

LUCERNE UNIVERSITY OF
APPLIED SCIENCES AND ARTS

ARIS - Localization of a Sounding Rocket via GPS

BACHELOR THESIS

SIMON HERZOG

Department

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Supervisor

PROF. M. JOSS

Expert

W. SCHEIDEGGER

Industry Partner

O. KIRCHHOFF

CEO ARIS

Classification: Access

May 14, 2018

Declaration

I hereby declare and confirm that this thesis is entirely the result of my own original work. Where other sources of information have been used, they have been indicated as such and properly acknowledged. I further declare that this or similar work has not been submitted for credit elsewhere.

Horw May 14, 2018

Simon Herzog

Abstract

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Framework	1
1.2	Task Description	3
1.3	Requirements	3
2	GPS Concept and Error Sources	5
2.1	Predecessors	5
2.2	Global Navigation Satellite Systems	6
2.3	GPS	7
2.4	System Architecture	7
2.4.1	Functional Principle	8
2.4.2	Signals	10
2.4.3	Performance	10
2.5	Error Sources	10
2.5.1	Satellite Errors	10
2.5.2	Signal Propagation Errors	10
2.5.3	Receiver Errors	10
3	Accuracy Enhancement Systems	11

3.1	Carrier-Phase Measurements	11
3.2	Differential GPS	11
3.3	Real Time Kinematic	11
3.4	Satellite-Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS)	11
3.5	Summary	11
4	GPS Concept for a Sounding Rocket	12
4.1	Special Conditions	12
4.2	Accuray Enhancement Concept	13
4.3	System Overview	13
4.4	Data Flow	13
4.5	Potential Problems	13
5	Implementation	15
6	Testing and Validaton	16
6.1	Testing Setup	16
6.2	Static Accuracy Test	16
6.3	Mobile Accuracy Test	16
6.4	Distance Test	17
6.5	Height Difference Test	17
6.6	Telemetry Antenna Interference Calculation	17
6.7	Antenna Rotation	17
6.8	Correction Message Interruption	17
6.9	Rocket Test	17
7	Conclusion	18

Chapter 1

Introduction

Global Navigation Satellite Systems, GNSS for short, are used in a wide variety of applications nowadays. The most prominent and oldest system is GPS. It started as a military and maritime navigation aid, but is now used extensively in civil applications. This thesis evaluates the use of GPS for the localization of a sounding rocket.

1.1 Framework

The need for such a project originated from ARIS, the Swiss Space Initiative or Akademische Raumfahrt Initiative Schweiz in German. ARIS was founded in 2017 by students from the ETH Zürich and HSLU. Now, over 50 students from the ETH Zürich and the universities of applied sciences in Luzern (HSLU) and Zürich (ZHAW) work on its inaugural project which is called TELL. The goal of project TELL is to build a sounding rocket to compete in the 2018 Spaceport America Cup in New Mexico. More than 100 student teams compete there to launch a 4kg payload with a sounding rocket to a target altitude of 10000 feet or about 3km. [1]



Figure 1.1: Project Tell




Figure 1.2: Spaceport America Cup

A jury distributes points for achievements in the competition itself and the planning, engineering and documentation that went into the project. A maximum score of 1000 points can be reached. The points are distributed as follows:

- Delivery of Entry Form: 60 points - 6%
- Technical Report: 200 points - 20%
- Design Implementation: 240 points - 24%
- Flight Performance: 500 points - 50%

Points for flight performance are split between reached apogee relative to the target apogee (350 points) and successful recovery (150 points). The points for apogee precision are calculated with this formula:

$$Points = 350 - \left(\frac{350}{0.3 \times Apogee_{Target}} \right) \times |Apogee_{Target} - Apogee_{Actual}|$$

with $Apogee_{Target} = 3048m(10000feet)$

With that equation, a deviation of about 9 meters from the target apogee results in a loss of 1% (3.5 points) of possible points for a correct apogee. [2]

For project TELL, the rocket motor is dimensioned to overshoot the target apogee and airbrakes are used to reduce the apogee to the target. A controll loop is implemented to controll the position of the airbrakes during the accent. The position and

velocity data for the loop is fused from on-board pressure and acceleration sensors. Although GPS is implemented in the rocket, it is only used to locate and recover the rocket after it landed. This is because standalone GPS has a vertical error of less than 15 meters for 95% of the time. [3] This is not accurate enough to be beneficial for the sensor fusion. A vertical error of less than 1 meter for 95% of the time would be needed for it to be feasible. With such an accuracy improvement, the GPS position could be fused together with the pressure and accelerometer. The addition of GPS would especially help in reducing the drift introduced by the accelerometer. This is the origin of this bachelor thesis.

