	45.48	50.53
Central Sulawesi	73.33	49.33	56.44	75.95	62.59	61.67
South Sulawesi	72.43	75.44	77.62	82.62	58.40	52.38
Southeast Sulawesi	80.00	80.00	64.67	86.17	50.55	51.60
Gorontalo	49.67	52.62	40.00	81.93	57.20	53.00
West Sulawesi	56.00	45.13	73.89	82.43	56.15	52.44
Maluku	78.61	58.81	71.33	67.40	57.56	55.67
North Maluku	65.19	64.62	63.64	88.01	53.61	50.00
West Papua	76.67	76.67	82.50	81.25	53.89	52.22
Papua	80.00	76.00	77.33	61.78	47.29	55.00
INDONESIA	53.1	50.2	53.2	51.01	52.62	53.53

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021a

Indicator 6.5.2* Proportion of transboundary basin area with an operational arrangement for water cooperation, 2015–2019

DISAGGREGATION	TOTAL WATERSHED	AREA (Ha)	TOTAL AREA (Ha)	PROPORTION (%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
COUNTRY				
INDONESIA	10	183,711	466,582	39.37
TIMOR LESTE		282,871		

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2015 and 2021

Indicator 6.6.1* Change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time, 2015 – 2020: Land Cover Quality Index and Land Quality Index

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	58.55	58.42	60.31	61.03	62	59.54

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021a



**Indicator 6.6.1* Change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time,
2015 – 2020: Land Cover Quality Index**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINSI						
Aceh	66.50	66.38	66.87	75.37	76.57	76.76
North Sumatera	50.32	50.21	50.18	49.44	52.95	47.69
West Sumatera	58.04	57.97	54.58	67.46	67.16	66.35
Riau	52.66	49.45	54.51	48.37	48.15	49.71
Jambi	49.29	48.21	52.29	50.56	60.90	55.93
South Sumatera	47.92	43.93	48.08	40.17	39.84	42.37
Bengkulu	56.68	56.31	45.44	55.52	55.78	55.28
Lampung	42.01	41.66	43.87	35.93	36.65	36.66
Bangka Belitung Islands	45.20	45.33	44.01	40.78	41.21	39.64
Riau Islands	54.31	56.53	54.24	54.75	59.06	58.24
DKI Jakarta	33.62	35.97	33.32	24.14	24.66	24.86
West Java	46.29	46.09	45.50	38.51	38.70	42.77
Central Java	55.38	53.86	48.38	50.12	50.08	41.03
DI Yogyakarta	43.16	42.49	43.30	33.03	32.69	32.40
East Java	53.59	54.99	51.71	50.52	50.23	47.42
Banten	45.85	45.91	45.44	38.28	39.16	37.98
Bali	49.25	48.44	47.11	41.56	41.34	40.59
West Nusa Tenggara	60.15	60.03	61.27	66.56	65.67	66.74
East Nusa Tenggara	60.25	59.67	56.70	63.84	63.42	58.47
West Kalimantan	59.28	58.87	58.58	64.19	59.76	59.49
Central Kalimantan	64.66	62.25	62.72	78.12	76.27	75.11
South Kalimantan	50.97	50.64	51.50	49.29	46.78	50.13
East Kalimantan	72.30	72.14	67.48	87.59	87.94	80.85
North Kalimantan			78.07	87.59		99.84
North Sulawesi	58.30	57.93	63.02	60.19	59.45	59.56
Central Sulawesi	69.23	69.03	60.37	84.58	83.89	84.10
South Sulawesi	55.59	55.43	58.40	54.94	58.06	55.10
Southeast Sulawesi	65.25	65.48	60.37	75.91	74.67	74.31
Gorontalo	68.30	67.56	60.37	79.64	79.37	79.11
West Sulawesi	63.03	62.69	62.17	70.96	70.48	70.53
Maluku	70.13	69.57	70.08	88.78	89.17	88.40
North Maluku	68.34	68.03	66.65	86.54	86.61	86.18
West Papua	80.05	79.98	80.63	100.00	100.00	100.00
Papua	79.35	79.17	78.18	95.94	99.58	99.86

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021a

**Indicator 6.6.1* Change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time,
2015 – 2020: Land Quality Index**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	74.83	73.55	77.70	79.36	76.12	75.61
North Sumatera	69.37	66.47	69.77	64.41	62.49	69.90
West Sumatera	59.07	60.06	68.16	78.69	69.64	72.79
Riau	53.07	56.73	68.64	68.43	62.47	69.41
Jambi	61.85	64.01	64.98	71.00	68.06	70.87
South Sumatera	69.06	67.27	69.18	68.11	61.41	69.71
Bengkulu	76.92	72.43	70.18	74.32	64.41	69.92
Lampung	63.04	60.34	59.72	59.89	57.37	67.46
Bangka Belitung Islands	71.26	66.88	67.85	67.68	64.85	73.50
Riau Islands	73.11	70.19	70.34	66.50	67.00	70.51
DKI Jakarta	43.79	38.69	35.78	45.21	42.84	52.98
West Java	63.49	51.87	50.26	56.98	51.64	59.40
Central Java	60.78	58.75	58.15	68.27	60.97	67.62
DI Yogyakarta	50.99	51.37	49.80	62.98	49.24	66.65
East Java	62.67	58.98	57.46	67.08	60.25	67.07
Banten	55.36	60.00	51.58	57.00	51.09	59.37
Bali	73.71	72.59	70.11	66.62	63.09	71.99
West Nusa Tenggara	58.82	56.53	56.99	75.16	64.56	70.83
East Nusa Tenggara	63.79	59.23	61.92	69.01	69.67	73.28
West Kalimantan	75.88	72.24	74.17	73.09	65.92	70.07
Central Kalimantan	74.09	74.71	71.47	75.71	74.20	72.74
South Kalimantan	57.47	59.07	69.38	68.78	61.94	68.43
East Kalimantan	81.15	76.85	75.65	85.90	80.87	76.46
North Kalimantan			81.87	86.88	78.98	78.49
North Sulawesi	66.27	67.07	70.81	74.95	65.15	70.69
Central Sulawesi	76.43	68.78	69.39	83.34	80.23	77.53
South Sulawesi	67.01	70.54	73.24	74.83	67.61	70.70
Southeast Sulawesi	75.18	75.24	70.86	83.17	72.03	72.82
Gorontalo	71.08	69.30	67.46	84.09	74.97	75.31
West Sulawesi	68.78	64.54	74.47	79.89	72.03	73.60
Maluku	76.33	71.66	75.12	81.23	79.55	75.98
North Maluku	75.97	72.46	74.55	88.25	78.44	74.71
West Papua	82.33	83.01	85.69	91.50	83.96	78.65
Papua	81.01	81.35	81.47	83.88	81.79	79.75

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021a



GOAL 7

AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY

**Indicator 7.1.1* Proportion of population with access to electricity
(Electrification Ratio), 2011-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	72.95	76.56	80.51	84.35	88.30	91.16	95.35	98.30	98.89	99.20

Source: Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources

Indicator 7.1.1.(a) Electricity consumption per capita (KWH), 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	740	790	840	880	910	960	1,020	1,060	1,084	1,089

Source: Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources

Indicator 7.1.2.(a) Number of gas network connections for households, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	200,000	220,360	319,510	373,190	463,620	537,940	673,220

Source: Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources


Indicator 7.1.2.(b) Ratio of household gas usage, 2012-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
INDONESIA	54.5	58.31	61.88	68.78	72.38	76.14	78.34	79.90	81.98	

Source: SUSENAS, BPS – Statistics Indonesia; Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources

Indicator 7.2.1* Renewable energy share in the total final energy consumption

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	6.70	7.70	8.43	8.00	9.15	11.20

Source: Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources

Indicator 7.3.1* Energy intensity measured in terms of primary energy and GDP

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	501	438	434	428.6	424.87	NA

Source: SUSENAS, BPS – Statistics Indonesia; Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources



GOAL 8

DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

Indicator 8.1.1* Annual growth rate of GDP per capita, 2017-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
PROVINCE				
Aceh	2.31	2.79	2.39	-1.98
North Sumatera	3.95	4.06	4.15	-2.02
West Sumatera	4.07	3.95	3.87	-2.63
Riau	0.24	-0.01	0.49	-3.30
Jambi	2.93	3.07	2.80	-1.91
South Sumatera	4.16	4.70	4.44	-1.24
Bengkulu	3.38	3.42	3.44	-1.40
Lampung	4.09	4.21	4.30	-2.51
Bangka Belitung Islands	2.35	2.37	1.31	-4.15
Riau Islands	-0.69	1.83	2.30	-6.06
DKI Jakarta	5.21	5.16	4.92	-3.16
West Java	3.89	4.25	3.72	-3.65
Central Java	4.52	4.59	4.71	-3.27
DI Yogyakarta	4.11	5.06	5.48	-3.67
East Java	4.87	4.92	5.00	-2.84
Banten	3.67	3.75	3.36	-5.09
Bali	4.41	5.18	4.51	-10.22
West Nusa Tenggara	-1.11	-5.61	2.73	-1.71
East Nusa Tenggara	3.44	3.46	3.61	-2.36
West Kalimantan	3.66	3.62	3.69	-3.08
Central Kalimantan	4.47	3.43	3.99	-3.33
South Kalimantan	3.64	3.50	2.57	-3.17
East Kalimantan	0.99	0.58	2.70	-4.68
North Kalimantan	2.98	1.63	3.18	-4.49
North Sulawesi	5.27	5.00	4.70	-1.85
Central Sulawesi	5.49	18.83	7.28	3.41
South Sulawesi	6.17	6.05	5.95	-1.55
Southeast Sulawesi	4.65	4.34	4.49	-2.48
Gorontalo	5.14	4.94	4.88	-1.41
West Sulawesi	4.43	4.33	3.78	-4.14
Maluku	4.05	4.17	3.70	-2.49
North Maluku	5.59	5.82	4.15	3.03
West Papua	1.52	3.75	0.29	-3.02
Papua	2.78	5.47	-17.16	0.64
INDONESIA	3.79	3.93	3.82	-3.15

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 8.1.1.(a) GDP per capita, 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
INDONESIA	32.36	35.11	38.37	41.92	45.12	47.94	51.88	55.99	59.1	56.93

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 8.2.1* Annual growth rate of real GDP per employed person, 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
PROVINCE										
Aceh	2.46	2.82	0.70	-3.13	-2.45	-2.7	1.67	-0.30	3.54	-4.73
North Sumatera	18.09	0.15	2.57	8.81	3.67	4.67	-1.07	-4.89	5.62	1.39
West Sumatera	5.81	4.59	7.33	0.09	5.33	-2.05	5.43	-0.60	2.55	-3.18
Riau	-0.87	-0.08	-0.81	1.11	-1.18	-5.64	2.11	-1.52	0.62	-3.41
Jambi	13.19	3.83	9.84	0.61	0.22	-0.39	2.5	0.62	6.93	-3.64
South Sumatera	6.48	1.92	7.02	0.03	4.33	-2.91	7.02	4.34	5.51	-2.03
Bengkulu	4.05	4.81	8.84	1.02	1.00	0.37	6.74	-0.87	3.45	-2.89
Lampung	18.22	1.95	7.15	-0.68	6.23	-2.78	6.11	-1.53	4.70	-3.82
Bangka Belitung Islands	12.65	0.05	3.07	3.52	0.79	-5.43	6.67	1.43	1.95	-2.01
Riau Islands	7.82	2.5	6.6	4.83	3.86	2.15	-2.24	-0.11	-0.55	-6.43
DKI Jakarta	10.53	0.01	9.6	6.69	3.90	2.87	14.5	1.24	3.05	1.7
West Java	3.65	-0.41	5.67	2.36	7.51	3.41	-1.58	3.71	-0.30	-0.69
Central Java	5.21	0.83	5.5	4.76	6.21	4.87	1.02	3.92	4.27	-2.28
DI Yogyakarta	1.51	1.70	6.6	1.41	8.55	-2.73	4.71	1.36	5.44	-0.47
East Java	7.79	1.82	4.91	7.22	5.11	6.97	0.29	1.76	4.52	-2.06
Banten	12.09	0.27	6.10	1.90	6.07	-0.16	5.98	0.36	1.47	-3.38
Bali	7.56	2.53	7.18	5.3	3.65	2.29	6.37	0.94	8.02	-7.6
West Nusa Tenggara	3.82	-3.57	4.31	2.06	19.85	-4.91	2.27	-2.52	-6.51	-2.72
East Nusa Tenggara	7.18	1.08	6.2	1.68	2.79	2.45	3.16	-7.31	5.88	-4.87
West Kalimantan	2.44	4.07	7.23	2.48	4.44	2.81	4.46	-0.14	4.17	-2.35
Central Kalimantan	1.41	3.68	6.24	3.41	1.71	3.49	8.95	-0.85	4.79	-1.33
South Kalimantan	5.02	2.63	5.51	2.78	2.61	0.39	4.75	1.79	3.73	-3.57
East Kalimantan	3.71	-0.18	-6.41	-2.75	16.39	-10.21	5.75	-2.44	0.25	-2.81
North Kalimantan	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.28	-7.59	4.57	5.14	-4.22
North Sulawesi	4.32	4.72	7.22	4.65	4.08	-4.4	13.43	-1.01	2.48	0.25
Central Sulawesi	5.51	8.42	8.26	0.67	12.52	0.12	13.6	11.98	9.86	1.38
South Sulawesi	6.36	5.87	9.04	2.95	8.46	1.33	10.07	-3.85	5.53	0.59
Southeast Sulawesi	15.58	7.21	7.21	2.14	3.16	-6.12	12.15	-1.51	5.79	-2.69
Gorontalo	4.73	5.52	6.83	2.75	3.09	-3.81	11.28	-1.98	5.80	0.73
West Sulawesi	6.14	2.58	12.15	-0.35	7.29	1.21	11.60	-1.35	2.53	-4.23
Maluku	0.76	8.13	7.15	6.77	-3.12	0.26	13.85	-8.59	3.41	-3.14
North Maluku	3.01	1.35	5.24	5.25	0.27	1.38	10.92	-3.71	5.26	4.78
West Papua	-0.92	-1.27	3.79	0.11	3.66	-1.23	3.97	1.84	-1.28	-5.66
Papua	-3.83	-0.75	3.41	-0.05	3.82	9.67	2.51	1.26	-15.34	8.39
INDONESIA	6.95	1.23	5.32	3.30	4.70	1.85	2.08	2.64	3.00	-1.84

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia


Indicator 8.3.1* Proportion of informal employment in total employment, 2015-2021

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021 (Feb) ¹
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
PROVINCE							
Aceh	40.51	41.64	42.27	59.44	57.12	61.88	NA
North Sumatera	44.69	40.73	44.18	56.57	55	59.62	NA
West Sumatera	50.48	48.31	50.48	63.94	62.13	66.28	NA
Riau	36.04	37.21	38.73	54.95	52.22	55.97	NA
Jambi	41.44	44.51	40	56.45	57.06	62.74	NA
South Sumatera	41.66	44.69	42.15	61.22	60.52	65.26	NA
Bengkulu	44.94	49.79	44.66	68.84	65.12	69.23	NA
Lampung	54.68	52.6	54.85	70.79	68.49	72.26	NA
Bangka Belitung Islands	39.92	45.61	36.13	50.43	46.82	52.93	NA
Riau Islands	22.10	28.30	27.26	31.78	29.57	35.41	NA
DKI Jakarta	26.95	31.12	28.41	30.15	31.35	38.22	NA
West Java	43.37	41.08	42.82	48.21	50.20	55.59	NA
Central Java	50.16	48.85	49.53	60.67	57.99	62.75	NA
DI Yogyakarta	38.44	42.54	45.24	54.45	51.64	57.41	NA
East Java	47.90	45.84	47.34	61.88	60.64	63.64	NA
Banten	30.93	30.33	34.00	43.99	42.14	49.17	NA
Bali	41.41	44.02	39.26	49.38	49.46	56.69	NA
West Nusa Tenggara	58.12	58.42	60.68	71.81	71.02	73.47	NA
East Nusa Tenggara	47.68	48.13	49.96	75.65	72.26	76.10	NA
West Kalimantan	38.47	40.96	39.02	59.55	60.11	63.01	NA
Central Kalimantan	42.04	44.40	40.82	52.37	51.02	55.80	NA
South Kalimantan	44.80	48.40	47.66	60.08	56.82	60.82	NA
East Kalimantan	31.17	35.51	31.61	41.16	41.76	47.14	NA
North Kalimantan	27.11	28.32	29.85	42.95	46.69	50.93	NA
North Sulawesi	46.66	46.79	44.37	55.61	54.81	60.46	NA
Central Sulawesi	43.62	44.05	47.26	66.66	62.97	67.37	NA
South Sulawesi	42.10	46.69	42.79	63.32	62.55	64.22	NA
Southeast Sulawesi	48.73	50.77	44.98	63.09	62.55	64.59	NA
Gorontalo	49.76	46.70	47.63	61.78	58.66	62.12	NA
West Sulawesi	47.21	48.68	46.72	74.69	71.91	72.63	NA
Maluku	45.48	44.15	44.18	64.37	61.98	66.56	NA
North Maluku	45.05	45.98	42.85	66.92	61.69	66.13	NA
West Papua	34.57	39.30	41.31	54.3	52.25	57.98	NA
Papua	34.57	39.18	33.8	78.11	79.29	79.92	NA
INDONESIA	43.37	43.09	43.75	56.98	55.88	60.47	59.62
AREA OF RESIDENCE							
Urban	37.74	38.38	38.48	43.38	44.08	49.49	NA
Rural	55.78	52.55	54.82	72.51	70.01	73.41	NA
Sector							
Agriculture	NA	NA	NA	88.35	87.59	88.57	NA
Manufacture	NA	NA	NA	41.09	38.97	44.31	NA
Services	NA	NA	NA	45.69	46.16	50.46	NA
SEX							
Male	NA	NA	NA	53.90	52.81	57.29	NA
Female	NA	NA	NA	61.90	60.81	65.35	NA
AGE GROUP							
15-19	37.03	39.73	38.27	56.74	51.50	67.79	NA

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021 (Feb) ¹
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
20-24	25.76	27.14	25.42	38.22	34.83	43.38	NA
25-29	30.61	31.52	30.25	40.85	40.11	43.83	NA
30-34	38.78	39.05	38.19	47.76	47.46	51.20	NA
35-39	44.27	43.45	44.26	53.71	52.29	55.86	NA
40-44	48.35	47.83	47.74	57.81	57.44	60.22	NA
45-49	48.78	48.41	49.89	61.50	61.05	63.95	NA
50-54	51.12	50.18	51.47	64.80	63.59	67.03	NA
55-59	59.72	57.08	57.00	71.69	70.79	73.34	NA
60+	75.28	73.34	74.17	85.04	84.31	85.83	NA
Disability Status							
Non disability	NA	NA	NA	55,42	54,28	59,31	NA
Disability	NA	NA	NA	75,99	75,76	78,76	NA
Education level							
Primary education or lower	67,69	65,99	66,69	78,13	77,09	80,30	NA
Junior secondary education	52,95	52,89	52,64	62,33	61,62	67,43	NA
Senior secondary education	34,15	36,09	36,99	44,90	44,93	51,59	NA
Vocational Education	26,85	30,38	29,10	35,60	34,90	41,04	NA
Diploma I/II/III/Academy	14,82	18,34	17,67	21,72	21,96	26,37	NA
University degree	8,19	9,99	10,48	13,28	13,50	17,57	NA

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note:

¹⁾ The data for 2021 is the result of calculating based on SAKERNAS (the labour force survey) in February 2021 but only for national data

Indicator 8.3.1.(a) Percentage of MSME (Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises) access to financial services, 2012-2020

DISAGGREGATION	TAHUN								
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
INDONESIA	14.36	16.74	18.96	20.12	22.12	22.29	22.77	24.33	24.40

Source: Ministry of Micro Small and Medium Enterprises (UMKM) and Bank of Indonesia



Indicator 8.5.1* Average hourly earnings of employees (in Rupiah/IDR), 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	11,226	13,627	14,809	13,814	15,065	18,099
North Sumatera	10,618	11,646	13,396	13,257	14,061	15,949
West Sumatera	11,241	13,206	14,378	14,431	15,210	17,571
Riau	13,303	15,506	15,182	15,613	16,270	18,411
Jambi	12,954	13,169	14,326	14,007	14,304	15,840
South Sumatera	11,622	12,523	12,690	13,214	13,580	15,072
Bengkulu	12,491	13,471	14,011	14,353	14,511	17,407
Lampung	10,104	10,385	11,234	11,779	12,575	14,912
Bangka Belitung Islands	12,660	14,257	14,822	15,818	17,373	15,763
Riau Islands	18,863	21,019	19,832	23,212	22,912	25,032
DKI Jakarta	17,012	23,181	23,826	25,238	25,236	28,420
West Java	11,247	14,791	16,202	16,843	17,365	19,078
Central Java	8,525	9,965	10,569	11,417	11,828	12,707
DI Yogyakarta	10,440	12,070	12,281	12,554	13,275	15,771
East Java	9,214	11,226	11,883	12,561	13,119	14,389
Banten	14,228	21,033	19,719	20,565	21,003	23,035
Bali	11,038	14,852	15,624	15,889	16,408	17,775
West Nusa Tenggara	9,187	9,940	10,962	11,359	11,954	13,627
East Nusa Tenggara	11,436	12,868	12,281	12,318	12,610	17,696
West Kalimantan	12,671	13,580	14,734	14,614	15,309	16,098
Central Kalimantan	14,218	16,515	16,737	17,489	18,090	20,677
South Kalimantan	13,559	14,007	15,507	15,735	16,517	18,863
East Kalimantan	16,323	18,514	21,864	21,303	21,691	24,097
North Kalimantan	16,608	17,547	20,392	18,931	20,639	26,002
North Sulawesi	12,761	16,046	17,112	16,954	18,350	21,390
Central Sulawesi	12,365	13,238	13,770	13,462	14,682	18,140
South Sulawesi	12,560	14,827	15,841	15,777	17,105	19,172
Southeast Sulawesi	13,661	23,444	16,604	15,944	15,849	20,449
Gorontalo	10,030	13,088	12,784	11,891	13,492	15,128
West Sulawesi	14,171	11,730	12,741	12,144	13,126	18,136
Maluku	14,525	16,153	16,275	14,923	17,306	26,198
North Maluku	13,607	15,226	15,760	15,864	17,425	23,338
West Papua	17,313	18,314	19,911	21,727	22,987	27,904
Papua	19,290	22,961	25,657	25,987	24,984	32,138
INDONESIA	11,434	14,068	14,731	15,275	15,823	17,696
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	12,830	16,006	16,808	17,326	17,825	19,651
Rural	8,841	10,683	10,997	11,557	12,205	14,242
SEX						
Male	11,552	14,289	15,345	15,847	16,547	17,836
Female	11,179	13,613	13,469	14,098	14,360	17,410
AGE GROUP						
15-19	6,044	9,213	8,585	8,909	9,363	9,791
20-24	8,017	10,495	11,449	11,681	12,447	13,421



DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
25-29	9,653	12,435	13,137	13,767	14,542	16,187
30-34	11,192	13,502	14,529	15,087	15,596	17,339
35-39	11,807	14,523	15,560	15,836	16,796	18,601
40-44	12,548	15,463	15,980	16,428	17,499	19,142
45-49	14,801	17,640	17,296	18,032	17,909	19,818
50-54	15,910	18,654	19,844	19,948	20,012	22,462
55-59	15,289	17,582	18,987	21,023	20,695	24,459
60+	9,587	10,825	10,542	11,885	12,416	13,924
EDUCATION LEVEL						
Primary education or lower	6,661	8,242	8,545	9,305	9,654	10,405
Junior secondary education	7,665	9,482	10,241	10,772	11,438	12,216
Senior secondary education	10,849	14,071	15,108	15,290	15,809	16,617
Vocational Education	10,945	13,799	14,844	15,052	15,704	16,662
Diploma I/II/III/Academy	18,300	22,695	21,672	22,327	22,805	26,055
University degree	25,463	28,794	29,149	29,358	29,649	35,680

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia


Indicator 8.5.2* Unemployment rate, 2018-2021

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2018	2019	2020		2021
	August	August	February	August	February
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	6.34	6.17	5.40	6.59	6.30
North Sumatera	5.55	5.39	4.71	6.91	6.01
West Sumatera	5.66	5.38	5.25	6.88	6.67
Riau	5.98	5.76	4.92	6.32	4.96
Jambi	3.73	4.06	4.26	5.13	4.76
South Sumatera	4.27	4.53	3.90	5.51	5.17
Bengkulu	3.35	3.26	3.08	4.07	3.72
Lampung	4.04	4.03	4.26	4.67	4.54
Bangka Belitung Islands	3.61	3.58	3.35	5.25	5.04
Riau Islands	8.04	7.50	5.98	10.34	10.12
DKI Jakarta	6.65	6.54	5.15	10.95	8.51
West Java	8.23	8.04	7.71	10.46	8.92
Central Java	4.47	4.44	4.20	6.48	5.96
DI Yogyakarta	3.37	3.18	3.38	4.57	4.28
East Java	3.91	3.82	3.60	5.84	5.17
Banten	8.47	8.11	7.99	10.64	9.01
Bali	1.40	1.57	1.25	5.63	5.42
West Nusa Tenggara	3.58	3.28	3.04	4.22	3.97
East Nusa Tenggara	2.85	3.14	2.64	4.28	3.38
West Kalimantan	4.18	4.35	4.47	5.81	5.73
Central Kalimantan	3.91	4.04	3.33	4.58	4.25
South Kalimantan	4.35	4.18	3.67	4.74	4.33
East Kalimantan	6.41	5.94	6.72	6.87	6.81
North Kalimantan	5.11	4.49	5.71	4.97	4.67
North Sulawesi	6.61	6.01	5.34	7.37	7.28
Central Sulawesi	3.37	3.11	2.93	3.77	3.73
South Sulawesi	4.94	4.62	5.70	6.31	5.79
Southeast Sulawesi	3.19	3.52	3.10	4.58	4.22
Gorontalo	3.70	3.76	3.29	4.28	3.41
West Sulawesi	3.01	2.98	2.39	3.32	3.28
Maluku	6.95	6.69	6.71	7.57	6.73
North Maluku	4.63	4.81	4.09	5.15	5.06
West Papua	6.45	6.43	6.78	6.80	6.18
Papua	3.00	3.51	3.42	4.28	3.77
INDONESIA	5.30	5.23	4.94	7.07	6.26
AREA OF RESIDENCE					
Urban	6.44	6.29	NA	8.98	NA
Rural	3.97	3.92	NA	4.71	NA
SEX					
Male	5.34	5.24	NA	7.46	NA
Female	5.25	5.22	NA	6.46	NA
AGE GROUP					



DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2018	2019	2020		2021
	August	August	February	August	February
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
15-19	26.93	26.12	NA	24.34	NA
20-24	16.79	15.64	NA	18.71	NA
25-29	6.97	7.19	NA	9.77	NA
30-34	3.44	3.52	NA	5.75	NA
35-39	2.48	2.25	NA	4.32	NA
40-44	1.80	2.06	NA	3.92	NA
45-49	1.58	1.81	NA	3.54	NA
50-54	1.39	1.65	NA	3.61	NA
55-59	1.25	1.30	NA	3.21	NA
60+	0.61	0.68	NA	1.70	NA
Disability Status					
Non disability	5.52	5.45	NA	7.26	NA
Disability	2.58	2.43	NA	3.99	NA
Education level					
Primary education or lower	2.40	2.39	NA	3.61	NA
Junior secondary education	4.77	4.72	NA	6.46	NA
Senior secondary education	7.90	7.87	NA	9.86	NA
Vocational Education	11.18	10.36	NA	13.55	NA
Diploma I/II/III/Academy	6.00	5.95	NA	8.08	NA
University degree	5.88	5.64	NA	7.35	NA

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

**Indicator 8.5.2.(a) Underemployment rate, 2018-2021**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2018	2019	2020	2021 (February)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
PROVINCE				
Aceh	11.30	12.38	16.18	NA
North Sumatera	8.42	7.68	10.43	NA
West Sumatera	9.74	9.20	12.93	NA
Riau	8.57	8.29	11.87	NA
Jambi	7.43	8.57	11.25	NA
South Sumatera	8.33	9.22	12.98	NA
Bengkulu	8.32	10.12	13.09	NA
Lampung	9.30	8.66	13.18	NA
Bangka Belitung Islands	5.92	6.25	9.11	NA
Riau Islands	3.81	2.84	5.75	NA
DKI Jakarta	1.99	1.89	6.43	NA
West Java	5.39	5.52	10.31	NA
Central Java	5.19	5.36	8.60	NA
DI Yogyakarta	4.25	3.94	8.04	NA
East Java	5.79	5.23	8.99	NA
Banten	4.23	4.52	9.53	NA
Bali	2.36	1.93	8.62	NA
West Nusa Tenggara	16.82	13.97	16.83	NA
East Nusa Tenggara	12.25	11.79	15.10	NA
West Kalimantan	9.17	7.93	10.42	NA
Central Kalimantan	7.14	6.05	8.04	NA
South Kalimantan	5.76	6.67	9.38	NA
East Kalimantan	4.20	4.74	7.14	NA
North Kalimantan	7.68	6.44	8.33	NA
North Sulawesi	8.16	6.38	11.05	NA
Central Sulawesi	10.40	9.10	10.53	NA
South Sulawesi	7.37	7.34	9.96	NA
Southeast Sulawesi	11.18	8.46	10.49	NA
Gorontalo	9.15	6.16	7.68	NA
West Sulawesi	8.36	9.91	11.98	NA
Maluku	9.27	10.76	14.2	NA
North Maluku	7.64	8.15	10.78	NA
West Papua	8.57	8.90	13.18	NA
Papua	7.51	7.03	11.26	NA
INDONESIA	6.61	6.42	10.19	8.71
AREA OF RESIDENCE				
Urban	4.29	4.37	8.36	NA
Rural	9.27	8.86	12.35	NA
SEX				
Male	6.75	6.52	10.77	NA
Female	6.39	6.25	9.30	NA
AGE GROUP				
15-19	14.8	13.68	18.48	NA



DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2018	2019	2020	2021 (February)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
20-24	11.00	10.19	16.73	NA
25-29	8.04	7.60	12.45	NA
30-34	6.84	6.71	10.80	NA
35-39	6.16	5.84	9.85	NA
40-44	5.45	5.87	9.45	NA
45-49	5.40	5.73	8.84	NA
50-54	5.20	4.93	8.15	NA
55-59	4.89	4.63	7.50	NA
60+	3.44	3.34	5.05	NA
Disability Status				
Non disability	6.71	6.48	10.34	NA
Disability	5.46	5.66	7.86	NA
Education level				
Primary education or lower	7.38	7.18	10.15	NA
Junior secondary education	7.11	7.04	10.92	NA
Senior secondary education	6.72	6.36	11.03	NA
Vocational Education	5.89	5.40	10.38	NA
Diploma I/II/III/Academy	3.78	3.73	6.85	NA
University degree	3.79	4.23	8.06	NA

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note:

¹⁾ The data for 2021 is the result of calculating based on SAKERNAS (the labour force survey) in February 2021 but the estimation is only for national data



Indicator 8.6.1* Proportion of youth (aged 15–24 years) not in education, employment or training (NEET), 2018–2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR		
	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	22.77	23.61	23.60
North Sumatera	18.46	18.71	22.87
West Sumatera	20.50	19.93	20.46
Riau	23.79	22.66	23.62
Jambi	20.78	22.81	22.66
South Sumatera	23.35	22.78	25.55
Bengkulu	20.77	20.51	20.63
Lampung	23.42	22.84	23.35
Bangka Belitung Islands	21.56	22.65	27.34
Riau Islands	19.74	16.55	20.71
DKI Jakarta	16.98	15.41	20.13
West Java	26.22	25.52	29.33
Central Java	21.23	21.8	24.01
DI Yogyakarta	12.52	9.78	12.71
East Java	21.11	21.14	22.41
Banten	24.65	23.11	27.50
Bali	8.59	9.40	14.90
West Nusa Tenggara	24.95	21.98	22.24
East Nusa Tenggara	18.46	19.25	19.17
West Kalimantan	24.50	23.20	25.43
Central Kalimantan	22.76	22.31	24.69
South Kalimantan	23.06	22.18	21.16
East Kalimantan	23.24	19.65	24.25
North Kalimantan	17.37	21.42	22.59
North Sulawesi	29.73	28.10	29.94
Central Sulawesi	21.14	21.85	22.23
South Sulawesi	23.07	21.91	25.48
Southeast Sulawesi	20.45	21.32	23.00
Gorontalo	26.37	26.41	27.35
West Sulawesi	24.12	23.07	24.15
Maluku	25.18	26.59	27.46
North Maluku	23.04	24.94	26.37
West Papua	18.61	20.77	24.01
Papua	16.74	17.57	20.92
INDONESIA	22.15	21.77	24.28
AREA OF RESIDENCE			
Urban	20.13	19.47	23.37
Rural	24.86	24.92	25.54
SEX			
Male	16.32	16.12	20.00
Female	28.11	27.53	28.64
Disability Status			
Non disability	21.57	21.21	23.85
Disability	51.97	52.32	53.37
Education level			
Primary education or lower	27.67	28.68	30.44
Junior secondary education	14.74	13.69	14.19
Senior secondary education	25.74	25.32	28.49
Vocational Education	27.70	26.84	31.72
Diploma I/II/III/Academy	22.29	24.56	27.98
University degree	26.99	26.19	29.96

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 8.7.1.(a) Percentage of working children aged 10–17 years, 2018-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR		
	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	1.68	1.18	1.98
North Sumatera	4.29	4.01	6.39
West Sumatera	2.51	2.46	4.29
Riau	1.92	2.21	3.37
Jambi	2.88	2.06	2.43
South Sumatera	2.32	2.27	3.41
Bengkulu	2.56	1.99	2.98
Lampung	2.55	2.20	4.01
Bangka Belitung Islands	4.26	2.41	4.81
Riau Islands	1.09	0.98	1.19
DKI Jakarta	1.48	1.17	1.30
West Java	2.35	1.85	1.91
Central Java	1.98	2.17	2.31
DI Yogyakarta	1.73	2.08	1.91
East Java	1.95	1.64	2.59
Banten	2.01	1.31	2.02
Bali	3.96	3.02	4.31
West Nusa Tenggara	3.94	4.08	6.55
East Nusa Tenggara	4.42	3.42	5.67
West Kalimantan	2.86	2.55	4.01
Central Kalimantan	3.32	3.06	4.81
South Kalimantan	2.89	2.31	3.11
East Kalimantan	2.04	1.43	3.11
North Kalimantan	2.11	2.66	4.84
North Sulawesi	1.61	2.45	3.15
Central Sulawesi	4.67	4.04	5.59
South Sulawesi	4.63	4.90	6.16
Southeast Sulawesi	5.32	5.26	8.05
Gorontalo	4.56	4.57	5.46
West Sulawesi	3.70	3.46	5.28
Maluku	2.14	3.04	3.35
North Maluku	2.42	3.51	3.80
West Papua	2.19	2.30	5.35
Papua	4.20	3.17	3.49
INDONESIA	2.61	2.35	3.25
SEX			
Male	2.97	2.73	3.34
Female	2.24	1.96	3.16
Disability Status			
Disability	2.61	2.36	3.26
Non disability	2.50	1.63	2.36

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021



Age Group				
Age 10-12		NA	1.83	3.60
Age 13-14		NA	2.00	3.34
Age 15-17		NA	3.08	2.85

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 8.9.1* Tourism direct GDP as a proportion of total GDP and in growth rate, 2010-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR										
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Proportion	3.05	4.00	3.96	4.02	4.04	4.25	4.65	4.67	4.91	4.97	4.00
Growth rate	NA	31.15	-1.00	1.52	0.50	5.20	9.41	0.43	5.14	1.22	-19.52

Source: National Tourism Satellite Account, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 8.9.1.(a) Number of foreign tourists (million people), 2011-2021

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR										
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021 (Q1)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
INDONESIA	7.65	8.04	8.80	9.43	10.23	11.51	14.03	15.81	16.10	4.05	0.385

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note: Data for 2021 is for the first quarter (Q1)

Indicator 8.9.1.(b) Number of domestic tourist visits (million people), 2010 - 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	234.38	236.75	245.29	250.04	251.24	256.41	264.33	270.82	303.40	282.92

