## 1

## 1.1

**Proposition 1.1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{m} \in G_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  be a coefficient matrix representing an  $\mathcal{L}_{n,p}$ -automorphism, and let  $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  be the two matrices in the unique decomposition  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{h}$ , then  $m \in G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  if and only if  $n \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

Proof. We prove only the non-trivial direction. Assume  $\mathfrak{m} \in G(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . By the construction of the automorphism coefficient matrices, the diagonal of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_1\lambda_2, \lambda_2\lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_{n-2}\lambda_{n-1}, \ldots, \lambda_1\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , but we assumed  $\mathfrak{m} \in G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , hence we must have all the  $\lambda$  coefficients and their products in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  itself. Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{m} \in G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , it means that  $\det \mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , in words, the determinant of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The matrix  $\mathfrak{h}$  has precisely the same diagonal, and determinant, as  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and zero in all the other cells. As seen earlier, the determinant of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , for every  $n \geq 2$ , is  $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{k(n-k)}$ , so if  $\det \mathfrak{h}$  is invertible, hence the valuation  $v(\det \mathfrak{h}) = v(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{k(n-k)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} v(\lambda_k^{k(n-k)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{k(n-k)} v(\lambda_k) = 0$ , but  $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , for every  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , hence  $v(\lambda_k) \geq 0$ , but if the total sum is zero, then we must have  $v(\lambda_k) = 0$ , for every k, which means that  $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , hence  $\mathfrak{h} \in G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . But if  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{h} \in G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , then  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{h}^{-1} \in G_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .