### **EEE Feedback - Spring 2012**

### Level: 1

Q1. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Judd	EEE112 4	1.36	11	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119 4	4.0	36	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 3	3.82	39	
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 3	3.81	36	
Gavin Williams	EEE163 3	3.73	26	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123 (	)	0	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 0	)	0	

## Q2. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Judd	EEE112 4	.5	10	
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE1183	.95	37	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE1193	.89	37	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 3	.7	37	
Gavin Williams	EEE163 3	.35	26	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123 0		0	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 0	1	0	

# Q3. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	4.22	37	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	4.14	37	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	4.08	38	
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.5	26	
Peter Judd	EEE112	3.27	11	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123	0	0	

Gavin Williams EEE124 0 0

Q4. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Judd	EEE112 4	1.2	10	
Gavin Williams	EEE163 3	3.85	26	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 3	3.44	39	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119 3	3.43	37	
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 3	3.38	37	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123 0	)	0	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 0	)	0	

# Q5. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Judd	EEE112 3	3.82	11	
Gavin Williams	EEE163 3	3.19	26	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 3	3.13	39	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119 3	3.08	37	
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 2	2.97	37	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 2	2.88	24	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123 (	)	0	

### Q6. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number respons	_
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 3	3.78	37	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.54	39	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.38	37	
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.04	26	
Peter Judd	EEE112	2.82	11	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123	0	0	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 (	0	0	

Q7. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Judd	EEE112 3	3.73	11	
Gavin Williams	EEE163 3	3.12	26	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119 3	3.08	37	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 2	2.92	39	
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 2	2.92	37	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 2	2.88	24	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123 0	)	0	

### Q8. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 3	3.49	35	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.3	37	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.18	39	
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.04	26	
Peter Judd	EEE112	3.0	11	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123	0	0	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 (	0	0	

### Q9. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Judd	EEE112 3	3.73	11	
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.04	26	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE1193	3.0	37	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 2	2.82	39	
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 2	2.78	37	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 2	2.71	24	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123 (	0	0	

Q10. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Peter Judd	EEE112 4	1.64	11	
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 3	3.92	39	
Gavin Williams	EEE163 3	3.65	26	
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 3	3.59	37	
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119 3	3.57	35	
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza, Richard Tozer	EEE123 0	)	0	
Gavin Williams	EEE124 0	)	0	

### Level: 2

Q1. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	Number of responses
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	4.0	32
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	3.95	44
John David	EEE207	3.88	41
David Stone	EEE202	3.6	43
Kais Atallah	EEE217	0	0

Q2. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average	Score	Number of responses
John David	EEE207	3.73		40
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	3.7		44
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	3.66		32
David Stone	EEE202	3.26		43
Kais Atallah	EEE217	0		0

Q3. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	4.34	32
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	4.14	44
David Stone	EEE202	3.7	44
John David	EEE207	3.63	41
Kais Atallah	<b>EEE217</b>	0	0

### Q4. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	3.66	32
John David	EEE207	3.54	41
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	3.48	44
David Stone	EEE202	3.41	44
Kais Atallah	EEE217	0	0

## Q5. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Code	Average Score	Number of responses
EEE207	3.2	41
EEE206	3.18	44
<b>EEE222</b>	2.94	32
EEE202	2.93	44
EEE217	0	0
	EEE207 EEE206 EEE222 EEE202	EEE207 3.2 EEE206 3.18 EEE222 2.94 EEE202 2.93 EEE217 0

## Q6. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
John David	EEE207	3.65	40
David Stone	EEE202	3.52	44
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	3.49	43
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	3.13	32
Kais Atallah	<b>EEE217</b>	0	0

### Q7. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
John David	EEE207	3.1	41
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	3.09	44
David Stone	EEE202	3.0	44
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	2.81	32
Kais Atallah	EEE217	0	0

### Q8. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

#### **Lecturer** Code Average Score Number of responses

John Mitchell	EEE222 3.42	31
David Stone	EEE202 3.18	44
Kenneth Ford	EEE206 3.18	44
John David	EEE207 3.12	41
Kais Atallah	EEE217 0	0

Q9. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Sc	ore Number of response	S
John David	EEE207	3.17	41	
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	3.06	32	
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	2.98	44	
David Stone	EEE202	2.73	44	
Kais Atallah	EEE217	0	0	

