

# Deep Reinforcement Learning Notebook

Han Cheol Moon  
School of Computer Science and Engineering  
Nanyang Technological University  
Singapore  
`hancheol001@edu.ntu.edu.sg`

February 24, 2023

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Markov Chain . . . . .	1
1.2	Markov Decision Process . . . . .	1
1.2.1	The State-Value Function . . . . .	3
1.2.2	The Action-Value Function . . . . .	3
1.2.3	The Action-Advantage Function . . . . .	4
1.2.4	Optimality . . . . .	4
1.3	Multi-Armed Bandits . . . . .	5
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>7</b>
A.1	Bellman Equation . . . . .	7
B.2	Laplace Approximation . . . . .	9
C.3	Regularized Logistic Regression . . . . .	9

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Markov Chain

- Reachable:  $i \rightarrow j$
- Communicate:  $i \leftrightarrow j$
- Irreducible:  $i \leftrightarrow j, \forall i, j$
- Absorbing state: If the only possible transition is to itself. This is also a terminal state.
- Transient state: A state  $s$  is called a transient state, if there is another state  $s'$ , that is reachable from  $s$ , but not vice versa.
- Recurrent state: A state that is not transient.
- Periodic: A state is periodic if all of the paths leaving  $s$  come back after some multiple steps ( $k > 1$ ).
  - Recurrent state is aperiodic if  $k = 1$ .
- Ergodicity if a Markov chain follows:
  - Irreducible
  - Recurrent
  - Aperiodic
- Steady-state probability distribution.

$$p_n = qP^n$$

### 1.2 Markov Decision Process

The general framework of MDPs (representing environments as MDPs) allows us to model virtually any complex sequential decision-making problem under uncertainty in a way that RL agents can interact with and learn to solve solely through experience.

Components of RL:

- Agent
- Action
- Environment
- State
- The knowledge of the state is fully observable or partially observable.
- Reward
- Episodic task: from an initial state to a terminal state.
- Continuing task: infinite horizon
- Policy

**Definition 1 (Markov Property)** A state  $S_t$  is **Markov** if and only if

$$P[S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t] = P[S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, \dots]$$

**Definition 2 (Transition Function)**

$$p(s'|s, a) = P(S_t = s'|S_{t-1} = s, A_{t-1} = a)$$

- The way the environment changes as a response to actions is referred to as the state-transition probabilities, or more simply, the transition function, and is denoted by  $T(s, a, s')$ .
- $\sum_{s' \in S} p(s'|s, a) = 1, \forall s \in S, \forall a \in A(s)$

**Definition 3 (Reward Function)**

$$r(s, a) = \mathbb{E}[R_t|S_{t-1} = s, A_{t-1} = a]$$

- The reward function is defined as a function that takes in a state-action pair.
- It is the expectation of reward at time step  $t$ , given the state-action pair in the previous time step.
- It can also be defined as a function that takes a full transition tuple  $s, a, s'$ .

$$r(s, a, s') = \mathbb{E}[R_t|S_{t-1} = s, A_{t-1} = a, S_t = s']$$

- $R_t \in \mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}$

**Definition 4 (Discount Factor,  $\gamma$ )**

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \dots + \gamma^{T-1} R_t$$

- $G_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$
- $G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1}$
- $\gamma = 0$ : myopic evaluation
- $\gamma = 1$ : far-sighted evaluation
- Uncertainty about the future that may not be fully observed
- Mathematically convenient to discount rewards.
- Avoid infinite returns in cyclic Markov processes.

### 1.2.1 The State-Value Function

**Definition 5 (The State-Value Function,  $V$ )** *The state value function  $v(s)$  of an Markov Reward Process is the expected return starting from state  $s$*

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s]$$

- The value of a state  $s$  is the expectation over policy  $\pi$ .
- Policies are universal plans, which provides all possible plans for all states.
  - Plans are not enough in stochastic environments.
  - Policy can be stochastic or deterministic.
  - A policy is a function that prescribes actions to take for a given non-terminal state.
- If we are given a policy and the MDP, we should be able to calculate the expected return starting from every single state.