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



**Indicator 8.9.1.(c) Total foreign exchange earnings in the tourism sector (USD billion),
2010-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR										
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
INDONESIA	7.60	8.55	9.12	10.05	11.17	10.76	11.21	13.14	16.43	16.91	3.31

Source: Bank of Indonesia (BI)

**Indicator 8.10.1* Number of commercial bank branches and ATM per 100,000 adults,
2012-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Number of commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults	14.16	14.80	15.28	15.58	15.92	15.79	15.84	15.68	17.67	
Number of ATM per 100,000 adults	35.73	42.39	49.63	53.49	54.84	55.66	54.95	53.96	52.95	

Source: Bank of Indonesia

Indicator 8.10.1.(a) Proportion of MSME (Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises) credit to total credit, 2012-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
INDONESIA	20.19	19.15	19.74	19.32	19.42	19.71	19.27	19.55	19.67	

Source: Bank of Indonesia



GOAL 9

INDUSTRY, INNOVATION, AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Indicator 9.1.1.(a) Steady condition of national roads (%), 2015 - 2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	89	91	90.35	92.27	98

Source: Ministry of Transportation

Indicator 9.1.2* Passenger and freight volumes, by mode of transport, 2019

DISAGGREGATION	NUMBER PASSENGER (PEOPLE)		FREIGHT VOLUME (TON)	
	Arrival	Departure	Unloading	Loading
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Rail transport	426,879,221		51,105,851	
Waterway transport				
Domestic	22,627,308	23,005,590	445,002	363,544
Air transport				
Domestic	80,108,804	76,156,367	552,076	579,789
International	18,460,312	19,073,159	257,176	218,491

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 9.1.2.(a) Number of Airports, 2019

DISAGGREGATION	Number of Airport (2019)
(1)	(2)
PROVINCE	
Aceh	10
North Sumatera	6
West Sumatera	2
Riau	6
Jambi	3
South Sumatera	2
Bengkulu	2
Lampung	2
Bangka Belitung Islands	2
Riau Islands	7
DKI Jakarta	1
West Java	5
Central Java	4
DI Yogyakarta	2
East Java	6
Banten	1
Bali	1
West Nusa Tenggara	3
East Nusa Tenggara	14
West Kalimantan	5
Central Kalimantan	8
South Kalimantan	4
East Kalimantan	8
North Kalimantan	5
North Sulawesi	4
Central Sulawesi	7
South Sulawesi	8
Southeast Sulawesi	5
Gorontalo	1
West Sulawesi	1
Maluku	14
North Maluku	8
West Papua	17
Papua	41
INDONESIA	215

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 9.2.1* Manufacturing value added as a proportion of GDP and per capita

Manufacturing value added as a proportion of GDP, 2010-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR										
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
PROVINCE											
Aceh	8.85	8.64	8.52	7.91	7.19	5.75	5.24	4.88	5.05	4.8	4.6
North Sumatera	21.31	20.62	20.46	20.23	19.8	19.58	19.55	19.03	18.76	18.05	18.09
West Sumatera	11.69	11.51	11.53	11.42	11.35	10.96	10.92	10.6	10.03	9.36	9.46
Riau	24.07	24.73	25.46	26.57	27.33	28.26	28.89	29.66	30.02	30.9	31.86
Jambi	11.43	11.48	11.49	11.64	11.36	11.16	10.93	10.73	10.59	10.41	10.48
South Sumatera	18.86	18.78	18.61	18.39	18.35	18.53	18.74	18.92	18.81	18.65	18.79
Bengkulu	6.08	6.08	6.15	6.23	6.28	6.26	6.28	6.24	6.16	6	5.86
Lampung	17.18	16.92	17.38	17.7	17.59	18	17.79	17.96	18.62	19.14	18.45
Bangka Belitung Islands	25.80	25.03	24.45	24.04	23.26	22.63	22.32	22.68	22.58	22.11	21.36
Riau Islands	37.93	38.23	38.39	38.74	38.5	38.35	37.76	37.59	37.47	37.98	40.76
DKI Jakarta	14.2	13.62	13.09	13	12.94	12.84	12.57	12.71	12.66	11.82	10.86
West Java	44.51	44.14	43.34	43.68	43.72	43.44	43.07	43.07	43.42	43	42.21
Central Java	34.52	34.49	34.94	35.05	35.5	35.24	34.86	34.55	34.23	34.16	33.78
DI Yogyakarta	14.25	14.27	13.16	13.33	13.16	12.81	12.81	12.87	12.74	12.63	12.42
East Java	29.55	29.03	29.05	28.99	29.49	29.54	29.22	29.29	29.86	30.24	30.34
Banten	39.71	39.05	38.29	38.7	37.3	36.62	35.86	35.16	34.45	33.9	33.45
Bali	7	6.62	6.51	6.63	6.76	6.82	6.63	6.33	6.3	6.37	6.55
West Nusa Tenggara	4.58	4.86	5.15	5.07	4.99	4.22	4.21	4.45	4.74	4.75	4.66
East Nusa Tenggara	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.27	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.28	1.28	1.32	1.26
West Kalimantan	17.13	17.16	16.92	16.97	16.85	16.62	16.49	16.11	15.76	16.14	16.04
Central Kalimantan	15.36	14.57	14.37	14.42	15.25	15.18	15.34	15.66	15.58	15.31	15.49
South Kalimantan	13.72	13.18	13.07	12.87	12.71	12.78	13.03	13.08	12.98	12.66	12.45
East Kalimantan	24.66	22.33	20.47	19.66	19.41	20.17	21.35	21.28	20.83	19.89	19.91
North Kalimantan	10.23	9.95	9.73	9.58	9.35	9.6	9.85	9.73	9.35	9.17	8.91
North Sulawesi	11.04	11.14	11.18	11.06	10.76	10.42	9.92	10.08	9.93	9.42	9.93
Central Sulawesi	6.62	6.32	6.09	5.8	5.96	9.81	12.04	12.5	21.38	23.05	27.18
South Sulawesi	13.74	13.86	13.83	14.04	14.23	14.17	14.28	13.99	13.19	13.56	13.06
Southeast Sulawesi	6.67	6.58	6.14	5.95	6.03	6.08	6.22	6.2	6.22	6.47	6.98
Gorontalo	4.09	4.09	4.1	4.11	4.06	4	4	3.88	3.89	4.08	4.13
West Sulawesi	8.71	9.04	8.84	8.85	11.03	11.42	10.51	10.67	10.79	10.74	10.62
Maluku	5.49	5.41	5.34	5.37	5.46	5.38	5.44	5.39	5.46	5.32	5.24
North Maluku	5.67	5.44	5.25	5.25	5.49	5.37	5.85	7.17	7.88	7.54	11.43
West Papua	32.7	32.88	32.64	32.98	32.53	31.89	31.51	31.18	31.48	30.36	31.16
Papua	1.89	2.08	2.09	1.96	2.06	1.99	1.91	1.94	1.91	2.24	2.08
INDONESIA	22.04	22.06	21.97	21.72	21.65	21.54	21.38	21.22	21.04	20.79	20.61

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 9.2.1* Manufacturing value added as a proportion of GDP and per capita**Manufacturing value added per capita, 2010-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR										
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
PROVINCE											
Aceh	1,986	1,963	1,969	1,837	1,664	1,294	1,196	1,141	1,214	1,180	1,1100
North Sumatera	5,414	5,508	5,737	5,934	6,034	6,034	6,430	6,505	6,672	6,686	6,566
West Sumatera	2,523	2,607	2,738	2,840	2,950	2,967	3,075	3,108	3,055	2,962	2,916
Riau	16,778	17,717	18,435	19,213	19,786	19,999	20,385	20,985	21,237	21,968	21,900
Jambi	3,333	3,541	3,726	3,958	4,076	4,100	4,125	4,165	4,2400	4,284	4,232
South Sumatera	4,892	5,100	5,318	5,455	5,623	5,845	6,127	6,444	6,708	6,944	6,912
Bengkulu	1,000	1,051	1,116	1,178	1,233	1,270	1,322	1,357	1,385	1,396	1,343
Lampung	3,388	3,509	3,788	4,031	4,160	4,424	4,549	4,781	5,163	5,537	5,202
Bangka Belitung Islands	7,458	7,563	7,621	7,713	7,642	7,576	7,619	7,924	8,074	8,012	7,417
Riau Islands	24,924	26,008	27,232	28,567	29,382	30,156	30,322	29,979	30,342	31,548	31,811
DKI Jakarta	15,835	16,021	16,225	16,907	17,645	18,354	18,84	20,042	20,99	20,558	18,282
West Java	9,336	9,700	9,983	10,536	10,915	11,228	11,597	12,047	12,662	13,005	12,302
Central Java	6,632	6,916	7,319	7,657	8,100	8,418	8,700	9,013	9,340	9,759	9,335
DI Yogyakarta	2,658	2,767	2,656	2,805	2,879	2,906	3,019	3,157	3,284	3,435	3,252
East Java	7,792	8,088	8,573	9,014	9,643	10,123	10,512	11,048	11,820	12,567	12,250
Banten	10,086	10,368	10,613	11,188	11,133	11,284	11,397	11,586	11,775	11,979	11,217
Bali	1,680	1,673	1,739	1,865	2,007	2,121	2,168	2,161	2,260	2,390	2,206
West Nusa Tenggara	711	715	735	751	766	780	812	850	855	879	848
East Nusa Tenggara	118	123	128	132	134	139	143	151	157	168	157
West Kalimantan	3,343	3,470	3,563	3,73	3,826	3,900	4,008	4,060	4,116	4,370	4,210
Central Kalimantan	3,910	3,874	3,987	4,198	4,608	4,800	5,046	5,382	5,540	5,659	5,535
South Kalimantan	3,213	3,299	3,340	3,400	3,460	3,550	3,718	3,868	3,975	3,975	3,787
East Kalimantan	31,017	29,122	27,437	26,314	25,837	25,941	26,774	26,952	26,528	26,018	24,820
North Kalimantan	6,758	6,838	6,931	7,101	7,213	7,372	7,548	7,681	7,497	7,585	7,044
North Sulawesi	2,508	2,652	2,812	2,924	2,991	3,042	3,044	3,255	3,366	3,344	3,461
Central Sulawesi	1,294	1,334	1,383	1,421	1,510	2,823	3,751	4,108	8,350	9,654	11,774
South Sulawesi	2,928	3,155	3,390	3,662	3,948	4,172	4,470	4,650	4,650	5,065	4,800
Southeast Sulawesi	1,439	1,535	1,565	1,596	1,683	1,776	1,895	1,976	2,070	2,251	2,366
Gorontalo	605	641	682	725	756	779	818	834	877	964	962
West Sulawesi	1,285	1,449	1,517	1,594	2,121	2,314	2,215	2,347	2,477	2,558	2,425
Maluku	656	675	701	728	776	792	834	859	906	916	880
North Maluku	815	815	824	858	926	941	1,063	1,377	1,600	1,594	2,490
West Papua	17,673	17,392	17,970	18,989	19,238	19,157	19,299	19,382	20,302	19,636	19,550
Papua	734	758	757	758	809	824	845	884	917	891	832
INDONESIA	6,342	6,643	6,918	7,122	7,353	7,573	7,796	8,032	8,276	8,493	8,153

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 9.2.1.(a) Growth rate of Manufacturing Industry GDP, 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
PROVINCE										
Aceh	0.92	2.39	-4.78	-7.62	-20.70	-5.84	-2.87	8.26	-1.10	-4.43
North Sumatera	3.22	5.64	4.84	3.00	3.91	5.05	2.31	3.66	1.23	-0.84
West Sumatera	4.74	6.46	5.10	5.22	1.84	4.90	2.27	-0.58	-1.98	-0.54
Riau	8.47	6.83	6.95	5.63	3.63	4.45	5.43	3.59	5.82	1.93
Jambi	8.30	7.19	8.17	4.81	2.33	2.29	2.61	3.39	2.58	0.23
South Sumatera	5.88	5.86	4.10	4.57	5.40	6.23	6.55	5.40	4.76	0.69
Bengkulu	6.89	8.06	7.43	6.39	4.70	5.74	4.27	3.56	2.31	-2.44
Lampung	4.97	9.32	7.74	4.42	7.56	3.93	6.18	9.04	8.24	-5.25
Bangka Belitung Islands	3.72	3.04	3.45	1.25	1.27	2.69	6.15	3.97	1.20	-5.64
Riau Islands	7.80	8.07	8.17	5.95	5.61	3.36	1.53	4.14	6.25	3.25
DKI Jakarta	2.35	2.41	5.34	5.47	5.08	3.65	7.38	5.68	-1.22	-10.34
West Java	5.60	4.57	7.19	5.17	4.39	4.77	5.32	6.52	4.04	-4.22
Central Java	5.19	6.72	5.45	6.61	4.71	4.10	4.33	4.33	5.18	-3.74
DI Yogyakarta	5.39	-2.84	6.87	3.82	2.13	5.06	5.74	5.12	5.73	-4.38
East Java	4.57	6.73	5.85	7.67	5.63	4.44	5.69	7.55	6.85	-2.06
Banten	5.25	4.75	7.81	1.70	3.53	3.09	3.70	3.61	3.63	-4.67
Bali	0.88	5.23	8.59	8.88	6.93	3.36	0.80	5.69	6.85	-6.78
West Nusa Tenggara	2.06	4.21	3.65	3.37	3.12	5.42	5.95	1.68	4.07	-2.48
East Nusa Tenggara	5.76	6.00	4.86	3.37	5.23	4.98	7.34	5.16	9.14	-5.54
West Kalimantan	5.64	4.42	6.43	4.24	3.50	4.34	2.76	2.80	7.61	-2.41
Central Kalimantan	1.50	5.39	7.77	12.31	6.51	7.45	8.95	5.11	4.26	-0.24
South Kalimantan	2.80	5.08	3.67	3.56	4.34	6.46	5.69	4.34	1.45	-3.38
East Kalimantan	-3.77	-3.49	-1.81	0.45	2.66	5.46	2.80	0.45	0.03	-2.77
North Kalimantan	5.39	5.40	6.48	5.54	6.13	6.28	5.54	1.19	4.82	-3.85
North Sulawesi	7.08	7.29	5.19	3.42	2.80	1.08	8.00	4.39	0.25	4.41
Central Sulawesi	4.91	5.49	4.44	8.02	89.98	34.96	11.20	106.29	17.30	23.68
South Sulawesi	9.03	8.66	9.22	9.00	6.77	8.23	5.03	0.94	9.92	-4.42
Southeast Sulawesi	9.14	4.17	4.22	7.74	7.73	8.90	6.38	6.81	10.84	7.09
Gorontalo	7.77	8.18	7.99	6.00	4.66	6.58	3.46	6.77	11.56	1.12
West Sulawesi	14.90	6.79	7.09	35.68	11.15	-2.46	7.96	7.51	5.13	-3.52
Maluku	4.91	5.70	5.80	8.42	3.91	7.04	4.76	7.28	2.69	-2.31
North Maluku	2.35	3.25	6.48	10.20	3.72	15.24	32.13	18.41	1.52	59.07
West Papua	4.21	2.89	8.46	3.94	2.12	3.27	2.90	7.28	-0.99	1.86
Papua	5.32	1.93	2.13	8.72	3.77	4.47	6.46	5.65	-1.25	-5.02
INDONESIA	6.26	5.62	4.37	4.64	4.33	4.26	4.29	4.27	3.80	-2.93

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

**Indicator 9.2.2* Manufacturing employment as a proportion of total employment,
2018-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR		
	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	7.78	8.21	8.26
North Sumatera	10.25	9.94	9.58
West Sumatera	8.75	9.51	9.46
Riau	7.42	7.68	7.62
Jambi	4.95	5.56	5.03
South Sumatera	7.8	6.22	5.91
Bengkulu	5.43	5.82	5.42
Lampung	8.99	11.23	9.17
Bangka Belitung Islands	7.08	7.66	8.19
Riau Islands	23.7	23.91	22.76
DKI Jakarta	13.02	12.3	11.01
West Java	20.97	21.07	18.56
Central Java	21.86	22.4	20.64
DI Yogyakarta	16.32	17.05	17.03
East Java	15.89	15.85	14.62
Banten	23.39	23.68	20.32
Bali	14.63	14.94	15.75
West Nusa Tenggara	12.28	13.15	11.14
East Nusa Tenggara	8.95	10.15	9.5
West Kalimantan	6.45	6.02	5.82
Central Kalimantan	5.47	6.03	5.77
South Kalimantan	8.75	9.11	8.79
East Kalimantan	7.2	7.6	6.23
North Kalimantan	8.39	7.96	7.83
North Sulawesi	9.07	8.95	8.58
Central Sulawesi	7.56	7.36	6.75
South Sulawesi	9.03	8.89	8.16
Southeast Sulawesi	8.96	9.37	8.94
Gorontalo	11.17	7.81	8.3
West Sulawesi	7.51	8.57	7.64
Maluku	8.53	9.06	11.02
North Maluku	5.48	5.83	5.7
West Papua	6.65	3.9	5.08
Papua	1.79	1.97	2.16
INDONESIA	14.68	14.91	13.61

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



**Indicator 9.3.1* Proportion of small-scale industries in total industry value added,
2010-2019**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	8.03	NA	NA	11.36	10.68	10.43	8.74	8.62	6.55	NA

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 9.3.2* Proportion of small-scale industries with a loan or line of credit, 2014-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	8.35	10.00	10.675	9.51	8.43	7.77

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 9.b.1.(a) Proportion of exports of high-tech industrial products, 2017-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
PROVINCE				
Aceh	14.66	7.92	7.14	6.34
North Sumatera	20.80	22.01	22.10	21.82
West Sumatera	5.78	5.46	4.42	5.10
Riau	6.84	10.50	10.26	10.35
Jambi	1.31	0.75	0.01	0.31
South Sumatera	7.12	4.25	3.71	5.10
Bengkulu	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07
Lampung	4.44	6.97	6.19	4.30
Bangka Belitung Islands	1.27	1.51	1.23	1.37
Riau Islands	47.44	47.79	51.35	54.61
DKI Jakarta	40.95	45.58	48.88	45.95
West Java	48.28	47.12	47.52	46.27
Central Java	9.56	8.72	8.28	8.82
DI Yogyakarta	7.38	8.15	5.17	6.51
East Java	19.15	19.54	20.74	17.02
Banten	28.67	28.93	28.44	27.81
Bali	2.40	2.74	2.26	2.29
West Nusa Tenggara	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02
East Nusa Tenggara	10.25	9.91	7.74	8.60
West Kalimantan	7.40	2.46	2.51	2.13
Central Kalimantan	0.34	1.59	1.43	1.95
South Kalimantan	1.32	1.21	1.24	0.89
East Kalimantan	2.79	3.74	4.59	6.20
North Kalimantan	0.01	0.09	0.10	0.06
North Sulawesi	3.04	4.90	3.96	4.59
Central Sulawesi	0.18	2.30	3.43	2.19
South Sulawesi	0.30	0.55	0.26	0.29
Southeast Sulawesi	1.86	0.33	0.04	0.02
Gorontalo	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.29
West Sulawesi	4.61	4.00	2.56	2.96
Maluku	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.00
North Maluku	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01
West Papua	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00
Papua	0.28	0.04	0.11	0.06
INDONESIA	20.80	20.84	22.26	21.38

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



**Indicator 9.c.1* Proportion of population covered by a mobile network,
2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR
	2020
(1)	(2)
PROVINCE	
Aceh	7.00
North Sumatera	73.49
West Sumatera	69.66
Riau	56.65
Jambi	54.85
South Sumatera	61.25
Bengkulu	60.54
Lampung	68.94
Bangka Belitung Islands	63.97
Riau Islands	79.69
DKI Jakarta	99.93
West Java	90.99
Central Java	86.63
DI Yogyakarta	91.15
East Java	86.05
Banten	90.85
Bali	96.22
West Nusa Tenggara	86.42
East Nusa Tenggara	61.38
West Kalimantan	49.17
Central Kalimantan	33.95
South Kalimantan	71.80
East Kalimantan	64.27
North Kalimantan	44.84
North Sulawesi	74.68
Central Sulawesi	46.27
South Sulawesi	73.39
Southeast Sulawesi	51.42
Gorontalo	65.46
West Sulawesi	42.35
Maluku	69.03
North Maluku	74.35
West Papua	26.01
Papua	15.79
INDONESIA	77.70

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

GOAL 10

REDUCED INEQUALITIES



Indicator 10.1.1* Gini ratio by province and area of residence, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR											
	2015			2016			2017			2018		
	Sem 1	Sem 2										
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
PROVINCE												
Aceh	0.334	0.339	0.333	0.341	0.329	0.329	0.325	0.318	0.319	0.321	0.323	0.319
North Sumatera	0.336	0.326	0.319	0.312	0.315	0.335	0.318	0.311	0.317	0.315	0.316	0.314
West Sumatera	0.342	0.319	0.331	0.312	0.318	0.312	0.321	0.305	0.306	0.307	0.305	0.301
Riau	0.364	0.366	0.347	0.347	0.325	0.325	0.327	0.347	0.334	0.331	0.329	0.321
Jambi	0.361	0.344	0.349	0.346	0.335	0.334	0.334	0.335	0.335	0.321	0.324	0.320
South Sumatera	0.360	0.334	0.348	0.362	0.361	0.365	0.358	0.341	0.331	0.339	0.339	0.338
Bengkulu	0.376	0.371	0.357	0.354	0.351	0.349	0.362	0.355	0.340	0.329	0.334	0.323
Lampung	0.376	0.352	0.364	0.358	0.334	0.333	0.346	0.326	0.329	0.331	0.327	0.320
Bangka Belitung Islands	0.283	0.275	0.275	0.288	0.282	0.276	0.281	0.272	0.269	0.262	0.262	0.257
Riau Islands	0.364	0.339	0.354	0.352	0.334	0.359	0.330	0.339	0.341	0.337	0.339	0.334
DKI Jakarta	0.431	0.421	0.411	0.397	0.413	0.409	0.394	0.390	0.394	0.391	0.399	0.400
West Java	0.415	0.426	0.413	0.402	0.403	0.393	0.407	0.405	0.402	0.398	0.403	0.398
Central Java	0.382	0.382	0.366	0.357	0.365	0.365	0.378	0.357	0.361	0.358	0.362	0.359
DI Yogyakarta	0.433	0.420	0.42	0.425	0.432	0.440	0.441	0.422	0.423	0.428	0.434	0.437
East Java	0.415	0.403	0.402	0.396	0.415	0.379	0.379	0.371	0.370	0.364	0.366	0.364
Banten	0.401	0.386	0.394	0.392	0.382	0.379	0.385	0.367	0.365	0.361	0.363	0.365
Bali	0.377	0.399	0.366	0.374	0.384	0.379	0.377	0.364	0.366	0.370	0.369	0.369
West Nusa Tenggara	0.368	0.360	0.359	0.365	0.371	0.378	0.372	0.391	0.379	0.374	0.376	0.386



DISAGGREGATION	YEAR										Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)		
East Nusa Tenggara	0.339	0.348	0.336	0.362	0.359	0.351	0.359	0.356	0.355	0.355	0.354	0.356		
West Kalimantan	0.334	0.330	0.341	0.331	0.327	0.329	0.339	0.325	0.327	0.318	0.317	0.325		
Central Kalimantan	0.326	0.300	0.33	0.347	0.343	0.327	0.342	0.344	0.336	0.335	0.329	0.320		
South Kalimantan	0.353	0.334	0.332	0.351	0.347	0.347	0.344	0.340	0.334	0.334	0.332	0.351		
East Kalimantan	0.316	0.315	0.315	0.328	0.330	0.333	0.342	0.342	0.330	0.335	0.328	0.335		
North Kalimantan	0.294	0.314	0.3	0.305	0.308	0.313	0.303	0.304	0.295	0.292	0.292	0.300		
North Sulawesi	0.368	0.366	0.386	0.379	0.396	0.394	0.394	0.372	0.367	0.376	0.370	0.368		
Central Sulawesi	0.374	0.370	0.362	0.347	0.355	0.345	0.346	0.317	0.327	0.330	0.326	0.321		
South Sulawesi	0.424	0.404	0.426	0.400	0.407	0.429	0.397	0.388	0.389	0.391	0.389	0.382		
Southeast Sulawesi	0.399	0.381	0.402	0.388	0.394	0.404	0.409	0.392	0.399	0.393	0.389	0.388		
Gorontalo	0.420	0.401	0.419	0.410	0.430	0.405	0.403	0.417	0.407	0.410	0.408	0.406		
West Sulawesi	0.363	0.362	0.364	0.371	0.354	0.339	0.370	0.366	0.365	0.365	0.364	0.356		
Maluku	0.340	0.338	0.348	0.344	0.343	0.321	0.343	0.326	0.324	0.320	0.318	0.326		
North Maluku	0.280	0.286	0.286	0.309	0.317	0.330	0.328	0.336	0.312	0.310	0.308	0.290		
West Papua	0.440	0.428	0.373	0.401	0.390	0.387	0.394	0.391	0.386	0.381	0.382	0.376		
Papua	0.421	0.392	0.39	0.399	0.397	0.398	0.384	0.398	0.394	0.391	0.392	0.395		
INDONESIA	0.408	0.402	0.397	0.394	0.393	0.391	0.389	0.384	0.382	0.380	0.381	0.385		
AREA OF RESIDENCE														
Urban	0.428	0.419	0.410	0.409	0.407	0.404	0.401	0.391	0.392	0.391	0.393	0.399		
Rural	0.334	0.329	0.327	0.316	0.320	0.320	0.324	0.319	0.317	0.315	0.317	0.319		

Source: SUSENAS - Consumption and Expenditure Module, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 10.1.1(a) Proportion of population living below the national poverty line, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR											
	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020	
	Sem 1	Sem 2										
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
PROVINCE												
Aceh	17.08	17.11	16.73	16.43	16.89	15.92	15.97	15.68	15.32	15.01	14.99	15.43
North Sumatera	10.53	10.79	10.35	10.27	10.22	9.28	9.22	8.94	8.83	8.63	8.75	9.14
West Sumatera	7.31	6.71	7.09	7.14	6.87	6.75	6.65	6.55	6.42	6.29	6.28	6.56
Riau	8.42	8.82	7.98	7.67	7.78	7.41	7.39	7.21	7.08	6.90	6.82	7.04
Jambi	8.86	9.12	8.41	8.37	8.19	7.90	7.92	7.85	7.60	7.51	7.58	7.97
South Sumatera	14.25	13.77	13.54	13.39	13.19	13.10	12.80	12.82	12.71	12.56	12.66	12.98
Bengkulu	17.88	17.16	17.32	17.03	16.45	15.59	15.43	15.41	15.23	14.91	15.03	15.30
Lampung	14.35	13.53	14.29	13.86	13.69	13.04	13.14	13.01	12.62	12.30	12.34	12.76
Bangka Belitung Islands	5.40	4.83	5.22	5.04	5.20	5.30	5.25	4.77	4.62	4.50	4.53	4.89
Riau Islands	6.24	5.78	5.98	5.84	6.06	6.13	6.20	5.83	5.90	5.80	5.92	6.13
DKI Jakarta	3.93	3.61	3.75	3.75	3.77	3.78	3.57	3.55	3.47	3.42	4.53	4.69
West Java	9.53	9.57	8.95	8.77	8.71	7.83	7.45	7.25	6.91	6.82	7.88	8.43
Central Java	13.58	13.32	13.27	13.19	13.01	12.23	11.32	11.19	10.80	10.58	11.41	11.84
DI Yogyakarta	14.91	13.16	13.34	13.10	13.02	12.36	12.13	11.81	11.70	11.44	12.28	12.80
East Java	12.34	12.28	12.05	11.85	11.77	11.20	10.98	10.85	10.37	10.20	11.09	11.46
Banten	5.90	5.75	5.42	5.36	5.45	5.59	5.24	5.25	5.09	4.94	5.92	6.63
Bali	4.74	5.25	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.14	4.01	3.91	3.79	3.61	3.78	4.45
West Nusa Tenggara	17.10	16.54	16.48	16.02	16.07	15.05	14.75	14.63	14.56	13.88	13.97	14.23
East Nusa Tenggara	22.61	22.58	22.19	22.01	21.85	21.38	21.35	21.03	21.09	20.62	20.90	21.21
West Kalimantan	8.03	8.44	7.87	8.00	7.88	7.86	7.77	7.37	7.49	7.28	7.17	7.24



DISAGGREGATION	YEAR											
	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020	
	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2	Sem 1	Sem 2
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
PROVINCE												
Central Kalimantan	5.94	5.91	5.66	5.36	5.37	5.26	5.17	5.10	4.98	4.81	4.82	5.26
South Kalimantan	4.99	4.72	4.85	4.52	4.73	4.70	4.54	4.65	4.55	4.47	4.38	4.83
East Kalimantan	6.23	6.10	6.11	6.00	6.19	6.08	6.03	6.06	5.94	5.91	6.10	6.64
North Kalimantan	6.24	6.32	6.23	6.99	7.22	6.96	7.09	6.86	6.63	6.49	6.80	7.41
North Sulawesi	8.65	8.98	8.34	8.20	8.10	7.90	7.80	7.59	7.66	7.51	7.62	7.78
Central Sulawesi	14.66	14.07	14.45	14.09	14.14	14.22	14.01	13.69	13.48	13.18	12.92	13.06
South Sulawesi	9.39	10.12	9.40	9.24	9.38	9.48	9.06	8.87	8.69	8.56	8.72	8.99
Southeast Sulawesi	12.90	13.74	12.88	12.77	12.81	11.97	11.63	11.32	11.24	11.04	11.00	11.69
Gorontalo	18.32	18.16	17.72	17.63	17.65	17.14	16.81	15.83	15.52	15.31	15.22	15.59
West Sulawesi	12.40	11.90	11.74	11.19	11.30	11.18	11.25	11.22	11.02	10.95	10.87	11.50
Maluku	19.51	19.36	19.18	19.26	18.45	18.29	18.12	17.85	17.69	17.65	17.44	17.99
North Maluku	6.84	6.22	6.33	6.41	6.35	6.44	6.64	6.62	6.77	6.91	6.78	6.97
West Papua	25.82	25.73	25.43	24.88	25.10	23.12	23.01	22.66	22.17	21.51	21.37	21.70
Papua	28.17	28.40	28.54	28.40	27.62	27.76	27.43	27.53	26.55	26.64	26.80	
INDONESIA	11.22	11.13	10.86	10.70	10.64	10.12	9.82	9.66	9.41	9.22	9.78	10.19

Source: SUSENAS - Consumption and Expenditure Module, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 10.1.1(a) Proportion of population living below the national poverty line, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2015			2016			2017			2018
	Sem 1 (2)	Sem 2 (3)	Sem 1 (4)	Sem 2 (5)	Sem 1 (6)	Sem 2 (7)	Sem 1 (8)	Sem 2 (9)	Sem 1 (10)	Sem 2 (11)
AREA OF RESIDENCE										
Urban	8.29	8.22	7.79	7.73	7.72	7.26	7.02	6.89	6.69	6.56
Rural	14.21	14.09	14.11	13.96	13.93	13.47	13.20	13.10	12.85	12.60
SEX										
Male	10.91	10.84	10.59	10.47	10.39	9.75	9.59	9.44	9.18	8.97
Female	11.54	11.42	11.14	10.93	10.89	10.50	10.06	9.89	9.63	9.47
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD										
Male	9.34	9.02	9.03	8.70	8.67	8.16	7.98	7.62	7.46	7.34
Female	11.13	9.73	9.82	9.23	9.32	8.64	8.52	8.43	7.88	8.09
AGE GROUP										
< 18 years	13.67	13.50	13.31	13.02	13.19	12.33	12.05	11.92	11.76	11.63
≥ 18 years	10.01	9.97	9.66	9.58	9.41	9.07	8.77	8.61	8.32	8.11
AGE GROUP										
0-17 years (children)	13.67	13.50	13.31	13.02	13.19	12.33	12.05	11.92	11.76	11.63
10-19 years (adolescent)	12.83	12.55	12.16	12.14	11.80	11.31	10.79	10.95	10.32	10.41
16-30 years (youth)	9.48	9.75	9.35	9.60	9.01	8.88	8.51	8.50	8.08	8.00
60+ years (elderly)	14.08	13.40	13.05	12.40	12.82	12.00	12.29	11.35	11.12	10.46
DISABILITY STATUS										
Non disability	NA	9.66	9.45	9.22						
Disability	NA	11.04	11.85	11.15						

Source: SUSENAS - Consumption and Expenditure Module, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

**Indicator 10.1.1(b) Number of underdeveloped villages by province, 2018**

DISAGGREGATION PROVINCE	Number of Underdeveloped Villages
(1)	(2)
Aceh	804
North Sumatera	1,469
West Sumatera	31
Riau	88
Jambi	69
South Sumatera	348
Bengkulu	171
Lampung	73
Bangka Belitung Islands	4
Riau Islands	40
DKI Jakarta	0
West Java	23
Central Java	44
DI Yogyakarta	0
East Java	82
Banten	48
Bali	0
West Nusa Tenggara	9
East Nusa Tenggara	1,094
West Kalimantan	813
Central Kalimantan	326
South Kalimantan	161
East Kalimantan	120
North Kalimantan	273
North Sulawesi	137
Central Sulawesi	268
South Sulawesi	168
Southeast Sulawesi	394
Gorontalo	24
West Sulawesi	113
Maluku	558
North Maluku	404
West Papua	1,552
Papua	4,753
INDONESIA	14,461

Source: Village Development Index, 2018, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 10.1.1(c) Number of Independent Villages by Province, 2018

DISAGGREGATION PROVINCE	Number of Independent Villages
(1)	(2)
Aceh	226
North Sumatera	200
West Sumatera	210
Riau	114
Jambi	102
South Sumatera	54
Bengkulu	20
Lampung	154
Bangka Belitung Islands	31
Riau Islands	3
DKI Jakarta	0
West Java	1,194
Central Java	1,133
DI Yogyakarta	158
East Java	1,035
Banten	143
Bali	176
West Nusa Tenggara	87
East Nusa Tenggara	9
West Kalimantan	60
Central Kalimantan	34
South Kalimantan	68
East Kalimantan	38
North Kalimantan	10
North Sulawesi	54
Central Sulawesi	46
South Sulawesi	120
Southeast Sulawesi	19
Gorontalo	34
West Sulawesi	11
Maluku	31
North Maluku	19
West Papua	3
Papua	10
INDONESIA	5,606

Source: Village Development Index, 2018, BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 10.1.1.(e) Percentage of poor people in underdeveloped areas, 2015 - 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	21.68	21.40	20.96	20.49	20.10	26.43

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

List of underdeveloped districts and percentage of poor population, 2015-2020

NO	UNDERDEVELOPED DISTRICTS	YEAR					
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Aceh Singkil	21.72	21.60	22.11	21.25	18.99	NA
2	Nias	18.05	17.64	18.11	16.37	15.94	16.60
3	South Nias	19.05	18.60	18.48	16.65	16.45	16.74
4	North Nias	32.62	30.92	29.06	26.56	24.99	25.07
5	West Nias	29.96	28.36	27.23	26.72	25.51	25.69
6	Mentawai Islands	15.52	15.12	14.67	14.44	14.43	14.35
7	South Solok	7.52	7.35	7.21	7.07	7.33	NA
8	West Pasaman	7.93	7.40	7.26	7.34	7.14	NA
9	Musi Rawas	15.13	14.30	14.24	13.76	13.37	NA
10	North Musi Rawas	19.73	20.00	19.49	19.12	19.12	19.47
11	Seluma	22.98	21.68	20.73	19.60	19.10	NA
12	West Lampung	14.18	15.06	14.32	13.54	12.92	NA
13	West Pesisir	15.81	15.91	15.61	14.98	14.48	14.29
14	Bondowoso	14.96	15.00	14.54	14.39	13.33	NA
15	Situbondo	13.63	13.34	13.05	11.82	11.20	NA
16	Probolinggo	20.82	20.98	20.52	18.71	17.76	NA
17	Bangkalan	22.57	21.41	21.32	19.59	18.90	NA
18	Sampang	25.69	24.11	23.56	21.21	20.71	NA
19	Pandeglang	10.43	9.67	9.74	9.61	9.42	NA
20	Lebak	9.97	8.71	8.64	8.41	8.30	NA
21	West Lombok	17.38	16.73	16.46	15.20	15.17	NA
22	Central Lombok	16.26	15.80	15.31	13.87	13.63	NA
23	East Lombok	19.14	18.46	18.28	16.55	16.15	NA
24	Sumbawa	16.73	16.12	15.31	14.08	13.90	NA
25	Dompu	15.11	14.23	13.43	12.40	12.25	NA
26	Bima	15.78	15.31	15.10	14.84	14.76	NA
27	West Sumbawa	16.97	16.50	15.96	14.17	13.85	28.17
28	North Lombok	34.13	33.21	32.06	28.83	29.03	26.99
29	West Sumba	30.56	29.34	29.28	28.51	28.29	NA
30	East Sumba	31.74	31.43	31.03	30.13	30.02	29.65
31	Kupang	23.37	23.43	22.91	23.10	23.03	22.77

