Computer Operating Systems

Homework 2

Hakan Duran

Computer Engineering

Istanbul Technical University

Email: duranh20@itu.edu.tr

Abstract—In this homework, free-list implementation of operating systems is made by using mmap() system call, necessary data structures and algorithms.

I. COMPILATION

The command for compilation is: gcc -o app main.c MyLibrary.c

II. SYSTEM

A. Introduction

I have a global variable called mem_init, which represents the start point of memory which gathered by mmap(). My memory system starts with InitMyMalloc function:

```
int InitMyMalloc (int HeapSize) {
    mem_init = mmap(NULL, HeapSize, PROT_READ|
        PROT_WRITE, MAP_SHARED | MAP_ANONYMOUS,
        -1, 0);

// The first sizeof(void*) is reversed for
        pointer of head

void* head = mem_init + sizeof(void*);
```

The first 8 byte of memory is reserved area. In this area, there is a special pointer which points to free-list's start pointer, head.

Headers consists of size (int), next-header (void*), prev-header (void*). Each header took 20 bytes.

B. MyMalloc

MyMalloc starts with general methods. Strategies will be showed later with explanatory screenshots.

After "selected" pointer determined, there will be splitting in this area. t_selectedsize, t_selectednext, t_selectedprev are previous values for this area (before splitting). "selected" pointer is for allocated space, its size is determined by function call, next and prev values are unneccessary. Because the free space splitted, the new pointer for free are should be determined. newptr is the new pointer.:

```
void* newptr = selected + size + sizeof(int
    ) + sizeof(void*) + sizeof(void*);
int newsize = t_selectedsize - size - (int)
     sizeof(int) - (int) sizeof(void*) - (
    int) sizeof(void*);
memcpy(newptr, &newsize, sizeof(int));
memcpy(newptr + sizeof(int), &
    t_selectednext, sizeof(void*));
memcpy(newptr + sizeof(int) + sizeof(void*)
    , &t_selectedprev, sizeof(void*));
// By using select pointer's prev pointer,
    we reach previous header and then
    change it's next value.
if (t_selectedprev) {
    memcpy(t_selectedprev + sizeof(int), &
        newptr, sizeof(void*));
}
// In a case where head's header changes
    its place
if (head == selected) {
    memcpy(mem_init, &newptr ,sizeof(void*)
        );
printf("Strategy type: %d, SUCCESS, %p\n\n"
    , strategy, selected);
return selected;
```

There is also two important case here. If there is t_selectedprev, that means the free space whose next pointer is looking at that selected free space is changed its pointer. By using prev pointer, we can correct the previous header's next area. The second important case is the chance of selected and head are same. In that case, inside of mem_init, which holds the head pointer should be corrected.

}

C. MyFree

MyFree starts with a short code. It makes the new freed area as head:

```
int MyFree (void *ptr) {
   if (ptr == NULL) { return 0; }

   // The freed space is added in free-list
        and became head.

   // ptr->next = head;

   // head = ptr;

memcpy(ptr + sizeof(int), mem_init, sizeof(
        void*));

memcpy(mem_init, &ptr, sizeof(void*));

void* head;
memcpy(&head, mem_init, sizeof(void*));
```

- 1) Coalescion: Coalescion have some stages.
- 1) In the first, find the head area's first and last pointers.
- 2) Start iterate over free-list. Find first and last pointers of each space.
- 3) Compare and find superpositions.
- 4) Merge.

