

Examination Feedback for EEE221–Organisational Behaviour & Team Management
Autumn Semester 2010-11

Feedback for EEE221 Session: 2010-2011

Feedback: Please write simple statements about how well students addressed the exam paper in general and each individual question in particular including common problems/mistakes and areas of concern in the boxes provided below. Increase row height if necessary.

General Comments:

EEE221 consists of 50% from SHIPS (the industrial project) 20% from attendance at the EEE221 Duncan Kitchen lectures 25% from and essay assignment set and marked by Duncan Kitchen and 5% for completion of a Work Experience Portfolio.

SHIPS (50%):

SHIPS is marked 15% for an individual visit report, (students generally produced quite good reports and the average score was 10.7) main weaknesses were concerned with poor summary of company's problem. 15% comes from performance at the Oral Presentations with an average performance of 9.7 (students were less confident here and lack of good presentation of technical issues was main weakness). 30% comes from a Peer and Tutor exercise with an average of 23 (reliability and timekeeping were often viewed as the main weakness by peers). And finally 40% for contribution to a final written group report scored an average of 27 (main weaknesses were poor presentation of technical arguments and failure to connect up the various sections into a coherent whole). As is typical with a group activity with many assessments making up the whole the range of marks are bunched in a small range and usually quite high.

Attendance (20%):

Attendance averaged at 17 which is rather disappointing when listening to Duncan's presentations is key to tackling his essay.

Question 3:

Work Experience Portfolio (5%):

Some good reflection was done here by many of those who submitted but it was disappointing that a significant number of students failed to submit this straightforward assignment. A wasted opportunity by those students.