



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Coprime actions with p -nilpotent centralizers[☆]Hangyang Meng^{*}, Xiuyun Guo

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, PR China



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 November 2019

Available online 20 April 2020

Communicated by E.I. Khukhro

MSC:

20D10

20C15

20D45

Keywords:

Coprime actions

 p -nilpotent groups

Modules

ABSTRACT

Let A be an elementary abelian group of order r^2 acting coprimely on a finite p -solvable group G . We prove that if $C_G(a)$ is p -nilpotent for each non-trivial element a in A , then G is meta- p -nilpotent, i.e. p -nilpotent-by- p -nilpotent. In fact, our results are more general in this paper.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

All groups considered here are finite.

Let a group A act on a group G coprimely, i.e. $(|A|, |G|) = 1$. Throughout this paper, r is a fixed prime, A is an elementary abelian r -group and $A^\#$ denotes the set of non-identity elements of A .

From Glauberman's solvable signalizer functor theorem [2], it follows that G must be solvable if $|A| \geq r^3$ and $C_G(a)$ is solvable for each $a \in A^\#$. Moreover, it was proved in

[☆] The research of the work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11771271).

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hangyangmenges@gmail.com (H. Meng), xyguo@staff.shu.edu.cn (X. Guo).

[4] by using the classification of the finite simple groups that the above result is still true if $|A| \geq r^3$ is replaced by $|A| \geq r^2$. On the other hand, J. N. Ward proved that if $C_G(a)$ is nilpotent for each $a \in A^\#$, then G is nilpotent when $|A| \geq r^3$ ([14]); and a further result [7, IX, Theorem 6.13] also due to J. N. Ward shows that G is meta-nilpotent, i.e. nilpotent-by-nilpotent, if $|A| = r^2$ and G is solvable. As an analog of Ward's result, authors proved in [11, Theorem 1.3] that if $|A| \geq r^3$ and $C_G(a)$ is p -nilpotent for each $a \in A^\#$, then G is p -nilpotent. It is natural to ask the following question:

- What will happen for the p -nilpotent analog of Ward's results when $|A| = r^2$?

In this paper, we will extend Ward's results to a more general case. In order to state it, we have to recall some definitions of classes of groups. Let \mathfrak{X} be a formation, which is a class of groups closed under taking epimorphic images and subdirect products. Then every group G has a smallest normal subgroup with quotient in \mathfrak{X} . This subgroup is called the \mathfrak{X} -residual of G , denoted by $G^\mathfrak{X}$. Clearly $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ if and only if $G^\mathfrak{X} = 1$, in this case, G is also called an \mathfrak{X} -group. More details about formations can be seen in [1].

Theorem A. *Let p, r be two primes and let \mathfrak{X} be a formation consisting of p -nilpotent groups. Suppose that an elementary abelian r -group A of order r^2 acts coprimely on a p -solvable group G in such a way that $C_G(a)$ is an \mathfrak{X} -group for each $a \in A^\#$. Then $G^\mathfrak{X} \leq \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G)$.*

Note that the hypothesis “the p -solvability of G ” in Theorem A can be removed by using the argument in [4, Corollary 2.8], which depends on the classification of the finite simple groups. As a direct corollary of Theorem A, we have:

Corollary 1. *Suppose that an elementary abelian r -group A of order r^2 acts coprimely on a p -solvable group G . If $C_G(a)$ is p -nilpotent for each $a \in A^\#$, then G is p -nilpotent-by- p -nilpotent.*

P. Shumyatsky [12, Theorem 1.1] proved that if $|A| \geq r^3$ and $C_G(a)$ is nilpotent of class at most c for each $a \in A^\#$, then G is nilpotent of $\{c, r\}$ -bounded class. Authors [11, Corollary 2.5] showed that G is abelian if $|A| \geq r^3$ and $C_G(a)$ is abelian for each $a \in A^\#$. Note that, in this special case, the nilpotency class of G is not depending on r . The following corollary is to study the case $|A| = r^2$.

