


CS 61C:
Great Ideas in Computer Architecture
Introduction to C, Part II

Instructors:

Krste Asanovic & Vladimir Stojanovic

<http://inst.eecs.Berkeley.edu/~cs61c/sp15>

Review: Components of a Computer




Address vs. Value

- Consider memory to be a single huge array
 - Each cell of the array has an address associated with it
 - Each cell also stores some value
 - Do you think they use signed or unsigned numbers? Negative address?!
- Don't confuse the address referring to a memory location with the value stored there



Pointers

- An *address* refers to a particular memory location; e.g., it points to a memory location
- *Pointer*: A variable that contains the address of a variable




Pointer Syntax

- `int *x;`
 - Tells compiler that `variable x` is address of an `int`
- `x = &y;`
 - Tells compiler to assign `address of y` to `x`
 - `&` called the “address operator” in this context
- `z = *x;`
 - Tells compiler to assign `value at address in x` to `z`
 - `*` called the “dereference operator” in this context

Creating and Using Pointers

- How to create a pointer:

& operator: get address of a variable



Note the “*” gets used 2 different ways in this example. In the declaration to indicate that **p** is going to be a pointer, and in the **printf** to get the value pointed to by **p**.



- How get a value pointed to?

“*” (dereference operator): get the value that the pointer points to

```
printf("p points to %d\n", *p);
```

Using Pointer for Writes

- How to change a variable pointed to?
 - Use the dereference operator ***** on left of assignment operator **=**



Pointers and Parameter Passing

- Java and C pass parameters “by value”
 - Procedure/function/method gets a copy of the parameter, *so changing the copy cannot change the original*

```
void add_one (int x) {  
    x = x + 1;  
}
```

```
int y = 3;  
add_one(y);
```

y remains equal to 3

Pointers and Parameter Passing

- How can we get a function to change the value held in a variable?

```
void add_one (int *p) {  
    *p = *p + 1;  
}
```

```
int y = 3;
```

```
add_one(&y);
```

y is now equal to 4

Types of Pointers

- Pointers are used to point to any kind of data (**int**, **char**, a **struct**, a pointer, etc.)
- Normally a pointer only points to one type (**int**, **char**, a **struct**, etc.).
 - **void *** is a type that can point to anything (generic pointer)
 - Use **void *** sparingly to help avoid program bugs, and security issues, and other bad things!

More C Pointer Dangers

- Declaring a pointer just allocates space to hold the pointer – **does not allocate thing being pointed to!**
- Local variables in C are not initialized, they may contain anything (aka “garbage”)
- What does the following code do?

```
void f()  
{  
    int *ptr;  
    *ptr = 5;  
}
```

Pointers and Structures

```
typedef struct {      /* dot notation */
    int x;             int h = p1.x;
    int y;             p2.y = p1.y;
} Point;

                        /* arrow notation */
Point p1;              int h = paddr->x;
Point p2;              int h = (*paddr).x;
Point *paddr;

                        /*structure assignment*/
p2 = p1;
```

Note, C structure assignment is not a “deep copy”. All members are copied, but not things pointed to by members.

Pointers in C

- Why use pointers?
 - If we want to pass a large struct or array, it's easier / faster / etc. to pass a pointer than the whole thing
 - Want to modify an object, not just pass its value
 - In general, pointers allow cleaner, more compact code
- So what are the drawbacks?
 - Pointers are probably the single largest source of bugs in C, so be careful anytime you deal with them
 - Most problematic with dynamic memory management—coming up next lecture
 - *Dangling references* and *memory leaks*

Why Pointers in C?

