

Examination Feedback for EEE202– Electromechanical Energy Conversion
Spring Semester 2011-12

Feedback for EEE202 Session: 2011-2012

Feedback: Please write simple statements about how well students addressed the exam paper in general and each individual question in particular including common problems/mistakes and areas of concern in the boxes provided below. Increase row height if necessary.

General Comments:

The paper seemed to be one where the majority of people taking it either could do a question very well, or couldn't attempt it at all. The questions were all based on similar ones from past papers, so the style hadn't changed, I suspect it was a case that students had attempted to question spot, and in one case got it wrong.

Question 1:

Very good answers in general; if students had done past papers, then this was an easy question. This even ties in easily to an example given in lectures.

Question 2:

The first part is a proof straight out of notes, that few people had learned. The rest of the question is reasonably straight forward, but I think people have assumed this wouldn't come up and generally ignored it.

Question 3:

This question is similar to past papers, and there were some very good answers to this. Once again, if the students had worked through the notes, this was easy

Question 4:

This question was based on extra notes handed out in a lecture at the end of the course. There were only about 50 students out of the cohort of 126 students at this lecture. Given the low attendance few people attempted the very easy question.