



On a paper of Beltrán and Shao about coprime action

H. Meng^{a,b}, A. Ballester-Bolinches^{c,d}^a Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, People's Republic of China^b Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat de València, Burjassot, València, Spain^c Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, 510310, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China^d Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat de València, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 August 2019

Received in revised form 27

November 2019

Available online 21 January 2020

Communicated by A. Solotar

MSC:

20D10; 20D25

Keywords:

Finite groups

Coprime action

Solubility

 p -nilpotency

ABSTRACT

Assume that A and G are finite groups of coprime orders such that A acts on G via automorphisms. Let p be a prime. The following coprime action version of a well-known theorem of Itô about the structure of a minimal non- p -nilpotent groups is proved: if every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is p -nilpotent, then G is p -soluble. If, moreover, G is not p -nilpotent, then G must be soluble. Some earlier results about coprime action are consequences of this theorem.

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are supposed to be finite and we follow standard notation (e.g. [4]).

Let A and G be groups and assume that A acts on G via automorphisms. If we further assume that A and G are coprime orders, many results about G admit versions in which only the A -invariant structure is taken into account. For instance, Beltrán and Shao ([2, Theorem A]) proved that if every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is nilpotent but G is not nilpotent, then G is soluble, $|G|$ is divisible by two distinct primes and G has a normal Sylow subgroup.

This result is a coprime action version of an important and classical result of Schmidt ([4, Satz III.5.1, Satz III.5.2]) about the structure of a minimal non-nilpotent group, to which it reduces when $G = C_G(A)$. If $C_G(A) < G$, then G is soluble by [1, Theorem B]. We should stress that the proof of that result relies on the classification of simple groups.

E-mail addresses: hangyangmenges@gmail.com (H. Meng), Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es (A. Ballester-Bolinches).

In this paper, we also study the situation in where a group G is acted by a group A such that $(|G|, |A|) = 1$. Our concern is with local versions of the Beltrán and Shao's theorem.

Let p be a prime. A group G is said to be p -nilpotent if G has a normal Hall p' -subgroup. Clearly, p -nilpotency is a local version of nilpotency since a group G is nilpotent if and only if it is p -nilpotent for all primes p .

According to a result of Itô ([4, Satz IV.5.4]), the minimal non- p -nilpotent groups are just the minimal non-nilpotent groups. This significant result has many applications in the structural study of groups.

Our main result is a coprime action version of Itô's theorem and it is an extension of [2, Theorem A].

Theorem A. *Let p be a prime. Assume that a group A acts coprimely on a group G . If every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is p -nilpotent, then G is p -soluble.*

We highlight that Theorem A is not a mere exercise in generalisation. On one hand, a local version of [1, Theorem B] does not hold in general: if $G = \text{PSL}_2(2^5)$ and A is the group of field automorphisms of G , then $C_G(A)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree 3 so that it is 2-nilpotent. On the other hand, if p is odd, the proof of the p -solubility of G does not depend on the classification of simple groups. This is the reason why we consider the case $p = 2$ separately.

Theorem 1. *Let p be an odd prime. Assume that a group A acts coprimely on a group G . If every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is p -nilpotent, then G is p -soluble.*

Note that a group G is soluble if and only if G is p -soluble for every odd prime p . Hence we have:

Corollary 2 (see [2, Theorem A]). *Let A and G be groups of coprime orders and assume that A acts on G by automorphisms. If every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is nilpotent, then G is soluble.*

The proof for the case $p = 2$ depends on the classification of simple groups.

Theorem 3. *Assume that a group A acts coprimely on a group G . If every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is 2-nilpotent, then G is soluble.*

It is interesting to note that an A -version of Itô's theorem follows from Theorems 1 and 3.

Corollary 4. *Let p be a prime. Assume that a group A acts coprimely on a group G . If every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is p -nilpotent but G is not p -nilpotent, then G has an A -invariant normal Sylow p -subgroup. Moreover, G is of order $p^a q^b$ for some prime $q \neq p$. In particular, G is soluble.*

Proof. We prove that G has an A -invariant normal Sylow p -subgroup by induction on $|G|$. Let N be an A -invariant normal subgroup of G . Then A acts coprimely on G/N and every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G/N is p -nilpotent. If G/N is not p -nilpotent, then the inductive hypothesis applies to this action.

