Virtual Memory Policies

Operating Systems

School of Data & Computer Science Sun Yat-sen University

Lecture Notes: os_sysu@163.com

Instructor: Guoyang Cai

email: isscgy@mail.sysu.edu.cn





Contents

- Virtual Memory Policies Need to decide on:
 - Fetch policy
 - Placement policy
 - Replacement policy
 - Resident Set Management
 - Load Control



Memory Management Software

- Memory management software depends on whether the hardware supports paging or segmentation or both.
- Pure segmentation systems are rare. Segments are usually paged --memory management issues are then those of paging.
- We shall thus concentrate on issues associated with paging.
- To achieve good performance we need a low page fault rate.



Fetch policy

- Determines when a page should be brought into main memory. Two common policies:
 - Demand Paging only brings pages into main memory when a reference is made to a location on the page (i.e., paging on demand only).
 - many page faults when process first starts but should decrease as more pages are brought in.
 - Prepaging brings in pages whose use is anticipated:
 - locality of references suggest that it is more efficient to bring in pages that reside contiguously on the disk.
 - efficiency not definitely established: the extra pages brought in are "often" not referenced.



Placement Policy

- Determines where in real memory a process piece resides.
- For paging (and paged segmentation):
 - the hardware decides where to place the page: the chosen frame location is irrelevant since all memory frames are equivalent (not an issue).
- For pure segmentation systems:
 - first-fit, next fit... are possible choices (a real issue).



Replacement Policy

- Deals with the selection of a page in main memory to be replaced when a new page is brought in.
- This occurs whenever main memory is full (no free frame available).
- Occurs often since the OS tries to bring into main memory as many processes (pages) as it can to increase the multiprogramming level.
- Not all pages in main memory can be selected for replacement.
- Some frames are locked (cannot be paged out):
 - much of the kernel is held on locked frames as well as key control structures and I/O buffers.
- The OS might decide that the set of pages considered for replacement should be:
 - limited to those of the process that has suffered the page fault.
 - the set of all pages in unlocked frames.



Replacement Policy

- The decision for the set of pages to be considered for replacement is related to the resident set management strategy:
 - how many page frames are to be allocated to each process?
- No matter what is the set of pages considered for replacement, the replacement policy deals with algorithms that will choose the page within that set.



- Resident Set Management
 - The OS must decide how many page frames to allocate to a process:
 - large page fault rate if too few frames are allocated.
 - low multiprogramming level if too many frames are allocated.
- Resident Set Size
 - Fixed-allocation policy:
 - allocates a fixed number of frames that remains constant over time:
 - the number is determined at load time and depends on the type of the application.
 - Variable-allocation policy:
 - the number of frames allocated to a process may vary over time:
 - may increase if page fault rate is high.
 - may decrease if page fault rate is very low.
 - requires more OS overhead to assess behavior of active processes.



- Fixed Allocation
 - Equal Allocation
 - for example, if there are 100 frames and 5 processes, give each process 20 frames.
 - keep some as free frame buffer pool
 - Proportional Allocation
 - allocate according to the size of process.
 - dynamic as degree of multiprogramming, process sizes change Let s_i = size of process P_i , m = total number of frames Then $S = \sum s_i$ a_i = allocation for p_i = $(s_i / S) \times m$.
 - Example

$$s_1 = 10, s_2 = 127, m = 64$$

 $S = \sum s_i = 10 + 127 = 137$
 $a_1 = (10 / 137) \times 64 \approx 5$
 $a_2 = (127 / 137) \times 64 \approx 59$



- Fixed Allocation
 - Priority Allocation
 - Use a proportional allocation scheme using priorities rather than size.
 - If process P_i generates a page fault:
 - select for replacement one of its frames.
 - select for replacement a frame from a process with lower priority number.



- Replacement Scope
 - Replacement scope is the set of frames to be considered for replacement when a page fault occurs.
 - Global replacement process selects a replacement frame from the set of all frames; one process can take a frame from another
 - But then process execution time can vary greatly
 - But greater throughput so more common
 - Local replacement each process selects from only its own set of allocated frames
 - More consistent per-process performance
 - But possibly underutilized memory
 - We will consider the possible combinations of replacement scope and resident set size policy.



- Fixed Allocation + Local Scope
 - Each process is allocated a fixed number of pages:
 - determined at load time; depends on application type.
 - When a page fault occurs, page frames considered for replacement are local to the page-fault process:
 - the number of frames allocated is thus constant.
 - previous replacement algorithms can be used.
 - Problem: difficult to determine ahead of time a good number for the allocated frames:
 - if too low: page fault rate will be high.
 - if too large: multiprogramming level will be too low.
- Fixed Allocation + Global Scope
 - Impossible to achieve:
 - if all unlocked frames are candidate for replacement, the number of frames allocated to a process will necessary vary over time.



