Graduation Project Report

Spring 2024

Hanheng He (400485161)

Course Instructor: Dr. Antoine Deza

April 10, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2		3 5
3	Complexity Analysis of Generating Matrix \mathcal{C}	6
4	Conclusion	8

1 Introduction

Let matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an invertible matrix, with the spectral norm defined as $||A||_s = \sup_{x \neq 0} |Ax|/|x|$, the condition number of A is $c(A) = ||A||_s ||A^{-1}||_s$. The condition number is a measurement of the sensibility of the equation Ax = b when right hand side is changed [1]. If c(A) is large, then A is called ill - conditioned.

With such an importance property of condition number, ill-conditioned matrices are important in numerical algebra, and have been studied extensively by various researchers, such as [2], [3] and [4]. In [5], researchers restricted entries into set $\{0,1\}$ or $\{-1,1\}$, denoted by \mathcal{A}_n^1 or \mathcal{A}_n^2 , which they call anti-Hadamard matrices, with is of interest in linear algebra, objects in combinatorics and related areas. With such conditions, many quantities are equivalent to the condition number. Let A be a non-singular (0,1) matrix, $B = A^{-1} = (b_{ij})$, the following quantity as an equivalent condition number is considered in [5]:

$$\chi(A) = \max_{i,j} |b_{ij}| \text{ and } \chi(n) = \max_A \chi(A),$$

which is bounded controlled by n and some absolute positive constant c. Meanwhile, since matrix A only contains 0 and 1, it also stands for a 0/1-polytope space, which is of great interest in geometry.

In this report, we aim to construct an ill-conditioned (0,1) matrix C satisfied

$$\chi(C) \ge 2^{\frac{1}{2}n\log n - n(2 + o(1))}.$$

That is said, matrix C has a controlled lower bound of it's *condition number* with respect to n.

As is shown in [1], we started from generating matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$, and we generate $B \in \mathcal{A}_n^1$ based on A. Using a series of matrix B with different shapes, we can further concatenate a matrix C with it's condition number controlled.

2 Generate Ill-conditioned Matrix C

2.1 Generate Set Ω

To start constructing matrix C with a controlled *condition number*, the set Ω with special restriction is necessary. Let $|\cdot|$ denotes cardinality and Δ denote symmetric different. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n = 2^m$, set $\Omega = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\}$ with n + 1 elements is required to create with a restriction that $|\alpha_i| \leq |\alpha_{i+1}|$ and $|\alpha_i \Delta \alpha_{i+1}| \leq 2$. Shown in [6], Ω is proved to be existed, the only thing considering is the implementational way create such kind of set. The following description shows and implemented way.

Let $\alpha_0 = \{\emptyset\}$. We also have $\alpha_1 = \{\emptyset\}$. Suppose we have $\Omega = \{\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, ..., \{m\}\}\}$ initially. Conidering for every time we only take out all the sets with maximum size in Ω , and insert only one element inside by order. That is, for an existed set $\{1\}$, we insert element one by one 2, 3, ..., m by order.

With this way of insertion, we only need to consider if the conditions are met between the last old set and the first new set, and the continuous new sets come from different old sets. For all the sets come from the same old set, the conditions are automatically met.

```
Initially Omega = {{}, {}, {1}, {2}, ..., {m}}, take {1}, {2}, ..., {m} out,
and insert only one element each time. Make sure conditions are met between
1. {m} and {1, _};
2. {1, _} and {2, _}, {2, _} and {3, _}, ...
```

For the first case above, the only element we can insert is m, which is the first element if we revert the ordered insertion. For the second case, let's say we have set α with size k and β with size k-1, if $\alpha \cup \beta = \alpha$, we can insert any element we wish; if $\alpha \cup \beta \neq \alpha$, we can only insert an element $r \in \alpha$. Since we always insert element in order or in reversed order, if the first element of the insertion list is not in α , the last element of the insertion list, or the first element of the reverted list must be in α .

The following pseudo code shows the way generating Ω :

Algorithm 1 Generate Ω

```
Input: m
Output: \Omega
 1: \Omega \leftarrow \{\{\}, \{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, ..., \{m\}\}\}
 2: for i \leftarrow 1 to m-1 do
 3:
          sets \leftarrow sets \ in \ \Omega \ with \ size \ equals \ i
 4:
          for set in sets do
               \alpha \leftarrow [\max(\text{set}) + 1, ..., m]
 5:
 6:
               if \alpha not in \Omega[-1] then:
 7:
                    \alpha \leftarrow \alpha.\text{reverse}()
               end if
 8:
               for a in \alpha do
 9:
                    \Omega \leftarrow \{\Omega..., \{set..., a\}\} // a... means extend set a
10:
               end for
11:
          end for
12:
13: end for
14: return \Omega
```

when m = 4, set Ω is shown below:

```
Omega = {set(), set(), {1}, {2}, {3}, {4},

{1, 4}, {1, 3}, {1, 2}, {2, 4}, {2, 3},

{3, 4}, {1, 3, 4}, {1, 2, 3}, {1, 2, 4},

{2, 3, 4}, {1, 2, 3, 4}}
```

2.2 Construct Matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ with Set Ω

Proofed in [1], with set Ω satisfying given conditions created, matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ can be generated such that $\chi(A) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}n\log n - n(1+o(1))}$. Let matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$, with set $\Omega = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\}$ satisfies $|\alpha_i| \leq |\alpha_{i+1}|$ and $|\alpha_i \Delta \alpha_{i+1}| \leq 2$, matrix A can be constructed as follows[[1](???)].

