

Document number:

Date: 2016-07-04

Audience: LEWG, SG14

Reply-to: Carl Cook, carlcook@optiver.com

Reply-to: Nicolas Fleury, nidoizo@gmail.com

Non-allocating standard functions

[Non-allocating standard functions](#)

[1. Introduction](#)

[2. Motivation](#)

[3. Impact on the standard](#)

[4. Design decisions](#)

[Relation with std::function](#)

[Name](#)

[Class signature](#)

[Compilation-time guarantee](#)

[Copy/Move/Destruction](#)

[Memory layout](#)

[Trivial/non trivial classes split](#)

[Base class without size](#)

[Swapping](#)

[5. Technical specifications](#)

[6. Sample use](#)

[7. Future work](#)

[8. Acknowledgements](#)

[9. Existing implementations](#)

[10. References](#)

[11. Related work](#)

1. Introduction

This paper is to outline the motivation for adding non-allocating standard functions to the standard library.

2. Motivation

The introduction of `std::function`, a polymorphic wrapper over callable targets, has been widely appreciated by C++ users. It gives the ability to assign from several callable target types, pass functions by value, and invoke targets with the familiar function call syntax.

`std::function` generally incurs a dynamic allocation on assignment of the target function (the exception being the small object optimization for function pointers and `std::reference_wrappers`). For performance critical software, this overhead, while seemingly low, is unacceptable.

Within the SG14 reflector, so far we have found six implementations of non allocating functions that are used in commercial games and high frequency trading applications.¹ This suggests that the problem of dynamic allocation is real, and that a standardised non-allocating function would be of use.

3. Impact on the standard

This proposal is a pure library extension. It does not require changes to any standard classes, functions or headers, and it does not affect the application binary interface.

4. Design decisions

Relation with `std::function`

The first discussion on SG14 was about adding a base class to `std::function` (or make `std::function` a template typedef) that is more flexible to prevent heap usage. However as discussion evolved, the conclusion is that is what is wanted is another class, `std::inplace_function`, dedicated to being allocation-less.

For that new class, sharing a base class with `std::function` was discussed, to be able to pass function objects by reference without dependence on how it's stored. However, that might not be worth the burden in implementation restrictions, and would break the ABI with the existing `std::function`. Instead, `std::inplace_function` class can prioritize performance without compromise, and still conform to the `std::function` interface.

Copying from `std::inplace_function` to `std::function` of the same function signature should be supported, as `std::function` supports any function size. However, so far, copying from `std::function` to `std::inplace_function` would not be allowed, as it risks breaking the compile-time guarantees of `std::inplace_function` (an option here is to throw a runtime exception if the target buffer is too small).

¹ See [Existing Implementations](#)

It might be worth noting that a codebase preferring `std::inplace_function` to `std::function` will probably always prefer it.

Name

The name `static_function` is something that could first come up when thinking of an embedded buffer, however with the meaning of “static function” in C++, it would sound confusing. So far the name suggested is `inplace_function`, as it implies the buffer is embedded, whatever the size of the function. Since a lambda could end up with multiple closures, this is a detail important to be understood as a programmer has to explicitly increase the template size argument. It could make sense to adopt the same nomenclature of proposals like `inline_vector`, so `inline_function` (or `inplace_vector`), to have a common suffix for different standard utilities with embedded buffers.

Class signature

```
template<typename Signature, size_t Capacity = /*default-capacity*/,
size_t Alignment = /*default-alignment*/>
class inplace_function;
```

- Capacity is the size of the internal buffer
- Alignment is the largest supported alignment of assigned functions
- Default-capacity is implementation-defined
- Default-alignment is implementation-defined

Compilation-time guarantee

Since the buffer size and alignment is known at compilation-time, then assigned functions are validated at compilation-time to be of proper size and alignment. Function size can be at most the buffer size, and function alignment can be at most the alignment. Internal to `std::inplace_function`, `static_assert` should be used for these validations.

The only run-time error inside `std::inplace_function` itself is when calling it without any function assigned.

Copy/Move/Destruction

Proper copy, move and destruction are all supported for the embedded function.