- Variable Allocation + Global Scope
 - Simple to implement -- adopted by many OSs (like Unix SVR4).
 - A list of free frames is maintained:
 - when a process issues a page fault, a free frame (from this list) is allocated to it.
 - Hence the number of frames allocated to a page fault process increases.
 - The choice for the process that will loose a frame is arbitrary;
 It's far from optimal.
 - Page buffering can alleviate this problem since a page may be reclaimed if it is referenced again soon.



- Variable Allocation + Local Scope
 - May be the best combination (used by Windows NT).
 - Allocate at load time a certain number of frames to a new process based on application type:
 - use either pre-paging or demand paging to fill up the allocation.
 - When a page fault occurs, select the page to replace from the resident set of the process that suffered the page fault.
 - Reevaluate periodically the allocation provided and increase or decrease it to improve the overall performance.



- The Working Set Strategy
 - The working set strategy is a variable-allocation method with local scope based on the assumption of locality of references.
 - The working set for a process at time t, W(D, t), is the set of pages that have been referenced in the last D virtual time units:
 - virtual time = time elapsed while the process was in execution.
 - D is a window of time.
 - W(D, t) is an approximation of the program's locality.
 - The working set of a process first grows when it starts executing.
 - Then stabilizes by the principle of locality.
 - It grows again when the process enters a new locality (transition period):
 - up to a point where the working set contains pages from two localities.
 - Then decreases after a sufficient long time spent in the new locality.



- The Working Set Strategy
 - The working set concept suggests the following strategy to determine the resident set size:
 - Monitor the working set for each process.
 - Periodically remove from the resident set of a process those pages that are not in the working set.
 - When the resident set of a process is smaller than its working set, allocate more frames to it:
 - If not enough free frames are available, suspend the process (until more frames are available), i.e., a process may execute only if its working set is in main memory.




- The Working Set Strategy
 - Working-Set Model
 - lacktriangle Δ = working-set window = a fixed number of page references
 - Example: 10,000 instructions
 - WSS_i (working set of Process P_i) = total number of pages referenced in the most recent Δ (varies in time):
 - if Δ too small it will not encompass entire locality.
 - \circ if Δ too large it will encompass several localities.
 - if $\Delta = \infty \Rightarrow$ will encompass entire program.
 - $D = \sum WSS_i \equiv \text{total demand frames}$
 - if $D > m \Rightarrow$ Thrashing
 - Policy if D > m, then suspend one of the processes



- The Working Set Strategy
 - Working-Set Model.

page reference table

... 2615777751623412344434344413234443444...






- The Working Set Strategy
 - Keeping Track of the Working Set
 - Approximate with interval timer + a reference bit.
 - Example: $\Delta = 10,000$
 - Timer interrupts after every 5000 time units.
 - Keep in memory 2 bits for each page.
 - Whenever a timer interrupts, copy and set the values of all reference bits to 0.
 - If one of the bits in memory = $1 \Rightarrow$ page in working set.
 - Why is this not completely accurate?
 - Improvement = 10 bits and interrupt every 1000 time units.



- The Working Set Strategy
 - Practical problems with this working set strategy:
 - measurement of the working set for each process is impractical:
 - necessary to time stamp the referenced page at every memory reference.
 - necessary to maintain a time-ordered queue of referenced pages for each process.
 - the optimal value for D is unknown and time varying.
 - Solution: rather than monitor the working set, monitor the page fault rate!




- Page-Fault Frequency (PFF) Scheme
 - Establish "acceptable" page-fault rate:
 - If actual rate too low, process loses frame.
 - If actual rate too high, process gains frame.






- Page-Fault Frequency (PFF) Strategy
 - Define an upper bound U and lower bound L for page fault rates.
 - Allocate more frames to a process if fault rate is higher than U.
 - Allocate less frames if fault rate is less than L.
 - The resident set size should be close to the working set size W.
 - We suspend the process if the PFF > U and no more free frames are available.






- Working Sets and Page-Fault Rates
 - Direct relationship between working set of a process and its pagefault rate
 - Working set changes over time
 - Peaks and valleys over time





Load Control

- Determines the number of processes that will be resident in main memory (i.e., the multiprogramming level):
 - Too few processes: often all processes will be blocked and the processor will be idle.
 - Too many processes: the resident size of each process will be too small and flurries of page faults will result: thrashing.





Load Control

- A working set or page fault frequency algorithm implicitly incorporates load control:
 - only those processes whose resident set is sufficiently large are allowed to execute.
- Another approach is to adjust explicitly the multiprogramming level so that the mean time between page faults equals the time to process a page fault:
 - performance studies indicate that this is the point where processor usage is at maximum.



Load Control

- Process Suspension
 - Explicit load control requires that we sometimes swap out (suspend) processes.
 - Possible victim selection criteria:
 - Faulting process
 - this process may not have its working set in main memory so it will be blocked anyway.
 - Last process activated
 - this process is least likely to have its working set resident.
 - Process with smallest resident set
 - this process requires the least future effort to reload.
 - Largest process
 - will yield the most free frames.