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{\pi}(s) &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v(s_{t+1}) | S_t = s] \\
 &= \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) [r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')]
 \end{aligned}$$

- *Bellman equation*

### 1.2.2 The Action-Value Function

- Another critical question that we often need to ask is not merely about the value of a state but the value of taking action  $a$  at a state  $s$ .
- Which action is better under each policy?
- The action-value function, also known as  $Q$ -function or  $Q^{\pi}(s, a)$ , captures precisely this.

- The expected return if the agent follows policy  $\pi$  after taking action  $a$  in state  $s$ .

**Definition 6 (The Action-Value Function,  $Q$ )** *The action-value function  $q_\pi(s, a)$  is the expected return starting from state  $s$ , taking action  $a$  under policy  $\pi$*

$$q_\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a]$$

- The Bellman equation for action values is given by

$$\begin{aligned} q_\pi(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) [r + \gamma v_\pi(s')] \end{aligned}$$

- Notice that we do not weight over actions because we are interested only in a specific action.

### 1.2.3 The Action-Advantage Function

**Definition 7 (The Action-Advantage Function,  $A$ )**

$$a_\pi(s, a) = q_\pi(s, a) - v_\pi(s)$$

- The advantage function describes how much better it is to take action  $a$  instead of following policy  $\pi$ . In other words, the advantage of choosing action  $a$  over the default action.

### 1.2.4 Optimality

**Definition 8 (Optimal State-Value Function)** *The optimal state-value function  $v_*(s)$  is the maximum value over all policies*

$$v_*(s) = \max_{\pi} v_\pi(s)$$

- The optimal state-value function can be obtained as follows:

$$v_*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) [r + \gamma v_*(s')]$$

**Definition 9 (Optimal Action-Value Function)** *The optimal action-value function  $q_*(s, a)$  is the maximum value over all policies*

$$q_*(s, a) = \max_{\pi} q_\pi(s, a)$$

- The optimal state-value function can be obtained as follows:

$$q_*(s, a) = \max_a \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) [r + \gamma \max_{a'} q_*(s', a')]$$

- The optimal value function specifies the best possible performance in the MDP.
- The MDP is solved when we know the optimal value function

**Theorem 1 (Optimal Policy Theorem)**

$$\pi \geq \pi' \quad \text{if} \quad v_\pi(s) \geq v_{\pi'}(s), \forall s$$

For any Markov Decision Process:

- There exists an optimal policy  $\pi_*$  that is better than or equal to all other policies,  $\pi_* \geq \pi, \forall \pi$
- All optimal policies achieve the optimal value function,  $v_{\pi_*}(s) = v_*(s)$
- All optimal policies achieve the optimal action-value function,  $q_{\pi_*}(s, a) = q_*(s, a)$

An optimal policy can be found by maximizing over  $q_*(s, a)$ ,

$$\pi_*(a|s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = \underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} q_*(s, a) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- There is always a deterministic optimal policy for any MDP
- If we know  $q_*(s, a)$ , we immediately have the optimal policy
  - Q-learning: learns Q values first
  - Policy gradient: learns optimal policy without learning Q values

### 1.3 Multi-Armed Bandits

Bandit problems are stateless. Each arm has a fixed distribution of rewards. It does not depend on which arms were pulled previously. The goal is to explore the reward distributions of all arms and then keep pulling the best one.

Markov decision processes are a temporal extension of bandit problems: pulling an arm influences the future rewards. Technically, there is a state that changes by pulling an arm. The reward distributions depend on that state.

You can view bandit problems as Markov decision processes where all states are terminal. In that case, all decision sequences have a length of 1 and subsequent pulls don't influence each other.

- When the lever of a slot machine is pulled it gives a random reward coming from a probability distribution specific to that machine.
- Although the machines look identical, their reward probability distributions are different.
- In each turn, gamblers need to decide whether to play the machine that has given the highest average reward so far, or to try another machine.

