

LUCERNE UNIVERSITY OF  
APPLIED SCIENCES AND ARTS

---

# ARIS - Localization of a Sounding Rocket via GPS

---

BACHELOR THESIS

SIMON HERZOG

Department

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

*Supervisor*

PROF. M. JOSS

*Expert*

W. SCHEIDEGGER

*Industry Partner*

O. KIRCHHOFF  
CEO ARIS

Classification: Access

April 23, 2018

# Declaration

I hereby declare and confirm that this thesis is entirely the result of my own original work. Where other sources of information have been used, they have been indicated as such and properly acknowledged. I further declare that this or similar work has not been submitted for credit elsewhere.

Horw April 23, 2018

Simon Herzog

# Abstract

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Framework . . . . .	1
1.2	Task Description . . . . .	2
1.3	Requirements . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>GPS Concept and Error Sources</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Predecessors . . . . .	3
2.2	Global Navigation Satellite Systems . . . . .	3
2.3	Error Sources . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Accuracy enhancement systems</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Carrier-Phase Measurements . . . . .	4
3.2	Differential GPS . . . . .	4
3.3	Real Time Kinematic . . . . .	4
3.4	Satellite-Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS) . . . . .	4
3.5	Summary . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Differential GPS concept for a sounding rocket</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>7</b>

---

<b>6</b>	<b>Testing and Validaton</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1	Testing Setup . . . . .	8
6.2	Static Accuracy Test . . . . .	8
6.3	Mobile Accuracy Test . . . . .	8
6.4	Distance Test . . . . .	9
6.5	Height Difference Test . . . . .	9
6.6	Telemetry Antenna Interference Calculation . . . . .	9
6.7	Antenna Rotation . . . . .	9
6.8	Correction Message Interruption . . . . .	9
6.9	Rocket Test . . . . .	9
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>12</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Global Navigation Satellite Systems, GNSS for short, are used in a wide variety of applications nowadays. The most prominent and oldest of which is GPS. It started as a military and maritime navigation aid, but is now used extensively in civil applications. This paper evaluates the use of GPS for the localization of a sounding rocket.

### 1.1 Framework

The need for such a project originated from ARIS, the Swiss Space Initiative or Akademische Raumfahrt Initiative Schweiz in German. ARIS was founded in 2017 by students from the ETH Zürich. Now, over 40 students from the ETH Zürich and the universities of applied sciences in Luzern (HSLU) and Zürich (ZHAW) work on its inaugural project. The goal of project TELL is to build a sounding rocket to compete in the Spaceport America Cup in New Mexico. More than 100 student teams compete there to launch a 4kg payload with a sounding rocket to a target altitude of 10000 feet or about 3km [1]. A maximum score of 1000 points can be reached. The points are distributed as follows:

- Delivery of Entry Form: 60 points - 6%
- Technical Report: 200 points - 20%
- Design Implementation: 240 points - 24%

- Flight Performance: 500 points - 50%

Points for flight performance are split between apogee relative to the target apogee (350 points) and successful recovery (150 points) [2]. The points for apogee precision are calculated with this formula:

$$Points = 350 - \left( \frac{350}{0.3 \times Apogee_{Target}} \right) \times |Apogee_{Target} - Apogee_{Actual}|$$

with  $Apogee_{Target} = 3000m$

For project TELL, GPS positioning is used to locate and recover the rocket.

1% less points (3.5 points)  $\hat{=}$  9m deviation

## 1.2 Task Decsription

## 1.3 Requirements

Scoring (1000 points possible)

# Chapter 2

## GPS Concept and Error Sources

Along with earlier navigation systems, this chapter explains the positioning concept of GPS and modern Global Navigation Satellite Systems(GNSS) in general. The error sources that impact the accuracy of GPS are listed and split into categories that determine in which segment those errors occur.

### 2.1 Predecessors

Before there was GPS, other systems that were mostly ground based and limited to a certain area were used to navigate.

### 2.2 Global Navigation Satellite Systems

### 2.3 Error Sources



# Chapter 3

## Accuracy enhancement systems

3.1 Carrier-Phase Measurements

3.2 Differential GPS

3.3 Real Time Kinematic

3.4 Satellite-Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS)

3.5 Summary

# Chapter 4

## Differential GPS concept for a sounding rocket

### Setup:

- Two M8T modules in the nosecone and one at the ground station.
- RF-uplink from ground station to rocket.

### Procedure:

- Ground station averages position measurements over a longer time to get reference position. This might not be the exact position, but this only results in a constant bias in the rockets absolute position. Reference position stays fixed when sending of differential corrections starts.
- Ground station calculates distance to each visible GPS satellite from reference position and ephemeris data.
- The difference between the calculated distance and the measured pseudorange is calculated for each satellite.
- RTCM 2.3 message 1 and 3 are created with the pseudorange corrections.
- The RTCM messages are sent to the rocket over the RF-link. The update rate of the corrections could be about 1Hz.

- On the rocket, the messages are fed into the UART interface of the GPS receiver which includes them in the position estimation.
- Tropospheric corrections could be added to the pseudorange corrections at the ground station or in the rocket.
- The first correction is sent when the rocket is still on the launch pad. One or more corrected measurements at the launch pad serve as the zero point of the trajectory.
- The position estimations during the flight can be differenced with the launch pad zero point to get the relative position to the launch pad. With this the reference position bias cancels out. For the rocket control and the post processing, the relative position to the launchpad is more relevant. The absolute position, where the reference position bias is still present, is only needed for the recovery of the rocket where accuracy is not as important.

# Chapter 5

## Implementation

# Chapter 6

## Testing and Validation

### 6.1 Testing Setup

Two receivers, with and without correction message input. Both can log data. Reference station with antenna pole, GPS receiver, laptop and XBee module. Software to visualize data.

### 6.2 Static Accuracy Test

Compare GPS positioning accuracy with and without correction messages to see if the accuracy is improved. The reference receiver measures the reference position over some hours. The rover is at a fixed and though Google Maps known location. The variance and bias are observed in both cases.

Is the bias improved? Is the variance improved?

### 6.3 Mobile Accuracy Test

Two receivers test while the rover is moving. A route is walked which was predetermined with Google Maps.

How accurate is the system when the rover is moving?

## 6.4 Distance Test

Two receiver test with a horizontal distance of about 3km between rover and reference station.

Does DGPS still improve the accuracy if the rover is 3km away from the reference station?

## 6.5 Height Difference Test

Two receiver test with the rover on a mountain which is about 3km higher than the reference station in the valley.

How much impact does the tropospheric height effect have on the DGPS accuracy?

## 6.6 Telemetry Antenna Interference Calculation

## 6.7 Antenna Rotation

## 6.8 Correction Message Interruption

## 6.9 Rocket Test

## Chapter 7

## Conclusion

# Bibliography

- [1] “Swiss space initiative,” ARIS, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://aris-space.ch/>
- [2] “Intercollegiate rocket engineering competition rules & requirements,” Spaceport America Cup, 2017. [Online]. Available: <http://www.soundingrocket.org/sa-cup-documents--forms.html>



# Appendices