NO	UNDERDEVELOPED DISTRICTS	YEAR					
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
32	South Central Timor	31.12	29.89	29.44	28.06	27.87	27.49
33	North Central Timor	25.20	24.07	23.52	22.31	22.45	NA
34	Belu	16.81	15.82	15.95	15.70	15.54	15.37
35	Alor	22.92	22.35	21.67	21.63	21.59	21.09
36	Lembata	27.13	26.26	26.48	26.45	26.30	26.14
37	Ende	23.49	23.89	23.95	24.20	23.18	NA
38	Manggarai	23.18	22.50	21.91	20.83	20.55	NA
39	Rote Ndao	30.49	29.60	28.81	28.08	27.95	27.54
40	West Manggarai	20.12	19.35	18.86	18.14	18.01	NA
41	Central Sumba	36.22	36.55	36.01	34.85	34.62	24.49
42	Southwest Sumba	30.01	30.63	30.13	28.88	28.06	28.00
43	Nagekeo	14.38	13.61	13.48	12.98	12.85	NA
44	East Manggarai	28.64	27.71	26.80	26.50	26.49	26.52
45	Sabu Raijua	33.17	32.44	31.07	30.83	30.52	30.18
46	Malaka	17.28	16.66	16.52	16.34	16.12	16.04
47	Sambas	9.42	8.54	8.59	8.55	8.19	NA
48	Bengkayang	6.94	7.46	7.51	7.17	6.96	NA
49	Landak	13.51	12.32	12.23	11.77	11.47	NA
50	Ketapang	11.72	10.99	11.02	10.93	10.54	NA
51	Sintang	9.33	10.07	10.20	10.35	9.65	NA
52	Kapuas Hulu	9.66	9.82	9.45	9.60	9.62	NA
53	Melawi	12.57	12.63	12.54	12.83	12.38	NA
54	North Kayong	9.84	10.19	9.89	10.08	9.98	NA
55	Seruyan	8.50	8.08	7.46	7.43	7.19	NA
56	North Hulu Sungai	7.07	6.76	6.65	6.38	6.50	NA
57	Mahakam Hulu	10.50	10.65	11.29	11.62	11.25	NA
58	Nunukan	5.61	5.25	6.22	6.71	6.11	NA
59	Banggai Islands	16.08	16.18	15.92	15.65	14.84	NA
60	Donggala	18.11	18.59	18.17	18.03	18.40	17.39
61	Toli-Toli	13.64	13.47	13.30	13.66	13.09	NA
62	Buol	16.36	16.68	16.65	16.08	15.19	NA
63	Parigi Moutong	18.1	17.8	17.6	17.4	16.6	NA
64	Tojo Una-Una	18.8	18.6	18.2	18.3	17.2	16.39
65	Sigi	12.8	12.8	12.7	12.6	12.9	12.45
66	Banggai Laut	17.7	16.6	16.2	16.3	15.3	NA
67	North Morowali	16.9	16.1	15.7	15.5	15.1	NA
68	Jeneponto	15.2	15.5	15.4	15.5	14.9	NA
69	Konawe	16.1	16.1	15.7	13.5	12.3	NA
70	Bombana	12.6	13.1	12.4	11.1	10.6	NA
71	Konawe Islands	16.7	17.7	18.1	17.5	17.2	NA

INDONESIA'S VNR 2021



NO	UNDERDEVELOPED DISTRICTS	YEAR					
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
72	Boalemo	21.7	21.1	21.9	20.3	18.9	NA
73	Pohuwato	22.4	21.2	21.3	19.4	18.2	NA
74	North Gorontalo	18.9	18.5	19.2	18.5	17.0	NA
75	Polewali Mandar	18.2	17.1	16.1	16.0	15.6	NA
76	Central Mamuju	6.9	6.8	7.0	7.1	6.9	NA
77	West Maluku Tenggara	29.2	28.3	27.5	28.1	27.3	27.11
78	Central Maluku	22.1	21.7	21.2	20.1	20.0	NA
79	Buru	18.5	18.0	17.4	17.0	16.5	NA
80	Aru Islands	28.6	28.7	27.1	27.1	26.7	26.26
81	West Seram Region	26.4	26.5	25.5	25.6	25.2	25.11
82	East Seram Region	25.4	24.5	23.6	23.6	23.1	23.04
83	Maluku Barat Daya	31.6	31.0	30.2	30.2	29.4	29.15
84	South Buru	17.6	16.9	16.8	16.3	16.1	15.75
85	West Halmahera	9.7	8.8	8.7	8.7	8.6	NA
86	Sula Islands	8.9	8.6	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.35
87	South Halmahera	4.6	4.1	4.1	4.8	5.0	NA
88	East Halmahera	15.3	15.5	15.3	15.0	15.4	NA
89	Morotai Islands	8.4	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.3	NA
90	Taliabu Islands	7.0	7.3	7.2	7.4	7.5	7.30
91	Teluk Wondama	37.4	36.4	36.4	33.3	32.4	30.91
92	Teluk Bintuni	36.7	34.7	34.3	31.3	30.6	29.39
93	South Sorong	20.4	19.9	19.7	19.1	18.4	18.28
94	Sorong	33.4	33.3	32.9	30.2	28.6	27.48
95	Raja Ampat	20.9	20.5	20.0	17.8	17.2	NA
96	Tambrauw	38.1	36.7	36.0	34.6	33.7	32.80
97	Maybrat	35.3	34.7	34.9	32.9	32.2	30.78
98	Merauke	11.1	11.1	10.8	10.5	10.4	NA
99	Jayawijaya	39.5	39.7	38.6	38.7	38.3	37.22
100	Nabire	24.4	26.0	25.4	25.2	24.8	24.15
101	Yapen Islands	27.7	27.5	26.8	27.2	27.1	NA
102	Biak Numfor	27.2	27.0	25.4	25.7	25.5	NA
103	Paniai	37.4	39.1	37.4	37.4	37.2	36.71
104	Puncak Jaya	37.5	37.3	36.0	36.3	35.7	34.74
105	Boven Digoel	19.5	20.8	19.9	20.4	19.7	19.41
106	Mappi	27.0	26.6	25.8	25.6	25.5	25.04
107	Asmat	28.5	27.8	27.2	27.4	26.6	25.49
108	Yahukimo	41.3	40.6	39.3	39.3	38.8	37.34
109	Pegunungan Bintang	31.6	31.5	30.6	30.8	30.5	30.15
110	Tolikara	34.0	33.6	32.7	33.1	32.9	32.04
111	Sarmi	13.9	13.7	13.8	14.5	14.4	NA

NO	UNDERDEVELOPED DISTRICTS	YEAR					
		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
112	Keerom	15.8	17.2	16.7	16.9	16.8	16.32
113	Waropen	31.4	31.3	30.8	30.5	31.0	29.54
114	Supiori	39.3	38.0	37.4	39.2	38.8	36.91
115	Mamberamo Raya	29.7	29.5	29.9	30.1	29.1	28.38
116	Nduga *	35.9	38.5	37.3	38.1	38.2	36.72
117	Lanny Jaya	42.0	41.7	39.6	40.1	39.5	38.13
118	Central Mamberamo	35.5	38.4	36.4	37.0	36.9	36.41
119	Yalimo	35.9	35.8	35.0	35.5	34.5	32.82
120	Dogiyai	29.1	31.2	30.4	30.5	31.1	28.62
121	Intan Jaya	41.3	43.7	42.2	42.7	42.9	40.71
122	Deiyai	45.7	45.1	43.6	43.5	43.7	41.76
123	South Manokwari	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	28.88
124	Pegunungan Arfak	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	33.81
125	Puncak	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	36.96

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Notes:

- Data for 2015-2019 was based on Presidential Regulation No. 131/2015 concerning the Designation of Underdeveloped Areas in 2015-2019 (There were 122 Underdeveloped Areas)
- Data for 2020 is based on the newest Presidential Regulation No. 63/2020 concerning the Determination of Underdeveloped Areas in 2020-2024 (There are 62 Underdeveloped Areas)



**Indicator 10.2.1* Proportion of people living below 50 per cent of median income,
2018-2020**

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR		
	2018 (2)	2019 (3)	2020 (4)
	PROVINCE		
Aceh	11.14	10.17	9.53
North Sumatera	7.92	7.13	6.32
West Sumatera	3.70	3.26	2.49
Riau	3.80	3.63	3.26
Jambi	7.52	6.87	6.21
South Sumatera	14.44	14.58	14.31
Bengkulu	8.57	8.43	8.23
Lampung	14.68	14.99	13.04
Bangka Belitung Islands	0.27	0.04	0.16
Riau Islands	1.22	0.56	1.84
DKI Jakarta	0.23	0.29	0.07
West Java	11.25	10.85	11.42
Central Java	19.35	18.08	18.50
DI Yogyakarta	12.74	13.25	12.54
East Java	16.09	14.68	16.08
Banten	5.17	4.88	4.32
Bali	6.03	5.75	5.57
West Nusa Tenggara	19.63	18.44	18.25
East Nusa Tenggara	31.41	31.70	31.45
West Kalimantan	8.40	7.64	6.83
Central Kalimantan	5.27	4.71	3.94
South Kalimantan	4.23	4.12	3.43
East Kalimantan	0.87	0.79	0.71
North Kalimantan	1.00	0.86	0.34
North Sulawesi	14.00	13.01	12.91
Central Sulawesi	13.99	13.02	12.20
South Sulawesi	20.59	20.01	20.25
Southeast Sulawesi	24.46	22.84	21.70
Gorontalo	27.71	25.51	24.28
West Sulawesi	24.28	25.23	25.33
Maluku	13.85	10.75	8.93
North Maluku	7.65	6.60	6.88
West Papua	14.95	11.28	12.03
Papua	18.83	17.80	16.29
INDONESIA	12.63	11.93	11.99
DISABILITY STATUS			
Non disability	12.42	11.67	11.77
Disability	14.17	14.33	14.35

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 10.3.1.(a) Freedom Aspect Index, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	74.81	92.92	87.27	96.79	93.28
North Sumatera	82.02	82.71	74.75	76.54	72.54
West Sumatera	52.99	51.01	61.66	55.32	56.58
Riau	66.46	71.78	82.03	86.88	85.15
Jambi	75.89	84.39	86.81	72.88	76.67
South Sumatera	96.06	91.17	90.83	83.13	80.54
Bengkulu	78.50	85.14	88.51	78.77	93.98
Lampung	71.99	60.49	74.12	77.29	78.46
Bangka Belitung Islands	81.25	87.65	83.07	80.95	84.12
Riau Islands	80.16	85.43	90.84	91.05	92.66
DKI Jakarta	89.64	81.11	87.73	95.09	91.01
West Java	79.10	73.37	73.61	74.90	65.16
Central Java	79.44	66.06	69.07	76.21	78.43
DI Yogyakarta	90.41	90.00	93.25	90.60	92.90
East Java	85.26	73.73	78.98	77.21	72.14
Banten	74.28	83.47	85.16	86.59	83.83
Bali	94.42	96.94	95.51	94.72	90.60
West Nusa Tenggara	51.59	65.06	79.40	78.28	78.36
East Nusa Tenggara	93.19	96.25	79.69	94.00	93.97
West Kalimantan	96.81	83.29	97.15	93.87	92.59
Central Kalimantan	85.07	84.98	95.58	90.09	86.31
South Kalimantan	54.15	61.04	60.16	69.26	68.01
East Kalimantan	93.07	78.25	83.33	90.99	88.50
North Kalimantan	98.10	100.00	98.57	97.36	96.60
North Sulawesi	86.71	96.31	90.87	88.90	95.19
Central Sulawesi	94.60	80.39	90.04	91.90	96.19
South Sulawesi	69.38	75.54	77.48	72.44	68.32
Southeast Sulawesi	91.14	88.07	77.66	79.77	80.31
Gorontalo	81.35	82.35	85.30	79.33	75.17
West Sulawesi	81.88	82.89	73.56	87.41	81.58
Maluku	76.04	87.17	81.78	81.38	87.06
North Maluku	73.53	92.27	90.19	78.94	85.61
West Papua	92.33	93.67	92.42	82.11	70.35
Papua	82.72	92.15	89.09	84.36	93.08
INDONESIA	80.30	76.45	78.75	78.46	77.20

Source: Indonesia Democracy Index - BPS – Statistics Indonesia and Coordinating Ministry for Political, Legal and Security Affairs

Note:

- This indicator is also reported as Indicator 16.7.2.(b)
- This indicator was previously known as the Civil Liberty Index. Started in 2020, following the SDGs National Metadata 2nd Edition, the name of this indicator was changed to the Freedom Aspect Index.



**Indicator 10.3.1.(b) Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015 (1)	2016 (2)	2017 (3)	2018 (4)	2019 (5)	2020 (6)
Report from the Ministry of Law and Human Rights						
Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations	658	943	926	1,039	1,087	1,067
Has been followed up ¹	334	502	460	404	612	475
Not yet/Not been followed up ²	323	441	446	635	475	383
Report from the National Human Rights Commission (KOMNAS HAM)						
Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations	8,249 Documents*	7,188 Documents*	5,387 Documents*	6,098 Documents*	2,757 Complaints**	2,639 Complaints**
According to the Complaint Handling Classification						
Monitoring and investigation	5,286	3,981	3,053	3,108	954	824
Mediation	871	709	612	643	278	245
Team (<i>Tim</i>)	188	396	232	151	-	-
Complaint service	1,904	2,102	1,490	2,196	1,525	1,570
According to the theme of rights						
Right to justice	3,252	2,697	1,857	1,991	888	887
Right to well-being	3,407	2,748	2,136	2,425	1,119	1,025
Right to safety	646	628	397	324	130	179
Right to life	272	230	152	199	93	67
Right to personal freedom	180	203	124	85	38	55
Right to personal development	99	82	68	67	44	31
Rights to be part of government	67	80	58	66	53	58
Child rights	57	29	16	16	7	29
Right to develop a family and reproduction	10	11	1	6	5	5
Women's right	30	36	14	21	4	34
Right to non discrimination	10	25	3	12	3	1
Others	219	419	561	886	373	268

Source: Ministry of Law and Human Rights and National Human Rights Commission (KOMNAS HAM)

Note:

In the report from Ministry of Law and Human Rights:

- 1) Has been followed up: The complaints are followed up with a review process to determine whether the complaint is filed or to be followed up with a recommendation letter. In addition, this includes a letter which is followed up by a letter of recommendation.
- 2) Not yet / Not Followed Up: The complaints that have not been followed up yet are complaints that have not been handled in the current year. The complaints that were not followed up were related to problems for which there were no suspicions of human rights violations or had entered the realm of the judiciary.

In the report from Komnas HAM, there was a change in the calculation unit, as part of Komnas HAM's effort to improve the accuracy of presenting the complaint data.

* Documents refer to the number of documents submitted by the complainant and the complained party for the complaint (case) concerned.

** Complaints refers to the case submitted by the complainant. So that it is possible that in one complaint, there are more than one documents.

This indicator is also reported as Indicator 16.10.1.(b)

Indicator 10.3.1.(c) Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations related to women especially on cases of violation against women, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	1,248	1,353	1,301	1,234	1,419	2,389

Source: National Commission on Violence Against Women (*Komnas Perempuan*)

Indicator 10.3.1.(d) Number of discriminatory policies in the past 12 months on the basis of a ground of discrimination prohibited under international human rights law, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	23	24	32	0	14	4	0

Source: National Commission on Violence Against Women (*Komnas Perempuan*)

Indicator 10.4.1.(a) Percentage of planned budget for central government social protection function spending, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	1.7	11.5	11.3	11.2	12.3	12.7

Source: Ministry of Finance

Indicator 10.4.1.(b) Membership rate of the social insurance for employment programme, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	2019		2020	
	Formal	Informal	Formal	Informal
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
INDONESIA	56.51	3.85	63.82	3.21

Source: BPJS Employment

Indicator 10.5.1.(a) Bank Soundness Indicator (Percentage), 2015 - 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Regulatory Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	18.76	22.22	22.65	21.20	21.77	22.16
Nonperforming Loans to Total Gross Loans	2.25	2.12	2.41	2.51	2.48	1.50
Return on Assets	2.43	2.90	2.56	2.29	2.43	2.75

Source: Bank of Indonesia (BI)



GOAL 11

SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES

Indicator 11.1.1.(a) Percentage of households with access to adequate and affordable housing, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2019 (2)	2020 (3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	57.41	63.21
North Sumatera	64.65	67.44
West Sumatera	51.42	56.87
Riau	62.94	68.44
Jambi	54.78	61.41
South Sumatera	52.24	55.25
Bengkulu	41.73	47.94
Lampung	52.00	53.22
Bangka Belitung Islands	26.16	30.64
Riau Islands	44.09	48.19
DKI Jakarta	34.25	33.18
West Java	49.29	52.28
Central Java	64.69	67.93
DI Yogyakarta	81.61	86.19
East Java	65.61	68.08
Banten	56.92	56.21
Bali	77.24	77.05
West Nusa Tenggara	56.35	62.53
East Nusa Tenggara	32.08	36.34
West Kalimantan	53.52	58.81
Central Kalimantan	47.90	51.97
South Kalimantan	46.73	52.99
East Kalimantan	65.55	70.80
North Kalimantan	60.76	66.73
North Sulawesi	64.61	69.48
Central Sulawesi	56.65	58.85
South Sulawesi	60.93	64.24
Southeast Sulawesi	59.82	66.77
Gorontalo	62.26	65.42
West Sulawesi	47.23	50.65
Maluku	51.75	57.50
North Maluku	59.03	62.14
West Papua	52.22	55.44
Papua	26.19	28.56
INDONESIA	56.51	59.54

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021

Indicator 11.1.1.(a) Percentage of households with access to adequate and affordable housing, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2019 (2)	2020 (3)
SEX OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD		
Male	56.36	59.28
Female	57.32	60.90
EXPENDITURE QUINTILE		
40% lowest	44.73	48.69
40% medium	58.42	61.21
20% highest	70.34	72.34

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021



Indicator 11.2.1.(a) Proportion of population that has convenient access to public transportation, 2017 and 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2017 (2)	2020 (3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	52.70	52.72
North Sumatera	58.89	59.25
West Sumatera	54.91	55.01
Riau	43.21	43.52
Jambi	51.76	52.27
South Sumatera	63.43	63.78
Bengkulu	71.75	72.03
Lampung	44.17	44.72
Bangka Belitung Islands	67.11	67.95
Riau Islands	56.06	56.11
DKI Jakarta	70.65	74.02
West Java	60.07	63.81
Central Java	51.08	51.47
DI Yogyakarta	33.58	34.33
East Java	43.36	43.38
Banten	54.65	54.65
Bali	51.04	51.24
West Nusa Tenggara	70.72	71.03
East Nusa Tenggara	61.96	65.75
West Kalimantan	38.77	40.34
Central Kalimantan	40.29	48.24
South Kalimantan	51.81	52.68
East Kalimantan	52.02	53.59
North Kalimantan	57.99	58.90
North Sulawesi	82.37	84.87
Central Sulawesi	69.72	70.41
South Sulawesi	66.33	66.45
Southeast Sulawesi	74.77	75.16
Gorontalo	64.47	64.88
West Sulawesi	53.96	61.53
Maluku	68.95	70.48
North Maluku	82.87	83.01
West Papua	62.75	62.99
Papua	42.99	43.43
INDONESIA	54.67	56.01

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021

**Indicator 11.2.1.(b) Proportion of population served by public transportation,
2017 and 2020**

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2017 (2)	2020 (3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	2.02	2.15
North Sumatera	7.97	8.45
West Sumatera	4.92	4.96
Riau	1.33	1.50
Jambi	1.59	1.67
South Sumatera	3.76	3.85
Bengkulu	1.82	2.05
Lampung	2.30	2.49
Bangka Belitung Islands	1.95	2.13
Riau Islands	5.13	5.31
DKI Jakarta	11.67	13.12
West Java	9.13	11.21
Central Java	4.27	4.57
DI Yogyakarta	1.01	1.12
East Java	1.79	1.80
Banten	5.51	5.55
Bali	0.52	0.68
West Nusa Tenggara	2.63	2.58
East Nusa Tenggara	4.48	5.83
West Kalimantan	0.57	0.61
Central Kalimantan	1.13	1.16
South Kalimantan	1.53	1.60
East Kalimantan	1.99	3.56
North Kalimantan	0.56	0.62
North Sulawesi	9.07	13.60
Central Sulawesi	0.60	2.26
South Sulawesi	4.84	7.83
Southeast Sulawesi	3.58	4.33
Gorontalo	1.34	5.96
West Sulawesi	1.73	3.26
Maluku	13.11	15.83
North Maluku	6.95	10.17
West Papua	10.59	10.85
Papua	4.57	8.91
INDONESIA	4.85	5.74

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021



Indicator 11.5.1* Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Number of deaths and missing persons per 100,000 population	0.17	0.29	0.17	1.98	0.18	0.15 (without COVID-19)
						8.34 (with COVID-19)
Number of people injured per 100,000 population	0.18	1.09	0.42	8.01	1.25	0.20
The number of people suffered and displaced per 100,000 population	480.67	1,222.43	1,403.02	3,930.78	1,935.31	2,387.62

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2020 and BNPB 2021 (processed data)

Indicator 11.5.2.(a) Proportion of Direct Economic Losses due to Disasters Relative to GDP, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.44	0.06

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2020 and BNPB, 2020a

**Indicator 11.5.2.(a) Proportion of Direct Economic Losses Due to Disasters Relative to GDP:
Total Damage and Loss Due to Disaster, 2019**

TYPE OF DISASTER (1)	LOCATION (2)	ECONOMIC LOSSES (Billion) (3)
Forest and Land Fires	South Sumatera, Riau, West Kalimantan, Central Kalimantan, South Kalimantan, Jambi	Rp. 62.78
Flood	Bengkulu	Rp. 1.34
Flood	South Sulawesi	Rp. 1.33
Flood	Southeast Sulawesi	Rp. 1.73
Earthquake	South Halmahera	Rp. 0,27
Flood	Sentani, Papua	Rp. 1.48
Earthquake	Maluku	Rp. 0,58
TOTAL ECONOMIC LOSSES		Rp. 69.51

Source: BNPB, 2020

Indicator 11.6.1.(b) Proportion of national solid waste generated and managed, 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	AMOUNT OF WASTE (TON)		PROPORTION (4)
	WASTE LEVELS (2)	MANAGED WASTE (3)	
INDONESIA	36,541,719.34	19,567,380.96	53.55

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 11.6.2.(a) Annual mean levels of fine particulate matter (PM10), 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	PARAMETER (2)	QUALITY STANDARDS (3)	RESULTS (4)
INDONESIA	PM 10	150 µg/m³	< 50 µg/m³

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Note: The quality standard is based on Government Regulation Number 41/1999 concerning Air Pollution Control


Indicator 11.6.2.(b). Air Quality Index, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR					
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	2020 (7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	89.44	86.30	89.84	88.33	91.08	89.51
North Sumatera	88.15	79.20	87.32	85.72	86.58	89.22
West Sumatera	88.48	82.90	89.87	88.37	89.40	90.39
Riau	60.30	72.40	90.90	89.91	90.47	90.42
Jambi	82.93	88.10	89.39	88.04	87.17	85.65
South Sumatera	79.64	81.60	88.88	85.32	87.13	86.57
Bengkulu	92.51	85.40	92.55	91.63	92.69	90.52
Lampung	82.26	77.50	85.02	82.98	86.63	85.45
Bangka Belitung Islands	95.61	80.40	94.97	89.09	91.94	91.03
Riau Islands	86.61	78.60	95.47	90.83	90.59	90.80
DKI Jakarta	78.78	56.40	53.50	66.57	67.97	66.69
West Java	74.63	78.60	77.85	72.80	74.93	78.46
Central Java	81.32	77.30	83.91	82.97	84.81	84.73
DI Yogyakarta	90.58	87.60	88.08	84.25	85.19	89.55
East Java	89.21	83.20	85.49	81.80	83.06	84.06
Banten	50.65	58.80	75.36	71.63	74.98	72.83
Bali	92.35	88.30	91.40	88.97	89.85	88.34
West Nusa Tenggara	92.27	81.20	88.02	87.17	87.40	88.63
East Nusa Tenggara	77.13	82.70	91.18	86.83	88.18	89.80
West Kalimantan	91.57	81.50	89.12	88.68	90.07	88.88
Central Kalimantan	89.87	83.80	92.25	87.07	88.83	89.84
South Kalimantan	76.80	85.80	88.66	93.56	89.56	88.73
East Kalimantan	96.20	80.20	88.87	83.36	90.31	89.02
North Kalimantan			95.83	90.95	93.79	94.23
North Sulawesi	92.72	86.70	94.32	91.07	92.41	90.53
Central Sulawesi	89.12	87.90	94.38	89.09	92.98	91.80
South Sulawesi	76.80	85.80	88.66	93.56	89.56	88.73
Southeast Sulawesi	83.61	83.50	91.04	89.85	90.01	91.21
Gorontalo	96.20	88.30	94.79	92.17	86.88	93.89
West Sulawesi	89.21	86.40	91.45	89.26	89.97	89.72
Maluku	82.33	87.30	85.64	84.99	88.72	90.41
North Maluku	96.94	86.20	96.00	90.77	92.38	92.10
West Papua	91.03	93.40	95.63	90.41	92.64	94.83
Papua	84.24	89.60	90.01	89.89	92.56	94.57
INDONESIA	84.96	81.78	87.03	84.74	86.56	87.21

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021a

Indicator 11.7.2.(a). Proportion of the Population Experiencing Violent Crimes in the Last 12 Months, 2016-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2016 (2)	2017 (3)	2018 (4)	2019 (5)
PROVINCE				
Aceh	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05
North Sumatera	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.03
West Sumatera	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.06
Riau	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.03
Jambi	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.06
South Sumatera	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.11
Bengkulu	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.09
Lampung	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.09
Bangka Belitung Islands	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.03
Riau Islands	0.19	0.10	0.06	0.03
DKI Jakarta	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.11
West Java	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.06
Central Java	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.04
DI Yogyakarta	0.07	0.18	0.16	0.09
East Java	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07
Banten	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.04
Bali	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02
West Nusa Tenggara	0.09	0.20	0.08	0.08
East Nusa Tenggara	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.07
West Kalimantan	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03
Central Kalimantan	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05
South Kalimantan	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.03
East Kalimantan	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.06
North Kalimantan	0.05	0.09	0.01	0.02
North Sulawesi	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.06
Central Sulawesi	0.10	0.23	0.12	0.08
South Sulawesi	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.03
Southeast Sulawesi	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.04
Gorontalo	0.17	0.13	0.05	0.04
West Sulawesi	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.00
Maluku	0.08	0.11	0.18	0.08
North Maluku	0.08	0.19	0.05	0.1
West Papua	0.21	0.27	0.25	0.29
Papua	0.20	0.30	0.23	0.12
INDONESIA	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.06

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2021



Indicator 11.b.2*. Proportion of local governments that adopt and implement local disaster risk reduction strategies in line with national disaster risk reduction strategies, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	15.76	18.68	27.24	32.88	34.43	35.60

Source: BNPB, 2020 (processed)

Note: Cumulative annual data in percentage



GOAL 12

RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION

Indicator 12.3.1(a) Food loss index, 2019 and 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2019 (2)	2020 (3)
	INDONESIA	39.74

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021

Indicator 12.4.1.(a) Percentage of Mercury Reduction and Removal from the Baseline of 50 Tons of Mercury Use, 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR 2020	
	(2)	36.58
INDONESIA		36.58
SECTOR	POLLUTION OF MERCURY REDUCTION (TONS)	
Manufacture Industry		0.14
Energy		0.56
Small Scale Gold Mining		10.45
Health		7.15
TOTAL		18.30

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 12.4.1(b) Percentage of Reduction in Consumption Level of Ozone Depleting Substances from Baseline, 2016-2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	OZONE DEPLETING SUBSTANCES (2)	YEAR				
		2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)	2020 (7)
INDONESIA	HCFC	39.47	40.64	41.70	51.63	53.36

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Note: The baseline is taken from the average consumption forecast for the year 2009-2010



Indicator 12.4.2* (a) Hazardous waste generated per capita, 2015-2020 (Ton/People)

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	0.49	0.28	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.18

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2020a, BPS, 2018, and BPS, 2021

Indicator 12.4.2* (b) Proportion of hazardous waste treated, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	99.07	98.05	99.99	99.90	99.87	99.28

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2020a and Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 12.5.1.(a) Amount of Waste Generated Recycled, 2019-2020 (Million Tons)

DISAGGREGATION	2019		2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)
INDONESIA		8.02	11.69

Source: Bappenas, 2020 and Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Note: Data is a cumulative amount

Indicator 12.6.1* Number of companies publishing sustainability reports, 2019

DISAGGREGATION	2019	
	(1)	(2)
INDONESIA		100

Source: BEI (Indonesia Stock Exchange), 2020



**Indicator 12.6.1.(a) Number of Companies Implementing SNI ISO 14001 Certification,
2015-2019**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	2,239	2,001	2,197	1,944	2,125

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2020 and Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

**Indicator 12.7.1.(a) Number of Environmentally Friendly Products Registered and Included
in the Procurement of Government Goods and Services, 2020**

DISAGGREGATION	
TYPE OF PRODUCT	NUMBER OF PRODUCT
(1)	(2)
Photocopy Paper	
File Folder	
SVLK Certified Wood Furniture	6 Products
Air Conditioner/AC	
Microwave (Medical Waste Processing Equipment)	
Autoclave Hybrid (Medical Waste Processing Equipment)	

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

**Indicator 12.7.1.(b) Number of Documents for Application of Environmentally Friendly Labels for
Procurement of Government Goods and Services, 2019-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
INDONESIA	50	200
ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY LABELS		
Ecolabel Type 1	23	NA
Ecolabel Type 2	27	NA
Eco Friendly Technology	NA	NA

Source: Bappenas, 2020 and Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Note: NA = Not Available



Indicator 12.8.1.(a) Number of Formal Education Units and Institutions/Communities Categorized as having Environmentally Friendly Cultures, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Adiwiyata Green School Indonesia	643	706	536	396	434

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 12.8.1.(b). Number of Public Facilities which are Implementing Community Service Standard and registered, 2020

DISAGGREGATION	
PUBLIC FACILITY	NUMBER OF PUBLIC FACILITY
(1)	(2)
Mosque of Salman ITB	
Church of Santa Odilia	
H. Juanda Forest Park	
Sudirman Station	
Klender Station	
Juramangu Station	6 Units

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021

Indicator 12.c.1* Amount of fossil-fuel subsidies per unit of GDP, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	0.52	0.35	0.34	0.65	0.53	0.31

Source: Kemenkeu, 2021 and BPS, 2021 (processed data)



GOAL 13

CLIMATE ACTION

Indicator 13.1.1* Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Number of deaths. missing persons per 100.000 population	0.17	0.29	0.17	1.98	0.18	0.15 (without COVID-19)
						8.34 (with COVID-19)
Number of victims injured per 100.000 population	0.18	1.09	0.42	8.01	1.25	0.20
Number of displaced persons per 100.000 population	480.67	1,222.43	1,403.02	3,930.78	1,935.31	2387.62

Source: BPS - Statistics Indonesia, 2020 and BNPB 2021 (processed data)

Indicator 13.1.3* Proportion of local governments that adopt and implement local disaster risk reduction strategies in line with national disaster risk reduction strategies, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	15.76	18.68	27.24	32.88	34.43	35.60

Source: BNPB, 2020 (processed data)



Indicator 13.2.2* Total greenhouse gas emissions per year, 2015-2019 (Mt CO₂-equivalent)

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	2,374	1,336	1,354	1,637	1,866
SECTOR (tCO₂e)					
FOLU	1,565,579	507,652	488,517	723,510	924,852
Agriculture	117,160	122,185	127,503	110,055	108,598
Energy	536,306	538,025	562,244	595,665	638,808
IPPU	49,297	55,307	53,395	59,262	60,175
Waste	106,061	112,352	120,191	127,077	134,119

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

**Indicator 13.2.2.(a). Potential reduction of greenhouse gas emissions
2015-2019 (t CO₂-equivalent)**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Business as Usual (BAU) Emission (cumulative)	9,406,600	11,170,000	13,030,000	14,983,000	16,942,000
Potential reduction of emission (cumulative)	1,638,632	2,250,989	2,661,043	3,572,690	3,974,513
Percentage of reduction of emission	17.42	20.15	20.42	23.84	23.46

Source: Bappenas, 2020 and Bappenas, 2020a

**Indicator 13.2.2.(b). Potential reduction on the intensity of greenhouse gas emissions
2015-2019 (t CO₂-equivalent/Rupiah)**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	516	358	424	295	420

Source: Bappenas, 2020a

Indicator 13.3.1.(a) Number of Cared and Environmental Cultured Formal Education Units and Institutions/Communities, 2015-2019


DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Adiwiyata Green School of Indonesia	643	706	536	396	434

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 13.a.1.(a) Amount of Public Funds (Budget Tagging) for Marking Climate Change, 2018-2020: Allocation and Realization of Low Carbon Development Budgets (IDR Trillion)

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR		
	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Allocation	19	21	14
Realization	17	16	11
Percentage	89.5	76.2	78.6

Source: Bappenas, 2020a



GOAL 14

LIFE BELOW WATER

Indicator 14.1.1.(a) Percentage of Reduction of Waste Disposed of into the Sea, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
Waste Disposed of into the Sea (gr/m2)	2,964.68	1,772.70
Percentage of Reduction		40.20

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b (processed data)

Indicator 14.1.1.(a) Percentage of Reduction of Waste Disposed of into the Sea, 2019-2020: Amount of Waste Disposed to the Sea in 2019-2020 (gr/m2)

PROVINCE	DISTRICT/CITY	YEAR	
		2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Aceh	Banda Aceh City	275.79	59.02
North Sumatera	Serdang Bedagai District	1.49	NA
West Sumatera	Padang City	224.29	NA
Riau Islands	Bintan District	46.21	57.03
Bangka Belitung Islands	Pangkal Pinang City	NA	NA
	Belitung Timur District	35.31	NA
Lampung	Pesawaran District	65.48	33.33
Banten	Pandeglang District	NA	NA
	Kab. Tangerang District *)	181.16	NA
	Kab. Tangerang District *)	70.35	NA
West Java	Indramayu District	278.26	NA
	Sukabumi District	24.25	37.66
DKI Jakarta	Adm. Kep. Seribu District	87.42	NA
Central Java	Jepara District	39.31	NA
	Semarang City	NA	176.28
DI Yogyakarta	Bantul District	21.6	34.11
East Java	Surabaya City	NA	NA
	Banyuwangi District	28.68	31.63
Bali	Badung District	NA	121.01

DISAGGREGATION		YEAR	
PROVINCE	DISTRICT/CITY	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
West Nusa Tenggara	Lombok Utara District	14.22	NA
East Nusa Tenggara	Manggarai Barat District	39.53	106.19
West Kalimantan	Singkawang District	19.16	58.72
	Bengkayang District	62.28	131.09
East Kalimantan	Balikpapan	268.35	NA
	Berau District	51.47	NA
North Kalimantan	Tarakan City	NA	NA
North Sulawesi	Manado City	197.18	404.30
Gorontalo	Gorontalo City	49.28	108.83
Central Sulawesi	Palu City	357.64	255.20
	Tojo Una-Una District	NA	NA
South Sulawesi	Makassar City	379.76	121.00
	Selayar District	NA	NA
Maluku	Ambon City	127.94	32.73
West Papua	Manokwari City	18.27	4.56
TOTAL		2.964.68	1.772.70
NATIONAL AVERAGE		114.03	104.28

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Note: *) held twice a year as a time series

