Métodos Formais 2022.2

Introduction to Alloy: Constraints

Áreas de Teoria e de Linguagens de Programação DCC/UFMG

Alloy Constraints

 Signatures and fields resp. define classes (of atoms) and relations between them

 Alloy models can be refined further by adding formulas expressing additional constraints over those classes and relations

 Several operators are available to express both logical and relational constraints

Logical operators

The usual logical operators are available, often in two forms

<=>

(Boolean) negation not and && conjunction disjunction — or – implies implication else alternative equivalence

Quantifiers

Alloy includes a rich collection of quantifiers

```
F holds for every x in S
F holds for some x in S
F holds for no x in S
F holds for at most one x in S
F holds for exactly one x in S
```

Predefined sets in Alloy

• There are three predefined set constants:

```
none : empty setuniv : universal setident : identity relation
```

• Example. For a model instance with just:

```
\begin{split} &\text{Man} \ = \ \{ (\text{M0}) \ , (\text{M1}) \ , (\text{M2}) \} \\ &\text{Woman} \ = \ \{ (\text{W0}) \ , (\text{W1}) \} \\ &\text{the constants have the values} \\ &\textbf{none} \ = \ \{ \} \\ &\textbf{univ} \ = \ \{ (\text{M0}) \ , (\text{M1}) \ , (\text{M2}) \ , (\text{W0}) \ , (\text{W1}) \} \\ &\textbf{ident} \ = \ \{ (\text{M0}, \text{M0}) \ , (\text{M1}, \text{M1}) \ , (\text{M2}, \text{M2}) \ , (\text{W0}, \text{W0}) \ , (\text{W1}, \text{W1}) \} \end{split}
```

Everything is a Set in Alloy

- There are no scalars
 - We never speak directly about elements (or tuples) of relations
 - Instead, we can use singleton relations:

• Quantified variables *always* denote singleton relations:

$$x = \{t\}$$
 for some element t of S

Set operators

```
+ union
& intersection
- difference
in subset
= equality
!= disequality
```

• Example. Married men:

Married & Man

Relational operators

Relational composition (Join)

p.q

• p and q are two relations that are not both unary

 p.q is the relation you get by taking every combination of a tuple from p and a tuple from q and adding their join, if it exists

How to join tuples?

• What is the join of theses two tuples ?

- ullet If am
 eq b1, then join is undefined
- If am = b1, then it is $\left(a1 , \dots , am{-}1, b2 , \dots , bn \right)$
- Examples.

$$(a,b).(a,c,d)$$
 undefined $(a,b).(b,c,d) = (a,c,d)$

• What about (a).(a)?

How to join tuples?

• What is the join of theses two tuples ?

```
(a1,...,am)
(b1,...,bn)
```

- ullet If am
 eq b1, then join is undefined
- If am = b1, then it is (a1 , am-1,b2 , bn)
- Examples.

$$(a,b).(a,c,d)$$
 undefined $(a,b).(b,c,d) = (a,c,d)$

- What about (a).(a)? Not defined!
 - t1.t2 is not defined if t1 and t2 are both unary tuples

```
abstract sig Person {
   children: set Person,
   siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
one sig Matt in Man {}
sig Married in Person {
   spouse: one Married
}
```

How would you use join to find Matt's children or grandchildren ?

```
abstract sig Person {
   children: set Person,
   siblings: set Person
}
sig Man, Woman extends Person {}
one sig Matt in Man {}
sig Married in Person {
   spouse: one Married
}
```

How would you use join to find Matt's children or grandchildren ?

```
Matt.children — Matt's children Matt.children.children — Matt's grandchildren
```

What if we want to find Matt's descendants?

How would you model the constraint:

Every married man (woman) has a wife (husband)

How would you model the constraint:

Every married man (woman) has a wife (husband)

```
all p: Married |
  (p in Man => p.spouse in Woman)
and
  (p in Woman => p.spouse in Man)
```

A spouse can't be a sibling

How would you model the constraint:

Every married man (woman) has a wife (husband)

```
all p: Married |
  (p in Man => p.spouse in Woman)
and
  (p in Woman => p.spouse in Man)
```

A spouse can't be a sibling

```
no p: Married |
 p.spouse in p.siblings
```

Box Join

Semantically identical to dot join, but takes its arguments in different order

• Example: Matt's children or grandchildren?

