

EEE Feedback - Autumn 2011

Level: 1

Q1. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	4.06	78
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.94	62
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	3.63	60
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.61	36
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q2. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	4.1	63
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.95	78
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.76	37
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	3.74	61
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q3. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	4.22	77
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	3.92	61
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.87	63
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.32	37
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q4. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	4.13	60
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	4.04	77
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.65	62

Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.57	37
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q5. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.82	78
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.4	63
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.33	36
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	3.25	61
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q6. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.45	78
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.27	63
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.14	37
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	2.95	62
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q7. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	3.67	61
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.48	63
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.14	77
Gavin Williams	EEE163	2.95	37
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q8. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.41	78
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.24	37

Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117 3.13	63
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118 3.02	62
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123 0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140 0	0

Q9. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	3.28	61
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	3.1	62
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.05	78
Gavin Williams	EEE163	2.95	37
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Q10. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Neil Powell, Martin Foster	EEE119	3.35	78
Gavin Williams	EEE163	3.08	37
Richard Tozer, Geraint Jewell	EEE117	2.94	63
Peter Houston, Mark Hopkinson	EEE118	2.74	62
John Mitchell, Merlyne De Souza	EEE123	0	0
John Mitchell	EEE140	0	0

Level: 2

Q1. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Richard Tozer	EEE204	4.0	52
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.67	51
Peter Judd	EEE221	3.52	48
Chee Tan	EEE201	2.91	53
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q2. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Richard Tozer	EEE204	4.08	52

Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.58	50
Peter Judd	EEE221	3.48	48
Chee Tan	EEE201	3.06	53
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q3. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Richard Tozer	EEE204	3.81	53
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.61	51
Peter Judd	EEE221	3.17	47
Chee Tan	EEE201	3.11	53
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q4. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Chee Tan	EEE201	4.13	54
Richard Tozer	EEE204	3.75	53
Peter Judd	EEE221	3.26	47
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.1	51
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q5. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Richard Tozer	EEE204	3.64	53
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.57	51
Peter Judd	EEE221	3.31	48
Chee Tan	EEE201	2.96	52
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q6. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Richard Tozer	EEE204	3.13	54
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.12	52
Peter Judd	EEE221	2.69	48
Chee Tan	EEE201	2.58	55

John Mitchell EEE218 0 0

Q7. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Chee Tan	EEE201	4.1	52
Richard Tozer	EEE204	3.68	53
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.14	51
Peter Judd	EEE221	2.54	48
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q8. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.08	52
Richard Tozer	EEE204	2.91	54
Peter Judd	EEE221	2.85	48
Chee Tan	EEE201	2.67	55
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q9. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Chee Tan	EEE201	3.36	53
Richard Tozer	EEE204	3.23	53
Alan Tennant	EEE220	3.08	51
Peter Judd	EEE221	3.06	48
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Q10. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Alan Tennant	EEE220	2.87	52
Richard Tozer	EEE204	2.85	54
Peter Judd	EEE221	2.83	48
Chee Tan	EEE201	2.53	55
John Mitchell	EEE218	0	0

Level: 3

Q1. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	4.17	35
John Mitchell	EEE341	4.06	33
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.69	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	3.41	32

Q2. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE341	4.06	35
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	4.03	34
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.74	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	3.46	35

Q3. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE341	4.29	35
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	4.09	35
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.49	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	3.37	35

Q4. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	4.26	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	4.14	36
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.69	35
John Mitchell	EEE341	3.4	35

Q5. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE341	3.77	35
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	3.71	35
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.44	34
Neil Powell	EEE343	3.14	36

Q6. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	3.31	35
John Mitchell	EEE341	3.29	35
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.03	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	2.83	36

Q7. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Neil Powell	EEE343	3.92	36
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	3.8	35
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.74	35
John Mitchell	EEE341	3.26	35

Q8. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE341	3.11	35
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	3.06	35
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.03	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	2.94	36

Q9. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE341	3.4	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	3.19	36
Martin Foster	EEE340	3.14	35
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	3.14	35

