#### LUCERNE UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES AND ARTS

## ARIS - Localization of a Sounding Rocket via $\operatorname{GPS}$

#### BACHELOR THESIS

#### SIMON HERZOG

Department
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Supervisor

Prof. M. Joss

Expert

W. Scheideger

Industry Partner
O. KIRCHHOFF
CEO ARIS

Classification: Access April 29, 2018

## Declaration

I hereby declare and confirm that this thesis is entirely the result of my own original work. Where other sources of information have been used, they have been indicated as such and properly acknowledged. I further declare that this or similar work has not been submitted for credit elsewhere.

Horw April 29, 2018

Simon Herzog

## Abstract

## Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1
	1.1	Framework	1
	1.2	Task Decsription	2
	1.3	Requirements	2
<b>2</b>	GP	S Concept and Error Sources	3
	2.1	Predecessors	3
	2.2	Global Navigation Satellite Systems	3
	2.3	Error Sources	3
3	Acc	euracy enhancement systems	4
	3.1	Carrier-Phase Measurements	4
	3.2	Differential GPS	4
	3.3	Real Time Kinematic	4
	3.4	Satellite-Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS)	4
	3.5	Summary	4
4	Diff	Connection CDC assessed for a sounding resolvet	5
•		Ferential GPS concept for a sounding rocket	9

CONTENTED	•
CONTENTS	137
CONTINID	1 V

6	Testing and Validaton				
	6.1	Testing Setup	8		
	6.2	Static Accuracy Test	8		
	6.3	Mobile Accuracy Test	8		
	6.4	Distance Test	9		
	6.5	Height Difference Test	9		
	6.6	Telemetry Antenna Interference Calculation	9		
	6.7	Antenna Rotation	9		
	6.8	Correction Message Interruption	9		
	6.9	Rocket Test	9		
7	Cor	nclusion	10		
$\mathbf{A}_{]}$	Appendices				

## Introduction

Global Navigation Satellite Systems, GNSS for short, are used in a wide variety of applications nowadays. The most prominent and oldest of which is GPS. It started as a military and maritime navigation aid, but is now used extensively in civil applications. This thesis evaluates the use of GPS for the localization of a sounding rocket.

#### 1.1 Framework

The need for such a project originated form ARIS, the Swiss Space Initiative or Akademische Raumfahrt Initiative Schweiz in german. ARIS was founded in 2017 by students from the ETH Zürich. Now, over 40 students from the ETH Zürich and the universities of applied sciences in Luzern (HSLU) and Zuürich (ZHAW) work on its inaugural project which is called TELL. The goal of project TELL is to build a sounding rocket to compete in the 2018 Spaceport America Cup in New Mexico. More than 100 student teams compete there to launch a 4kg payload with a sounding rocket to a target altitude of 10000 feet or about 3km. [1]

A jury distributes points for achievements in the competition itself and the planning, engineering and documentation that went into the project. A maximum score of 1000 points can be reached. The points are distributed as follows:

• Delivery of Entry Form: 60 points - 6%

• Technical Report: 200 points - 20%

• Design Implementation: 240 points - 24%

• Flight Performance: 500 points - 50%

Points for flight performance are split between reached apogee relative to the target apogee (350 points) and successful recovery (150 points). The points for apogee precision are calculated with this formula:

$$Points = 350 - \left(\frac{350}{0.3 \times Apogee_{Target}}\right) \times |Apogee_{Target} - Apogee_{Actual}|$$
with  $Apogee_{Target} = 3048m(10000feet)$ 

With that equation, a deviation of about 9 meters from the target apogee results in a loss of 1% (3.5 points) of possible points for a correct apogee. [2]

For project TELL, the rocket motor is dimensioned to overshoot the target apogee and airbrakes are used to reduce the apogee to the target. A controll loop is implemented to determine the position of the airbrakes during the accent. The position and velocity data for the loop is fused from on-board pressure and acceleration sensors. Although GPS is implemented in the rocket, it is only used to locate and recover the rocket after it landed. This is because standalone GPS has a vertical error of less than 15 meters for 95% of the time. [3] This is not accurate enouth to be beneficial for the sensor fusion. A vertical error of less than 1 meter for 95% of the time would be needed for it to be feasable. With such an accuracy improvement, the GPS position could be fused together with the pressure and accelerometer data. The addition of GPS would eseccially help in reducing the drift introduced by the accelerometer.