NA = Not Available

Indicator 14.4.1* Proportion of fish stocks within biologically sustainable levels, 2017-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2017	2018	2019	2020	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
INDONESIA	65.79	66.79	69.58	71.14	

Source: Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2021 and Ministerial Decree KP No.50 KEPMEN-KP 2017
(processed data)



**Indicator 14.5.1* Coverage of protected areas in relation to marine areas, 2015-2020
(million hectares)**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	17.30	17.98	19.15	20.87	23.14	24.11

Source: Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2021

Indicator 14.6.1.(a) Percentage of Compliance of Business Actors, 2019-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)
INDONESIA	93.57	94.76

Source: Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2021

Indicator 14.b.1.(b) Number of Protected Fishermen, 2019 (People)

DISAGGREGATION	2019
	(1)
INDONESIA	150,000

Source: Bappenas, 2020



GOAL 15

LIFE ON LAND

Indicator 15.1.1* Forest area as a proportion of total land area, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	50.78	50.45	50.18	49.80	50.10

Source: Bappenas, 2020 and Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 15.1.2.(a) Area of High Conservation Value (HCV), 2019-2020 (Hectares)

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)
INDONESIA	28,130,662.19	33,712,225.12

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 15.2.1.(a) Number of Forest Management Unit (FMUs) that are included in the Sustainable Category, 2020

DISAGGREGATION	2020
(1)	(2)
Number of Production Forest Management Units that are included in the Sustainable Category	10
Number of Protected Forest Management Units that are included in Sustainable Category	14
TOTAL	24

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b



Indicator 15.3.1* Proportion of land that is degraded over total land area, 2019

DISAGGREGATION (1)	2019	
	(2)	(3)
INDONESIA		7.46

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2019 and Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2020 (processed data)

Total Indicator 15.4.1* Coverage by protected areas of important sites for mountain biodiversity, 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR 2020	
	NUMBER OF AREAS (2)	AREA COVERAGE (HA) (3)
FUNCTION OF AREA		
Nature Preserve (<i>Cagar Alam</i>)	36	1,532,824.72
Nature Reserve Areas/Nature Conservation Areas (<i>Kawasan Suaka Alam/Kawasan Pelestarian Alam</i>)	3	19,771.23
Wildlife reserve (<i>Suaka Margasatwa</i>)	5	846,144.55
Hunting Park (<i>Taman Buru</i>)	1	7,271.00
National Parks (<i>Taman Nasional</i>)	11	1,665,084.24
Nature Tourism Park (<i>Taman Wisata Alam</i>)	18	62,971.34
TOTAL	74	4,134,067.08

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b

Indicator 15.4.2*. Mountain Green Cover Index, 2017 and 2019

YEAR	LAND COVER	FOREST AREA						OTHER AREAS OF USE	TOTAL		
		HK	HL	HPT	HP	TOTAL	HPK	TOTAL			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
2017	Primary dryland forest (<i>Hutan lahan kering primer</i>)	11,031.5	13,685.4	7,966.4	3,886.4	36,569.6	1,723.9	38,293.5	1,203.1	39,496.6	
	Secondary dryland forest (<i>Hutan lahan kering sekunder</i>)	3,123.9	7,525.3	10,566.2	7,501.4	28,716.7	3,045.1	31,761.9	4,194.0	35,955.9	
	Shrubs (<i>Semak/Belukar</i>)	819.0	1,737.0	1,900.0	3,243.7	7,699.7	1,046.9	8,746.6	6,458.9	15,205.5	
	Dry land farming Mix shrubs (<i>Pertanian lahan Kering Campur semak</i>)	740.4	1,887.4	1,733.9	2,613.0	6,974.7	1,638.7	8,613.4	16,286.2	24,899.6	
	TOTAL 2017	15,714.8	24,835.1	22,166.4	17,244.	5	79,960.8	7,454.6	28,415.4	28,142.1	115,557.5
2019	Primary dryland forest (<i>Hutan lahan kering primer</i>)	10,930.6	14,306.1	14,306.1	3,974.1	37,295.4	1,774.8	39,070.2	1,193.5	40,263.8	
	Secondary dryland forest (<i>Hutan lahan kering sekunder/bekas tabangan</i>)	3,157.3	6,094	6,909.4	7,058.5	27,571.5	2,889.2	30,460.7	3,846.9	34,307.6	
	Shrubs (<i>Semak Belukar</i>)	815.2	1,402.8	1,402.8	2,638.1	6,492.1	999.3	7,428.4	5,235.2	12,663.6	
	Dry land agriculture Mix bush / mixed garden (<i>Pertanian lahan Kering Campur semak/kebum campur</i>)	680.7	2,162.6	2,162.6	3,002.8	7,826.5	1,638.9	9,465.4	16,832.1	26,297.5	
	Total 2019	15,583.7	24,781.0	22,084.4	16,673.	4	79,122.5	7,302.2	86,424.7	27,107.7	113,532.4
	Mountain Green Cover Index	99.17	99.78	99.63	96.69	98.95	97.96	98.87	96.32	98.25	

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b (processed data)

Note:

- HK: Conservation Forest; HL: Protected Forest; HPT: Limited Production Forest; HPK: Convertible Production Forest
- Baseline year is 2017 (2017=100)

**Indicator 15.5.1*. Red-List index, 2014-2019**

ANIMAL	BASELINE 2014 (NUMBER)	2019 (NUMBER)	REDUCTION/ INCREASE (NUMBER)	INDEKS
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Sumatran tiger	71	122	51	171.83
Sumatran elephant	313	344	31	109.90
Rhino	77	90	13	116.88
Bull	277	321	44	115.88
Owa	431	1,236	805	0.29
Orangutan	1,441	2,408	967	167.11
Proboscis monkey	1,957	2,892	935	147.78
Komodo dragons	5,933	2,932	-3,001	49.42
Bali starling	147	105	-42	71.43
Maleo	6,397	2,816	-3,581	44.02
Hog deer	822	551	-271	67.03
Anoa	513	285	-228	55.56
Eagle	65	108	43	166.15
Cockatoo	1,188	12,042	10,854	1013.64
Javan leopard	7	49	42	700.00
Bawean deer	275	304	29	110.55
Paradise	66	93	27	140.91
Surili	15	27	12	180.00
Tarsius	82	108	26	131.71
Sulawesi Black Monkey	319	469	150	147.02
Sumba hornbills	30	92	62	306.67
Purple Neck Kasturi	8	12	4	150.00
Turtle	7,036	7,467	431	106.13
Tree Kangaroo	10	2	-8	20.00
Celepuk Rinjani	27	263	236	974.07
TOTAL	3,578,952	3,376,793	14,206,418	
RED-LIST INDEX				94.35

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021b (processed data)

Note: Baseline year is 2014 (2014=100)

Indicator 15.7.1.(a). Number of Cases of Illegal Hunting or Trade of Wild Plants and Animals, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	43	51	55	41	65	46

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021a

Note: The number of cases of illegal TSL hunting and trafficking that have been declared as P-21 by the Attorney General P-21

Indicator 15.c.1(a). Number of Cases of Illegal Hunting or Trade of Wild Plants and Animals, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	43	51	55	41	65	46

Source: Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021a

Note: The number of cases of illegal TSL hunting and trafficking that have been declared as P-21 by the Attorney General P-21



GOAL 16

PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS

Indicator 16.1.1.(a) Number of Homicide Cases in the Previous Year, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Indonesia	873	1,491	1,292	1,150	1,024	964	898

Source: Operations Control Bureau, Indonesian National Police

Indicator 16.1.2.(a) Conflict-related deaths per 100,000 population, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Indonesia	0.00833	0.00704	0.00619	0.00497	0.00568	0.00487	0.00481

Source: Operations Control Bureau, Indonesian National Police (processed data)

Indicator 16.1.3.(a) Proportion of population subjected to physical violence, in the previous 12 months, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015 (2)	2016 (3)	2017 (4)	2018 (5)	2019 (6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05
North Sumatera	0.03	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.03
West Sumatera	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.06
Riau	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.03
Jambi	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.06
South Sumatera	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.11
Bengkulu	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.09
Lampung	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.09
Bangka Belitung Islands	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.03
Riau Islands	0.04	0.19	0.10	0.06	0.03
DKI Jakarta	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.11
West Java	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.06
Central Java	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.04
DI Yogyakarta	0.08	0.07	0.18	0.16	0.09
East Java	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07
Banten	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.04
Bali	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02
West Nusa Tenggara	0.11	0.09	0.20	0.08	0.08
East Nusa Tenggara	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.07
West Kalimantan	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03
Central Kalimantan	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05
South Kalimantan	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.03
East Kalimantan	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.06
North Kalimantan	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.01	0.02
North Sulawesi	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.06
Central Sulawesi	0.03	0.10	0.23	0.12	0.08
South Sulawesi	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.03
Southeast Sulawesi	0.10	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.04
Gorontalo	0.09	0.17	0.13	0.05	0.04
West Sulawesi	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.05	0
Maluku	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.18	0.08
North Maluku	0.03	0.08	0.19	0.05	0.10
West Papua	0.18	0.21	0.27	0.25	0.29
Papua	0.16	0.20	0.30	0.23	0.12
INDONESIA	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.06
SEX					
Female	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.05
Male	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.07
DISABILITY STATUS					
Disable	NA	NA	0.12	0.11	0.08
Non-disable	NA	NA	0.10	0.08	0.06
AGE GROUP					
Children (0-17 years)	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03
Adolescent(10-19 years)	0.09	0.15	0.19	0.12	0.12
Youth (16-30 years)	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.11
Elderly (+ 60 years)	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.02

Source: SUSENAS, Social Resilience Module, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note: The data of 2020 will be released on the second semester of 2021



INDICATOR 16.1.4* Proportion of population that feel safe walking alone around the area they live, 2014, 2017 dan 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR		
	2014	2017	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
PROVINCE			
Aceh	75.37	50.93	61.17
North Sumatera	73.96	50.62	53.94
West Sumatera	68.47	43.32	57.76
Riau	69.66	51.15	64.80
Jambi	73.08	54.90	65.56
South Sumatera	69.00	52.35	70.82
Bengkulu	78.12	66.47	67.42
Lampung	73.16	58.75	71.70
Bangka Belitung Islands	73.49	47.80	65.91
Riau Islands	76.53	71.43	73.49
DKI Jakarta	74.32	50.57	40.17
West Java	69.10	43.72	51.78
Central Java	81.21	63.50	74.56
DI Yogyakarta	77.45	64.62	74.87
East Java	76.69	58.18	65.95
Banten	61.42	42.24	55.29
Bali	77.06	73.43	81.90
West Nusa Tenggara	70.89	52.71	67.27
East Nusa Tenggara	67.25	46.47	64.03
West Kalimantan	76.43	54.74	73.07
Central Kalimantan	75.07	46.04	69.59
South Kalimantan	76.14	59.02	64.62
East Kalimantan	76.86	55.42	58.95
North Kalimantan	NA	56.58	63.72
North Sulawesi	71.78	52.05	59.99
Central Sulawesi	72.00	50.43	53.25
South Sulawesi	75.16	48.28	64.53
Southeast Sulawesi	72.45	58.24	70.08
Gorontalo	62.80	34.28	51.72
West Sulawesi	80.46	66.10	77.03
Maluku	74.08	55.08	64.93
North Maluku	81.68	58.92	68.03
West Papua	69.79	46.95	58.40
Papua	64.72	58.69	69.31
INDONESIA	73.57	53.32	62.62
SEX			
Female	65.96	52.28	61.95
Male	83.47	54.08	63.28
AGE GROUP			
Children (0-17 years)	52.30	52.03	61.27
Adolescent(10-19 years)	63.39	53.03	63.73
Youth (16-30 years)	66.51	51.93	62.31
Elderly (60+ years)	75.75	56.20	64.18

Source: SUSENAS, Social Resilience Module, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note: The survey is conducted in every 3 years

Indicator 16.2.1.(a) Proportion of household with children aged 1–17 years who experienced any physical punishment and/or psychological aggression by caregivers in the past month, 2014 and 2020

DISAGGREGATION (1)	YEAR	
	2014 (2)	2020 (3)
PROVINCE		
Aceh	53.49	46.74
North Sumatera	64.20	64.79
West Sumatera	50.92	52.72
Riau	62.24	46.56
Jambi	41.91	41.75
South Sumatera	56.16	51.08
Bengkulu	57.95	57.85
Lampung	48.31	47.13
Bangka Belitung Islands	58.70	69.56
Riau Islands	44.80	52.97
DKI Jakarta	47.35	33.81
West Java	51.13	45.66
Central Java	51.18	45.12
DI Yogyakarta	40.93	52.25
East Java	54.59	47.03
Banten	55.59	36.27
Bali	56.23	43.09
West Nusa Tenggara	56.65	63.66
East Nusa Tenggara	75.98	81.04
West Kalimantan	59.49	42.75
Central Kalimantan	46.94	46.50
South Kalimantan	47.59	37.28
East Kalimantan	51.24	50.59
North Kalimantan	NA	55.79
North Sulawesi	70.14	55.04
Central Sulawesi	68.57	51.34
South Sulawesi	61.62	51.31
Southeast Sulawesi	68.00	48.17
Gorontalo	57.16	44.49
West Sulawesi	65.94	49.42
Maluku	74.47	60.36
North Maluku	69.12	64.48
West Papua	75.55	70.94
Papua	70.13	59.65
INDONESIA	54.80	48.40
AREA OF RESIDENCE		
Rural	57.47	51.77
Urban	52.00	45.67

Source: SUSENAS, Social Resilience Module, BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note:

- Data from SUSENAS 2014 is for children aged 1-14 years
- Data from SUSENAS 2020 is for children aged 1-17 years (Started in 2020, and will be collected in every 3 years)



Indicator 16.2.1.(b) Proportion of children aged 13–17 years who have experienced violence in their Life, 2013 and 2018

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR & SEX			
	2013		2018	
	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Type of Violence: Sexual (with contact)	8.3	4.1		
Urban			5.4	10.4
Rural			7.3	9.5
Type of Violence: Sexual (Non-contact)				
Urban	29	11.8	6.6	5.9
Rural			7.3	4.7
Type of Violence: Physical				
Urban	29	11.8	33.6	19.6
Rural			39.4	19.1
Type of Violence: Emotional				
Urban	12.6	9.4	50.1	59.6
Rural			54.7	57.2
INDONESIA			61.7	62.0

Source: Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection (KPPPA) and BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note:

- Data for 2013 based on Violence Against Children Survey (SKTA), Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection
- Data for 2018 based on National Survey on Child and Youth Life Experience (SNPHAR), Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection and BPS – Statistics Indonesia. SNPHAR was firstly implemented in 2018 and will be carried out every 3 years

Indicator 16.2.3.(a) Proportion of Young Female and Male Ages 18-24 Years Experiencing Sexual Violence Before the Age of 18 Years, 2018

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR & SEX	
	2018	
	Male	Female
(1)	(2)	(3)
Type of Violence: Sexual (with contact)		
Urban	3.6	9.8
Rural	2.8	10.7
Type of Violence: Sexual (Non-contact)		
Urban	5.6	6.8
Rural	3.8	3.7
INDONESIA	3.1	10.4

Source: National Survey on Child and Youth Life Experience (SNPHAR), Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection and BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note:

- SNPHAR was firstly implemented in 2018 and will be carried out every 3 years

Indicator 16.3.1(a) Proportion of victims of violence in the last 12 months reporting to the police, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	18.52	13.29	20.34	50.37	49.99	18.52
North Sumatera	15.11	16.51	35.05	54.99	40.09	15.11
West Sumatera	26.86	20.69	36.66	55.42	34.76	26.86
Riau	19.64	23.03	23.15	22.64	13.62	19.64
Jambi	21.67	17.99	65.77	56.42	32.2	21.67
South Sumatera	17.59	21.24	55.51	59.59	44.79	17.59
Bengkulu	13.73	19.55	70.45	76.04	49.28	13.73
Lampung	16.11	18.07	54.61	30.51	61.22	16.11
Bangka Belitung Islands	16.19	21.93	51.40	84.95	40.36	16.19
Riau Islands	18.81	20.07	4.43	92.29	100	18.81
DKI Jakarta	30.37	24.22	32.14	62.04	14.76	30.37
West Java	19.68	22.05	45.35	48.70	46.82	19.68
Central Java	19.03	16.52	45.76	34.64	25.1	19.03
DI Yogyakarta	18.55	32.72	48.26	24.66	15.46	18.55
East Java	17.81	15.76	56.92	42.00	23.02	17.81
Banten	19.64	32.92	48.61	48.76	65.38	19.64
Bali	15.35	10.25	48.40	0.00	57.57	15.35
West Nusa Tenggara	12.00	9.35	34.52	28.98	28.19	12.00
East Nusa Tenggara	11.22	13.43	42.67	66.22	46.00	11.22
West Kalimantan	20.98	18.72	61.19	62.95	65.08	20.98
Central Kalimantan	17.42	14.34	71.50	53.73	52.89	17.42
South Kalimantan	18.98	13.33	77.51	52.52	49.25	18.98
East Kalimantan	17.88	20.40	89.09	41.83	10.56	17.88
North Kalimantan	21.28	22.74	59.10	39.33	78.66	21.28
North Sulawesi	19.71	18.73	57.66	69.91	78.31	19.71
Central Sulawesi	12.29	16.66	51.07	57.00	56.02	12.29
South Sulawesi	19.19	19.46	46.43	27.13	32.00	19.19
Southeast Sulawesi	24.87	12.85	53.68	52.80	56.42	24.87
Gorontalo	11.95	12.08	46.60	46.53	27.52	11.95
West Sulawesi	9.57	10.09	0.00	34.95	0	9.57
Maluku	12.30	11.60	45.35	73.38	54.75	12.30
North Maluku	18.83	10.06	58.17	43.26	53.53	18.83
West Papua	25.40	21.51	64.55	61.17	58.54	25.40
Papua	14.76	10.07	38.46	29.77	35.00	14.76
INDONESIA	18.73	18.90	46.37	46.41	37.50	18.73
SEX						
Male	19.96	20.50	52.02	50.63	41.41	19.96
Female	16.39	16.23	39.90	41.67	32.25	16.39
AGE GROUP						
Children (0-17 years)	15.43	11.78	40.23	47.65	48.66	15.43
Adolescent (10-19 years)	15.17	26.26	41.66	32.22	28.84	15.17
Youth (16-30 years)	21.76	23.19	49.13	44.79	31.39	21.76
Elderly (60 +)	12.58	12.93	48.73	47.27	51.44	12.58

Source: National Survey of Social and Economy (SUSENAS), BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 16.3.1.(b) Percentage of poor people receiving litigation and non-litigation legal aid, 2016-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Litigation	49	81	86	77	89
Non-litigation	74	70	86	80	77

Source: National Legal Development Agency (BPHN), Ministry of Law and Human Rights

Indicator 16.3.1.(c) Percentage of poor people receiving legal services in the form of legal aid posts, sessions outside the court building, and court fee waivers, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Sessions outside the court building	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
court fee waivers	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	73%
Legal aid posts	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: Supreme Court of Republic of Indonesia (*Mahkamah Agung*)

Indicator 16.3.2.(a) Proportion of prisoners who have exceeded the period of detention to the total number of detainees, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019*	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	3.22	2.94	3.34	3.63	1.68	3.00

Source: Directorate General of Corrections (smslap.ditjenpas.go.id) - Ministry of Law and Human Rights

Note:

*) revision data and the number are without rounding



Indicator 16.3.3.(a) Access to Justice Index, 2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2019	
(1)	(2)	
INDONESIA	69.6	

Source: Directorate of Law and Regulation - Ministry of National Development Planning / Bappenas

Note:

The calculation of the Access to Justice Index was carried out for the first time in 2019 and is calculated every 3 years. • Currently, the current instrument is in the development stage and will be recalculated in 2021

Indicator 16.5.1(a) Anti-Corruption Behaviour Index, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	3.59	NA	3.71	3.66	3.70	3.84
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	3.71	NA	3.86	3.81	3.86	3.87
Rural	3.46	NA	3.53	3.47	3.49	3.81
AGE GROUP						
Under 40 years	3.59	NA	3.71	3.65	3.66	3.85
40-59 years	3.62	NA	3.74	3.7	3.73	3.84
59 +	3.49	NA	3.62	3.56	3.66	3.82
EDUCATION LEVEL						
Below Senior High School level	3.49	NA	3.58	3.53	3.57	3.80
Senior secondary level	3.8	NA	3.99	3.94	3.94	3.88
Tertiary education	4.00	NA	4.09	4.02	4.05	3.97

Source: Anti-Corruption Behaviour Survey (SPAK) - BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 16.6.1* Primary government expenditures as a proportion of original approved budget, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	94.69	91.05	89.5	94.1	99.7	93.83	94.55

Source: Directorate General of Budget, Ministry of Finance

Indicator 16.6.1(a) Percentage of Government Agencies Receiving Fair Opinions, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
NATIONAL (Ministries and Agencies)	65	84	91	94	97
PROVINCE	85	91	97	94	100
CITY	65	77	86	90	94
DISTRICT	54	66	72	79	88

Source: Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia (BPK)

Indicator 16.6.1(b) Percentage of Government Agencies with Government Agencies Performance Accountability System Score (SAKIP) ≥ B, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
National level (ministries/institutions)	76.62	85.00	93.9	92.77	94.12	94.05
Provincial level	50.00	65.00	85.29	94.12	97.06	97.06
District level	2.38	14.53	35.40	46.85	57.28	62.80

Source: Minister of State Apparatus Empowerment and Bureaucratic Reform

**Indicator 16.6.1(c) Percentage of Government Agencies with Bureaucratic Reform Index
(RB) ≥ B, 2016-2019**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
National level ministries/institutions	77.53	91.36	95.36	93.98	95.29	96.39
Provincial level	8.82	27.00	47.06	70.59	73.53	88.24
District level	0.97	4.31	5.29	11.22	25.20	24.80

Source: Minister of State Apparatus Empowerment and Bureaucratic Reform

Indicator 16.6.2.(a) Number of government agencies with good category in public service compliance levels, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
National level (ministries/institutions)	52.50% (11 out of 25 Ministries and 10 out of 15 Institutions)	35.00% (5 out of 14 Ministries and 2 out of 6 Institutions)	46.15% (5 out of 9 Ministries and 1 out of 4 Institutions)	28.57% (2 out of 4 Ministries and 0 out of 3 Institutions)
Provincial level	39.39% (13 out of 33 province)	27.27% (6 out of 22 province)	62.5% (10 out of 16 province)	33.33% (2 out of 6 province)
City level	29.09% (16 out of 55 cities)	33.33% (15 out of 45 cities)	36.73% (18 out of 49 cities)	33.33% (12 out of 36 cities)
District level	17.65% (15 out of 85 districts)	12.15% (13 out of 107 districts)	31.66% (63 out of 199 districts)	33.02% (71 out of 215 districts)

Source: Ombudsman Indonesia



Indicator 16.7.1.(a) Percentage of women's representation in the Houses of Representative (DPR) and the Regional People's Representative Council (DPRD), 2014 and 2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR	
	2014	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)
DPR (National)	17.32	20.52
DPRD (Province)	34.00	17.53
DPRD (District/City)	14.00	15.72

Source: General Election Commissions (KPU)

Note:

Calculation of this indicator based on the period of the legislative elections, which is every 5 years

Indicator 16.7.1.(b) Percentage of Female Representation as Decision Making in Executive Institutions (Echelon I and II), 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Echelon I	20.66	15.67	17.98	15.21	16.58	16.60
Echelon II	16.39	13.04	13.41	13.06	13.09	13.49

Source: National Civil Service Agency (BKN)

Indicator 16.7.2.(a) Democratic Institutions Capacity Index, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	64.97	60.33	61.47	77.67	79.08
North Sumatera	63.52	56.13	68.87	51.69	71.12
West Sumatera	82.01	58.82	92.72	88.52	92.21
Riau	63.80	62.34	73.41	89.47	84.19
Jambi	77.72	54.58	77.27	72.92	69.21
South Sumatera	61.00	66.53	69.03	76.01	72.23
Bengkulu	75.61	77.01	74.03	74.13	68.63
Lampung	62.74	64.31	77.00	72.35	79.22
Bangka Belitung Islands	69.60	80.20	72.89	63.76	75.48
Riau Islands	66.13	59.48	65.82	66.90	73.10
DKI Jakarta	83.26	63.19	87.12	87.82	91.89
West Java	51.37	49.79	59.16	54.80	70.75
Central Java	61.48	66.69	78.82	75.42	90.50
DI Yogyakarta	82.38	86.37	73.55	77.66	78.25
East Java	81.39	63.63	76.57	75.97	80.55
Banten	68.66	60.99	74.98	79.40	78.01
Bali	65.31	71.18	76.85	89.55	90.42
West Nusa Tenggara	88.36	71.13	93.98	86.11	91.16
East Nusa Tenggara	70.73	66.46	79.39	84.28	68.62
West Kalimantan	67.95	64.54	60.85	73.08	68.74
Central Kalimantan	67.05	68.43	82.50	80.65	79.32
South Kalimantan	83.17	72.89	88.79	88.37	82.67
East Kalimantan	63.99	60.36	68.72	72.22	82.17
North Kalimantan	52.05	64.48	78.93	68.95	80.46
North Sulawesi	72.53	60.62	62.54	76.86	75.82
Central Sulawesi	66.53	68.76	66.44	86.72	91.68
South Sulawesi	71.84	70.86	72.23	79.75	81.34
Southeast Sulawesi	61.99	74.66	69.74	78.16	66.90
Gorontalo	81.81	74.42	68.73	76.26	76.70
West Sulawesi	62.37	64.47	71.39	77.45	83.60
Maluku	57.43	70.13	76.15	72.32	64.55
North Maluku	47.25	67.59	54.02	78.92	58.11
West Papua	51.81	53.85	63.14	57.21	53.23
Papua	50.87	53.45	56.91	57.05	52.61
INDONESIA	66.87	62.05	72.49	75.25	78.73

Source: Indonesia Democracy Index (IDI), BPS – Statistics Indonesia (BPS) and Coordinating Ministry for Political, Law, Defense and Security Affairs

Note:

This indicator was previously known as the Democratic Institution Index. In calculating the Indonesian Democracy Index, starting in 2020 and based on the Second edition of National SDGs Metadata, the name of this indicator was changed into the Democratic Capacity Index.



Indicator 16.7.2.(b) Freedom Aspect Index, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	74.81	92.92	87.27	96.79	93.28
North Sumatera	82.02	82.71	74.75	76.54	72.54
West Sumatera	52.99	51.01	61.66	55.32	56.58
Riau	66.46	71.78	82.03	86.88	85.15
Jambi	75.89	84.39	86.81	72.88	76.67
South Sumatera	96.06	91.17	90.83	83.13	80.54
Bengkulu	78.50	85.14	88.51	78.77	93.98
Lampung	71.99	60.49	74.12	77.29	78.46
Bangka Belitung Islands	81.25	87.65	83.07	80.95	84.12
Riau Islands	80.16	85.43	90.84	91.05	92.66
DKI Jakarta	89.64	81.11	87.73	95.09	91.01
West Java	79.10	73.37	73.61	74.90	65.16
Central Java	79.44	66.06	69.07	76.21	78.43
DI Yogyakarta	90.41	90.00	93.25	90.60	92.90
East Java	85.26	73.73	78.98	77.21	72.14
Banten	74.28	83.47	85.16	86.59	83.83
Bali	94.42	96.94	95.51	94.72	90.60
West Nusa Tenggara	51.59	65.06	79.40	78.28	78.36
East Nusa Tenggara	93.19	96.25	79.69	94.00	93.97
West Kalimantan	96.81	83.29	97.15	93.87	92.59
Central Kalimantan	85.07	84.98	95.58	90.09	86.31
South Kalimantan	54.15	61.04	60.16	69.26	68.01
East Kalimantan	93.07	78.25	83.33	90.99	88.50
North Kalimantan	98.10	100.00	98.57	97.36	96.60
North Sulawesi	86.71	96.31	90.87	88.90	95.19
Central Sulawesi	94.60	80.39	90.04	91.90	96.19
South Sulawesi	69.38	75.54	77.48	72.44	68.32
Southeast Sulawesi	91.14	88.07	77.66	79.77	80.31
Gorontalo	81.35	82.35	85.30	79.33	75.17
West Sulawesi	81.88	82.89	73.56	87.41	81.58
Maluku	76.04	87.17	81.78	81.38	87.06
North Maluku	73.53	92.27	90.19	78.94	85.61
West Papua	92.33	93.67	92.42	82.11	70.35
Papua	82.72	92.15	89.09	84.36	93.08
INDONESIA	80.30	76.45	78.75	78.46	77.20

Source: Indonesia Democracy Index (IDI), BPS – Statistics Indonesia (BPS) and Coordinating Ministry for Political, Law, Defense and Security Affairs

Note:

This indicator was previously known as the Civil Liberty Index. In calculating the Indonesian Democracy Index, starting in 2020 and based on the Second edition of National SDGs Metadata, the name of this indicator was changed into to the Freedom Aspect Index.

Indicator 16.7.2.(c) Equality Index, 2015-2019

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
PROVINCE					
Aceh	63.98	63.94	63.94	68.09	65.22
North Sumatera	62.17	62.29	62.29	62.61	61.59
West Sumatera	69.77	54.33	61.08	62.84	61.06
Riau	66.61	77.98	66.57	62.77	61.68
Jambi	62.12	65.63	62.08	62.76	64.63
South Sumatera	78.79	81.94	63.90	73.12	81.95
Bengkulu	68.45	63.84	59.42	62.18	73.17
Lampung	63.19	59.32	67.20	59.53	63.70
Bangka Belitung Islands	66.95	81.09	82.30	73.56	71.71
Riau Islands	65.01	71.28	71.45	77.54	78.30
DKI Jakarta	83.19	67.54	80.86	75.43	83.86
West Java	81.89	72.34	71.02	64.78	71.15
Central Java	67.28	67.24	67.24	66.92	67.91
DI Yogyakarta	77.98	81.59	82.31	75.07	72.51
East Java	67.44	76.49	60.98	67.45	80.25
Banten	63.72	68.30	63.87	60.11	60.29
Bali	77.42	69.60	66.80	68.08	68.38
West Nusa Tenggara	61.11	62.08	62.08	62.08	66.14
East Nusa Tenggara	71.69	81.68	69.75	71.85	78.58
West Kalimantan	65.57	75.70	76.36	64.01	71.45
Central Kalimantan	68.31	70.66	56.69	50.46	78.24
South Kalimantan	85.77	83.58	81.09	83.03	86.52
East Kalimantan	82.74	78.35	67.18	61.38	66.27
North Kalimantan	83.65	66.64	68.54	75.80	74.92
North Sulawesi	77.92	70.42	72.12	69.53	63.54
Central Sulawesi	68.85	67.89	55.88	54.94	53.21
South Sulawesi	64.25	61.51	64.58	64.05	65.61
Southeast Sulawesi	56.95	55.51	60.49	67.59	52.18
Gorontalo	69.97	75.54	68.18	64.95	76.93
West Sulawesi	61.16	69.02	60.84	55.05	70.22
Maluku	63.20	76.18	74.85	72.86	55.62
North Maluku	61.00	61.79	65.84	62.39	64.86
West Papua	39.48	38.05	39.05	40.11	50.31
Papua	41.81	41.13	42.16	47.90	51.16
INDONESIA	70.63	70.11	66.63	65.79	70.71

Source: Indonesia Democracy Index (IDI), BPS – Statistics Indonesia (BPS) and Coordinating Ministry for Political, Law, Defense and Security Affairs

Note:

This indicator was previously known as the Political Rights Index. In calculating the Indonesian Democracy Index, starting in 2020 and based on the Second edition of National SDGs Metadata, the name of this indicator was changed into the Equality Index.