1.2 Task Description

In short, the feasibility of GPS for the localization of a sounding rocket should be evaluated. This should be done in multiple steps. First, it has to be determined which internal and external error sources could limit the GPS accuracy. This means errors that degrade the GPS signal before it enters the antenna, as well as errors that come from the signal processing. A focus should be on potential error sources that are specific to the application in a sounding rocket.

Based on that, methods to mitigate those errors should be found. These methods should be feasible to implement in a sounding rocket and enhance the accuracy of the GPS positioning to a level where it is usable for the rocket control. The feasibility of one of the methods should be shown with a proof of concept. That could be a simulation or a prototype depending on the approach.

1.3 Requirements

There are two different classes of requirements. One is to define the performance the system should achieve. The other is to make it possible to integrate the system into the rocket in terms of interfaces and infrastructure.

The main parameter to rate the performance of a positioning system is the accuracy. The accuracy consists of two components, the variance and the bias. Two metrics that are used often are the 95th percentile and the root-mean-square error (RMSE).

The accuracy requirement for the sounding rocket GPS is:

$$RMSE \leq 1m$$

Another metric is the integrity of the system. This could be a problem especially during the burn of the rocket because of the high acceleration. For the GPS system to be useful for the competition, it is not vital to have a GPS fix during the burn. But the rocket should have a fix soon after the burnout to have enough time to correct the flight path before the apogee is reached. The requirement is:

GPS fix max. 2 seconds after burnout

In project Tell, a telemetry link is implemented. This link is mainly a downlink from the rocket to the ground station, but there is the option to add an uplink without much effort. Such an uplink could potentially be used by the GPS system. The limitation of the uplink bandwidth for a GPS system is:

Uplink bandwidth max. 2kbit/s

Chapter 2

GPS Concept and Error Sources

Along with earlier navigation systems, this chapter explains the positioning concept of GPS and modern Global Navigation Satellite Systems in general. The error sources that impact the accuracy of GPS are listed and split into categories that determine in which segment those errors occur.

2.1 Predecessors

Before there was GPS, other radionavigation systems were used. They were mostly ground based and limited to a certain area or time.

One of the early examples is the U.S. system *Loran*. It works with multiple transmission stations with a distance of about 1000km to each other. They send out pulse signals in all directions. With the difference in time-of-arrival of those pulses, a receiver can triangulate its position. This method is one variant of hyperbolic positioning. Only a 2D fix can be achieved with such systems. The height, if needed, has to be determined with a different method. The development of *Loran-A* was started during World War II. *Loran-C* is the latest version and still in use today. It has a rms positioning accuracy of about 250m.

Another hyperbolic positioning system was *Omega*. It was the first global radionavigation system and operational from 1970 to 1997. But rather than measuring the time difference of pulses, the phase difference of sinusoidal signals was measured. This method resulted in a rms positioning accuracy of 2-4km. The lower accuracy can be

explained with the much wider area it had to cover.

Apart from those ground based systems, there was a working satellite navigation system that came even before *Omega*. It is called *Transit* and was operational from 1964 to 1996. A doppler based system that was launched by the U.S. Navy. In doppler positioning, a 2D position can be determined from the time the doppler of the satellite signal changes from high to low and the sharpness of the change. At the moment the doppler changes, the satellite is the closest to the receiver on its orbit. The distance from the projected orbit can then be determined by how sharp the doppler changes. When the receiver is directly on the projected orbit, the doppler changes the fastest. In contrast to modern GNSS, *Transit* satellites had polar orbits with a low altitude of 1100km. Only one satellite was visible at a time with a wait time of up to 100 minutes between them. This made positioning a relatively long process, but the rms positioning accuracy was much better with 25m.

