

# Homework 1

## Machine Learning with Kernel Methods

Abdoul-Hakim Ahamada

February 7, 2024

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Function and kernel boundedness</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Kernels encoding equivalence classes</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>RKHS</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Combining positive definite kernels . . . . .	3
3.2	Characterizing the RKHS of kernel $K$ . . . . .	6
3.3	RKHS inclusion criteria . . . . .	7

## 1 Function and kernel boundedness

Consider a p.d. kernel  $K : \mathcal{X}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $K(x, z) \leq b^2$  for all  $x, z$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Show that  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)| \leq b$  for any function  $f$  in the unit ball of the corresponding RKHS.

Given that  $K$  is a p.d. kernel, it serves as the reproducing kernel of the RKHS  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let us define  $B_{\mathcal{H}}$  as its unit ball. By virtue of the reproducing property, we can express:

$$\forall f \in B_{\mathcal{H}}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad f(x) = \langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Now, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we derive the following:

$$\forall f \in B_{\mathcal{H}}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad |f(x)| = |\langle f, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \|K_x\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1 \cdot \sqrt{K(x, x)} \leq b$$

This allows us to conclude:

$$\forall f \in B_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)| \leq b$$

## 2 Kernels encoding equivalence classes

Consider a similarity measure  $K : \mathcal{X}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  with:

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad K(x, x) = 1 \quad (0)$$

Prove that  $K$  is p.d. if and only if the following two conditions hold:

$$\forall x, x' \in \mathcal{X}, \quad K(x, x') = 1 \iff K(x', x) = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$\forall x, x', x'' \in \mathcal{X}, \quad K(x, x') = K(x', x'') = 1 \implies K(x, x'') = 1 \quad (2)$$

- Let's start by assuming that  $K$  is p.d. Initially, we observe that  $K$  is symmetric, satisfying the first condition (1). Now, let's consider the inequality:

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}, \forall a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$$

Specifically, when  $N = 3$ ,  $-a_1 = a_2 = -a_3 = 1$ , and  $x, x', x'' \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$(K(x, x) + K(x', x') + K(x'', x'')) + 2(-K(x, x') + K(x, x'') - K(x', x'')) \geq 0$$

Noting that  $K \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{X}^2}$ :

$$K(x, x') = K(x', x'') = 1 \implies 2K(x, x'') - 1 \geq 0 \implies K(x, x'') \geq \frac{1}{2} \implies K(x, x'') = 1$$

This shows that condition (2) is satisfied.

- Now, let's assume that conditions (1) and (2) hold. First, the first condition implies that  $K$  is symmetric. We define the relation:

$$x \sim y \iff K(x, y) = 1$$

Thus, the three properties (0), (1), and (2) imply that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. We denote  $C_1, \dots, C_K$  as the equivalence classes. For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $a_i = a_i(x_i)$  associates variables with the same index, we have:

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i(x_i)^2 + 2 \sum_{x_i \sim x_j} a_i(x_i) a_j(x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^K \left( \sum_{x \in C_k} a(x) \right)^2 \geq 0$$

This confirms that  $K$  is indeed positive definite.

With these arguments, we conclude:

$$K \text{ is p.d.} \iff (1) \text{ and } (2) \text{ hold}$$

### 3 RKHS

#### 3.1 Combining positive definite kernels

Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be two positive definite kernels on a set  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\alpha, \beta$  two positive scalars. Show that  $\alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$  is positive definite, and describe its RKHS.

