

✓ Malicious Software

This lab focuses on how the malicious software can modify our files, hides itself within the host computer, and also spreads across the network to infect other connected devices on that network.

To understand the malware behaviour and to counter it, the following tasks have been carried out in this lab:

- File integrity verification by using cryptographic hashes
- Detection of unauthorized file modifications
- Signature based detection of suspicious pattern present in files
- Worm propagation simulation on a network graph

✓ Initial Setup

```
import hashlib
import os
import pandas as pd
import time as time
import re
import random
import networkx as nx
```

```
os.listdir()
!pwd
/content
```

✓ Folder Scanning - For File Integrity Baseline (Hashing)

This is to create a baseline of cryptographic hashes for files for verification purposes later. And modification to a file's content will result in a different SHA-256 hash. Thus, this will allow detection of file tampering.

```
# Initialise list to store baseline hash results
base_results = []

# Loop through all items in the current directory
for i in os.listdir():
    filename = i
```

```

# Skip directories and the CSV files used for storing results
if os.path.isdir(filename) or filename in ['base_results.csv', 'altered_
    continue

# Read file bytes safely for hashing
with open(filename, 'rb') as f:
    data = f.read()

# Compute SHA-256 hash of the file contents
hash = hashlib.sha256(data).hexdigest()

# Record timestamp for when the baseline was created
timestamp = time.time()

# Store file name, hash value, and timestamp
base_results.append([filename, hash, timestamp])

# Convert results into a DataFrame for readability and export
original_data = pd.DataFrame(base_results, columns=['file', 'hash', 'time'])

# Save baseline hashes to disk for future comparison
original_data.to_csv('base_results.csv', index=False)

# Display collected baseline data
print(base_results)

[['example.txt', 'e77ffda360b4c225cb99e82b3902c2fe3a898b2a54425cd53a532c6ff90

```

✓ Reflection

This builds a baseline state of the folder's content. Even a slight change in any file will result in a completely different hash. This step is crucial for file integrity monitoring.

```
original_data.head()
```

	file	
0	example.txt	e77ffda360b4c225cb99e82b3902c2fe3a898b2a544
1	testing.txt	bf98db39a9a0c4f688a4bd73670a6d786fe766398cb
2	ml.lec4.linear_polynomial_models.pdf	2bdd6b597be9f3d680f91865ea1b3502dfd33e1cac5

✓ Detecting Changes To The File

Here in this part we check whether a file has been modified or not since the baseline hash was created and we do this by rehashing the file's content and comparing the results.

```

# Initialise list to store updated (current) hash results
altered_results = []

# Loop through all items in the current directory
for i in os.listdir():
    filename = i

    # Skip directories and the baseline/comparison CSV files
    if os.path.isdir(filename) or filename in ['base_results.csv', 'altered_
        continue

    # Read file contents in binary mode to compute hash
    with open(filename, 'rb') as f:
        data = f.read()
        hash = hashlib.sha256(data).hexdigest() # Current SHA-256 hash
        timestamp = time.time() # Time when file was checked

    # Store filename, hash, and timestamp for comparison
    altered_results.append([filename, hash, timestamp])

# Convert results into a DataFrame for readability and persistence
altered_data = pd.DataFrame(altered_results, columns=['file', 'hash', 'time'])

# Save current hashes to disk for comparison with baseline
altered_data.to_csv('altered_results.csv', index=False)

# Show collected data to confirm scan output
print(altered_results)

[['example.txt', 'e77ffda360b4c225cb99e82b3902c2fe3a898b2a54425cd53a532c6ff90

```

✓ Hash Comparison

```

# Only proceed if the baseline list contains entries
if len(base_results) > 0:

    # Iterate through each file entry by index
    for i in range(len(base_results)):

        # Compare baseline hash (index 1) and current hash for the same file
        if base_results[i][1] != altered_results[i][1]:
            # Hash mismatch → file contents have changed
            print(f"File {base_results[i][0]} has been altered...")

        elif base_results[i][1] == altered_results[i][1]:
            # Hash match → file has not been modified
            print(f"File {base_results[i][0]} is safe...")

    else:
        # Safety check in case no files were processed
        print("No files to check...")

File example.txt is safe...
File testing.txt is safe...