- At time C was invented (early 1970s), compilers often didn't produce efficient code
 - Computers 25,000 times faster today, compilers better
- C designed to let programmer say what they want code to do without compiler getting in way
 - Even give compiler hints which registers to use!
- Today, many applications attain acceptable performance using higher-level languages without pointers
- Low-level system code still needs low-level access via pointers, hence continued popularity of C

Clickers/Peer Instruction Time

```
void foo(int *x, int *y)
{   int t;
    if ( *x > *y ) { t = *y; *y = *x; *x = t; }
}
int a=3, b=2, c=1;
foo(&a, &b);
foo(&b, &c);
foo(&a, &b);
printf("a=%d b=%d c=%d\n", a, b, c);
```

A: a=3 b=2 c=1

B: a=1 b=2 c=3

Result is: C: a=1 b=3 c=2

D: a=3 b=3 c=3

E: a=1 b=1 c=1

Administrivia

- We can accommodate all those on the wait list, but you have to enroll in a lab section with space!
 - Lab section is important, but you can attend different discussion section
 - Enroll into lab with space, and try to swap with someone later
- HW0 due 11:59:59pm Sunday 2/1
 - Right after the Superbowl...
- Midterm-II now Thursday April 9 in class

C Arrays

- Declaration:

```
int ar[2];
```

declares a 2-element integer array: just a block of memory

```
int ar[] = {795, 635};
```

declares and initializes a 2-element integer array
returns the numth element

C Strings

- String in C is just an array of characters

```
char string[] = "abc";
```

- How do you tell how long a string is?
 - Last character is followed by a 0 byte
(aka “null terminator”)

```
int strlen(char s[])  
{  
    int n = 0;  
    while (s[n] != 0) n++;  
    return n;  
}
```

Array Name / Pointer Duality


- *Key Concept:* Array variable is a “pointer” to the first (0th) element
- So, array variables almost identical to pointers
 - **char *string** and **char string[]** are nearly identical declarations
 - Differ in subtle ways: incrementing, declaration of filled arrays
- Consequences:
 - **ar** is an array variable, but looks like a pointer
 - **ar[0]** is the same as ***ar**
 - **ar[2]** is the same as ***(ar+2)**
 - Can use pointer arithmetic to conveniently access arrays

Changing a Pointer Argument?

- What if want function to change a pointer?
- What gets printed?

```
void inc_ptr(int *p)
{    p = p + 1;    }

int A[3] = {50, 60, 70};
int *q = A;
inc_ptr( q);
printf(" *q = %d\n", *q);
```




Pointer to a Pointer

- Solution! Pass a pointer to a pointer, declared as ****h**
- Now what gets printed?

```
void inc_ptr(int **h)
{
    *h = *h + 1;
}

int A[3] = {50, 60, 70};
int *q = A;
inc_ptr(&q);
printf("*q = %d\n", *q);
```



C Arrays are Very Primitive

- An array in C does not know its own length, and its bounds are not checked!
 - Consequence: We can accidentally access off the end of an array
 - Consequence: We must pass the array *and its size* to any procedure that is going to manipulate it
- Segmentation faults and bus errors:
 - These are VERY difficult to find; be careful! (You'll learn how to debug these in lab)

Use Defined Constants


- Array size n ; want to access from 0 to $n-1$, so you should use counter AND utilize a variable for declaration & incrementation
 - Bad pattern

```
int i, ar[10];
for(i = 0; i < 10; i++){ ... }
```
 - Better pattern

```
const int ARRAY_SIZE = 10
int i, a[ARRAY_SIZE];
for(i = 0; i < ARRAY_SIZE; i++){ ... }
```
- Accessing elements:
`ar[num]`
- SINGLE SOURCE OF TRUTH
 - You're utilizing indirection and avoiding maintaining two copies of the number 10
 - DRY: "Don't Repeat Yourself"

Pointing to Different Size Objects

- Modern machines are “byte-addressable”
 - Hardware’s memory composed of 8-bit storage cells, each has a unique address
- A C pointer is just abstracted memory address
- Type declaration tells compiler how many bytes to fetch on each access through pointer
 - E.g., 32-bit integer stored in 4 consecutive 8-bit bytes



sizeof() operator

- `sizeof(type)` returns number of bytes in object
 - But number of bits in a byte is not standardized
 - In olden times, when dragons roamed the earth, bytes could be 5, 6, 7, 9 bits long
- By definition, `sizeof(char)==1`
- Can take `sizeof(arr)`, or `sizeof(structtype)`
- We'll see more of `sizeof` when we look at dynamic memory management

Pointer Arithmetic

pointer + number

pointer – number

e.g., *pointer + 1* adds 1 something to a pointer

```
char *p;  
char a;  
char b;
```

```
p = &a;  
p += 1;
```

In each, p now points to b
(Assuming compiler doesn't
reorder variables in memory.

