(Same as Lecture (Aslidas) ANOVA table (For Ho: 7,= ... = 7t) (CRD) SST= SSF. - SSE Mean Squas Sum of Squas Source MST = SST F = usT = SST/4-1 usE = SSE/u-t Treatment u- ← Erra Recall (-1 = rank (Hx-Hx)

Total 65Total u-t=vank (I-+lx) 4-1 Sij = MTY; LEy) Coulal F Under Ho: 1, = 12 = - = 74 (Reall model (balanced desyn)

SST N  $\chi^{(t-1,0)}$  suds p = 0  $+ = \frac{SST}{4-1}$  N + (t-1, N-1) + (t-1, N-1) + (t-1, N-1)SSE ~ 2' (u.t,0)  $\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( M_i - \bar{M} \right)^2$ 

In general  $SSTNX_{(t-1,\lambda)}^{2}$   $SSENX_{(u+,\delta)}^{2}$ = ) = 55T/6-1 ~ F1 =) F= \frac{55E/4-4}{4-1,u-+,\(\Delta\)} ) paramoter Determining the number of vaplicates (lua CPD) Assume design is belanced! Idea: Focus on hypothesis botting to: 71= == 7+=0 US Ha! 1/2 70 Farsome i We have test statistic 7= 55/4-1
55E(n-t) whose Fc = aritical value For a given of we reject to IF Fobs > Fc such that  $P(F>F_c|H_0 true) = x$  and we know  $FNF_{(4-1,11+,0)}$  distribution (in R: 'qf' Function) (under He) Recall:

Type I Emu: Reject He when He true!

Prob (type I Emu) = x (controlled)

Type II Envoy: Not Reject He when He False!

Since test statistic is fixed then v, or and

P(type II Envoy) depends on r and r, ..., rt (since

null hypothesis (shot

true!)

So we know that when the is not true 
$$(H_0^c)$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{1 + 1} = \frac{$$

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1}$$

$$\frac$$

$$= V \stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}} (M_{\lambda} - \overline{\mu})^{2} = V \stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}} (M_{\lambda} - \overline{\mu})^{2} = \stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}} (\gamma_{\lambda} - \overline{\gamma})^{2}$$

$$\longrightarrow \lambda = V \stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}} (\gamma_{\lambda} - \overline{\gamma})^{2} = V \stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}} (M_{\lambda} - \overline{\mu})^{2}$$

$$\longrightarrow \lambda = V \stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}} (\gamma_{\lambda} - \overline{\gamma})^{2} = V \stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}} (M_{\lambda} - \overline{\mu})^{2}$$

Prob (typo I Enoi)=P(not Reject HolHo)=P(F<Fc(Ho)) we would like this to be large! Power (v, v, v) = 1-P(type I Enov) = 1-P(F<Fc |Hoc) = P(F>Fc |Hoc) = P(Reject Ho |Honof true) opper tail probability of a non-contral 7 distribution in Lab 6 we showed it was an increasing Function of  $\lambda$ . MAIN

IDEA G Tauget Power (r, r, r) = Po (0.9 say) O DEFERMINE r such that @ istrus (Notes) A J' is determine by experience or a pilot experiment.

(P) Y will be Fixed in a worst-case scenario (page)

The hardest situation to detect is when:

The ETPECTS OF two OF the Factor LEVELS (say First and differ by A last)

B All other = Frect are equal and iniducing

e.g.

A

e.g.

$$M+7_1 = K+4/2$$
 $M+7_2 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = K+3/2 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = K+3/2 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = K+3/2 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_{2-1} = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_2 = ... = M+7_2 = K$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_2 = ... = M+7_2 = ...$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_2 = ... = M+7_2 = ...$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_2 = M+7_3 = ... = M+7_2 = ...$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_1 = M+7_1$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_1 = M+7_1$ 
 $M+7_1 = M+7_1$ 
 $M+7_1 = K+4/2$ 
 $M+7_1 = M+7_1$ 
 $M+7_1 = M+$ 

