

Feedback for EEE6083 Session:2007-2008

Feedback: Please write simple statements about how well students addressed the exam paper in general and each individual question in particular including common problems/mistakes and areas of concern in the boxes provided below. Increase row height if necessary.

General Comments:

All my feedback for this module is of a general form, as the exam was extremely well done by nearly all the students this session. There were some comments which may be beneficial, however.

Revision had been rigorously done by most of the cohort, with an extremely high average mark overall, it was obvious from some answers that some students hadn't engaged with the topics well enough, and that the level of critical understanding could be improved. There are plenty of opportunities during this course to discuss the topics, however I feel that sometimes students don't want to put their hands up and say 'I don't understand this bit', can we discuss it further.

My advice to students to 'make diagrams large enough to read, and fully label them' was followed well on the whole, but marks were still lost in answers where crucial diagrams were tiny, badly drawn, or with unsatisfactory labeling.

There is a fair amount of descriptive work in the exam questions for this module, in certain cases the quality of the written English was so poor as to have an effect on the marking. It is sometimes better to write less, making sure that what is written makes sense and is accurate. Some answers were in parts highly ambiguous. As practicing engineers, mathematical ability is not sufficient, and many situations will call for high quality verbal and written expression of ideas.

Question 1:

Question 2:

Question 3:

Question 4:

Question 5:

Question 6:

Question 7:

Question 8: