

# Mean-variance portfolio notes

Xie Zejian

## Contents

<b>Risky Assets</b>	<b>1</b>
Geometry . . . . .	3
Two Fund Theorem . . . . .	3
Decomposition . . . . .	4
Zero covariance . . . . .	5
<b>Risk-free asset</b>	<b>6</b>
One Fund Theorem . . . . .	7
Sharpe ratio . . . . .	9
Beta representation . . . . .	10

## Risky Assets

Suppose  $\mathbf{r}$  is a  $n \times 1$  random vector with mean  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  and invertible variance  $\mathbf{V}$ , then the portofolio return is

$$\mathbf{r}_p = \omega' \mathbf{r}$$

hence  $E[\mathbf{r}_p] = \omega' \bar{\mathbf{r}}$  and

$$\text{Cov}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_p) = \text{cov}(\omega' \mathbf{r}) = \omega' \text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}) \omega = \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega$$

Then the problem is

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{e}' \omega = 1, \omega' \bar{\mathbf{r}} = \bar{r}_p$$

By Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega + \lambda (\bar{r}_p - \omega' \bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \gamma (1 - \omega' \mathbf{e})$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega} = \mathbf{V}\omega - \lambda \bar{\mathbf{r}} - \gamma \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0} \implies \omega^* = \lambda \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_p &= \bar{\mathbf{r}}' \omega^* = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{r}}' \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \bar{\mathbf{r}}' \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{1} &= \mathbf{e}' \omega^* = \lambda \mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$$

denoted  $\delta = \mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e}$ ,  $\alpha = \bar{\mathbf{r}}' \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e}$ ,  $\xi = \bar{\mathbf{r}}' \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}}$  where  $\delta, \xi > 0$  since  $\mathbf{V}$  is positive define. Thus we have a linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi & \alpha \\ \alpha & \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{r}_p \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note  $\Delta = \delta\xi - \alpha^2 > 0$  since  $(\alpha\bar{\mathbf{r}} - \xi\mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^- (\alpha\bar{\mathbf{r}} - \xi\mathbf{e}) = \xi(\delta\xi - \alpha^2) > 0$  and thus such equations is consistent.

solve  $(\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b} \implies \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b})$ :

$$\lambda = \frac{\delta\bar{r}_p - \alpha}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}, \gamma = \frac{\xi - \alpha\bar{r}_p}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^* &= \lambda \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e} \\ &= \frac{\delta\bar{r}_p - \alpha}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2} \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{\xi - \alpha\bar{r}_p}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2} \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e} \\ &= a + b\bar{r}_p \end{aligned}$$

where  $a = \frac{\xi \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e} - \alpha \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}}}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}$  and  $b = \frac{-\alpha \mathbf{V}^- \mathbf{e} + \delta \mathbf{V}^- \bar{\mathbf{r}}}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}$

The minimum variance is given by

$$\sigma_p^2 = \omega'^* \mathbf{V} \omega^* = \omega'^* (\lambda \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{e}) = \lambda \bar{r}_p + \gamma$$

by some algebra

$$\lambda \bar{r}_p + \gamma = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}$$

Thus the **global minimum variance**(GMV) portfolio is  $\frac{1}{\delta}$  when  $\bar{r}_p = \frac{\alpha}{\delta}$ , meanwhile

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \frac{\delta \bar{r}_p - \alpha}{\delta \xi - \alpha^2} = 0 \\ \gamma &= \frac{\xi - \alpha \bar{r}_p}{\delta \xi - \alpha^2} = \frac{\xi - \alpha \frac{\alpha}{\delta}}{\delta \xi - \alpha^2} = \frac{1}{\delta} \\ \omega_{mv} &= 0 + \frac{\mathbf{V}^{-}\mathbf{e}}{\delta} = \frac{\mathbf{V}^{-}\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{V}^{-}\mathbf{e}}\end{aligned}$$

## Geometry

In geometry view, rewrite  $\sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2}{\delta \xi - \alpha^2}$  as

$$\frac{\sigma_p^2}{1/\delta} - \frac{(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2}{(\delta \xi - \alpha^2)/\delta^2} = 1$$

it's a hyperbola with center  $(0, \alpha/\delta)$  and asymptote  $\bar{r}_p = \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\delta \xi - \alpha^2}{\delta}} \sigma_p$  (recall that asymptote is  $y = \pm \frac{b}{a}x$  in  $\frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$ ).

## Two Fund Theorem

The mean-variance frontier can be replicated by any two frontier portfolios and any linear combination of frontier portfolios is on the frontier.

