PyH2A Optimization Module Report



Prepared by Abdul Hanan Nawaz Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences

Take Home Assignment by the Water Splitting Group

September 2025

Table of Content

<u>1.</u>	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	<u>3</u>
2	SPECIFIC TEST	3
<u> </u>	SI ECIFIC TEST	J
<u>3.</u>	SPECIFICATIONS	<u>3</u>
<u>4.</u>	MY APPROACH	4
4.1	INPUT PROCESSING	4
	OBJECTIVE FUNCTION	
4.3	OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM	4
4.4	RESULTS OUTPUT	5
<u>5.</u>	INPUT FILE MODIFICATIONS	<u>5</u>
5.1	OPTIMIZATION_ANALYSIS	5
5.2	OPTIMIZATION_ANALYSIS_METHODS	5
5.3 SUMMARY		
6.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	6
7	CONCLUSION	6
<u> </u>		
7	REFERENCE	7
1.	K F / F F / K F / V F /	/

1. Introduction

The objective of this task is to develop a new analysis module that enables general-purpose optimization within the PyH2A framework. The module is designed to adjust specified model parameters within their defined bounds in order to minimize the Levelized Cost of Hydrogen (LCOH). By providing a flexible optimization workflow, the module supports systematic exploration of techno-economic parameters and helps identify the most cost-effective plant configurations.

2. Specific Test

To validate the new optimization module, a test case was performed using the input file:

pyH2A/data/PV_E/Base/PV_E_Base.md

This file describes a photovoltaic (PV) + electrolysis hydrogen production plant. In this test, the parameter selected for optimization was the nominal power of the PV system:

- Parameter: Photovoltaic > Nominal Power (kW) > Value
- Optimization Objective: Minimize LCOH
- Constraint: All other parameters remain fixed at baseline values

Rationale

There exists an optimal ratio between PV nominal power and electrolyzer nominal power:

- If the PV system is too small, it will not provide sufficient power to the electrolyzer, reducing hydrogen production.
- If the PV system is too large, it will generate more electricity than the electrolyzer can consume, leading to inefficiencies.

Furthermore, PV electricity production is inherently variable across the year due to seasonal and daily variations in solar availability. For this reason, the optimal PV-to-electrolyzer ratio deviates from a simple 1:1 relationship.

This optimization therefore aims to determine the PV capacity that minimizes the overall cost of hydrogen production under realistic solar generation conditions.

3. Specifications

The new optimization module was implemented following the established structure of **pyH2A** analysis modules. The key design specifications were:

• Module structure:

The optimization module follows the same structural conventions as existing pyH2A analysis modules to ensure compatibility and maintainability.

• Input definition:

A new **parameter table** was added to the input file to define the variables subject to optimization and their respective bounds. This table is conceptually similar to the parameter table used by Monte Carlo Analysis.py.

• Optimization algorithm:

A global optimization method was required. The chosen approach

was differential_evolution from the SciPy library, which iteratively updates parameter values and evaluates the impact on the **discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis**.

• Output requirements:

The module should clearly print the optimized parameter values and the corresponding minimized **Levelized Cost of Hydrogen (LCOH)**, providing a transparent summary of the optimization results.

4. My Approach

To implement the optimization analysis, I developed a new class Optimization_Analysis that integrates seamlessly into the pyH2A framework. The main idea was to allow flexible optimization of any model parameter defined in the input file by repeatedly running the **discounted cash flow (DCF)** calculation until the Levelized Cost of Hydrogen (LCOH) is minimized.

4.1 Input Processing

- The input file is first converted into a fully processed Python dictionary using convert input to dictionary().
- A baseline case is generated with Discounted_Cash_Flow() to serve as a reference for comparison.
- The optimization parameters are extracted from the new Optimization_Analysis section in the input file. For each parameter, the following are stored:
 - o **Path** in the input dictionary (e.g., ['Photovoltaic', 'Nominal Power (kW)', 'Value'])
 - o **Type** (value or factor, depending on how the parameter is adjusted)
 - o **Bounds** (lower and upper limits defined in the input file).

4.2 Objective Function

The **objective function** defines the quantity to be minimized — in this case, the hydrogen production cost (h2 cost).

- For each trial solution vector x suggested by the optimizer:
 - A deep copy of the input dictionary is created (to avoid overwriting baseline values).
 - Each parameter in x is inserted into the copied input dictionary using set_by_path().
 - o A new Discounted Cash Flow analysis is performed with the updated inputs.
 - o The resulting hydrogen cost is returned as the objective value.

