

# Mathematical Statistics

## Assignment 5

Hanbin Liu 11912410

### 5.1 Solution

$\mathbb{C} = \{1, 7, 3, 8, 4\}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(0) &= \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0) \\ &= \Pr(X = 1 \mid 0) + \Pr(X = 7 \mid 0) + \Pr(X = 3 \mid 0) + \Pr(X = 8 \mid 0) + \Pr(X = 4 \mid 0) \\ &= 0 + 0.01 + 0.02 + 0.07 + 0.05 \\ &= 0.15,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(1) &= \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C}' \mid 0) \\ &= \Pr(X = 5 \mid 1) + \Pr(X = 9 \mid 1) + \Pr(X = 10 \mid 1) + \Pr(X = 6 \mid 1) + \Pr(X = 2 \mid 1) \\ &= 0.03 + 0.02 + 0.04 + 0.01 + 0 \\ &= 0.1.\end{aligned}$$

□

### 5.2 Solution

$$0.1 = p(0.5) = \Pr(Y \geq c \mid 0.5) = \Pr\left(\frac{Y - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1-\theta)}} \geq \frac{c - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1-\theta)}} \mid 0.5\right) = \Pr\left(Z \geq \frac{2c - n}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ . Thus,

$$\frac{2c - n}{\sqrt{n}} = z_{0.01}. \quad (1)$$

$$0.95 = p\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \Pr(Y \geq c \mid \frac{2}{3}) = \Pr\left(\frac{Y - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1-\theta)}} \geq \frac{c - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1-\theta)}} \mid \frac{2}{3}\right) = \Pr\left(Z \geq \frac{3c - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}}\right),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{3c - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} = z_{0.95}. \quad (2)$$

By (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{cases} n = (3z_{0.1} + 2\sqrt{2}z_{0.05})^2 = 72.2086 \\ c = \frac{1}{2}(3z_{0.1} + 2\sqrt{2}z_{0.05})^2 + \frac{1}{2}z_{0.1}(3z_{0.1} + 2\sqrt{2}z_{0.05}) = 41.5495 \end{cases}$$

Approximately,  $n = 72$  and  $c = 42$ .

□

### 5.3 Solution

(a)

$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \Gamma(2n, \theta)$ . The pdf of  $Y$  is given by

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta^{2n}}{\Gamma(2n)} e^{-\theta y} y^{2n-1}, & y > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(b)

$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \theta^{2n} (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i) e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ . Since  $\theta_1 > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{L(1)}{L(\theta_1)} = \theta_1^{-2n} e^{(\theta_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \leq k,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \frac{\log k - 2n \log \frac{1}{\theta_1}}{\theta_1 - 1} = c.$$

Thus, a test  $\varphi$  of size  $\alpha$  with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq c\}$$

is the most powerful test for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_1$ .

Next, we find  $c$ . Under  $H_0$ ,  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \Gamma(2n, 1)$ . Then

$$2Y \sim \Gamma\left(\frac{4n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \chi^2(4n).$$

Hence,

$$\alpha = \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C} \mid H_0) = \Pr(Y \leq c \mid H_0) = \Pr(2Y \leq 2c \mid H_0).$$

i.e.,  $1 - \alpha = \Pr(2Y > 2c \mid H_0)$ .

Then,  $2c = \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 4n)$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 4n)$ .

(c)

$$p(\theta) = \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C} \mid \theta) = \Pr(Y \leq c \mid \theta) = \int_0^c \frac{\theta^{2n}}{\Gamma(2n)} y^{2n-1} e^{-\theta y} dy.$$

□

### 5.4 Solution

(a)

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)^{\theta-1}, \quad 0 < x_i < 1.$$

Then,

$$\frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} = \frac{1}{\theta_1^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)^{\theta_1-1}} \leq k,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \geq c.$$