A range of counterparts from Russia and Europe existed to those U.S. systems. *Gee* was a hyperbolic system from Great Britain similar to Loran. It was used by the Royal Air Force during World War II. Doppler positioning was already used in reverse to determine the orbit of *Sputnik I* from a ground station with a known location. The idea to measure the position on earth came from this application. The Soviet Union had two doppler based systems similar to *Transit* called *Parus* and *Tsikada*. [4]

2.2 Global Navigation Satellite Systems

From the knowledge gained from *Transit*, a new class of space based navigation systems emerged. The first one being the NAVSTAR Global Positioning System (GPS) developed by the U.S. Government. The first GPS satellite was launched in 1978 and the system became fully operational in 1993. To be independent from the U.S. when it comes to navigation and improve local positioning, other nations started to launch their own systems. The generic term for such systems is Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS). The first addition to the group was the former Soviet and now Russian system GLONASS. It is very similar to GPS in terms of use case and architecture. Both were mainly developed for military use and designed to cover the whole globe with a full constellation of 24 satellites in medium earth orbit. [4]

A newer addition is the European system GALILEO. It is similar in terms of system architecture to GPS and GLONASS, but it is the first GNSS that is under civilian

control. This guarantees that civil receivers can get the highest precision possible. The GALILEO constellation is not yet complete with 14 usable satellites at the moment, but it is said to improve the accuracy to the centimeter level in normal operation. [5]

Beside global navigation systems, there are also local programs which improve the regional accuracy. They consist of satellites in geostationary or geosynchronous orbits. This gives them a constant location above the earth or within a few degrees of longitude. Such systems are the Japanese QZSS and the Indian IRNSS. The Chinese BeiDou system is a combination of global and regional. It started with just geostationary and geosynchronous satellites over China, but now has three operational global satellites with more to come.

2.3 GPS

This section closer explains the system architecture, functional principle and performance of GPS. The difference to the other GNSS is minor. Orbits, frequencies and signal modulation are slightly different between the systems, but the basic architecture is the same.

2.4 System Architecture

The GPS system can be split into three segments. The Space Segment includes the satellites, the Control Segment is the ground equipment that manages the satellites and the User Segment are the receivers.

Space Segment

A full GPS constellation consists of 24 satellites as shown in figure 2.1. Currently, there are 31 GPS satellites in operation. They are in medium earth orbit at an altitude of about 20'200km with each satellite circling the earth twice a day. About 8 satellites are visible to a user at a time.




Figure 2.1: GPS satellite constellation [6]

Control Segment

To ensure an accurate positioning service for GPS users, the satellites have to be constantly monitored and maintained. This is the job of the Control Segment. It monitors satellite orbits and time to predict satellite ephemerides and clock parameters. It then updates the satellite navigation data, which is later sent from the satellite to the user. If necessary, the Control Segment can order the satellites to perform maneuvers to maintain a correct orbit or to relocate to another orbit. The Control Segment comprises of a network of ground based stations spread around the globe. This network is coordinated by the Master Control Station in the U.S. state of Colorado.

User Segment

Finally, the User Segment is where the satellite signals are picked up and the position of the user is calculated. Unlike the other segments, the User Segment is not developed by the U.S. Government, apart from military receivers. The design of civil GPS receivers is left to market forces. The size of those receivers dropped dramatically during the lifetime of GPS from the size of a backpack, to the size of a single microchip.

2.4.1 Functional Principle

GPS works with the principle of trilateration. For this method of positioning, the distance to three known locations is needed to calculate the own position in three

dimensions. This works with basic vector geometry. The euclidean distance between two points in three-dimensional space can be calculated with equation 2.1.

$$r^{(k)} = \sqrt{(x^{(k)} - x)^2 + (y^{(k)} - y)^2 + (z^{(k)} - z)^2} = \|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}\| \quad (2.1)$$

For GPS, the two points are the user position $[x, y, z]$ and the satellite position $[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}, z^{(k)}]$ and the true distance between them is called $r^{(k)}$. The satellites are distinguished with the superscript $^{(k)}$ where k stands for the k -th satellite in view. In theory, with the position and distance of three satellites, a system of equations could be solved for the three variables of the user position $[x, y, z]$. But in practice, a gps receiver needs at least four satellites to estimate its position. This is because a fourth variable needs to be solved for, which is the difference between GPS time and user time called δt_u .