Corollary 2. *Suppose that an elementary abelian r -group A of order r^2 acts coprimely on a solvable group G . If $C_G(a)$ is nilpotent of class at most c for each $a \in A^\#$, then $G/\mathbf{F}(G)$ is nilpotent of class at most c .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups consisting of all nilpotent groups of class at most c . Applying Theorem A for every prime p , we have that $G^\mathfrak{X} \leq \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G)$. Hence

$$G^{\mathfrak{X}} \leq \bigcap_p \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G) = \mathbf{F}(G),$$

as desired. \square

It is worth noting that the nilpotency class of $\mathbf{F}(G)$ can not be bounded by c and r . In fact, for any odd prime p , E.I. Khukhro constructed a p -group G of class $p-1$ acted on by an elementary abelian group A of order 4 such that $C_G(a)$ is abelian for each $a \in A^\#$ (see [9, Example 5.10, 5.11]).

The next corollary is motivated by the results of J.G. Thompson [13] and G. Higman [5, Theorem 3], which is proved that a group G admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of prime order r is nilpotent and its nilpotency class of G is bounded by $k(r)$, which is a function of r .

Corollary 3. *Suppose that an elementary abelian r -group A of order r^2 acts on a group G with $C_G(A) = 1$. Then $G/\mathbf{F}(G)$ is nilpotent of class bounded by a function of r .*

Proof. It is easy to see that $(|A|, |G|) = 1$. For each $a \in A^\#$, there exists $b \in A^\#$ such that $A = \langle a, b \rangle$. Then

$$C_{C_G(a)}(b) = C_G(\langle a, b \rangle) = C_G(A) = 1.$$

By Higman's Theorem [5, Theorem 3], $C_G(a)$ is nilpotent of class at most $k(r)$. By Theorem 6 in the next section, G is solvable. Then it follows from Corollary 2 that $G/\mathbf{F}(G)$ is nilpotent of class bounded by $k(r)$, as desired. \square

2. Preliminaries

Recall that $\mathcal{O}_\pi(G)$ is the maximal normal π -subgroup of G , where π is a set of primes. More general, if π_1, π_2, \dots are sets of primes, we can define a characteristic subgroup $\mathcal{O}_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_i}(G)$ of G by induction: for $i > 1$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_i}(G) / \mathcal{O}_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{i-1}}(G) = \mathcal{O}_{\pi_i}(G / \mathcal{O}_{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{i-1}}(G)),$$

which follows from [7, IX, Notation 1.1].

Lemma 4. *Let G be a group and p a prime. Then*

- (a) $\mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G / \mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)) = \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G) / \mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$;
- (b) $\mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G / \Phi(G)) = \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G) / \Phi(G)$;
- (c) *If G is π -solvable and $\mathcal{O}_{\pi'}(G) = 1$, then $C_G(\mathcal{O}_\pi(G)) \leq \mathcal{O}_\pi(G)$.*

Proof. Part (a) is clear; Part (b) easily follows from [6, VI, Lemma 6.3] and Part (c) is a consequence of [7, IX, Lemma 1.3]. \square

Recall some results about coprime actions.

Lemma 5. *Let a group A act coprimely on a group G .*

(a) *If N is an A -invariant normal subgroup of G then*

$$C_{G/N}(A) = C_G(A)N/N.$$

In particular, $G = C_G(A)[G, A]$.

(b) *If A is abelian and noncyclic then $G = \langle C_G(a) : a \in A^\# \rangle$.*

(c) *If the semidirect product AG acts on a non-empty set Ω and G is transitive on Ω , then A has a fixed point on Ω .*

(d) *If G has an A -invariant normal subgroup series:*

$$1 = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \dots \leq G_n = G$$

such that $[G_i, A] \leq G_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then A acts trivially on G .

(e) *If A acts trivially on a subgroup K of G then A acts trivially on $N_G(K)/C_G(K)$.*

The proof of the following theorem due to Martineau does not depend on the classification of finite simple groups.

Theorem 6. *Suppose that an elementary abelian group A acts on a group G with $C_G(A) = 1$. Then G is solvable.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that A acts faithfully on G as an automorphism group. Thus the result follows from [8, X, Theorem 11.18]. \square

The next result is well-known.