Indicator 16.8.1.(a) Number of Membership and Contribution in International Forums and Organizations, 2016-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Number of International Institutions	233	240	240	200	200
Amount of Contribution (in billion rupiah)	541	548	537	780	533

Source: Directorate General of Multilateral Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Indicator 16.9.1* Proportion of children under 5 years of age whose births were registered by the civil registration office, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	70.37	70.64	78.10	76.12	81.68	84.74
North Sumatera	54.35	55.05	55.13	54.51	58.06	62.44
West Sumatera	64.18	65.55	68.01	73.07	74.94	77.38
Riau	64.85	63.87	64.08	60.51	62.66	68.06
Jambi	81.76	81.15	81.34	80.86	80.81	83.63
South Sumatera	79.65	78.97	77.20	77.59	76.15	79.36
Bengkulu	81.61	78.99	81.06	79.26	81.51	83.27
Lampung	76.10	77.49	75.48	76.52	78.19	81.02
Bangka Belitung Islands	90.43	88.31	88.63	88.34	86.25	89.12
Riau Islands	88.64	90.18	86.14	82.93	81.62	83.52
DKI Jakarta	91.46	92.62	94.78	90.53	94.56	93.85
West Java	74.22	73.75	73.18	72.17	72.80	75.24
Central Java	87.05	87.18	88.67	87.61	89.47	90.97
DI Yogyakarta	92.98	94.15	93.52	93.84	95.24	95.78
East Java	79.08	77.35	79.09	76.57	79.36	83.04
Banten	66.77	69.60	69.74	66.35	70.83	73.39
Bali	70.96	71.77	75.17	77.33	80.50	82.61
West Nusa Tenggara	55.79	57.78	58.38	59.87	62.77	68.96
East Nusa Tenggara	33.80	30.71	31.55	32.89	34.12	36.77
West Kalimantan	72.25	71.09	71.18	68.78	71.24	76.04
Central Kalimantan	71.64	69.38	72.86	69.38	72.15	76.37
South Kalimantan	76.56	76.52	77.31	77.34	78.89	81.75
East Kalimantan	85.85	84.35	84.49	82.84	84.83	85.78
North Kalimantan	75.06	76.71	80.17	78.15	79.99	82.66
North Sulawesi	66.39	68.22	68.46	66.48	71.85	76.65
Central Sulawesi	46.54	45.76	49.52	53.63	58.16	66.14
South Sulawesi	70.51	68.57	69.31	71.36	75.20	78.87
Southeast Sulawesi	59.91	60.70	60.93	61.81	69.81	73.22
Gorontalo	71.18	73.71	76.84	75.95	80.97	82.29
West Sulawesi	64.51	68.12	68.16	68.28	74.92	75.67
Maluku	41.38	41.47	46.10	43.24	51.60	52.24
North Maluku	52.64	53.16	55.77	55.82	58.96	61.09
West Papua	51.81	53.15	50.68	52.40	54.49	59.78
Papua	38.41	37.54	39.81	29.53	40.53	42.02
INDONESIA	72.65	72.54	73.18	71.92	74.36	77.20
SEX						
Male	72.71	72.29	73.23	71.90	74.67	77.46
Female	72.59	72.79	73.12	71.94	74.04	76.92
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	79.99	79.45	79.98	78.23	79.12	81.46
Rural	65.46	65.34	65.72	64.58	68.54	71.97

Source: National Survey of Social and Economy (SUSENAS), BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 16.9.1.(a) Percentage of Birth Certificate Ownership for Population Aged 0-17 Years among 40% Lower Income, 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	73.44	75.23	83.25	84.44	88.35	92.31
North Sumatera	56.02	60.89	62.82	64.11	70.46	74.12
West Sumatera	68.12	68.70	73.55	81.72	83.90	86.69
Riau	66.60	68.19	68.79	68.48	70.37	75.50
Jambi	84.82	86.02	87.34	87.96	88.76	91.35
South Sumatera	81.85	84.26	82.16	85.50	85.13	88.02
Bengkulu	83.01	80.15	84.76	87.79	88.82	89.99
Lampung	78.01	79.87	84.43	84.44	87.83	89.08
Bangka Belitung Islands	90.92	91.13	91.44	93.57	92.39	93.93
Riau Islands	92.66	93.54	92.60	90.30	92.28	93.06
DKI Jakarta	92.90	94.72	96.36	95.85	96.67	97.39
West Java	67.67	70.53	72.40	73.82	76.29	79.54
Central Java	88.42	89.88	92.64	91.92	93.21	95.13
DI Yogyakarta	95.54	97.42	97.17	96.63	97.25	98.14
East Java	77.19	79.32	81.51	79.51	84.26	88.21
Banten	53.14	60.47	63.09	64.22	69.13	74.09
Bali	72.49	76.06	80.48	86.66	90.74	92.04
West Nusa Tenggara	58.06	63.84	67.22	74.48	76.52	80.31
East Nusa Tenggara	40.98	41.69	43.87	47.84	51.26	53.46
West Kalimantan	71.24	72.72	74.99	74.72	78.73	83.16
Central Kalimantan	68.63	72.18	72.61	75.38	77.76	81.88
South Kalimantan	75.70	79.49	81.11	84.21	87.01	89.00
East Kalimantan	90.36	89.26	88.32	90.00	89.37	90.45
North Kalimantan	85.75	85.44	88.33	84.69	88.85	88.59
North Sulawesi	78.89	80.05	81.50	79.22	85.21	88.56
Central Sulawesi	56.14	56.33	60.90	62.58	69.26	75.71
South Sulawesi	78.02	78.48	80.37	82.24	86.18	87.87
Southeast Sulawesi	67.12	72.93	74.35	76.44	84.82	86.03
Gorontalo	76.71	80.42	85.24	86.45	90.44	90.68
West Sulawesi	73.49	77.66	79.40	78.26	85.61	85.87
Maluku	61.00	64.19	68.88	63.69	72.14	73.92
North Maluku	61.68	62.48	66.09	65.15	71.32	75.70
West Papua	51.12	56.87	56.71	57.79	63.36	65.47
Papua	18.59	22.13	28.10	21.57	31.73	36.27
INDONESIA	71.59	74.06	76.46	77.11	80.44	83.47
SEX						
Male	71.48	73.93	76.06	76.66	80.40	83.36
Female	71.71	74.20	76.88	77.58	80.49	83.58
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	77.77	79.89	81.59	82.13	83.94	86.87
Rural	67.80	70.27	72.80	73.31	77.64	80.76

Source: National Survey of Social and Economy (SUSENAS), BPS – Statistics Indonesia

**Indicator 16.9.1.(b) Percentage of children aged 0-17 years who have birth certificates,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
PROVINCE						
Aceh	80.89	82.18	87.83	87.85	91.22	93.84
North Sumatera	65.77	69.97	71.78	72.29	76.81	80.45
West Sumatera	75.73	76.98	80.07	85.35	87.89	90.10
Riau	74.38	76.61	77.31	76.78	78.32	81.83
Jambi	89.61	89.95	91.02	90.95	91.92	93.73
South Sumatera	85.85	88.16	87.57	88.82	88.65	90.65
Bengkulu	87.92	86.47	89.14	90.66	91.85	92.77
Lampung	82.64	85.14	86.49	88.14	90.23	91.65
Bangka Belitung Islands	93.43	93.71	94.35	95.12	94.60	96.07
Riau Islands	94.48	95.58	94.40	92.95	93.53	94.71
DKI Jakarta	94.72	95.93	96.97	96.23	97.01	97.52
West Java	78.06	79.30	80.85	81.33	83.68	85.72
Central Java	91.23	92.60	94.28	93.84	95.12	96.26
DI Yogyakarta	95.98	97.30	97.29	97.40	97.95	98.36
East Java	84.31	85.79	87.10	86.18	89.06	91.62
Banten	70.48	74.73	77.29	76.61	80.45	82.82
Bali	81.51	84.53	87.45	90.49	92.72	93.85
West Nusa Tenggara	68.34	73.25	74.66	79.65	81.72	84.98
East Nusa Tenggara	53.51	53.66	56.65	58.17	61.08	63.33
West Kalimantan	79.61	81.36	81.77	81.95	85.10	87.71
Central Kalimantan	78.90	79.57	82.58	82.33	83.97	87.36
South Kalimantan	84.18	86.42	87.64	89.03	90.28	92.19
East Kalimantan	92.66	92.33	92.30	92.23	92.69	93.38
North Kalimantan	88.80	89.39	90.89	89.51	91.47	92.64
North Sulawesi	84.32	86.04	86.56	85.45	88.97	91.00
Central Sulawesi	67.02	67.21	71.53	73.69	78.02	83.37
South Sulawesi	83.92	84.86	86.06	87.04	89.35	91.06
Southeast Sulawesi	75.09	79.09	80.31	81.47	88.12	88.58
Gorontalo	83.98	86.34	89.61	90.08	92.99	93.32
West Sulawesi	79.86	82.74	84.41	84.63	89.11	89.56
Maluku	71.30	72.56	75.72	73.59	78.66	80.04
North Maluku	71.70	72.68	76.18	75.98	79.20	83.08
West Papua	67.28	70.01	70.65	70.75	75.05	77.36
Papua	38.60	40.54	44.50	36.32	45.88	50.40
INDONESIA	79.92	81.68	83.33	83.55	86.01	88.11
SEX						
Male	79.79	81.58	83.00	83.26	85.94	88.06
Female	80.06	81.80	83.67	83.85	86.08	88.16
DISABILITY STATUS						
Disable	NA	NA	NA	78.78	81.60	84.85
Non-disable	NA	NA	NA	83.60	86.04	88.13
AREA OF RESIDENCE						
Urban	86.52	87.44	88.52	88.31	89.53	91.20
Rural	73.64	75.96	77.83	78.15	81.82	84.41

Source: National Socio-Economic Survey (Susenas), BPS – Statistics Indonesia



**Indicator 16.10.1.(b) Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations,
2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Report from the Ministry of Law and Human Rights						
Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations	658	943	926	1,039	1,087	1,067
Has been followed up ¹	334	502	460	404	612	475
Not yet/Not been followed up ²	323	441	446	635	475	383
Report from the National Human Rights Commission (KOMNAS HAM)						
Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations	8,249 Documents*	7,188 Documents*	5,387 Documents*	6,098 Documents*	2,757 Complaints**	2,639 Complaints**
According to the Complaint Handling Classification						
Monitoring and Investigation	5,286	3,981	3,053	3,108	954	824
Mediation	871	709	612	643	278	245
Team (Tim)	188	396	232	151	-	-
Complaint service	1,904	2,102	1,490	2,196	1,525	1,570
According to the theme of rights						
Right to justice	3,252	2,697	1,857	1,991	888	887
Right to well-being	3,407	2,748	2,136	2,425	1,119	1,025
Right to safety	646	628	397	324	130	179
Right to life	272	230	152	199	93	67
Right to personal freedom	180	203	124	85	38	55
Right to personal development	99	82	68	67	44	31
Rights to be part of government	67	80	58	66	53	58
Child rights	57	29	16	16	7	29
Right to develop a family and reproduction	10	11	1	6	5	5
Women's right	30	36	14	21	4	34
Right to non-discrimination	10	25	3	12	3	1
Others	219	419	561	886	373	268

Source: Ministry of Law and Human Rights and National Human Rights Commission

Note:

In the report from Ministry of Law and Human Rights:

- 1) Has been followed up: The complaints are followed up with a review process to determine whether the complaint is filed or to be followed up with a recommendation letter. In addition, this includes a letter which is followed up by a letter of recommendation.
- 2) Not yet / Not Followed Up: The complaints that have not been followed up yet are complaints that have not been handled in the current year. The complaints that were not been followed up were related to problems for which there were no suspicions of human rights violations or had entered the realm of the judiciary.

In the report from Komnas HAM, there was a change in the calculation unit, as part of Komnas HAM's effort to improve the accuracy of presenting the complaint data.

* Documents refer to the number of documents submitted by the complainant and the complained party for the complaint (case) concerned.

** Complaints refers to the case submitted by the complainant. So that it is possible that in one complaint, there are more than one documents.

This indicator is also reported as Indicator 10.3.1.(b)

**Indicator 16.10.1 (a) Index of Freedom from Violence for Journalists and Media Crews,
2016 - 2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR				
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
INDONESIA	63.44	67.92	69	73.71	75.27

Source: Indonesian Press Freedom Index Survey - National Press Council

**Indicator 16.10.1.(c) Number of Complaints Handling on Human Rights Violations related
to women especially on cases of violation against women, 2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	1,248	1,353	1,301	1,234	1,419	2,389

Source: National Commission on Violence Against Women (*Komnas Perempuan*)

**Indicator 16.10.2* Number of countries that adopt and implement constitutional,
statutory and/or policy guarantees for public access to information, 2014-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	Availability of the Information Disclosure Law in Indonesia since 2008 (Law No. 14/2008 on Freedom of Information)						

Source: Central Information Commission (KIP)



Indicator 16.10.2.(a) Number of Public Institutions with Informative Qualifications, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	12	8	16	18	15	34	60

Source: Central Information Commission (KIP)

Indicator 16.10.2.(b) Number of Dispute Resolution of Public Information through Mediation and / or Non-litigation Adjudication, 2014 - 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	123	94	54	32	1,280	350	76

Source: Central Information Commission (KIP)

Indicator 16.a.1* Existence of independent national human rights institutions in compliance with the Paris Principles, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	Indonesia has a National Human Rights Commission (KOMNAS HAM) Law Number 39 of 1999						

Source: National Human Rights Commission (*Komnas HAM*)

Indicator 16.b.1.(a) Number of discriminatory policies in the past 12 months on the basis of a ground of discrimination prohibited under international human rights law, 2014 - 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	23	24	32	0	14	4	0

Source: National Commission on Violence Against Women (*Komnas Perempuan*)



GOAL 17

PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS

Indicator 17.1.1* Total government revenue as a proportion of GDP, 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	15.46	15.53	15.07	14.67	13.08	12.55	12.26	13.09	12.38	10.58

Source: Ministry of Finance and BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 17.1.1.(a) Tax Revenue Ratio to GDP, 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	11.16	11.38	11.29	10.85	10.76	10.36	9.89	10.24	9.76	8.31

Source: Ministry of Finance and Ministry of Home Affairs

Indicator 17.1.2* Proportion of domestic budget funded by domestic taxes, 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	63.3	62.41	62.39	62.08	66.73	67.02	64.98	67.01	65.18	62.60

Source: Ministry of Finance and BPS – Statistics Indonesia



**Indicator 17.3.2.(a) Proportion of Remittance Volume from Indonesian Migrant Workers
(in US Dollars) to GDP, 2011-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	0.84	0.8	0.95	0.98	1.13	0.94	0.87	1.07	1.00	0.86

Source: Bank of Indonesia

Note

*Preliminary figure

**Indicator 17.4.1* Debt service as a proportion of exports of goods and services,
2011-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	12.48	17.28	18.43	23.59	30.57	35.35	25.54	25.11	26.9	30.14

Source: Bank of Indonesia

**Indicator 17.6.1.(a) Percentage of Subscribers Served by Fixed Broadband Internet Access
Network (Fixed Broadband) to Total Households, 2015-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	NA	7.84	9.38	10.45	13.59	14.3

Source: Ministry of Communication and Informatics

Indicator 17.6.1.(b) Percentage of Sub-districts Covered by Fiber Optic Network Infrastructure (Cumulative), 2015-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	NA	NA	NA	NA	35.71	57.58

Source: Ministry of Communication and Informatics

Indicator 17.8.1* Proportion of individuals using the Internet, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 (Q2)*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	34.90	43.10	51.30	54.80	64.80	73.70	73.70

Source: Indonesian Internet Service Providers Association (APJII)

Note:

*) The 2019 APJII survey was conducted in early 2020 so that the results of the survey cover 2019 - 2020 (Q2)

Indicator 17.9.1.(a) Number of Markings for International Development Cooperation Activities Including SSTC (Billion Rupiah), 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	79.6	110.5	74	83.3	105.6	112.8	123.5

Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS and the Implementing Ministries

Note:

*Preliminary figure



**Indicator 17.9.1.(b) Number of South-South and Triangular Cooperation Programs/
Activities, 2014-2020**

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020*
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	26	45	51	59	59	80	68

Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS and the Implementing Ministries

Note:

*Preliminary figure

Indicator 17.10.1.(a) Number of agreed PTA / FTA / CEPA, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	7	8	8	12	14	21	23

Source: Ministry of Trade

Indicator 17.11.1(a) Non-Oil and Gas Export Growth, 2011-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR										
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
INDONESIA	24.88	-5.54	-2.04	-2.64	-9.71	0.18	15.95	6.37	-4.27	-0.57	

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 17.17.1.(a) Number of PPP Project Planned List (DRK) Documents Issued Every Year, 2014-2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	0	1	0	1	1	1	1

Source: Ministry of National Development Planning/BAPPENAS and Implementing Ministries

Indicator 17.18.1.(a) Percentage of data users who use BPS data as the basis for national development planning, monitoring and evaluation, 2020

DISAGGREGATION	2020	
	(1)	(2)
INDONESIA		92.93

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Note:

* This indicator is a new proxy indicator in Second Edition of National SDGs Metadata so it will only be calculated starting in 2020

Indicator 17.18.2* Number of countries that have national statistical legislation that complies with the Fundamental Principles of Official Statistics

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA	Indonesia already has a law no. 16/1997 concerning Statistics						

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



Indicator 17.18.3* Number of countries with a national statistical plan that is fully funded and under implementation, by source of funding

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
INDONESIA	BPS has a Strategic Plan for 2015-2019					BPS has a Strategic Plan for 2020-2024

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia

Indicator 17.19.2.(a) Implementation of Population and Housing Census in 2020

DISAGGREGATION	YEAR						
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
INDONESIA						The 2020 Population Census has been implemented	

Source: BPS – Statistics Indonesia



3

DATA PROCESSING SYNTAX

A. Calculation of the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES)

Moderate and Severe Prevalence of Food Insecurity Based on the Food Insecurity Experience Scale

FAO's Voices of the Hungry (VoH) has developed a new global standard for estimating the prevalence of food insecurity using a tool called the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES). FIES is a food insecurity severity experience matrix that relies on respondents' immediate responses to questions about their access to adequate food to assess their ability to access/obtain food. Sample units collected in FIES: households or individuals.

The FIES Survey Module (FIES-SM) consists of 8 questions about access to food. Questions about FIES-SM focused on their own behavior and experiences related to increasing difficulty in accessing food due to limited resources.

FIES calculation steps:


1. Setting up the FIES data set and weighting
2. Doing modelling with Rasch model, using package R:
RM.weights
3. Checking the Rasch model output (validation)
 - Infit statistics (between 0.7 and 1.3)
 - Residual correlation (no correlation between residuals) seen from the scree plot
 - Rasch reliability (>0.7)
4. Comparing with global standards
5. Calibrate with global standards
6. Determine the questions used for calculating moderate and severe food insecurity
 - Determine tolerance limits with a minimum requirement of 5 questions that must be used to calculate food insecurity. The commonly used tolerance limit is 0.35
 - Perform adjusted FIES against global standards
 - Check the mean and standard deviation
7. Calculating moderate and severe food insecurity

B. Calculation of Prevalence of Undernourishment (PoU)

According to the FAO, undernourishment is:

"Condition of people who consume, on regular basis, amounts of food that do not provide the dietary energy need to be healthy and active"

Figure 1. Illustration of PoU



Ideally, the PoU calculation is based on observing food consumption, calculating the energy produced from the food consumed, and comparing it with energy requirements to group individuals into a representative sample. However, in practice, there are two problems that make this direct approach difficult. First, the records carried out should assess energy consumption habits, this is to describe the normal condition of the individual. Repeated observations are needed to control for short-term variations that have no implications on nutritional adequacy. Second, individual energy needs are not actually observable. We may be able to predict an individual's energy requirements for a given age, gender, height, and activity, but we can never be sure whether the individual with the recorded food consumption is indeed an individual describing the general condition. Therefore, a model-based approach is needed to overcome this problem.

Supporting data used to calculate the PoU include:

1. Population data by age and sex.
2. Data on calorie consumption, is approached by using data on calorie consumption per capita from the National Socio-Economic Survey (Susenas).
3. Income data, approached using Expenditure data obtained from the (Susenas) results
4. Height and weight data, approached using median height and weight data by age and sex from National Institute of Health Research and Development (NIHRD)

The procedure for calculating PoU using (Susenas) data is:

1. Create a population pyramid by age group and gender.
2. Calculating daily per capita calorie consumption
3. Calculating the coefficient of variation from the daily per capita calorie consumption data.
4. Calculating the Minimum Dietary Energy Requirement (MDER) and its coefficient of variation (CV). MDER is calculated by calculating the minimum calorie requirement needed by a person according to their age and gender
5. Calculate the total coefficient of variation (CV). The total coefficient of variation is calculated by adding up the coefficient of variation and its constituent variables
6. Calculating PoU, by comparing the consumption of calories per capita with the MDER. The PoU value is the number of people whose calorie consumption is below the MDER.



The MDER calculation procedure is:

1. Determine the composition of the population by age group and gender based on (Susenas) data
2. Calculate the minimum energy requirements for each age group and gender based on the average height and weight in the appropriate age group and gender
3. Calculate the MDER based on the results of Step 2 and add it to the calorie needs for pregnant women, which is 210 Kcal multiplied by the Birth Rate.

C. Indicator Methodology 2.c.1* Food Price Anomaly Indicator *(Indicator of Food Price Anomalies)*

I. Data sources

a. Price data

- Prices for each city are obtained from the publication of BPS weekly prices which are then averaged to form monthly prices. This price is the price of the selected quality that is most consumed in the city in question.
- The national rice price is calculated from the weighted average of rice prices in all cities covered by the CPI each week. Monthly data is obtained from the average weekly price.

b. CPI data

The CPI data used is the general CPI for the base year 2018=100 which has been released by BPS every month for each city.

II. The formula and steps for calculating the Food Price Anomaly Indicator

a. Calculating real price

$$\text{real price}_t = \frac{\text{Price}_t}{\text{CPI} (2018 = 100) \text{ general}_t}$$

b. Calculating quarterly and annual compound growth rates

$$\text{CGR}_t = \left(\frac{P_{t_B}}{P_{t_A}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1$$

P_{t_A} = price at the beginning of the period

P_{t_B} = price at the end of the period

n = time between periods A and B (in months)



The above CGR formula is used to calculate compound growth rates both quarterly (CQGR) and annual (CAGR)

c. Calculating weighted average

$$\overline{W_CXGR_t} = \frac{1}{\sum_{y=1}^Y w_y} \sum_{y=1}^Y w_y CXGR_{yt}$$

$\overline{W_CXGR_t}$ = weighted average Compound Growth Rate (CGR) in month t of X (X, quarterly or annual period for CGR)

w_y = y-year weighter

$CXGR_{yt}$ = compound growth rate in year y month t

$\sum_{y=1}^Y$ = addition operator during year Y

d. Calculating the weighted standard deviation

$$\hat{\sigma}_{W_CXGR_t} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{y=1}^Y w_y (CXGR_{yt} - \overline{W_CXGR_t})^2}{\sum_{y=1}^Y w_y (\hat{Y} - 1)/\hat{Y}}}$$

$\hat{\sigma}_{W_CXGR_t}$ = weighted standard deviation of Compound Growth Rate (CGR) at month t of X (X, quarterly or annual period for CGR)

\hat{Y} = total number of weighers

e. Calculating the value of the quarterly and annual Food Price Anomaly Indicator

$$(XIFPA_{yt}^z) = \left(\frac{CXGR_{yt} - \overline{W_CXGR_t}}{\hat{\sigma}_{W_CXGR_t}} \right)$$

f. Establishing Food Price Anomaly Indicators

$$IFPA_t = \alpha \left(\frac{CQGR_{yt} - \overline{CQGR_t}}{\hat{\sigma}_{CQGR_t}} \right) + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{CAGR_{yt} - \overline{CAGR_t}}{\hat{\sigma}_{CAGR_t}} \right)$$


$$IFPA_t = 0.4 \left(\frac{CQGR_{yt} - \overline{CQGR_t}}{\hat{\sigma}_{CQGR_t}} \right) + 0.6 \left(\frac{CAGR_{yt} - \overline{CAGR_t}}{\hat{\sigma}_{CAGR_t}} \right)$$

III. Result interpretation

FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) groups the Food Price Anomaly Indicators (IFPA) into five levels, including:

- Normal price growth: $-0.5 \leq IFPA_y < 0.5$
- Moderately high price growth: $0.5 \leq IFPA_y < 1$
- High price growth: $IFPA_y \geq 1$
- Alert low price: $IFPA_y \leq -1$
- Warning low price: $-1 < IFPA_y < -0.5$

The IFPA is defined at three levels:



Based on the results of Felix G. Baquedano's research entitled "Developing an indicator of price anomalies as an early warning tool: A compound growth approach" in 2015, the Food Price Anomaly Indicators are classified into three levels, including:

- a. Price watch: $0.5 \leq X_{IPA}_t^Z < 1$
- b. Price alert: $X_{IPA}_t^Z \geq 1$
- c. Normal: o. w.

$$\left(\frac{CXGR_{yt} - \bar{CXGR}_t}{\hat{\sigma}_{CXGR_t}} \right) = X_{IPA}_t^Z \begin{cases} 0.5 \leq X_{IPA}_t^Z < 1 & Price Watch(X_{IPA}_t^W) \\ X_{IPA}_t^Z \geq 1 & Price Alert(X_{IPA}_t^A) \\ o.w. & Normal (X_{IPA}_t^N) \end{cases}$$

D. Agricultural Sector TPB/SDGs Indicators

SDG Indicator 2.3.1; 2.3.2; 2.4.1; and 5.a.1

Information taken from the publication: Sustainable Development Goals Indicators for the Agricultural Sector 2020 in the Provinces of West Java, East Java, and West Nusa Tenggara (Results of the Integrated Agricultural Survey).

Small-scale food producers (farmers) are producers that are structurally independent of fixed wage labor and who manage their production activities primarily with family workers. Most of the working time is spent on agricultural activities on their own farms or in their own homes. This agricultural income is a major part of their total income. Small-scale farmers are believed to be able to contribute significantly to the world's food. On the other hand, they are also estimated to be the poorest and food insecure. However, it is widely recognized that small-scale farmers are one of the main food-producing workers, and because they are in a relatively vulnerable position, it is important to promote development policies in their favor. Why is it important to focus on Small-Scale Farmers?

1. Food and nutrition security: A person is considered food safe if he always has adequate availability and access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food to maintain a healthy and active life
2. Sustainable rural life: Life can be defined as capabilities, assets, and activities, which consist of human capital, social capital, natural capital, physical capital, and financial capital. Within the scope of indicators 2.3.1. and 2.3.2, the focus is on the sustainability and resilience of livelihoods located in rural areas.

- 
3. Global food production: As smaller production units are better distributed in a given region and provide a more diverse range of food, the stability of small-scale farmers directly contributes to global food production and increases local and healthy food supplies

Several scientific literature and policy documents categorize the determination of Small-scale Farmers on four criteria: area of land managed, number of workers employed for agricultural production (mainly family members), market orientation and economic size of the business unit. In the 2020 (citation), Integrated Agricultural Survey, the criteria used to determine Small-Scale Farmers are summarized into 2 criteria, namely the physical size and the economic size of the agricultural business.

1. Physical size of agricultural business

- a. Managed land area

Including:

- Fallow land (not planted for a certain time)
- Land managed for seasonal/annual crops
- Land for rent

Not included:

- Forest land
- Abandoned land
- Land for rent

- b. Number of livestock kept

Farm size is measured in Tropical Livestock Units (TLU). TLU is a unit of livestock comparison used to compare the relative sizes of a herd. TLU is essentially an 'exchange ratio' between livestock species, obtained by converting body weight to metabolic weight.

2. The economic size of the agricultural business

The size of the economy is measured based on the total income of agricultural production. However, to get comparable results in different countries, income is expressed in Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) Dollars or Purchasing Power Parity (US\$ currency value).

From the 2 criteria used, a threshold for the lowest 40% distribution or 2 (two) distribution quintiles is determined based on the recommendations of experts and is also used by the World Bank for measuring welfare. This threshold is used specifically to monitor indicators 2.3.1 and indicator 2.3.2.

Indicator 2.3.1

Production volume per worker by class of plant farming/ husbandry/ fishery/ forestry.

Indicator 2.3.1 is used to measure how much volume can be produced per unit of labour i.e. average labour productivity.



$$SDG\ 2.3.1 = \frac{Output}{Labor\ Input} = \frac{Income}{Working\ Days\ of\ the\ Year}$$

Indicator 2.3.2

Average income of small-scale agricultural producers, by subsector.

Indicator 2.3.2 refers to the actual net income earned by small-scale food producers (farmers) from their agricultural activities. In other words, this indicator is used to measure the average income of small-scale farmers.

$$SDG\ 2.3.2 = Revenue - Cost + Stock\ Variation\ (if\ available)$$

Indicator 2.4.1

Proportion of productive and sustainable agricultural area

Indicator 2.4.1 is designed to measure the extent to which more productive and sustainable food production systems are implemented. This indicator also provides strategic information for policy makers in agriculture.

$$SDG\ 2.4.1 = \frac{(Agricultural\ land\ whose\ management\ is\ below\ the\ limit\ of\\ productive\ criteria\ and\ sustainable\ agriculture)}{Agricultural\ Land\ Area}$$

Indicator 2.4.1 reflects various dimensions of sustainability: economic, environmental, and social with **11 (eleven) themes** and **11 (eleven) sub-indicators**

Dimension	Theme	Sub Indicator
Economy	1. Land Productivity	Production value per hectare
	2. Profitability	Farmer's net income
	3. Durability	Risk mitigation mechanism
Environment	4. Soil fertility	Soil degradation prevalence
	5. Water usage	Condition of water availability
	6. The risk of using fertilizer	Fertilizer use management
	7. The risk of using pesticides	Pesticide use management
	8. Biodiversity	Usage support practice agro-based biodiversity
Social	9. Decent work	Wage in agriculture
	10. Food safety	Food Insecurity Experience Index (FIES)
	11. Land ownership	Land ownership rights

Using sustainability criteria and thresholds, the results for each sub-indicator are presented in 3 (three) spectra:

	Desirable (green)	Acceptable (yellow)	Unsustainable (red)
SUB INDICATOR 1: Production value per hectare	Agricultural productivity is 2/3 of the 90th percentile of the distribution of production value data per hectare.	Agricultural productivity is 1/3 and < 2/3 of the 90th percentile of the distribution of production value data per hectare	Agricultural productivity is < 1/3 of the 90th percentile of the distribution of production value data per hectare
SUB INDICATOR 2: Farmer's Net Income	There is a profit earned every year for the past three years	There are profits earned in at least one year for the past three years	There has never been any profit at all in the last 3 years
SUB INDICATOR 3: Risk Mitigation Mechanism	Having or available access to at least 2 of the 3 risk mitigation mechanisms	Having or available access to at least 1 of 3 risk mitigation mechanisms	Does not have or is not available any of the 3 risk mitigation mechanisms
SUB INDICATOR 4: Soil Degradation Prevalence	The area of land affected by one of the four selected threats to soil fertility is less than 10 percent of the total agricultural land area	The area of land affected by one of the four selected threats to soil fertility is between 10 and d. 50 percent of total agricultural land	The area of land affected by one of the four selected threats to soil fertility is more than 50 percent of the total agricultural land area
SUB INDICATOR 5: Water Availability Conditions	Water availability has remained stable over the years for farms that irrigate more than 10% of the farm area. Default yield for farms that irrigate less than 10% of their farm area	Availability of water to irrigate at least 10% of agricultural area, but farmers do not know if water availability has remained stable over the years, or has experienced a reduction in water availability but there are organizations that effectively allocate water among users	Water availability is less than 10% of their agricultural area
SUB INDICATOR 6: Fertilizer Use Management	Farmers use fertilizers but there are at least 4 specific measures to mitigate the risk of using fertilizers to be considered. This includes farmers who do not use fertilizers	Farmers use fertilizers but there are at least 2 specific measures to mitigate the risk of using fertilizer to be considered	Farmers use fertilizers but no specific measure of risk mitigation of fertilizer use is considered
SUB-INDICATOR 7: Usage Management Pesticide	Farmers only use pesticides with low risk (WHO Class II or III). In this case, the farmer adheres to all three measures related to health and at least four of the seven measures related to the environment. This includes farmers who do not use pesticides	Farmers use only pesticides with low risk (WHO Class II or III) and adhere to at least two steps each of the measures related to health and the environment	Farmers use hazardous or very hazardous pesticides (WHO Class Ia or Ib), illegal pesticides, or use sufficient or slightly harmful pesticides without taking specific measures to reduce the environmental or health risks associated with its use (less than two from each category)
SUB INDICATOR 8: Agro-based biodiversity use support practices	(organic) Farmers apply at least three of the defined organic farming sustainability criteria (Non-Organic) Farmers apply at least two of the defined organic farming sustainability criteria	(organic) Farmers apply at least one of the defined organic farming sustainability criteria (Non-Organic) Farmers apply at least one of the defined organic farming sustainability criteria	(organic) Farmers do not apply any of the defined organic farming sustainability criteria (Non-Organic) Farmers do not apply any of the defined organic farming sustainability criteria



	Desirable (green)	Acceptable (yellow)	Unsustainable (red)
SUB INDICATOR 9: Wage in agriculture	If the average wage paid to unskilled workers (casual workers) is more than the national minimum wage or the minimum wage for agricultural sector workers (if available). This includes business units that do not use paid labor	If the average wage paid to unskilled workers (casual workers) is the same as the national minimum wage or the minimum wage for agricultural sector workers (if available)	If the average wage paid to unskilled workers (casual workers) is less than the national minimum wage or the minimum wage for agricultural sector workers (if available)
SUB INDICATOR 10: Food Insecurity Experience Index (FILEs)	Mild food insecurity: if the probability of a farmer household being moderate to severe food insecurity is less than 0.5 and the probability of severe food insecurity is less than 0.5	Moderate food insecurity: if the probability of a farmer household being moderate to severe food insecurity is greater than 0.5 and the probability of severe food insecurity is less than 0.5	Severe food insecurity: if the probability of a farm household being severely food insecure is greater than 0.5
SUB INDICATOR 11: Land ownership rights	Have official land ownership documents with the farmer's name recorded in the ownership document, or the farmer has the right to sell and inherit	Have an official document even though it is not the name of the farmer recorded in the land ownership document	Do not have land ownership documents and do not have the right to sell and inherit

Indicator 5.a.1 (1)

**Proportion of agricultural population who have rights to agricultural land; (2)
Proportion of female agricultural residents as owners or who have rights to agricultural land, by type of ownership.**

Indicator 5.a.1 generally aims to measure the prevalence of ownership or rights to agricultural land in agricultural households. Calculated through the concept of "Guaranteed rights to agricultural land". In this case, the guaranteed rights are measured using three proxy variables, namely: ownership of legal documents, the right to sell agricultural land, and the right to inherit agricultural land. If one of the three criteria have been met, then the owner or manager is categorized as having secure rights to the land. Indicator 5.a.1 is divided into three indicators, namely 5.a.1.(a) female, 5.a.1.(a) male and 5.a.1 (b). The preparation of indicators refers to the following formula:

$$SDG\ 5.\ a.\ 1.\ a(p) = \frac{(Total\ female\ population\ with\ secure\ rights\\ to\ agricultural\ land)}{Total\ female\ population\ in\ the\ agricultural\ sector}$$

$$SDG\ 5.\ a.\ 1.\ a(l) = \frac{The\ total\ male\ population\ who\ has\\ secure\ rights\ to\ agricultural\ land}{Total\ male\ population\ in\ the\ agricultural\ sector}$$

$$SDG\ 5.\ a.\ 1.\ (b) = \frac{(Total\ female\ population\ with\ secure\ rights\\ to\ agricultural\ land)}{Total\ population\ with\ secure\ rights\ to\ agricultural\ land}$$



4

GOOD PRACTICES INDONESIA'S VNR 2021

Table of Good Practices Listed as Annex to VNR 2021

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
GOAL 1 NO POVERTY		
1	Entrepreneurial Assistance and Community-Owned Enterprise (BUMMas)	Rumah Zakat
2	Improving the Welfare of the Dayak Community through Kelulut Honey Bees	Asia Pulp and Paper (APP) Sinar Mas
3	Economic Empowerment through Development of SME for Women Around the Operation Area	Kangean Energy Indonesia Ltd.
4	COVID-19 Response by Filantropi	Filantropi Indonesia
5	Cash-based Assistance in Lombok 2018–2020: From Earthquake to COVID-19	UNICEF Indonesia

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 2
ZERO HUNGER



1	Food Provision during the COVID-19 Pandemic from Domestic Production	Ministry of Agriculture
2	Assistance for Vegetable Farmers in the midst of the COVID-19 Pandemic	Ministry of Agriculture
3	Community-Based Food Safety Improvement	Food and Drug Authority
4	Rumoh Gizi Gampong (RGG) in East Aceh District, Aceh Province	Government of East Aceh District
5	OMABA Cooking Center: A Bottom-Up Solution to Eliminate Stunting	Government of Bandung City
6	Bandung Tanginas	PKK of Bandung City, West Java
7	Stunting Free Village	Rumah Zakat

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 3
GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING



1	5NG Program (JateNG GayeNG NginceNG WoNG MeteNG)	Government of Central Java Province
2	Youth innovations in the field of HIV digital information technology	UNAIDS
3	Unlearning Ableism Human Rights Day 2020	SCORP-CIMSA
4	Muhammadiyah COVID-19 Command Center (MCCC): Muhammadiyah's Contribution in Handling Covid-19	Muhammadiyah



No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 3

GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING



5	Community Tuberculosis Care Support for people affected with Tuberculosis through a pilot Mental Health and Psychosocial Support program	Aisyiyah
6	Tuberculosis cadre stories	Aisyiyah

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 8

DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH



1	Mamuju MSMEs become Independent and Successful After the Earthquake	Indonesian Institute of Small and Medium Enterprises Strategic Study (LKS UKMI)
2	Local Innovation, Love Your Local Products: Endek Goes International	Chairman of the Bali Province PKK Steering Team, Industry and Trade Office, Regional National Craft Council
3	COVID Response by Sampoerna	PT HM Sampoerna Tbk
4	Inclusive Sustainable Economic Development (ISED)	Ministry of National Development Planning/Head of National Development Planning Agency and GIZ

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 10

REDUCED INEQUALITIES



1	Mentor Facility to Investigate and Prosecute TIP Crimes in Indonesia	International Organization for Migration (IOM)
2	Advancing Measures to Prosecute TIP (AMPUH)	International Organization for Migration (IOM)

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 12

RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION



1	Community-Based Independent Trash Management	PT. Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mills – Karawang (APP Sinar Mas)
2	Overcoming Waste Problems through Community-Based Waste Banks	PT. Eka Mas Fortuna (APP Sinar Mas)
3	Sustainable Palm Oil Project in West Kalimantan	BASF and HENKEL
4	Bumi Maluku Lestari Waste Bank	PT Pertamina (Persero) DPPU Pattimura
5	Indonesia Circular Economy Forum	Greeneration Foundation
6	Buntah – Soap from Used Cooking Oil	Gajahlahkebersihan, Buntah.id, KKN of Lampung University in Gumukmas Village



No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 13

CLIMATE ACTION



1	Empowerment Grow Green	Jejak Rimba Raya
2	Building Disaster Risk Reduction for City and Community Resilience	Yayasan Pusat Kajian dan Perlindungan Anak (PKPA)
3	Utilization of Biogas for Household Energy Supply	Sesami
4	Improving the Food and Economic Security of Vulnerable Communities through Sustainable Agriculture	FIELD Foundation

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
----	--------------------------------	---

GOAL 16

PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS



1	Collaboration for the Issuance of Birth Certificates for All	UNICEF Indonesia
2	People Living with HIV in Indonesia are Free from Stigma and Discrimination Thanks to a Supportive Response and Environment	UNAIDS
3	Progressive and Leading Young Women	Plan International Indonesia
4	Creating a Safe and Child Friendly School: Anti-Bullying Program in Schools	Setara Foundation, Indonesia Melayani Foundation (YIM), and the Provincial Governments of South Sulawesi and Central Java, supported by UNICEF Indonesia
5	Mitigating the Impact of a Pandemic on Prisoners and Detainees for Children and Adults	Ministry of Law and Human Rights of Republic of Indonesia

No	Name of Good Practice Activity	Name of Institution/ Implementing Organization
GOAL 17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS		
1	Optimizing Local Resources	Penabulu Foundation
2	Sambirata, Empowered Spice Village	PT Industri Jamu and Farmasi Sido Muncul Tbk.
3	"Temali" – Bringing Together and Uniting Volunteers	Indorelawan – Jakarta





GOAL 1

NO POVERTY

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 1

1. Entrepreneurial Assistance and Community-Owned Enterprise (BUMMas)

By Rumah Zakat

Dealing with high poverty rate in Indonesia requires the involvement of all stakeholders. Statistics Indonesia (BPS) noted that as of September 2020 the poor population had increased to 27.55 million people. At the same time, the percentage of poor people as of September 2020 also rose to 10.19 percent. BPS also noted that the poverty rate in rural areas was much higher than that in urban areas. This unequal situation requires an alternative development approach that emphasizes the participation of the grassroots community as the subject of development.

