Theory of Discounted Markov Decision Processes

Mohammad Sadegh Talebi
m.shahi@di.ku.dk
Department of Computer Science

Markov Decision Process

A finite Markov Decision Process (MDP) is a tuple $M = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, P, R)$:

- State-space $\mathcal{S}$ (with size S)
- Action-space $\mathcal{A}$ (with size A)
- Transition function P: Selecting $a \in \mathcal{A}$ in $s \in \mathcal{S}$ leads to a transition to s' with probability $P(s'|s,a)$. $P(\cdot|s,a)$ is a probability distribution over $\mathcal{S}$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P(s'|s,a) = 1$$

- Reward function R: Selecting $a \in \mathcal{A}$ in $s \in \mathcal{S}$ yields a reward $r \sim R(s,a)$.

- The action-space may generally be state-dependent; we use $\mathcal{A}_s$ to denote the set of actions available in state s.
- In general, $\mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{A}$ could be finite, countably infinite, or continuous.

Recap: Interaction with MDP

An **agent** interacts with the MDP for N rounds.

At each time step t:

- The agent observes the current state s_t and takes an action $a_t \in \mathcal{A}$
- The environment (MDP) decides a reward $r_t := r(s_t, a_t) \sim R(s_t, a_t)$ and a next state $s_{t+1} \sim P(\cdot|s_t, a_t)$
- The agent receives r_t (any time in step t before start of $t+1$)



This interaction produces a trajectory (or history)

$$h_t = (s_1, a_1, r_1, s_2, a_2, r_2, \ldots, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, r_{t-1}, s_t)$$

Objective Function

Infinite-Horizon Discounted MDPs: $N = \infty$, and the goal is to maximize the total expected sum of discounted rewards

$$\max_{\text{all strategies}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(s_t, a_t)\Big]$$

Two views on discounting with a discount factor $\gamma \in [0, 1)$:

- Earlier rewards are more important. A unit reward at present will worth γ in the next slot.
- Problems with random horizon N and absorbing states

Reward Function: Some Comments

- **Bounded Rewards Assumption**: We assume

$$R_{\max} := \sup_{s,a} \left| \mathbb{E}_{r \sim R(s,a)}[r] \right| < \infty$$

- For simplicity, we assume *deterministic rewards*
  - Hence, $r \sim R(s,a)$ means $r = R(s,a)$.
  - Hence, we may use $r(s,a)$ and $R(s,a)$ interchangeably, but tend to keep $r(s,a)$ for generality.
  - The results in this lecture will hold for stochastic rewards under mild assumptions (and often by replacing $R(s,a)$ or $r(s,a)$ with its mean).

This lecture: We consider deterministic and bounded rewards.

Value Function

Recap: Policy

When interacting with an MDP, actions are taken according to some **policy**:

	deterministic	randomized
stationary	$\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$, Π^{SD}	$\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, Π^{SR}
history-dependent	$\pi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{A}$, Π^{HD}	$\pi : \mathcal{H} \to \Delta(\mathcal{A})$, Π^{HR}

- $\Delta(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the simplex of probability distributions over $\mathcal{A}$.
- $\mathcal{H}$ the set of all possible histories (trajectories).

For $\pi \in \Pi^{\mathsf{SR}}$, we write $a \sim \pi(\cdot|s)$ or $a \sim \pi(s)$. Also, given $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{a\sim\pi(s)}[f(a)] = \sum\nolimits_{a\in\mathcal{A}} f(a)\pi(a|s)$$

Value Function

The value function of policy π (or simply, value of π) is a mapping $V^\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$V^\pi(s) := \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(s_t, a_t) \middle| s_1 = s \right].$$

where $\mathbb{E}^\pi$ indicates expectation over trajectories generated by π.

- Intuitively, $V^\pi(s)$ measures the sum of future discounted rewards (in expectation) when the agent starts in s and follows π.
- A rough upper bound:

$$|V^\pi(s)| \leq \frac{R_{\max}}{1-\gamma}, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

Value Function

The value function of policy π (or simply, value of π) is a mapping $V^\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$V^\pi(s) := \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(s_t, a_t) \middle| s_1 = s \right].$$

where $\mathbb{E}^\pi$ indicates expectation over trajectories generated by π.

Example: Value of $\pi =$ 'always left'?



Value Function

The value function of policy π (or simply, value of π) is a mapping $V^\pi : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$V^\pi(s) := \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(s_t, a_t) \middle| s_1 = s \right].$$

where $\mathbb{E}^\pi$ indicates expectation over trajectories generated by π.

