

Métodos Formais  
2022.2

# Informações Gerais Sobre o Curso

## Introdução a Métodos Formais

Áreas de Teoria e de Linguagens de Programação DCC/UFMG

# O professor

- **Haniel Barbosa**

hbarbosa@dcc.ufmg.br

<https://homepages.dcc.ufmg.br/~hbarbosa/>

- **Formação:**

2017: Doutorado em Ciência da Computação (Université de Lorraine, França)

2012: Mestrado em Ciência da Computação (UFRN)

2010: Bacharelado em Ciência da Computação (UFRN)

- **Experiência profissional:**

2019-...: Professor adjunto (UFMG)

2017-2019: Professor assistente visitante (University of Iowa, EUA)

2017-2019: Pesquisador pós-doutor (University of Iowa, EUA)

2013: Professor substituto (UFRN)

2012: Estágio (Clearsy, França)

2010: Estágio (AeS - Acesso e Segurança, Brasil)

- **Interesses de pesquisa:**

- métodos formais,

- síntese de programas,

- automatização de raciocínio lógico,

- satisfatibilidade módulo teorias

- A disciplina não possui um livro-texto.
- Materiais de leitura, entre notas de aula, tutoriais, capítulos de livros e artigos, serão passados durante o semestre e serão disponibilizados na página da disciplina:

<https://homepages.dcc.ufmg.br/~hbarbosa/teaching/ufmg/2022-2/fm>

# Métodos de avaliação

- Atividades:
  - **Provas:** 40% da nota final.
    - Cada prova 20% da nota
    - 10/10 e 30/11
  - **Projetos:** 60% da nota final
    - Um projeto em Alloy (30%), para o meio de outubro
    - Um projeto em Dafny (30%), para o começo de dezembro
  - **Listas de exercícios:** 10% da nota final.

- Para material didático, informações gerais, e calendários, acesse:

<https://homepages.dcc.ufmg.br/~hbarbosa/teaching/ufmg/2022-2/fm>

e também o Moodle da disciplina:

<https://virtual.ufmg.br/20222/course/view.php?id=12085>

- Grupos de discussões e avisos urgentes (como eventuais cancelamentos de aula de última hora) também ocorrem no Moodle da disciplina.
- E-mails sobre a disciplina devem iniciar o campo “assunto” / “*subject*” com o indicativo **[FM]** para facilitar a organização das mensagens.

# Objetivos do curso

- 1 Aprender sobre métodos formais
- 2 Entender como métodos formais podem auxiliar na construção de sistemas de alta qualidade
- 3 Aprender sobre modelagem formal e linguagens de especificação
- 4 Escrever e entender especificações formais de requisitos
- 5 Aprender as principais abordagens em verificação formal de sistemas
- 6 Saber que métodos formais usar em que cenários
- 7 Utilizar ferramentas automáticas e interativas para verificar especificações e código

# Organização do curso

- Curso organizado por níveis de especificação
- Ênfase em escrita e validação de especificações formais através de ferramentas
- Vários exercícios de fixação
- Listas de exercício práticas em que vocês desenham um sistema, o especificam e verificam esta especificação
- Projetos sobre os temas vistos

# Tópicos do curso

- **Software Specification**

- High-level design
- Code-level design

- **Constraint Solving for Formal Verification**

- Brief overview of SAT, SMT, and encodings

- **Software Validation Techniques**

- Model Finding/Checking:  
often automatic, abstract
- Deductive Verification:  
typically semi-automatic, precise



# Part I: High-level Design

## Language: Alloy

- Lightweight modeling language for software design
- Amenable to a fully automatic analysis
- Aimed at expressing complex structural constraints and behavior in a software system
- Intuitive structural modeling tool based on first-order logic
- Automatic analyzer based on SAT solving technology

## Learning Outcomes

- Design and model software systems in the Alloy language
- Check models and their properties with Forge
- Understand what can and cannot be expressed in Alloy

# Part II: Constraint Solving for Formal Verification

## **Methods: overview of SAT, SMT and encodings**

- Brief overview of these problems
- How state-of-the-art tools solve them
- How Alloy verification conditions are encoded into these problems

## **Learning Outcomes**

- Understanding of what is behind verification tools
- Notions of how to encode problems into SAT and SMT

## Part II: Code-level Specification

### Language: Dafny

- Programming language with specification constructs
- Specifications embedded in source code as formal contracts
- Tool support with sophisticated verification engines
- Automatic analysis based on SMT solving technology