```

Reflection

As we can see, this section correctly detects the changes in the tempared file demonstrating us that how hashing actually supports the host-based intrusion detection.

✓ Signature-Based Scan For Suspicious Patterns

This part deals with searching suspicious code constructs that typical appear in malicious scripts and malwares intended for specific tasks such as dynamic evaluation, encoded payloads and network calls.

✓ Suspicious Patterns

```
# List of suspicious code patterns commonly found in malicious scripts
SIGNATURES = [
    r"eval\(",          # Dynamic code execution
    r"base64\.b64decode", # Decoding encoded payloads
    r"socket\.connect",  # Network communication (potential C2)
    r"exec\(",           # Executing arbitrary code
    r"import os"         # OS-level operations (file/process manipulation)
]

# Prepare a list to store compiled regex patterns
patterns = []

# Compile each signature into a regular expression for faster matching
for i in SIGNATURES:
    f = (re.compile(i), i) # Tuple: (compiled_regex, pattern_string)
    patterns.append(f)

# Display loaded and compiled signature patterns
print(patterns)

[(re.compile('eval\\('), 'eval\\('), (re.compile('base64\\.b64decode'), 'base
```

✓ Scanning For Above Mentioned Patterns

```
# Loop through every item in the current directory
for i in os.listdir():
    filename = i
```

```
# Skip directories – only scan files
if os.path.isdir(filename):
    continue

try:
    # Open file in text mode (UTF-8), ignoring decoding errors
    with open(filename, 'r', encoding='utf-8') as f:
        lines = f.readlines()

    # Scan each line of the file
    for i, line in enumerate(lines, start=1):

        # Check line against all compiled suspicious patterns
        for regex, label in patterns:
            if regex.search(line):
                # Print a match with filename, line number, and pattern
                print(f"[{filename}] Line {i}: matched '{label}' → {line}")

except Exception as e:
    # Handles binary files or unreadable content
    print(f"Error reading file {filename}: {e}")
```

```
[example.txt] Line 2: matched 'eval\(' → eval(
[example.txt] Line 3: matched 'base64\.b64decode' → base64.b64decode
[example.txt] Line 4: matched 'socket\.connect' → socket.connect
[example.txt] Line 5: matched 'exec\(' → exec(
[example.txt] Line 6: matched 'import os' → import os
Error reading file ml.lec4.linear_plynomial_models.pdf: 'utf-8' codec can't d
```

Interpretation

The above script correctly flags the multiple suspicious patterns, including eval(, excel(, base64.b64decode, socket.connect, import os.

These are all common primitives used in droppers, backdoors, or encoded payload loaders. And this part clearly demonstrate that how early antivirus softwares used these simple techniques before behavioural detection became dominant.

✓ Worm Propagation Simulation

The below code demonstrates how worm spread through a network using random selection. And this simulation highlights how progogation speed depends on network topology and aggressiveness of the worm trying to spread infection.

✓ Network Graph Construction

```

# Create an empty NetworkX graph
G = nx.Graph()

# Define edges representing network connections between hosts
edges = [
    ("A", "B"), ("A", "C"),
    ("B", "C"), ("B", "D"),
    ("C", "D"), ("C", "E"),
    ("D", "E"), ("D", "F"),
    ("E", "F"), ("F", "G")
]

# Add all edges to the graph (nodes are created automatically)
G.add_edges_from(edges)

# Display all nodes (hosts) in the network
print(G.nodes)

# Display all edges (connections) in the network
print(G.edges)

['A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E', 'F', 'G']
[('A', 'B'), ('A', 'C'), ('B', 'C'), ('B', 'D'), ('C', 'D'), ('C', 'E'), ('D',