Figure 2.2: GPS triangulation

GPS uses the propagation time of radio waves to determine the distance between satellite and user. The measured transmission time is multiplied by the speed of light to get the distance in meters. This is not the true distance $r^{(k)}$. Instead, the so called pseudorange $\rho^{(k)}$ is measured, which contains the true range and a set of errors. The relation is shown in equation 2.2. The pseudorange contains the clock errors $c[\delta t_u - \delta t^{(k)}]$,

the atmospheric errors $I^{(k)} + T^{(k)}$ and the remaining errors $\epsilon^{(k)}$. What they mean is closer explained in section 2.5.

$$\rho^{(k)} = r^{(k)} + c[\delta t_u - \delta t^{(k)}] + I^{(k)} + T^{(k)} + \epsilon^{(k)} \quad (2.2)$$

Important here is the user clock error δt_u . The reason for this error is the unprecise clock in the receiver. The satellites have atomic clocks which are synchronizized to GPS time. It is not feasible to build an atomic clocks into every receiver and keep it synchronizized to GPS time. Insted, the difference between receiver time and GPS time is solved for with the measurement from a fourth satellite. This is possible because the user clock error is common in the pseudoranges from all satellites.

2.4.2 Signals

A GPS receiver can work with only the information included in the signals from the satellites. This means the information for distance and satellite position have to be transmitted with those signals. GPS solves this problem with a three-layered signal shown in figure ???. The carrier is a sinusoidal signal in the L band. Most civil GPS receivers work with the L1 signal at 1575.42MHz.

2.4.3 Performance

2.5 Error Sources

2.5.1 Satellite Errors

2.5.2 Signal Propagation Errors

2.5.3 Receiver Errors

Chapter 3

Accuracy Enhancement Systems

3.1 Carrier-Phase Measurements

3.2 Differential GPS

3.3 Real Time Kinematic

3.4 Satellite-Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS)

3.5 Summary

Chapter 4

GPS Concept for a Sounding Rocket

The challenge of reaching a GPS accuracy of 1 meter on a sounding rocket consists mainly of two parts. First, the GPS receiver on the rocket has to be able to get a position fix. This is not a given because of the extreme conditions the receiver experiences during the flight. Section 4.1 investigates this challenge. Secondly, the GPS accuracy has to be improved. The important part here is the vertical accuracy, because this is the value used by the controller to reach the right apogee. Standard GPS is only accurate to 15 meters for 95% of the time in the vertical axis. A significant improvement is needed to satisfy the accuracy requirement of 1 meter stated in section 1.3.

4.1 Special Conditions

The conditions on a rocket are unlike anything most GPS receivers will ever experience. The acceleration, velocity and height are the three main parameters that could exceed the operating range of a COTS receiver. There could either be a technical or legal limit to those parameters. A receiver has to be picked or tested for these requirements.

Apart from that, there is also vibration and rotation present on the rocket. Vibration should not be a problem for a GPS receiver apart from the mechanical stress on the electronics. Sounding rockets often have a spin during ascent to stabilize the flight path. This rotation can have an effect on how the receiver antenna receives a signal. There is a problem called the wind-up effect, where an error occurs when the receiver

antenna is rotated relative to the satellite antenna. This error only affects carrier phase measurements and not pseudoranges. One full rotation results in an error in the phase measurement of one wavelength, which is about 20cm for the GPS L1 carrier [7]. So only system with carrier phase measurements would need to correct for this error if the rotation results in a too large error. Apart from that, the receiver could experience fading of the GPS signals because of rotation. This could happen if a satellite elevation relative to the antenna changes during the rotation and the antenna gain is not constant in this area. It will have to be tested how a receiver behaves in such a situation.