Lemma 7. *Suppose that a group $A \neq 1$ acts on a group $G \neq 1$ such that $C_G(a) = 1$ for all $a \in A^\#$. Then*

(a) *G is nilpotent;*

(b) *The Sylow subgroups of A are cyclic or generalized quaternion groups, in particular if A is abelian, then A is cyclic.*

Proof. It is a consequence of [6, V, Theorem 8.7]. \square

In the following lemma, even though only the case $n = 2$ will be used in the main proof, we prove the general case.

Lemma 8. *Let an elementary abelian r -group A of order r^n act faithfully on a group G , where $n \geq 2$. Then there exist n elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A^\#$ such that $C_G(a_i) \neq 1$ for each i and $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$.*

Proof. If $C_G(a) \neq 1$ for all $a \in A^\#$, then the result is trivial. Hence we may assume that $C_G(t) = 1$ for some $t \in A^\#$. By Lemma 7 (a), G is nilpotent and clearly $(r, |G|) = 1$.

Work now by induction on n . First we prove that the result is true for $n = 2$. Note that $G \neq 1$, by Lemma 7 (b), there exists $a \in A^\#$ such that $C_G(a) \neq 1$. As A acts faithfully on G , $C_G(a) < G$. Take a minimal A -invariant subgroup M such that $C_G(a) < M \leq G$. Observe that $C_G(a)\Phi(M) < M$. By the minimality of M , $\Phi(M) \leq C_G(a)$. The nilpotency of M implies that $C_G(a) \triangleleft M$ and $M/C_G(a)$ is abelian. Write $X = M/C_G(a)$, and note that $C_X(a) = 1$ by Lemma 5 (a). Considering the action of A on X , it follows from Lemma 7 (b) that $C_X(b) \neq 1$ for some $b \in A^\#$. Hence $C_G(b) \neq 1$. Since $C_X(a) = 1$, it implies that $b \notin \langle a \rangle$. As $|A| = r^2$, $A = \langle a, b \rangle$, as desired.

Now assume $n \geq 3$ and take B a maximal subgroup of A . By induction, there exist $n - 1$ elements $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in B^\#$ such that $C_G(a_i) \neq 1$ for each i and $B = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$. Let $C = C_G(B)$. The faithful action implies that $C < G$. Take a minimal A -invariant subgroup N such that $C < N \leq G$. By the minimality and the nilpotency of N , $C \triangleleft N$ and N/C is abelian. Write $V = N/C \neq 1$ and $C_V(B) = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{B, \langle x \rangle : x \in A - B\}$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{A} is a partition of A and

$$|\mathcal{A}| = 1 + \frac{|A| - |B|}{r - 1} = 1 + r^{n-1}.$$

Hence $(|\mathcal{A}| - 1, |V|) = 1$. As $C_V(B) = 1$, by [10, 8.3.1], there exists $a_n \in A - B$ such that $C_V(a_n) \neq 1$. Hence $A = \langle B, a_n \rangle = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle$ and $C_G(a_i) \neq 1$ for all i , as desired. \square

3. Modules

Let F be a field and V is a finite-dimensional vector space over F , denoted simply by V/F . Let G be a group and ϕ a representation of G on V/F . Then V is said to be a (right) G -module over F (with respect to ϕ) by the law $vg = v(g\phi)$, where $g \in G$ and $v \in V$, and we say simply that V/F is a G -module. In this paper, all modules considered here are finite-dimension vector spaces over a field.

If K is an extension field of F , then define

$$V_K = V \otimes_F K,$$

which becomes a G -module over K by law

$$\left(\sum_i v_i \otimes k_i\right)g = \sum_i v_i g \otimes k_i.$$

Lemma 9. Let $F \subseteq K$ be a field extension and G be a group and V/F be an G -module. Then

- (a) $C_{V_K}(g) = C_V(g) \otimes_F K$, where $g \in G$;
 (b) $\text{Ker}(G \text{ on } V) = \text{Ker}(G \text{ on } V_K)$.