```

✓ Worm Propagation

```

def worm_spread_nx(G, start, attempts, steps, seed=None):
    """
    Simulates worm-like propagation across a NetworkX graph.

    Parameters:
        G (networkx.Graph): The network topology (nodes = hosts, edges = con
        start (str): Initial infected host ("patient zero").
        attempts (int): Number of infection attempts per infected host per s
        steps (int): Maximum number of propagation rounds.
        seed (int): Random seed for reproducible results.

    Returns:
        set: All hosts infected by the end of the simulation.
    """

    # Set deterministic randomness (same output for same seed)
    random.seed(seed)

    infected = {start}    # Tracks all infected hosts so far
    wave = {start}        # Hosts that will attempt to infect others in the c

    # Perform step-by-step propagation
    for step in range(1, steps + 1):

        # Determine new infections for this step
        next_wave = {
            random.choice(list(G.neighbors(node)))    # randomly pick a neigh

```

```

        for node in wave                                # for each newly infect
        for _ in range(attempts)                        # number of attempts pe
        if list(G.neighbors(node))                     # only if the host has
    } - infected                                       # exclude hosts already

    # If the worm fails to infect anything this step
    if not next_wave:
        print(f"{step}: No new infections")
        continue

    # Add new infections to global set
    infected |= next_wave

    # These become the active spreaders for the next round
    wave = next_wave

    # Print propagation summary for this step
    print(f"{step}: New = {sorted(next_wave)} | Total = {len(infected)}"

return infected

```

✓ Execution

```

# Runing the worm propagation simulation on the defined network.
# start='A'      → The worm begins at host A (patient zero)
# attempts=2     → Each infected host gets 2 infection attempts per step
# steps=5        → Maximum of 5 propagation rounds
# seed=3         → Fixed random seed ensures reproducible results

```

```
worm_spread_nx(G, start='A', attempts=2, steps=5, seed=3)
```

```

1: New = ['B'] | Total = 2
2: New = ['C', 'D'] | Total = 4
3: New = ['E', 'F'] | Total = 6
4: No new infections
5: New = ['G'] | Total = 7
{'A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E', 'F', 'G'}

```

✓ Reflection

As we can see from results, the worm eventually infected all nodes. And the propogation speed accelarated once it reached the denser subgraph (C, D, E, F). Random scanning worms sometimes stall before finding remaining hosts, if newly infected nodes points back to the already infected neighbours, thus replicates the behaviour of worms.

Countermeasure Design Using Authentication System

To demonstrate defence-in-depth, I integrated my existing authentication system as a practical monitoring prototype. It combines prevention, detection, and mitigation through real security controls rather than simulations.

Prevention

- Passwords stored with bcrypt + optional pepper
- TOTP-based MFA (prevents password-only compromise)

Detection

The system logs all abnormal behaviour:

- Invalid username
- Wrong password attempts
- Repeated OTP failures
- Login attempts during logout
- Successful logins (baseline activity) These logs act as a host-based intrusion detection mechanism.

Mitigation

- Account lockout after multiple failed passwords
- OTP retry limit (3 attempts)
- Lockout cooldown to slow brute-force attacks
- Reset of counters on successful authentication

✓ Login Verification Code


```

from components.hashing import verify_bcrypt
from components.authentication import verify_totp
from data.storage import load_users
from auditing.audit import log_event
from bruteforce.bruteforce import record_failed_attempt, account_locked, reset_account

def verify():

    users = load_users()

    print('VERIFYING USER')

    # Taking user input username for verification purposes
    check_username = input('Enter Your Username: ').strip().lower()

    if check_username not in users:
        print('Invalid Credentials.')
        log_event('INVALID_CREDENTIALS', check_username, 'Unknown Username')
        return False

    if account_locked(check_username):
        print("Account temporarily locked. Try again later.")
        log_event("ACCOUNT_LOCKED", check_username, "Login attempt while locked")
        return False

    stored_hash = users[check_username]['hash']
    stored_secret = users[check_username]['secret']

    # Take the user input password and the corresponding password with the same username from database to verify
    check_password = input('Enter Your Password: ').strip()

    if not verify_bcrypt(check_password, stored_hash.encode()):
        status = record_failed_attempt(check_username)

        if status == "locked_now":
            print("Too many failed attempts - account locked for 30 seconds.")
            log_event("ACCOUNT_LOCKED", check_username, "Too many failed password attempts")
        else:
            print("Invalid credentials.")
            log_event("INVALID_CREDENTIALS", check_username, "Wrong password")

        return False

    reset_account(check_username)

    for i in range(3):
        user_otp = input('Enter OTP: ').strip()
        if verify_totp(stored_secret, user_otp):
            print('Authentication Successful')
            log_event("LOGIN_SUCCESSFUL", check_username, "Correct password + OTP")
            return True
        else:
            print(f'Invalid OTP, Try Again - ({i + 1}/3)')
            log_event("OTP_FAILURE", check_username, f"Wrong OTP attempt {i+1}")

    print("Too Many Invalid Attempts - Verification Failed")
    log_event('MAX_LOGIN_ATTEMPTS', check_username, 'Exceeded OTP Attempts')
    return False

