

ACID, Transaction Management and Concurrency Control

Hogeschool Rotterdam
Rotterdam, Netherlands

Lecture topics

- ACID.
- Transaction Management.
- Concurrency Control.

Reasons

- *Concurrent* execution essential for good DBMS performance.
- Disk accesses frequent, relatively slow. Important to keep the cpu busy by working on several user programs concurrently.
- Client application may carry out many operations on the data retrieved from the database.
- DBMS is only concerned about what data is read/written from/to the database.
- A *transaction* is the DBMS's abstract view of a user program: a sequence of **reads** and **writes** .

Transactions and Concurrency

- Users submit transactions, and can think of each transaction as executing by itself.
 - *Concurrency* is achieved by the DBMS: reads/writes of DB objects of various transactions.
 - transaction must leave the database in a consistent state if the DB is consistent when the transaction begins.
 - DBMS does not need to understand the semantics of the data. (e.g. how the interest on a bank account is computed).
- Issues: Effect of interleaving transactions, and crashes.

The ACID properties

- A RDBS ensures this four properties of a transaction:
 - **Atomicity**: states that database modifications must follow an all or nothing rule.

The ACID properties

- A RDBS ensures this four properties of a transaction:
 - **Atomicity**: states that database modifications must follow an all or nothing rule.
 - **Consistency**: states that only valid data will be written to the database.

The ACID properties

- A RDBS ensures this four properties of a transaction:
 - **Atomicity**: states that database modifications must follow an all or nothing rule.
 - **Consistency**: states that only valid data will be written to the database.
 - **Isolation**: requires that multiple transactions occurring at the same time not impact each others execution.

The ACID properties

- A RDBS ensures this four properties of a transaction:
 - **Atomicity**: states that database modifications must follow an all or nothing rule.
 - **Consistency**: states that only valid data will be written to the database.
 - **Isolation**: requires that multiple transactions occurring at the same time not impact each others execution.
 - **Durability**: ensures that any transaction committed to the database will not be lost.

Atomicity of Transactions

- A transaction either **commit** after completing all its actions or **abort** after executing some actions.
- A user can think of a transaction as always executing all its actions in one step, or not executing any actions at all.
- DBMS logs all actions so that it can undo the actions of aborted transactions.

```
BEGIN;  
UPDATE ships SET name = 'Alpha'  
        WHERE name = 'Oleg';  
SAVEPOINT my_savepoint;  
UPDATE ships SET integrity = 30  
        WHERE name = 'Alpha';  
-- oops ... forget that and update Beta  
ROLLBACK TO my_savepoint;  
UPDATE ships SET integrity = integrity + 10  
        WHERE name = 'Beta';  
COMMIT;
```

When are those updates valid states for other actions?

Example of a Transaction

Transactions

- We simplify transaction queries for readability in our next example

ACID,
Transaction
Management
and
Concurrency
Control

Introduction

Transactions
and
Concurrency

Example of a
Transaction

Conflicts

Serializability

Locking

Example of a Transaction

Transactions

- We simplify transaction queries for readability in our next example
- Consider those two transactions:
 - T1: $\text{BEGIN ship1.energy} = \text{ship1.energy} - 10, \text{ship2.shields} = \text{ship2.shields} + 10 \text{ COMMIT}$
 - T2: $\text{BEGIN ship1.energy} = 1.05 * \text{ship1.energy}, \text{ship2.shields} = 1.05 * \text{ship2.shields} \text{ COMMIT}$
- The first transaction is using ship1 energy to recharge ship2 shields.
- Both the energy and the shields are being recharged by a nearby generator which recharge by 5% of the total amount.
- How are those transaction scheduled?

