

## Assignments: Chapter 3(the third lesson)

- 3.8** The data file retail lists total U.K. (United Kingdom) retail sales (in billions of pounds) from January 1986 through March 2007. The data are not “seasonally adjusted,” and year 2000 = 100 is the base year.
- (a) Display and interpret the time series plot for these data. Be sure to use plotting symbols that permit you to look for seasonality.
  - (b) Use least squares to fit a seasonal-means plus linear time trend to this time series. Interpret the regression output and save the standardized residuals from the fit for further analysis.
  - (c) Construct and interpret the time series plot of the standardized residuals from part (b). Be sure to use proper plotting symbols to check on seasonality.
- 3.14** (Continuation of Exercise 3.8) The data file retail contains U.K. monthly retail sales figures.
- (a) Obtain the least squares residuals from a seasonal-means plus linear time trend model.
  - (b) Perform a runs test on the standardized residuals and interpret the results.
  - (c) Calculate and interpret the sample autocorrelations for the standardized residuals.
  - (d) Investigate the normality of the standardized residuals (error terms). Consider histograms and normal probability plots. Interpret the plots.

Additional problem. Data  $\{y_t\}_{t=1}^T$  are drawn from the stationary time series

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + u_t,$$

where  $u_t$ 's are weak white noises, i.e.,  $\text{cov}(u_t, x_t) = 0$ . Ordinary Least square (OLS) regression method can be used to obtain the estimate of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ . So, we minimize

$$\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_t)^2.$$

Let the resulting estimation of  $\beta_0, \beta_1$  be  $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1$ . Then  $\hat{y}_t = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_t$  is the fitted value of  $y_t$ ,  $\hat{u}_t = y_t - \hat{y}_t = y_t - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_t$  is the residuals. Denote by  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t$ ,  $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t$ ,  $\bar{\hat{y}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{y}_t = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_t)$ .

### Questions:

(1) . To prove the algebraic properties of the above OLS regression satisfies

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t = 0, \quad \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \hat{u}_t = 0, \quad \bar{y} = \bar{\hat{y}}$$

(Hints). To prove the above conclusions from the first order of derivatives

of  $\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_t)^2$

(2) . It is known that  $SST = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2$ ,  $SSR = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}_t - \bar{\hat{y}})^2$ ,

$SSE = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2$  are the total sum of squares ( proportional to the variance of the data), the explained sum of squares, the residual sum of squares, respectively. The R-square(Goodness of Fit) is defined as

$$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} \quad (1)$$

Another definition of R-square is

$$R^2 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})(\hat{y}_t - \bar{\hat{y}})}{(\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \bar{y})^2) \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}_t - \bar{\hat{y}})^2} \quad (2)$$

which is asymptotically equal to the squared correlation coefficient between the actual and the fitted value of dependent variable, i.e

$$\rho^2(y_t, \hat{y}_t) = \frac{\text{cov}^2(y_t, \hat{y}_t)}{\text{var}(y_t) \text{var}(\hat{y}_t)}$$

Please prove that the two definitions of (1)-(2) are asymptotically equal (the conclusions of (1) may be useful).