

**Project:** Event Processing System –  
Original Code Review  
**Issue Analysis Report**

Hamza Zarour

## 1) All issues you identified in the original code

### EventProcessor (original code)

- Contains one large process() method that handles all responsibilities.
- Performs validation, notification, transformation, persistence, and type-specific logic in one place.
- Uses multiple if statements for encrypt, compress, and addMetadata.
- Uses a large if/else block based on event type strings "USER", "SYSTEM", "SECURITY"
- Directly depends on Database, Dashboard, and Logger.
- Contains hard-coded security behavior inside the processor.
- Notifies dashboard and logger before generating the event id.

### Dashboard / Logger

- Called directly from inside EventProcessor.
- Cannot be added or removed without modifying the processor

### Event (data model)

- Uses multiple boolean flags that increase logic complexity
- Allows metadata to be missing while addMetadata is enabled.

## 2) Why each issue is problematic

### EventProcessor

- A large method is hard to read, test, and maintain.
- Mixing many responsibilities increases the risk of bugs
- Multiple if statements reduce scalability and readability.
- Type-based if/else violates the Open/Closed Principle
- Tight coupling prevents easy reuse and extension.
- Hard-coded logic makes changes risky and error-prone.
- Incorrect ordering may cause logs and metrics to miss the event id.

## Dashboard / Logger

- Adding new modules requires changing core processing code.
- This increases maintenance efforts and reduces flexibility.

## Event

- Boolean flags push complexity into the processor.
- Missing metadata may produce incorrect output formatting.

## 3. How the Refactoring Solves the Problems

### Observer Pattern

- Separates notification logic from EventProcessor.
- Dashboard and Logger are implemented as listeners.
- New modules can be added without modifying the processor.

### Decorator Pattern

- Removes transformation if statements from the processor.
- Each transformation is implemented as a separate decorator.
- Allows flexible combination of transformations.

### Strategy Pattern

- Removes type-based if-else logic from EventProcessor.
- Each event type has its own strategy class.
- Adding new event types does not require modifying the processor.

### Processing order fix

- The new flow ensures correct execution order:  
validate , transform , generate id , save , notify , execute strategy
- Ensures observers always receive correct and complete event data.