AND YA Fackerial design  $y_{ijk} = M + Y_i + \alpha_j + \delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} = (1 \overline{X}, \overline{X}_2, \overline{X}_{int})$ SSE =  $y^T (I - H_X) y$  slags the same! Just different  $\overline{X}$  and =) different  $H_{\overline{X}}$ Test null hypothesis the  $\delta_{ij} = 0$  this (no interactions)

 $= \sum_{o} = (|X_i, X_2|) = |SSE_o| = |Y^T(I - H_{S_o})|$  since clearly  $H_{S_o}$  is also idempotent  $= I - H_{S_o}$  idempotent

Also SSE - SSE >0

SSES - SSE = y (Hx-Hx) y vank (Hx-Hx) = trace (Hx-Hx)

 $\Rightarrow SSE_{\delta} - SSE_{\delta} = y^{T} (H_{x} - H_{x_{\delta}}) y$   $= tvace(H_{x}) - tvace(H_{x_{\delta}}) = vank(x) - vank(x_{\delta})$   $= tvace(H_{x_{\delta}}) - tvace(H_{x_{\delta}}) = vank(x_{\delta}) - vank(x_{\delta})$  = (+(t-1) + (s-1) + (t-1) + (s-1) + (t-1) + (t-1

= (+(t-1) + (s-1) + (t-1) (s-1) - (1+(t-1)+(t-1)) = (t-1)(s-1)

Theorem =)

$$\frac{y^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0})q}{y^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0})q} \sim \chi^{2}_{((k-1)(S-1),\lambda)} \quad \text{whas} \quad \lambda = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{T}} \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0})\mu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{T}} \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0}) \mu \quad \text{what} \quad \lambda = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{T}} \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0}) \mu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{T}} \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0}) \mu \quad \text{what} \quad \lambda = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{T}} \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0}) \mu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{T}} \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0}) \mu \quad \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0}) \mu$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{T}} \mu^{T}(Hx-Hx_{0}) \mu$$

$$= \int_$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \beta^{T} X^{T} (X - H_{X_{0}} X) \beta$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \beta^{T} X^{T} (I - H_{X_{0}}) X \beta$$

or ≠ X0

For Factural Ho: 82j = Vaj (Samo as Loctus & Holdes) ANOVA Table Balanced design df Sum OF Squares Man Squos Source 55 lu+ SSInt (4-1)(5-1) SSIn+/(t-1)(s-1) ( \{-1) (5-1) interactins SSE/st(V-1) St (V-1) 55 E EWOV SSE/5+(v-1)

$$SSE/St(v-1)$$
 $SSE/St(v-1)$ 
 $SSE/St(v-1)$ 
 $SSE/St(v-1)$ 

Under Ho:

 $FNF(SN(v-1) SF(v)) OI$ 

FNF((6-1)(4-1), st/(-1), 0) - (1+(+-1)+(5-1)+(+-1)(5-()) u-+ - str lugeneral: X+5/++5-X-8+1 f.5 FNF((5-1)(5-1), 5+(1-1), 1)

= stv - st = st (v-1) ) in previous page!

# **Blocking**

- **Objective:** Reduce the variance of the experimental error  $(\sigma^2)$  and increase the power for detecting treatment factor effects so that results **generalise to whole population**
- ► Choose the experimental units for a study to be as homogeneous as possible. Sometimes difficult!
- Heterogeneous experimental units are grouped into homogeneous subgroups before they are randomly assigned to treatment factor levels
- ► The act of grouping the experimental units together in homogeneous groups is called blocking.
- ▶ In a randomized block design, a group of heterogeneous experimental units is used so that the conclusions can be more general

# Examples of blocking

- Plots of land in agricultural experiments are usually blocked by proximity because plots in close proximity normally have similar soil characteristics
- When experimental units are animals, the grouping (blocking) of genetically similar animals, such as littermates, often reduces variability within groups
- When experimental units are trials, or points in time where treatments will be applied, they are often **blocked by time** since many lurking variables may change over time and trials in close temporal proximity are more alike

# Randomized complete block design (RCB) with one treatment factor

- ► Treatment factor has t levels
- b blocks (or subgroups of homogeneous experimental units)
- Each block contains exactly t experimental units for a total of  $t \times b$  experimental units
- ► The *t* experimental units within each block are as similar as possible
- ► The groups of experimental units vary enough from block to block to allow general conclusions to be drawn
- ► The randomization of experimental units to treatment factor levels is performed within each block.

