# Neural Style Transfer: Feature extractor, Training Loop

The objective of Neural Style Transfer:

- ullet Given Content Image C
- ullet Given Style Image S
- ullet Create Generated Image G that is the Content image re-drawn in the "style" of the Style image







Style image S Content image C Generated image G

We used this example to preview the concept that Deep Learning is all about defining a Loss Function that captures the semantics of the task.

## **Content Loss and Style Loss**

Neural Style Transfer is solved, like most other Machine Learning tasks, by minimizing a loss

$$G = \operatorname*{argmin}_{I} \mathcal{L}$$

- where *I* is an image.
- $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ ext{content}} + \mathcal{L}_{ ext{style}}$ 
  - where
    - $\circ \; \mathcal{L}_{ ext{content}}$  measures the dissimilarity of the "content" of G and "content" f C
    - $\circ \; \mathcal{L}_{ ext{style}}$  measures the dissimilarity of the "style" of G and "style" of C

That is: the "weights" we are optimizing are the pixels of image I.

How do we measure the dissimilarity of the "content"?

We can't just use plain MSE of the pixel-wise differences

• G is different than C, by definition (the "styles" are different)

And how do we define what the "style" of an image is?

• And how do we measure dissimilarity of the "style"?

Recall that each layer in a multi-layer Neural Network is creating an *alternate* representation of the input.

Rather than directly comparing G with C (and G with S) our dissimilarity will be measured

- Not on raw images as seen by the human eye
- But on their alternate representations as created at some layer of a multi-layer
  Neural Network

#### We will

- Use a pre-trained multi-layer Image Classifier  $\mathbb C$  (e.g., VGG19)
- Define some layer  $l_c$  to be the "content" layer
- Define some layer  $l_s$  to be the "style" layer
- And measure the dissimilarity via the alternate representations created at the respective layers

Suppose  $\mathbb C$  consists of a sequence of CNN Layers

Let  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}$  denote the set of  $n_{(l)}$  feature maps produced at layer l

• Feature map: value of one feature, at each spatial location

#### We choose

- One layer  $l_c$  of  $\mathbb C$  and call it the "content representation" layer
  - Will tend to be shallow: closer to the input
  - Features of shallow layers will be more "syntax" than "semantics"
- ullet One layer  $l_s$  of  ${\mathbb C}$  and call it the "style representation" layer
  - Will tend to be deep: closer to the output
    - Features of deep layers will be more "semantics" than "syntax"

### For arbitrary image I, let

- ullet  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_c)}(I)$ 
  - lacktriangleright denote the feature maps of the Classifier  $\mathbb C$ , on image I, at the "content representation" layer
- ullet  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_s)}(I)$ 
  - $\blacksquare$  denote the feature maps of the Classifier  $\mathbb C$ , on image I , at the "style representation" layer

We can now define the dissimilarity of the "content" of Content Image  ${\cal C}$  and "content" of Generated Image  ${\cal G}$ 

ullet by comparing  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_c)}(C)$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_c)}(G)$ 

Similarly, we can define the dissimilarity of the "style" of Content Image  ${\cal C}$  and "style" of Generated Image  ${\cal G}$ 

ullet by comparing  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_s)}(S)$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_s)}(G)$ 

For any image I:  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I)$  consists of  $n_{(l)}$  feature maps.

We need to define what it means to compare  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I)$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I')$ .

The Gramm Matrix  $\mathbb{G}$  of  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I)$ 

- Has shape ( $n_{(l)} imes n_{(l)}$ )
- $ullet \ \mathbb{G}_{j,j'}(I) = \operatorname{correlation}(\operatorname{flatten}(\mathbb{C}_{(l),j}(I)), \ \operatorname{flatten}(\mathbb{C}_{(l),j'}(I)))$ 
  - $\blacksquare$  the correlation of the feature map j of  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I)$  with feature map j' of  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I')$

Intuitively, the Gramm Matrix

ullet measures the correlation of the values across pixel locations (flattened feature maps) of two feature maps of image I

We can now define the dissimilarity of  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I)$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{(l)}(I')$ 

• As the MSE of  $\mathbb{G}(I)$  and  $\mathbb{G}(I')$ 

Using this dissimilarity measure, we can define the

- ullet  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{content}}$  as the dissimilarity of  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_c)}(C)$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_c)}(G)$
- ullet  $\mathcal{L}_{ ext{style}}$  as the dissimilarity of  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_s)}(S)$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{(l_c)}(G)$

# Gradient ascent: generating G

We can find image G via Gradient Ascent

- Initialize G to noise
- Update pixel  $G_{i,i',k}$  by  $-rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{G_{i,i',k}}$

## **Feature extractor**

One key coding trick that we will illustrate

ullet Obtaining the feature maps of the Classifier  $\mathbb C$ , on image I , at an arbitrary layer

We will call this tool the *feature extractor* 



```
In [2]: print("Done")
```

Done