```

✓ Login Audits

```

[2025-11-22 20:12:22.427681] OTP_FAILURE | user=hammad | Wrong OTP attempt 1
[2025-11-22 20:12:23.454745] OTP_FAILURE | user=hammad | Wrong OTP attempt 2
[2025-11-22 20:12:24.373632] OTP_FAILURE | user=hammad | Wrong OTP attempt 3
[2025-11-22 20:12:24.373808] MAX LOGIN ATTEMPTS | user=hammad | Exceeded OTP Attempts
[2025-11-22 20:12:42.972537] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=hammad | Unknown username
[2025-11-22 20:13:34.728409] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=hammad | Wrong Password
[2025-11-22 20:14:05.539442] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=hammad | Wrong Password
[2025-11-22 20:14:12.758862] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=aeohowhrowher | Unknown Username
[2025-11-22 20:17:09] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=hammad | Wrong Password
[2025-11-22 20:46:03] OTP_FAILURE | user=perry | Wrong OTP attempt 1
[2025-11-22 20:46:04] OTP_FAILURE | user=perry | Wrong OTP attempt 2
[2025-11-22 20:46:05] OTP_FAILURE | user=perry | Wrong OTP attempt 3
[2025-11-22 20:46:05] MAX LOGIN ATTEMPTS | user=perry | Exceeded OTP Attempts
[2025-11-22 21:07:23] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:08:02] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:09:11] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:09:16] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:09:30] ACCOUNT_LOCKED | user=kary | Too many failed password attempts
[2025-11-22 21:10:45] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:10:50] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:10:54] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=karry | Unknown Username
[2025-11-22 21:11:02] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=karry | Unknown Username
[2025-11-22 21:11:14] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:11:18] INVALID_CREDENTIALS | user=kary | Wrong password
[2025-11-22 21:11:28] ACCOUNT_LOCKED | user=kary | Too many failed password attempts
[2025-11-22 22:31:45] OTP_FAILURE | user=mahmood | Wrong OTP attempt 1
[2025-11-22 22:31:46] OTP_FAILURE | user=mahmood | Wrong OTP attempt 2
[2025-11-22 22:31:47] OTP_FAILURE | user=mahmood | Wrong OTP attempt 3
[2025-11-22 22:31:47] MAX LOGIN ATTEMPTS | user=mahmood | Exceeded OTP Attempts
[2025-11-22 22:35:40] OTP_FAILURE | user=ali | Wrong OTP attempt 1
[2025-11-22 22:35:42] OTP_FAILURE | user=ali | Wrong OTP attempt 2
[2025-11-22 22:35:43] OTP_FAILURE | user=ali | Wrong OTP attempt 3
[2025-11-22 22:35:43] MAX LOGIN ATTEMPTS | user=ali | Exceeded OTP Attempts

```

multiple signals.

Summary

- SHA-256 integrity monitoring provides a reliable way to detect unauthorized changes to a file.
- Baseline vs current hash comparison reveals immediately if data is altered or not
- Signature-based functions works only for known suspicious patterns but cannot defend against obfuscation and polymorphic malwares.
- Worm spread in a network depends on the network's topology and how aggressive the worm is.
- A simple simulation like we used shows us that how quickly worms can compromise a highly connected network.