# Comparison between CRD and RCB t = 3, b = 4

```
levels<-c("level 1"."level 2"."level 3")</pre>
fac <- levels %>% rep(each = 4) %>% sample(12) %>% factor()
blocks <- factor( rep(c("block 1", "block 2", "block 3", "block 4"), each=3))
CRD <- data.frame(units=1:12,block=blocks,treatmentCRD=fac)
block1 <- sample(levels,3); block2 <- sample(levels,3)</pre>
block3 <- sample(levels,3); block4 <- sample(levels,3)</pre>
t<-c(block1,block2,block3,block4) %>% factor()
RCB<-data.frame(block = blocks, treatmentRCB = t)</pre>
cbind(CRD,RCB)
  units
          block treatmentCRD
                              block treatmentRCB
      1 block 1
                                        level 1
1
                    level 1 block 1
2
      2 block 1
                    level 1 block 1
                                        level 2
      3 block 1 level 3 block 1 level 3
      4 block 2 level 3 block 2 level 3
5
      5 block 2 level 1 block 2 level 1
6
      6 block 2 level 3 block 2 level 2
      7 block 3
                    level 3 block 3
                                        level 1
      8 block 3
                    level 2 block 3
                                        level 3
9
      9 block 3
                    level 2 block 3 level 2
     10 block 4
                                        level 3
10
                    level 2 block 4
11
     11 block 4
                    level 1 block 4
                                        level 2
12
     12 block 4
                    level 2 block 4
                                        level 1
```

#### Statistical model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + b_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

#### where

- ▶  $b_i$  is the effect of block  $i \in \{1, ..., b\}$
- $ightharpoonup au_1, \ldots, au_t$  are the treatment effects
- ▶ All  $\{\epsilon_{ii}\}$  are  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  and independent
- ▶ Note: There is no interaction between block and treatment
- Only t × b experimental units, there would be zero degrees of freedom for the error term ssE if a block by treatment interaction term were included!

For Randomised complete block design ANOVA yij = M+ bi + ? + Eii Model  $\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{b}$ =) in matrix zam J=XB+E / Ho: bi = 0 4 i us +la: bi =0 70 some i under Ho: Sij = M+ Pj + Eij =) 10 matrix  $y = \underline{X}_{06} \times + \underline{\epsilon} \quad \underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_L \end{pmatrix}$ Xob:= (1, V), Xor = (1, U) Hb: 7; =0 4; vs Hq: 7; 70 Some in under Ho yij = M+bi + Eij

=) y = Xor & FE,

55 Blocks = SSE (H(b)) -SSE

SST=SSE<sub>(Ho<sup>(7)</sup>)</sub>-SSE

= y (Hx - Hxx) 4

y (Hx -Hx 06) y

w, we can define

$$SSE = Y^{T}(I - H_{Z})Y$$

rank(I-Hz) = N-vak(Z)

= +b - + -b + ( = +(b-1) - (b-1)

 $= t \cdot b - ((+t-(+b-1))$ 

rank(Hz-Hzob) =rank(X)-rank(Xob)=

= 1++-1+b-1 - (1++-1)= b-1

= (t-1)(b-1)

Vank (Hz-Hzor) =

/= 1++-1-b-1- (1+b-1)=+-1

=) 55E ~ X((1-1)(b-1),d)

SSBroces No of (b-1, hb)

y P = WI (I-HED)W/LT

AL = MT (I-HEOT)M/TL

=) 55T ~ X(6-1, 27)

ANOVA Table For RCB

Source	df	Sylves	MGau DUWGS	7 vato
Blocks	b-1	SS Bucces	55 Blocks b-1	
Treatments	<del>-</del> -1	SST	55T +-1	55T/ 4-1 55E/ (6-1)(6-1)
Error	(4-1)(6-1)	SSE	SSE (t-1)(b-1)	

$$\mp = \frac{SSI/_{t-1}}{SSE/_{(t-1)(b-1)}} N \mp_{(t-1)(t-1)(b-1)} \lambda)$$