- Let's consider the kernel  $K = \alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ . Due to the symmetry of both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , we can conclude that  $K$  is symmetric as well. Now, for any natural number  $N$ , and any  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , along with  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can analyze the following expression:

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) = \underbrace{\alpha}_{>0} \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j K_1(x_i, x_j)}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{\beta}_{>0} \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j K_2(x_i, x_j)}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

Therefore, we can conclude that:

$$K = \alpha K_1 + \beta K_2 \text{ is p.d.}$$

- Let's consider a p.d. kernel  $K$  on the set  $\mathcal{X}$ , which corresponds to the RKHS  $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ . Now, we introduce a new kernel  $\tilde{K}$  defined as  $\tilde{K} = \alpha K$ . In the context of this new kernel, we define the following objects:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}, \quad \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Next, we observe the following properties:

- $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  is a Hilbert space, and this can be demonstrated through the linear bijection that maps a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\sqrt{\alpha}f$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ .
- $\left\{ \tilde{K}_x = \tilde{K}(x, \cdot) \mid x \in \mathcal{X} \right\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$
- For any function  $\tilde{f}$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  and any  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , we have the equality:

$$\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{K}_x \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{K}_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \tilde{f}, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{f}(x)$$

As a result, we can conclude that  $\tilde{K}$  is a r.k. of the Hilbert space  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , and  $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}})$  serves as the RKHS of the kernel  $\tilde{K}$ .

- Let's consider two p.d. kernels, denoted as  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , defined on the set  $\mathcal{X}$ . Each of these kernels corresponds to a RKHS, which we denote as  $(\mathcal{H}_1, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1})$  and  $(\mathcal{H}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ . Now, we introduce a new kernel  $\tilde{K}$ , defined as the sum of these two kernels, i.e.,  $\tilde{K} = K_1 + K_2$ . Additionally, we define a new vector space  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , which is the sum of the two Hilbert spaces, as  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2$ .

- First, it is important to note that  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$  endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}} : ((f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2)) \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}^2 \mapsto \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} + \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}$$

is indeed a Hilbert space.

Now, let's consider the mapping  $h : (f_1, f_2) \in \hat{\mathcal{H}} \mapsto f_1 + f_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . This mapping is linear and surjective. The kernel of this mapping is defined as:

$$\ker h = \{(f, -f) \mid f \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2\}$$

If a sequence within the kernel  $((f_n, -f_n))_n$  converges to  $(f, g)$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ , then it implies that  $(f_n)_n$  converges to  $f$ , and  $(-f_n)_n$  converges to  $g$ . Consequently, we have  $-f = g$ , which means that the limit  $(f, g)$  belongs to the kernel. This establishes that  $\ker h$  is a closed subspace. Furthermore, we can state the following properties:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \ker h \oplus \ker h^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{h} = h|_{\ker h^\perp} \text{ is bijective} \quad (\star)$$

Given these properties, we can conclude that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , equipped with the inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \left\langle \widetilde{h}^{-1}(\cdot), \widetilde{h}^{-1}(\cdot) \right\rangle_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}}$$

is indeed a Hilbert space.

Now, let's explicitly express the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}$  associated with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . We can define this norm as  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}} \circ \widetilde{h}^{-1}$ . To derive this expression, consider any  $(f_1, f_2)$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , and by virtue of property  $(\star)$ , there exists  $(f_1^0, f_2^0) \in \ker h$  such that  $(f_1, f_2) = (f_1^0, f_2^0) + \widetilde{h}^{-1}(f_1 + f_2)$ . Then, we have the following relationship:

$$\|(f_1, f_2)\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 = \|(f_1^0, f_2^0)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}}^2 + \|f_1 + f_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2$$

Now, let's apply this expression to a specific element  $f = f_1 + f_2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ :

$$\|f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 = \|f_1 + f_2\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 \leq \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 + \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 = \|(f_1, f_2)\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 = \|f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}^2 + \|(f_1^0, f_2^0)\|_{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}}^2$$

This inequality holds with equality if and only if  $f_1^0 = f_2^0 = 0$ , which implies that  $(f_1, f_2) \in \ker h^\perp$ . Consequently, this inequality provides us with an explicit expression for our norm:

$$\|f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \min_{\substack{(f_1, f_2) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ f = f_1 + f_2}} \sqrt{\|f_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 + \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$$

By observing property  $(\star)$ , we note that the decomposition of a function is unique in  $\ker h^\perp$ , which is the space where the previous minimum is taken. Using the polarization identity, we can derive the associated inner product for  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$  as follows:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} : (f, g) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 \mapsto \min_{\substack{(f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ f = f_1 + f_2, g = g_1 + g_2}} \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} + \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}$$