Example of a Transaction

ACID,
Transaction
Management
and
Concurrency
Control

Introduction

Transactions
and
Concurrency

Example of a
Transaction

Conflicts

Serializability

Locking

Transactions

- Possibility 1:

T1	T2
$s1.energy = s1.energy - 10$	$s1.energy = 1.05 * s1.energy$
$s2.shield = s2.shields + 10$	$s2.shields = 1.05 * s2.shields$
Commit	Commit

Example of a Transaction

ACID,
Transaction
Management
and
Concurrency
Control

Introduction

Transactions
and
Concurrency

Example of a
Transaction

Conflicts

Serializability

Locking

Transactions

- Possibility 2:

T1	T2
$s1.energy = s1.energy - 10$	$s1.energy = 1.05 * s1.energy$
	$s2.shields = 1.05 * s2.shields$
$s2.shield = s2.shields + 10$	Commit
Commit	

Example of a Transaction

ACID,
Transaction
Management
and
Concurrency
Control

Introduction

Transactions
and
Concurrency

Example of a
Transaction

Conflicts

Serializability

Locking

DBMS interleaved schedule

- DBMS View of the first schedule:

T1	T2
R(s1)	
W(s1)	
	R(s1)
	W(s1)
R(s2)	
W(s2)	
Commit	
	R(s2)
	W(s2)
	Commit

- DBMS View of the second schedule:

T1	T2
R(s1)	
W(s1)	
	R(s1)
	W(s1)
	R(s2)
	W(s2)
	Commit
R(s2)	
W(s2)	
Commit	

Non-equivalent transaction results

- Assume $s1.energy = 50$, $s2.shields = 70$.
- At the end of the first schedule $s1.energy = 52.5$ and $s2.shields = 84$.
- At the end of the second schedule $s1.energy = 42$ and $s2.shields = 83.5$
- The two schedules are not equivalent.

Conflicts of interleaved execution

- The different schedules of transactions might ignore the operations finalized by other transactions.
- Reason: conflicts with Read/Write operations
- Conflicts are caused by the isolation property of transactions.
- The isolation property might cause an anomaly in the database.
- Four possible combination of Read/Write operations: Read/Read, Write/Read, Read/Write, Write/Write

Read/Read

- Reading does not alter the state of the database.
- Just reading is always safe!



Write/Read

- Dirty read:

T1	T2
A = s1.energy s1.energy = A - 10	A = s1.energy s1.energy = A * 0.5 B = s2.shields s2.shields = B * 0.5 Commit
B = s2.shields s2.shields = B * 0.5 Commit	

Write/Read (Dirty read)

- Transaction 1 reads and writes the energy. Does not commit
- Meanwhile Transaction 2 reads the energy and the shields, writes them, and then commit.
- Transaction 1 reads and writes the shields and commits.
- **Problem:** Transaction 2 reads the value of the energy before Transaction 1 commits.
- The changes of Transaction 2 are overwritten by Transaction 1.

Read/Write

- Unrepeatable reads:

T1	T2
$A = s1.energy$ do something else with A...	$A = s1.energy$ $s1.energy = A * 0.5$ Commit
$A = s1.energy$ $s1.energy = A - 10$ Commit	

Read/Write (Unrepeatable reads)

- Transaction 1 reads the energy and computes the increment, saving it in variable B.
- Transaction 2 reads the energy, writes it and commits.
- Transaction 1 reads the energy again getting a different result.
- **Problem:** Transaction 2 reads the same value and gets two different results.

Write/Write

- Lost update:

T1	T2
s2.shields = 2000	s1.energy = 1000
s1.energy = 2000 Commit	s2.shields = 1000 Commit

Write/Write (Lost update)

- T2 commits first and overwrites T1 changes to shields.
- **Problem:** the update made by one of the transactions is lost.

Conflicting operations

- Read/Read non conflicting. $R(A)-R(A)$
- Write/Read on the same object conflicting. $W(A)-R(A)$
- Read/Write on the same object conflicting. $R(A)-W(A)$
- Write/Write on the same object conflicting. $W(A)-W(A)$

Serializability

- We want to get rid of the side effects of the conflicts.
- Serial executions never conflict.
- Transform an interleaved execution into an execution that is equivalent to a serial execution.