Therefore, a test  $\varphi$  for size  $\alpha$  with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x : \log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \geq c\}$$

is the most powerful test for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_1$ . To determine  $c$ , we note that

$$Y_i = -\log(1 - X_i) \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta) = \Gamma(1, \theta).$$

Then we have

$$-\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - X_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \Gamma(n, \theta).$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \Gamma(n, 1)$  and

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \Gamma\left(\frac{2n}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \chi^2(2n).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \Pr(X \in \mathbb{C} \mid H_0) = \Pr(\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - X_i) \geq c \mid H_0) \\ &= \Pr(-2 \log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - X_i) \leq -2c \mid H_0) = \Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \leq -2c \mid H_0). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$1 - \alpha = \Pr(\chi^2(2n) > -2c).$$

Thus,

$$-2c = \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n) \implies c = -\frac{1}{2} \chi^2(1 - \alpha, 2n).$$

(b)

$\Theta_0 = \{1\}$ ,  $\Theta_1 = (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$  and  $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 = \Theta = \Theta^* = (0, \infty)$ .

$$L(\theta) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)^{\theta-1}, \quad l(\theta) = n \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i), \quad l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i).$$

The MLE of  $\theta$  is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{-n}{\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)}.$$

Then we have

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{L(1)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}^n (\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i))^{\hat{\theta}-1}} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{-n}{\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)}\right)^n (\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i))^{\frac{-n}{\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)} - 1}}.$$

Therefore, the critical region that rejecting  $H_0$  is

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x : \lambda(x) \leq \lambda_\alpha\} = \left\{x : \left(\frac{-n}{\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)}\right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)\right)^{\frac{-n}{\log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)} - 1} \geq c\right\}.$$

Let

$$Q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i), \quad h(Q) = (-n/\log Q)^n Q^{-n/\log Q - 1}.$$

To determine  $c$ , we have

$$\log h(Q) = n \log n - n \log(-\log Q) - n - \log Q,$$

and

$$\frac{d \log h(Q)}{dQ} = \frac{n}{Q(-\log Q)} - \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{n + \log Q}{Q(-\log Q)}.$$

Solving  $\frac{d \log h(Q)}{dQ} = 0$  yields that  $Q = e^{-n}$ . Since  $Q = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \in (0, 1)$ , it then follows that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d \log h(Q)}{dQ} = \frac{n + \log Q}{Q(-\log Q)} < 0, & \text{if } Q < e^{-n}, \\ \frac{d \log h(Q)}{dQ} = \frac{n + \log Q}{Q(-\log Q)} > 0, & \text{if } Q > e^{-n}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $Q = e^{-n}$  is the minimum of  $h(Q)$ , and  $h(Q)$  is decreasing when  $Q < e^{-n}$  and increasing when  $Q > e^{-n}$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{C} = \{x : (-n/\log Q)^n Q^{-n/\log Q - 1} \geq c\} = \{x : Q \leq c_1 \text{ or } Q \geq c_2\}.$$

Hence, we need to determine  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \Pr(Q \leq c_1 \text{ or } Q \geq c_2 \mid H_0) \\ &= \Pr(Q(x) \leq c_1 \mid H_0) + \Pr(Q(x) \geq c_2 \mid H_0). \end{aligned}$$

Using equal-tail approach, it then follows that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} = \Pr(Q(x) \leq c_1 \mid H_0) = \Pr(Q(x) \geq c_2 \mid H_0).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{2} &= \Pr(Q(x) \leq c_1 \mid H_0) \\ &= \Pr(\log Q(x) \leq \log c_1 \mid H_0) \\ &= \Pr(-2 \log Q(x) \geq -2 \log c_1 \mid H_0), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $-2 \log c_1 = \chi^2(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2n)$ . i.e.,  $c_1 = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2n)\}$ .