Q10. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
John Mitchell	EEE341	3.09	35
Robin Purshouse	EEE342	3.06	35
Martin Foster	EEE340	2.94	35
Neil Powell	EEE343	2.75	36

Level: 4

Q1. Quality of explanation? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Gregory Cook	EEE406	5.0	1
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	4.8	5
Luke Seed	EEE411	4.75	4
Luke Seed	EEE6031	4.5	4
Peter Rockett	EEE412	4.25	4
Richard Langley	EEE6420	4.0	14
Ling Shao	EEE6082	4.0	24
Ling Shao	EEE6086	3.96	26
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.92	26
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	3.85	27
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.83	23
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.8	20
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021	3.77	22
Kenneth Ford	EEE401	3.75	8
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.69	13
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010	3.68	22
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	3.5	6
Richard Langley	EEE442	3.5	2
Ling Shao	EEE422	3.5	4
Wei Liu	EEE6400	3.48	48
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042	3.46	13
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.44	25
Gregory Cook	EEE6011	3.33	12
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440	3.31	16
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	3.0	3
Tao Wang	EEE417	2.8	5
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	2.75	4
Salam Khamas	EEE403	2.71	7

Q2. Quality of presentation (e.g. volume, diction, legibility of writing etc.)? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Gregory Cook	EEE406	5.0	1

Luke Seed	EEE411	4.75	4
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	4.6	5
Kenneth Ford	EEE401	4.38	8
Peter Rockett	EEE412	4.25	4
Luke Seed	EEE6031	4.25	4
Richard Langley	EEE6420	4.21	14
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010	4.1	21
Ling Shao	EEE6086	4.04	27
Richard Langley	EEE442	4.0	2
Ling Shao	EEE6082	3.92	25
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.87	23
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.85	26
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021	3.82	22
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	3.82	28
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.77	13
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042	3.77	13
Wei Liu	EEE6400	3.63	48
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.55	20
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	3.5	4
Gregory Cook	EEE6011	3.42	12
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.38	24
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	3.33	3
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	3.33	6
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440	3.27	15
Ling Shao	EEE422	3.0	4
Salam Khamas	EEE403	3.0	7
Tao Wang	EEE417	2.4	5

Q3. Quality of tutorial sheets and answers? (1 - Very poor, 2 - Poor, 3 - Ok, 4 - Good, 5 - Very good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Luke Seed	EEE6031	4.5	4
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	4.4	5
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010	4.09	22
Gregory Cook	EEE406	4.0	1
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.96	27
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.9	20
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	3.89	28
Wei Liu	EEE6400	3.89	47

Ling Shao	EEE6086	3.89	27
Richard Langley	EEE6420	3.86	14
Tao Wang	EEE417	3.8	5
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.7	23
Ling Shao	EEE6082	3.68	25
Luke Seed	EEE411	3.67	3
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021	3.64	22
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.62	13
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.54	24
Richard Langley	EEE442	3.5	2
Kenneth Ford	EEE401	3.5	8
Salam Khamas	EEE403	3.43	7
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042	3.38	13
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	3.33	3
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	3.25	4
Gregory Cook	EEE6011	3.17	12
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	3.17	6
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440	3.13	16
Ling Shao	EEE422	2.75	4
Peter Rockett	EEE412	2.67	3

Q4. How much of the material (factual, conceptual, technical) was new to you? (1 - Little, 2 - Some, 3 - About Half, 4 - Most, 5 - Nearly All)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Luke Seed	EEE6031	4.25	4
Ling Shao	EEE6086	4.22	27
Ling Shao	EEE6082	4.2	25
Salam Khamas	EEE403	4.14	7
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	4.07	28
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	4.07	27
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	4.0	3
Gregory Cook	EEE406	4.0	1
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.92	13
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.85	20
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	3.8	5
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	3.75	4
Luke Seed	EEE411	3.75	4
Peter Rockett	EEE412	3.75	4
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.74	23

