

ECOSPHERE

ECOSPHERE NATURALIST

Predator effects link ecological communities: kelp created by sea otters provides an unexpected subsidy to bald eagles

Erin U. Rechsteiner, 1,2 , \dagger Sara B. Wickham, 1,3 and Jane C. Watson 4

¹Hakai Institute, P.O. Box 309, Heriot Bay, British Columbia V0P 1H0 Canada ²Applied Conservation Science Lab, University of Victoria, P.O. Box 3060 STN CSC, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4 Canada ³School of Environmental Studies, University of Victoria, P.O. Box 1700 STN CSC, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 2Y2 Canada

Citation: Rechsteiner, E. U., S. B. Wickham, and J. C. Watson. 2018. Predator effects link ecological communities: kelp created by sea otters provides an unexpected subsidy to bald eagles. Ecosphere 9(5):e02271. 10.1002/ecs2.2271

Abstract. Ecological communities are best studied at the landscape level, where linkages among communities are considered. Such linkages are often driven by increases in primary production caused by apex predators limiting herbivores. In this note, we describe a novel linkage among sea otters (*Enhydra lutris*), the long-lived woody kelp (*Pterygophora californica*), and bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*). While counting sea otters in an isolated group of rocky islets on the Central Coast of British Columbia, we found an eagle nest composed of mostly *Pterygophora* stalks. *Pterygophora* recruits rapidly after sea otters arrive in an area and limit sea urchins; the subsequent pulsed *Pterygophora* recruitment results in narrow age-class cohorts that senesce *en masse* after about 20 yr. When the woody stipes wash ashore, they degrade slowly and persist as beach wrack for years. These windrows of woody kelp are common on the BC coast where sea otters have re-established. We demonstrate how this subsidy can be used by bald eagles, and predict that as sea otters recover across their range in BC, further effects of *Pterygophora* to intertidal, supralittoral, and terrestrial communities will be observed.

Key words: bald eagle; habitat subsidy; kelp forest succession; landscape ecology; predator effects; Pterygophora; sea otter.

Received 3 April 2018; accepted 5 April 2018. Corresponding Editor: Debra P. C. Peters.

Copyright: © 2018 The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. † **E-mail:** erin@hakai.org

Ecological communities are increasingly being studied at a landscape level, where the transport of primary production among communities is integral to the understanding of food web structure (Polis et al. 1997, Darimont et al. 2008, Jelinski 2014, Duggins et al. 2016). Nutrient subsidies between aquatic and terrestrial communities occur in myriad ecosystems (Polis and Hurd 1996, Hocking and Reimchen 2002, Maron et al. 2006). Subsidies linking adjacent communities can also include habitat subsidies, such as trees swept from land to sea that facilitate colonization of islands by small mammals, and provide

ongoing shelter once washed ashore (McCabe and McTaggart-Cowan 1945, Colombini and Chelazzi 2003). It is often apex predators that connect these ecological communities, especially when they affect primary production (Estes et al. 2011, 2016, Atwood et al. 2015).

The trophic cascade by which sea otters (*Enhydra lutris*) indirectly enhance kelp by consuming herbivorous sea urchins (Estes and Palmisano 1974) is well known and widely demonstrated (Estes and Duggins 1995, Watson and Estes 2011). Kelps (seaweeds in the order Laminariales) in turn have diverse influences on coastal

⁴Biology Department, Vancouver Island University, 900 Fifth Street, Nanaimo, British Columbia V9R 5S5 Canada

ecosystems, including increased production (Duggins et al. 1989) and habitat complexity (Mann 1973), altered water flow (Duggins 1988), and numerous knock-on effects on other species and processes (see Estes et al. 2016 for a review). The influences of this kelp extend from coastal environments into the deep sea (Harrold et al. 1998), the atmosphere (Wilmers et al. 2012, Krause-Jensen and Duarte 2016), and onto land. When washed ashore, kelp can provide nutrient and habitat subsidies to nearshore and terrestrial communities (Dugan et al. 2003, Jelinski 2014). Known kelp effects on land derive from nutrient enhancement, which in turn fuels detritivores, omnivores, and eventually birds and small mammals (Polis and Hurd 1996, Rose and Polis 1998). Kelp wrack also provides habitat for organisms living in the intertidal, supralittoral, and adjacent terrestrial zones (Colombini et al. 2000).

