

# Factor models via Autoencoders

A clever way of using Neural Networks to solve a familiar but important problem in Finance was proposed by [Gu, Kelly, and Xiu, 2019](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3335536)  
([https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=3335536](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3335536)).

It is an extension of the Factor Model framework of Finance, combined with the tools of dimensionality reduction (to find the factors) of Deep Learning: the Autoencoder.

You can find [code](https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20_autoencoders_for_conditional_risk_factors/06_conditional_autoencoders_for_this_model) ([https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20\\_autoencoders\\_for\\_conditional\\_risk\\_factors/06\\_conditional\\_autoencoders\\_for\\_this\\_model](https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20_autoencoders_for_conditional_risk_factors/06_conditional_autoencoders_for_this_model)) as part of the excellent book by [Stefan Jansen](https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20_autoencoders_for_conditional_risk_factors/06_conditional_autoencoders_for_this_model) ([https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20\\_autoencoders\\_for\\_conditional\\_risk\\_factors/06\\_conditional\\_autoencoders\\_for\\_this\\_model](https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20_autoencoders_for_conditional_risk_factors/06_conditional_autoencoders_for_this_model))

- [Github](https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading) (<https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading>)
  - In order to run the code notebook, you first need to run a notebook for [data preparation](https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20_autoencoders_for_conditional_risk_factors/05_conditional_autoencoders_for_data_preparation) ([https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20\\_autoencoders\\_for\\_conditional\\_risk\\_factors/05\\_conditional\\_autoencoders\\_for\\_data\\_preparation](https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading/blob/main/20_autoencoders_for_conditional_risk_factors/05_conditional_autoencoders_for_data_preparation))
    - This notebook relies on files created by notebooks from earlier chapters of the book
    - So, if you want to run the code, you have a lot of preparatory work ahead of you
    - Try to take away the ideas and the coding
-

# Factor Model review

We will begin with a quick review/introduction to Factor Models in Finance.

First, some necessary notation:

- $\mathbf{r}_s^{(d)}$ : Return of ticker  $s$  on day  $d$ .
- $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_s^{(d)}$ : approximation of  $\mathbf{r}_s^{(d)}$
- $n_{\text{tickers}}$ : **large** number of tickers
- $n_{\text{dates}}$  number of dates
- $n_{\text{factors}}$ : **small** number of factors: independent variables (features) in our approximation
- Returns matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  indexed by *date*
  - $\mathbf{R} : (n_{\text{dates}} \times n_{\text{tickers}})$
  - $||\mathbf{R}^{(d)}|| = n_{\text{tickers}}$ 
    - $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$  is vector of returns for each of the  $n_{\text{tickers}}$  on date  $d$
- $\mathbf{r}$  will denote a vector of single day returns:  $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$  for some date  $d$



## Notation summary

term	meaning		
$s$	ticker		
$n_{\text{tickers}}$	number of tickers		
$d$	date		
$n_{\text{dates}}$	number of dates		
$n_{\text{chars}}$	number of characteristics per ticker		
$m$	number of examples		
	$m = n_{\text{dates}}$		
$i$	index of example		
	There will be one example per date, so we use $i$ and $d$ interchangeably.		
$[\mathbf{X}^{(i)}, \mathbf{R}^{(i)}]$	example $i$		
	\$	$\mathbb{X}^{\text{ip}}$	$= (\text{ntickers} \times \text{nchars}) \$$
	\$	$\mathbb{R}^{\text{ip}}$	$= \text{ntickers} \$$
$\mathbf{X}_s^{(d)}$	vector of ticker $s$ 's characteristics on day $d$		
	\$	$\mathbb{X}^{\text{dp}_s}$	$= \text{nchars} \$$

## Note

The paper actually seeks to predict  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_s^{(d+1)}$  (forward return) rather than approximate the current return  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_s^{(d)}$ .