Richard Langley	EEE6420 3.71	14
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010 3.68	22
Kenneth Ford	EEE401 3.63	8
Wei Liu	EEE6400 3.56	48
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440 3.56	16
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021 3.55	22
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3.52	25
Ling Shao	EEE422 3.5	4
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042 3.5	12
Richard Langley	EEE442 3.5	2
Tao Wang	EEE417 3.4	5
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407 3.33	6
Gregory Cook	EEE6011 3.25	12

Q5. Achievement of Aims (1 - Not achieved at all, 2 - Partly achieved, 3 - Adequately achieved, 4 - Almost completely achieved, 5 - Completely achieved)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Gregory Cook	EEE406	5.0	1
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	4.33	3
Luke Seed	EEE6031	4.25	4
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	4.2	5
Kenneth Ford	EEE401	4.0	8
Peter Rockett	EEE412	4.0	4
Luke Seed	EEE411	4.0	4
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	3.93	28
Ling Shao	EEE6082	3.92	25
Ling Shao	EEE6086	3.85	27
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021	3.82	22
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010	3.82	22
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	3.75	4
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.67	27
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.61	23
Tao Wang	EEE417	3.6	5
Wei Liu	EEE6400	3.57	47
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.54	24
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.5	20
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.46	13
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042	3.46	13

Richard Langley	EEE6420	3.31	13
Ling Shao	EEE422	3.25	4
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440	3.19	16
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	3.17	6
Salam Khamas	EEE403	3.14	7
Richard Langley	EEE442	3.0	2
Gregory Cook	EEE6011	2.91	11

Q6. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the module. (1 - Very Dissatisfied, 2 - Dissatisfied, 3 - Satisfied, 4 - Very Satisfied)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Gregory Cook	EEE406	4.0	1
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	3.6	5
Luke Seed	EEE6031	3.6	5
Luke Seed	EEE411	3.5	4
Ling Shao	EEE6086	3.39	28
Ling Shao	EEE6082	3.37	27
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	3.33	30
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.29	24
Richard Langley	EEE6420	3.29	14
Peter Rockett	EEE412	3.25	4
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.2	20
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.15	27
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010	3.14	22
Kenneth Ford	EEE401	3.13	8
Wei Liu	EEE6400	3.13	52
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.07	14
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021	3.04	23
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.0	26
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	3.0	3
Richard Langley	EEE442	3.0	2
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042	2.85	13
Gregory Cook	EEE6011	2.83	12
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440	2.82	17
Ling Shao	EEE422	2.75	4
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	2.67	6
Salam Khamas	EEE403	2.57	7
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	2.5	4
Tao Wang	EEE417	2.4	5

Q7. Assess the difficulty of the material overall (1 - Easy, 2 - Fairly Easy, 3 - About Right, 4 - Difficult, 5 - Very Difficult)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Luke Seed	EEE6031	4.0	4
Gregory Cook	EEE406	4.0	1
Salam Khamas	EEE403	3.86	7
Gregory Cook	EEE6011	3.83	12
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.8	20
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440	3.75	16
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.72	25
Ling Shao	EEE422	3.67	3
Tao Wang	EEE417	3.6	5
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	3.5	2
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	3.5	4
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	3.5	28
Ling Shao	EEE6086	3.48	27
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.48	27
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.48	23
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.46	13
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021	3.36	22
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	3.33	6
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010	3.32	22
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042	3.31	13
Ling Shao	EEE6082	3.28	25
Luke Seed	EEE411	3.25	4
Richard Langley	EEE6420	3.21	14
Wei Liu	EEE6400	3.19	48
Richard Langley	EEE442	3.0	2
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	2.8	5
Peter Rockett	EEE412	2.75	4
Kenneth Ford	EEE401	2.75	8

Q8. Were you happy with the assessment arrangements for the module? (1 - Very Unhappy, 2 - Unhappy, 3 - Happy, 4 - Very Happy)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Gregory Cook	EEE406	4.0	1
Luke Seed	EEE6031	3.6	5
Kais Atallah	EEE6353	3.4	5

