Your Paper

You

March 3, 2025

Denote
$$G_5 := G_5(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$
, and $G_5^+ := G_5^+(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.
 $\zeta_{L_5,p}^{\wedge}(s) = \int_{G_5^+} |\det g|_p^s d\mu(G_5) = \int_{G_5^+} |\det uh|_p^s d\mu(G_5)$, where $h \in H$ and $\in N_h$.

Each
$$u$$
 is unipotent, hence $\zeta_{L_5,p}^{\wedge}(s) = \int_{G_5^+} |\det h|_p^s d\mu(G_5) = \int_{G_5^+} |\lambda_1^4 \lambda_2^6 \lambda_3^6 \lambda_4^4|_p^s d\mu(G_5) = \int_{G_5^+} |\lambda_1^4 \lambda_2^6 \lambda_3^6 \lambda_4^4|_p^s d\mu(G_5) = \int_{G_5^+} |\Delta_1^4 \lambda_2^6 \lambda_3^6 \lambda_4^4|_p^s d\mu(G_5) = \int_{G_5^+} |\lambda_1^4 \lambda_2^6 \lambda_3^6 \lambda_4^6 \lambda_3^6 \lambda_4^6 \lambda_4^6 \lambda_3^6 \lambda_4^6 \lambda_4^6 \lambda_5^6 \lambda_5^6$

$$=\int_{G_5^+} \left[|\lambda_1^4|_p |\lambda_2^6|_p |\lambda_3^6|_p |\lambda_4^4|_p \right]^s d\mu(G_5), \text{ by the inductive formula we have found for every } |h|.$$

We denote
$$v_i := v_p(\lambda_i)$$

We denote
$$v_i := v_p(\lambda_i)$$
,
and so $\zeta_{L_5,p}^{\wedge}(s) = \int_{G_5^+} \left[p^{-4v_1} p^{-6v_2} p^{-6v_3} p^{-4v_4} \right]^s d\mu(G_5) = \int_{G_5^+} p^{-(4v_1 + 6v_2 + 6v_3 + 4v_4)s} d\mu(G_5)$.

We denote $I(\underline{\lambda}) := p^{-(4v_1+6v_2+6v_3+4v_4)s}$. Now we use the natural matrix decomposition of the N_h matrix of Berman's, which means that

$$\zeta_{L_{5,p}}^{\wedge}(s) = \int_{G_{5}^{+}} I(\underline{\lambda}) d\mu(G_{5}) = \int_{\underline{\lambda}} \int_{\underline{a}} \int_{\underline{b}} \int_{\underline{c}} I(\underline{\lambda}) d\mu(\underline{c}) d\mu(\underline{b}) d\mu(\underline{a}) d\mu(\underline{\lambda}). \text{ Since } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in the computation of } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only in the computation of } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in the computation of } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in the computation of } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in the computation of } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in the computation of } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only on } \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \text{ which appear only in } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends only only } I(\underline{\lambda}) \text{ depends }$$

the outermost integral, we consider them as constants for all the inner integrals,

which means that we have
$$\zeta^{\wedge}_{L_{5,p}}(s) = \int_{\underline{\lambda}} I(\underline{\lambda}) \int_{\underline{a}} \int_{\underline{b}} \int_{\underline{c}} 1 d\mu(\underline{c}) d\mu(\underline{b}) d\mu(\underline{a}) d\mu(\underline{\lambda})$$

hence all the inner integrals evaluate to the measure of their domains of integration. now we compute the innermost integral by considering a, b and λ as constants, and integrating only over c. Considering the multiplication uh, we observe that for each element c_j , we must have that $\rho_j = c_j \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, which means that $v(\rho_i) = v(c_i\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4) \ge 0 \Rightarrow v(c_i) + v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 \ge 0$ $0 \Rightarrow v(c_i) \ge -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)$. But this means that $c_i \in p^{-(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)} \mathbb{Z}_p$, and since the domain of integration for this integral is $\underline{c} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$, then $\mu(\underline{c}) = |c_j|_p^4 = p^{4(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)}$. Denote $I(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{c}) := I(\underline{\lambda})p^{4(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)}$, we now

have that
$$\zeta_{L_{5,p}}^{\wedge}(s) = \int_{\underline{\lambda}} I(\underline{\lambda},\underline{c}) \int_{\underline{a}} \int_{\underline{b}} 1 d\mu(\underline{b}) d\mu(\underline{a}) d\mu(\underline{\lambda}).$$

Denote $\lambda_{13} := \lambda_1 \overline{\lambda}_2 \lambda_3$, $\lambda_{24} := \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4$, and $\lambda_{14} := \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4$. We now

consider the constraints on \underline{b} .