We will present this as an approximation problem as opposed to a prediction problem for simplicity of presentation (i.e., to include PCA as a model).

A **factor model** seeks to approximate/explain the return of a *number* of tickers in terms of common "factors"  $\mathbf{F}$

- $\mathbf{F} : (n_{\text{dates}} \times n_{\text{factors}})$   
$$\mathbf{R}_1^{(d)} = \beta_1^{(d)} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{(d)} + \epsilon_1$$
  
$$\vdots$$
  
$$\mathbf{R}_{n_{\text{tickers}}}^{(d)} = \beta_{n_{\text{tickers}}}^{(d)} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{(d)} + \epsilon_{n_{\text{tickers}}}$$

There are several ways to create a factor model.

## Pre-defined factors, solve for sensitivities

First: supposed  $\mathbf{F}$  is given

- For each date  $d$ , returns for: market, several industries, large/small cap
- Solve for  $\beta_s$ , for each  $s$ 
  - $n_{\text{tickers}}$  separate Linear Regression models:  $\langle \mathbf{X}^{(d)}, \mathbf{y}^{(d)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r}_s^{(d)}, \mathbf{F}^{(d)} \rangle$
  - Regression of time-series of a ticker's return againsts a time-series of Factor returns
  - Solve for  $\beta_s$



## Pre-defined sensitivities, solve for factors

Alternately: suppose  $\beta$  is given

- For each ticker  $s$ , sensitivity of  $s$  to  $\beta_j$
- Solve for  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$ , for each  $d$ 
  - $n_{\text{dates}}$  separate Linear Regression models  $\langle \mathbf{X}^{(s)}, \mathbf{y}^{(s)} \rangle = \langle \beta_s, \mathbf{r}_s^{(d)} \rangle$
  - Regression of *cross-section* of tickers returns against a cross-section of ticker sensitivities
  - Solve for  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$

## Solve for sensitivities and factors: PCA

Yet another possibility: solve for  $\beta$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  *simultaneously*.

Recall Principal Components

- Representing  $\mathbf{X}$  (with "standard" basis vectors) via an *alternate basis*  $\mathbf{V}$

$$\mathbf{X} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V}^T$$

In this case without dimensionality reduction:

$$\mathbf{R} = \tilde{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{V}^T$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}, \tilde{\mathbf{R}} : (n_{\text{dates}} \times n_{\text{tickers}})$$

$$\mathbf{V}^T : (n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{tickers}})$$

With dimensionality reduced from  $n_{\text{tickers}}$  to  $n_{\text{factors}}$

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{F} \beta^T$$

- $\mathbf{F}^T : (n_{\text{dates}} \times n_{\text{factors}})$ 
  - is  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$  with columns eliminated b/c of dimensionality reduction
- $\beta^T : (n_{\text{factors}} \times n_{\text{tickers}})$ 
  - so  $\beta^{(s)}$  are sensitivities of  $s$  to factors
- Solve for  $\mathbf{F}, \beta$  simultaneously

The daily observation of  $n_{\text{tickers}}$  returns  $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$  is replaced by  $n_{\text{factors}}$  returns  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$

# This paper

This paper will create a factor model that

- Solve for  $\mathbf{F}$ ,  $\beta$  simultaneously
  - like PCA
- **But** where  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\beta$  are defined by Neural Networks

# Autoencoder

The paper refers to the model as a kind of Autoencoder.

Let's review the topic.