# Bibliography

- [1] Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In *3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings*, 2015.
- [2] Rachit Singh. . [https://rachitsingh.com/elbo\\_surgery/](https://rachitsingh.com/elbo_surgery/), 2017. Online; accessed 29 January 2014.
- [3] Hany Hassan, Anthony Aue, Chang Chen, Vishal Chowdhary, Jonathan Clark, Christian Federmann, Xuedong Huang, Marcin Junczys-Dowmunt, William Lewis, Mu Li, Shujie Liu, Tie-Yan Liu, Renqian Luo, Arul Menezes, Tao Qin, Frank Seide, Xu Tan, Fei Tian, Lijun Wu, Shuangzhi Wu, Yingce Xia, Dongdong Zhang, Zhirui Zhang, and Ming Zhou. Achieving human parity on automatic chinese to english news translation. *CoRR*, abs/1803.05567, 2018.



# Appendix

## A.1 Bellman Equation

*Bellman equation* can be derived as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} | S_t = s] + \mathbb{E}_\pi[\gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \sum_{r_{t+1}} r_{t+1} P(R_{t+1} | S_t = s) + \mathbb{E}_\pi[\gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \sum_{r_{t+1}} r_{t+1} \sum_a P(R_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a) P(A_t = a | S_t = s) + \mathbb{E}_\pi[\gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \sum_a \sum_{r_{t+1}} r_{t+1} \sum_{s'} P(R_{t+1}, S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, A_t = a) P(A_t = a | S_t = s) + \mathbb{E}_\pi[\gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \sum_a \sum_r r \sum_{s'} P(s', r | s, a) \pi(a | s) + \mathbb{E}_\pi[\gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \sum_a \sum_{s'} r P(s', r | s, a) \pi(a | s) + \mathbb{E}_\pi[\gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \sum_a \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a \pi(a | s) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_{t+1} | S_t = s] \\
&= \sum_a \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a \pi(a | s) + \gamma \sum_a \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] P(A_t = a | S_t = s) \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{g_{t+1}} g_{t+1} P(G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a) \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{g_{t+1}} g_{t+1} \frac{P(G_{t+1}, S_t = s, A_t = a)}{P(S_t = s, A_t = a)} \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{g_{t+1}} g_{t+1} \frac{\sum_{s'} P(G_{t+1}, S_t = s, S_{t+1} = s', A_t = a)}{P(S_t = s, A_t = a)} \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{g_{t+1}} g_{t+1} \frac{\sum_{s'} P(G_{t+1} | s, s', a) P(s, s', a)}{P(s, a)} \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{g_{t+1}} g_{t+1} \sum_{s'} P(G_{t+1} | s, s', a) P(s' | s, a) \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s' | s, a) \sum_{g_{t+1}} g_{t+1} P(G_{t+1} | s') \right] \quad \text{by Markov Property} \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \left[ \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_\pi(s') \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \left[ \mathcal{R}_{ss'}^a + \gamma v_\pi(s') \right] \\
&= \sum_a \pi(a | s) \sum_{r, s'} p(s', r | s, a) \left[ r + \gamma v_\pi(s') \right]
\end{aligned}$$

The expectation here describes what we expect the return to be if we continue from state  $s$  following policy  $\pi$ . The expectation can be written explicitly by summing over all possible actions

and all possible returned states. The next two equations can help us make the next step.

Reference

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\pi(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] \\
&= \sum_{s'} \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a, S_{t+1} = s'] P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, A_t = a) \\
&= \sum_{s'} \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a, S_{t+1} = s'] \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a, S_{t+1} = s'] \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \\
&= \sum_{s'} \mathbb{E}_\pi[R_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a, S_{t+1} = s'] \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_{t+1} | S_{t+1} = s'] \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \\
&= \sum_{s'} \sum_r rp(s', r | s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} v_\pi(s') \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \\
&= \sum_{s'} \sum_r rp(s', r | s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \sum_{a'} q_\pi(s', a') \pi(a' | s') \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a
\end{aligned}$$

## B.2 Laplace Approximation

It works well

## C.3 Regularized Logistic Regression

$$\begin{aligned}
-\log p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{x}) &= -\underbrace{\log p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w})}_{\text{likelihood}} - \underbrace{\log p(\mathbf{w})}_{\text{Prior}} + \text{const.} \\
&= \sum_j \log \left( 1 + \exp(-y_j \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_j) \right) + \sum_i \frac{q_i (w_i - m_i)^2}{2} + \text{const.}'
\end{aligned}$$