Q10. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	e Number of responses
John David	EEE207	4.05	41
John Mitchell	<b>EEE222</b>	4.0	31
Kenneth Ford	EEE206	3.93	44
David Stone	EEE202	3.52	44
Kais Atallah	EEE217	0	0

### Level: 3

Q1. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
John Mitchell	EEE301	4.0	12
Luke Seed	EEE310	3.93	14
Salam Khamas	EEE317	3.8	10
David Stone	EEE307	3.78	18
Alan Tennant	EEE334	3.7	10
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	3.64	22
Thomas Walther	EEE331	3.4	10
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	2.9	10
Wei Liu	EEE309	2.5	12

Q2. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE301	4.0	12
Salam Khamas	EEE317	3.9	10
Thomas Walther	EEE331	3.6	10
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	3.57	23
Luke Seed	EEE310	3.29	14
Alan Tennant	EEE334	3.2	10
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	3.0	10
Wei Liu	EEE309	2.83	12
David Stone	EEE307	2.47	17

## Q3. If yes, how would you assess the level of support? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
David Stone	EEE307	0	0
Wei Liu	EEE309	0	0
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	0	0
Alan Tennant	EEE334	0	0
Salam Khamas	<b>EEE317</b>	0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE331	0	0
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	0	0
Luke Seed	EEE310	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE301	0	0

Q4. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	4.1	10
Alan Tennant	EEE334	4.0	10
Luke Seed	EEE310	3.86	14
John Mitchell	EEE301	3.75	12
Wei Liu	EEE309	3.75	12
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	3.74	23
Salam Khamas	EEE317	3.67	9
David Stone	EEE307	3.33	18
Thomas Walther	EEE331	3.0	10

Q5. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer Code Average Score Number of responses

EEE301 3.75	12
EEE317 3.7	10
EEE310 3.5	14
EEE334 3.4	10
EEE345 3.35	23
EEE307 3.33	18
EEE331 3.3	10
EEE305 3.1	10
EEE309 2.5	12
	EEE317 3.7 EEE310 3.5 EEE334 3.4 EEE345 3.35 EEE307 3.33 EEE331 3.3 EEE305 3.1

Q6. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	<b>Number of responses</b>
John Mitchell	EEE301	3.31	13
Salam Khamas	<b>EEE317</b>	3.25	12
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	3.12	25
Alan Tennant	EEE334	3.09	11
Thomas Walther	EEE331	2.91	11
David Stone	EEE307	2.89	19
Luke Seed	EEE310	2.86	14
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	2.82	11
Wei Liu	EEE309	2.31	13

## Q7. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Code	Average Score	Number of respons
EEE309	4.0	12
EEE310	3.79	14
EEE305	3.7	10
EEE331	3.6	10
<b>EEE334</b>	3.6	10
EEE345	3.48	23
EEE307	3.39	18
EEE317	3.3	10
EEE301	3.17	12
	EEE309 EEE310 EEE305 EEE331 EEE334 EEE345 EEE307 EEE317	Code Average Score EEE309 4.0 EEE310 3.79 EEE305 3.7 EEE331 3.6 EEE334 3.6 EEE345 3.48 EEE307 3.39 EEE317 3.3 EEE301 3.17

# Q8. At approximately what intervals were you able to see your supervisor? (1 - Rarely, 2 - Three weeks, 3 - Two weeks, 4 - 1 week, 5 - Daily)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score Number of responses
David Stone	EEE307	0 0

EEE309 0	0
EEE305 0	0
EEE334 0	0
EEE317 0	0
EEE331 0	0
EEE345 0	0
EEE310 0	0
EEE301 0	0
	EEE305 0 EEE334 0 EEE317 0 EEE331 0 EEE345 0 EEE310 0

# Q9. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	Number of responses
Alan Tennant	EEE334	3.09	11
John Mitchell	EEE301	3.08	13
Salam Khamas	EEE317	3.0	12
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	2.96	25
Luke Seed	EEE310	2.86	14
David Stone	EEE307	2.79	19
Thomas Walther	EEE331	2.73	11
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	2.64	11
Wei Liu	EEE309	2.54	13

## Q10. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

Lecturer	Code	Average	Score Number of responses
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	3.6	10
David Stone	EEE307	3.39	18
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	3.39	23
Thomas Walther	EEE331	3.3	10
Alan Tennant	EEE334	3.3	10
Luke Seed	EEE310	3.21	14
Salam Khamas	EEE317	3.2	10
Wei Liu	EEE309	3.17	12
John Mitchell	EEE301	3.08	12