### Learning Outcomes:

- Write formal specifications and contracts in Dafny
- Verify functional properties of Dafny programs with automated tools
- Understand what can and cannot be expressed in Dafny

# Formal Methods

# Formal Methods

**Rigorous** techniques and tools for the **development and analysis** of computational (hardware/software) systems

- Applied at various stages of the development cycle
- Also used in reverse engineering to model and analyze existing systems
- Based on **mathematics and symbolic logic** (formal)

# Main Artifacts in Formal Methods

- ① System requirements
- ② System implementation

Formal methods rely on

- a. some formal specification of (1)
- b. some formal execution model of (2)

Use tools to verify **mechanically** that implementation satisfies (a) according to (b)

# Why Use Formal Methods

- Mathematical modeling and analysis **contribute to the overall quality** of the final product
- **Increase confidence** in the correctness/robustness/security of a system
- **Find more flaws** and **earlier** (i.e., during specification and design vs. testing and maintenance)

# Formal Methods: The Vision

- Complement other analysis and design methods
- Help find bugs in code and specification
- Reduce development, and testing, cost
- Ensure certain properties of the formal system model
- Should be highly automated



# A Warning

- The notion of “formality” is often misunderstood (formal vs. rigorous)
- The effectiveness of formal methods is still debated
- There are persistent myths about their practicality and cost
- Formal methods are not yet widespread in industry
- They are mostly used in the development of safety, business, or mission critical software, where the cost of faults is high

# The Main Point of Formal Methods is Not

- To show “correctness” of entire systems
  - What **is** correctness? Go for specific properties!
- To replace testing entirely
  - Formal methods do not go below byte code level
  - Some properties are not formalizable
- To replace good design practices

There is no silver bullet!

No correct system w/o clear requirements & good design

# Overall Benefits of Using Formal Methods

- Forces developers to think systematically about issues
- Improves the quality of specifications, even without formal verification
- Leads to better design
- Provides a precise reference to check requirements against
- Provides documentation within a team of developers
- Gives direction to latter development phases
- Provides a basis for reuse via specification matching
- Can replace (infinitely) many test cases
- Facilitates automatic test case generation

# Specifications: What the system should do

- Simple properties
  - Safety properties: something bad will never happen
  - Liveness properties: something good will happen eventually
  - Non-functional properties: runtime, memory, usability, ...
- “Complete” behaviour specification
  - Equivalence check
  - Refinement
  - ...

# Formal Specification

*The expression in some **formal language** and at some level of **abstraction** of a collection of **properties** that some system should **satisfy** [van Lamsweerde]*

- **formal language:**
  - syntax can be mechanically processed and checked
  - semantics is defined unambiguously by mathematical means
- **abstraction:**
  - above the level of source code
  - several levels possible

# Formal Specification


*The expression in some **formal language** and at some level of **abstraction** of a collection of **properties** that some system should **satisfy** [van Lamsweerde]*

- **properties:**
  - expressed in some formal logic
  - have a well-defined semantics
- **satisfaction:**
  - ideally (but not always) decided mechanically
  - based on automated deduction and/or model checking techniques

# A Fundamental Fact


Formalisation of system requirements is hard

# Difficulties in Creating Formal Models





# Difficulties in Creating Formal Models



# Another Fundamental Fact

Proving properties of systems can be hard

# Level of System Description / Expressiveness of Specification

## High level (modeling/programming language level)

- Complex datatypes and control structures, general programs
  - General properties
- Easier to program
  - High precision, tight modeling
- Automatic proofs (in general) impossible!

⋮

## Low level (machine level)

- Finitely many states
  - Finitely many cases
- Tedious to program, worse to maintain
  - Approximation, low precision
- Automatic proofs are (in principle) possible



# Current and Future Trends

Slowly but surely formal methods are increasingly used in industry.

- Designing for formal verification
- Combining semi-automatic methods with SAT/SMT solvers, theorem provers
- Combining static analysis of programs with automatic methods and with theorem provers
- Combining testing and formal verification
- Integration of formal methods into the development process

# Summary

- Software is becoming pervasive and very complex
- Current development techniques are inadequate
- Formal methods . . .
  - are not a panacea, but will be increasingly necessary
  - are (more and more) used in practice
  - can shorten development time
  - can push the limits of feasible complexity
  - can increase product quality
  - can improve system security
- We will learn to use different formal methods for different development stages