- $\left\{ \widetilde{K}_x = K_{1,x} + K_{2,x} \mid x \in \mathcal{X} \right\} \subset \{K_{1,x} \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\} + \{K_{2,x} \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\} \subset \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$
- For any function  $\widetilde{f}$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$  and any  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , utilizing property  $(\star)$  once more, we can establish the existence of unique pairs  $(f_1, f_2)$  and  $(\widetilde{K}_{1,x}, \widetilde{K}_{2,x})$  in  $\ker h^\perp$  such that:

$$\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{h}(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{K}_x = \widetilde{h}(\widetilde{K}_{1,x}, \widetilde{K}_{2,x}) = \widetilde{K}_{1,x} + \widetilde{K}_{2,x}$$

This implies that:

$$\langle \widetilde{f}, \widetilde{K}_x \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle (f_1, f_2), (\widetilde{K}_{1,x}, \widetilde{K}_{2,x}) \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle (f_1, f_2), (K_{1,x}, K_{2,x}) + (\widetilde{K}_{1,x} - K_{1,x}, \widetilde{K}_{2,x} - K_{2,x}) \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}$$

However, it is important to note that  $\widetilde{K}_{1,x} - K_{1,x} + \widetilde{K}_{2,x} - K_{2,x} = \widetilde{K}_x - K_x = 0$ . Therefore, the pair  $\widetilde{K}_{1,x} - K_{1,x}, \widetilde{K}_{2,x} - K_{2,x}$  is an element of  $\ker h$ , leading to the following equalities:

$$\langle \widetilde{f}, \widetilde{K}_x \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle (f_1, f_2), (K_{1,x}, K_{2,x}) \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle f_1, K_{x,1} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} + \langle f_2, K_{x,2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} = f_1(x) + f_2(x) = \widetilde{f}(x)$$

As a result, we can conclusively affirm that  $\widetilde{K}$  serves as a r.k. of the Hilbert space  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , and that  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}})$  operates as the RKHS associated with the kernel  $\widetilde{K}$ .

In the given exercise scenario, we are working with two positive scalars,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and two p.d. kernels,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , defined on the set  $\mathcal{X}$ . Each of these kernels corresponds to a RKHS, which we label as  $(\mathcal{H}_1, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1})$  and  $(\mathcal{H}_2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ .

Now, we introduce a new kernel, denoted as  $\tilde{K}$ , which is formed by taking a linear combination of these two kernels:  $\tilde{K} = \alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ . Additionally, we define a novel vector space,  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ , as the direct sum of these two Hilbert spaces:  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2$ .

By combining all the previously established results, we can conclude that  $K$  is indeed p.d. and functions as a r.k. of the Hilbert space  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . Moreover,  $(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}})$  serves as the RKHS for the kernel  $\tilde{K}$ . The essential properties of this new Hilbert space can be succinctly summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 \\ \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} : f \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}} &\mapsto \min_{\substack{(f_1, f_2) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ f = f_1 + f_2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha} \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} \|f_2\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} \\ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} : (f, g) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 &\mapsto \min_{\substack{(f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ f = f_1 + f_2, g = g_1 + g_2}} \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} + \frac{1}{\beta} \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}\end{aligned}$$

### 3.2 Characterizing the RKHS of kernel $K$

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $\Psi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , and  $K : \mathcal{X}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be:

$$\forall x, x' \in \mathcal{X}, \quad K(x, x') = \langle \Psi(x), \Psi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Show that  $K$  is a p.d. kernel on  $\mathcal{X}$ , and describe its RKHS.