Proof. (a) Obviously $C_V(g) \otimes_F K \subseteq C_{V_K}(g)$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an F -basis of V . Then $\{e_1 \otimes 1, \dots, e_n \otimes 1\}$ is a K -basis of V_K . We take $v \in C_{V_K}(g)$ and we may assume that $v = \sum_i \lambda_i(e_i \otimes 1)$ for some $\lambda_i \in K$. Let T be a F -subspace of K spanned by $\{\lambda_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and assume that $\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ is an F -basis of T . Observe that

$$v \in V \otimes_F T = V \otimes_F \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m Fk_i \right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V \otimes_F Fk_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V \otimes k_i.$$

Then

$$v \in C_{V \otimes_F T}(g) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m C_{V \otimes k_i}(g) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m C_V(g) \otimes k_i \subseteq C_V(g) \otimes_F K,$$

as desired.

- (b) It is easy to check it by definition. \square

Recall that a G -module V is called *homogeneous* if V is the direct sum of some isomorphic irreducible G -modules.

Lemma 10. Suppose that a group A acts coprimely on a group G and let V/F be an AG -module on which G is faithful and homogeneous, where F is algebraically closed. Suppose that L is a non-trivial A -invariant abelian normal subgroup of G . Then $C_L(A) \neq 1$.

Proof. Since G is homogeneous on V and $L \trianglelefteq G$, V is a completely reducible L -module. Then,

$$V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s,$$

where each V_i is the direct sum of isomorphic irreducible L -submodule of V . Write $\Omega = \{V_1, \dots, V_s\}$. Since L is a normal subgroup of the semidirect product AG , for each $x \in AG$, $V_i x$ is also the direct sum of some isomorphic irreducible L -modules. Hence $V_i x \subseteq V_j$ for some j . Note that $V_j x^{-1}$ is also the direct sum of some isomorphic irreducible L -submodules and $V_j x^{-1}, V_i$ have isomorphic irreducible L -submodule, which implies that $V_j = V_i x$. Hence AG permutes the set Ω .

We next claim that G is transitive on Ω . Suppose the contrary; let Ω_0 be a G -orbit on Ω . Let $W = \sum_{U \in \Omega_0} U$. As $\Omega_0 \neq \Omega$, $W \neq V$. Since V is completely reducible for G , there exists a G -submodule $W' \neq 0$ such that $V = W \oplus W'$. As G is homogeneous on V , W

and W' have isomorphic irreducible G -submodule. Hence W and W' have isomorphic irreducible L -submodule, which is contrary to the choice of W , as claimed.

Considering the action of AG on Ω , it follows from Lemma 5 (c) that there exist some $V_i \in \Omega$ such that $V_i a = V_i$ for all $a \in A$, which implies V_i is AL -invariant.

We may assume that V_i is the direct sum of L -submodules which are all isomorphic to the irreducible L -module X . Since L is abelian and F is algebraically closed, it follows that $\dim_F X = 1$ and for any $x \in X, l \in L, xl = \lambda(l)x$, where λ is a homomorphism L from F^\times . It implies that $vl = \lambda(l)v$ for any $v \in V_i, l \in L$. Hence we have that

$$v(al) = (va)l = \lambda(l)(va) = (\lambda(l)v)a = (vl)a = v(la),$$

for each $v \in V_i, l \in L$ and $a \in A$. It follows that $[L, A] \leq \text{Ker}(AL \text{ on } V_i)$. If $[L, A] = L$, then L acts trivially on V_i . It implies that $L = L^g$ acts trivially on $V_i g$ for any $g \in G$ as $L \trianglelefteq G$. Recall that G is transitive on Ω . It implies that $L \neq 1$ acts trivially on $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$, contrary to the faithful action of G on V . Hence $[L, A] < L$. It follows from Lemma 5 (a) that $C_L(A) \neq 1$, as desired. \square

4. Proof of Theorem A

Lemma 11. *Let A be a non-cyclic abelian group and V be an A -module over a field F such that $\text{Char } F \nmid |A|$. Then $V = \sum_{a \in A^\#} C_V(a)$.*

Proof. Work by induction on $\dim_F V$. As $\text{Char } F \nmid |A|$, V is completely reducible. If V is not irreducible, we may assume $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where V_i is a proper A -submodule of V . By induction, $V_i = \sum_{a \in A^\#} C_{V_i}(a)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and thus $V = \sum_{a \in A^\#} C_V(a)$.