Another problem could come from internal interference. Especially from the telemetry transmitter with its relatively high power. The GPS signal, when it reaches the surface of the earth, has a signal strength of about -125dBm. To pick up such a weak signal, GPS antennas need a high gain and the receivers a high sensitivity. This increases the risk of interference from a transmitter close by even if it transmits on a different frequency band. Interference can cause the *carrier-to-noise density* to shrink to the point that tracking of the GPS signals is lost. Tests are needed to evaluate the influence of other electronic components in the rocket on the GPS antenna and receiver. The influence of relatively high power sources like the telemetry transmitter should first be calculated as far as possible to minimize the risk of damaging the GPS receiver.

4.2 Accuracy Enhancement Concept

4.3 System Overview

4.4 Data Flow

4.5 Potential Problems

Setup:

- Two M8T modules in the nosecone and one at the ground station.
- RF-uplink from ground station to rocket.

Procedure:

- Ground station averages position measurements over a longer time to get reference position. This might not be the exact position, but this only results in a constant bias in the rockets absolute position. Reference position stays fixed when sending of differential corrections starts.
- Ground station calculates distance to each visible GPS satellite from reference position and ephemeris data.
- The difference between the calculated distance and the measured pseudorange is calculated for each satellite.
- RTCM 2.3 message 1 and 3 are created with the pseudorange corrections.
- The RTCM messages are sent to the rocket over the RF-link. The update rate of the corrections could be about 1Hz.
- On the rocket, the messages are fed into the UART interface of the GPS receiver which includes them in the position estimation.
- Tropospheric corrections could be added to the pseudorange corrections at the ground station or in the rocket.
- The first correction is sent when the rocket is still on the launch pad. One or more corrected measurements at the launch pad serve as the zero point of the trajectory.
- The position estimations during the flight can be differenced with the launch pad zero point to get the relative position to the launch pad. With this the reference position bias cancels out. For the rocket control and the post processing, the relative position to the launchpad is more relevant. The absolute position, where the reference position bias is still present, is only needed for the recovery of the rocket where accuracy is not as important.

Chapter 5

Implementation

Chapter 6

Testing and Validation

6.1 Testing Setup

Two receivers, with and without correction message input. Both can log data. Reference station with antenna pole, GPS receiver, laptop and XBee module. Software to visualize data.

6.2 Static Accuracy Test

Compare GPS positioning accuracy with and without correction messages to see if the accuracy is improved. The reference receiver measures the reference position over some hours. The rover is at a fixed and though Google Maps known location. The variance and bias are observed in both cases.

Is the bias improved? Is the variance improved?

6.3 Mobile Accuracy Test

Two receivers test while the rover is moving. A route is walked which was predetermined with Google Maps.

How accurate is the system when the rover is moving?

6.4 Distance Test

Two receiver test with a horizontal distance of about 3km between rover and reference station.

Does DGPS still improve the accuracy if the rover is 3km away from the reference station?

6.5 Height Difference Test

Two receiver test with the rover on a mountain which is about 3km higher than the reference station in the valley.

How much impact does the tropospheric height effect have on the DGPS accuracy?

6.6 Telemetry Antenna Interference Calculation

6.7 Antenna Rotation

6.8 Correction Message Interruption

6.9 Rocket Test

Chapter 7

Conclusion

Bibliography

- [1] *Swiss Space Initiative*, ARIS, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://aris-space.ch/>
- [2] *Intercollegiate Rocket Engineering Competition Rules & Requirements*, Spaceport America Cup, 2017. [Online]. Available: <http://www.soundingrocket.org/sa-cup-documents--forms.html>
- [3] *Global Positioning System Standard Positioning Service Performance Standard*, U.S. Government, 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gps.gov/technical/ps/2008-SPS-performance-standard.pdf>
- [4] P. Misra and P. Enge, *Global Positioning System: Signals, Measurements, and Performance*. Ganga-Jamuna Press, 2011.
- [5] *Galileo is the European global satellite-based navigation system*, European Global Navigation Satellite System Agency, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gsa.europa.eu/european-gnss/galileo/galileo-european-global-satellite-based-navigation-system>
- [6] *Space Segment*, U.S. Government, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gps.gov/systems/gps/space/>
- [7] *Carrier Phase Wind-up Effect*, European Space Agency, 2014. [Online]. Available: http://www.navipedia.net/index.php/Carrier_Phase_Wind-up_Effect

Appendices