Therefore, Rumah Zakat participates in poverty alleviation efforts through economic empowerment in rural area. Rumah Zakat's economic empowerment employs two approaches: first, individual-based economic empowerment (MSME) (Entrepreneurial Assistance); second, group-based empowerment with a social entrepreneurship approach (Community-Owned Enterprises/BUMMAS) to create new economic institutions that are directly managed by the community, whose benefits can be felt not only by the economic actors themselves, but also other people around them.

Rumah Zakat's economic empowerment employs two approaches, i.e. individual and group/institutional approach. The individual approach also refers to Entrepreneurial Assistance Program. Entrepreneurial Assistance is a local potential-based economic

empowerment program, targeting individual micro-entrepreneurs. Meanwhile, BUMMas is a program with a group/institutional approach, namely a group-based economic empowerment program and formal institutions (social enterprises).

Economic empowerment aims to strengthen the economy of rural communities, through the use of local potentials that not only generate profits, but also provide social benefits to the community. There are several stages of activities in the economic empowerment, such as the entrepreneurial assistance program provided with mentoring, entrepreneurship education, product strengthening, good manufacturing practices, legality advocacy, marketing as well as provision of business facilities and venture capital. Similar to entrepreneurial assistance, BUMMas also has several activities such as

group assistance, institutional strengthening, strengthening business models, strengthening markets and business development.

BUMMAS program provides business capital for group as collective assistance, while Entrepreneurial Assistance program provides venture capital for individual. Rumah Zakat divides BUMMAS into 3 types: (1) BUMMAS microfinance which is engaged in savings and loans, venture capital, and the Berdaya Mart business unit; (2) BUMMAS micro business which is engaged in labor-intensive business or product processing; and (3) BUMMAS agribusiness which is engaged in sustainable agriculture/livestock and trading business development. The parties involved in this program are Rumah Zakat's Inspiration

Volunteers as empowerment facilitators, as well as individual and group/institution beneficiaries that are established collectively. In 2020, Entrepreneurial Assistance program has assisted 2,809 beneficiaries with an average of IDR 1,626,608.00 for each beneficiary. In addition, Rumah Zakat currently has 196 BUMMAS with 2,900 members.

Based on the results of an analysis conducted by Rumah Zakat in 2020: (1) 15% of the beneficiaries of the Entrepreneurial Assistance program have been alleviated from poverty; and (2) BUMMAS managed to generate a turnover of IDR 9,681,785,811 and social funds of IDR 148,416,367 which were used to run social programs in the community where BUMMAS was established.

2. Improving the Welfare of the Dayak Community through Kelulut Honeybees

By Asia Pulp and Paper (APP) Sinar Mas

Desa Makmur Peduli Api (DMPA) is a program developed by APP Sinar Mas to support alternative livelihoods for local communities to reduce community dependence on forest land. The main objective is to empower village communities to actively participate in sustainable forest management through agroforestry, a non-burning agricultural practice that links community prosperity, forest sustainability, and reduction of forest fires. Bahta Hamlet, Bonti Sub-District, Sanggau District, West Kalimantan, which borders one of APP's wood supplier areas, i.e. PT Finnantara Intiga, which was known for producing honey in the area. However, the honey production in Bahta Hamlet is gradually decreasing due to the lack of knowledge of the farmers who only rely on hereditary practices. This threatens the continued cultivation of Kelulut honeybees in the Dayak people who rely heavily on natural resources for their livelihoods.

In 2018, the DMPA program supported Togos Naho, a 30-year-old man, resident of Bahta Hamlet, Bahta Village, Bonti Sub-District, Sanggau District, West Kalimantan in developing Kelulut honeybee cultivation. Togos has cultivated Kelulut bees (*Trigona itama*) since 2010 in Bahta which is known as a honey producer. With DMPA program's support, Togos seeks to develop sustainable and environmentally friendly cultivation. Currently, Togos is trying to introduce and share the details of his knowledge with the surrounding community on how to develop sustainable Kelulut honeybees. Togos needs to ensure that the local community comprehends and understands the basic knowledge that will improve the quality of the honey. For example, it is necessary to pay attention to the size of the beehive, as well as using gloves and vacuuming equipment at harvest. In addition, harvesting cannot be done at night and smoke should not be used. Apart from Togos, the beneficiaries of the DMPA program are the Dayak people in Bahta Hamlet. The Dayak community is a well-known traditional



community in Indonesia that preserves its cultural heritage and life. This program was held in close collaboration between APP Sinar Mas and PT Finnantara Intiga, as the supplier of APP wood located in the vicinity of the Dayak community. PT Finnantara facilitates the program and also engages local governments to increase the scope of its program so that it has a wider impact.

Togos has succeeded in developing Kelulut honeybee cultivation in a sustainable manner. Currently, Togos is completing its honey with Food Production Certificate (Home Industry Production Certificate or P-IRT) for broader marketing. Several fixes are required to obtain the certificate. The teams in the DMPA and Togos programs are working to integrate local wisdom and modern knowledge standards to improve their livelihoods.

3. Economic Empowerment through Development of SME for Women Around the Operation Area

By Kangean Energy Indonesia Ltd.

The length of time the fisherman sails leaving his wife and family causes vulnerability for the families who are left behind—especially for women. These people need additional income while they are left behind. In addition, fishermen often experience famine conditions during strong winds with minimal catches. Sometimes, the fish harvest can be so large to the point that fish price becomes really cheap and the fishermen suffer losses. In order to support the family's economy, these women took the initiative to carry out processing activities for local fishery products such as fish, seaweed and local agricultural products such as cassava and bananas into products of higher selling value.

The company's strategy is to improve the economy of the community around Sapeken Sub-district as a corporate social responsibility through programs that are in accordance with the needs of the community, especially women who have the skills to make processed products. Kangean Energy Indonesia Ltd also involves various parties for this program, including the village government, PKK, the industry office and also the Sumenep District government. The beneficiaries of this program are 435 women who are members of the KEI fostered groups for various products. A total of 6 groups have

also become representatives of One Village, One Product for its flagship products, namely seaweed lunkhead, seaweed snacks, shredded fish, fish balls, shredded squid, and cassava chips.

This SME empowerment program is able to provide added value to fishery and agricultural products which produce high-value products with attractive packaging, namely seaweed lunkhead, shredded fish, fish balls, cassava chips and banana chips. A total of 50 business groups in Sapeken Sub-district (453 women) participated in this program with an average profit of 1-3 million/month. In addition to increasing income, this program is also able to foster an entrepreneurial spirit and also increase the self-confidence of these women. The assistance started with training on product processing followed by training in marketing, packaging, manufacturing, and obtaining a PIRT license. These assisted products have been marketed at local markets, districts, provinces to all of Indonesia and have entered exhibitions such as the Jatim Fair.

4. COVID-19 Response by Filantropi

By Filantropi Indonesia

This program is an initiative of Filantropi Indonesia for various philanthropic responses to help the government and people affected by COVID-19. COVID-19 Response by Filantropi is conducted in the form of a website that can be accessed at covid19filantropi.id. It not only contains data and developments from various philanthropic initiatives but also the number of donations that have been collected. This website also provides a space for institutions to publish reports on the use of donated funds.

All information contained on the website is collected from online searches. Therefore, this website cannot be declared to represent all philanthropic activities for COVID-19 carried out in Indonesia. Besides searching for information independently, the team also

received information/data from members and other institutions. The website does not limit its benefits to philanthropic institutions only. Other sectors such as the private sector, government, community, academics, etc. can report their philanthropic activities through this media.

This website has been useful for various parties, especially members of Filantropi Indonesia. Many of them use this platform as a medium to provide information on their philanthropic activities for COVID-19. In addition, this website also helps to publish accountability reports for use and donations. This is considered to increase the accountability and transparency of philanthropic institutions. Meanwhile, for the community, the website can be a medium for collecting information on ongoing COVID-19 assistance.

5. Cash-based Assistance in Lombok 2018–2020: From Earthquake to COVID-19

By UNICEF

In response to the 2018 earthquake and the COVID-19 pandemic since 2020, UNICEF has successfully implemented the Emergency Cash Based Assistance (CBA) program for children affected by disasters in North and East Lombok. It aims to meet the basic needs of affected families with children up to six or seven years of age, pregnant women and breastfeeding women. At a later stage of recovery, cash assistance is intended to support economic revival and increase the capacity of stakeholders to sustain cash-based assistance in the context of disaster response.

CBA 1 is targeting 4,200 beneficiaries in six villages in North Lombok. Payment is made in four stages, totaling IDR 4.45 million (USD 304) per household. CBA 2 represents an expansion to East Lombok District, targeting 4,500 beneficiaries in eight villages in four sub-districts. UNICEF, with support from Catholic Relief Services and the Indonesian Post Office, distributed IDR 4 million (USD 274) to each beneficiary in three stages at village distribution points.

Unlike CBA 1 which focuses primarily on cash disbursement, CBA 2 is carried out as part of UNICEF's policy advocacy by strengthening the capacity of local governments, advocating for standard operating procedures and cash transfer guidelines, as well as developing safety precautions during the COVID-19 pandemic. During CBA 1 and CBA 2, UNICEF conducted studies on relevant topics such as: 1) Qualitative study on emergency multipurpose cash transfers in East Lombok, and 2) Remote COVID-19 insight study.



The results of this program include:

1. More than 8,800 households received cash transfers at CBA 1 and CBA
2. Most of the assistance was used to pay for essential items (e.g. food, WASH products, school supplies), while 32% was used to access basic services (e.g. transportation to schools/hospitals, enrollment to early childhood education (PAUD), medical fees)
3. A complaint handling mechanism was established, including through a hotline and U-report
4. Training and workshops were held for partner organizations, including with local governments





GOAL 2

ZERO HUNGER

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 2

1. Food Provision during the COVID-19 Pandemic from Domestic Production

By Ministry of Agriculture

To overcome the possibility of a national food crisis as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, at the direction of the President of the Republic of Indonesia Joko Widodo, the Minister of Agriculture Syahrul Yasin Limpo has refocused agricultural development policies and programs, one of which is by launching the Food Availability Improvement Program in the New Normal Era. This program consists of four actions (CB), namely:

- CB1 : Increasing production capacity, which consists of accelerating rice planting; development of new food agriculture land in Central Kalimantan covering an area of 30.00 hectares; expansion of new planting areas for rice, corn, soybeans; and increasing high-growth food production to reduce dependence on imports.
- CB2 : Diversifying local food, which consists of diversifying carbohydrate-based local according to local wisdom that are focused on one main commodity for each province (cassava, corn, sago, banana, potato, and sorghum); as well as utilization of home yards to increase food availability and nutrition, by empowering 4,300 Women Groups through Sustainable Home Yard (P2L) activities.
- CB3 : Strengthening food reserves and logistics systems, which consists of strengthening rice reserves of the central government and district/city governments; development of village-based community food granaries in 33 provinces; development of a national food logistics system to stabilize food supply and prices through 1,548 Community Food Business Development (PUPM) and 3,796 Indonesian Tokok Tani (TTI) in all provinces; and alleviation of food insecure areas in all provinces.
- CB4 : Development of modern agriculture, which consists of developing smart farming, developing and utilizing screen houses, developing food estates, and developing farmer corporations.

This program aims to increase the availability and domestic production of rice, carbohydrate-based food other than rice, as well as various foods around the community. It also aims to establish additional food reserves throughout districts/cities to villages and develop modern agriculture that is efficient and competitive, as well as increasing the productivity of food agriculture businesses.



2. Assistance for Vegetable Farmers in the midst of the COVID-19 Pandemic

By Ministry of Agriculture

Four months after the COVID-19 pandemic entered Indonesia and with the imposition of large-scale social restrictions (PSBB), vegetable horticultural farmers in various regions experienced marketing difficulties and prices fell. This is confirmed by the results of a rapid assessment of the Center for Socio-Economic and Agricultural Policy (PSEKP) of the Ministry of Agriculture in four vegetable production centers in Cianjur District, West Java; Magelang District, Central Java; Banyuwangi District, East Java; and Minahasa District, North Sulawesi.

Vegetable farmers experienced severe shocks in the form of major obstacles in marketing their perishable products. Regular customers such as hotels, restaurants and caterers cut off contact because their business activities declined sharply. Likewise, the volume of marketing to supermarkets and traditional markets has decreased sharply, only around 10%. Due to the large excess supply coupled by the perishable nature of vegetables, the price drops sharply. For example, in Pacet Subdistrict, Cianjur, tomatoes, which were usually sold at a contract price of IDR 31,500/kg, had to be sold very cheaply at IDR 3,000/kg. In Kaliangkrik Subdistrict, Magelang, the price of green onions was only IDR 2,500/kg to the normal 10,000/kg. In Tompaso District, North Sulawesi, curly red chilies were only valued at IDR 4,000-5,000 per kg, whereas before the pandemic it was still around IDR 15,000/kg. Most of the agricultural products from Banyuwangi District were sent to Bali and Surabaya before the pandemic, but it cannot be done anymore because of restrictions on transportation movements.

Vegetable farmers in Pacet District, Cianjur overcome the problem of marketing by optimizing online sales. The Jaya Giri Multi Tani Farmers Association (Gapoktan MUJAGI) marketed their vegetables through direct selling to residential and office consumers. In mid-May 2020, this Farmer Group has explored online sales through kedaisayur.com, Bukalapak, and Tokopedia.

Gapoktan MUJAGI's efforts to market their products online have paid off. Several agencies in Bogor City (about 1 hour drive from Cianjur) have responded to help overcome the difficulties of the vegetable farmers in Pacet Cianjur sub-district, one of which is PSEKP. The head of PSEKP, Sudi Mardianto, said that the purchase was carried out as a form of concern for farmers affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The purchase price was agreed to be above the production price, so that farmers still profit, he said. A total of 300 packages of vegetables at a price of IDR 40 thousand per package were ordered by the office staff who were coordinated by their leaders. The vegetable package consists of various chilies, cabbage, eggplant, carrots, leeks and tomatoes. Of the 300 packages ordered, with the spirit of sharing in times of trouble, 150 packages were collected to be distributed to cleaners, security guards, and households around the office complex.

According to the Chairman of Gapoktan MUJAGI, Suhendar's purchases using this pattern greatly helped the farmer's economy during difficult conditions and situations due to the pandemic. However, this difficult situation does not discourage Gapoktan MUJAGI from producing. In fact, this Gapoktan, which has seven farmer groups, continues to plant vegetables on the harvested land. According to Suhendar, this step is a solution to survive during a pandemic, coupled with efforts to expand marketing through online sales.

Source:

Ashari and Gunawan E. 20 Mei 2020. Apakah Petani Sayuran Terdampak Pandemi COVID-19. <http://pse.litbang.pertanian.go.id/ind/index.php/COVID-19/berita-covid19/337-Republika.co.id>. 16 April 2020. Petani Sayur Cipanas Alih Strategi Jualan Daring. 16 April 2020. <https://republika.co.id/berita/q8vrxc366/petani-sayur-cipanas-alih-strategi-jualan-daring>

3. Community-Based Food Safety Improvement

By Food and Drug Authority

Ensuring safe food is part of the effort to provide quality food that is nutritious, safe and healthy. In accordance with the mandate of Law No. 18/2012 concerning Food, food supply for the fulfillment of food community consumption is carried out by involving the participation of all stakeholders, including the community, starting from planning, implementation and supervision. The Food and Drug Authority (BPOM) initiated a Community-Based Food Safety Program, which is an intervention program that engages the community to play an active role in fulfilling food safety. This intervention is comprised of three programs, namely: (1) safe food village program; (2) safe snack program for school-age children; and (3) community-based safe market program. This program has been running since 2014 in villages/urban villages/schools/markets in 34 provinces.

Intervention is carried out on the supply side, namely through the development of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in the food sector in villages/urban villages in the food sector and the demand side, namely through empowerment activities for cadres and rural communities and school communities. Through this program, from 2012 to 2020, interventions or empowerment have been carried out regarding food security in 5,214 villages/urban villages and 301 markets in 34 provinces; and capacity building has been carried out to more than 15 thousand cadres and more than 105 thousand communities. The gap assessment study before and after the intervention has found an increase in food safety knowledge in the group of housewives, PKK women, teachers, youth organizations, and school students (see the infographic below).



Picture A1.
Achievement of Community-Based Food Safety Programs.

In the future, this program needs to strengthen coordination and cooperation with various stakeholders, including the government, business actors and the community in order to increase awareness and implementation of food safety, given that food safety is everyone's business. The total number of villages that have been intervened through this program is around 6.2% of 83,880 villages/urban villages, 16% of schools and 1.9% of the market. This achievement is still very low, so it is necessary to increase the scope of activities and encourage local governments to replicate this program. In this regard, BPOM is carrying out program revitalization, namely by implementing an integrated food safety program through three integration strategies: program integration, regional integration and data integration.

4. Rumoh Gizi Gampong (RGG) in East Aceh District, Aceh Province

By Government of East Aceh District

District/city governments and community institutions recognize the importance of reducing the prevalence of stunting as an investment to build a future Indonesian people who are healthy, active, intelligent, and productive. One effort that is considered as an example of best practice is an initiative by the District of East Aceh, Aceh Province, which has a fairly high stunting rate. The Regional Government of East Aceh District is implementing a program to accelerate the reduction of the prevalence of stunting called Rumoh Gampong (RGG). The main activity of RGG is to increase institutional capacity and human resources through training on stunting.

In order to empower village communities and cadres in an effort to accelerate the prevention of stunting. The Regional Government of East Aceh District, Aceh Province designed the Rumah Gizi Gampong (RGG) program. This activity is carried out in an integrated and community-based manner at the gampong/village level.

RGG is a model for handling and preventing stunting in an integrated manner through a family and community empowerment approach at the village level with a specific and sensitive scope of activities with a main focus on 3 aspects, namely (a) nutrition services for risk groups (PMT-local, supplementation, and other forms of nutrition services); (b) education and capacity building of families and communities; and (c) strengthening family food security, family empowerment and PHBS, and other activities according to conditions and problems in the village.

The purpose of RGG in combating stunting is:

- Empowering families and communities in an effort to improve nutrition services independently by involving various related sectors.
- Increase the knowledge and skills and capacity of families and village communities in efforts to prevent and control stunting
- Empowering the community in an effort

to increase food security, economic empowerment, improve hygiene and healthy living habits in support of stunting reduction.

The main activities of RGG include sensitive and specific activities in the prevention of stunting, as follows:

- Nutrition service activities and increasing nutritional intake from family meals, namely: (i) routine education/counseling, (ii) family menu review (analysis of energy and nutrient availability), (iii) assistance in family menu preparation, (iv) monitoring of Local PMT consumption, (v) PKK and posyandu cadres supervised by Village Nutrition Assistants/TPGD, as well as (vi) distribution and supervision of supplementation (Vit A, Iron Tablets) and other interventions.
- Educational activities and capacity building, namely: (i) PMBA counseling for children and nutritional counseling for pregnant and breastfeeding women, (ii) PMBA module and balanced nutrition module for pregnant and breastfeeding women, (iii) parenting and stimulation of growth and development, development of simple tools for cadres, and (iv) Food Monitoring Cards (KMM) conducted by posyandu cadres, supervised by Village Nutrition Assistants/TPGD, with 1:3 or 1:5 ratio.



Picture A2
Documentation of Rumoh Gizi Gampong Activities.

5. OMABA Cooking Center: A Bottom-Up Solution To Eliminate Stunting

By Government of Bandung City

Meanwhile, the Regional Government of Bandung City, West Java Province implemented the OMABA Cooking Center Program: A Bottom-Up Solution To Eliminate Stunting. The main activity of this program is to produce healthy food for consumption of malnourished children, sent by motor transportation. This program has succeeded in increasing public awareness of the need to rapidly reduce the prevalence of stunting. The lesson from this program is that cooperation between stakeholders is one of the keys to the success of reducing stunting.

In an effort to eliminate stunting, the Government of Bandung City has initiated the OMABA Cooking Center Program in Cisaranten Kidul Village, Bandung City since 1 January 2013. This program is an initiative of cadres of the Riung Health Center Technical Implementation Unit, Cisaranten Kidul Village and Cisaranten Kidul Village PKK, with material assistance and guidance from PT. Pertamina and the Government of Bandung City.

The stunting rate in West Java is still higher than the national level. Cisaranten Kidul Urban Village has a high prevalence of stunting. In 2013, of the 29 cases of stunting in Gedebage District, 19 cases occurred in Cisaranten Kidul Urban Village.

OMABA Cooking Center produces healthy meals for the consumption of severely malnourished children targeted by the Supplement-Recovery Feeding (PMP-T) program. The processed products from OMABA's kitchen were then sent to the children using motor transportation. This program was triggered by the impression that the implementation of the PMT-P program in this urban village was ineffective, because the food provided in the form of formula milk was often not consumed by the target children, but was sold to small shops. In addition, the limited food budget for targeted children is also an obstacle,

especially considering the scattered locations of the targeted children. The OMABA program specifically helps food access for children who are stunted and helps ensure good nutrition. The target of this program is children who have previously received PMP-T assistance but have not experienced a change in weight growth.

The OMABA program also demonstrates that collaboration between the government, the private sector and the community can help create positive results for government programs. Community participation in food preparation and assistance not only creates attractive and healthy nutritional intake for target children, but also ensures that food reaches the children targeted by the PMT-P program. Participation in this program, both as recipients and implementers, has also created awareness in the community about the importance of good nutrition for children.

Source:

- Amalia, S. D. (2017). Proses Pelayanan Kesehatan Ojek Makanan Balita (Omaba) Sebagai Bentuk Upaya Pengentasan Gizi Buruk Balita (Studi Kasus Upt Puskesmas Riung Cisaranten Kidul Kota Bandung). Dipetik Februari 16, 2021, dari Brawijaya Knowledge Garden: <http://repository.ub.ac.id/5593/>
- Nurmatari, A. (2016, September 6). Kisah Warga Bandung yang Sukses Berantas Gizi Buruk dengan Ojek Makanan Balita. Dipetik Februari 3, 2021, dari DetikNews: <https://news.detik.com/berita-jawa-barat/d-3292147/kisah-warga-bandung-yang-sukses-berantas-gizi-buruk-dengan-ojek-makanan-balita2>
- Pertamina. (2019, January 25). Balita Sehat Berkat OMABA. Dipetik Februari 3, 2020, dari Pertamina: <https://pertamina.com/id/news-room/csr-news/balita-sehat-berkat-omaba>

6. Bandung Tanginas

By PKK of Bandung City, West Java

Non-governmental organizations also play an active role in efforts to reduce stunting. One of the best practices is the Bandung Tanginas Program (Bandung Responds to Stunting with Safe and Healthy Food) from the Bandung City PKK Steering Team and the Bandung Sehat Forum. The main activities of this program include the socialization of stunting prevention in 30 sub-districts, provision of healthy food intake, capacity building for posyandu cadres, and the Friday Fortune Call. These activities were carried out in partnership with related agencies in the City of Bandung and received funding assistance from several institutions such as the Bandung City Baznas, Bandung City IZI, and Pegadaian Syariah.

In order to achieve Bandung zero stunting, specific interventions were carried out for pregnant women, breastfeeding women, under-five and toddlers, as well as sensitive interventions with economic strengthening through training for stunting families as an independent effort to increase family income. The activities include:



Picture A3.
Documentation of Bandung Tanginas Program

Social media:
@tp_pkk_kota_bandung
#bandungtanginas

1. Socialization of stunting prevention in 30 districts
2. Providing healthy food intake for pregnant women, breastfeeding women, under-five and toddlers (Zero New Stunting)
3. Capacity building for posyandu cadres: basic health services for pregnant women and children (measuring, weighing, providing counseling),
4. Successing a family-based, community-based independent food house (RPM), within Buruan SAE neighborhood: supported by a menu creation competition and Buruan SAE photo competition,
5. Providing training for stunting families as an independent effort to increase family income: training in sewing and hair shaving (20 people per district),
6. Friday Fortune Call: calling pregnant women/breastfeeding women/parents of under-

five in providing motivation and education related to stunting (by the Chairperson and Deputy of TP-PKK Kota Bandung/Healthy Bandung Forum)

7. Bandung SAE Movement (Exclusive Breastfeeding Sadayana): a campaign effort to increase public awareness of exclusive breastfeeding for infants of 0-6 months. Supported by the preparation of an ASI draft plan by the Government of Bandung City.
8. 100 percent ODF movement in Bandung City

In its implementation, this program involves various stakeholders, namely: Bappelitbang, the Office of Population Control and Family Planning, the Office of Women Empowerment and Child Protection, the Health Service, the Agriculture and Food Security Service, and the Kominfo Office. The sponsors of this activity are Baznas of Bandung City, IZI of Bandung City, Pegadaian Syariah, BJB, Rumah Zakat Indonesia.

The beneficiaries of this program include 17,476 posyandu cadres from 1,998 posyandu, 3775 stunting families (pregnant women, breastfeeding women, under-five, toddlers) and 3,775 RWs. The result of this activity is an increase in the nutritional status of the community, especially the stunting target of 1000 HPK; increasing knowledge of cadres and the community about stunting, its causes and ways to prevent it; increasing economic independence of the community and the target families with stunting children, increasing the number of infants who are exclusively breastfed.

The challenges of this program include minimal budget and difficulties in changing people's habitual behavior patterns. This activity is very likely to be replicated in urban and rural areas.

7. Stunting Free Village

By Rumah Zakat

One other best practice carried out by community organizations is the Stunting Free Village Program, which is implemented by Rumah Zakat. The main activity of this program is strengthening the capacity of village health cadres to maximize efforts to fulfill nutrition and reduce the factors that cause infection; with target beneficiaries include toddlers, pregnant women, and breastfeeding women. One of the results of this program is the increased awareness of the community and cadres about the nutritional needs of children under five and the ability to process complementary foods according to nutritional needs.

Stunting-Free Village is a program pioneered by Rumah Zakat, covering 186 villages in Indonesia, starting from January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020. Indonesia has serious nutrition problems in children under five. The prevalence of stunting, wasting, and underweight among children under five is still quite high, especially in rural areas. Rumah Zakat intends to contribute in dealing with these nutritional problems.

Stunting-Free Village is an effort made by the community to free Indonesian children from the threat of stunting, through strengthening the capacity of village health cadres to maximize nutritional fulfillment and reduce infection-causing factors. The targets of the Stunting Free Village are toddlers, pregnant women and



Picture A4
Documentation of Stunting Free Village Program and example of its pamphle

breastfeeding women. This program assists 526 posyandu throughout Indonesia. Activities in the Stunting-Free Village include monitoring the growth and development of children under five, providing additional nutrition, providing intensive nutrition for toddlers with nutritional problems, facilitating access to health services for toddlers with comorbidities, and providing additional supplements. In addition, there are activities for pregnant and breastfeeding women in the form of supplementation, supplementary feeding, education, nutrition consultation and mentoring. Education and workshops/training were also held for cadres or free stunting agents.

Activities in the Stunting Free Village began with a data collection process and coordination with related stakeholders such as the Puskesmas and the local village government. The next process is building Rumah Gizi to provide complementary foods. The provision of complementary foods employs mutual cooperation system, where products are sold to families who can afford



it and the benefits will be given to toddlers who have nutritional problems. In addition, the program provides Mother Class for Toddlers, cooking classes and regular monitoring of the toddler's weight and height.

Throughout 2020, the Stunting-Free Village Program has assisted 2,366 Posyandu cadres and held 466 training for them. Through this program, assistance was provided to 18,725 children under five, 496 with stunting, 72 with wasting and 204 with underweight. The program also provided counseling for mothers about child nutrition and immunization to 224 children under five. The Stunting-Free Village also makes the community and cadres better understand the nutritional needs of children under five, and are able to process

complementary foods according to nutritional needs.

The lessons learned in implementing a Stunting-Free Village is the limited program implementation due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Toddler monitoring activities were carried out by visiting the toddler's house directly or to a limited number of associations.

This program can be replicated and carried out in other areas with the same process, starting from data collection to program implementation and can be carried out similarly. The opportunity for replication is quite large, as can be seen from the distribution of the program, which to date has reached 186 points throughout Indonesia.





GOAL 3

GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 3

1. 5NG Program (JateNG GayeNG NginceNG WoNG MeteNG)

By Government of Central Java Province

This program was carried out by the Government of Central Java Province since 1 January 2016 and is still ongoing today. The purpose of this program is to increase the awareness of Central Java residents to monitor pregnant women living in the vicinity, given that the Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR) and Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) in Central Java Province are still high.

The activity includes observation and monitoring of the mother's condition which is divided into 4 (four) phases, namely: (1) the pre-pregnancy phase, (2) the pregnancy phase, (3) the labor phase, and (4) the postpartum phase. Health conditions and nutritional consumption of pregnant women will continue to be monitored by health workers.

The positive impact of this program

is that there has been a significant decrease in MMR and IMR in Central Java Province. MMR decreased by 14% per year and IMR decreased from 5,485 (2016) to 4481 (2018). The success of this program attracted USAID's attention to provide additional funding through the Jalin program. With the collaboration of USAID and 5NG, it is hoped that the impact of this program will be wider so that it can reduce stunting, improve food and conditions for pregnant women and babies.



Picture A6
Example Public Communication of 5NG Program

Source:

- [https://dinkesjatengprov.go.id/v2018/2019/03/12/program-inovasi-unggulan-5ng-jateng-gayeng-nginceng-wong-meteng/#:~:text=Program%205Ng%20\(JateNG%20GayeNG%20NginceNG,ibu%20selamat%2C%20dan%20bayi%20sehat](https://dinkesjatengprov.go.id/v2018/2019/03/12/program-inovasi-unggulan-5ng-jateng-gayeng-nginceng-wong-meteng/#:~:text=Program%205Ng%20(JateNG%20GayeNG%20NginceNG,ibu%20selamat%2C%20dan%20bayi%20sehat)
- <https://jatengprov.go.id/publik/pandemi-covid-19-jangan-abaikan-nginceng-wong-meteng-dan-imunisasi-anak/>

Replication opportunities in other areas are still possible. Moreover, with the assistance from the central government, local government and the private sector, the positive impact will be felt by other local communities.

2. Youth innovations in the field of HIV digital information technology

By UNAIDS



Picture A.5
Ask Marlo feature Documentation

Young people are an age group at high risk of HIV in Indonesia. Based on data on the HIV epidemic in Indonesia, of the 32,000 new HIV infections, as many as 50% came from young people aged 15-24 years. Through this program, UNAIDS hopes to be able to reach young people to get information about HIV based on technology and social media.

UNAIDS launched "Ask Marlo" and "I Dare" programs where people can ask questions about HIV and get answers instantly. Counselors can also be accessed via chatbots, social media and this website page. So, this program received appreciation from the Indonesian Ministry of Health and the DKI Jakarta Health Service.

More than 800 people have been referred to HIV testing services in Indonesia. The "Ask Marlo" and "I Dare" programs have been accessed in 20 districts/cities. Opportunities for replication of this activity are additional counselors in areas with a high HIV epidemic level. The cooperation between the government and the private sector is expected to increase the effectiveness of this program.

Source:
https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/featurestories/2019/march/20190329_ask_marlo
<https://mediaindonesia.com/teknologi/207101/tanya-marlo-chatbot-hiv aids-pertama-di-dunia>

3. Unlearning Ableism Human Rights Day 2020

By SCORP-CIMSA

Human Rights Day 2020 was held by SCORP-CIMSA with the theme "Unlearning Ableism". Ableism is all forms of discrimination or prejudice against persons with disabilities. The general public considers ableism to often occur and affects the daily lives of persons with disabilities, however, they are still less aware of issues related to the issues, rights and protection of persons with disabilities against ableism.

Therefore, SCORP-CIMSA, which is an organization of medical students in Indonesia, carries the theme "Unlearning Ableism" to increase the role of youth in action against discrimination and promote equal rights for persons with disabilities in Indonesia.

The activity includes social media campaign on the Instagram platform @cimsaindonesia,



Picture A7
Documentation of Human Right Day
Online Conference

quizzes, twibbon challenges, video interviews with administrators of the Indonesian Association of Persons with Disabilities (PPDI) and Amnesty International, ending with Instagram Live with Marthella Rivera and Rezki Achyana as inspirational young figures with disability accompanied by Sign Language Interpreters to discuss challenges of equality rights for persons with disabilities in Indonesia. Live Instagram was watched by >400 accounts and reruns of this activity were viewed by >1,100 accounts.

These activities make it possible for organizations or individuals who have concerns in the field of human rights to participate. The platform that is currently used by the public to conduct various webinars or online counseling makes this activity easy to replicate with various innovations that can be added. Unlearning Ableism can be one of the pioneers in voicing the equal rights of persons with disabilities.

4. Muhammadiyah COVID-19 Command Center (MCCC): Muhammadiyah's Contribution in Handling Covid-19

By Muhammadiyah

Muhammadiyah COVID-19 Command Center (MCCC) is a special team formed by the Pimpinan Pusat Muhammadiyah (Muhammadiyah Central Leadership) to handle COVID-19. Various programs for handling COVID-19 have been carried out by Muhammadiyah-'Aisyiyah as a form of contribution from civil society in overcoming the COVID-19 problem.

Since COVID-19 cases began to be found in Indonesia, Muhammadiyah and 'Aisyiyah have provided a hospital that is a referral service for handling COVID-19. To date, 86 number of Muhammadiyah and 'Aisyiyah Hospitals continue to provide COVID-19 case services in 12 provinces (DIY, Central Java, West Java, East Java, DKI Jakarta, Lampung, South Sumatra, West Sumatra, Central Kalimantan, South Kalimantan, South Sulawesi, and West Nusa Tenggara).

As of 16 May 2021, as many as 3,774 Orang dalam Pengawasan/ODP (Person Under Supervision), 3,366 Pasien Dalam Pengawasan /PDP (Patient Under Supervision), 2,684

Probable, 13,914 suspects, 17,820 people are confirmed positive for COVID-19 have been treated at 86 Muhammadiyah-'Aisyiyah Hospitals. In addition, there are self-isolation services provided by Muhammadiyah-'Aisyiyah in DI Yogyakarta and Jakarta with a total of 648 service users. Regarding the COVID-19 vaccination program, a total of 60 Muhammadiyah-'Aisyiyah hospitals have vaccinated 68,208 people.

In the provision of health services, Muhammadiyah-'Aisyiyah distributed masks, gloves, N95 masks, cover all, cloth masks, goggles glasses, rapid tests, face shields, long plastic, and shoe covers to Muhammadiyah-

'Aisyiyah hospitals and clinics.

In handling COVID-19, Muhammadiyah-'Aisyiyah has initiated various programs for the community, such as: distributing masks to 1,140,936 people; spraying disinfectants at 49,211 points; distributing hand sanitizers to 898,367 people; providing ready-to-eat food to 61,878 people; serving 41,558 patients; cash assistance of IDR 959,666,500; distributing rice assistance of 26,160 kg; free sahoor and takjil to 12,920 people; distributing infrared thermometers; distributing disinfectants to 2,895 people; distributing portable sinks assistance to 26,500 people; dissemination and education to the broader community, providing psychosocial services to 1,788 people, providing guide books for worship during the COVID-19 pandemic,

providing Muhammadiyah self-isolation shelters, providing COVID-19 guidebooks, providing food security programs, distributing vegetable seeds to 20,000 people, providing educational program by using cars, providing call center services, providing volunteer education, and distributing medical devices.

Muhammadiyah-'Aisyiyah collaborated with various parties in handling Covid-19, and collected donations, one of them is through Lazismu. The total donations collected were IDR 30,946,853,464 and Lazismu Center's donations were IDR 8,948,388,508. From the various programs that have been implemented by MCCC, the total value is IDR 346,331,834,234, with a total of 31,907,519 beneficiaries.

5. Community Tuberculosis Care Support for people affected with Tuberculosis through a pilot Mental Health and Psychosocial Support program

By 'Aisyiyah

Since COVID-19 pandemic emerged, most Tuberculosis patients and people affected with TB often face increasing fear of being infected by Corona virus – apart from the fear of Tuberculosis itself. In order to help addressing this problem, 'Aisyiyah, through its Community Tuberculosis program established a pilot project called Mental Health and Psychosocial Support (MHPSS) in October 2020.

