Survey: EEE Student Feedback Spring 2011/2012

PROGRAMME SUMMARY - FREE TEXT ANSWERS - MSC

Spring 2011 / 2012

Please give a suggestion on how the programme could be improved.

Responses:

- * By making the projects more specific to communication systems.
- * More lecture note could be better.
- * gives a long period for each course looks better
- * Too much courseworks, I have no time to review the handouts. Please reduce the number of courses.
- * Part of lectures are boring
- * more practice
- * Several courses at not difficult enough, e.g. EEE6009 the labview is rather simple, and I wouldn't count the electronic microscopy as a hand-on experience, cause we did touch it, but 10mins? that's not enough isn't it?
- * The time is so limit, maybe the examination can be finished in May then do project
- * give more tutorial time
- * The project work should be completely separated from the study period during the semester, students should not be worried about completing there interim report or giving presentation to second marker during there studies or exams are going on.
- * make it more related to the major
- * More information on ppt slices than oral thing
- * Giving some tutorials and practical sessions will do some improvement.
- * As assignments were given, most of them were MATLAB based. Matlab tutorial sessions would be useful for students who do not know MATLAB at all. Assignments should be given during EASTER vacations as well, so that more time would be available for studying for the exams.

Please nominate a member of staff who you feel has been particularly helpful for your learning this semester for a learning and teaching award. This could be a lecturer, a lab technician or any member of staff.

Responses:

- * Dr. Benaissa
- * Prof. Jie Zhang, who is my supervisor. Helpful to me.
- * Professor zhu ziqiang
- * Professor Jiabin Wang
- * Jonathan Rejelsford
- * Mr James Green, who helped a lot on my design project.
- * Liu wei
- * Dr. Luke Seed
- * Professor Zi-Qiang Zhu
- * All of them are good
- * Dr Kais Atallah
- * Kristian Groom
- * the lecturer
- * Dr. Charith Abhayaratne.
- * Dr Charith Abhayaratne
- * Dr. Kenneth Kennedy and Dr. Kristian Groom
- * Dr Kris Groom
- * Dr Alan Tennant

Please comment on what was good about the programme.

Responses:

- * the arrangement of modules were good and usefull.
- * the entire program was good
- * Quite new to me. Even though I got time pressure from these modules.
- * Teachers are quute nice.
- * Good lectures.
- * wide choice of modules, very good lecturers and staff
- * Some courses finished until two days befored the exam, feel tired to prepare.
- * All teachers have a good sence of responsibility

- * Very useful lectures for the further work
- * good arrangement
- * The work load was much less compared with the first semester but there was addition of project interim report
- * EEE6009 Experiments and go to the lab during the lecture.
- * good lecturor
- * much knowledge given
- * Good lectures and really interesting modules.
- * Core modules were well planned and give sufficient knowledge .
- * This semester was better than the 1st because it was lesst hectic this time. We had 3 modules with written examinations and 1 practical based module. Thus we had to attend 6 lectures only per week !
- * Antennas, radar and navigation module was particularly good this semester. The inclusion of assignments in two the subjects was a good move.