In addition to the indirect effects that sea otters have on ecological communities via the provision of kelp, sea otters can affect other species directly (Estes et al. 2016). One such example occurs between sea otters and bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*). In the Aleutian Islands, when sea otters are abundant, bald eagle diets are composed of about 15% sea otter pups and are approximately 10% higher in kelp-associated fish species compared with the diet of eagles in areas without sea otters, where eagles consumed about 25% more sea birds (Anthony et al. 2008). In this note, we describe another effect of sea otters on bald eagles, one that links them indirectly.

While conducting sea otter surveys in 2015 and 2016 along the central coast of British Columbia, Canada, we stopped in the Gosling Rocks to check a bald eagle nest for sea otter pup remains, which were noted in this same nest during surveys conducted in the 1990s. Over a two-year period, we collected 13 bald eagle pellets composed mostly of sea otter pup fur, from the nest area. Much to our surprise, the eagle nest, which had been constructed entirely of tree branches in the 1990s, was now predominantly made from woody stipes of the kelp *Pterygophora californica* (Fig. 1).

Sea otters, which were extirpated from BC in a coast-wide fur trade that ended in the early 1900s, were reintroduced to BC waters from 1969 to 1972 and have since reoccupied much of their historic range (Nichol et al. 2015). As this growing sea otter population expanded its range, it





Fig. 1. Pterygophora californica stipes used in an eagle nest.

quickly reduced sea urchin abundance, thereby allowing kelp to recruit into the newly created urchin-free space (Watson and Estes 2011). Pterygophora californica, a long-lived woody kelp that grows to a height of about 2 m, is one such kelp species. This rapid pulse of recruitment often creates forests of perennial kelp that are dominated by a few age classes (Watson and Estes 2011). The resulting kelp stands can persist for up to 20 yr, before senescing, which, because of their narrow age range, occurs en masse (Watson and Estes 2011). These mass senescence events create episodic windrows of Pterygophora wrack on shore, and are frequently observed along the BC coast in areas where sea otters have occurred for more than 20 yr. These mass recruitment, extended persistence, and abrupt mass senescence events create a pulsed kelp subsidy that likely explains why Pterygophora suddenly appeared in the bald eagle nest in the Gosling Rocks.

The woody stipe of *Pterygophora* can be aged from its annual growth rings (De Wreede 1984),



Fig. 2. Windrows of Pterygophora californica stipes.

and the modal age of cohorts has been used to approximate when sea otters arrived at sites along the west coast of Vancouver Island (Watson and Estes 2011). In 1991, the modal age of *Pterygophora* at Gosling Rocks was 8 yr, which places the arrival of sea otters to this site at the early 1980s (Prizing et al. 2016). *Pterygophora* stipes, which can persist on the beach for years (Fig. 2), would have begun to appear in large numbers on the beaches adjoining the Gosling Rocks in the early 2000s, at which point eagles likely began using them for nest building.

In areas where trees are scarce or absent, raptors can be remarkably opportunistic and plastic in their use of nesting materials (Ellis et al. 2009). Golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*) build nests with deer antlers, Ferruginous hawks (*Buteo regalis*) constructed nests from bison (*Bison bison*) ribs on the Great Plains in the early 1900s, and steppe eagles (*Aquila nipalensis*) have been observed using desiccated mammal skins in their nests (see review in Ellis et al. 2009). On the western shores of North America, where bald eagles nest from the Aleutian Islands to Baja,

Mexico, most nests are built with sticks and branches, and are perched in old-growth trees adjacent to the shoreline (Robards and King 1966). However, in Alaska ground nests are common at exposed, treeless islands in the Aleutians (Robards and King 1966) where nesting materials can include grasses (Robards and King 1966) and occasionally seaweed (Watts et al. 2015). *Pterygophora* does not occur in the Aleutian archipelago (Lindeberg and Lindstrom 2010) and the stipes of the kelps that do occur there are less woody and degrade more rapidly than those of *Pterygophora* which can persist on the beach for years (J. C. Watson, *personal observation*).

