
Survey: EEE Feedback Spring 2012 - 186 / 466 responded (40%)

Programme: EEEU99 Foundation Year (Elec Eng) - 4 / 19 responded (21%)

Text	Response Type	Response Mandatory?	Responses
"Please give a suggestion on how the programme could be improved."	Free Text	No	3
"Please nominate a member of staff who you feel has been particularly helpful for your learning this semester for a learning and teaching award. This could be a lecturer, a lab technician or any member of staff."	Free Text	No	4
"Please rate your overall satisfaction with the programme during the semester."	Rating	Yes	Score: 12 / 16 (75%)
"Please comment on what was good about the programme."	Free Text	No	4

Please give a suggestion on how the programme could be improved

Responses:

- * Consider chemistry not being compulsory for students that do not need it.
- * More past paper revision questions.
- * difficulty of semesters more even. Semester 2 was too much more hard than semester 1.

Please nominate a member of staff who you feel has been particularly helpful for your learning this semester for a learning and teaching award. This could be a lecturer, a lab technician or any member of staff.

Responses:

- * Actually all lecturers were very good at teaching and delivering the correct information about the criteria needed overall was all good
- * Fionntan Roukema
- * Sam Marsh

* Fionntan Roukema

Please comment on what was good about the programme.

Responses:

- * It is very good and intensive programme and I had gained lot's of things it was good opportunity to see my ability In physics and Maths also in chemistry so this course gave me great idea how engineering looks like and how to prepare for being engineer
- * Brilliant Math Lecturer's
- * Overall structure of the course is very thorough and modules go together well. Revision was easy as the topics are clear and well defined.
- * Mas001 lecturer very good.