

#### The WILLIAM STATES LEE COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

#### Introduction to ML Lecture 13: Training and Validation in Pytorch

Hamed Tabkhi

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of North Carolina Charlotte (UNCC)

htabkhiv@uncc.edu

#### Overview

- We just saw a simple example of backpropagation:
  - we computed the gradient of a composition of functions—the linear model and the square loss—with respect to their innermost parameters (w and b) by propagating derivatives backward using the *chain rule*.
  - The basic requirement here is that all functions we're dealing with can be differentiated analytically.
- A **function** is **differentiable** at a point when there's a defined derivative at that point The short is that a function is differentiable if you can compute its derivative.
- Even if we have a complicated model with millions of parameters, as long as our model is differentiable, computing the gradient of the loss with respect to the parameters to writing the analytical expression for the derivatives and evaluating them *once*.

#### Overview

- This is when PyTorch tensors come to the rescue, with a PyTorch component called *autograd*.
- PyTorch tensors can remember where they come from, in terms of the operations and parent tensors that originated them, and they can automatically provide the chain of derivatives of such operations with respect to their inputs.
- This means we won't need to derive our model by hand.
- Given a forward expression, no matter how nested, PyTorch will automatically provide the gradient of that expression with respect to its input parameters.



# Splitting A dataset

```
# In[12]:
n_samples = t_u.shape[0]
n_val = int(0.2 * n_samples)
shuffled_indices = torch.randperm(n_samples)
train indices = shuffled indices[:-n val]
                                               Since these are random, don't
val_indices = shuffled_indices[-n_val:]
                                               be surprised if your values end
                                               up different from here on out.
train indices, val indices
# Out[12]:
(tensor([9, 6, 5, 8, 4, 7, 0, 1, 3]), tensor([2, 10]))
# In[13]:
train t_u = t_u[train_indices]
train_t_c = t_c[train_indices]
                                     We just got index tensors that we
                                     can use to build training and
val_t_u = t_u[val_indices]
                                     validation sets starting
val_t_c = t_c[val_indices]
                                     from the data tensors
train_t_un = 0.1 * train_t_u
val_t_un = 0.1 * val_t_u
```

randperm: shuffling the elements of a tensor amounts to finding a permutation of its indices.

the data tensors

The WILLIAM STATES LEE COLLEGE of ENGINEERING

### Modified Training Loop

```
# In[14]:
def training_loop(n_epochs, optimizer, params, train_t_u, val_t_u,
                   train_t_c, val_t_c):
    for epoch in range(1, n_epochs + 1):
        train_t_p = model(train_t_u, *params)
                                                           These two pairs of lines are the
        train_loss = loss_fn(train_t_p, train_t_c)
                                                           same except for the train * vs.
                                                           val * inputs.
        val_t_p = model(val_t_u, *params)
        val_loss = loss_fn(val_t_p, val_t_c)
        optimizer.zero_grad()
                                       Note that there is no val loss.backward()
        train loss.backward()
                                  here, since we don't want to train the
        optimizer.step()
                                       model on the validation data.
        if epoch <= 3 or epoch % 500 == 0:
            print(f"Epoch {epoch}, Training loss {train_loss.item():.4f},"
                   f" Validation loss {val_loss.item():.4f}")
    return params
```

- The first line in the training loop evaluates model on train\_t\_u to produce train\_t\_p. Then train\_loss is evaluated from train\_t\_p. This creates a computation graph that links train\_t\_u to train t p to train loss.
- When model is evaluated again on
   val\_t\_u, it produces val\_t\_p and
   val\_loss. In this case, a separate
   computation graph will be created that links
   val\_t\_u to val\_t\_p to val\_loss.
- Separate tensors have been run through the same functions, model and loss\_fn, generating separate computation graphs.

## Modified Training Loop

- The only tensors these two graphs have in common are the parameters.
- When we call backward on train\_loss, we run backward on the first graph.
- In other words, we accumulate the derivatives of train\_loss with respect to the parameters based on the computation generated from train\_t\_u.
- If we (incorrectly) called backward on val\_loss as well, we would accumulate the derivatives of val\_loss with respect to the parameters on the same leaf nodes.

tutrain 
$$\rightarrow$$
 model (x, params)  $\rightarrow$  train

tutrain  $\rightarrow$  model (x, params)  $\rightarrow$  train  $\rightarrow$  loss train

tutrain  $\rightarrow$  model (x, params)  $\rightarrow$  train  $\rightarrow$  loss val

tutrain  $\rightarrow$  model (x, params)  $\rightarrow$  train  $\rightarrow$  loss val

tutrain  $\rightarrow$  model (x, params)  $\rightarrow$  train  $\rightarrow$  loss val

tutrain  $\rightarrow$  model (x, params)  $\rightarrow$  train  $\rightarrow$  loss val

tural  $\rightarrow$  model (x, params)  $\rightarrow$  train  $\rightarrow$  loss val



### Modified Training Results

```
# In[15]:
params = torch.tensor([1.0, 0.0], requires_grad=True)
learning_rate = 1e-2
optimizer = optim.SGD([params], lr=learning_rate)
training_loop(
    n_{epochs} = 3000,
    optimizer = optimizer,
    params = params,
    train_t_u = train_t_un,
                                 Since we're using SGD again, we're
   val_t_u = val_t_un,
                                 back to using normalized inputs.
    train_t_c = train_t_c,
    val_t_c = val_t_c
# Out[15]:
Epoch 1, Training loss 66.5811, Validation loss 142.3890
Epoch 2, Training loss 38.8626, Validation loss 64.0434
Epoch 3, Training loss 33.3475, Validation loss 39.4590
Epoch 500, Training loss 7.1454, Validation loss 9.1252
Epoch 1000, Training loss 3.5940, Validation loss 5.3110
Epoch 1500, Training loss 3.0942, Validation loss 4.1611
Epoch 2000, Training loss 3.0238, Validation loss 3.7693
Epoch 2500, Training loss 3.0139, Validation loss 3.6279
Epoch 3000, Training loss 3.0125, Validation loss 3.5756
tensor([ 5.1964, -16.7512], requires grad=True)
```



### Switching Off Autograd

- Since we're not ever calling backward on val\_loss, why are we building the graph in the first place? We could in fact just call model and loss fn as plain functions, without tracking the computation.
- In order to address this, PyTorch allows us to switch off autograd when we don't need it, using the torch.no grad context manager.

```
# In[16]:
     def training_loop(n_epochs, optimizer, params, train_t_u, val_t_u,
                        train t c, val t c):
         for epoch in range(1, n_epochs + 1):
             train_t_p = model(train_t_u, *params)
 Context
             train_loss = loss_fn(train_t_p, train_t_c)
manager
   here
                                                                    Checks that our output
            with torch.no grad():
                                                                    requires grad args are
                 val_t_p = model(val_t_u, *params)
                                                                    forced to False inside
                 val_loss = loss_fn(val_t_p, val_t_c)
                                                                    this block
                  assert val_loss.requires_grad == False
             optimizer.zero_grad()
             train_loss.backward()
             optimizer.step()
```



### Switching Off Autograd

```
# In[17]:
def calc_forward(t_u, t_c, is_train):
    with torch.set_grad_enabled(is_train):
        t_p = model(t_u, *params)
        loss = loss_fn(t_p, t_c)
    return loss
```

- For instance, define a calc\_forward function that takes data as input and runs model and loss\_fn with or without autograd according to a Boolean is train argument.
- Using the related set\_grad\_enabled context, we can also condition the code to run
- With autograd enabled or disabled, according to a Boolean expression—typically indicating whether we are running in training or inference mode.