Ling Shao	EEE6086	3.39	28
Ling Shao	EEE6082	3.33	27
Kenneth Ford	EEE401	3.25	8
Tao Wang	EEE6041	3.21	24
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491	3.15	26
Salam Khamas	EEE6035	3.13	30
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021	3.09	23
Richard Langley	EEE6420	3.07	14
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010	3.05	22
Wei Liu	EEE6400	3.04	52
Peter Rockett	EEE6032	3.0	14
Salam Khamas	EEE403	3.0	7
Luke Seed	EEE411	3.0	4
Richard Langley	EEE442	3.0	2
Peter Rockett	EEE412	3.0	4
Ling Shao	EEE422	3.0	4
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.0	27
Tao Wang	EEE417	3.0	5
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	2.95	20
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042	2.92	13
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440	2.71	17
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	2.67	3
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	2.67	6
Gregory Cook	EEE6011	2.58	12
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	2.5	4

Q9. Assess the amount of material presented (1 - Far too little, 2 - Too little, 3 - About right, 4 - Too much, 5 - Far too much)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402	4.0	3
Tao Wang	EEE417	3.6	5
Jiabin Wang	EEE408	3.5	4
Luke Seed	EEE411	3.5	4
Richard Langley	EEE6420	3.38	13
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407	3.33	6
Kristian Groom	EEE6008	3.3	27
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022	3.3	20
Luke Seed	EEE6031	3.25	4
Peter Rockett	EEE412	3.25	4

Tao Wang	EEE6041 3.22	23
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440 3.19	16
Ling Shao	EEE6086 3.19	27
Salam Khamas	EEE6035 3.18	28
Gregory Cook	EEE6011 3.17	12
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042 3.15	13
Wei Liu	EEE6400 3.15	48
Peter Rockett	EEE6032 3.15	13
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010 3.14	22
Ling Shao	EEE6082 3.12	25
Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021 3.05	22
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3.0	25
Kenneth Ford	EEE401 3.0	8
Richard Langley	EEE442 3.0	2
Kais Atallah	EEE6353 3.0	5
Ling Shao	EEE422 3.0	4
Gregory Cook	EEE406 3.0	1
Salam Khamas	EEE403 2.86	7

Q10. How would you rate the feedback on your progress that you have received for this module? (1 - Very Bad, 2 - Bad, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good)

Lecturer	Code	Average Score	Number of responses
Kais Atallah	EEE6353 3.4	5	
Ling Shao	EEE6086 3.32	28	
Salam Khamas	EEE6035 3.27	30	
Tao Wang	EEE6041 3.25	24	
Luke Seed	EEE6031 3.2	5	
Ling Shao	EEE6082 3.19	27	
Richard Langley	EEE6420 3.14	14	
Kenneth Ford	EEE6010 3.14	22	
Luke Seed, Mohammed Benaissa, Neil Powell	EEE6491 3.12	26	
Kristian Groom	EEE6008 3.11	27	
Wei Liu	EEE6400 3.1	52	
Peter Rockett	EEE6032 3.0	14	
Jiabin Wang	EEE6022 3.0	20	
Luke Seed	EEE411 3.0	4	
Gregory Cook	EEE406 3.0	1	
Kenneth Ford	EEE401 3.0	8	

Kais Atallah, Jiabin Wang	EEE6021 2.96	23
Gregory Cook	EEE6011 2.92	12
Merlyne De Souza	EEE6042 2.85	13
Charith Abhayaratne, Wei Liu	EEE6440 2.76	17
Peter Rockett	EEE412 2.75	4
Merlyne De Souza	EEE402 2.67	3
Jiabin Wang, Kais Atallah	EEE407 2.67	6
Jiabin Wang	EEE408 2.5	4
Richard Langley	EEE442 2.5	2
Ling Shao	EEE422 2.5	4
Salam Khamas	EEE403 2.43	7
Tao Wang	EEE417 2.2	5

© 2012 [epiGenesys](#)
[Users](#) | [Staff](#)