Here the code can be seen:

```
/* COALESCION */
void* iter = NULL;
void* old_iter = head;

// start_ptr and end_ptr are head's start
    and end points.

// Since the new freed space is now head,
    it is enough to check

// head's previous and next areas.

void* start_ptr = head;
```

```
int) + 2*sizeof(void*);
while(iter){
    int itersize;
    memcpy(&itersize, iter , sizeof(int));
    // i_start_ptr and i_end_ptr are areas
        where iter's start and end points.
    void* i_start_ptr = iter;
    void* i_end_ptr = iter + itersize +
        sizeof(int) + 2*sizeof(void*);
    if (i_start_ptr == end_ptr) {
        // If iter's start is same with
            head's end:
       memcpy(old_iter + sizeof(int), iter
             + sizeof(int) , sizeof(void*))
        int sum = headsize + itersize +
            sizeof(int) + 2*sizeof(void*);
        memcpy(head , &sum, sizeof(int));
    if (i_end_ptr == start_ptr) {
        // If iter's end is same with head'
            s start:
        memcpy(old_iter + sizeof(int), iter
             + sizeof(int) , sizeof(void*))
        memcpy(&iter, &head, sizeof(void*))
        int sum = headsize + itersize +
            sizeof(int) + 2*sizeof(void*);
        memcpy(head , &sum, sizeof(int));
    old_iter = iter;
    memcpy(&iter, iter + sizeof(int),
```

void* end_ptr = head + headsize + sizeof(

```
}
```

sizeof(void*));

III. COALESCING ALGORITHMS AND MEMORY ALLOCATION STRATEGIES

A. Coalescing

Example for showing coalescing:

```
void* ptr = MyMalloc(100, 2);
DumpFreeList();
void* ptr2 = MyMalloc(200, 2);
void* ptr3 = MyMalloc(300, 2);
void* ptr4 = MyMalloc(300, 2);
void* ptr5 = MyMalloc(300, 2);
DumpFreeList();
MyFree(ptr4);
DumpFreeList();
MyFree(ptr3);
DumpFreeList();
```

In here, coalescing can be observed. In 24th line, allocated area was started at 8 and its size was 660. Empty area starts at 668 and its size was 320. After calling MyFree(ptr3), as it can be seen from 31th line, allocated area's size became 340 and empty area's space is 650. It shows that coalescing has successfully carried out.

```
Strategy type: 2, SUCCESS, 0x75ded246e008

Addr Size Status

0 8 Reversed for head

8 120 Full

128 3968 Empty

Strategy type: 2, SUCCESS, 0x75ded246e080

Strategy type: 2, SUCCESS, 0x75ded246e15c
```

```
Strategy type: 2, SUCCESS, 0x75ded246e3dc
Addr
        Size
                 Status
        8
                 Reversed for head
        1300
                 Full
1308
        2788
                 Empty
Addr
        Size
                 Status
0
        8
                 Reversed for head
                 Full
8
        660
668
        320
                 Empty
                 Full
988
        320
1308
        2788
                 Empty
                 Status
Addr
        Size
Λ
        8
                 Reversed for head
                 Full
        340
348
        640
                 Empty
                 Full
988
        320
```

Strategy type: 2, SUCCESS, 0x75ded246e29c

B. Best-fit

1308

Example for showing best-fit:

Empty

2788

```
void* ptr = MyMalloc(100, 2);
void* ptr2 = MyMalloc(250, 2);
void* ptr3 = MyMalloc(100, 2);
void* ptr4 = MyMalloc(200, 2);
void* ptr5 = MyMalloc(100, 2);
void* ptr6 = MyMalloc(50, 2);
void* ptr7 = MyMalloc(100, 2);
MyFree(ptr6);
MyFree(ptr4);
MyFree(ptr2);
DumpFreeList();
void* ptr8 = MyMalloc(120, 0);
DumpFreeList();
```

It can be seen that there are empty areas whose sizes sequeantally are 270, 120 and 70. Since we want to allocate 120 byte area (120+20, its header), best-

fit should select the least area which will meet the 858 requirements:

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reversed for head
8	120	Full
128	270	Empty
398	120	Full
518	220	Empty
738	120	Full
858	70	Empty
928	120	Full
1048	3048	Empty

Strategy type: 0, SUCCESS, 0x7f503dde8206

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reversed for head
8	120	Full
128	270	Empty
398	260	Full
658	80	Empty
738	120	Full
858	70	Empty
928	120	Full
1048	3048	Empty

In the 18th line, it is seen full space's are became 260, it was 120. It means it selected the area which is the best fit for him.