Assume that $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$. Since G is not p -nilpotent, it follows that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is not p -nilpotent. The inductive hypothesis yields that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ has an A -invariant normal Sylow p -subgroup. Moreover, by [6, 8.2.3], G has an A -invariant Sylow p -subgroup, P say. Then $PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$ is a normal A -invariant Sylow p -subgroup of $G/O_{p'}(G)$ and so $PO_{p'}(G) \leq G$. The Frattini argument implies that $G = O_{p'}(G)N_G(P)$. Since $N_G(P)$ is an A -invariant non- p -nilpotent subgroup of G , it follows that $N_G(P) = G$, as desired.

Assume that $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Since G is p -soluble by Theorems 1 and 3, we have that $O_p(G) \neq 1$. If $G/O_p(G)$ is not p -nilpotent, then $G/O_p(G)$ has an A -invariant normal Sylow p -subgroup by induction. This implies that G has an A -invariant normal Sylow p -subgroup. Consequently, we may assume that $G/O_p(G)$ is p -nilpotent. Let $T/O_p(G) = O_{p'}(G/O_p(G))$. Then T is A -invariant and G/T is a p -group. If $T < G$, then T

is p -nilpotent and $O_{p'}(T) \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Thus T is a p -group and G is a p -group as well. This case is not possible since G is not p -nilpotent. If $T = G$, then $O_p(G)$ is an A -invariant normal Sylow p -subgroup of G .

Let P be an A -invariant normal Sylow p -subgroup of G . Since G is not p -nilpotent, there exists a prime $q \neq p$ and a Sylow q -subgroup Q of G such that P does not centralise Q . By [6, 8.2.3], we may assume that Q is A -invariant. Then PQ is a non- p -nilpotent A -invariant subgroup of G . Therefore $G = PQ$ and the proof of the corollary is complete. \square

Note that [2, Theorem A] is now a direct consequence of Corollaries 2 and 4.

Our last result extends [2, Theorem E]. In order to state it we need to recall a known concept.

Let \preceq be a linear ordering of the prime numbers. A group G is called a *Sylow tower group of complexion* \preceq if there exists a series of normal subgroups of G ,

$$1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$$

such that G_i/G_{i-1} is a Sylow p_i -subgroup of G/G_{i-1} , where $p_1 \preceq p_2 \dots \preceq p_n$ is the ordering induced by \preceq on the distinct prime divisors of $|G|$.

Corollary 5. *Let A and G be groups of coprime orders and assume that A acts on G by automorphisms. If every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is a Sylow tower group of complexion \preceq , then G is soluble.*

Proof. Let p be the greatest element with respect the ordering induced by \preceq on the distinct prime divisors of $|G|$. Then every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is p -nilpotent. By Corollary 4, G is soluble. \square

Note that every supersoluble group is a Sylow tower group of completion \preceq , where \preceq is the natural reverse linear order of the prime numbers. Therefore we have:

Corollary 6 ([2, Theorem E]). *Let A and G be groups of coprime orders and assume that A acts on G by automorphisms. If every maximal A -invariant subgroup of G is supersoluble, then G is soluble.*

2. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 3

Proof of Theorem 1. We suppose that the theorem is false and derive a contradiction. Let (G, A) be a counterexample with G of minimal order. Then obviously $G \neq 1$. Let N be an A -invariant normal subgroup of G . Assume that $1 < N < G$. Then A acts coprimely on N and G/N and every maximal A -invariant subgroup of N and G/N is p -nilpotent. It follows from the minimal choice of G that N and G/N are both p -soluble. We then conclude that G is p -soluble. Thus we can assume that G is characteristically simple and so $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$, where all G_i are isomorphic non-abelian simple groups, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\Omega = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ is the set of all minimal normal subgroups of G . Let $a \in A$. Then G_i^a is also a minimal normal subgroup of G for all i and $\Omega = \{G_1^a, \dots, G_n^a\}$. Therefore the action of A on G induces a natural action of A on Ω . Furthermore, every A -orbit of Ω produces a non-trivial A -invariant normal subgroup of G . Consequently, the action of A on Ω is transitive.