Memory layout

Memory layout is left to implementation, however we can note that all implementations we have found so far have taken the same approach of storing function pointers directly as members to avoid the indirection of type-erasing using a vtable, as well as a properly aligned buffer to store the function. The function pointers are used for four things: calling, copying, moving and destroying. The same function can be used for multiple tasks. However, since calling performance is the most important and has a unique signature, the function pointer for calling should probably be dedicated to that task. Also, the buffer storing the function will

be used for calling, but its last bytes may have a high chance of not being used. So optimal memory layout can actually depends on Alignment, as follows:

`Alignment <= sizeof(void*)`

It is optimal to store the members in this order:

1. CallerFctPtr
2. Buffer
3. ManagementFctPtr

`Alignment == 2*sizeof(void*)`

You want avoid wasted space in padding in the first cache line, and members should be stored in this order:

1. CallerFctPtr
2. ManagementFctPtr
3. Buffer

`Alignment > 2*sizeof(void*)`

Then the same logic applies if the implementation would use more than two function pointers:

1. CallerFctPtr
2. DestructionFctPtr
3. CopyAndMoveFctPtr
4. Buffer

Overall we tend to think it's better to put the Destroy, Copy and Move routines inside the same management function, similar to gcc's implementation of `std::function`.

Trivial/non trivial classes split

An additional `std::inplace_trivial_function` class could be provided to avoid storing function pointers to management routines that are not used. However, the flexible member layout that can be used depending on alignment reduces this need, by storing members in terms of optimal cache locality.

Base class without size

A base class `std::inplace_function_base` without the Capacity template argument could be added, to allow passing a `std::inplace_function` object of any capacity as an argument. It would contain the caller function pointer. However to be fully functional it would need to pass the `this` pointer to the caller function or have an additional template argument with alignment to be able to perform a proper down cast upon invocation.

The base class would require deleted or protected copy constructors to avoid object slicing, meaning a solution like proposal of `std::unique_function`² could be used instead. A

² See [Related Work](#)

proposal like `std::unique_function` sounds more powerful for this kind of need, by allowing wrapping of any callable type.

Swapping

We have seen some implementations with support for swapping. However, we have seen some implementations that would not properly support certain functor types. For example, suppose the buffer is implemented by the following member:

```
std::aligned_storage<CapacityT, AlignmentT> _M_data;
```

You cannot do something as simple as this in the swap function:

```
std::swap(_M_data, other._M_data);
```

Since the two buffers can contain different types (functors), swapping must be done through three different moves and would only work for two buffers of same size:

```
std::aligned_storage<Capacity, Alignment> tempData;
std::move(_M_data, tempData);
std::move(other._M_data, this->_M_data);
std::move(tempData, other._M_data);
```

5. Technical specifications

```
template <typename Signature, size_t Capacity =
/*InplaceFunctionDefaultCapacity*/, size_t Alignment =
/*InplaceFunctionDefaultAlignment*/>
class inplace_function;

template <typename R, typename... Args, size_t Capacity, size_t Alignment>
class inplace_function<R(Args...), Capacity, Alignment>
{
public:
    // Creates an empty function
    inplace_function();

    // Destroys the inplace_function. If the stored callable is valid, it
    is destroyed also
    ~inplace_function();

    // Creates an inplace function, copying the target of other within the
    internal buffer
    // If the callable is larger than the internal buffer, a compile-time
    error is issued
```

```

        // May throw any exception encountered by the constructor when copying
the target object
        template<typename Callable>
        inplace_function(const Callable& target);

        // Moves the target of an inplace function, storing the callable
within the internal buffer
        // If the callable is larger than the internal buffer, a compile-time
error is issued
        // May throw any exception encountered by the constructor when moving
the target object
        template<typename Callable>
        inplace_function(Callable&& target);

        // Copy construct an inplace_function, storing a copy of other's
target internally
        // May throw any exception encountered by the constructor when copying
the target object
        inplace_function(const inplace_function& other);

        // Move construct an inplace_function, moving the other's target to
this inplace_function's internal buffer
        // May throw any exception encountered by the constructor when moving
the target object
        inplace_function(inplace_function&& other);

        // Allows for copying from inplace_function object of the same type,
but with a smaller buffer
        // May throw any exception encountered by the constructor when copying
the target object
        // If OtherCapacity is greater than Capacity, a compile-time error is
issued.
        template<size_t OtherCapacity>
        inplace_function(const inplace_function<R(Args...), OtherCapacity>&
other);

        // Allows for moving an inplace_function object of the same type, but
with a smaller buffer
        // May throw any exception encountered by the constructor when moving the
target object. If OtherCapacity is greater than Capacity, a compile-time
error is issued.
        template<size_t OtherCapacity>
        inplace_function(inplace_function<R(Args...), OtherCapacity>&& other);

        // Assigns a copy of other's target
        // May throw any exception encountered by the assignment operator when
copying the target object
        inplace_function& operator=(const inplace_function& other);

        // Assigns the other's target by way of moving

```

```

        // May throw any exception encountered by the assignment operator when
        moving the target object
        inplace_function& operator=(inplace_function&& other);

        // Allows for copy assignment of an inplace_function object of the
        same type, but with a smaller buffer
        // If the copy constructor of target object throws, this is left in
        uninitialized state
        // If OtherCapacity is greater than Capacity, a compile-time error is
        issued
        template<size_t OtherCapacity>
        inplace_function& operator=(const inplace_function<R(Args...),
        OtherCapacity>& other);

        // Allows for move assignment of an inplace_function object of the
        same type, but with a smaller buffer
        // If the move constructor of target object throws, this is left in
        uninitialized state
        // If OtherCapacity is greater than Capacity, a compile-time error is
        issued
        template<size_t OtherCapacity>
        inplace_function& operator=(inplace_function<R(Args...),
        OtherCapacity>&& other);

        // Assign a new target
        // If the copy constructor of target object throws, this is left in
        uninitialized state
        template<typename Callable>
        inplace_function& operator=(const Callable& target);

        // Assign a new target by way of moving
        // If the move constructor of target object throws, this is left in
        uninitialized state
        template<typename Callable>
        inplace_function& operator=(Callable&& target);

        // Converts to 'true' if assigned.
        explicit operator bool() const throw();

        // Invokes the target
        // Throws std::bad_function_call if not assigned.
        R operator () (Args... args) const;

        // Swap two targets
        void swap(inplace_function& other);
};

```

6. Sample use

```

#include <iostream>

struct Functor
{
    Functor() {}
    Functor(const Functor&) { std::cout << "copy" << std::endl; }
    Functor(Functor&&) { std::cout << "move" << std::endl; }
    void operator() ()
    {
        std::cout << "functor" << std::endl;
    }
};

void Foo()
{
    std::cout << "foo" << std::endl;
}

template <typename T>
void SomeTest()
{
    T func = [] { std::cout << "lambda" << std::endl; };
    func();
    std::cout << "func = &Foo" << std::endl;
    func = &Foo;
    func();
    std::cout << "T func2 = Functor()" << std::endl;
    T func2 = Functor();
    std::cout << "func.swap(func2)" << std::endl;

    // with inplace_function, this cannot simply swap pointers
    func.swap(func2);
}

int main()
{
    inplace_function<void()> func = [] { std::cout << "lambda" <<
std::endl; };
    func();
    func = &Foo;
    func();
    inplace_function<void()> func2 = Functor();
    func.swap(func2);

    std::cout << "SomeTest<inplace_function<void()>>" << std::endl;
    SomeTest<inplace_function<void()>>();
}

```


7. Future work

To do

8. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Maciej Gajewski from Optiver B.V. and Edward Catmur from Maven Securities, for contributing their reference implementations, and for their insightful comments.

9. Existing implementations

1. Optiver B.V.
 - a. Non allocating function which has a user specified capacity. `Static_assert` is used to detect buffer overflows. Lambdas record destructors and constructors
2. Maven Securities:
 - a. Non allocating function which supports only trivial types, meaning no pointer to constructors or destructors is required (only the buffer and an invocation pointer). A user defined capacity of N bytes, with `static_asserts` for overflow
 - b. Non allocating function which supports copying, moving and destructing of callable targets. A user defined capacity of N bytes.
3. Ubisoft
 - a. Non allocating function that was a wrapper over `std::function` using TLS to work with specific stateless allocator. Was working with VS2012 but with variadic templates it's now much simpler to make a custom type without wrapping `std::function`.
4. Wargaming Seattle
 - a. To do
5. Erik Ringtorp
 - a. To do
6. <https://github.com/rukkal/static-stl/blob/master/include/sstl/function.h>

10. References

- https://github.com/carlcook/SG14/SG14/inplace_function.h

11. Related work

- <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2015/n4543.pdf>