

# 1logic

haize

August 6, 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Propositional Logic</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Logical Constants . . . . .	2
1.2	Variables . . . . .	2
1.3	Construction Trees . . . . .	2
1.4	Parsing Trees . . . . .	2
1.5	Truth Tables . . . . .	2
1.6	Tautologies . . . . .	2
1.6.1	Notation . . . . .	2
1.7	Contradictions . . . . .	3
1.8	Propositional Logical Consequence . . . . .	3
1.8.1	Consequence is reducible to validity . . . . .	3
1.9	Sound Rules of propositional inference . . . . .	3
1.10	How to check correctness . . . . .	4
1.11	Fallacies of Implication (Derivative Implication) . . . . .	4
1.12	Truth assignments in propositional logical . . . . .	4
1.13	Logical Equivalence . . . . .	4
1.13.1	De' Morgans Law . . . . .	4
1.13.2	this one . . . . .	5
1.14	Relating Logical Equivalence, consequence and validity . . . . .	5
1.15	$\equiv$ is an equivalence relation . . . . .	5
1.16	$\equiv$ is a congruence wrt to logical connectives . . . . .	5
1.16.1	Important Logical Equivalence . . . . .	6
1.17	Inductive Definitions . . . . .	7
1.17.1	Structured induction . . . . .	7
1.18	Complex Proof . . . . .	7

# 1 Propositional Logic

$A \implies B$  This evaluates true if A is false **OR** B is true

## 1.1 Logical Constants

$\top$ : True proposition

$\perp$ : False proposition

## 1.2 Variables

- p, q, r : lower case roman letters.

## 1.3 Construction Trees

- Taking any subtree is a valid logical formulae itself
- the size of the graph is the number of nodes (variables + connectives)
- The main connective is the one applied last, non-leaf node
- create the tree from the inside

## 1.4 Parsing Trees

- Start constructing these from the main connective
- Top to bottom

## 1.5 Truth Tables

- ternary function mapping  $\{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

## 1.6 Tautologies

- propositionally valid formula that is always True.

### 1.6.1 Notation

- $\models A$

#### 1. Examples

- $\models p \vee \neg p$  - Law of excluded middle

- $\models \neg(p \wedge \neg p)$  - Law of non-contradiction
- $\models ((p \wedge (p \implies q)) \implies q)$  - modus ponens
  - Set  $q$  false, left must be true so all true.

## 1.7 Contradictions

- always false formula
1. If a formula is not a contradiction, then it is satisfiable

## 1.8 Propositional Logical Consequence

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \models C$$

- if  $C$  is true whenever all  $A_i$  are true.
- **Tautology** is a special case of consequence

$$\phi \models C \models C$$

### 1.8.1 Consquence is reducible to validity

$$A_1, \dots, A_n \models B$$

is equivalent to:  $A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \models B$   
 then

$$\models (A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n) \implies B$$

## 1.9 Sound Rules of propositional inference

- A rule of propositional inference is a scheme where  $P_i$  are the premises we assume and  $C$  is the conclusion

$$\frac{P_1, \dots, P_n}{C}$$

- An inference rule is **logically sound** if

$$P_1, \dots, P_n \models C$$

- Putting concrete propositions (substituting for variables) is a propositional inference.

### 1.10 How to check correctness

Check if a rule is sound :

$$\frac{S, S \implies h}{h}$$

so check if  $S, S \implies h \stackrel{?}{\models} h$

∴ the inference is correct based on a sound rule, but can't say rules is sound

### 1.11 Fallacies of Implication (Derivative Implication)

- converse:  $B \implies A$
- inverse:  $\neg A \implies \neg B$
- contrapositive:  $\neg B \implies \neg A$  this is **sound**.

### 1.12 Truth assignments in propostional logical

- PVAR : propostional variables (countably infinite)
- FOR : set of all propositional formulas

A truth assignment is a map  $v : \text{PVAR} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  and  $\bar{v} : \text{FORM} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$

1. Note the following:

- $\bar{v}(\top) = T$
- $\bar{v}(\perp) = F$
- $\bar{v}(p) = v(p)$

\*  $\bar{v}(\neg A) = T, (A) = F$

### 1.13 Logical Equivalence

$A \equiv B$  both obtain the same truth value under all truth valuations

$$A \equiv B \iff \bar{v}(A) = \bar{v}(B)$$

for all truth assignments if v

#### 1.13.1 De' Morgans Law

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

### 1.13.2 this one

$$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p \wedge p \equiv p$$

## 1.14 Relating Logical Equivalence, consequence and validity

1. Reducible to validity

$$A \equiv B \text{ iff } \models A \iff \models B$$

- (a) Proof in notes Suppose  $A \equiv B$   
 Let  $v: \text{PVAR} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  be arbitrary  
 since  $A \equiv B$ ,  $\bar{v}(A) = \bar{v}(B)$   
 $\therefore \bar{v}(A \iff B) = T$   
 Since  $v$  was arbitrary we conclude  
 $\models A \iff B$