However, it is planned to collect GPS raw data to perform post processing differential GPS to analyze the flight.

#### 1.2 Task Decsription

#### 1.3 Requirements

Scoring (1000 points possible)

## GPS Concept and Error Sources

Along with earlier navigation systems, this chapter explains the positioning concept of GPS and modern Global Navigation Satellite Systems(GNSS) in general. The error sources that impact the accuracy of GPS are listed and split into categories that determine in which segment those errors occur.

#### 2.1 Predecessors

Before there was GPS, other systems that were mostly ground based and limited to a certan area were used to navigate.

## 2.2 Global Navigation Satellite Systems

#### 2.3 Error Sources

## Accuracy enhancement systems

- 3.1 Carrier-Phase Measurements
- 3.2 Differential GPS
- 3.3 Real Time Kinematic
- 3.4 Satellite-Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS)
- 3.5 Summary

# Differential GPS concept for a sounding rocket

#### Setup:

- Two M8T modules in the nosecone and one at the ground station.
- RF-uplink from ground station to rocket.

#### **Procedure:**

- Ground station averages position measurements over a longer time to get reference position. This might not be the exact position, but this only results in a constant bias in the rockets absolute position. Reference position stays fixed when sending of differential correntions starts.
- Ground station calculates distance to each visible GPS satellite from reference position and ephemeris data.
- The difference between the calculated distance and the measuren pseudorange is calculated for each satellite.
- RTCM 2.3 meassage 1 and 3 are created with the pseudorenge corrections.
- The RTCM messages are sent to the rocket over the RF-link. The update rate of the corrections could be about 1Hz.

- On the rocket, the messages are fed into the UART interface of the GPS receiver which includes them in the position estimation.
- Tropospheric corrections could be added to the pseudorange corrections at the ground station or in the rocket.
- The first correction is sent when the rocket is still on the launch pad. One or more corrected measurements at the launch pad serve as the zero point of the trajectory.
- The position estimations during the flight can be differed with the launch pad zero point to get the relative position to the launch pad. With this the reference position bias cancels out. For the rocket controll and the post processing, the relative position to the launchpad is more relevant. The absolute position, where the reference position bias is still present, is only needed for the recovery of the rocket where accuracy is not as important.

Implementation

## Testing and Validaton

## 6.1 Testing Setup

Two receivers, with and without correction message input. Both can log data. Reference station with antenna pole, GPS receiver, laptop and XBee module. Software to visualize data.

#### 6.2 Static Accuracy Test

Compare GPS positioning accuracy with and without correction messages to see if the accuracy is improved. The reference receiver measures the reference position over some hours. The rover is at a fixed and though Google Maps known location. The variance and bias are observed in both cases.

Is the bias improved? Is the variance improved?

## 6.3 Mobile Accuracy Test

Two receivers test while the rover is moving. A route is walked which was predetermined with Google Maps.

How accurate is the system when the rover is moving?

#### 6.4 Distance Test

Two receiver test with a horizontal distance of about 3km between rover and reference station.

Does DGPS still improve the accuracy if the rover is 3km away from the reference station?

#### 6.5 Height Difference Test

Two receiver test with the rover on a mountain which is about 3km higher than the reference station in the valley.

How much impact does the tropospheric height effect have on the DGPS accuracy?

#### 6.6 Telemetry Antenna Interference Calculation

- 6.7 Antenna Rotation
- 6.8 Correction Message Interruption
- 6.9 Rocket Test

Conclusion

## Bibliography

- [1] Swiss Space Initiative, ARIS, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://aris-space.ch/
- [2] Intercollegiate Rocket Engineering Competition Rules & Requirements, Spaceport America Cup, 2017. [Online]. Available: http://www.soundingrocket.org/sa-cup-documents--forms.html
- [3] GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM STANDARD POSITIONING SERVICE PERFORMANCE STANDARD, U.S. Government, 2008. [Online]. Available: https://www.gps.gov/technical/ps/2008-SPS-performance-standard.pdf

## Appendices