Training examples  $\langle \mathbf{X}^{(d)}, \mathbf{y}^{(d)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R}^{(d)}, \mathbf{R}^{(d)} \rangle$

No obvious form as factor model

- $\mathbf{R}^{(d)} = \mathbf{r}$ 
  - mapped by Encoder to latent  $\mathbf{z}$  (of length  $n_{\text{factors}}$ )
  - latent  $\mathbf{z}$  mapped to  $\mathbf{r}$  by Decoder

Imagine instead creating an "Autoencoder" that worked as follows

- Maps  $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$  to  $\beta^{(d)}$ 
  - sensitivity of each of the  $n_{\text{tickers}}$  on day  $d$  to day  $d$  returns of  $n_{\text{factors}}$   $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$
- Maps  $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$  to the day  $d$  returns of  $n_{\text{factors}}$   $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$
- Outputting  $\mathbf{y}^{(d)} = \beta^{(d)} \mathbf{F}^{(d)}$

It acts as an Autoencoder in the senses that the Training examples  $\langle \mathbf{X}^{(d)}, \mathbf{y}^{(d)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R}^{(d)}, \mathbf{R}^{(d)} \rangle$

- But constrains  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(d)} = \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(d)}$  to the form  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^{(d)} = \beta^{(d)} \mathbf{F}^{(d)}$

This model solves for  $\beta^{(d)}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$  simultaneously

- almost what PCA does **but**, in PCA,  $\beta$  does not vary by day
- this model: the beta of a ticker  $s$  to a factor  $j$  changes by day  $d$  !

This paper goes one step further than the standard Autoencoder

- Standard Autoencoder maps  $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$  to  $\beta^{(d)}$
- This paper allows  $n_{\text{chars}} \geq 1$  daily *characteristics*  $\mathbf{X}^{(d)}$  to map to  $\beta^{(d)}$ 
  - one characteristic may be  $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$



$$\beta_s^{(d)} = \text{NN}(\mathbf{X}_s^{(d)}; \mathbf{W}_\beta)$$

- $\beta_s^{(d)}$ 
  - parameterized by weights  $\mathbf{W}_\beta$
  - is only a function of the characteristics of  $s$
  - **not** a function of *other* ticker  $s'$  characteristics  $\mathbf{X}_{s'}$ , as in PCA
- $\beta_s^{(d)}$  share the same weights  $\mathbf{W}_\beta$  for all  $s, d$ 
  - unlike fixed factor, solve for  $\beta_s$ 
    - different for each  $s$
    - same for each day  $d$

## This model: nothing pre-defined, solve for sensitivities and factors

- Simultaneously solve for  $\beta_s^{(d)}$  and  $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$ 
  - $\beta_s^{(d)}$  constrained:
$$\beta_s^{(d)} = \text{Dense}(n_{\text{factors}})(\mathbf{X}_s^{(d)})$$
    - combination of ticker-specific, time-varying characteristics  $\mathbf{X}_s^{(d)}$
    - we solve for the *combining weights*
      - shared by all tickers and dates
  - $\mathbf{F}^{(d)}$  constrained
$$\mathbf{F}^{(d)} = \text{Dense}(n_{\text{factors}})(\mathbf{R}^{(d)})$$
    - combination of time-varying *raw returns*  $\mathbf{R}^{(d)}$
    - we solve for *combining weights*
      - shared by all dates



# This paper

- $\mathbf{r}^{(d)} = \beta^{(d)} * \mathbf{r}^{(d)}$ 
  - $\mathbf{r}^{(d)}$  shape is  $(n_{\text{tickers}} \times 1)$
  - $\beta$  shape is  $(n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{factors}})$
  - $\mathbf{r}^{(d)}$  shape is  $(n_{\text{factors}} \times 1)$
- Solve simultaneously for  $\beta^{(d)}, \mathbf{r}^{(d)}$   
where  $\beta_s^{(d)} = f(\mathbf{X}_s^{(d)})$ 
  - $\beta_s^{(d)}$  is only a function of the characteristics of  $s$
  - **not**  $f(\mathbf{r}^{(d)})$ : the simultaneous returns of *other*  $s'$  as in PCA
  - $\beta_s^{(d)}$  share the same  $\mathbf{W}_\beta$  for all  $s, d$ 
    - unlike fixed factor, solve for  $\beta_s$
    - different for each  $s$
    - same for each day  $d$

and where

$$\mathbf{r}^{(d)} = f(\mathbf{r}^{(d)}) \text{ for } f \text{ fixed over all } d$$