- The function  $K$  is symmetric because it relies on the inner product of the Hilbert space, which is inherently symmetric.
- Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}$ . We consider the sum:

$$\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i), \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \Psi(x_j) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \Psi(x_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \geq 0$$

Thus, we can confidently assert (or establish through Aronszajn's theorem) that:

$K$  is a p.d. kernel on  $\mathcal{X}$

With the positive definiteness of  $K$  established, we can now proceed to describe its RKHS. Consider the space  $\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \tilde{K}_z = \langle z, \Psi(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \mid z \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$  endowed with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} : \left( \tilde{K}_z, \tilde{K}_{z'} \right) \in \mathcal{H}^2 \mapsto \langle z, z' \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

- The mapping  $\xi : z \in \mathcal{F} \mapsto \tilde{K}_z \in \mathcal{H}$  is linear, surjective, and isometric. This mapping ensures that  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$  itself is a Hilbert space.
- $\{K_x = \langle \Psi(x), \Psi(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\} \subset \{\langle z, \Psi(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \mid z \in \mathcal{F}\} = \mathcal{H}$
- For any  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $z$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we find:

$$\langle \tilde{K}_z, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \tilde{K}_z, \tilde{K}_{\Psi(x)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle z, \Psi(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{K}_z(x)$$

In conclusion, we confirm that  $K$  serves as a r.k. of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$  functions as the RKHS associated with the kernel  $K$ . The key characteristics of this RKHS are summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \left\{ \tilde{K}_z = \langle z, \Psi(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \mid z \in \mathcal{F} \right\} \\ \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}} : \tilde{K}_z \in \mathcal{H} &\mapsto \|z\|_{\mathcal{F}} \\ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} : \left( \tilde{K}_z, \tilde{K}_{z'} \right) \in \mathcal{H}^2 &\mapsto \langle z, z' \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \end{aligned}$$

### 3.3 RKHS inclusion criteria

Prove that for any p.d. kernel  $K$  on a space  $\mathcal{X}$ , a function  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belongs to the RKHS  $\mathcal{H}$  with kernel  $K$  if and only if there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $K(x, x') - \lambda f(x)f(x')$  is p.d.

- Let  $\lambda > 0$ , and define  $\widehat{K} : (x, x') \mapsto K(x, x') - \lambda f(x)f(x')$ . It's worth noting that when  $f = 0$ ,  $\widehat{K}$  is evidently positive definite. Thus, let's consider the case where  $f \neq 0$ . We can establish the symmetry of  $\widehat{K}$  due to the symmetry of its terms.

Now, let's choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}$ . By leveraging the reproducing property and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we derive the following chain of (in)equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j (K(x_i, x_j) - \lambda f(x_i)f(x_j)) &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_i a_j (\langle K_{x_i}, K_{x_j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \lambda \langle f, K_{x_i} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \langle f, K_{x_j} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}) \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i K_{x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \lambda \left| \left\langle f, \sum_{i=1}^N a_i K_{x_i} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right|^2 \\
 &\geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i K_{x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i K_{x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
 &= (1 - \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i K_{x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \neq 0$ , the interval  $(0, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{-2})$  is non-empty. Therefore, by selecting  $\lambda$  from this interval, we can confidently affirm the positive definiteness of  $\widehat{K}$ .

- Now, assuming the positive definiteness of  $\widehat{K}$ , we can assert that it has an associated RKHS, denoted as  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ . This space is a Hilbert space, which naturally includes the zero vector. Additionally, considering that  $\mathbb{R}$ , equipped with the standard inner product, forms a Hilbert space, we can affirm that the mapping  $\widetilde{K} : (x, x') \mapsto f(x)f(x')$ , as proven in the previous question, is a positive definite kernel. Its associated RKHS is given by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \{\zeta f \mid \zeta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Therefore, it includes the function  $f$  itself.

Notably, recognizing that  $K = \widehat{K} + \lambda \widetilde{K}$ , we can utilize the result obtained in the first question to deduce that the associated RKHS, denoted as  $\mathcal{H}$ , is the direct sum of the two previously mentioned spaces. Consequently, we can conclude that  $f = 0 + f$  belongs to  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$ .

In summary, we can confidently state that:

$$f \in \mathcal{H} \iff \exists \lambda > 0 / \widehat{K} : (x, x') \mapsto K(x, x') - \lambda f(x)f(x') \text{ is p.d.}$$