 $b_{11}\lambda_{13}, b_{31}\lambda_{13}, b_{41}\lambda_{13} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $b_{12}\lambda_{24}, b_{22}\lambda_{24} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. These constaints are obtained by multiplying elements in block M_{13} with elements in h, but one observes that we have b_{22} also in location (5,10) of the matrix, and b_{31} in location (7,10), which means that $b_{22}\lambda_{14}, b_{31}\lambda_{14} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. But since we already have $b_{22}\lambda_{24}, b_{31}\lambda_{13} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, the constraints $b_{22}\lambda_{14}$ and $b_{31}\lambda_{14}$ do not contribute any new information. In addition, we have one of the elements of \underline{b} that forms a constraint together with elements from \underline{a} , namely $(a_{11}a_{22}-b_{11})\lambda_{24} \in$ \mathbb{Z}_p . The constraints $b_{31}\lambda_{13}, b_{41}\lambda_{13}, b_{12}\lambda_{24}, b_{22}\lambda_{24} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ from above translate to $p^{-2(v_1+v_2+v_3)}p^{-2(v_2+v_3+v_4)} = p^{-2(v_1+2v_2+2v_3+v_4)}$. On the other hand, b_{11} is a part of two constraints, hence we must have both $b_{11} \in p^{-(v_1+v_2+v_3)}\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $a_{11}a_{22} - b_{11} \in p^{-(v_2+v_3+v_4)}\mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow b_{11} \in a_{11}a_{22} + p^{-(v_2+v_3+v_4)}\mathbb{Z}_p$, which means that we need to compute the measure $\mu(A)$, where $A = p^{-(v_1+v_2+v_3)}\mathbb{Z}_p \cap$ $a_{11}a_{22} + p^{-(v_2+v_3+v_4)}\mathbb{Z}_p$. Denote $\alpha := v_1 + v_2 + v_3$, $\beta := v_2 + v_3 + v_4$ and $x := a_{11}a_{22}$, and we need to find a formula for a generic intersection of the form $A = p^{-\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_p \cap x + p^{-\beta}\mathbb{Z}_p$. We need to find a formula for this generic form. Since b_{11} is in the intersection, we have that $b_{11} = z = x + y$ where $y \in p^{-\beta}$ and $z \in p^{-\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow z - x \in p^{-\beta}\mathbb{Z}_p$. Assume $\beta \geq \alpha \Rightarrow -\beta \leq -\alpha$, and since $v_p(b_{11}) = v_p(z-x) \ge \min\{v_p(z), v_p(x)\},$ and $v_p(z) \ge -\alpha \ge -\beta$, then we have two cases. If $v_p(x) \geq -\beta$, then $v_p(z-x) \geq \beta \Rightarrow z-x \in p^{-\beta}\mathbb{Z}_p$. But $-\alpha \geq -\beta \Rightarrow p^{-\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq p^{-\beta}\mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow A = p^{-\alpha}\mathbb{Z}_p$. If $v_p(x) < -\beta$, then $v_p(z-x)=v_p(x)<-\beta \Rightarrow z-x\notin p^{-\beta}\mathbb{Z}_p$, which means that $A=\varnothing$. One checks that if we assume $\alpha \geq \beta$, then we obtain that $A = p^{-\beta} \mathbb{Z}_p$ if $v_p(x) \geq -\alpha$, and $A = \emptyset$ if $v_p(x) < -\alpha$. Therefore, $\mu(A) = p^{\min\{\alpha,\beta\}}$ for every x such that $v_p(x) \ge \min\{-\alpha, -\beta\} = -\max\{\alpha, \beta\}$, which means, in our case, that $v_p(x) = v_p(a_{11}a_{22}) \ge -\max\{v_1 + v_2 + v_3, v_2 + v_3 + v_4\} = -v_2 - v_3 - \max\{v_1, v_4\}.$ Thus, denoting $I(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{c}, \underline{b}) := I(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{c})p^{-(v_2 + v_3) - \max\{v_1, v_4\}}$, we have that $\zeta_{L_5, p}^{\wedge}(s) = -v_3 - \max\{v_1, v_2\}$ $\int_{\underline{\lambda}} I(\underline{\lambda}, \underline{c}, \underline{b}) \int_{\underline{a}} 1 d\mu(\underline{b}) d\mu(\underline{a}) d\mu(\underline{\lambda}). \text{ Denote } v_{ij} := v_p(a_{ij}). \text{ For the constraints on }$ a, we have $a_{11}\lambda_1\lambda_2, -a_{11}\lambda_2\lambda_3, -a_{11}\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow v_{11} \ge -(v_1 + v_2), v_{11} \ge -(v_2 + v_3) \Rightarrow$ $v_{11} \ge -v_2 - \min\{v_1, v_3\}.$ $a_{21}\lambda_1\lambda_2, a_{21}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3, a_{21}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow v_{21} \ge -(v_1 + v_2).$ $a_{22}\lambda_2\lambda_3, -a_{22}\lambda_3\lambda_4, a_{22}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow v_{22} \ge -(v_2 + v_3), v_{22} \ge -(v_3 + v_4), v_{22} \ge -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3) \Rightarrow v_{22} \ge -v_3 - \min\{v_2, v_4\}.$ $a_{33}\lambda_3\lambda_4, a_{33}\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4, a_{33}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow v_{33} \ge -(v_3 + v_4).$ $a_{21}a_{22}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow v_{21} + v_{22} \ge -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3).$ $-a_{11}a_{33}\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow v_{11} + v_{33} \ge -(v_2 + v_3 + v_4).$ $a_{21}a_{33}\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow v_{21} + v_{33} \ge -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4).$ And we also have the constraint found earlier, $v_{11} + v_{22} \ge -(v_2 + v_3 + \max\{v_1, v_4\}).$ We have three constraints on a_{21}