MHPSS used the basic knowledge and skills of Tuberculosis patient supporters and case managers in assisting TB patients through every treatment. These basic skills were enriched with the collaboration of Muhammadiyah COVID-19 Command Centre (MCCC) who offered their psychologists to provide necessary counselling. While MCCC provided psychologist in the national level for online specialist counselling, 'Aisyiyah provided volunteers in 14 provinces to perform an online/virtual Psychological First Aid (PFA) and refer more complex mental and psychosocial cases to the psychologist.

These volunteers were recruited and given brief training and workshop about how to assess the stress level of the clients and how to provide the PFA. The workshop was done on the same event with the launching of the program. It was attended by all the PFA volunteers, patient supporters, and some representatives of Tuberculosis patients and families. The launching event's main purpose was to introduce the MHPSS services to the Tuberculosis -affected people so that they know where to seek help whenever they feel the fear of the stress.

The results obtained were:

1. 15 PFA volunteers were recruited with one in national level, and the other 14 in 'Aisyiyah's Community TB care program areas,

2. By the end of the 6-week pilot program, there were 8 cases recorded and handled by the PFA volunteers; 4 cases were from female TB patients, and the other 4 were from male TB patients,
3. The discovery of mental and psychosocial problems among Tuberculosis patients such as: (a) their lack of knowledge and information regarding how to deal with the TB treatment services and side effects; (b) stigma towards themselves that was induced by the fear of stigma from their societies; and (c) the fear of going to the

health facilities due to COVID-19 pandemic.

From this pilot program, we know that MHPSS can be very beneficial and effective for Tuberculosis -affected people (especially during this COVID-19 pandemic) if only it had more time to implement so that it could reached more broader Tuberculosis-affected people. In addition, had the PFA volunteers enough knowledge and capacity of Tuberculosis care and prevention, the program would have run more easily and more people would engage in the program.

6. Tuberculosis cadre stories

By Aisyiyah

In 2020, 'Aisyiyah's Tuberculosis Care program has had over 5000 active cadres who have been involved as the front liners in the Tuberculosis case finding, contact investigation, and TB treatment assistance. Some of these cadres are former Tuberculosis patients who aspire to take part in the Tuberculosis elimination program. Some others are also one of the essential parts in 'Aisyiyah board members.

The former TB patient who became cadre was Marzuki, a 35-year-old man from Probolinggo district. He was really eager to educate the society where he came from about what TB is and how it can be dangerous if people are not well-aware about this disease. Other than that, he knew it first-hand how it feels to be in Tuberculosis treatment and how essential it is to have social and family support during the treatment.

Marzuki established DINDA WOW (Didatangi, Nasehati, Dampingi Warga Oleh dan untuk Warga) which basically consisted of 30 members included housewives from his society and focused on the door-to-door Tuberculosis education and stigma eradication. This innovation has become indispensable approach in Puskesmas Tegalisan, Probolinggo in case finding and Tuberculosis education.

One 'Aisyiyah organization members who became cadre was Siti Maria, a 67-year-old woman from Medan, North Sumatera. She was one of the oldest cadres who have been the front-liners of Tuberculosis program since the beginning of 'Aisyiyah's Tuberculosis program in 2009. Despite her age, she still has strong courage to take part in Tuberculosis elimination program in Medan. She has various ways to educate people about Tuberculosis – from local faith-based gathering, to schools. She also is actively involved in helping Puskesmas to deliver medication to the patients, and any other activities to support the Tuberculosis treatment success.

Maria was lucky, she is still healthy, strong, and has 4 children and 9 grandchildren who have always been supporting what she does until today. It is all because of the persistence and success in assisting and supporting Tuberculosis patients after 10 years of being a cadre in Medan. In 2020, she was given appreciation of being the best cadre from 'Aisyiyah due to her persistence and perseverance.



GOAL 8

DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 8

1. Mamuju MSMEs become Independent and Successful After the Earthquake

By Indonesian Institute of Small and Medium Enterprises Strategic Study (LKS UKMI)

At the beginning of 2021, there was an earthquake which affected people in Mamuju District, West Sulawesi. In the middle of the recovery process due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, this incident paralyzed the economy, including MSMEs in this area. This encourages various parties to move to help the recovery process of MSMEs in Mamuju District, including the Indonesian Institute of Small and Medium Enterprises Strategic Study (LKS UKMI).



LKS UKMI is an institution that is engaged in fostering and developing MSMEs in Mamuju District, West Sulawesi since October 2010. This institution has collaborated with the BUMN Creative House as part of the BUMN Ministry program until 2017 to work together to develop MSMEs, help them grow, be independent and

successful. In the process of awakening from the impact of the pandemic and earthquake disaster, as the progenitor of MSMEs in Mamuju, LKS UKMI tries to continue providing assistance to MSME actors. Even though the conditions were not as comfortable as before, LKS UKMI still tried to become a home for MSMEs in Mamuju.

As a result of the earthquake, the LKS UKMI Building was destroyed and could not be used as a place to market MSME products. Therefore, LKS UKMI is currently focused on rebuilding houses for MSME actors. LKS UKMI also continues to develop previously designed coaching programs and create new programs that are more applicable and strategic. In addition, LKS UKMI also presents a showroom and prepares production tools for MSMEs as well as presenting MSME products in Mamuju tourism destinations. In its activities, LKS UKMI also collaborates with local agencies and related stakeholders. Its activities are focused on helping MSMEs that produce food and beverages, clothing, and handicrafts.

This post-earthquake recovery program will be implemented between 1 July 2021 – 1 July 2022. In its implementation, LKS UKMI will also focus on presenting a digital economy ecosystem for MSME players in Mamuju. The hope is that in the short term, this program will be able to help MSMEs in Mamuju to become independent and successful again so that they can improve the social and economic welfare of the community. This program can also be applied to other areas experiencing disasters in Indonesia so that they can become a resilient economic center.

2. Local Innovation, Love Your Local Products: Endek Goes International

By Chairman of the Bali Province PKK Steering Team, Industry and Trade Office, Regional National Craft Council

As an area that is very popular with its tourism, Bali is an area that has been severely affected by the pandemic because not only the tourism sector but also other supporting sectors have felt the impact of the reduced tourist visits during the pandemic period. One of those affected is the craft-producing MSME players in Bali. Therefore, efforts to rise from the pandemic period are carried out in order to empower MSME actors and improve community welfare.

One form of this effort is to encourage campaigns and innovations to love local products, namely the Endek fabric, which is one of Bali's cultural heritage. This innovation aims to increase the marketing of Endek Bali handicrafts by utilizing information technology so that it is more recognized at the international level. This innovation was carried out by the Head of the Bali Province PKK Steering Team, the Department of Industry and Trade in synergy

with the Bali Regional National Craft Council (Dekranasda), which is supported by other parties such as the Office of Cooperatives, Small and Medium Enterprises, the Regional Development Planning Agency, the Financial and Regional Assets Management Agency, Banking Parties, and Balimall (e-marketplace).

This program focuses on mentoring, coaching and assisting Endek craftsmen MSME. In addition, this program also facilitates the fulfillment of production raw materials and capital as well as marketing to penetrate the export market. In this program, Endek handicrafts are modified to attract domestic tourists by applying Endek in the form of ready-to-use creative products such as apparel, bags, wallets and sandals. On the other hand, Endek is also produced in sheet fabric which is more attractive to foreign tourists.



Picture A9. Signing of the Memorandum of Understanding (MSP) regarding the Statement of Intent between the Bali Provincial Government of the Republic of Indonesia and Christian Dior Couture S.A



Picture A10. Fashion Show for the Spring/Summer 2021 Collection at the Paris Fashion Week Using Endek Fabrics

As an effort to revive MSME products in the midst of a pandemic, MSME players are facilitated through exhibitions with a hybrid pattern, namely a combination of "offline" and "online" to introduce superior products. This activity aims to provide space for local designers to continue working to raise the potential of Balinese traditional woven fabrics as well as to encourage MSME players to produce products that can promote Balinese "brand". In terms of payment transactions, this activity is also supported by Bank BPD Bali with Bank BPD Bali QRIS service which is a banking service for Bank BPD Bali in the context of implementing the Standard National Quick Response Code for non-cash payment transactions.

One of the moments that Endek is known for at international events is when Christian

Dior uses Endek as one of the elements of his collection which is exhibited at Paris Fashion Week 2020. Seeing this opportunity, the Governor of Bali, Wayan Koster, together with Senior Vice President General Counsel, Marie Champey, made a cooperation agreement to promote expressions of traditional Indonesian culture, especially the use of Balinese Ikat Endek Weaving in Dior products in early 2021. In this agreement, it was agreed that the Endek Balinese cloth or wastra used shall be handmade by weavers in Bali using a loom. In addition, Christian Dior also fully respects the Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) of Endek Bali cloth. This agreement will further introduce Endek crafts and Balinese culture in general to foreign communities and is expected to be able to encourage economic recovery in Bali.

3. COVID Response by Sampoerna

By PT HM Sampoerna Tbk

The COVID-19 pandemic besides having a fairly large impact on the economy, thus encouraging the active involvement of various parties to overcome this impact. Through the umbrella of Sampoerna's sustainability program for Indonesia, PT HM Sampoerna Tbk has taken an active role in reducing the spread of COVID-19 by providing support for employees, business partners and communities in operational areas. In implementing its programs, Sampoerna collaborates with corporate social responsibility partners such as the Stapa Center, the Rumah Kita Foundation Sidoarjo, the Senyum Untuk Negeri Foundation and the Business Export Development Organization (BEDO), as well as the Task Force for the Acceleration of COVID-19 Handling, the National Disaster Management Agency (BNPB), KADIN, as well as national and regional governments.

In the early stages, a mapping of the needs in Sampoerna's operational areas was carried out (in the context of this program, in Java and Bali). Then, Sampoerna distributed assistance to various hospitals and communities, in the form of 8,900 complete personal protective equipment, more than 13 million medical/non-medical masks, building more than 55 hand washing facilities, thousands of liters of disinfectant liquid, more than 385,000 hand antiseptic fluids, distributing 19,500 food packages and more than 140 tons of rice to the community. Sampoerna also donated 7 PCR machines and accessories and 14 ventilators.

Sampoerna is also committed to continuing support for MSMEs in Indonesia through more than 130,000 traditional grocery stores that are members of the Sampoerna Retail Community (SRC). Assistance was provided in the form of education on health and safety protocols, distribution of more than 650,000 non-medical masks and 80,000 liters of hand sanitizers, and creation of cashier partitions to ensure

physical distance from consumers. On the other hand, Sampoerna through the Sampoerna Entrepreneurship Training Center (SETC) which has been operating since 2007, provides online training that raises the topic of practical ways for MSMEs to survive during a pandemic. In addition, support is also provided in the form of food security and developing productive synergies with several stakeholders related to accelerating national economic growth through increasing the competitiveness of MSMEs. Sampoerna also paid attention to former Indonesian migrant workers affected by the pandemic by providing assistance in the form of 10,000 hygiene packages consisting of 20,000 non-medical masks, 10,000 bottles of hand sanitizers, and 10,000 drawstring bags made by SETC-assisted MSMEs.

Until December 2020, Sampoerna noted that the beneficiaries of this program, including hospitals, medical personnel and the general public, were as many as 1 million beneficiaries. In its implementation, increasing public awareness of the importance of implementing applicable health protocols is a challenge that needs to be faced. This program can be replicated by increasing the collaboration of more parties and at various levels of society.



4. Inclusive Sustainable Economic Development (ISED)


By Ministry of PPN/Bappenas and GIZ

This program is a collaboration between Indonesia and Germany through Bappenas and GIZ to implement an inclusive and sustainable business model. This includes developing the human resources needed to strengthen the capacities of actors and create jobs in the private and public sectors. ISED seeks to support and promote an inclusive and sustainable job market.

In general, this program is implemented through several strategies, namely (i) formulating policies for inclusive job creation; (ii) strengthening labor market supply at regional and sectoral levels; (iii) expanding the implementation of an inclusive and sustainable business model; and (iv) increasing cooperation between the government and the private sector. This program involves ministries and institutions such as Bappenas, Ministry of UKM, Ministry of Creative Economy, Ministry of Industry, Ministry of Villages and PDT as well as other parties such as the NTB Regional Government, KADIN, the private sector, academics, and village communities. The targets of this program are people in Bilebante Village, Central Lombok, West Nusa Tenggara Province as well as business actors and workers in the tourism and manufacturing sectors.

This program is able to increase skills and job opportunities for business actors and workers, increase business and employment in the tourism and manufacturing sectors, and increase inclusive business in the tourism sector in NTB. The implementation of the program encountered several challenges, including the lack of community awareness to do business and work as well as the minimal involvement of village communities in village development. On the other hand, cooperation with the private sector to hold training is also quite challenging to implement. ISED also prepares an exit strategy in the form of a village development guide that can be used as a reference for other stakeholders. In general, this activity has the opportunity to be replicated in other potential villages as well as collaborating with other parties.





GOAL 10

REDUCED INEQUALITIES

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 10

1. Mentor Facility to Investigate and Prosecute TIP Crimes in Indonesia

By International Organization for Migration (IOM)

Since 2007, Indonesia has shown its commitment to eradicate the Crime of Trafficking in Persons (TIP) by enacting Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of TIP and instructing the government at the national and regional levels to form an Anti-Trafficking Task Force (ATTF) through a Presidential Regulation No. 69/2008, and in addition to existing laws, in 2009, the Government of Indonesia ratified the Palermo Protocol. While substantial efforts have been made to improve the protection and criminal justice system for victims of trafficking through the involvement of law enforcement agencies in the ATTF, Indonesia has shown limited progress in investigating and prosecuting TIP cases as reported by the US Department of State Trafficking in Persons Report 2019.

Although there has been some progress in the number of cases investigated, the rates of sentences imposed on perpetrators are less than permitted under the Criminal Code, and prosecution has focused primarily on individual perpetrators rather than transnational crimes, syndicates or end user companies. Some of these limitations have been highlighted in a legal review of the implementation of Law No.

21/2007 conducted by IOM and passed by the Attorney General of the Republic of Indonesia in August 2016. This legal review highlights several aspects related to the criminal justice sector such as: the need to improve the quality of victim-witness statements, increase efforts to trace the property and assets of the perpetrator, increase efforts to provide state compensation for victims of trafficking if provided with restitution. The law can neither execute nor prosecute superiors for their role in a TIP case, brings to trial legal entities with legal status or improves intra and inter-agency coordination.

In the process of implementing this activity, IOM has supported the Government of Indonesia to develop a TIP Investigation and Prosecution Manual, as well as Guidelines for Law Enforcement and Protection of Witnesses and/or Victims in Handling the Crime of Trafficking in Persons. IOM also trained 161 prosecutors led by 7 trained trainers across the country on handling TIP cases, in line with Law no. 21/2007, where the need to continue capacity building in the prosecution of TIP can be widely observed outside the project.

Furthermore, in the 2018 to 2020 period, IOM and the Government of Indonesia have designed, developed and launched a sustainable capacity building program for prosecutors through an innovative Mentor Facility that pairs experienced prosecutors or mentors with students. The Mentor Facility is a programme for experienced TIP practitioners to guide their counterparts in a sustained, hands-on, and practical manner in handling TIP cases. In coordination with the Attorney General's Office Center for Education and Trainings (BAKTIKLAT), IOM developed the e-learning platform that are used as one of the learning tools by the selected mentors and protégés during the pilot of the facility. The e-learning platform was used by the mentors and protégés to learn all elements of TIP proceedings and resources on handling TIP cases. It serves as an interactive platform for protégés to obtain information and consult with experienced mentors on TIP issues and case handlings. Each of the six interactive materials in the e-learning platform were also available in a webinar format and equipped with post-material tests to measure the understanding of protégés towards the material in the e-learning platform. There were 14 protégés directly selected by BAKTIKLAT, who were all required to take a final test to obtain a certificate of completion.

As part of the Mentor Facility programs, IOM facilitated 14 in-person meetings between the mentors and protégés from December 2019 to February 2020. The meetings were organized to support each pair of mentors and proteges, and served as a place for in-depth mentoring, case troubleshooting, and networking opportunities. Some protégés took this opportunity to seek practical guidance from their respective mentor on the TIP case they were handling. Some of them also used the meeting to re-share the TIP-related information gained from the Mentor Facility to other prosecutors or even to the community nearby.

In March 2020, the evaluation meeting on the implementation of the Mentor Facility offered several recommendations that could be useful

for improving the criminal justice system in prosecuting TIP cases. These recommendations consist of:

1. Establishment of an open access knowledge sharing platform with TIP-based topics to increase the capacity of law enforcement officials,
2. Increase the capacity of law enforcement officers to investigate TIP with basic investigative skills in following procedures for confiscating money and assets, and
3. Improve intra and inter-institutional coordination to support the investigation and prosecution process, including through integrated policies in the field of criminal justice, especially in restitution and asset confiscation.

In addition, all participants agreed that the whole programme was effective, especially for the protégés since it allowed them to still actively learn without having to hold off their main duty as prosecutor in their office. The protégés also pointed out other benefits from the programme, for instance they could discuss active cases directly with TIP experts and experienced prosecutors through various platforms offered in the programme including through webinars and the WhatsApp group. As a result, all protégés agreed that the programme has been helpful and practical since the knowledge could be applied directly to their work. In fact, many prosecutors protégés have been considered as TIP experts in their respective office due to their good knowledge on TIP issues.

2. Advancing Measures to Prosecute TIP (AMPUH)

By International Organization for Migration (IOM)

The 2019 TIP report notes ongoing gaps, such as a reduced number of investigations, prosecutions and punishments for TIP cases, low availability and lack of coordinated implementation of rehabilitation services for VOT, reduced allocation of adequate resources for anti-TIP efforts at the national and local levels, lack of robust victim identification procedures. Indonesia is the source, transit and destination country of TIP which affects men, women and children throughout the country. TIP for the purposes of forced labor, child sex trafficking and forced marriage are very common. Through the ongoing AMPUH project, IOM will contribute to the overall efforts put forward by the Government of Indonesia, particular through:

1. Strengthening the capacity of the Government of Indonesia to effectively prosecute TIP crimes,
2. The Indonesian government and CSOs proactively identify and protect VOT through a referral mechanism,
3. Trafficking survivors are economically independent, psychosocial stable equipped to face (Return) the drivers of migration

First, this program is aimed at strengthening the capacity of the Government of Indonesia in prosecuting TIP crimes effectively. Thus, through the project, IOM collaborates with the Supreme Court to develop the training manual for judges on TIP and will provide capacity development activities for judges on TIP prosecution. In addition, IOM will closely work with law enforcement agencies, the judiciary, and the Coordinating Ministry for Political, Law and Security Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia to establish an integrated data system on the investigation, prosecution, and punishment of TIP. As complementary efforts, IOM is currently developing training materials and will later conduct trainings for university legal clinic practitioners to provide legal counseling and pro-bono assistance to VOT.

To achieve the second objective, IOM with the Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection, as the daily chair of the National Anti-

Trafficking Task Force, are updating the SOP on integrated services for victim and/or witness of TIP. Following this, a series of trainings will be held for service providers at the national and local level on victim identification and referral to rehabilitation services and comprehensive assistance as in line with the updated SOP.

As the third component of the project, IOM will continue to complement the government's fund to deliver tailored assistance to victims of trafficking. The assistance will aim towards trafficking survivors' economic independence, recovery of their social and psychosocial state, in order to equip them in facing the drivers of migration. In providing the direct services, IOM works closely with NGOs and government service providers to ensure the fulfilment of victims' rights. In parallel to this, NGOs service providers' capacities will also be developed to provide victim-centered and trauma-informed services.



GOAL 12

RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 12

1. Community-Based Independent Trash Management

By PT. Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mills – Karawang (APP Sinar Mas)

Even though the Indonesian government has taken initiatives for waste management, this problem cannot be resolved without the awareness of community members about responsible waste management. Changes in the mindset and behavior of both individuals and society in general, especially in managing waste responsibly, are the main things needed to achieve success in solving the waste issue in Indonesia. PT. Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mills (PDK), as one of the companies under Asia Pulp & Paper (APP) Sinar Mas identifies waste as one of the main problems of the community around its area. In 2016, PDK developed a community-based independent waste management program through the formation of a waste management group called "Sahabat Lingkungan Independent Garbage Group (KSM)". This program is focused on around 300 households, especially in Sukaluyu Village, Karawang District. Not only collecting waste, this KSM develops its goal of turning waste into economic opportunities.



Picture A11
Trash management

This program maintains close coordination with the local government, which provides support in the form of construction of trash bins, composter machines and the purchase of motorbikes for waste collection activities. Until 2019, around 3,150 households have been involved in this program. The beneficiaries of this program are the people around Sukaluyu Village, Karawang District, totaling around 7,000 families. Sahabat Lingkungan KSM is able to get a net income of

around IDR 6,000,000 per month from the sale of non-organic waste to the recycling industry. This group is also able to create jobs such as scavenging, as well as other job opportunities in the facility. The net income earned by the group is used for infrastructure projects and to expand their activities to other fields by cultivating catfish. For each catfish harvest cycle, this group is able to generate income of IDR 20-25 million with a net profit of IDR 6-8 million. This waste bank group has been running for about 4 years and receives about 2 tonnes of waste every day collected from 7,000 households.

KSM collaborates with various stakeholders such as the Environmental Office, Public Works and Public Housing Office, the Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK), the private sector and universities. This collaboration is a strategic step to ensure program development and sustainability. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the waste bank operational activities continued as usual. Sales of sorted waste which have economic value have decreased slightly due to reduced demand from partners. Even so, Sahabat Lingkungan KSM continues to support community-based waste management

activities in other locations. One such initiative is the development of TPS3R in Kuta Negara Village supported by the Ministry of Public Works through the Citarum Harum Program, which aims to replicate community-based waste management as managed by Sahabat Lingkungan KSM. This integrated waste management program is a clear example of how local communities can contribute significantly to achieving the national target of reducing plastic waste by 70% and managing 70% of waste by 2025.

2. Overcoming Waste Problems through Community-Based Waste Banks

By PT. Eka Mas Fortuna (APP Sinar Mas)

Garbage is generally seen as dirty with no value. This limited thinking is what causes waste management in Indonesia to lag behind other countries. Waste management in general still follows the concept of collect, transport, throw away - which causes most of the waste to end up in the landfill. The accumulation of garbage without proper management requires additional space for landfills, which results in the need for expensive equipment to protect the surrounding environment from its negative effects, especially odors. In collaboration with the Gampingan Bersinar Waste Bank Group, the Malang District Environmental Office and the Garbage Entrepreneur Association, PT. Ekamas Fortuna as a part of Asia Pulp & Paper (APP) Sinar Mas through its CSR program initiated a waste bank program in the Malang District area.

The program was initially implemented on privately owned land, but as its development has progressed, this program has been transferred to community-owned land and is supported by the entire village. This program is designed to address educational and socialization issues related to waste collection and management for the entire community. Gampingan Bersinar Bank Group hires scavengers to collect garbage from households, schools, health centers and businesses. Once collected, the amount of waste that can be recycled is recorded and then exchanged for money. The Gampingan Bersinar Group then sells the waste to a recycling facility as a source of income. The profits collected are used to finance the operations of the waste bank, the rest is shared among members. PT

Ekamas Fortuna supports group activities through capacity building, provision of new equipment, training and expanding the overall group network. The beneficiaries of this program are the people around the village of Gampingan, which number around 300 families. KSM collaborates with various stakeholders such as the Department of Environment, Ministry of Forestry and Environment, the private sector and universities. This collaboration is a strategic step to ensure program development and sustainability.

Until 2019, 250 households and 15 community organizations in locations such as places of worship, schools, etc. have participated in the waste bank program. The immediate result is a



clean and healthy environment. The Waste Bank is now managed by the village government as one of the Village-Owned Enterprises called BUMDes Gampingan. Based on these initiatives and progress in the field, in November 2019, Gampingan Village was awarded the Main Category in the Village Climate Program by the Ministry of Environment and Forestry.

- Strong commitment from stakeholders is essential to ensure the sustainability of the program. This helps to ensure that the program will have a significant and positive impact on the community, addressing waste management issues in the surrounding area.
- Ongoing community involvement is essential to gain momentum, support and participation, especially in the early stages of the program. Networking is essential to further open collaboration opportunities as well as increase program implementation and reach.

Community-based waste management programs have tremendous potential to be replicated in other areas. The village-owned business model can be an appropriate framework for ensuring the sustainability and development of these programs. A continuous approach to key figures and environmental activists on waste management issues is needed to secure and expand support from the community. This program is designed to support the commitment of the Government of Indonesia to reduce plastic waste by up to 70%, solid waste by 30%, and ensure management of up to 70% by 2025. Even with the COVID-19 pandemic, waste bank operations are still running as usual. This community-based waste bank group continues to advocate for the importance of good waste management to the surrounding community. This program aims to create a cleaner environment without piles of trash and unpleasant odors. In addition to protecting the environment, the community waste bank program has economic benefits, so that it can improve the welfare of the group.

3. Sustainable Palm Oil Project in West Kalimantan

By BASF and HENKEL

To improve the living conditions of the oil palm farmers, BASF and Henkel have been working with Solidaridad since 2016 and are still ongoing today to provide training to around 5,500 farmers in Indonesia. To date, more than 1,700 smallholders have completed training programs as part of the Farmer Field School (FFS) initiative. A total of 1,559 farmers (31% women) have completed FFS courses on good agricultural practices and sustainable agricultural management. This yields an average of 15 to 15.6 tonnes of Fresh Fruit Bunches/ha/year in less than a year. In addition, the FFS Alumni Association has been established to provide sustainable support to farmers. The pilot group of 200 FFS alumni is now working towards the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) certification.

In addition, financial literacy training has increased small farmers' knowledge of money management, responsible use of financial products and services, investment, and encouraged farmers to save for business capital. The median income of 8,785 alumni (of which 57% were women) increased from € 1,460 to € 1,610 per year (+ 10%). The FFS provides 2,400 farmers with certified seed and high-quality inputs, including subsidized fertilizers, through the K77 agricultural cooperative. About 1,400 members regularly receive SMS messages about prices and training as well as the value of the professionalism of the cooperative developed through the capacity building program.

Further information is available at www.henkel.com/palmoil and <http://bit.ly/palm-dialog>.

4. Bumi Maluku Lestari Waste Bank

By PT Pertamina (Persero) DPPU Pattimura

The amount of waste in Negeri Laha is high in volume (approximately 3 tons per day on average) based on the estimated population of 1,075 households. However, the number of bins is limited and there is no temporary trash can available, while public awareness is still low to dispose of garbage in its place. Furthermore, the amount of waste, especially plastic waste sent from the ocean currents, piles up in the area. There is a group called Bumi Maluku Lestari Waste Bank in Negeri Laha which has been established and has been running since 2018 which has management and customers of 40 people. Pertamina has a concern in the environmental sector and Negeri Laha is included in the Ring 1 area of the Pattimura DPPU.

Pattern of community engagement to make the people interested and turn them into loyal customers



Picture A12
Achievement and Challenges of Bumi Maluku Lestari Waste Bank

Strategy: assistance to the Bumi Maluku Lestari Waste Bank group by the CSR team of PT Pertamina DPPU Pattimura, infrastructure support and capacity building for the group's human resources, as well as assisting and bridging the expansion of the stakeholder partnership network. Parties involved: PT

Pertamina DPPU Pattimura, Nature Community, other CSR program assisted groups also run by PT Pertamina DPPU Pattimura, members of the Bumi Maluku Lestari Waste Bank group, DLH Maluku Province, Negeri Laha Government, Negeri Laha Community.




Picture A13
Documentation of Bumi Maluku Lestari Waste Bank Activities

5. Indonesia Circular Economy Forum

By Greeneration Foundation

Circular Economy is a principle that can be used to help achieve the target of Presidential Regulation No. 27/2017 concerning National Strategy and Policy (Jakstranas) for households and household-like waste processing at the national, provincial and district levels, in which the target for waste reduction has been set at 30% and waste management at 70% by 2025. The Indonesia Circular Economy Forum (ICEF), initiated by the Greeneration Foundation, aims to accelerate the transition to the Circular Economy in Indonesia through national and international partnerships. There are four main objectives of ICEF, namely establishing ICEF as the national platform for the Circular Economy in Indonesia, promoting multi-stakeholder commitment and collaboration, promoting international engagement in the Circular Economy, and implementing a circular and sustainable business model in Indonesia.

ICEF was first held in 2017 and takes place annually in partnership with many government agencies in Indonesia, the private sector, associations, communities, and foreign institutions. The total number of ICEF participants from 2017 has reached 1,600 people. Last year, the ICEF pre-event was attended by 848 participants from more than 20 countries. This year, the annual ICEF forum will be held on 21-23 July 2021 with an online scheme with the theme Toward Smart and Sustainable Cities through Circular Economy: Building Resilience during COVID-19 Recovery. In 2020, in connection with the COVID-19 pandemic, the role of circular economy is emphasized in realizing smart and sustainable cities. In addition, a circular economy can contribute to economic recovery without compromising our environmental aspects.



Picture A14
Documentation of ICEF Webinar

ICEF is also a strategic partner of the Ministry of National Development Planning/Bappenas and UNDP in developing the National Strategy for the National Circular Economy in Indonesia. Apart from the annual forum, the 4th ICEF has several series of programs, namely: Circular Jumpstart, National Commitment, and Indonesiacef.id website. Three recommendations to address the challenges of implementing a circular economy in cities include policy & regulation, leadership & commitment, and education & research.

6. Buntah – Soap from Used Cooking Oil

By Tria Fadilla, Gajahlah kebersihan, Buntah.id, KKN of Lampung University in Gumukmas Village

Most of the household and SME sectors still frequently dispose used cooking oil into waterways, even in small amounts. Used cooking oil, commonly known as jelantah, is waste that causes damage due to hydrolysis and oxidation processes. From an environmental perspective, according to the Environmental Management Agency (BPLH), if it is not properly managed, used cooking oil can become B3 waste (hazardous and toxic) which has the potential to poison the ecosystem, disrupt the biological and chemical balance and trigger high pollution due to being discharged into waterways or land. Therefore, a responsible waste management of used cooking oil is necessary to turn it into a more useful product, one of which is used cooking oil soap.

This innovation was initiated by the young generation, Tria Fadilla, involving Gajahlah kebersihan, Buntah.id, Community Service Program (KKN) of the University of Lampung at Gumukmas Village, the Women Farmers Group, Lampung Post, Metro Lampung, the Environmental Office of Lampung Province and Green Hydroponics. During the pandemic, they carried out activities such as promoting or educating through digital platforms and social media, holding offline meetings to discuss further activities and collaborating to carry out the initiative. The number of young people involved in the Buntah program was 15 people.

This program is able to provide assistance in processing waste, especially used cooking oil,



into decorative soap which reduces pollution to the ecosystem. The used cooking oil soap products will increase the average income of the people. The program is also supported through collaboration with community partners, media, and agencies. It helps build the capacity of adults through the women of the Sekar Tanjung farmer group in Pringsewu District by teaching them how to make used cooking oil soap for 10 days, from the planning to the production stage. The number of beneficiaries is currently 30 people. This program will be developed by submitting several proposals for activities with greater impact and starting to carry out monitoring and evaluation stages, used cooking oil banks, building modules, and various other ideas.





GOAL 13

CLIMATE ACTION

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 13

1. Empowerment Grow Green

By Jejak Rimba Raya

This program is carried out in the form of #JejakRimbaRaya campaign to walk a trail together to make the earth, nature, people, & the environment healthier and more conserved through simple Patterns and lifestyles. It also encourages real action to grow green, empower local communities, manage natural resources and help accelerate the achievement of the SDGs in Indonesia. The approaches include conservation (carbon), ecotourism, and planting of nutmeg trees. The program will be implemented in 2021 in Batee Meucanang Village, Labuhan Haji Sub-district, South Aceh District.

Forest is an important place relied on by the locals for their livelihood through agricultural cultivation and various plants that ecologically contribute to the preservation of forest ecosystems and fully empower every plant commodity that has economic and market value. Since the pandemic and in the last few years, we realize that the forest ecosystem has been disrupted by various activities of some humans that damage the environment. One of them is

illegal logging. This has caused damage to the function of the forest ecosystem and several commodity crops of local community farmers have also begun to have a damaged impact. Like the nutmeg plant that began to die instantly and did not bear fruit like in the last 10 years, durian fruit that did not taste sweet or even tasteless when harvested and ripe, as well as many other damaging effects due to human activities that are not environmentally friendly and destructive. Seeing the complexity of this problem, Restu, as a young man with other conscious communities, was moved to create big changes to mitigate the damage to the forest ecosystem through the Jejak Rimba Raya community which was based on research data and continued to find the right solution which we would apply in the future. And all the donations that will be collected through this fundraising will be allocated to create impactful and sustainable changes in environmental sustainability, green growth, empowerment of local communities and based on sustainable development goals as well as environmentally friendly principles.

2. Building Disaster Risk Reduction for City and Community Resilience

By Yayasan Pusat Kajian dan Perlindungan Anak

Save The Children partners with Google.org to build community and children resilience in the face of natural disasters and the climate crisis. This program targets direct beneficiaries of 560,000 people, of which 30% are children who live in disaster-prone areas. The pilot project was carried out in West Java Province, specifically in West Bandung District, Bandung District, and Tasikmalaya District. The selection of pilot areas was based on the vulnerability of the region where 46% of the total national disaster incidents were reported to have occurred in the West Java Region. In addition, West Java is one of the most risk areas of flooding, landslides and extreme weather associated with the climate crisis.

This program implements three strategies which involve stakeholders at the national and subnational levels. At the national level, this program involves members of the steering committee of BNPB, the Ministry of Education and Culture, the Ministry of Villages and PDT, as well as the Coordinating Ministry for Human Development and Culture, while from non-government parties are U-Inspire, UN-Pulse Lab, BBC Media Action, and the Humanitarian Forum Indonesia where 16 religious-based organizations are incorporated. At the sub-national level, this program involves the provincial BPBD and BPBD of the three districts, the BMKG, the Geological Agency and groups of children and youth. Meanwhile, at the site level, this program partners with the West Java LPBI-NU, Village Facilitators, 10 village administrations and 10 schools in the intervention areas. The program employs the conceptual framework of Climate Smart Disaster Risk Management, Disaster Safe Education Unit, principles of child participation, and principles of digital development.



Picture A.13
Planting Tree Activity

The program directly increased awareness and preparedness for 46,363 people (12% children and youth). This program indirectly reaches 20,541,842 (12% children and youth). This increased capacity and awareness was achieved through training and a series of digital campaigns. This program also launched the child-friendly application BUMI KITA which provides content on disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation. It also facilitates 100 children from 6 provinces to voice their aspirations directly to the legislative and executive parties regarding the climate crisis against children and child development issues in their living areas. Through this program, young people receive capacity building related to climate change issues through the Jabar Tangguh Action forum. In addition, 10 communities and 10 schools carry out disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation practices that are integrated with village development and empowerment systems. One of them is community-based and school-based climate and weather information, where residents and schools monitor rainfall to understand weather conditions in their area.

3. Utilization of Biogas for Household Energy Supply


By Sesami



Picture A15
Biogas making process

The activity of utilizing biogas for household energy supply, in addition to supporting SDG 13 Climate Action, also supports SDG 1 No Poverty, SDG 7 Affordable and Clean Energy, and SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth. There are about 30 ha of post-mining land and other moor locations in three villages, namely Keningar, Ngargomulyo, and Sumber Villages which are owned by local residents. After mining activities with heavy equipment were ceased (in 2014), it left the critical land barren. ICCTF collaborates with SESAMI (Sedyamahita Memetri Indonesia), a local NGO, to develop activities at the site level to fulfill household energy by utilizing biogas. The aim of this project is to reduce GHG emissions and the intensity of GHG emissions from livestock waste by producing renewable energy (biogas), low-emission waste (bioslurry), and compost, which are good for soil and ecosystem quality, and increase carbon stock (from activities revegetation).

This activity was carried out in Keningar Village and Ngargomulyo Village, Magelang District, Central Java Province. Through this project, local people are trained to build a biodigester and operate a nursery center. This project also helps local communities to rehabilitate land formerly used as mining site and replant them with various kinds of trees.