Suppose  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are any given expected return, note

$$\forall r_3, \exists x \ni x r_1 + (1 - x) r_2 = r_3$$

Then the weight combined such way is just what we want.

$$\omega_3 = x \omega_1 + (1 - x) \omega_2 = x(a + b r_1) + (1 - x)(a + b r_2) = a + b r_3$$

Any convex combination of efficient frontier portfolios will be an efficient frontier portfolio.

**Proof** A portfolio  $\omega$  is on the efficient frontier iff (if and only if) its return  $\bar{r}_p \geq r_{mv}$  and recall  $\omega = a + b \bar{r}_p$ , thus there is a bijection between  $\omega_i$  and  $r_i$ . Suppose the return of  $\omega_i$  is  $r_i$ ,

$$\omega = [\omega_1 \quad \cdots \quad \omega_n], \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Firstly, verify the convex combination is a legal portfolio:

$$\mathbf{e}'(\omega\mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{e}'\omega)\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{e}'\mathbf{c} = 1$$

since  $\forall \omega_i \mathbf{e}'\omega_i = 1, \mathbf{e}'\mathbf{c} = 1$ . Then it's sufficient to show that  $\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{r} \geq r_{mv}$ . It's clearly since

$$\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{r} = \sum c_i \omega_i \geq \sum c_i \min(r_i) = \min(r_i) \sum c_i = \min(r_i) \geq r_{mv}$$

## Decomposition

Suppose covariance between portfolios  $p$  and  $q$

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}_p, \mathbf{r}_q) = \omega_p' \mathbf{V} \omega_q$$

Suppose  $q$  on the frontier, then

$$\omega_p' \mathbf{V} \omega_q = \omega_p' (\lambda \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{e})$$

Moreover, if

1.  $p$  is GMV portfolio, then

$$\omega_p' (\lambda \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{e}) = \omega_p' \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbf{e} \right) = \frac{1}{\delta}$$

2.  $p$  has the same expected return with  $q$ , then

$$\omega_p' (\lambda \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{e}) = \lambda \bar{r}_q + \gamma = \sigma_q^2$$

Thus we have

The covariance of the return on the global minimum variance (GMV) portfolio and that on any portfolio (not necessary on the frontier) is always equal to  $\sigma_{mv}^2 = \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

Apply this we get  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}_p - \mathbf{r}_{mv}, \mathbf{r}_{mv}) = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}_p, \mathbf{r}_{mv}) - \sigma_{mv}^2 = 0$  immediately. We call  $\epsilon = \mathbf{r}_p - \mathbf{r}_{mv}$  **excess return**.

The covariance of the return on a frontier portfolio  $q$  and that on any portfolio  $p$  (not on the frontier) with the same expected return as  $q$  is always equal to the variance of the frontier portfolio  $q$ . Formally  $E[\mathbf{r}_p] = E[\mathbf{r}_q] \implies \text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}_p, \mathbf{r}_q) = \sigma_q^2$ .

It implies that we can decompose  $\mathbf{r}_p = \mathbf{r}_q + \epsilon_p$  where  $E[\epsilon] = \text{Cov}(\epsilon_p, \mathbf{r}_q) = 0$

Rewrite the excess return as  $\epsilon = b_p \mathbf{r}^*$ , then  $\mathbf{r}_p$  can be decomposed into

$$\mathbf{r}_p = \mathbf{r}_{mv} + b_p \mathbf{r}^* + \epsilon_p$$

where  $\mathbf{r}^*$  is an excess return and  $b_p \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}_{mv}, \epsilon_p) = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}_{mv}, \mathbf{r}_p - \mathbf{r}_q) = 0$  then  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}^*, \epsilon_p)$  is also zero. Hence

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{r}_p) = \underbrace{\underbrace{\sigma_{mv}^2}_{\text{unavoidable risk}} + \underbrace{b_p^2 \text{Var}(\mathbf{r}^*)}_{\text{systematic risk}}}_{\text{unavoidable risk}} + \underbrace{\text{Var}(\epsilon_p)}_{\text{idiot risk}}$$

One can reduce  $\epsilon_p$  to zero to avoid idiot risk and get a frontier portfolio.