We may be interested in $V^\pi(s_{\text{init}})$.

Example: 4-room Grid-World

- s_{init} is .
- Terminal state .
- Our interest is to compute/estimate $V^\pi($ $)$



Action-Value Function

The action-value function of policy π (or simply, Q-value of π) is a mapping $Q^\pi : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as (Under the bounded reward assumption)

$$Q^\pi(s,a) := \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(s_t, a_t) \middle| s_1 = s, a_1 = a \right].$$

- Intuitively, $Q^\pi(s,a)$ measures the sum of future discounted rewards (in expectation) when the agent starts in s and takes action a in the first step (possibly $a \neq \pi(s)$), and then follows π afterwards.
- A rough upper bound:

$$|Q^\pi(s,a)| \leq \frac{R_{\max}}{1-\gamma}, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$$

- For all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $Q^\pi(s, \pi(s)) = V^\pi(s)$.

Policy Evaluation

Recap: Induced Markov Chains

- Every $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SR}}$ induces a Markov chain on M, with transition probability matrix P^π given by:

$$P^\pi_{s,s'} = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} P(s'|s,a)\pi(a|s)\,, \quad s, s' \in \mathcal{S}.$$

- Every $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SR}}$ induces a reward vector $r^\pi \in \mathbb{R}^S$ on M defined by:

$$r^\pi(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} R(s,a)\pi(a|s)\,, \quad s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

- If $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SD}}$, then $P^\pi_{s,s'} = P(s'|s,\pi(s))$ and $r^\pi(s) = R(s,\pi(s))$.

Every policy $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SR}}$ induces a **Markov Reward Process (MRP)** on M, specified by r^π and P^π.

Bellman Equation for π

Theorem (Bellman Equation for π)

Let $\pi \in \Pi^{SR}$. For all $s \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_{a\sim\pi(s)}[r(s,a)] + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{a\sim\pi(s)}\Big[\sum\nolimits_{x\in\mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a)V^\pi(x)\Big]$$
$$= \sum\nolimits_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) r(s,a) + \gamma \sum\nolimits_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) \sum\nolimits_{x\in\mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a)V^\pi(x)$$

Equivalently, $V^\pi = r^\pi + \gamma P^\pi V^\pi$.

- These relations are called the Bellman equation.
- The theorem tells us that for $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SR}}$, V^π satisfies the Bellman equation.
- For a deterministic policy $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SD}}$, the Bellman equation becomes:

$$V^\pi(s) = r(s,\pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{x\in\mathcal{S}} P(x|s,\pi(s))V^\pi(x)\,, \quad s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Bellman Operator for π

The Bellman operator associated to $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SR}}$ is a mapping $\mathcal{T}^\pi : \mathbb{R}^S \to \mathbb{R}^S$, such that for any function $f : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{T}^\pi f := r^\pi + \gamma P^\pi f \,.$$

- Intuitively, $\mathcal{T}^\pi$ is the value of π for the same one-stage problem.
- $\mathcal{T}^\pi$ applies to (or *operates on*) a function defined on $\mathcal{S}$ and returns another function defined on $\mathcal{S}$.
- The Bellman equation $V^\pi = r^\pi + \gamma P^\pi V^\pi$ reads

$$V^\pi = \mathcal{T}^\pi V^\pi$$

In other words, V^π is the *unique* fixed-point of the operator $\mathcal{T}^\pi$.

Bellman Equation for π

We prove the theorem for $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SD}}$. (See Lecture Notes for $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SR}}$.)

Proof. Let $\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SD}}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E}^\pi\Big[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \Big| s_1 = s\Big] \\
&= r(s, \pi(s)) + \mathbb{E}^\pi\Big[\sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-1} r(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \Big| s_1 = s\Big] \\
&= r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}(s_2 = x | s_1 = s, a_1 = \pi(s_1)) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^\pi\Big[\sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-2} r(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \Big| s_2 = x\Big]}_{= V^\pi(x)} \\
&= r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}(s_2 = x | s_1 = s, a_1 = \pi(s_1)) V^\pi(x) \\
&= r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x | s, \pi(s)) V^\pi(x)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Policy Evaluation

Policy Evaluation: Computing V^π for a given π

- **Direct Computation:** Using Bellman equation,

$$V^\pi = r^\pi + \gamma P^\pi V^\pi \quad \xrightarrow{I-\gamma P^\pi \text{ is invertible}} \quad V^\pi = (I - \gamma P^\pi)^{-1} r^\pi$$

- **Iterative Policy Evaluation:** Using $V^\pi = \mathcal{T}^\pi V^\pi$, the sequence

$$V_{n+1} = \mathcal{T}^\pi V_n = \underbrace{\mathcal{T}^\pi \cdots \mathcal{T}^\pi}_{n+1 \text{ times}} V_0$$

  converges to V^π starting from any V_0.