# Q11. How would you assess the level of interest from your second marker? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
David Stone	EEE307	0	0
Wei Liu	EEE309	0	0

Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305 0	0
Alan Tennant	EEE334 0	0
Salam Khamas	EEE317 0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE331 0	0
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345 0	0
Luke Seed	EEE310 0	0
John Mitchell	EEE301 0	0

## Q12. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	<b>Number of responses</b>
Salam Khamas	EEE317	3.08	12
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	3.0	25
Thomas Walther	EEE331	2.91	11
David Stone	EEE307	2.84	19
Alan Tennant	EEE334	2.82	11
Luke Seed	EEE310	2.79	14
John Mitchell	EEE301	2.77	13
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	2.55	11
Wei Liu	EEE309	2.46	13

#### Q13. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	<b>Average Score</b>	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE301	4.08	12
Luke Seed	EEE310	4.07	14
David Stone	EEE307	3.83	18
Alan Tennant	EEE334	3.7	10
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345	3.7	23
Salam Khamas	EEE317	3.7	10
Thomas Walther	EEE331	3.5	10
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305	3.1	10
Wei Liu	EEE309	2.5	12

# Q14. How would you assess the level of assistance from postgraduates and research staff? (1 - Not applicable, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code Average Score	Number of responses
David Stone	EEE307 0	0
Wei Liu	EEE309 0	0
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE305 0	0
Alan Tennant	EEE334 0	0

Salam Khamas	EEE317 0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE331 0	0
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE345 0	0
Luke Seed	EEE310 0	0
John Mitchell	EEE301 0	0

### Level: 4

Q1. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 4	.6	5	
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 4	.38	8	
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 4	.31	13	
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 4	.21	42	
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 4	.2	5	
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 4	.17	6	
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 4	.17	12	
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 4	.06	17	
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 4	.06	17	
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 4	.0	2	
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 4	.0	6	
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 4	.0	16	
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 4	.0	1	
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 4	.0	2	
David Stone	EEE6023 4	.0	10	
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE64103	.89	27	
Luke Seed	EEE60363	.89	9	
Gregory Cook	EEE443 3	.8	5	
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 3	.8	5	
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3	.77	13	
Wei Liu	EEE6033 3	.73	11	
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE60403	.63	8	
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3	.57	7	
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0		0	
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0		0	
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0		0	

Ling Shao EEE6086 0 0

Q2. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Richard Tozer	EEE6004	4.33	6
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140	4.08	13
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084	4.0	2
Gregory Cook	EEE6430	4.0	12
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040	4.0	8
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085	4.0	5
Thomas Walther	EEE6037	3.88	8
Alan Tennant	EEE6012	3.82	17
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081	3.82	17
Kristian Groom	EEE6480	3.76	41
David Stone	EEE6023	3.7	10
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120	3.6	5
Wei Liu	EEE6033	3.55	11
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.54	13
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416	3.5	8
Kristian Groom	EEE6395	3.5	2
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410	3.5	26
Geraint Jewell	EEE409	3.5	6
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009	3.44	16
Luke Seed	EEE6036	3.33	9
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393	3.2	5
Gregory Cook	EEE443	3.0	4
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414	1.0	1
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431	0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE6505	0	0
Jie Zhang	EEE6432	0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086	0	0

Q3. If yes, how would you assess the level of support? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Lastuman Codo	Average	Number of
Lecturer	Code	Score	responses

Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 0	0
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 0	0
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 0	0
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 0	0
Gregory Cook	EEE443 0	0
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 0	0
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 0	0
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 0	0
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 0	0
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 0	0
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 0	0
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 0	0
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 0	0
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 0	0
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 0	0
Luke Seed	EEE6036 0	0
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 0	0
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 0	0
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE64100	0
David Stone	EEE6023 0	0
Wei Liu	EEE6033 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q4. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Gregory Cook	EEE443	4.8	5	
Gregory Cook	EEE6430	4.25	12	
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009	4.25	16	
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	4.23	13	
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140	4.08	12	
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081	4.06	17	