Box Join

• Semantically identical to dot join, but takes its arguments in different order

$$p\left[\,q\,\right] \; <=> \; q\,.\,p$$

• Example: Matt's children or grandchildren?

```
children [Matt] — Matt's children children [children [Matt]] — Matt's grandchildren
```

Transpose

~ p

- Take the mirror image of the relation p
 - The reverse the order of atoms in each tuple

$$p\left[\,q\,\right] \; <=> \; q\,.\,p$$

• Example:

$$p = \{(a0, a1, a2, a3), (b0, b1, b2, b3)\}$$

$$p = \{(a3, a2, a1, a0), (b3, b2, b1, b0)\}$$

• Example: Matt's parents or grand parents?

Transpose

~ p

- Take the mirror image of the relation p
 - The reverse the order of atoms in each tuple

$$p[q] \iff q.p$$

• Example:

$$p = \{(a0, a1, a2, a3), (b0, b1, b2, b3)\}$$

$$p = \{(a3, a2, a1, a0), (b3, b2, b1, b0)\}$$

• Example: Matt's parents or grand parents?

Transitive Closure

^ r

ullet Intuitively, the transitive closure of a relation r: S x S is what you get when you keep navigating through r until you can't go any farther

$$r = r + r.r + r.r.r + ...$$

What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants?

What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants?

```
Matt.^children // Matt's descendants Matt.^(~children) // Matt's ancestors
```

How to express the constraint "No person can be their own ancestor?"

What if we want to find Matt's ancestors or descendants?

```
Matt.^children // Matt's descendants
Matt.^(~children) // Matt's ancestors
```

How to express the constraint "No person can be their own ancestor?"

```
no p: Person | p in p.^(~children)
```

Reflexive-transitive Closure

$$*r = ^r + iden$$

ullet Intuitively, the transitive closure of a relation r: S x S is what you get when you keep navigating through r until you can't go any farther

$$*r = iden + r + r.r + r.r.r + \dots$$

Arrow Product

$$p \rightarrow q$$

- p and q are two relations
- p -> q is the relation you get by taking every combination of a tuple from p and a tuple from q and concatenating them (same as flat cross product)

Example

```
\label{eq:Name} \begin{split} &\text{Name} = \{ (\text{N0}), (\text{N1}) \} \\ &\text{Addr} = \{ (\text{D0}), (\text{D1}) \} \\ &\text{Book} = \{ (\text{B0}) \} \\ \\ &\text{Name} \longrightarrow \text{Addr} = \{ (\text{N0}, \text{D0}), (\text{N0}, \text{D1}), (\text{N1}, \text{D0}), (\text{N1}, \text{D1}) \} \\ &\text{Book} \longrightarrow \text{Name} \longrightarrow \text{Addr} = \\ & \{ (\text{B0}, \text{N0}, \text{D0}), (\text{B0}, \text{N0}, \text{D1}), (\text{B0}, \text{N1}, \text{D0}), (\text{B0}, \text{N1}, \text{D1}) \} \end{split}
```

Domain and Image restrictions

- The restriction operators are used to filter relations to a given domain or image
- If s is a set and r is a relation then
 - s <: r contains tuples of r starting with an element in s
 - r :> s contains tuples of r ending with an element in s
- Examples

```
Man = {(M0),(M1),(M2),(M3)}
Woman = {(W0),(W1)}
children = {(M0,M1),(M0,M2),(M3,W0),(W1,M1)}
// father-child
Man <: children = {(M0,M1),(M0,M2),(M3,W0)}
// parent-son
children :> Man = {(M0,M1),(M0,M2),(W1,M1)}
```

Override

$$p ++ q$$

- p and q are two relations of arity two or more
- the result is like the union between p and q except that tuples of q can replace tuples of p; any tuple in p that matches a tuple in q starting with the same element is dropped

$$p ++ q = p - (domain(q) <: p) + q$$

Example

```
\begin{array}{lll} oldAddr &=& \{ (N0,D0), (N1,D1), (N1,D2) \} \\ newAddr &=& \{ (N1,D4), (N3,D3) \} \\ oldAddr &++ & newAddr &=& \{ (N0,D0), (N1,D4), (N3,D3) \} \end{array}
```

Operator precederce

From lower to higher:

```
<=>
=>
&&
= != in
++
&
->
<:
:>
```

Set Comprehension

```
\{ x : S \mid F \}
```

• the set of values drawn from set S for which F holds

• How would use the comprehension notation to specify the set of people that have the same parents as Matt?