- **Monte-Carlo Method:** Generate a number of trajectories of π and use the sample mean as an estimator to V^π.

So far:

- We defined policies and the value function.
- We characterized the value of stationary policies (via Bellman equations and operator).
- We developed ways to compute the value of a *fixed* stationary policy.

How to find an optimal strategy/policy? Alternatively, how to find policies with good values?

Optimization in Discounted MDPs: Optimal Policy and Value

Optimal Value and Policy

Solving a discounted MDP M amounts to solving the following optimization problem:

$$V^\star(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}}} V^\pi(s), \qquad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}.$$

(i) $V^\star : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called the optimal value function.

(ii) If there exists $\pi^\star$ such that $V^{\pi^\star}(s) = V^\star(s)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\pi^\star$ is called an optimal policy.

(iii) π is ε-optimal for $\varepsilon > 0$ if

$$V^\pi(s) \geq V^\star(s) - \varepsilon, \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

Bellman Optimality Equation

Theorem

$V^\star$ satisfies the optimal Bellman equation:

$$V^\star(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a) V^\star(x) \right), \quad s \in \mathcal{S}$$

The optimal Bellman operator is a mapping $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^S \to \mathbb{R}^S$, such that for any function $f : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mathcal{T} f)(s) := \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a) f(x) \right), \quad s \in \mathcal{S}$$

- $V^\star$ satisfies $\mathcal{T} V^\star = V^\star$.
- We can define $\mathcal{T}$ and optimal Bellman equation for the optimal Q function (next lecture).

Optimality Theorems

Theorem

Suppose the state space $\mathcal{S}$ is finite. Then there exists a policy $\pi^\star \in \Pi^{SD}$.

- Thus, when seeking $\pi^\star$ in a discounted MDP with a finite $\mathcal{S}$, we can restrict our attention to Π^{SD}.
- In other words, for finite $\mathcal{S}$,

$$\sup_{\pi \in \Pi^{\text{HR}}} V^\pi = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SD}}} V^\pi = \max_{\pi \in \Pi^{\text{SD}}} V^\pi$$

Optimality Theorems

A fundamental result in the theory of discounted MDPs:

Theorem

A stationary deterministic policy π is optimal if and only if

$$\mathcal{T}^{\pi} V^{\star} = \mathcal{T} V^{\star}$$

Equivalently, π is optimal if and only if it attains the maximum in the Bellman optimality equations: For all $s \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\pi(s) \in \operatorname*{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a) V^{\star}(x) \right).$$

So far:

- We defined policies and the value function.
- We characterized the value of stationary policies (via Bellman equations and operator).
- We developed ways to compute the value of a *fixed* stationary policy.
- We defined the notion of optimality and showed that there exists $\pi^\star \in \Pi^{\text{SD}}$ when $\mathcal{S}$ is finite.
- We characterized the optimal value function $V^\star$ (via optimal Bellman equation).

How to actually compute $\pi^\star$?

Algorithms for Solving Discounted MDPs

Major Solution Methods

Three major classes of algorithms for solving discounted MDPs:

- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Linear Programming

Value Iteration

Value Iteration (`VI`)

- The most well-known, and perhaps the simplest, algorithm for solving discounted MDPs
- Around since the early days of MDPs
- Also known as successive approximation, backward induction, etc.

Idea: The optimal Bellman operator $\mathcal{T}$ is *contracting*. Iterate $\mathcal{T}$ until convergence:

$$V_{n+1} = \mathcal{T} V_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Indeed, `VI` is an algorithm for approximating the fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$.

Value Iteration (VI)

input: ε

- **initialization:** Select $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}^S$ arbitrarily. Set $n = 0$.
- **repeat:**

  (ii) $n = n + 1$.

  (i) Update, for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$V_n(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a) V_n(x) \right)$$

until $\left(\|V_n - V_{n-1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon(1-\gamma)}{2\gamma} \right)$

output:

$$\pi^{\texttt{VI}}(s) \in \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a) V_n(x) \right), \quad s \in \mathcal{S}$$

Why VI works?

Why does VI work?

$\Longrightarrow$ Because of contraction properties of Bellman operators.