### Zero covariance

Continue the discussion of the covariance between  $p$  and  $q$ , now suppose they are both on frontier:

$$\omega_p' \mathbf{V} \omega_q = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})(\bar{r}_q - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}$$

Setting this to 0 and solve for  $\bar{r}_q$

$$\bar{r}_q = \frac{\alpha}{\delta} - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)}$$

Then we are ready to show that

$\bar{r}_q$  is equal to the intercept of the tangent line to MVF at  $(\bar{r}_p, \sigma_p)$

Suppose the tangent line in  $\bar{r}_p$ , the slope is

$$\frac{\partial \bar{r}_p}{\partial \sigma_p} = \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})} \sigma_p$$

(Recall  $\frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 \implies \frac{2x}{a^2} - \frac{2yy'}{b^2} = 0 \implies y' = \frac{b^2 x^2}{a^2 y^2}$ )

thus its intercept at  $\sigma_p = 0$  is

$$\bar{r}_p - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})} \sigma_p^2 = \bar{r}_p - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})} \left( \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\delta} - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} = \bar{r}_q$$

Let  $\bar{r}_q = 0$ , then

$$\frac{\alpha}{\delta} - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} = 0$$

solve for  $\bar{r}_p$ , we get

$$\bar{r}_p = \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\alpha\delta} + \frac{\alpha}{\delta}$$

Substituted in  $a + b\bar{r}_p$ :

$$\omega_D = -\frac{\mathbf{V}^-\mathbf{e}}{\delta} + \frac{\mathbf{V}^-\bar{\mathbf{r}}}{\alpha} + (a+b)\frac{\alpha}{\delta} = -\frac{\mathbf{V}^-\mathbf{e}}{\delta} + \frac{\mathbf{V}^-\bar{\mathbf{r}}}{\alpha} + \frac{\mathbf{V}^-\mathbf{e}}{\delta} = \frac{\mathbf{V}^-\bar{\mathbf{r}}}{\alpha}$$

That is the tangency portofolio. If  $\bar{r}_q > 0$ ,  $\bar{r}_q$  can be interpreted as risk-free asset return in next chapter

## Risk-free asset

Suppose we have a riskless asset with return  $r_f$ , and we assign  $\omega_0$  weight on it. Then the portfolio choice problem becomes

$$\min_{\omega, \omega_0} \frac{1}{2} \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{e}' \omega + \omega_0 = 1, \omega' \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \omega_0 r_f = \bar{r}_p$$

substitute  $\omega_0 = 1 - \mathbf{e}' \omega$ , then

$$\omega' \bar{\mathbf{r}} + (1 - \mathbf{e}' \omega) r_f = \bar{r}_p \implies \omega' (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) + r_f = \bar{r}_p$$

The problem is

$$\min_{\omega, \omega_0} \frac{1}{2} \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega \quad s.t. \quad \omega' (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) + r_f = \bar{r}_p$$

Again by the Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega + \lambda (\bar{r}_p - \omega' (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) - r_f)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega} = \mathbf{V} \omega - \lambda (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) = 0 \implies \omega^* = \lambda \mathbf{V}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})$$

$$\bar{r}_p - \omega^{*'}(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) - r_f = 0 \implies \lambda = \frac{\bar{r}_p - r_f}{(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}$$

$$\sigma_p^2 = \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega = \lambda^2 (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^{-} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) = \frac{(\bar{r}_p - r_f)^2}{(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}$$

In geometry view, the frontier degenerate into two crossing line:

$$\bar{r}_p = r_f \pm \sqrt{(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})} \sigma_p$$

### One Fund Theorem

Substitue  $\lambda$  in the expression of  $\omega^*$ :

$$\omega^* = \frac{(\bar{r}_p - r_f)}{(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})} \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})$$

We denote  $c = \frac{(\bar{r}_p - r_f)}{(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}$  (since it's a scalar) and  $\tilde{\omega} = \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})$  then we can write

$$\omega^* = c \tilde{\omega}$$

That is so called one fund theorem

When  $r_f \neq r_{mv}$  any minimal-variance frontier portfolio is a combination of the tangency portfolio (with risk assets only) and the riskless asset

Normalized  $\tilde{\omega}(\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{\mathbf{e}'\tilde{\omega}})$  is the tangency portfolio, i.e.  $\omega_D = \frac{\tilde{\omega}}{\mathbf{e}'\tilde{\omega}}$ , the reason is showing below.