C. Worst-fit

Example for showing worst-fit (the same allocation used with best-fit code except allocation of ptr8 is made by strategy 1):

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reversed for head
8	120	Full
128	270	Empty
398	120	Full
518	220	Empty
738	120	Full

928	120	Full	
1048	3048	Empty	
Strategy	y type:	1, SUCCESS, 0x71f0d27cd418	
Addr	Size	Status	
0	8	Reversed for head	
8	120	Full	
128	270	Empty	
398	120	Full	
518	220	Empty	
738	120	Full	
858	70	Empty	
928	260	Full	

Empty

Empty

70

It can be seen the biggest area selected, which is big empty chunk at the bottom.

D. First-fit

1188

2908

Example for showing worst-fit (the same allocation used with best-fit code except allocation of ptr8 is made by strategy 2):

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reversed for head
8	120	Full
128	270	Empty
398	120	Full
518	220	Empty
738	120	Full
858	70	Empty
928	120	Full
1048	3048	Empty

Strategy type: 2, SUCCESS, 0x724a5baf8080

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reversed for head
8	260	Full
268	130	Empty
398	120	Full

518	220	Empty
738	120	Full
858	70	Empty
928	120	Full
1048	3048	Empty

IV. EXAMPLE OPERATION WITH 4 PROCESS

I've created 4 processes by using fork(). In P1, i've called MyMalloc(100, 0). MyMalloc(200,1) for P2, My-Malloc(300,2) for P3, MyMalloc(400, 3) for P4:

```
Child PID: 76094
```

```
Please enter the strategy type (0,1,2,3): 0
```

Strategy type: 0, SUCCESS, 0x7eb494dd9008

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reserved for head
8	120	Full
128	3968	Empty

Child PID: 76100

Please enter the strategy type (0,1,2,3): 1

Strategy type: 1, SUCCESS, 0x7eb494dd9080

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reserved for head
8	340	Full
348	3748	Empty

Child PID: 76101

Please enter the strategy type (0,1,2,3): 2

Strategy type: 2, SUCCESS, 0x7eb494dd915c

Addr	Size	Status
0	8	Reserved for head
8	660	Full
668	3428	Empty

```
Child PID: 76102

Please enter the strategy type (0,1,2,3): 3

Strategy type: 3, SUCCESS, 0x7eb494dd929c
```

```
Addr Size Status
0 8 Reserved for head
8 1080 Full
1088 3008 Empty
```

It can be seen allocation is successful in all processes.

V. Munmap()

In order to free the memory space which gathered by mmap(), we should use munmap() function. Details can be found in mmap()'s man page.

// Free all the memory

part of man page in mmap():

```
munmap()

The munmap() system call deletes the mappings for the specified address range, and causes further references to addresses within the range to generate invalid memory references. The region is also automatically unmapped when the process is terminated. On the other hand, closing the file descriptor does not unmap the region.
```

VI. QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED

- What are the main differences between "malloc" and "mmap"? If you had to make a decision between these two, which would you choose? Why?

The first difference between malloc and mmap is the complexity. I think malloc function is easier to use. Second difference is their usage area. malloc function is for allocatin memory in heap for data structures. mmap function is giving you a size of area and usage of it is depend on the developer. While mmap function's usage area is much more related with mapping files or devices into the memory, in this homework, mmap's usage was looking like malloc since it was an anonymous call. Another point is inter-process communication, chunk of memory given by mmap() is useful when we want the communication of processes. If i would need to make a decision between mmap and malloc, my decision would depend on the requirements of the project. My decision would've consist of the consideration of statements above.

- What was the method/call you used in MyFree() instead of free()? Do you think it is as successful as free() at correctly and safely releasing the memory back? Why?

Since free() function is developed by UNIX system developers, it is clear that using free() is much more correct and safe. But free() function is not working in mmap() area, we need to create our specialized free() function. MyFree() function was taking in the specified area's pointer and then make it "head". By using this way, the specified area was started to called as empty block. The mapping's deletion was made by munmap(), which i used as the last statement in my code.