Never code like this!!!!

```
int *p;  
int a;  
int b;
```

```
p = &a;  
p += 1;
```

Adds **1*sizeof(char)**
to the memory address

Adds **1*sizeof(int)**
to the memory address

Pointer arithmetic should be used cautiously

Arrays and Pointers

Passing arrays:

- Array \approx pointer to the initial (0th) array element

$$a[i] \equiv *(a+i)$$

- An array is passed to a function as a pointer
 - The array size is lost!
- Usually bad style to interchange arrays and pointers
 - Avoid pointer arithmetic!

*Really int *array* *Must explicitly pass the size*

```
int
foo(int array[],
    unsigned int size)
{
    ... array[size - 1] ...
}

int
main(void)
{
    int a[10], b[5];
    ... foo(a, 10) ... foo(b, 5) ...
}
```

Arrays and Pointers

```
int
foo(int array[],
    unsigned int size)
{
    ...
    printf("%d\n", sizeof(array));
}

int
main(void)
{
    int a[10], b[5];
    ... foo(a, 10) ... foo(b, 5) ...
    printf("%d\n", sizeof(a));
}
```

What does this print? **8**

... because **array** is really a pointer (and a pointer is architecture dependent, but likely to be 8 on modern machines!)

What does this print? **40**

Arrays and Pointers

```
int i;  
int array[10];  
  
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)  
{  
    array[i] = ...;  
}
```

```
int *p;  
int array[10];  
  
for (p = array; p < &array[10]; p++)  
{  
    *p = ...;  
}
```

These code sequences have the same effect!

Clickers/Peer Instruction Time

```
int x[5] = { 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 };  
int *p = x;  
int **pp = &p;  
(*pp)++;  
(*(*pp))++;  
printf("%d\n", *p);
```

Result is:

A: 2

B: 3

C: 4

D: 5

E: None of the above

In the News (1/23/2015): Google Exposing Apple Security Bugs

- Google security published details of three bugs in Apple OS X (90 days after privately notifying Apple)
 - One network stack problem fixed in Yosemite, all in next beta
 - One is dereferencing a null pointer !
 - One is zeroing wrong part of memory !
- Separately, Google announces it won't patch WebKit vulnerability affecting Android 4.3 and below (only about 930 million active users)

Concise strlen()

```
int strlen(char *s)
{
    char *p = s;
    while (*p++)
        ; /* Null body of while */
    return (p - s - 1);
}
```

What happens if there is no zero character at end of string?

Point past end of array?

- Array size n ; want to access from 0 to $n-1$, but test for exit by comparing to address one element past the array

```
int ar[10], *p, *q, sum = 0;
...
p = &ar[0]; q = &ar[10];
while (p != q)
    /* sum = sum + *p; p = p + 1; */
    sum += *p++;
```

– Is this legal?

- C defines that one element past end of array **must be a valid address**, i.e., not cause an error

Valid Pointer Arithmetic

- Add an integer to a pointer.
- Subtract 2 pointers (in the same array)
- Compare pointers (<, <=, ==, !=, >, >=)
- Compare pointer to NULL (indicates that the pointer points to nothing)

Everything else illegal since makes no sense:

- adding two pointers
- multiplying pointers
- subtract pointer from integer

Arguments in `main ()`

- To get arguments to the main function, use:
 - `int main(int argc, char *argv[])`
- What does this mean?
 - `argc` contains the number of strings on the command line (the executable counts as one, plus one for each argument). Here `argc` is 2:
 `unix% sort myFile`
 - `argv` is a *pointer* to an array containing the arguments as strings

Example

- `foo hello 87`
- `argc = 3 /* number arguments */`
- `argv[0] = "foo",`
`argv[1] = "hello",`
`argv[2] = "87"`
 - Array of pointers to strings

And In Conclusion, ...

- Pointers are abstraction of machine memory addresses
- Pointer variables are held in memory, and pointer values are just numbers that can be manipulated by software
- In C, close relationship between array names and pointers
- Pointers know the type of the object they point to (except void *)
- Pointers are powerful but potentially dangerous