Picture A16
Impact of the program

The challenges in implementing this activity are partly because the lands are usually owned by widows and elderly, which tends to be uncooperative. The loss of land boundaries at the activity location in the long term will have the potential to create conflicts between land owners in the use of land titles that



have been certified. In addition, the land on the site is barren sand that grows only grass and shrubs, and requires special techniques to support the success of the activity. Another challenge is the need to involve various parties to support the success of this program. This program has the opportunity to be replicated (scaled-up) by several Ministries/Agencies such as the Ministry of Environment and Forestry, Ministry of Village, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources and Ministry of Agriculture, as well as various parties outside the government in various locations in Indonesia.

4. Improving the Food and Economic Security of Vulnerable Communities through Sustainable Agriculture


By FIELD Foundation

Activities to increase food security and the economy of vulnerable communities through sustainable agriculture, in addition to supporting SDG 13 Climate Action, also support SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth, SDG 11 Sustainable Cities and Communities, and SDG 14 Life Below Water. There are 400 ha of shrimp ponds in Kanaungan village in Labakkang sub-district, Pangkep, South Sulawesi which always experience crop failure. The use of chemical fertilizers continues to increase, while the income of farmers is decreasing.

ICCTF in collaboration with the FIELD Foundation carries out several activities to increase sustainable food production and the economy for vulnerable communities. Tambak Field School has been established to stop the use of chemical fertilizers and replace them with organic fertilizers. The Agricultural Field School was also developed to increase the productivity of rice and horticulture. Mangrove planting in aquaculture areas has also been carried out. In addition, the community has also succeeded in applying rice farming and yard horticulture organically by making independent fertilizers. This project has generated additional income for the local community and reduced production costs.



Picture A17
Documentation of FIELD Foundation activities



Picture A18
Impact of the program

The challenges in implementing this program include the location of activities in Pangkep District which is an area with relatively low agricultural productivity. In addition, a comprehensive understanding of farmers - which concerns: plant types and varieties, proper planting time, more water efficient cultivation techniques, and integrated pest management methods – has not been optimally mastered by farmers in order to adapt to climatic conditions. Another thing that becomes a challenge is the low level of community income and dependence on dry land with low productivity. These challenges were successfully overcome and resulted in various benefits. This program has the opportunity to be replicated (scaled-up) by several Ministries/Agencies such as the Ministry of Public Affairs, the Ministry of Village, the Ministry of Public Works and Housing, the Ministry of Home Affairs and the Ministry of Agriculture, as well as various parties outside the government in various locations in Indonesia.



GOAL 16

PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 16

1. Collaboration for the Issuance of Birth Certificates for All

By UNICEF Indonesia

The Government of Makassar City and Gowa District have received support from UNICEF in the 2017-2019 period to increase the coverage of birth certificate ownership in their respective regions. UNICEF's role is to facilitate and support cross-sector collaboration between civil society organizations and local governments.

Based on administrative data derived from the Population Administration Information System (SIAK), the program prioritizes seven sub-districts in Makassar City and eight sub-districts in Gowa District which have the lowest coverage of birth certificate ownership. The program is designed to bring services closer to children, whether children living in remote areas or children who are in unfavorable situations such as children in poor households, children living in slum areas, children with disabilities or children with parents with disabilities, children pursued by law, or children stigmatized because of a certain disease who live in a care institution.

The local government of Makassar City launched the Program in 2017 by making visits to schools or mobile services in strategic locations. Puskesmas, maternity clinics and hospitals are strategic locations to bring services closer to the

moment a birth occurs and to integrate health care centers for children aged 5 to 18 years.

Two teams of 100 staffs and volunteers were deployed to implement the program in Makassar City and the surrounding islands. The team was assigned to provide a one-stop service for a variety of services, such as information on population registration, verification of population documents, and printing of birth certificates. The team also collaborated with community organizations concerned with population registration, such as organizations that focus on issues of children and women, education, and social workers.

In 2018, the Department of Population and civil registration of Makassar City and Gowa District launched an online-based registration system to connect population and civil registration offices with hospitals and health centers. To implement it, more than 50 officers were trained as operators in charge of verifying supporting documents (such as KTP and KK). This service allows newborns to receive birth certificates immediately, and the family card will be updated before the mother and baby leave the hospital or childbirth clinic.

The impact of this program can be seen with a significant increase in ownership of birth certificates from 58.5% (2016) to 95% (2019) in Makassar City, and from 40.4% (2016) to 99.6% (2019) in Gowa District. The results of this program even exceeded the national target of 85%. In addition, the ease of obtaining a birth certificate further encourages mothers to give birth in health facilities accompanied by skilled health workers. This can contribute to a reduced risk of maternal and child mortality. Ownership of population documents also has an impact on increasing vulnerable groups' access to health and social protection programs funded by the government.

2. People Living with HIV in Indonesia are Free from Stigma and Discrimination Thanks to a Supportive Response and Environment

By UNAIDS

The Indonesian government is committed to implementing a strategy to accelerate the achievement of HIV prevention known as the Fast Track Commitment as an effort to achieve the target to stop the AIDS epidemic in Indonesia by 2030.

Through this Fast Track Commitment, the Government of Indonesia sets a target that as many as 90% of people with HIV can find out their status, 90% of people who already know their HIV status can access treatment, and 90% of people with HIV who have accessed treatment can experience a decrease in the amount of virus in their blood (viral suppression).

Achieving these targets requires several things, one of which is the provision of a supportive environment in which people living with HIV and key population groups who are vulnerable to HIV are free from stigma and discrimination in various areas of their lives, including in the fields of health, education, employment, as well as justice.

In its efforts to support the Indonesian government in achieving these targets, UNAIDS is implementing a program to create an enabling environment and the elimination of laws/policies that hinder the HIV response in Indonesia

through cooperation with relevant ministries and state agencies such as the Ministry of Health, the Coordinating Ministry for PMK, BAPPENAS, Komnas HAM, Komnas Perempuan, and also civil society groups.

UNAIDS implements this program by producing policy studies and advocacy, campaigns and information dissemination, to assisting in the preparation of a National action plan related to the creation of a supportive environment for the HIV response.

One of the achievements made by this program is the inclusion of women and children living with HIV as one of the priority groups of beneficiaries in the 2020-2024 National Human Rights Action Plan. In addition, along with Komnas HAM, Komnas Perempuan, and civil society groups UNAIDS also provided input related to human rights-friendly policies in the HIV sector, especially in the discussion process of the RKUHP, the Bill on the Elimination of Sexual Violence, and the Bill on Family Resilience. In its implementation, UNAIDS faces challenges in multisectoral coordination, especially after the disbandment of the AIDS Commission in 2017. However, as a lesson, UNAIDS is currently encouraging multi-sectoral coordination across ministries by involving civil society groups.

3. Progressive and Leading Young Women

By Plan International Indonesia

Based on the 2010-2035 Indonesian Population Projection data from Statistics Indonesia, there are around 45 million women population aged 0-19 years in 2020. With this large number, young women have great potential to encourage development in Indonesia. However, these efforts require women empowerment and encouragement for gender equality. Seeing this potential, Plan International Indonesia, in collaboration with the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia (Kemenkeu), initiated the Girls Leadership Program as a forum for teenagers and young women aged 15-24 years to channel their aspirations towards their vision of equality and development, to be able to empower their community.

The Girls Leadership Class, which started at the end of 2020, successfully selected as many as 120 participants who were referred to as "Girls Leaders". The Minister of Finance has the role of principal mentor, capacitating selected participants with materials related to access to education and the use of technology. Capacity building for the selected participants was continued with mentoring activities by inspiring young mentors and experts, followed by a selection of action plan proposals up to the implementation stage covering 31 regions, and then closed with inter-generational dialogue.

The intergenerational dialogue is a forum to invite stakeholders to support the leadership of children and young women and involve them in the development process. The Girls Leadership Class also managed to reach 2,620 other people through their Youtube channel.

In February 2021, 31 Girls Leaders, three of whom represented groups with disabilities, implemented their action plans with their communities. As a result, the Girls Leaders successfully carried out 95 activities in 25 cities/districts in Indonesia. With a diverse focus on issues, including the issue of education and technology, this activity succeeded in reaching 3,361 direct beneficiaries. In addition, several communities led by participants also received support, such as the Be With You Community, which received financial support from one of the investors to develop the concept of empowering women in business. The Panti Carita Community has also succeeded in getting support from the Communication and Information Office of Jepara District in a project to educate children in an orphanage.

This activity is expected to support the increase in the participation of young women in the policy-making process from the community level to higher levels.

4. Creating a Safe and Child Friendly School: Anti-Bullying Program in Schools

By Setara Foundation, Indonesia Melayani Foundation (YIM), and the Provincial Governments of South Sulawesi and Central Java, supported by UNICEF Indonesia

National data from the 2015 Global School Health Survey (GSHS) shows that 21% of children aged 13-15 have experienced bullying in the past month.

UNICEF together with government, universities, youth, and community-based organizations designed an intervention model to prevent bullying in junior high schools (SMP) in Indonesia. Based on the workshops and by adapting the Roots model from America, the intervention program seeks to build a positive school climate through student-led activities. This approach is documented in a comprehensive module entitled 'Roots as a Bullying Prevention Program for Junior High Schools (SMP)' which was developed with UNICEF, Setara Foundation, Indonesia Mengabdi Foundation (YIM), and the provincial governments of South Sulawesi and Central Java.

In the Roots program, students are encouraged to "propose" their peers to become agents of change based on social networking theory. The change agents that have been formed will be included in 15 Roots module training sessions designed for junior high school students aged 12-15 years. Through training, trained Roots Agents can understand and identify various forms of bullying and develop and implement coping strategies. In addition, teachers also have a role to play in capacity building through positive discipline and prevention of bullying.

In 2017, Roots has been implemented in two junior high schools in Makassar and two junior high schools in Gowa. As an implementing partner, YIM held training for 10 facilitators from staff and members of the Children's Forum. This pilot program managed to reach 3,568 students. The results of the evaluation of the program show that bullying has decreased by 29% and victimization has also decreased by 20%. Teachers and facilitators also noted important advances in the positive behavior of students, including those selected to be agents of change.

Seeing the success of the previous pilot program, the Office of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection of South Sulawesi Province in 2019 began to replicate the Roots program in several locations such as Makassar City, Maros, Gowa, East Luwu, and Bulukumba. Twenty facilitators have been given training to implement Roots in one school in each district/city. In addition, the Makassar City Education Office also provided financial support for teacher training in five schools and the application of Roots in two schools. Then, in 2020, UNICEF and its implementing partner, YIM, received funding from the Ministry of Education and Culture (Kemendikbud) to replicate Roots in 50 schools in South Sulawesi and Central Java.

After implementing the program several times, several adjustments took place, including the delivery of a "character-based education concept and value" module for students in Islamic schools. In addition, in response to the ongoing pandemic, YIM produced a video tutorial on "Roots in a New Normal Life" to help teachers deliver online bullying prevention guidelines.

Some of the lessons learned from the Roots program include that the involvement of teachers as facilitators can help the sustainability of the bullying prevention program, increasing teacher expertise in positive discipline can encourage more effective responses to complaints of bullying, it is important to link the Roots program with the value of character-based education promoted by the Ministry of Education and Culture and to increase the involvement of school management to the development of child-friendly regulations. There is potential for implementing the Roots program online but there needs to be an assessment first in the context of a pandemic.



5. Mitigating the Impact of a Pandemic on Prisoners and Detainees for Children and Adults

By Minister of Law and Human Rights of Republic of Indonesia

Prison and detention facilities in Indonesia are closed institutions that have high occupancy rates and even tend to exceed capacity. This situation makes it prone to the spread and transmission of COVID-19. Therefore, after President Joko Widodo designated COVID-19 as a non-natural national disaster, the Ministry of Law and Human Rights of the Republic of Indonesia issued a Decree of the Minister of Law and Human Rights of the Republic of Indonesia Number M.HH-19.PK.01.04.04 of 2020 concerning Expenditure and Release of Prisoners and Children through Assimilation and Integration in the Context of Prevention and Control of the Spread of COVID-19. This Ministerial Decree is the government's response to preventing the spread and handling of COVID-19 in incarceration and detention facilities such as Correctional Facilities (Lapas), Child-Specific Correctional Facilities (LPKA), and State Detention Centers (Rutan).

The release of prisoners through assimilation and integration in the form of parole and pre-release parole, were granted to adult prisoners who have served 2/3 of their sentence on 31 December 2020. For children, release through integration and assimilation can be granted if he/she has served half of his/her sentence on 31 December 2020. Assimilation activities are carried out at the house of each prisoner with guidance and supervision carried out by the Correctional Center and reports by officers submitted online. As of June 2020, Indonesia has released 992 children of prisoners and convicts, about half of the number of children in detention and imprisonment facilities in June 2020 (UNICEF, 2020). During 2020, there are at least 57,000 prisoners who have received assimilation (Dirjen Pemasyarakatan KemenkumHAM, 2021).





GOAL 17

PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS

LIST OF GOOD PRACTICES FOR GOAL 17

1. Optimizing Local Resources

By Penabulu Foundation

Penabulu Foundation initiates domestic resource raising in a fairly wide range of activities. This ranges from knowledge transfer, advocacy, support for policy formulation to funding. The foundation explores various forms of local power, promotes it, and links it with other local forces, including local government. This link is manifested in a “Lokadaya”, which is a digital — crowdsourcing — platform to raise public resources, distribute, and convert them in a variety of innovative activities in the field. This initiative has started since October 2020 when 57 local CSOs committed to developing the “Lokadaya” platform by first identifying and sharpening all the needs for developing community activities. This activity is planned to be held until September 2022.

Lokadaya contains three main features, namely “Power Raising”, “Partner Profile”, and “Change Story”. The “Power Raising” feature is an arena for raising resources in the form of public donations, non-fund donations (volunteering, equipment, tools or other asset support), as well as partnerships or collaborations. The “Partner Profile” feature is a medium for linking CSO local service initiatives to the targeted public/ target audience. Meanwhile, “Change Story” is a

directory of ongoing initiatives.

So far, “Lokadaya” has been able to involve 200 civil society organizations (CSOs) in 34 provinces, which carry out innovative activities with the community. “Lokadaya” is a bridge connecting development actors — government, society and the private sector — and capitalizes all forms of power. The various activities that have been carried out can be divided into three classes, namely physical development, economic development, and social services development.

Physical development takes the form of improving village street lighting, building city parks and managing residents' waste, recycling used cooking oil into soap and diesel fuel for mosque, making nests for owl that preys on ricefield rat, and harvesting rainwater in coastal villages. The development of social services includes the provision of children's libraries, services to refer and assist TB patients during their treatment, village legal assistance, patrol and coordination of land fire mitigation, as well as campaigns and advocacy for government regulations/policies with residents. Among other things, economic activities include assisting women cotton farmer economic institutions in the production process.



This initiative has the potential to be replicated, reproduced and developed in various places. This expansion will reach more stakeholders with innovative ideas, including distributing more resources. Above all, local power is its main characteristic, so that the link that is formed is actually an aggregation of all forms of local specialties and initiatives.

2. Sambirata, Empowered Spice Village

By PT Industri Jamu and Farmasi Sido Muncul Tbk.

The Sambirata Spice Village Program was initiated in 2019 and lasts until March 2021 with the concept of CSV (Creating Shared Value). Sambirata village, a 'least developed village' in Banyumas, Central Java, was chosen because of its great potential for cardamom development. This program was developed together with the local farmer group "Kridoyuono", the Sambirata Village Government, and the Banyumas District Agriculture Office. The Kridoyuono Farmer Group itself has 270 members, consisting of 240 old farmers and 30 young farmers under 30 years of age. Some of these young farmers are those who returned home due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This program was carried out in the form of cooperation in the development and utilization of cardamom (*Amomum Cardamomum L.*) which is one of the raw materials needed for herbal medicine production at PT Sido Muncul.

PT Sido Muncul provides farmers with a variety of support, such as superior seeds, fertilizers, equipment, counseling, and technical assistance, from the planting, maintenance and harvesting stage, to post-harvest processing into raw materials that adhere to factory standards. This cooperation includes guidance for making organic fertilizers for the purpose of improving the village environment and reducing dependence on chemical fertilizers.

PT Sido Muncul purchased all the crops that have been processed into simplicia at market prices or prices that are fair and beneficial to both parties. However, this program is not without its share of problems. While cardamom can be produced well, it cannot be dried optimally. As a result, the produced cardamom was often rotten

and caused losses to farmers. Farmers need a drying machine and energy to drive it. Currently, the drying machine has been successfully made by utilizing energy from the Micro Hydro Power Plant (PLTMH). This micro-hydro-power guarantees the availability of electricity supply for cardamom business activities.

Further programs were developed to intensify productivity, both at the site level and at the business management level. Various trainings and business management developments continue to be carried out. At the site level, the intensification of cardamom cultivation is being developed. Unproductive land — even home yards — is used for cultivating cardamom and even other spices. At the same time, digital marketing is now being driven as part of business management development. Through this approach, cardamom has increased the capacity of Sambirata farmers, both as farmers and as business actors.

This is a long-term program. Replication has been carried out in several other neighboring districts. In fact, the development is also carried out for other types of spice plants, because PT Sido Muncul requires more than 160 types of raw materials from medicinal plants. That way, expansion occurs in terms of area and in terms of types of plants.

3. “Temali” – Bringing Together and Uniting Volunteers

By Indorelawan – Jakarta

Temali Program (“Meet and Greet”) is a collaborative program between Indorelawan and the Indika Foundation between August and December 2020. Indorelawan is an online-based non-profit organization that brought together social organizations that need volunteers with anyone who wants to volunteer. Meanwhile, Indika Foundation is a foundation established by PT Indika Energy that promotes integrity, achievement, unity in diversity, cooperation and social responsibility.

The Temali program focuses on capacity building for organizational leaders and volunteers in character education in times of crisis. This program targets young people in the age range of 17-25 years. In particular, this education is directed at developing empathy and critical thinking through a series of campaigns and classes divided into four groups, namely “Temali Class”, “Temali Camp”, “Temali Handbook”, and “Temali Campaign”.

“Temali Class” is an online class for representatives of social organizations, who want to learn about empathy, critical thinking and other materials about character and capacity development, such as leadership, social programs, communication strategies and others. Similar classes are also directed at individual volunteers, with the name “Temali Camp”, with an emphasis on applying empathy and critical thinking in daily life practices by involving people with different backgrounds and

thoughts. “Temali Handbook” is a character and capacity building module for social organizations and volunteers that can be accessed and downloaded for independent learning. Meanwhile, “Temali Campaign” is online content in the form of animated videos, posters, and comics as a medium for studying empathy and critical thinking.

As the program develops, Temali modules have been expanded to cover themes of self-leadership, designing impactful social programs, increasing organizational scale, volunteer management, monitoring and evaluation of programs, as well as developing storytelling skills for social organizations.

Above the principles of empathy and critical thinking, Indorelawan itself takes on the role of a volunteer hub that brings young people to care more about social, economic and environmental issues. Online interactions and rubrics are hosted on the <https://www.indorelawan.org/> site. Various activities and enabling organizations are recorded on this site, to make Indorelawan a node of concern and learning for the stakeholders involved in it.

So far, no less than 577 young volunteers are involved in various Indorelawan activities. At the same time, Indorelawan has raised resources from 196,548 people and 3,330 organizations to provide the driving energy for 5,016 activities.

5

LIST OF PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE PREPARATION OF VNR INDONESIA 2021



5. LIST OF PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE PREPARATION OF VNR INDONESIA 2021

(1) Preparatory Meeting for the Preparation of VNR Indonesia 2021, Date: 3 February 2021

Led by: Deputy of Maritime and Natural Resources Affairs as the Chairman of the National SDGs Implementation Team

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Statistics Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat

(2) Initial Discussion Meeting on the Preparation of VNR Indonesia 2021 with Non-State Actors, Date: 9 February 2021

Led by: Head of the National SDGs Secretariat

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Indonesian Philanthropy and Business for the SDGs
- Indonesia Business Council for Sustainable Development
- Indonesia Global Compact Network
- Company-Community Partnerships for Health in Indonesia (Partnership for Sustainable)
- Muhammadiyah Central Executive
- PT. Pertamina
- PT. Medco E&P Indonesia
- SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
- SDGs Center of Syiah Kuala University
- SDGs Center of Palangka Raya University
- SDGs Hub of University of Indonesia
- SDGs Center of Bengkulu University
- SDGs Center of Hasanuddin University
- SDGs Center of University of Jember
- SDGs Center of Lampung
- SDGs Center of Riau University
- SDGs Center of Diponegoro University
- Artha Wacana Christian University
- Palangka Raya University
- The Confederation of All Indonesian Trade Union
- Marta Tilaar Group
- Center for Indonesia's Strategic Development Initiatives
- APP Group
- The SMERU Research Institute

- 
- Sejahtera Indonesia
 - Rare Indonesia
 - Supreme Council for the Confucian Religion in Indonesia
 - Executive Board of the Teachers Association of the Republic of Indonesia
 - The United Cities and Local Government Asia Pacific
 - Rumah Energi Foundation
 - National SDGs Secretariat
 - Rajawali Foundation
 - Wahana Visi Indonesia
 - KAPAL Perempuan Institute
 - Parisada Hindu Dharma Indonesia
 - International NGO Forum on Indonesia Development
 - Alliance of Indonesian Independent Journalists
 - Penabulu
 - Bina Swadaya
 - Social Responsibility Asia
 - PPM School of Management
 - Conservation International
 - Urban and Regional Development Institute

(3) Technical Discussion Meeting on the Preparation of VNR Indonesia 2021,

Date: 11 February 2021

Led by: Head of National SDGs Secretariat

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- National SDGs
Secretariat
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- UN Agencies

(4) Kick Off Meeting for the Preparation of VNR Indonesia 2021,

Date: 17 February 2021

Led by: Minister of National Development Planning/Head of National Development Planning Agency

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Coordinating Ministry for Human Development and Culture
- Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology
- Ministry of Trade
- Coordinating Ministry for Political, Legal and Security Affairs
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Ministry of Health
- Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources
- Coordinating Ministry for Economic Affairs
- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Manpower
- Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing

- Ministry of Communication and Informatics
- Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries
- Ministry of State Apparatus Utilization and Bureaucratic Reform
- Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy
- National Narcotics Board
- Meteorology, Climatology and Geophysics Agency
- National Commission on Human Rights
- Ombudsman
- North Sumatra Bappeda
- Bappeda DKI Jakarta
- East Java Bappeda
- Indonesian Business and Philanthropy for the SDGs
- Company-Community Partnerships for Health in Indonesia (Partnership for Sustainable)
- Special Task Force for Upstream Oil and Gas Business Activities
- PT. Medco E&P
- SDGs Network of IPB University
- SDGs Network of Bandung Institute of Technology
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration
- Ministry of State-Owned Enterprises
- Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection
- National Board for Disaster Management
- Indonesian Institute of Sciences
- National Commission for Women
- Presidential Staff Office
- South Kalimantan Bappeda
- West Java Bappeda
- Riau Bappeda
- Indonesia Business Council for Sustainable Development
- Indonesia Business Links
- Corporate Social Responsibility
- SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
- SDGs Hub of University of Indonesia
- SDGs Center of Hasanuddin University
- Ministry of Environment and Forestry
- Ministry of Agrarian Affairs and Spatial Planning
- Ministry of Cooperatives and Small and Medium Enterprises
- Food and Drug Authority
- Statistics Indonesia
- National Public Procurement Agency
- Finance and Development Supervisory Agency
- Bank Indonesia
- Papua Bappeda
- Central Java Bappeda
- Indonesian Philanthropy Association
- Indonesian Chamber of Commerce
- Indonesia Corporate Social Responsibility
- PT. Pertamina
- SDGs Center of Gadjah Mada University
- SDGs Center of University of Lampung
- SDGs Center of Bengkulu University

- SDGs Center of Artha Wacana Christian University
- SDGs Center of the Indonesian Banking Development Institute
- The SMERU Research Institute
- Aisyiyah Muhammadiyah
- Supreme Council for the Confucian Religion in Indonesia
- Plan International Indonesia
- Habitat Partnership
- Green Building Council Indonesia
- Trade Union Rights Center
- Center for Indonesia's Strategic Development Initiatives
- Migunani Lan Mberkah Association
- Planning Expert Association
- Rare Indonesia
- Wahana Visi Indonesia
- 2030 Youth Force Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat
- SDGs Center of University of Jember
- Research Center for Climate Change, University of Indonesia
- Bina Swadaya Foundation
- Nahdlatul Ulama Family Welfare Institution
- Rumah Zakat
- Indonesian Women's Coalition; KAPAL Perempuan
- Indonesia Mining Association
- Migrant Care
- National Information and Communication Technology Council
- Art Coalition
- Adaro Bangun Negeri Foundation
- The heart
- Nusantara Nature Conservation Foundation
- The Institute for Policy Research and Advocacy
- Indonesia Judicial Research Society
- Palangka Raya University SDGs Task Force
- Demographic Institute, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Indonesia
- Muhammadiyah Central Executive
- Fellowship of Indonesian Churches
- Buddha Tzu Chi Indonesia
- The Alliance of Ethnic Groups of the Archipelago
- Rumah Energi
- The Confederation of All Indonesian Trade Union
- International NGO Forum on Indonesia Development
- Conservation International Indonesia
- Indonesia for Humanity
- Center for Climate Risk and Opportunity Management in Southeast Asia Pacific I, Bogor Agricultural Institute
- Transformation for Indonesian Justice
- Penabulu Foundation
- InfraDigital Foundation

(5) Preliminary Meeting with UN Agencies,**Date: 24 February 2021**

Led by: Coordinator of the National SDGs Secretariat Expert Team

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- UN Agencies
- National SDGs
Secretariat

(6) Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 12 and 13,**Date: 26 February 2021**

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 12 and 13

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- The Japan International Cooperation Agency
(JICA)
- Deutsche Gesellschaft
fur Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ)
GmbH
- National SDGs
Secretariat
- Asian Development
Bank

(7) Meeting of the Environmental Development Pillar Working Group for VNR 2021**Date: 16 March 2021**

Led by: Chairperson of the Environmental Pillar Working Group

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ruang Waktu-
Knowledge Hub
- Lab SESO (Social-Ecological Systems of
the Oceans) of IPB University and the
Indonesian Coral, Shell
and Ornamental Fish
Association (AKKII)
- JICA SDGs Project Team
- Ruang Waktu
Knowledge Hub for SUD
- National SDGs
Secretariat



(8) Coordination Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 8 with the Government,
Date: 19 March 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 8

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Statistics Indonesia
- Partnership for Action Against Child Labor in Agriculture
Indonesia/JARAK
- Ministry of Cooperatives and Small and Medium Enterprises
- Financial Services Authority
- National SDGs Secretariat
- Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection
- Agency Coordinating Investment Capital

(9) Coordination Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 8 with Non-Government,
Date: 22 March 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 8

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- SDGs Center of Gorontalo State University
- The SMERU Research Institute
- Indonesia Business Links
- International Labor Organization
- SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
- SDGs Hub of University of Indonesia
- Partnership for Action Against Child Labor in Agriculture
Indonesia/JARAK
- 2030 Youth Force Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat
- SDGs Center of University of Jember
- SDGs Center of Gadjah Mada University
- Indonesia Global Compact Network
- Community Empowerment Council of Muhammadiyah Central Executive

(10) Internal Meeting of Social Pillar Working Group for VNR 2021,**Date: 24 March 2021**

Led by: Chairperson of the Social Pillar Working Group

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Indonesia Global Compact Network
- Indonesian Business Philanthropy for the SDGs
- National SDGs Secretariat
- KAPAL Perempuan

(11) Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 3,**Date: 24 March 2021**

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 3

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Statistics Indonesia
- Food and Drug Authority
- Nutrifood
- Health Knowledge Hub
- UN Agencies
- Indonesian Nutrition Institute
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- National Narcotics Board
- Muhammadiyah Central Executive
- Perempuan Positif Association
- Caritas Indonesia
- SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
- Indonesia Institute for Social Development
- Ministry of Health
- Population and Family Planning Board
- Women's Health Foundation
- Knowledge Hub for Indonesian Reproductive Health
- Knowledge Hub of the Faculty of Public Health, University of Indonesia
- Faculty of Public Health, University of Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat

(12) Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 17,

Date: 25 March 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 17

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ministry of Trade
- Statistics Indonesia
- Bank Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Ministry of Communication and
Informatics
- Agency for the Assessment and
Development of Trade
- UN Agencies
- Ministry of Public Works and
Public Housing
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Agency Coordinating Investment Capital
- Ministry of Finance

(13) Meeting of VNR Indonesia 2021 for Draft 0 of Goal 1,

Date: 26 March 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 1

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ministry of Villages, Development of
Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration
- Ministry of Manpower
- National Team for the Acceleration of Poverty Reduction
- Muhammadiyah Central Executive
- Parisada Hindu Dharma Central Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat
- Coordinating Ministry for Human Development and Culture
- Ministry of Agrarian Affairs and Spatial Planning
- Secretariat of the Vice President
- Healthcare Social Security Agency
- Aisyiyah Muhammadiyah Central Executive
- International Fund for Agricultural Development
- Ministry of Health
- Ministry of Social Affairs
- Statistics Indonesia
- Employment Social Security Agency
- Amil Institute, Zakat, Infaq, Shodaqoh Muhammadiyah
- UN Agencies

(14) VNR 2021 Meeting for Goal 17 with SDGs Center of Diponegoro University,**Date: 26 March 2021**

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 17

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- SDGs Center of
Diponegoro University
- National SDGs
Secretariat

(15) Meeting to Discuss Draft 0 of VNR 2021 Goal 16,**Date: 31 March 2021**

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 16

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of State Apparatus Utilization and Bureaucratic Reform
- Indonesian Child Protection Commission
- Audit Board of Indonesia
- SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
- University of Indonesia Sustainable Development Goals Hub
- SDG Network Bandung Institute of Technology
- SDGs Center of Gadjah Mada University
- Knowledge Hub of the Faculty of Public Health UI
- Demographic Institute, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Indonesia
- Wahana Visi Indonesia
- Women's Association for Justice and Legal Aid
- PUSKAPA University of Indonesia
- Alliance for the Elimination of Violence against Children
- ChildFund International
- KAPAL Perempuan Institute
- Save the Children
- AJI Indonesia
- Indonesian Women's Coalition
- Indonesia for Humanity
- Indonesia Business Council for Sustainable Development
- PPM School of Management
- International NGO Forum on Indonesia Development
- The SMERU Research Institute
- UN Agencies
- National SDGs Secretariat



(16) Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 2,

Date: 1 April 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 2

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ministry of Village, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ministry of Social Affairs
- Energy Agency Nuclear National
- University of Indonesia
- SDGs Center of University of Lampung
- Bina Swadaya
- Cipta Karya
- Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization Regional Center for Food and Nutrition
- Partnership for Indonesia Sustainable Agriculture
- Coordinating Ministry for Human Development and Culture
- Ministry of Home Affairs
- Ministry of Women Empowerment and Child Protection
- Statistics Indonesia
- Center for Applied Nuclear Science and Technology
- SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
- Helen Keller International
- Indonesian Nutrition Association
- Inisiatif Indonesia Biru Lestari Foundation
- UN Agencies
- National SDGs Secretariat
- Ministry of Health
- Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing
- Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology
- Food and Drug Authority
- IPB University
- Knowledge Hub of the Faculty of Public Health, University of Indonesia
- Nutrition International
- Wahana Visi Indonesia
- International Fund for Agricultural Development
- Karya Dharma Kesehatan Indonesia Association

(17) Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 17 with SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
Date: 1 April 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 17

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- SDGs Center of
Padjadjaran University
- National SDGs
Secretariat

(18) Focus Group Discussion with Trade Unions - Draft VNR for SDG Goal 8,
Date: 8 April 2021

Led by: Staff of International Labor Organization (ILO)

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Indonesian Fisherman Association
- Confederation of Indonesian Muslim Workers' Union
- Coalition of Indonesian Seafarer
- UN Agencies
- Confederation of United Workers Welfare Indonesia
- Indonesian Migrant Workers' Union
- Kamiparho Federation of Trade Unions
- The Confederation of All Indonesian Trade Union
- Institute for Economic and Social Research, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Indonesia
- National Trade Union Confederation
- National SDGs Secretariat

(19) Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 13,
Date: 9 April 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 13

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- National SDGs
Secretariat



(20) Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 17 with SDGs Center of Gadjah Mada University,
Date: 9 April 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 17

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- SDGs Center of Gadjah Mada University
- National SDGs Secretariat

(21) Meeting for the Preparation of VNR 2021 Goal 10,
Date: 12 April 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 10

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ministry of Social Affairs
- Ministry of Tourism and Creative Economy
- National Commission on Human Rights
- Financial Services Authority
- SDGs Analytics of Atma Jaya Catholic University
- Coordinating Ministry for Human Development and Culture
- Ministry of Cooperatives and Small and Medium Enterprises
- Ministry of Villages, Development of Disadvantaged Regions and Transmigration
- Statistics Indonesia
- Demographic Institute, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Indonesia
- UN Agencies
- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing
- Ministry of Manpower
- Bank Indonesia
- Knowledge Hub of the Faculty of Public Health, University of Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat

(22) Consultation Meeting with Teenagers and Youth for the Preparation of VNR 2021,
Date: 20-21 April 2021

Led by: Chairperson of the Sub-Working Group for SDGs Goal 5

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- SDGs Center of Padjadjaran University
- Emancipate Indonesia
- Audit Board of Indonesia
- Save the Children Indonesia
- Rumah Faye
- UN Agencies
- 2030 Youth Force Indonesia
- Rumah Millennials

- Trenggalek District Children Forum
- Yogyakarta Fian Hope Association
- Forum Anak Kelumpu of South Parigi
- Sahabat Difabel Community
- Forum Anak
- The Nahdhatul Ulama Women Student Association
- Girls Can Lead Indonesia
- Youth ID
- Kediri City Children Forum
- 9cm
- Children's Forum of Wonosobo District
- Generasi Literat
- Youth Movement Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
- Surakarta Children's Forum
- Center for Indonesian Medical Students' Activities of Gadjah Mada University
- Kampung Halaman Foundation
- Indonesian Family Planning Association of Sleman
- Generasi Berencana Forum of DI Yogyakarta
- Tana Sanggamu Institute
- Wahana Visi Indonesia
- Kitong Bisa
- Youth with Sanitation Concern
- Center for Student Information and Counseling of IMPACT DEWANTARA
- Central Java Children's Forum
- Bantul District Children Forum
- UNALA-Siklus Indonesia
- Siti Sarah Women Center
- Regional children's forum of Kalumpu Sub-district
- TalkMore Indonesia
- Jombang District Children's Forum
- Satu Visi Alliance
- Bantul District Children Forum
- National Council of Pramuka Movement
- National Kwartir of Pramuka Movement
- Indonesian Youth Diplomacy
- BeWithYou
- Into The Light
- Siklus Indonesia
- DIY FG
- Kampung Halaman Foundation
- Center for Indonesian Medical Students' Activities
- BeWithYou Indonesia
- The Study Friend
- Indonesia student and Youth Forum
- Gerkatin Youth
- Agrata Institute Indonesia
- Indorelawan
- The Representative Council of Children of Tulungagung District
- Youth with Sanitation Concern
- Tana Sanggamu Institute
- Aceh Youth Action
- Children Forum of Parigi Moutang District
- Institute for Economic and Social Research, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Indonesia
- Extinction Rebellion Yogyakarta



- Divers Clean Action
- Children Forum of Pasuruan District
- IPB University Socio-Ecological System of the Oceans (SESO) Lab
- Hutan itu Indonesia
- Greeneration Foundation
- Stichting Nederlandse Vrijwilligers (SNV)
- PUSKAPA
- Australia-Indonesia Youth Association
- KSD
- National SDGs Secretariat

(23) Human Rights Orientation Meeting in VNR 2021,

Date: 22 April 2021

Led by: Chairman of the Working Group of the Pillars of Law and Governance Management
Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- National Commission on Human Rights
- National Commission on Violence Against Women
- UN Agencies
- PUSKAPA of University of Indonesia
- National SDGs Secretariat

(24) Meeting for the Selection of Good Practices in the Preparation of VNR 2021,

Date: 23 April 2021

Led by: Head of National SDGs Secretariat

Attended by:

- Ministry of National Development Planning/
Bappenas
- Drs. Bambang Ismawan, MS
- Dra. Zoemrotin K Susilo
- Dr. Sudarno Sumarto
- Prof. Dr. Zuzy Anna
- YW Junardy
- Dr. Wicaksono Sarosa
- National SDGs Secretariat



*Ministry of National Development Planning/
National Development Planning Agency*