Contraction Mapping

An operator (or mapping) $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *κ-contraction mapping* (with respect to $\|\cdot\|$) if there exists $\kappa \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\mathcal{L}v - \mathcal{L}v'\| \leq \kappa \|v - v'\| .$$

Theorem (Banach Fixed-Point Theorem)

Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is a contraction mapping. Then

(i) *there exists a unique $v^\star \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{L}v^\star = v^\star$;*

(ii) *for any $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the sequence $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $v_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}v_n = \mathcal{L}^{n+1}v_0$ for $n \geq 0$ converges to $v^\star$.*

$\mathcal{T}^\pi$ and $\mathcal{T}$ Are Contraction Mapping

Lemma

For any $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^S$, and any π,

$$\|\mathcal{T}^\pi v - \mathcal{T}^\pi v'\|_\infty \leq \gamma \|v - v'\|_\infty ,$$
$$\|\mathcal{T} v - \mathcal{T} v'\|_\infty \leq \gamma \|v - v'\|_\infty .$$

Hence, $\mathcal{T}^\pi$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are γ-contraction mappings w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Proof. First statement is easy to prove. For the second, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{T} v - \mathcal{T} v'\|_\infty \\
&\quad = \max_s \left| \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_j P(j|s,a) v(j) \right) - \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_j P(j|s,a) v'(j) \right) \right| \\
&\quad \leq \max_s \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \gamma \sum_j P(j|s,a)(v(j) - v'(j)) \right| \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(Using inequality } |\max_x f(x) - \max_x g(x)| \leq \max_x |f(x) - g(x)|) \\
&\quad \leq \gamma \max_s \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \max_j |v(j) - v'(j)| \sum_j P(j|s,a) = \gamma \|v - v'\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

VI: Convergence

VI is a *globally convergent* method for finding an ε-optimal policy. Formally:

Theorem

Let $(V_n)_{n\geq 0}$ a sequence of value functions generated by VI with some $\varepsilon > 0$ starting from an arbitrary initial point $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}^S$. Then,

(i) *V_n converges to $V^\star$ in norm;*

(ii) *the algorithm stops after finitely many iterations;*

(iii) *π^{VI} is ε-optimal;*

(iv) *when convergence criterion is satisfied, $\|V_{n+1} - V^\star\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2$.*

- Each iteration of VI involves $O(S^2A)$ arithmetic calculations.
- The iteration complexity of VI depends on both ε and γ. The larger the γ, the more iteration until the algorithm finds an ε-optimal policy.

Policy Iteration

Policy Iteration (`PI`)

- A popular algorithm for solving discounted MDPs
- Around since early days of MDPs
- Like `VI`, it is an iterative algorithm but directly searches in the space of policies.

Idea: Starting from an initial policy, at each iterate n,

(i) Find V^{π_n} (policy evaluation)

(ii) Improve π_n to π_{n+1} using V^{π_n} (policy improvement)

Policy Iteration (PI)

- **initialization:** Select π_0 and set $n = 0$
- **repeat**
  - (i) *Policy Evaluation:* Find V_n, the value of π_n by solving
$$(I - \gamma P^{\pi_n})V_n = r^{\pi_n}$$
  - (ii) *Policy Improvement:* Choose π_{n+1} such that
$$\pi_{n+1}(s) \in \operatorname*{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x|s,a) V_n(x) \right)$$
and if possible, set $\pi_{n+1} = \pi_n$.
  - (iii) $n = n + 1$.
- **until** $\left(\pi_{n+1} = \pi_n\right)$
- **output:** $\pi^{\texttt{PI}} = \pi_n$

PI: Convergence

Theorem

Suppose M has a finite state-action space. Then,

(i) *PI terminates in at most*

$$\min\left\{O\left(\frac{A^S}{S}\right), O\left(\frac{SA}{1-\gamma}\log\frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right)\right\} \qquad \textit{iterations;}$$

(ii) $\pi^{PI} = \pi^\star$.

- Under PI, $V_{n+1} \geq V_n$ for any n. Further, the number of policies is finite A^S.
- Each iteration in PI involves solving a linear system with S equations and S unknowns. Hence, per iteration complexity of PI is $O(S^3 + S^2A)$.
- In practice, PI converges within, at most, a few tens of iterations.

Reward Function: Some Comments

Two Reward Models:

- $R(s, a)$: Reward distribution in state s when executing action a
- $R(s, a, s')$: Reward distribution in state s when executing action a and the next state is s'

We consider the first model, but the two models are related:

$$R(s, a) = \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} R(s, a, s') P(s'|s, a)$$