Similarly, we can obtain that  $c_2 = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, 2n)\}$ . Therefore, a test  $\varphi$  with critical region

$$\mathbb{C} = \left\{x : \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \leq \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\frac{\alpha}{2}, 2n)\} \text{ or } \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) \geq \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, 2n)\}\right\}$$

is the LRT for testing  $H_0 : \theta = 1$  against  $H_1 : \theta \neq 1$ . □

## 5.5 Solution

**Step 1** We consider to test

$$H_{0s} : \theta = \theta_0 \text{ against } H_{1s} : \theta = \theta_1 (< \theta_0).$$

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}.$$

The ratio is given by

$$\frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2}}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_1)^2}} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_0 - \theta_1)(2x_i - \theta_0 - \theta_1)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\theta_1)} \leq k &\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_0 - \theta_1)(2x_i - \theta_0 - \theta_1) \leq \log k \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \frac{2 \log k}{\theta_0 - \theta_1} + \pi(\theta_0 + \theta_1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \bar{x} \leq c.\end{aligned}$$

The critical region is  $\mathbb{C} = \{x : \bar{x} \leq c\}$ . To find  $c$ ,

$$\alpha = \Pr(x \in \mathbb{C} \mid \theta_0) = \Pr(\bar{x} \leq c \mid \theta_0).$$

Since  $\bar{X} \sim N(\theta, \frac{1}{n})$ , it then follows that

$$\alpha = \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{1/n}} \leq \frac{c - \theta_0}{\sqrt{1/n}}\right) = \Pr(z \leq \sqrt{n}(c - \theta_0)),$$

which implies that

$$\sqrt{n}(c - \theta_0) = z_{1-\alpha} \Rightarrow c = \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + \theta_0.$$

Thus, a test  $\varphi$  with critical region  $\mathbb{C} = \{x : \bar{x} \leq \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + \theta_0\}$  is the MPT of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_{0s} : \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_{1s} : \theta = \theta_1 (< \theta_0)$ .

**Step 2** Note that  $c = \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + \theta_0$  does not depend on the value of  $\theta_1$ . It only needs  $\theta_1 < \theta_0$ . Therefore, the test  $\varphi$  is also the UMPT of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_{0s} : \theta = \theta_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ .

**Step 3**

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\theta \geq \theta_0} p_\varphi(\theta) &= \sup_{\theta \geq \theta_0} \Pr(\bar{X} \leq \frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + \theta_0 \mid \theta) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \geq \theta_0} \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\sqrt{1/n}} \leq \frac{\frac{z_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} + \theta_0 - \theta}{\sqrt{1/n}}\right) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \geq \theta_0} \Pr(Z \leq z_{1-\alpha} + \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta)) \\ &= \Pr(Z \leq z_{1-\alpha} + \sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \theta_0)) \\ &= \Pr(Z \leq z_{1-\alpha}) \\ &= \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the test  $\varphi$  is also the UMPT of size  $\alpha$  for testing  $H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0$  against  $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ . □

## 5.10 Solution

$n = 556$ ,  $m = 4$ , it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{n0} &= \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{(N_j - np_{j0})^2}{np_{j0}} \\ &= \frac{(315 - 312.75)^2}{321.75} + \frac{(108 - 104.25)^2}{104.25} + \frac{(101 - 104.25)^2}{104.25} + \frac{(32 - 34.75)^2}{34.75} \\ &= 0.4700 < \chi^2(0.05, 3) = 7.8147.\end{aligned}$$

We cannot reject  $H_0$ , so the data are consistent at the size of 0.05 with the null hypothesis. □

### 5.11 Solution

$n = 300$ ,  $m = 6$ , it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n0} &= \sum_{j=1}^6 \frac{(N_j - np_{j0})^2}{np_{j0}} \\ &= \frac{(43 - 50)^2 + (49 - 50)^2 + (56 - 50)^2 + (45 - 50)^2 + (66 - 50)^2 + (41 - 50)^2}{50} \\ &= 8.96 < \chi^2(0.05, 5) = 11.07. \end{aligned}$$

We cannot reject  $H_0$ , so the data are consistent at the size of 0.05 with the null hypothesis. □