Kristian Groom	EEE6395 4.0	2
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 4.0	27
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 4.0	4
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 4.0	1
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 3.75	8
David Stone	EEE6023 3.7	10
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 3.67	6
Luke Seed	EEE6036 3.67	9
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3.63	8
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 3.6	5
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 3.5	16
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 3.46	41
Wei Liu	EEE6033 3.45	11
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 3.4	5
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 3.33	6
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 3.13	8
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 3.0	2
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q5. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Geraint Jewell	EEE409	4.5	6
Kristian Groom	EEE6395	4.5	2
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081	4.24	17
Kristian Groom	EEE6480	4.1	41
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140	4.08	13
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416	4.0	8
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085	4.0	5
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084	4.0	2
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120	4.0	5
David Stone	EEE6023	3.9	10
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.85	13
Wei Liu	EEE6033	3.82	11

Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 3.8	5
Gregory Cook	EEE443 3.8	5
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 3.75	12
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 3.75	16
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 3.75	8
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3.75	8
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 3.74	27
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 3.59	17
Luke Seed	EEE6036 3.56	9
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 3.5	6
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 3.0	1
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q6. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 3.	62	13
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 3.	6	5
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 3.	5	18
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 3.	5	2
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 3	5	6
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 3	5	2
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 3.	33	6
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 3.	31	16
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 3.	25	44
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 3.	22	18
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3.	21	14
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 3.	2	5
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 3.	17	6
Wei Liu	EEE6033 3.	17	12
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3.	11	9
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 3.	08	12
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 3.	07	29
Gregory Cook	EEE443 3.	0	5

Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 3.0	1
David Stone	EEE6023 3.0	10
Luke Seed	EEE6036 3.0	9
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 3.0	10
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 2.75	8
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 2.5	2
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 2.0	2
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q7. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
David Stone	EEE6023	4.2	10
Gregory Cook	EEE6430	4.08	12
Gregory Cook	EEE443	4.0	5
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414	4.0	1
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410	3.85	27
Luke Seed	EEE6036	3.67	9
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.62	13
Wei Liu	EEE6033	3.55	11
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140	3.54	13
Geraint Jewell	EEE409	3.5	6
Thomas Walther	EEE6037	3.38	8
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009	3.31	16
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040	3.25	8
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081	3.24	17
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120	3.2	5
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393	3.2	5
Alan Tennant	EEE6012	3.18	17
Kristian Groom	EEE6480	3.14	42
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416	3.13	8
Kristian Groom	EEE6395	3.0	2
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084	3.0	2
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085	3.0	5
Richard Tozer	EEE6004	3.0	6
Thomas Walther	EEE6505	0	0

Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q8. At approximately what intervals were you able to see your supervisor? (1 - Rarely, 2 - Three weeks, 3 - Two weeks, 4 - 1 week, 5 - Daily)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 0	0	
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 0	0	
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 0	0	
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0	
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 0	0	
Gregory Cook	EEE443 0	0	
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 0	0	
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 0	0	
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0	
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 0	0	
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 0	0	
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 0	0	
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 0	0	
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 0	0	
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 0	0	
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 0	0	
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 0	0	
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0	
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 0	0	
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 0	0	
Luke Seed	EEE6036 0	0	
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 0	0	
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 0	0	
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 0	0	
David Stone	EEE6023 0	0	
Wei Liu	EEE6033 0	0	
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0	

Q9. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 3	.6	5
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 3	.56	18
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 3	.5	6
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 3	.5	2
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE61403	.46	13
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3	.36	14
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 3	.33	6
Kristian Groom	EEE64803	.27	44
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE60403	.2	10
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 3	.2	5
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 3	.19	16
Alan Tennant	EEE60123	.17	18
Wei Liu	EEE6033 3	.17	12
Geraint Jewell	EEE61203	.17	6
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3	.11	9
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE64103	.07	29
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 3	.0	8
David Stone	EEE6023 3	.0	10
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 3	.0	1
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 3	.0	2
Gregory Cook	EEE64303	.0	12
Luke Seed	EEE60363	.0	9
Gregory Cook	EEE443 2	.8	5
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 2	.5	2
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 1	.5	2
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0		0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0		0

Q10. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

Lecturer	Code Average Score	Number of responses
Gregory Cook	EEE443 3.6	5
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3.57	7
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 3.5	12
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 3.33	27

Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 3.31	13
David Stone	EEE6023 3.3	10
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 3.2	5
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 3.19	16
Wei Liu	EEE6033 3.18	11
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 3.17	41
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 3.13	8
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 3.13	8
Luke Seed	EEE6036 3.11	9
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3.08	13
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 3.06	17
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 3.0	6
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 3.0	5
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 3.0	2
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 3.0	5
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 3.0	2
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 3.0	6
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 3.0	1
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 2.94	17
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q11. How would you assess the level of interest from your second marker? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Code	Average Score	Number of responses
EEE416 0	0	
EEE6004 0	0	
EEE6430 0	0	
EEE6432 0	0	
EEE409 0	0	
EEE443 0	0	
EEE6012 0	0	
EEE6037 0	0	
EEE6431 0	0	
EEE414 0	0	
	EEE416 0 EEE6004 0 EEE6430 0 EEE6432 0 EEE449 0 EEE6431 0 EEE6037 0 EEE6431 0	EEE416 0 0 0 0 EEE6004 0 0 0 EEE6430 0 0 0 EEE443 0 0 0 0 EEE6432 0 0 0 EEE6443 0 0 0 EEE6012 0 0 0 EEE6037 0 0 0 EEE6431 0 0 0

Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 0	0
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 0	0
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 0	0
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 0	0
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 0	0
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 0	0
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE61400	0
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 0	0
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 0	0
Luke Seed	EEE6036 0	0
Geraint Jewell	EEE61200	0
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 0	0
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE64100	0
David Stone	EEE6023 0	0
Wei Liu	EEE6033 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q12. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score		Number of responses
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 3	3.6	5	
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 3	3.5	6	
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 3	3.5	2	
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 3	3.39	18	
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 3	3.38	13	
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3	3.36	14	
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 3	3.33	6	
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 3	3.25	16	
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 3	3.25	44	
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 3	3.22	18	
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 3	3.2	10	
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 3	3.17	6	
Wei Liu	EEE6033 3	3.17	12	
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3	3.11	9	
David Stone	EEE6023 3	3.1	10	

Gregory Cook	EEE6430 3.08	12
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 3.0	2
Luke Seed	EEE6036 3.0	9
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 3.0	5
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 2.97	29
Gregory Cook	EEE443 2.8	5
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 2.75	8
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 2.5	2
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 1.5	2
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 1.0	1
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q13. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 4.	4	5
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 4.4	4	5
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 4.	33	6
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 4.	33	6
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 4.	17	12
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 4.	15	13
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 4.	1	42
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 4.0	0	2
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 4.0	0	2
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 4.0	0	17
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 4.0	0	1
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 3.9	94	17
David Stone	EEE6023 3.9	9	10
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 3.	88	8
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 3.	81	16
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 3.	81	27
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 3.	8	5
Luke Seed	EEE6036 3.	78	9
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3.	75	12
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 3.0	63	8
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 3.:	5	8

Wei Liu	EEE6033 3.45	11
Gregory Cook	EEE443 3.4	5
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0

Q14. How would you assess the level of assistance from postgraduates and research staff? (1 - Not applicable, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE416 0	0	
Richard Tozer	EEE6004 0	0	
Gregory Cook	EEE6430 0	0	
Jie Zhang	EEE6432 0	0	
Geraint Jewell	EEE409 0	0	
Gregory Cook	EEE443 0	0	
Alan Tennant	EEE6012 0	0	
Thomas Walther	EEE6037 0	0	
Timothy O'Farrell	EEE6431 0	0	
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE414 0	0	
Mark Hopkinson, Tao Wang	EEE6040 0	0	
Kenneth Ford, Ian Ross, Kristian Groom	EEE6009 0	0	
Kristian Groom	EEE6480 0	0	
Charith Abhayaratne	EEE6081 0	0	
Gavin Williams, Gregory Cook	EEE6084 0	0	
Mark Hopkinson, Gavin Williams, Luke Seed	EEE6393 0	0	
Zi-Qiang Zhu	EEE6140 0	0	
Thomas Walther	EEE6505 0	0	
Charith Abhayaratne, Ling Shao, Peter Rockett	EEE6085 0	0	
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 0	0	
Luke Seed	EEE6036 0	0	
Geraint Jewell	EEE6120 0	0	
Kristian Groom	EEE6395 0	0	
Mohammed Benaissa	EEE6410 0	0	
David Stone	EEE6023 0	0	
Wei Liu	EEE6033 0	0	
Ling Shao	EEE6086 0	0	