- 1. $v_{21} \ge -(v_1 + v_2)$
- 2. $v_{21} \ge -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_{22})$
- 3. $v_{21} \ge -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 + v_{33})$

But the third constraint does not add new information, because we already have the two separate constraints $v_{21}, v_{33} \ge -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)$.

The two valid constraints translate to

$$v_{21} \ge \min\{-(v_1 + v_2), -(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_{22})\} = -(v_1 + v_2) - \{0, v_3 + v_{22}\}.$$

In the same way, we obtain the constraint $v_{33} \ge -(v_3 + v_4) - \min\{0, v_2 + v_{11}\}$.

Thus, we decompose the inner integral for \underline{a} into separate integrals, to obtain

$$\begin{split} I(\underline{\lambda},\underline{c},\underline{b},a_{21})p^{v_3+v_4+\min\{0,v_2+v_{11}\}}. \text{ We have } \zeta^{\wedge}_{L_{5,p}}(s) &= \int_{\underline{\lambda}} I(\underline{\lambda},\underline{c},\underline{b}) \int_{\underline{a}} 1d\mu(\underline{b})d\mu(\underline{a})d\mu(\underline{\lambda}) = \\ &= \int_{\underline{\lambda}} I(\underline{\lambda},\underline{c},\underline{b}) \int_{a_{11}} \int_{a_{22}} \int_{a_{33}} \int_{a_{21}} 1d\mu(\underline{b})d\mu(\underline{a})d\mu(\underline{\lambda}) = \\ &= \int_{\underline{\lambda}} I(\underline{\lambda},\underline{c},\underline{b},a_{21}) \int_{a_{11}} \int_{a_{22}} \int_{a_{33}} 1d\mu(\underline{b})d\mu(\underline{a})d\mu(\underline{\lambda}) = \\ &= \int_{\underline{\lambda}} I(\underline{\lambda},\underline{c},\underline{b},a_{21},a_{33}) \int_{a_{11}} \int_{a_{22}} 1d\mu(\underline{b})d\mu(\underline{a})d\mu(\underline{\lambda}). \\ &\text{By the constraints we found earlier on } a_{22}, \text{ we have the following.} \end{split}$$

1.
$$v_{22} \ge -v_3 - \min\{v_2, v_4\}$$

2.
$$v_{22} \ge -(v_2 + v_3) - \max\{v_1, v_4\} - v_{11}$$

which translates into $v_{22} \ge -v_3 - \min\{\min\{v_2, v_4\}, v_2 + \max\{v_1, v_4\} + v_{11}\} = v_{11} + v_{12} + v_{13} + v_{14} +$ $= -v_3 - \min\{v_2, v_4, v_2 + \max\{v_1, v_4\} + v_{11}\}.$

Denote $\alpha := v_2 + \max\{v_1, v_4\} + v_{11}$ and $\beta := \min\{v_2, v_4, \beta\}$. We already have the constraint $v_{11} \ge -(v_2 + \min\{v_1, v_3\})$, which means that, in either case, $v_{11} \ge -(v_1 + v_2) \ge -\min\{v_1, v_4\} - v_2$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = v_2 + \max\{v_1, v_4\} + v_{11} \ge \max\{v_1, v_4\} - \min\{v_1, v_4\} \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \{v_2, v_4, \beta\} > 0$$