Let $B = N_A(G_1)$. Then $|A : B| = |\Omega| = n$ and B acts coprimely on G_1 . In addition, G_1 is not p -soluble. Let $a_1 = 1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ be a transversal of B in A such that $G_1^{a_i} = G_i$ for each i . Assume that X is a maximal B -invariant subgroup of G_1 . Then $\Pi_{i=1}^n X^{a_i}$ is an A -invariant proper subgroup of G containing X which is p -nilpotent. Hence X is p -nilpotent and so the pair (G_1, B) satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. The minimal choice of G yields $n = 1$, that is, $G = G_1$ is a non-abelian simple group.

By [6, 8.2.3], G has an A -invariant Sylow p -subgroup, say P . Then $P \neq 1$ since G is not p -soluble. Let $J(P) \neq 1$ be the Thompson subgroup of P . Then $Z(J(P)) \neq 1$ is an A -invariant subgroup of G which is not normal in G . Therefore $N_G(Z(J(P)))$ is a proper A -invariant subgroup of G and so $N_G(Z(J(P)))$

is p -nilpotent. Applying [4, Satz IV.6.2], we conclude that G is p -nilpotent. This is the desired contradiction. \square

The proof of Theorem 3 depends on the following two lemmas. The first one can be deduced from [3, Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 2.8].

Lemma 7. *Let G be a non-abelian simple group and let A be an automorphism group of G with $(|A|, |G|) = 1$. Then*

- (a) *If G is either alternating or sporadic, then $A = 1$.*
- (b) *If G is a group of Lie type and $C_G(A)$ is solvable, then A is the full group of field automorphisms. Moreover, one of the following cases holds:*
 - (1) $G \cong PSL_2(2^n)$, $n \geq 2$ and $C_G(A) \cong PSL_2(2)$;
 - (2) $G \cong PSL_2(3^n)$, $n \geq 2$ and $C_G(A) \cong PSL_2(3)$;
 - (3) $G \cong PSU_3(2^{2n})$, $n \geq 2$, and $C_G(A) \cong PSU_3(4) \cong (C_3 \times C_3) \rtimes Q_8$;
 - (4) $G \cong Sz(2^{2n+1})$, $n \geq 1$, and $C_G(A) \cong Sz(2)$.

The second lemma follows from [4, II, Theorem 8.2], [4, II, Theorem 10.12] and [5, XI, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 8. *Let G be a non-abelian simple group isomorphic to $PSL_2(2^n)$ ($n \geq 2$), $PSU_3(2^{2n})$ ($n \geq 2$) or $Sz(2^{2n+1})$ ($n \geq 1$) and let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Then $N_G(P)$ is not 2-nilpotent.*

Proof of Theorem 3. If the theorem is false, we can consider a counterexample (G, A) with $|G|$ as small as possible. Arguing as in Theorem 1, we may assume that G is a non-abelian simple group. If $C_G(A) = G$, then every proper subgroup of G is 2-nilpotent. It follows from Ito's Theorem that G is soluble. Therefore we may assume that $C_G(A) < G$. Then $C_G(A)$ is 2-nilpotent. In particular, $C_G(A)$ is soluble. It follows from Lemma 7 that G is isomorphic to $PSL_2(2^n)$ ($n \geq 2$), $PSU_3(2^{2n})$ ($n \geq 2$) or $Sz(2^{2n+1})$ ($n \geq 1$). Let $P \neq 1$ is an A -invariant Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Since G is simple, we have that $N_G(P)$ is an A -invariant proper subgroup of G . This contradicts Lemma 8, and the proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements

The first author is supported by Prometeo/2017/057 of Generalitat Valenciana (Valencian Community, Spain). The second author was supported by the predoctoral grant 201606890006 from the China Scholarship Council.

References

- [1] Antonio Beltrán, Actions with nilpotent fixed point subgroup, Arch. Math. (Basel) 69 (3) (1997) 177–184.
- [2] Antonio Beltrán, Changguo Shao, Restrictions on maximal invariant subgroups implying solvability of finite groups, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 198 (2) (2019) 357–366.
- [3] Robert Guralnick, Pavel Shumyatsky, Derived subgroups of fixed points, Isr. J. Math. 126 (2001) 345–362.
- [4] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Grund. Math. Wiss., vol. 134, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967.
- [5] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, Finite Groups III, Grund. Math. Wiss., vol. 243, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [6] H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher, The Theory of Finite Groups. An Introduction, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.