

Database System Concept (CSE 3103)

Lecture 08-Day 02


Nazmus Sakib, Assistant Professor, Dept. of CSE, AUST

63

Transaction State

- Active the initial state; the transaction stays in this state while it is executing
- Partially committed after the final statement has been executed.
- Failed after the discovery that normal execution can no longer proceed.
- Aborted after the transaction has been rolled back and the database restored to its state prior to the start of the transaction. Two options after it has been aborted:
 - Restart the transaction
 - can be done only if no internal logical error
 - Kill the transaction
- Committed after successful completion.

Transaction State (Cont.)



Concurrent Executions

- Multiple transactions are allowed to run concurrently in the system.
 Advantages are:
 - Increased processor and disk utilization, leading to better transaction throughput
 - E.g. one transaction can be using the CPU while another is reading from or writing to the disk
 - **Reduced average response time** for transactions: short transactions need not wait behind long ones.
- Concurrency control schemes mechanisms to achieve isolation
 - That is, to control the interaction among the concurrent transactions in order to prevent them from destroying the consistency of the database
 - Will study in Chapter 15, after studying notion of correctness of concurrent executions.

- Schedule a sequences of instructions that specify the chronological order in which instructions of concurrent transactions are executed
 - A schedule for a set of transactions must consist of all instructions of those transactions
 - Must preserve the order in which the instructions appear in each individual transaction.
- A transaction that successfully completes its execution will have a commit instructions as the last statement
 - By default transaction assumed to execute commit instruction as its last step
- A transaction that fails to successfully complete its execution will have an abort instruction as the last statement

- Let T_1 transfer \$50 from A to B, and T_2 transfer 10% of the balance from A to B.
- An example of a **serial** schedule in which T_1 is followed by T_2 :

T_1	T_2
read (<i>A</i>) <i>A</i> := <i>A</i> - 50 write (<i>A</i>) read (<i>B</i>) <i>B</i> := <i>B</i> + 50 write (<i>B</i>) commit	read (<i>A</i>) temp := <i>A</i> * 0.1 <i>A</i> := <i>A</i> - temp write (<i>A</i>) read (<i>B</i>) <i>B</i> := <i>B</i> + temp write (<i>B</i>) commit

8/5/2017

• A serial schedule in which T_2 is followed by T_1 :

T_1	T_2
read (<i>A</i>) <i>A</i> := <i>A</i> - 50 write (<i>A</i>) read (<i>B</i>) <i>B</i> := <i>B</i> + 50 write (<i>B</i>) commit	read (<i>A</i>) temp := <i>A</i> * 0.1 <i>A</i> := <i>A</i> - temp write (<i>A</i>) read (<i>B</i>) <i>B</i> := <i>B</i> + temp write (<i>B</i>) commit

• Let T_1 and T_2 be the transactions defined previously. The following schedule is not a serial schedule, but it is **equivalent** to Schedule 1.

T_1	T_2
read (A) A := A - 50 write (A)	read (<i>A</i>) temp := <i>A</i> * 0.1 <i>A</i> := <i>A</i> - temp write (<i>A</i>)
read (B) B := B + 50 write (B) commit	read (<i>B</i>) <i>B</i> := <i>B</i> + <i>temp</i> write (<i>B</i>) commit

Note -- In schedules 1, 2 and 3, the sum "A + B" is preserved.

- The following concurrent schedule does not preserve the sum of " ${\it A}$

+ B"

T_1	T_2
read (A) $A := A - 50$	read (<i>A</i>) temp := <i>A</i> * 0.1 <i>A</i> := <i>A</i> - temp write (<i>A</i>)
write (A) read (B) B := B + 50 write (B) commit	read (<i>B</i>) <i>B</i> := <i>B</i> + <i>temp</i> write (<i>B</i>) commit