For every $1 \le i, j \le n$:

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1, \ \alpha_j \bigcap (\alpha_{i-1} \bigcup \alpha_i) = \alpha_{i-1} \Delta \alpha_i \ and \ |\alpha_{i-1} \Delta \alpha_i| = 2\\ (-1)^{|\alpha_{i-1} \bigcap \alpha_j|+1}, \ \alpha_j \bigcap (\alpha_{i-1} \bigcup \alpha_i) \neq \varnothing \ but \ does \ not \ meet \ the \ condition \ above \\ 1, \ \alpha_j \bigcap (\alpha_{i-1} \bigcup \alpha_i) = \varnothing \end{cases}$$

With the Ω shown in section 1.1 *(should be a link here)*, the matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ constructed is shown below:

With the construction step above, it can be proved that A = LQ, where Q is a n by n matrix given by $q_{ij} = (-1)^{|\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j|}$, and Q is a symmetric Hadamard matrix, that is $Q^2 = QQ^T = nI_n$; $L = AQ^{-1}$ is a lower triangular matrix.

With matrix A shown above, matrix Q and L is shown below:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.0 & -0.75 & 0.25 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 \\ 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 \\ 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 \\ 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 \\ 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 & 0.125 \\ 0.125 & 0.$$

2.3 Mapping Matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ to $B \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^1$

With matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ be constructed, a mapping can be implemented to generate a matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^1[[1](???)]$. Consider the map Φ which assigns to any matrix $B \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^1$ a matrix $\Phi(B) \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ in the following way:

$$\Phi(B) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1_{n-1} \\ -1_{n-1}^T & 2B - J_{n-1} \end{array} \right).$$

It is obvious $\Phi(B)$ is a mapping $\mathcal{A}_n^2 \to \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^1$ with a series of linear operations. Therefore, we have the following reversing way to construct matrix $B \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^1$ with $A = \{a_{ij}\} \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$:

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(J_{n-1} + \{a_{ij}\}_{2 \le i \le n, 2 \le j \le n}).$$

Notice that in the above section, the $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ we constructed has it's first column as:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1_{n-1}^T\end{array}\right),$$

so we need to negative the first column, so finally we have the relation between matrix $B \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}^1$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ in the implementation shown as follows:

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(J_{n-1} - \{a_{ij}\}_{2 \le i \le n, 2 \le j \le n}).$$

With the matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ constructed above, a constructed matrix B is shown below:

Shown in [[1](???)], matrix $B \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$ preserves the property $\chi(B) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}n\log n - n(1 + o(1))}$.

2.4 Generate and Verify Ill-conditioned Matrix C

With the sections above, it's sufficient to generate an ill-conditioned matrix C. Let S and T be two non-singular matrices of order n_1 and n_2 . Define $S \diamond T$ as follows:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & \dots & s_{1n_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s_{21} & \dots & s_{2n_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{n_11} & \dots & s_{n_1n_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0...0 & 1 & t_{11} & \dots & t_{1n_2} \\ 0 & 0...0 & 0 & t_{21} & \dots & t_{2n_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0...0 & 0 & t_{n_21} & \dots & t_{n_2n_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

it's shown in [[1](???)] that R has the following property:

$$\chi(S \diamond T) \ge \chi(S)\chi(S)$$

Now consider the (0,1) matrix $C = A_1 \diamond (A_2 \diamond (...(A_{r-1} \diamond A_r))...)$, with [[1](???)]

$$\chi(C) \ge \prod_{i=1}^{r} \chi(A_i) > 2^{\frac{1}{2}n \log n - n(2 + o(1))}$$

By showing the lower bound of $\chi(C)$, we can see matrix C is ill-conditioned with respect with n. The following block shows some result of $\chi(C)$ with C generate in the same method above related to order r.

```
r = 2, order of C = 4, \chi(C) = 1.0

r = 3, order of C = 11, \chi(C) = 2.0

r = 4, order of C = 26, \chi(C) = 260.0

r = 5, order of C = 57, \chi(C) = 106491641548.6
```

We can see that as order r grows, $\chi(C)$ grows rapidly.

3 Complexity Analysis of Generating Matrix C

To generate set Ω , everytime we only need to take out an generated set and insert one new element inside. Therefore, without cosidering the complexity of set insertion, the ideally time complexity is O(n).

To generate every entry of matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}_n^2$, a visit of three continuous element in set Ω is necessary. Let n be the order of matrix A, without considering the complexity of set accessing, the total ideally time complexity is $O(n) + O(n^2) = O(n^2)$.

Generating matrix B is a simple matrix operation, let n-1 be the order of B, the time complexity is $O((n-1)^2) + O(n^2) = O(n^2)$.

In order to generate matrix C, we need a series of matrix A_1 , A_2 , ..., A_r . As shown above, for matrix A_r with order r, the time complexity is $O(r^2)$. Therefore the time complexity generating these matrices is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} O(r^2) = O(n^3)$

Therefore, when r grows, with an $O(n^3)$ time complexity, the time required to generate matrix C grows rapidly.

4 Conclusion

References

- [1] Noga Alon and Văn H Vũ. Anti-hadamard matrices, coin weighing, threshold gates, and indecomposable hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 79(1):133–160, 1997.
- [2] G. H. Golub and J. H. Wilkinson. Ill-conditioned eigensystems and the computation of the jordan canonical form. *SIAM Review*, 18(4):578–619, 1976.
- [3] JH Wilkinson. Note on matrices with a very ill-conditioned eigenproblem. *Numerische Mathematik*, 19:176–178, 1972.
- [4] Arnold Neumaier. Solving ill-conditioned and singular linear systems: A tutorial on regularization. SIAM review, 40(3):636–666, 1998.
- [5] R.L. Graham and N.J.A. Sloane. Anti-hadamard matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 62:113–137, 1984.
- [6] Johan Håstad. On the size of weights for threshold gates. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 7(3):484–492, 1994.

\mathbf{Code}

Add notebook here