Along the BC coast, bald eagles also nest on the ground, constructing nests from grasses when trees (and kelp) are absent (authors, personal observation). The Gosling Rocks are an isolated group of about 70 rocky islets with only one treed islet (six trees >3 m tall). The nearest forested area is over 3 km away; therefore, kelp may provide a new resource for eagles nesting in this area that is easier to use than tree branches from more distant habitats. In the nearby McMullin Islands where trees are abundant, Pterygophora senesced and washed up along beaches in 2014, about 18 yr after sea otters were first seen in the islands (Nichol et al. 2015). Some 3 yr later, windrows of Pterygophora wrack still persist along the shore. Surveys of wrack biomass conducted on 101 islands in nine archipelagos in the same region revealed that the McMullin Islands had a mean of 48 g/m² of dry Pterygophora biomass accumulated along their shorelines. This was significantly more (ANOVA; $F_{8, 4103} = 4.861$, P < 0.05) than any of the other eight archipelagoes which ranged in mean values from 0 to 10 g/m² (in Tukey's multiple comparisons of means the McMullin Islands archipelago differed from all of the eight other archipelagos, P < 0.05; Wickham 2017). Despite this, we did not detect Pterygophora in any of the four eagle nests we examined (by binoculars) in the McMullin Islands, although we found a collection of Pterygophora stipes (n = 5) scattered at the base of one nest. We suspect that Pterygophora is most useful as nesting material when trees are scarce.

The onshore deposition of seaweed wrack provides an important connection between marine and terrestrial communities. The importance of sea otters in driving kelp forest biomass and

influencing community structure is well known. Our observations illustrate another way in which sea otters can affect adjoining ecological communities; when suitable kelp, created indirectly by foraging sea otters, washes ashore, it can provide bald eagles with nesting materials. As a source of wrack, Pterygophora differs from most other algae in that its woody stipes degrade slowly allowing it to persist on the beach for years. Furthermore, most seaweed subsidies occur seasonally whereas the mass senescence of Pterygophora is demographically driven and occurs on a decadal scale; one set by the colonization history of the expanding sea otter population (Watson and Estes 2011). As the BC sea otter population continues to expand and kelp forest succession processes are re-set across the coastal landscape, further mass senescence events in Pterygophora will occur. Given the expanding range of sea otters along the BC coast, we predict that the windrows of senesced Pterygophora may have other unexpected effects on intertidal, supralittoral, and terrestrial communities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Hakai Institute, the Tula Foundation, and NSERC Vanier for supporting this research. Graeme Ellis, Leah Saville, Mike DeRoos, Marie Fournier, Christie McMillan, and Matthew Morgan Henderson assisted with fieldwork. We thank the Heiltsuk Nation for their support with this research. This manuscript benefited from comments from Jim Estes, Linda Nichol, Brianna Wright, and two anonymous reviewers.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anthony, R. G., J. A. Estes, M. A. Ricca, K. A. Miles, and E. D. Forsman. 2008. Bald eagles and sea otters in the Aleutian archipelago: indirect effects of trophic cascades. Ecology 89:2725–2735.
- Atwood, T. B., R. M. Connolly, E. G. Ritchie, C. E. Lovelock, M. R. Heithaus, G. C. Hays, J. W. Fourqurean, and P. I. Macreadie. 2015. Predators help protect carbon stocks in blue carbon ecosystems. Nature Climate Change 5:1038–1045.
- Colombini, I., A. Aloia, M. Fallaci, G. Pezzoli, and L. Chelazzi. 2000. Temporal and spatial use of stranded wrack by the macrofauna of a tropical sandy beach. Marine Biology 136:531–541.
- Colombini, I., and L. Chelazzi. 2003. Influence of marine allochthonous input on sandy beach communities.

- Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 41:115–159.
- Darimont, C. T., P. C. Paquet, and T. E. Reimchen. 2008. Spawning salmon disrupt trophic coupling between wolves and ungulate prey in coastal British Columbia. BMC Ecology 8:14.
- De Wreede, R. E. 1984. Growth and age class distribution of *Pterygophora californica* (Phaeophyta). Marine Ecology Progress Series 19:93–100.
- Dugan, J. E., D. M. Hubbard, M. D. McCrary, and M. O. Pierson. 2003. The response of macrofauna communities and shorebirds to macrophyte wrack subsidies on exposed sandy beaches of southern California. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 58:25–40.
- Duggins, D. O. 1988. The effects of kelp forests on nearshore environments: biomass, detritus, and altered flow. Pages 192–201 *in* R. Van Blaricom and J. A. Estes, editors. The community ecology of sea otters. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Duggins, D. O., M. C. Gómez-Buckley, R. M. Buckley, A. T. Lowe, A. W. E. Galloway, and M. N. Dethier. 2016. Islands in the stream: kelp detritus as faunal magnets. Marine Biology 163:1–10.
- Duggins, D. O., C. A. Simenstad, and J. A. Estes. 1989. Magnification of secondary productivity by kelp detritus in coastal marine ecosystems. Science 245:170–173.
- Ellis, D. H., et al. 2009. Unusual raptor nests around the world. Journal of Raptor Research 43: 175–198.
- Estes, J. A., and D. O. Duggins. 1995. Sea otters and kelp forests in Alaska: generality and variation in a community ecological paradigm. Ecological Monographs 65:75–100.
- Estes, J. A., M. Heithaus, D. J. McCauley, D. B. Rasher, and B. Worm. 2016. Megafaunal impacts on structure and function of ocean ecosystems. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 41:83–116.
- Estes, J. A., and J. F. Palmisano. 1974. Sea otters: their role in structuring nearshore communities. Science 185:1058–1060.
- Estes, J. A., et al. 2011. Trophic downgrading of planet Earth. Science 333:301–306.
- Harrold, C., K. Light, and S. Lisin. 1998. Organic enrichment of submarine-canyon and continental-shelf benthic communities by macroalgal drift imported from nearshore kelp forests. Limnology and Oceanography 43:669–678.
- Hocking, M. D., and T. E. Reimchen. 2002. Salmonderived nitrogen in terrestrial invertebrates from coniferous forests of the Pacific Northwest. BMC Ecology 2:4.

Jelinski, D. E. 2014. On a landscape ecology of a harlequin environment: the marine landscape. Landscape Ecology 30:1–6.

- Krause-Jensen, D., and C. M. Duarte. 2016. Substantial role of macroalgae in marine carbon sequestration. Nature Geoscience 9:737–742.
- Lindeberg, M. R., and S. C. Lindstrom. 2010. Field guide to seaweeds of Alaska. Alaska Sea Grant College Program, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA.
- Mann, K. H. 1973. Seaweeds: their productivity and strategy for growth. Science 182:975–981.
- Maron, J. L., J. A. Estes, D. A. Croll, E. M. Danner, S. C. Elmendorf, and S. L. Buchelew. 2006. An introduced predator alters Aleutian Island plant communities by thwarting nutrient subsidies. Ecological Monographs 76:3–24.
- McCabe, T. T., and I. McTaggart-Cowan. 1945. *Peromyscus maniculatus macrorhinus* and the problem of insularity. Transactions of the Royal Canadian Institute 25:117–216.
- Nichol, L. M., J. C. Watson, R. Abernethy, E. Rechsteiner, and J. Towers. 2015. Trends in the abundance and distribution of sea otters (*Enhydra lutris*) in British Columbia updated with 2013 survey results. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc.
- Polis, G. A., W. B. Anderson, and R. D. Holt. 1997. Toward an integration of landscape and food web ecology: the dynamics of spatially subsidized food webs. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 28:289–316.
- Polis, G. A., and S. D. Hurd. 1996. Linking marine and terrestrial food webs: Allochthonous input from

- the ocean supports high secondary productivity on small islands and coastal land communities. American Naturalist 147:396–423.
- Prizing, T. S., J. M. Burt, E. U. Rechsteiner, L. M. Nichol, J. C. Watson, and A. K. Salomon. 2016. Age distributions of a long-lived kelp reveal timing of algal recovery following sea otter range expansion. Western Society of Naturalists Meeting, Monterey, California, USA.
- Robards, F. C., and J. G. King. 1966. Nesting and productivity of bald eagles in Southeast Alaska: 1966. US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Juneau, Alaska, USA.
- Rose, M. D., and G. A. Polis. 1998. The distribution and abundance of coyotes: the effects of allochthonous food subsidies from the sea. Ecology 79: 998–1007.
- Watson, J., and J. A. Estes. 2011. Stability, resilience, and phase shifts in rocky subtidal communities along the west coast of Vancouver Island, Canada. Ecological Monographs 81:215–239.
- Watts, B. D., R. Boettcher, and B. R. Truitt. 2015. Ground-nesting bald eagles on the Virginia Barrier Islands. Raven 86:15–17.
- Wickham, S. 2017. The ecology of sea wrack accumulations across space and time on islands along British Columbia's Central Coast. Thesis. University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada.
- Wilmers, C. C., J. A. Estes, M. Edwards, K. L. Laidre, and B. Konar. 2012. Do trophic cascades affect the storage and flux of atmospheric carbon? An analysis of sea otters and kelp forests. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 10:409–415.