- like PCA



# Input side of network

## Input $\mathbf{X}$

$$\mathbf{X} : (n_{\text{dates}} \times n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{chars}})$$

$$||\mathbf{X}|| = (n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{chars}})$$

- one example per date
- example shape is  $n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{chars}}$

# Dense $\beta$

- Dense ( $n_{\text{factors}}$ )
  - Dense( $n_{\text{factors}}$ ) :  $(n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{chars}}) \mapsto (n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{factors}})$
  - threads over ticker dimension ([see](https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/layers/Dense) [https://www.tensorflow.org/api\\_docs/python/tf/keras/layers/Dense](https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/layers/Dense))
    - tickers share same weights
    - single Dense( $n_{\text{factors}}$ ) **not**  $n_{\text{tickers}}$  copies of Dense( $n_{\text{factors}}$ )
- $\mathbf{W}_{\beta} : (n_{\text{factors}} \times n_{\text{chars}})$ 
  - same across all  $d, s$
  - $W_{\beta}^{(d)} = W_{\beta}^{(d')}$  like any other training (same weight for every example)
  - $W_{\beta,(s)}^{(d)} = W_{\beta,(s')}^{(d)}$  : transformation of characteristics to beta *independent* of ticker
  - hence, size of  $\mathbf{W}_{\beta}$  is  $(n_{\text{factors}} \times n_{\text{chars}})$

$$\beta^{(d)} = \text{Dense}(n_{\text{factors}})(\mathbf{X}^{(d)})$$

$$||\beta^{(d)}|| = (n_{\text{tickers}} \times n_{\text{factors}})$$



**Factor side of network**

## Input $\mathbf{R}$

$$\mathbf{R} : (n_{\text{dates}} \times n_{\text{tickers}})$$

$$||\mathbf{R}^{(d)}|| = (n_{\text{tickers}})$$

- one set of returns per date

## Dense $\delta$ (factor)

- Dense ( $n_{\text{factors}}$ )
  - Dense( $n_{\text{factors}}$ ) :  $n_{\text{tickers}} \mapsto n_{\text{factors}}$
- $\mathbf{W}_f : (n_{\text{factors}} \times n_{\text{tickers}})$ 
  - same across all  $d, s$
  - $W_f^{(d)} = W_f^{(d')}$  like any other training (same weight for every example)
  - $W^d p f$ : transformation of ticker returns to factor returns

$$\mathbf{F}^{(d)} = \text{Dense}(n_{\text{factors}})(\mathbf{R}^{(d)})$$

$$||\mathbf{F}^{(d)}|| = n_{\text{factors}}$$

# Dot

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}^{(d)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(d)} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{(d)}$$

- Dot product threads over factor dimension

$$||\hat{\mathbf{r}}^{(d)}|| = n_{\text{tickers}}$$

# Loss

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{(s)}^{(d)}$  denote error of ticker  $s$  on day  $d$ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{(s)}^{(d)} = \mathbf{r}_s^{(d)} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_s^{(d)}$$

or perhaps

$$\mathcal{L}_{(s)}^{(d)} = \mathbf{r}_s^{(d+1)} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_s^{(d)}$$

- $\mathcal{L}^{(d)}$  is the loss of example  $d$ 
  - this loss has  $n_{\text{tickers}}$  sub-components
  - This appears in example  $i = d : \mathbf{X}^{(d)}$
  - $\mathcal{L}^{(i)} = \mathcal{L}^{(d)} = \sum_s \mathcal{L}_{(s)}^{(d)}$
- This is different than the loss  $\mathcal{L}'$  for the case where an example is a single ticker on a single day
  - $m' = n_{\text{dates}} * n_{\text{tickers}}$  examples in this case
  - $\mathcal{L}'^{(i)} = \mathcal{L}_{(s)}^{(d)}$