Now assume that V is an irreducible A -module. It follows from [3, III, Theorem 2.3] that $A/\text{Ker}(A \text{ on } V)$ is cyclic. As A is non-cyclic, $\text{Ker}(A \text{ on } V) \neq 1$. Thus $V = C_V(a)$ for some $1 \neq a \in \text{Ker}(A \text{ on } V)$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 12. *Let an elementary abelian r -group A of order r^2 acts coprimely on a p -solvable group G and let \mathfrak{X} be a formation consisting of p -nilpotent groups. Let V be a faithful AG -module over a field F of characteristic p , where $p \neq r$, and $\mathcal{O}_p(G) = 1$. Suppose that*

- (i) $C_G(a)$ is a \mathfrak{X} -group for each $a \in A^\#$;
- (ii) $C_V(a) \leq C_V(g)$ for each $a \in A^\#$ and each p' -element $g \in C_G(a)$.

Then G is a \mathfrak{X} -group.

Proof. Let K be an extension field of F . Let $V_K = V \otimes_F K$. By Lemma 9, V_K is a faithful AG -module over K and $C_{V_K}(g) = C_V(g) \otimes_F K$ for each $g \in G$, which implies that AG -module V_K also satisfies hypothesis (i) and (ii). Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that F is algebraically closed.

Suppose now the theorem is false, and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. We can take a faithful AG -module V of minimal dimension satisfying hypothesis (i) and (ii). Write $N = \mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_p(G) = 1$ and $G \neq 1$ is p -solvable. Then $N \neq 1$ and $C_G(N) \leq N$ by Lemma 4. Now we will derive the contradiction in the following steps:

(1) There exist $a_1, a_2 \in A^\#$ such that $C_N(a_i) \neq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $A = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$.

By Lemma 8, it suffices to show that A acts faithful on N . Suppose the contrary; we can take $a \in A^\#$ such that a acts trivially on N . By Lemma 5 (e), a acts trivially on $G/C_G(N)$. As $C_G(N) \leq N$, we have that a acts trivially on G/N . It follows from Lemma 5 (d) that a acts trivially on G . It implies that $G = C_G(a) \in \mathfrak{X}$, contrary to the choice of G .

(2) V is an irreducible AG -module.

Suppose the contrary; Take an AG -submodule series:

$$1 = V_0 \leq V_1 \leq \dots \leq V_t = V$$

such that V_i/V_{i-1} is an irreducible AG -module for $i = 1, \dots, t$, where $t \geq 2$. Write $K_i = \text{Ker}(AG \text{ on } V_i/V_{i-1})$ for each i and $K = \bigcap_i K_i$.

We will prove that $G/K_i \in \mathfrak{X}$ for each i . In fact, if $K_i \cap A \neq 1$, there exists $a \in (K_i \cap A)^\#$ such that $[G, a] \leq K_i$. Then we have

$$G/K_i = C_{G/K_i}(a) = C_G(a)K_i/K_i \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Now we may assume that $K_i \cap A = 1$, that is, $|AK_i/K_i| = r^2$. We will check that AG/K_i -module V_i/V_{i-1} satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Observe that V_i/V_{i-1} is a faithful and irreducible AG/K_i -module, and it implies that $\mathcal{O}_p(G/K_i) \leq \mathcal{O}_p(AG/K_i) = 1$. Moreover, for each $aK_i \in (AK_i/K_i)^\#$, where $a \in A^\#$,

$$C_{G/K_i}(aK_i) = C_G(a)K_i/K_i \in \mathfrak{X},$$

and

$$C_{V_i/V_{i-1}}(aK_i) = (C_{V_i}(a) + V_{i-1})/V_{i-1}.$$

Since by hypothesis (ii), every p' -element of $C_G(a)$ centralizes $C_{V_i}(a)$, it implies that every p' -element of $C_{G/K_i}(aK_i)$ centralizes $C_{V_i/V_{i-1}}(aK_i)$. Hence AG/K_i -module V_i/V_{i-1} satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, by minimality of G , $G/K_i \in \mathfrak{X}$, as claimed.

