Project report

Step 1 : data importation and label encoding : results

	sent	У
0	طوال حياتي لم المس اي تغير حتى قدمت هذه الحكوا	1
1	'منتوج رائع وثمن مناسبجميل'	1
2	اكلنا ابن كيران لمتافق معايا يدير جيما	1
3	'وفقك الله لو لاية اخرى حفاش مكينش محسن منك'	1
4	لأنه و بكل بساطة رئيس الحكومة يعتني بمعاق داخ	1
1995	اصمت لعلى صمتك راحة بالنسبة لهما	0
1995 1996	اصمت لعلى صمتك راحة بالنسبة لهم المصديقة حيوانات والإزال هنالك اناس لا يؤمنون بنظا	0
1996	حديقة حيوانات والازال هنالك اناس لا يؤمنون بنظا	0
1996 1997	حديقة حيوانات و لازال هنالك اناس لا يؤمنون بنظا أفعى بجدار 3 تريثت تربصت وكان الفحيح متعة له صا	0

2000 rows × 2 columns

Step 2: data cleaning and preprocessing:

In this step I have removed diacritics, longations, english words, repetitions, spaces/tabulations/new lines ..., digits, and stopwords
Finally I used ISRIStemmer to stem the data

	sent	У
0	طول حيت لمس اي تغر حتي قدم حكم فل نقف بجن بصت	1
1	نتج رءع وثم نسب جمل	1
2	كلن ابن كير تفق معا يدر	1
3	و فق الل لول اخر حقش كينش حسن منك	1
4	لنه بكل بسط رءس حكم يعت عاق دخل بيت الل ميز حسن	1

Step3: data splitting:

```
print(X_train.shape)
print(X_test.shape)

(1600,)
(400,)
```

Step3: Modeling:

First model: TF-IDF + Support vector classifier

support	f1-score	recall	e is 0.84 precision	Accuracy scor
204	0.85	0.91	0.81	0
196	0.83	0.78	0.89	1
400	0.84			accuracy
400	0.84	0.84	0.85	macro avg
400	0.84	0.84	0.85	weighted avg

Second model: CNN

```
Epoch 1/5
50/50 - 5s - loss: 0.6666 - accuracy: 0.6294 - val_loss: 0.5866 - val_accuracy: 0.7800 - 5s/epoch - 97ms/step
Epoch 2/5
50/50 - 3s - loss: 0.3294 - accuracy: 0.8975 - val_loss: 0.3512 - val_accuracy: 0.8575 - 3s/epoch - 62ms/step
Epoch 3/5
50/50 - 3s - loss: 0.0898 - accuracy: 0.9719 - val_loss: 0.3942 - val_accuracy: 0.8450 - 3s/epoch - 61ms/step
Epoch 4/5
50/50 - 3s - loss: 0.0206 - accuracy: 0.9969 - val_loss: 0.4095 - val_accuracy: 0.8725 - 3s/epoch - 60ms/step
Epoch 5/5
50/50 - 3s - loss: 0.0069 - accuracy: 0.9994 - val_loss: 0.4705 - val_accuracy: 0.8500 - 3s/epoch - 61ms/step
13/13 - 0s - loss: 0.4705 - accuracy: 0.8500 - 58ms/epoch - 4ms/step
score: 0.47
acc: 0.85
```

Third model: LSTM

```
Epoch 1/10
50/50 - 14s - loss: 0.6481 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 14s/epoch - 276ms/step
Epoch 2/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.4493 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 216ms/step
Epoch 3/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.2071 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 217ms/step
Epoch 4/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.1108 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 217ms/step
Epoch 5/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.0756 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 219ms/step
Epoch 6/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.0448 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 219ms/step
Epoch 7/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.0324 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 217ms/step
Epoch 8/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.0193 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 220ms/step
Epoch 9/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.0150 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 222ms/step
Epoch 10/10
50/50 - 11s - loss: 0.0075 - accuracy: 0.5025 - 11s/epoch - 217ms/step
13/13 - 1s - loss: 0.6089 - accuracy: 0.4900 - 589ms/epoch - 45ms/step
score: 0.61
acc: 0.49
```

Comparaison

The LSTM result after the training and the validation step gave us a poor accuracy compared to the CNN, the model was even slower. Thus this can be improved by tuning the hyperparameter, it can be even faster as well if we combine it with another model including CNN itself.

SVC gave us some interesting results with 0.83 accuracy CNN outperformed the 2 models with 0.85 even without hyperparameter tuning.