Now we prove the degenerated frontier is tangent to the the origin frontier, that is, the hyperbola  $\frac{\sigma_p^2}{1/\delta} - \frac{(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2}{(\delta\xi - \alpha^2)/\delta^2} = 1$ . Assume they do tangent and the tangent point is  $(\sigma_p, \bar{r}_p)$

Recall the polar of  $(x_0, y_0)$  w.r.t.  $\frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$  is  $\frac{x_0 x}{a^2} - \frac{y_0 y}{b^2} = 1$  and the slope is

$$\frac{b^2 x_0}{a^2 y_0} = \sqrt{\frac{b^4 x_0^2}{a^4 y_0^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{b^2(a^2 b^2 + a^2 y_0^2)}{a^4 y_0^2}}$$

Then since the tangent line through  $(0, r_f)$ :

$$-\frac{(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})(r_f - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})}{\Delta/\delta^2} = 1$$

solved for  $\bar{r}_p$ :

$$\bar{r}_p = \frac{-\alpha^2 + r_f \alpha \delta - \Delta}{\delta(-\alpha + r_f \delta)} = \frac{\xi - r_f \alpha}{\alpha - r_f \delta}$$

the square of slope is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta \left( \frac{\Delta}{\delta^3} + \frac{(y_0 - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2}{\delta} \right)}{(y_0 - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2} &= \frac{\Delta (\alpha^2 + \Delta + \delta^2 y_0^2 - 2\alpha \delta y_0)}{\delta(\alpha - \delta y_0^2)} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \left( \alpha^2 + \Delta - \frac{2\alpha(-\alpha^2 - \Delta + \alpha \delta r_f)}{\delta r_f - \alpha} + \frac{(-\alpha^2 - \Delta + \alpha \delta r_f)^2}{(\delta r_f - \alpha)^2} \right)}{\delta \left( \alpha - \frac{-\alpha^2 - \Delta + \alpha \delta r_f}{\delta r_f - \alpha} \right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2 + \Delta + \delta^2 r_f^2 - 2\alpha \delta r_f}{\delta} \\ &= \xi + \delta r_f^2 - 2\alpha r_f \end{aligned}$$

Which is equal to

$$(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})' \mathbf{V}^- (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) = \xi + \delta r_f^2 - 2\alpha r_f$$

Hence our assumption is correct. Consider the tangency portfolio:

$$\bar{r}_p = \frac{\xi - r_f \alpha}{\alpha - r_f \delta} = \frac{\Delta / \delta^2}{r_{mv} - r_f} + r_{mv}$$

If  $r_f = \frac{\alpha}{\delta} = r_{mv}$ , the tangency doesn't exist and the frontier becomes asymptotes.  
If  $r_f > r_{mv}$ , the tangency is in the lower straight line and vice versa.

The weight is

$$\omega^* = a + b \bar{r}_p = \frac{\mathbf{V}^- (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}{\alpha - \delta r_f} = \frac{\mathbf{V}^- (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}{\mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^- (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}}{\mathbf{e}' \tilde{\omega}}$$

That is why we called  $\tilde{\omega}$  tangency portfolio. Recall the result in zero covariance, for any portfolio  $\bar{r}_p$ , we can find  $r_f = \bar{r}_q$  with zero covariance with  $\bar{r}_p$  to make  $\bar{r}_p$  be a tangency portfolio.



## Sharpe ratio

The shrpe ratio is defined by

$$S_p = \frac{\omega'(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}{\sqrt{\omega' \mathbf{V} \omega}}$$

Which can be interpreted as a measure of **expected excess return per unit of risk**.

To maximize  $S_p$ , suppose

$$\frac{\partial S_p}{\partial \omega} = 0$$

Let  $\mathbf{r} := \bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}$

$$\phi : w \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \omega^T \mathbf{r} \\ \omega' \mathbf{V} \omega \end{bmatrix}, \quad h(x, y) := \frac{x}{y^{1/2}}$$

Then  $S_p = h \circ \phi(w)$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_p}{\partial \omega} &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \omega} \\ &= \nabla h(\phi(\omega)) \nabla \phi(\omega) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(\omega' \mathbf{V} \omega)^{1/2}} & -\frac{\omega' \mathbf{r}}{2(\omega' \mathbf{V} \omega)^{3/2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \\ 2\mathbf{V} \omega \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\omega' \mathbf{V} \omega \mathbf{r} - \omega' \mathbf{r} \mathbf{V} \omega}{(\omega' \mathbf{V} \omega)^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Setting to zero,

$$\omega' \mathbf{V} \omega \mathbf{r} - \omega' \mathbf{r} \mathbf{V} \omega = \mathbf{0} \implies \omega = \frac{\omega' \mathbf{V} \omega}{\omega' \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{V}^{-} \mathbf{r}$$

Note the scale of  $\omega$  is independent to  $S_p$ . If we assume  $\mathbf{e}' \omega = 1$  additionally, then

$$\omega = \frac{\mathbf{V}^{-} \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^{-} \mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}{\mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^{-} (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}}{\mathbf{e}' \tilde{\omega}} = \omega_D$$

$\omega_D$  is the only maxima on the frontier without risk-free asset. However, every portfolio on the frontier with a risk-free asset has the maximal sharpe ratio by one fund theorem ( $\omega^* = c\tilde{\omega}$ ) if  $r_f > r_{mv}$ . (Otherwise  $\omega_D$  is on the lower straight line and become a minima).