Hence $G/K = G/\bigcap_i K_i \in \mathfrak{X}$. As K acts trivially on V_i/V_{i-1} for each i and is faithful on V , it follows from Lemma 5 (d) that K is a p -group, which implies that $K \leq \mathcal{O}_p(G) = 1$. Hence $G \in \mathfrak{X}$, contrary to the choice of G .

(3) G is homogeneous on V .

By Step (2), V is an irreducible AG -module. As $G \triangleleft AG$, by Clifford's Theorem [6, V, Theorem 17.3],

$$V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_s,$$

where each W_i is the direct sum of isomorphic irreducible G -submodule, and A permutes transitively on the set $\{W_1, \dots, W_s\}$. Let $B = \text{Stab}_A(W_1)$. As A is abelian, actually $B = \text{Stab}_A(W_i)$ for each i and $s = |A : B|$. We want to prove that $A = B$ and Step (3) follows. By Step (1), there exists $a_1, a_2 \in A^\#$ such that $A = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$ and $C_N(a_i) \neq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. It suffices to show that $a_i \in B$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Assume that $a_i \notin B$ for some i . Let $x \in C_N(a_i)^\#$. As G is faithful on V , there exists W_j and some element $w \in W_j$ such that $wx \neq w$. As $a_i \notin B = \text{Stab}_G(W_i)$, $W_j, W_j a_i, \dots, W_j a_i^{p-1}$ are pairwise distinct. Let $u = w + w a_i + \dots + w a_i^{p-1} \in W_j \oplus W_j a_i \dots \oplus W_j a_i^{p-1}$; then clearly $u \in C_V(a_i)$. By hypothesis (ii), $C_V(a_i)$ is centralized by $C_N(a_i)$, which implies that $ux = u$. As $x \in C_N(a_i)$,

$$u = ux = wx + wx a_i + \dots + wx a_i^{p-1}.$$

It implies that

$$0 = ux - u = (wx - w) + (wx - w)a_i + \dots + (wx - w)a_i^{p-1} \in W_j \oplus W_j a_i \dots \oplus W_j a_i^{p-1}.$$

Observe that $(wx - w)a_i^k \in W_j a_i^k$ for $k = 1, \dots, p-1$ and $wx - w \neq 0$, which is a contradiction to the direct sum. Hence $a_i \in B$ and Step (3) is proved.

(4) $C_{\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)}(A) \neq 1$.

Suppose that $C_{\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)}(A) = 1$. It follows from Theorem 6 that $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$ is solvable. Since $G \neq 1$ is p -solvable with $\mathcal{O}_p(G) = 1$, $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G) \neq 1$. The solvability of $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$ implies that the center of its Fitting subgroup $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G))) \neq 1$. Write $L = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)))$, and observe that L is a non-trivial A -invariant abelian normal subgroup of G . It follows from Lemma 10 that $C_L(A) \neq 1$. Hence $C_{\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)}(A) \neq 1$, which is a contradiction.

(5) Final contradiction.

As $\text{Char } F = p \nmid |A|$, it follows from Lemma 11 that $V = \sum_{a \in A^\#} C_V(a)$. For each $a \in A^\#$, by hypothesis (ii), $C_{\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)}(A) \leq C_{\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)}(a)$ centralizes $C_V(a)$. Hence $1 \neq C_{\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)}(A)$ centralizes V , which is a contradiction as G is faithful on V . \square

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then $C_G(a) \in \mathfrak{X}$ for each $a \in A^\#$ but $G^\mathfrak{X} \not\leq \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G)$, moreover, we may assume $p \neq r$ otherwise the result is trivial. Let N be a non-trivial A -invariant normal subgroup of G and consider the action of A on G/N . By Lemma 5 (a),

$$C_{G/N}(a) = C_G(a)N/N \in \mathfrak{X},$$

as \mathfrak{X} is closed under taking epimorphic images. The minimality of G implies that $G^\mathfrak{X}N/N \leq \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G/N)$. It follows from Lemma 4 (a) and (b) that $\mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G/N) = \mathcal{O}_{p',p}(G)/N$ if $N = \mathcal{O}_{p'}(G)$ or $\Phi(G)$. Hence the minimality of G forces that $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(G) = \Phi(G) = 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_p(G)$ is an elementary abelian p -group.