Conflict serializability

- Two schedules are conflict equivalent if we can transform to one another by swapping non-conflicting operations.
- A schedule is conflict serializable if it is conflict equivalent to a serial schedule.
- The schedule below is conflict equivalent to the serial execution of T1;T2, by making the read/write on B in T1 before the read/write on A in T2.

T1	T2
A = s1.energy s1.energy = s1.energy - 10	A = s1.energy s1.energy = s1.energy * 0.5
B = s2.shields s2.shields = s2.shields + 10	B = s2.shields s2.shields = 0.5 * s2.shields
Commit	Commit

Conflict Serializability

- Conflict serializability is not always achievable.
- The following schedule is not conflict serializable. We cannot swap any of the operations to obtain one of the serial executions T1;T2 or T2;T1 because they conflict.

T1	T2
A = s1.energy	
s1.energy = 2000	s1.energy = 1000
Commit	Commit

Strict Two Phase-Locking (2PL)

- We define two locks on objects, *Shared* and *Exclusive*.
- We denote with $X(A)$ an exclusive lock on an object A .
We denote with $S(A)$ a shared lock.
- The Strict 2PL scheduler ensures that no anomalies arise from the interleaved executions of the transactions.

2PL rules

- A transaction that wants to read an object requests a shared lock.
- A transaction that wants to write an object requests an exclusive lock.
- A transaction is allowed to release all the locks only after it commits or aborts (so rollback is executed before another transaction can use the data).

2PL lock manager

- Locks are managed with the following rules

Request	Free	Shared	Exclusive
Shared	Shared	Shared	Denied
Exclusive	Exclusive	Denied	Denied
Unlock	Free	Maybe*	Yes

- * It is freed only if all the transactions sharing the read lock can release it.

2PL Example

- 2PL schedule of RW conflict

T1	T2
X(s1)	
A = s1.energy	
s1.energy = A - 10	RequestLock(s1)
X(s2)	
B = s2.shields	
s2.shields = B * 0.5	
Commit	
Unlock(s1)	
Unlock(s2)	
	X(s1)
	A = s1.energy
	s1.energy = A * 0.5
	X(s2)
	B = s2.shields
	s2.shields = B * 0.5
	Commit
	Unlock(s1)
	Unlock(s2)

Locking on tables

ACID,
Transaction
Management
and
Concurrency
Control

Introduction

Transactions
and
Concurrency

Example of a
Transaction

Conflicts

Serializability

Locking

- Query for T1:

```
SELECT type
FROM ships
WHERE firepower = 1500
```

- Query for T2

```
UPDATE ships
SET type = "Star Destroyer"
WHERE firepower >= 1500
```

- Set a shared lock for T1 on the entire table, or
- set a shared lock only on the rows with firepower = 1500 (more concurrency).

- Shared lock on rows do not prevent another transaction to add data to a table.
- Query for T3

```
INSERT INTO ships  
VALUES ('Alecto', 'Star Destroyer',  
       1500, 5000, 5000)
```

- T1 does not see the row added by T3 (phantom update).

Transaction isolation

- Allows to control the concurrency level and exposure to other transactions

Level	Dirty Read	Unrepeatable read	Phantom update
Read uncommitted	Possible	Possible	Possible
Read committed	Not possible	Possible	Possible
Repeatable read	Not possible	Not possible	Possible
Serializable	Not possible	Not possible	Not possible

Transaction in PostgreSQL

ACID,
Transaction
Management
and
Concurrency
Control

Introduction

Transactions
and
Concurrency

Example of a
Transaction

Conflicts

Serializability

Locking

- BEGIN TRANSACTION begins a transaction.
- ISOLATION LEVEL sets the isolation level as seen above.
- COMMIT commits the transaction.
- **Example:**

```
BEGIN TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL  
    REPEATABLE READ;  
SELECT name  
FROM ships  
WHERE firepower = 1500;  
COMMIT;
```