2. Reducible to Logical Consequence  $A \equiv B$  iff both  $A \models B$  and  $B \models A$

## 1.15 $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation

1. reflexive  $A \equiv A$
2. symmetric if  $A \equiv B$  then  $B \equiv A$
3. transitive if  $A \equiv B$  and  $B \equiv C$  then  $A \equiv C$

## 1.16 $\equiv$ is a congruence wrt to logical connectives

- if  $A \equiv B$  then  $\neg A \equiv \neg B$
- if  $A_1 \equiv B_1$  and  $A_2 \equiv B_2$  then  $(A_1 \cdot A_2) \equiv (B_1 \cdot B_2)$  for all  $\cdot = \{\vee, \wedge, \implies, \iff\}$
- 1. Theorem Equivalent replacement if  $A \equiv B$  then  $C(A/p) \equiv C(B/p)$

We replace  $p$  with  $A$  all at once, the same for  $B$

- (a) Proof in notes  $\rightarrow$  by induction on  $C$  By Induction on  $C$ :  
 i.  $C$  is  $\perp$  Then  $C(A/p) = \perp$  and  $C(B/p) = \perp$

$$\therefore C(A/p) = \perp \equiv \perp = C(B/p)$$

- i.  $C$  is  $\top$  same as above.
- ii. 1.  $C$  is  $q$  where  $q \neq p$ , then  $C(A/p) = q$  and  $C(B/p) = q$

SO  $C(A/p) = q \equiv q = C(B/p)$

- i. 2.  $C$  is  $p$ , then

$$C(A/p) = A \equiv B = C(B/p)$$

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose holds for formulas  $C_1$  and  $C_2$

$$C_1(A/p) \equiv C_1(B/p) \text{ and}$$

$$C_2(A/p) \equiv C_2(B/p)$$

- i.  $C$  is  $\neg C$  :

$$\text{Then } C(A/p) = \mathcal{C}(A/p) \overset{\star}{\equiv} \mathcal{C}(B/p) = C(B/p),$$

Where  $\star$  holds by the Inductive Hypothesis and the fact that  $\equiv$  is a congruence wrt to propositional connectives.

- i.  $C$  is  $(C_1 \cdot C_2)$  for some  $\cdot = \{\wedge \vee \implies \iff\}$

Then  $C(A/p) = (C_1(A/p) \cdot C_2(A/p))$  but by inductive hypothesis

$$C_1(A/p) \equiv C_1(B/p) \text{ and}$$

$$C_2(A/p) \equiv C_2(B/p)$$

So by the fact that  $\equiv$  is a congruence wrt to propositional connectives;

$$C(A/p) = ((C_1(A/p) \cdot C_2(A/p)) \equiv (C(A/p) = (C_1(B/p) \cdot C_2(B/p)) = C(B/p)$$

$$\therefore C(A/p) \equiv C(B/p)$$

### 1.16.1 Important Logical Equivalence

- Idempotency : applying more than once makes no difference  $p \wedge p$  and  $p \vee p$
- Commutativity:
- Associativity:
- Distributivity:
- BUNCH OF STUFF AT THE END OF SECTION 1.3

1. Know important  $\equiv$  for negation how it affects  $\implies$  and  $\iff$

## 1.17 Inductive Definitions

### 1.17.1 Structured induction

Important when an infinite set of structured objects are to be defined  
BNF

$$w := \epsilon | wa$$

, where  $a \in A$

1. Example proof The number of right parenthesis is the same as left in logical formulae ATTACH

$$l : \mathbf{FORM} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$r : \mathbf{FORM} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

To prove  $l(\psi) = r(\psi) \forall \psi \in \mathbf{FORM}$

- (a) if  $\psi$  is  $\top$ , then  $l(\psi) = l(\top) = 0 = r(\top) = r(\psi)$   
if  $\psi$  is  $\perp$ , then  $l(\psi) = l(\perp) = 0 = r(\perp) = r(\psi)$
- (b) if  $\psi$  is  $p$  then  $l(\psi) = l(p) = 0 = r(p) = r(\psi)$
- (c) Suppose  $l(A) = r(A)$  and  $A$  is  $\neg A$ :

$$\text{then } l(\psi) = l(\neg A) = l(A) + 1 = r(A) + 1 = r(\neg A) = r(\psi)$$

- (a) Suppose  $l(A) = r(A)$  and  $l(B) = r(B)$  and  $\psi$  is  $(A \cdot B)$ , for  
 $\cdot = \{\wedge, \vee, \implies, \iff\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } l(\psi) &= l((A \cdot B)) = l(A) + l(B) + 1 \\ \text{Now by IH } &= r(A) + r(B) + 1 = r((A \cdot B)) = r(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

## 1.18 Complex Proof

We will prove  $A \wedge B \implies B \implies A$  using natural deduction.

$$\frac{\frac{A \wedge B}{A} \quad \frac{A \wedge B}{B}}{B \wedge A}$$