# RandomGraphs

## haiml76

## August 2025

# 1

## 1.1

Let  $G_0$  be a specific graph with n vertices and  $m_0$  edges, then  $\mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,p) = G_0] = p^{m_0}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-m_0} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,p) \in A] = \mathbb{P}[\bigcup_{G_0 \in A} G \sim G(n,p) = G_0] = \sum_{G_0 \in A} p^{m_0}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-m_0}$ . But this is a polynomial in p, hence continous, and for every  $0 \leq p \leq q \leq 1$ . we have  $\mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,p) = G_0] = p^{m_0}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-m_0} \leq q^{m_0}(1-q)^{\binom{n}{2}-m_0} = \mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,q) = G_0]$ , which applies also for the sum of probabilities over  $\bigcup_{G_0 \in A} G_0$ . Thus,  $f(p) := \mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,p) \in A]$  is both continous and monotone increasing. We know that  $\mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,0) = \phi] = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,0) \in A] = 0$ , and that  $\mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n,1) \in A] = 1$ , hence f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1, and by the intermediate value theorem, for each  $0 \leq v \leq 1$ , there must exist an argument  $0 \leq p \leq 1$  s.t. v = f(p). We choose  $v = \frac{1}{2}$ , hence there must exist some  $0 \leq p^* \leq 1$  s.t.  $v = f(p^*) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 1.2

We check that  $1 - kp \le (1 - p)^k$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , by induction.

For k=1 it is trivial, for k+1, we have  $(1-p)^{k+1}=(1-p)^k(1-p)$ , and by the assumption,  $(1-p)^k(1-p)\geq (1-kp)(1-p)=1-kp-p+kp^2=1-(k+1)p+kp^2$ , but  $kp^2>0$ , so  $(1-p)^{k+1}=(1-p)^k(1-p)\geq 1-(k+1)p+kp^2>1-(k+1)p$ , which proves the assumption.

But it means that for each potential edge e,

$$\mathbb{P}[e \notin G \sim G(n,kp)] = 1 - kp \leq (1-p)^k = (\mathbb{P}[e \notin G \sim G(n,p)])^k = \mathbb{P}[e \notin G \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^k G(n,p)] \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[e \in G \sim G(n,kp)] \geq \mathbb{P}[e \in G \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^k G(n,p)],$$
 but by a theorem coming from staged exposure, it means that if  $A$  is an in-

but by a theorem coming from staged exposure, it means that if A is an increasing monotone property, then  $\mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n, kp) \in A] \geq \mathbb{P}[G \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^k G(n, p) \in A] \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n, kp) \notin A] \leq \mathbb{P}[G \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^k G(n, p) \notin A] = (\mathbb{P}[G \sim G(n, p) \notin A])^k$ 

## 1.3

$$\omega(n) \to \infty$$

Let  $n_k := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \lfloor \omega(n_k) \rfloor \geq k\}$ , we are guaranteed to have such  $n_k$  for every  $k \geq 1$ , otherwise there exists some  $k_0$  s.t.  $\lfloor \omega(n) \rfloor \leq k_0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in contradiction to  $\omega(n) \to \infty$ . Hence, for every  $k \geq 1$  we have  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , s.t.  $\mathbb{P}[G(n_k, \omega(n_k)p^*) \notin A] \leq \mathbb{P}[G(n_k, kp^*) \notin A]$ , this is true because  $\omega(n) \geq \lfloor \omega(n) \rfloor \geq k$  and A is a monotone increasing property. But from 1.2 we know that  $\mathbb{P}[G(n_k, kp^*) \notin A] \leq (\mathbb{P}[G(n_k, p^*) \notin A])^k$ , but  $\lim_{k \to \infty} (\mathbb{P}[G(n_k, p^*) \notin A])^k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[G(n, \omega p^*) \in A] = 1$ .

For  $\mathbb{P}[G(n, \frac{p^*}{\omega(n)}) \in A]$ , we observe that  $0 \leq p^* \leq 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p^*}{\omega(n)} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega(n)} = 0$ , as  $\omega(n)$  tends to infinity. But then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[G(n, \frac{p^*}{\omega(n)}) \in A] = \lim_{p \to 0} \mathbb{P}[G(n, p) \in A] = \mathbb{P}[G(n, 0) \in A]$ , as  $\mathbb{P}[G(n, p) \in A]$  is continuous, but from 1.1 we know that  $\mathbb{P}[G(n, 0) \in A] = 0$ .