Project Value and Risks

Project Value

1. Direct and Indirect Value:

- Measures the positive and negative outcomes of a project and its outputs.
- Metrics to Consider:
 - Revenues (both direct and indirect)
 - Social and environmental impact
 - Image and publicity
 - Know-how acquired
- Related to the business model and sustainability of the project outputs.

2. Sustainability:

- Refers to the ability to sustain the project and its outputs after the project ends.
- Consider operational costs and the longevity of project outputs.
- Important for determining if a project is worth starting.
- Often overlooked if the project generates revenue during execution.

3. Alignment with Strategic Objectives:

- Measures how crucial and relevant a project is for the organization.
- Affects:
 - Priority assigned to the project
 - Resource allocation
 - Internal support
 - Opportunities for the project team post-project

Project Risks

1. Resource Availability:

- Projects need human, financial, and technical resources at specific times.
- Challenges in preempting resources can be mitigated by checking project needs.
- Aspects to Consider:
 - Required resources
 - Current load and availability
 - Future load and availability projections
 - Project priority and importance

2. Timing:

- Projects often have specific time-windows for delivering outputs.
- Delivering too early or too late can render outputs useless.
- o Consider competition and timing for similar products.

3. Technical Difficulty and Uncertainty:

- Success depends on solving technical challenges as they arise.
- Identifying these challenges is crucial for assessing project risks.

Score Matrices

1. Purpose and Overview:

- Score matrices provide a structured way to evaluate projects beyond financial metrics (like Payback, ROI, NPV).
- They consider a standardized set of criteria and weights to assess various aspects of a project.
- This qualitative evaluation helps in comparing projects based on how well they meet different criteria.

2. Example of a Score Matrix:

- o Criteria are evaluated on a scale or binary (e.g., YES/NO).
- Each criterion has a weight indicating its importance.
- The total score is the sum of the weighted values based on the project's performance against each criterion.

3. **Example Matrix:**

| Factor | Value | Weight | SUM | Comment |
|--|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| The project aligns with strategic objectives | YES | 2 | 2 | |
| The project has a profit > 20% | NO | 4 | 0 | |
| Payback period < 2 years | YES | 5 | 5 | |
| Enlarges the customer base | YES | 2 | 2 | |
| The project requires a standard technology | NO | 3 | 0 | |
| The quality constraints are simple to meet | YES | 1 | 1 | |
| The timing is not too tight | NO | 4 | 0 | |
| We have skilled personnel to do the work | YES | 5 | 5 | |
| Total Score | | | 15 | |

- Value: Measures how well the project meets each criterion.
- Weight: Indicates the importance of each criterion.
- SUM: Total score based on the weighted values.

4. Discussion:

Advantages:

- Simple and encourages objectivity.
- Helps in discussing and evaluating project characteristics.
- Broadens the range of evaluation and is not biased towards short-term projects.

Disadvantages:

- May result in lengthy and less useful lists if not properly managed.
- Without weight matrices, all factors might seem equally important.

5. Caveat:

• Ensure that all factors either positively or negatively influence the decision or use scores with different signs to avoid biases.

6. **Example of a Bad Matrix:**

| Factor | Value | Weight | SUM | Comment |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------|-----|----------------------------|
| The project has a profit > 20% | YES | 3 | 3 | |
| The project is highly risky | NO | 3 | 0 | |
| Total Score | | | 3 | Risky project is preferred |

 Here, a positive and negative factor affect the matrix similarly, which may lead to misleading evaluations.

SWOT Analysis

1. Overview:

- SWOT Analysis is a systematic technique developed by Albert Humphrey to assess the feasibility of a project or develop achievable goals.
- It involves evaluating four elements:
 - Strengths
 - Weaknesses
 - Opportunities
 - Threats
- Typically presented in a 2x2 matrix.

2. Factors to Consider:

- Strengths:
 - Competencies
 - Selling points
 - Resources and capabilities

Weaknesses:

- Disadvantages
- Methodological issues
- Timing constraints
- Capability gaps

Opportunities:

- Market and industry trends
- Weaknesses of competitors
- Emerging technologies

Threats:

Market and industry trends

- Competing technologies
- Sustainability challenges

Objective:

Identify internal strengths and weaknesses and external opportunities and threats to make informed project decisions.

Stakeholder Analysis

1. Goal:

 To understand who the project stakeholders are and their influence on the project.

2. Techniques:

- One common technique uses a 2x2 matrix:
 - **Power Dimension:** Measures the level of power a stakeholder can exert (Low or High).
 - Interest Dimension: Measures the level of interest a stakeholder has in the project (Negative or Positive).
- This matrix helps in defining specific management strategies for different stakeholders based on their power and interest levels.

Assessing Sustainability

1. Objective:

To understand the operational costs and long-term viability of a project's outputs.

2. Considerations:

- **Business Model:** How the project fits into the business model.
- Break-even Point: The point at which the project's revenues cover its costs.
- A preliminary sustainability analysis can assist in selecting among different project implementations.

Feasibility Study

1. Purpose:

- o To formally authorize a project and align it with organizational goals.
- Serves as a basis for project selection and decision-making by management.

2. Outputs:

o Ranges from a few to hundreds of pages, depending on complexity and formality.

Goals:

- Identify:
 - Project goals
 - Project constraints

- Assess:
 - Value and risks using various techniques
- Alignment:
 - Ensure the project aligns with customer and organizational objectives
- Demonstrate:
 - Achievability of project goals within quality, cost, and time constraints

4. Feasibility Document Structure:

- Statement of Work: Describes what the project will accomplish.
- Business Objectives: Includes the value of the project and relevant business model information.
- Project Budget: Forecasts expenses and incomes.
- **Project Milestones:** Rough schedule identifying key events.
- Stakeholder Analysis: Overview of stakeholders involved.
- Project Risks: Identifies potential risks associated with the project.
- o Alternatives: Evaluates possible alternatives, like make or buy decisions.
- Evaluation: Uses techniques to evaluate the project and alternatives.

5. Additional Considerations:

- For the Client: Helps understand short and long-term perspectives.
- For the Performing Organization: Assists in deciding whether to proceed with the project.
- For the Project Manager: Provides insight into whether the project is within their comfort zone and worth pursuing.

The Project Approval Process

1. Steps:

- Initial Request: Identify a preliminary project manager.
- Feasibility Study Preparation: The project manager prepares a feasibility study, which is agreed upon with the customer and key stakeholders.
- Submission for Authorization: The feasibility study document is submitted for authorization.
- Formal Decision: The document is analyzed, and a formal decision is made regarding the project.
- Appointment and Planning: Upon approval, the project manager is officially appointed, and the project moves to the planning phase.