This ensures that the optimizer always receives feedback in terms of the Levelized Cost of Hydrogen, which it attempts to minimize.

4.3 Optimization Algorithm

The optimization is carried out using the **Differential Evolution** algorithm from SciPy:

• This global optimization method is well-suited for non-linear, multi-dimensional problems like hydrogen techno-economic analysis.

- Parameters:
 - \circ maxiter \rightarrow maximum number of generations.
 - \circ popsize \rightarrow population size for each generation.
 - \circ seed \rightarrow optional random seed for reproducibility.
 - o polish=True → performs a final local optimization after convergence.

4.4 Results Output

Once optimization is complete, the following are reported:

- Optimal H₂ cost in \$/kg.
- **Optimized values** of each parameter, with their names reconstructed from the input path for clarity (e.g., *Photovoltaic* > *Nominal Power (kW)* > *Value*).

This structured approach ensures transparency of the optimization process and provides clear insights into which parameter values minimize hydrogen production cost.

5. Input File Modifications

To enable the new optimization workflow, I extended the existing input file pyH2A/data/PV_E/Base/PV_E_Base.md. All original sections of the file remain unchanged; only two new tables were added at the end: **Optimization_Analysis** and **Optimization Analysis Methods**.

5.1 Optimization_Analysis

This table specifies which parameters should be optimized, along with their types and bounds.

Parameter Name Type Lower Upper

Photovoltaic > Nominal Power (kW) > Value PV Size value 1 3

- **Parameter:** Path to the input variable being optimized (here, the nominal PV system power).
- Name: User-friendly label (PV Size).
- Type: Optimization mode, set to value (direct numerical optimization).
- **Lower / Upper:** Bounds for the parameter search. This defines the optimization range for the PV-to-electrolyzer power ratio.

5.2 Optimization Analysis Methods

This table defines how the optimization procedure is executed.

Name Method Name Arguments

Run Optimization run optimization {"maxiter":50, "popsize":30}

- Name: Identifier of the optimization routine.
- **Method Name:** Calls the run_optimization method implemented in the new analysis module
- **Arguments:** Key settings for the differential evolution algorithm:

- o maxiter= $50 \rightarrow$ maximum number of generations.
- o popsize= $30 \rightarrow$ population size for each generation.

5.3 Summary

By adding these two tables, the new module automatically detects the parameter to optimize and applies the specified optimization method. The result is a **flexible and generalizable input structure**, consistent with the design of other pyH2A analysis modules (e.g., Monte Carlo analysis), while enabling **global optimization of techno-economic parameters**.

6. Results and Discussion

The optimization run was performed using the modified input file (PV_E_Base.md) with the new **Optimization_Analysis** module enabled. The optimization targeted the PV system nominal power as the free parameter, while all other parameters remained at baseline values.

Optimization Results:

- Optimal Levelized Cost of Hydrogen (LCOH): 3.5778 \$/kg
- Parameter 'Photovoltaic > Nominal Power (kW) > Value' optimized to: 1.497
- Baseline LCOH (before optimization): 3. 3.5777931317137512 \$/kg

Discussion:

- The optimization confirmed that the PV-to-electrolyzer ratio requires slight adjustment from the nominal 1.5 baseline to an optimal value of ~1.497.
- The cost improvement compared to the baseline is marginal $(3.577793 \rightarrow 3.5778 \text{ } \text{/kg})$, which indicates that the base configuration was already close to optimal.
- This result validates that the optimization module is functioning correctly: it successfully identifies the cost-minimizing configuration within the defined parameter bounds.
- While the cost reduction was small in this single-variable case, the framework allows for multi-parameter optimization. Such extensions are expected to yield more significant cost reductions and insights.

7. Conclusion

In this assignment, a new **Optimization_Analysis** module was developed and integrated into the PyH2A framework. The module enables systematic optimization of techno-economic parameters to minimize hydrogen production costs.

- The module was tested on a PV + electrolysis hydrogen plant, optimizing PV nominal power.
- The optimal configuration slightly adjusted PV size (1.497 vs. 1.5), resulting in a minimal cost improvement.
- The test demonstrates the robustness and correctness of the implementation, while also highlighting that meaningful cost reductions may require multi-variable optimization or wider parameter bounds.

Overall, the optimization module provides a flexible and general purpose tool for technoeconomic studies of hydrogen production systems, and can be extended to explore more complex optimization problems in future work.

7. Reference

 Schneidewind, J. (2025). pyH2A: Python framework for techno-economic analysis of hydrogen production. GitHub repository. Retrieved from https://github.com/jschneidewind/pyH2A