## Beta representation

Recall the tangency portfolio is  $\omega_D = \frac{\mathbf{V}^-(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}{\mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^-(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}$ . Write  $\omega_D = m \mathbf{V}^-(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})$  where  $m = \frac{1}{\mathbf{e}' \mathbf{V}^-(\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e})}$ , then we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{V} \omega_D$$

Note  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}, \omega' \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{V} \omega$  and

$$\sigma_D^2 = \omega_D' \mathbf{V} \omega_D = m \omega_D' (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e}) = m r_D - m r_f$$

we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e} = \frac{r_D - r_f}{\sigma_D^2} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}, r_D)$$

Denote  $\frac{\text{Cov}(\mathbf{r}, r_D)}{\sigma_D^2} = \beta_D$ , we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}} - r_f \mathbf{e} = \beta_D (r_D - r_f)$$

Similar results also holds for any portfolio  $\bar{r}_p$  in the MVF:

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}} - \bar{r}_q \mathbf{e} = \beta_p (\bar{r}_p - \bar{r}_q)$$

It's clear in the view of every portfolio  $\bar{r}_p$  is also a tangency portfolio by selecting proper  $r_f$ . One can also check it in a dirty way:

**Proof** Suppose  $r_p$  and  $r_q$  both in the MVF without risk-free asset, recall

$$\omega_p' \mathbf{V} \omega_q = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})(\bar{r}_q - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}$$

If the covariance is 0, we have

$$\bar{r}_q = \frac{\alpha}{\delta} - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{r}} - \bar{r}_q \mathbf{e} &= \bar{\mathbf{r}} - \left( \frac{\alpha}{\delta} - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} \right) \mathbf{e} \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} (\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)) (\bar{\mathbf{r}} - \left( \frac{\alpha}{\delta} - \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} \right) \mathbf{e}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} (\bar{\mathbf{r}}(\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)) - (\alpha\delta(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta) - (\delta\xi - \alpha^2)) \mathbf{e}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} (\bar{\mathbf{r}}(\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)) - (\alpha\delta\bar{r}_p - \delta\xi) \mathbf{e}) \\
&= \frac{(\delta^2\bar{r}_p\bar{\mathbf{r}} - \alpha\delta\bar{\mathbf{r}}) - (\alpha\delta\bar{r}_p - \delta\xi) \mathbf{e}}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} \\
&= \frac{(\delta\bar{r}_p - \alpha)\bar{\mathbf{r}} - (\alpha\bar{r}_p - \xi) \mathbf{e}}{\delta(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_p &= \frac{\mathbf{V}\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}{\omega'_p \mathbf{V}\omega_p} \\
&= \frac{1}{\omega'_p \mathbf{V}\omega_p} (\lambda_p \bar{\mathbf{r}} + \gamma \mathbf{e}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\omega'_p \mathbf{V}\omega_p} \left( \frac{\xi \mathbf{e} - \alpha \bar{\mathbf{r}}}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2} + \frac{-\alpha \mathbf{e} + \delta \bar{\mathbf{r}}}{\delta\xi - \alpha^2} \bar{r}_p \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\omega'_p \mathbf{V}\omega_p} \left( \frac{(\delta\bar{r}_p - \alpha)\bar{\mathbf{r}} - (\alpha\bar{r}_p - \xi) \mathbf{e}}{\Delta} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Then it's remain to show that

$$(\bar{r}_p - \bar{r}_q)\delta(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta) = \omega' \mathbf{V}\omega \Delta$$

It's clear since

$$\omega' \mathbf{V}\omega \Delta = \sigma_p^2 \Delta = \frac{\Delta}{\delta} + \delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{r}_p - \bar{r}_q)\delta(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta) &= \left( (\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta}) + \frac{\delta\xi - \alpha^2}{\delta^2(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta)} \right) \delta(\bar{r}_p - \alpha/\delta) \\
&= \frac{\Delta}{\delta} + \delta(\bar{r}_p - \frac{\alpha}{\delta})^2
\end{aligned}$$