Set Comprehension

```
\{ x : S \mid F \}
```

• the set of values drawn from set S for which F holds

• How would use the comprehension notation to specify the set of people that have the same parents as Matt?

```
\{ q: Person \mid q.~children = matt.~children \}
```

How to express the constraint "A person P's siblings are those people, other than P, with the same parents as P"

How to express the constraint "A person P's siblings are those people, other than P, with the same parents as P"

```
all p: Person |
  p.siblings =
    {q: Person | p.~children = q.~children} - p
```

Functions and Predicates

- Parametrized macros for terms and formulas
 - Can be named and reused in different contexts (facts, assertions and conditions of run)
 - Can have zero or more parameters
 - Used to factor out common patterns

• Functions are good for set expressions you want to reuse in different contexts

• Predicates are good for formulas you want to reuse in different contexts

Functions

- A named set expression, with zero or more parameters
- The parents relation:

• Example in a formula:

```
all p: Person |
  p.siblings =
    {q: Person | p.parents = q.parents} - p
```

Predicates

• A named formula, with zero or more parameters

• The parents relation:

```
pred BloodRelated [p: Person, q: Person] {
   some (p.*parents & q.*parents)
}
```

• Example in a formula:

```
no p: Married | BloodRelated[p, p.spouse]
```

Let

let
$$x = e \mid A$$

• You can factor expressions out

- Each occurrence of the variable x will be replaced by the expression e in A
- Example: "Each married man (woman) has a wife (husband)"

```
all p: Married |
let q = p.spouse |
   (p in Man => q in Woman) and
   (p in Woman => q in Man)
```

Facts

Additional constraints on signatures and fields are expressed in Alloy as facts

```
fact Name {
   F1
   F2
   ...
}
```

• AA looks for instances of a model that also satisfy all of its facts

• No person can be their own ancestor

No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents
}
```

No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents
}
```

At most one father and mother

No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents
}
```

• At most one father and mother

No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents
}
```

• At most one father and mother

• a persons's siblings are other persons with the same parents

No person can be their own ancestor

```
fact selfAncestor {
  no p: Person | p in p.^parents
}
```

At most one father and mother

• a persons's siblings are other persons with the same parents

```
fact social {
 — Every married man (woman) has a wife (husband)
  all p: Married
    let s = p.spouse
      (p in Man \Rightarrow s in Woman) and
      (p in Woman => s in Man)
 — A spouse can't be a sibling
 no p: Married | p.spouse in p.siblings
 — A person can't be married to a blood relative
 no p: Married
   some (p.*parents & (p.spouse).*parents)
```

Assertions

- Often we believe that our model entails certain constraints that are not directly expressed
 - some A && (A in B) entails some B
- We can define these constraints as assertions and ask the analyzer to check if they hold

```
assert myAssertion { some B }
check myAssertion
```

- If the constraint in an assertion does not hold, the analyzer will produce a counterexample instance
- If you expect the constraint to hold but it does not, you can either
 - make it into a fact, or
 - refine your model until the assertion holds

• No person has a parent that is also a sibling

No person has a parent that is also a sibling

No person has a parent that is also a sibling

• A person's siblings are his/her siblings' siblings

No person has a parent that is also a sibling

A person's siblings are his/her siblings' siblings

No person has a parent that is also a sibling

A person's siblings are his/her siblings' siblings

 No person shares a common ancestor with his/her spouse (i.e., spouse isn't related by blood)

No person has a parent that is also a sibling

A person's siblings are his/her siblings' siblings

 No person shares a common ancestor with his/her spouse (i.e., spouse isn't related by blood)

Acknowledgments

These notes are heavily based on notes from Matt Dwyer, John Hatcliff, Rod Howell, Laurence Pilard and Cesare Tinelli.