Write now H the semidirect product AG . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}_p(H) \leq G$, which implies that $\mathcal{O}_p(G) = \mathcal{O}_p(H)$, denote by V . Observe that $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(H) \cap G \leq \mathcal{O}_{p'}(G) = 1$, which implies that $C_G(\mathcal{O}_{p'}(H)) = G$. As $(|A|, |G|) = 1$, we have that $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(H) \leq A$. If $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(H) \neq 1$, then $G = C_G(\mathcal{O}_{p'}(H)) \leq C_G(a) \in \mathfrak{X}$ for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_{p'}(H)^\# \subseteq A^\#$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(H) = 1$. Notice that H is p -solvable. By Lemma 4 (c), $C_H(V) \subseteq V$, moreover, $V = C_H(V)$ as V is abelian. Write $\overline{H} = H/V$ and V can be viewed as a faithful \overline{H} -module over the p -element field $\text{GF}(p)$.

Now we want to apply Theorem 12 on \overline{AG} -module V . Observe that $\mathcal{O}_p(\overline{G}) = 1$. As, for each $a \in A^\#$, $C_G(a)$ is an \mathfrak{X} -group, by Lemma 5 (a), $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a}) = C_G(a)V/V$ is an \mathfrak{X} -group for each non-trivial element $\overline{a} = aV$ of \overline{A} . Furthermore, since $C_G(a)$ is p -nilpotent, obviously $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})) = \mathcal{O}_{p'}(C_G(a))V/V$. As $C_V(a) \leq \mathcal{O}_p(C_G(a))$, we have that

$$[C_V(a), \mathcal{O}_{p'}(C_G(a))] \leq [\mathcal{O}_p(C_G(a)), \mathcal{O}_{p'}(C_G(a))] = 1,$$

which implies that $C_V(\overline{a})$ is centralized by $\mathcal{O}_{p'}(C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a}))$, i.e. $C_V(\overline{a})$ is centralized by every p' -element of $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{a})$. Now all the hypotheses of Theorem 12 hold for \overline{AG} -module V , therefore, \overline{G} is an \mathfrak{X} -group, i.e. $G^\mathfrak{X} \leq V = \mathcal{O}_p(G)$, contrary to choice of G . Thus the proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions and useful comments.

References

- [1] A. Ballester-Bolinches, L.M. Ezquerro, *Classes of Finite Groups*, vol. 584, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [2] G. Glauberman, On solvable signalizer functors in finite groups, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 3 (1) (1976) 1–27.
- [3] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Chelsea, New York, 1980.
- [4] R. Guralnick, P. Shumyatsky, Derived subgroups of fixed points, *Isr. J. Math.* 126 (1) (2001) 345–362.
- [5] G. Higman, Groups and rings having automorphisms without non-trivial fixed elements, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 1 (3) (1957) 321–334.
- [6] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, vol. 134, 1967.
- [7] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups II*, vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [8] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups III*, vol. 243, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [9] E.I. Khukhro, N. Makarenko, P. Shumyatsky, Frobenius groups of automorphisms and their fixed points 26 (1) (2014) 73–112.
- [10] H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher, *The Theory of Finite Groups: An Introduction*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [11] H. Meng, X. Guo, Coprime actions with supersolvable fixed-point groups, *J. Group Theory* 21 (3) (2018) 475–484.
- [12] P. Shumyatsky, Finite groups and the fixed points of coprime automorphisms, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 129 (12) (2001) 3479–3484.
- [13] J.G. Thompson, Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphisms of prime order, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 45 (4) (1959) 578.
- [14] J.N. Ward, On finite groups admitting automorphisms with nilpotent fixed-point group, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 5 (2) (1971) 281–282.