

# 1 The computation of $G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

## 1.1 The computation of the first block $M_{11}$

**Proposition 1.1.1.** *Let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i e_{i,i+1}$ , where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  not all zero. Then  $\dim \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1/\gamma_3}(x) = l + m$ , where  $l$  is the number of sequences of non-zero coefficients of the form  $\lambda_j, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{j+k-1}, \lambda_{j+k}$  and  $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_{j+k+1} = 0^1$ , and  $m$  is the number of zero coefficients  $\lambda_j = 0$ , such that also  $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_{j+1} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i e_{i,i+1} \in \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_3}(x)$ , where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , denote by  $c_i$  the constraint equation  $[\lambda_i e_{i,i+1}, \mu_{i+1} e_{i+1,i+2}] - [\lambda_{i+1} e_{i+1,i+2}, \mu_i e_{i,i+1}] = (\lambda_i \mu_{i+1} - \lambda_{i+1} \mu_i) e_{i,i+2} = 0$ . Let  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n-1-j$  be two indices, such that  $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_{j+k+1} = 0$ , and  $\lambda_j, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{j+k-1}, \lambda_{j+k}$  are all non-zero, then by constraints  $c_j, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_{m-1}$ , we have that  $\mu_m = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_{m-1}} \mu_{m-1} = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_{m-1}} \frac{\lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_{m-2}} \mu_{m-2} = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_{m-2}} \mu_{m-2} = \dots = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_j} \mu_j$ , for every  $j+1 \leq m \leq j+k-1$ , which means that all  $\mu$  coefficients of  $y$ , with indices from  $j+1$  to  $j+k$ , depend on the first coefficient, namely  $\mu_j$ . We denote the free choice of  $\mu_j$  by  $\mu_j = *$ . One easily checks that we can choose freely any coefficient  $\mu_m$  from  $j+1$  to  $j+k$ , instead of  $\mu_j$ , and all other coefficients in that range will depend on our choice of  $\mu_m$ . By constraint  $c_{j-1}$ , we have that  $\lambda_{j-1} \mu_j - \lambda_j \mu_{j-1} = 0$ , but  $\lambda_{j-1} = 0$ , hence  $\lambda_j \mu_{j-1}$  must vanish, but  $\lambda_j \neq 0$ , which obviously means that  $\mu_{j-1} = 0$ . Similarly, we have that  $\mu_{j+k+1} = 0$ , due to constraint  $c_{j+k}$ . By constraint  $c_{j+k+1}$ , we have that  $\lambda_{j+k+1} \mu_{j+k+2} - \lambda_{j+k+2} \mu_{j+k+1} = 0$ , but  $\lambda_{j+k+1} = \mu_{j+k+1} = 0$ , hence,  $\lambda_{j+k+1} \mu_{j+k+2}$  must vanish, but  $\lambda_{j+k+1} = 0$ , which means that we need to look at constraint  $c_{j+k+2}$ , that is,  $\lambda_{j+k+2} \mu_{j+k+3} - \lambda_{j+k+3} \mu_{j+k+2} = 0$ . We check the different options. If  $\lambda_{j+k+2} = 0$ , then  $\lambda_{j+k+3} \mu_{j+k+2}$  must vanish. Therefore, if  $\lambda_{j+k+3} \neq 0$ , then  $\mu_{j+k+2} = 0$ , but if  $\lambda_{j+k+3} = 0$ , then  $\mu_{j+k+2} = *$ . If  $\lambda_{j+k+2} \neq 0$ , then again  $\mu_{j+k+2} = *$ . If  $\lambda_{j+k+2} \neq 0$ , then  $\mu_{j+k+2} = *$ , and we continue the same way as for  $\lambda_j$  and its following coefficients.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_{n,p}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Lie algebra associated with  $\mathcal{U}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $n \geq 5$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1/\gamma_3}(x) = \dim \gamma_1/\gamma_3 - 1$  if and only if  $x \in \{\lambda e_{12} + \gamma_2 \mathcal{L}_{n,p}\}$  or  $x \in \{\lambda e_{n-1,n} + \gamma_2 \mathcal{L}_{n,p}\}$ , for a non-zero scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If  $n = 4$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1/\gamma_3}(x) = \dim \gamma_1/\gamma_3 - 1$  if and only if  $x \in \{\lambda e_{12} + \mu e_{34} + \gamma_2 \mathcal{L}_{n,p}\}$ , for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  not both zero.*

---

<sup>1</sup>We extend our notation of indices, to include also the case where  $j = 1$  or  $j+k = n-1$ , and define that  $\lambda_{j-1} = \lambda_0 = 0$  or  $\lambda_{j+k+1} = \lambda_n = 0$ , respectively

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x = \lambda_1 e_{12} + z$ , where  $z \in \gamma_2 \mathcal{L}_{n,p}$ , then we have one sequence of non-zero coefficients, namely  $\lambda_1$ , and we have  $n - 2$  zero coefficients  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0$ , from which  $n - 3$  are between two other zeros. Hence, by 1.1.1, we have that  $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1/\gamma_2}(x) = 1 + (n - 3) = n - 2 = n - 1 - 1 = \dim \gamma_1/\gamma_2 - 1$ . Similarly, the same goes also for  $x = \lambda_{n-1} e_{n-1,n} + z$ . Suppose that  $\dim \gamma_1/\gamma_3 = 1$ , but  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i e_{i,i+1}$ , such that there exists a sequence of non-zero coefficients  $\lambda_j, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{j+k}$ , where  $2 \leq j \leq n - 2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1 - j$ . Clearly, the number of zero coefficients in  $x$  is less or equal to  $n - 1 - (k + 1) = n - k - 2$ , but at least two of them have a neighboring non-zero coefficient, so the number of zeros that lie between two other zeros is less or equal to  $n - k - 4$ . To show that the total dimension of  $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1/\gamma_2}(x)$  is less than  $n - 2$ , we shall use induction on  $k$  the number of sequences of consecutive non-zero coefficients. For  $k = 1$ ,  $\square$