## **IMPLEMENTATION**

- Here I have used Google colab to write and run the code
- Question 2 has 3 parts
- Image is taken from my mounted google drive rather than uploading directly to colab
- Pytorch library is used for all the deep learning applications

### 1<sup>st</sup> Part:

- For this part data\_loaders are created of batch size 1 as I am using it as a
  feature extractor and hence batch size does not matter here.
- Pre trained Resnet18 model is then initialised and last fc-layer is removed.
- Model is then brought to eval-mode (a very important step because there are Batch-Normalisation layers and this ensures they take static value during feature extraction).
- For train images the features are extracted using the above modified model and are stacked row-wise in a numpy array (x\_train), similarly labels are also stacked row wise in a numpy array (y train).
- Same above thing is repeated for test images and test dataset created.
- Now I have used the KNN of sklearn library with k value as 5(which is the default value) and trained it on training dataset created.
- Now it is evaluated for test dataset and the accuracy noted was 91.67%.

### 2<sup>nd</sup> Part:

- For this part I have taken batch size for train\_dataloader as 64(else it would be a SGD optimiser) and batch size 1 for test\_dataloader as during testing we do not update gradient.
- Pre trained Resnet18 model is then initialised and weights of all layers except last are freezed.
- The last layer output is changed to 6 instead of 1000 because there are 6 classes to classify.
- The following parameters are set for training the model:
  - 1. Optimiser Adam

- 2. Loss function Cross Entropy Loss
- 3. Number of epochs 50
- The number of epoch is chosen after less number epochs like 5 or 10 did not give good accuracy on both train and test data. I had trained for 20 epochs and for 50 epochs to see the difference and there was not so much difference in test accuracy. I have only included 50 epochs in my final code.

```
epoch 0 loss 14.903
  epoch 1 loss 4.711
  epoch 2 loss 2.085
   epoch 3 loss 1.101
   epoch 4 loss 0.688
   epoch 5 loss 0.483
   epoch 6 loss 0.349
   epoch 7 loss 0.279
   epoch 8 loss 0.217
   epoch 9 loss 0.176
   epoch 10 loss 0.156
   epoch 11 loss 0.125
   epoch 12 loss 0.105
   epoch 13 loss 0.095
   epoch 14 loss 0.083
   epoch 15 loss 0.067
   epoch 16 loss 0.063
   epoch 17 loss 0.057
   epoch 18 loss 0.057
   epoch 19 loss 0.049
```

Decreasing losses with each epoch(for 20 epoch case)

• Then after training model is brought back to evaluation mode and then evaluated on test images and the accuracy I got was 95% for 20 epochs and 96.67% accuracy for 50 epochs.

### 3<sup>rd</sup> part:

- Here I have used 1 flatten layer and 1 fully connected layer.
- Batch size of train\_dataloader is kept 64 and that of test dataloader is 1.
- I have also trained a comparatively complex model consisting of few convolution layers, max pooling layers etc but I have replaced the simple model consisting of only 2 layers in my final code because the term "simple" was mentioned in the question.

- The following parameters are set for training the model:
  - 1. Optimiser Adam
  - 2. Loss function Cross Entropy Loss
  - 3. Number of epochs 20
- In my complex model I was getting an accuracy of 41.67% and in my simple model an accuracy of 24.16%

```
[ ] class simple_model(nn.Module):
      def __init__(self):
        super(simple model,self). init ()
        self.conv1 = nn.Conv2d(3,64,kernel_size=5,padding =0)
        self.maxpool1 = nn.MaxPool2d(2,stride = 2)
        self.relu1 = nn.ReLU()
        self.conv2 = nn.Conv2d(64,128,kernel size=5,padding =1)
        self.maxpool2 = nn.MaxPool2d(2,stride = 2)
        self.relu2 = nn.ReLU()
        self.Avg = nn.AdaptiveAvgPool2d(output_size=(1,1))
        self.fc = nn.Linear(128,6)
      def forward(self,x):
        x=self.conv1(x)
        #print(x.shape)
        x=self.relu1(self.maxpool1(x))
        #print(x.shape)
        x=self.conv2(x)
        x=self.relu2(self.maxpool2(x))
        x=self.Avg(x)
        x=x.view(-1,128)
        x=self.fc(x)
        return(x)
```

**Complex Model** 

```
class simple_model(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self):
        super(simple_model,self).__init__()
        self.layer1 = nn.Flatten()
        self.layer2 = nn.Linear(150528,6)
    def forward(self,x):
        x=self.layer1(x)
        x=self.layer2(x)
        return(x)
```

### Simple Model

```
→ epoch 0 loss 462.625
   epoch 1 loss 154.181
   epoch 2 loss 72.376
   epoch 3 loss 43.484
   epoch 4 loss 22,141
   epoch 5 loss 13.067
   epoch 6 loss 6.856
   epoch 7 loss 6.536
   epoch 8 loss 7.613
   epoch 9 loss 6.072
   epoch 10 loss 4.256
   epoch 11 loss 2.541
   epoch 12 loss 1.955
   epoch 13 loss 1.087
   epoch 14 loss 1.591
   epoch 15 loss 0.830
   epoch 16 loss 1.038
   epoch 17 loss 0.697
   epoch 18 loss 1.065
   epoch 19 loss 1.123
```

Decreasing losses with epoch in simple model

### LEARNINGS AND PROBLEMS FACED

### Part 1:

The major problem I faced in part 1 is converting the feature vector that is in tensor form(because of pytorch) to numpy array to be used by KNN. Various

function like squeeze (to remove dimensions) and detach (to turn computing gradient false) were used to overcome this difficulty.

### Part2:

The main problem faced in this part is how to freeze the weights of other layers and not the last layer. It took a while for me to get that after freezing if I reinitialise a layer again then it unfreezes that layers weight and I used this to update weight of last layer. To freeze we have to switch off requires\_grad function of each parameter to be frozen.

### Part3:

The layers in pytorch usually takes an extra dimension in input that is of batch size. Hence when I was testing output for a single image I was getting error later I understood the mistake and added an extra dimension of one for a single image for testing. In train/test dataloader this is not required because the pytorch functions take care of this.

# **COMPARISIONS:**

The main difference between the 3 parts is the way pre-trained resnet18 model is handled.

In part 1 no changes are made to the resnet18 model except for deleting the last fc layer to make it work as a feature extractor. Here no training is done to this model instead KNN is used as classifier and thus KNN is trained.

In part 2 resnet18 is tuned or changed. The last layer is replaced by another layer and is trained, although weights of previous layers are kept fixed (one may not fix it) but here the last layer is trained on training images and thus it acts as a classifier.

In part 3 there is no pre trained model and the model is built from scratch and trained.