Refutation-based Typechecking via Symbolic Execution

1 INTRO

In this section, we will first provide the model theory definition of types in our language. However, since in real programs, we cannot effectively perform certain mathematical enumerations (such as enumeration of functions), we will need an operational-semantics-based definition of how typechecking works in actual programs. As such, we will provide a proof theory definition of types, and prove equivalence of the two systems.

2 CORE LANGUAGE

First, we'll define a small core language with basic integers, booleans, binary operations, conditionals, and functions.

```
\begin{array}{llll} e & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid x \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \mid e \; e & expressions \\ & \mid e \odot e \mid \text{if } e \; \text{then } e \; \text{else } e \mid \text{let } x = e \; \text{in } e \\ & \mid \text{pick}_i \mid \text{pick}_b \mid \text{ERROR} \\ x & ::= & (\textit{identifiers}) & \textit{variables} \\ v & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e & \textit{values} \\ \tau & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau & \textit{types} \end{array}
```

Fig. 1. Core language grammar

2.1 Modeling Types

The typing rules of the system is defined as following:

Definition 2.1 (Typing rules in model theory).

```
(1) \ \models e : \mathsf{int} \ \mathsf{iff} \ e \longrightarrow^* \mathsf{ERROR} \ \mathsf{and} \ \forall v.e \longrightarrow^* v, v \in \mathbb{Z}.
```

- (2) $\models e : \text{bool iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR and } \forall v.e \longrightarrow^* v, v \in \mathbb{B}.$
- (3) $\models e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ iff $e \longrightarrow^*$ ERROR and $\forall v_f.e \longrightarrow^* v_f$, and that $\forall v.$ if $\models v : \tau_1$, then $\models v_f v : \tau_2$.

Note that the rules do not actually check the types of the subexpressions. In fact, we can have an expression such as Y (fun this \rightarrow fun n \rightarrow if n = 0 then 0 else this (n-1)) and assign the type int \rightarrow int to it, in spite of the fact that we cannot assign types to any of its subexpressions.

2.2 Proving Types

In this section, we will provide the proof theory definition of typechecking.

Definition 2.2 (Type Generator).

- (1) generator(int) = pick;
- (2) $generator(bool) = pick_b$
- (3) generator($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$) = fun $x \rightarrow \text{let}$ _ = checker(τ_1, x) in generator(τ_2)

Definition 2.3 (Type Checker).

- (1) checker(int, e) = if $e \sim$ int then e else ERROR
- (2) $checker(int, e) = if e \sim bool then e else ERROR$

```
(3) checker(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, e) = let arg = generator(\tau_1) in checker(\tau_2, (e arg))

Definition 2.4 (Typing rules in proof theory).

\vdash e : \tau iff checker(\tau, e) \longrightarrow* ERROR.
```

2.3 Completeness and Soundness

In this section, we will show that the two definitions are equivalent, by showing completeness and soundness.

```
Completeness. \forall e. \text{ if } \forall e: \tau, \text{ then } \not\models e: \tau.
```

This is equivalent to showing: if checker $(\tau, e) \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR}$, then $\not\models e : \tau$.

To prove this statement, we'll need the following lemma:

```
Lemma 2.5. \models generator(\tau) : \tau.
```

We will prove these two statements at the same time by mutual induction on the structure of τ . Base case: $\tau = \text{int}$

Since generator(int) = $pick_i$, by definition of $pick_i$, we know that $\forall v$. if $pick_i \longrightarrow^* v$, then $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we have shown that \models generator(int) : int.

Given checker(int, e) \longrightarrow * ERROR, we know that there are two potential sources for ERROR:

- (1) $e \longrightarrow^* ERROR$. In this case, $\not\models e$: int is trivial.
- (2) $e \longrightarrow^* v$. In this case, we know that $v \sim \text{int} \longrightarrow^* \text{false}$, which means $v \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\not\models e : \text{int}$.

Proof for the case where $\tau = \text{bool}$ is very similar, so we'll omit it here for brevity.

```
Inductive step: \tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2
```

Since generator($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$) = fun $x \rightarrow$ let _ = checker(τ_1, x) in generator(τ_2), by inductive hypothesis, we know that $\forall .e$ if $\models e : \tau_1$, then $\vdash e : \tau_1$, which means checker(τ_1, e) \longrightarrow^* ERROR. By the definition of generator(τ), we also know that generator(τ) \longrightarrow^* ERROR.

Therefore, we can conclude that $\forall v$. if $\models v : \tau_1$, then (generator $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) v) \longrightarrow^*$ generator (τ_2) . By inductive hypothesis, we know that generator $(\tau_2) : \tau_2$. Therefore, we have shown that \models generator $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

Given checker($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, e$) \longrightarrow^* ERROR, since arg = generator(τ_1) is guaranteed to evaluate to a value, we know that ERROR must come from checker(τ_2 , (e arg)). This suggests that \digamma (e arg) : τ_2 . By induction hypothesis, we know that $\not\models$ (e arg) : τ_2 , and that $\not\models$ generator(τ_1) : τ_1 . Thus we have found a witness $\not\models$ arg : τ_1 such that $\not\models$ (e arg) : τ_2 , proving that $\not\models$ e : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

```
Soundness. \forall .e \text{ if } \not\models e : \tau \text{, then } \not\vdash e : \tau.
```

This is equivalent to showing: if $\not\models e:\tau$, then $\exists v.e \longrightarrow^* v$ and checker $(\tau,v) \longrightarrow^*$ ERROR. Consider the case $\exists v_f.e \longrightarrow^* v_f$ and $\exists v. \models v:\tau_1$

Definition 2.6. $e_1 \subseteq_{\tau} e_2$ is defined as the following by case analysis:

- $e_1 \subseteq_{\text{int}} e_2 \text{ iff } \forall v_1. \text{ if } e_1 \longrightarrow^* v_1, \text{ then } e_2 \longrightarrow^* v_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- $e_1 \subseteq_{\mathsf{bool}} e_2 \text{ iff } \forall v_1. \text{ if } e_1 \longrightarrow^* v_1, \text{ then } e_2 \longrightarrow^* v_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{B}.$
- $e_1 \subseteq_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2} e_2$ iff $\forall v_1$. if $e_1 \longrightarrow^* v_1$, then $\exists v_2.e_2 \longrightarrow^* v_2$, $\models v_1, v_2 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2$, and $\forall v, v_{r1}$. if $\models v : \tau_1$ and $(v_1 \ v) \longrightarrow^* v_{r1}$, then $\exists v_{r2}.(v_2 \ v) \longrightarrow^* v_{r2}$ and $v_{r1} \subseteq_{\tau_2} v_{r2}$.

To prove this statement, we'll need the following lemma:

```
LEMMA 2.7. If \models v : \tau, then v \subseteq_{\tau} generator(\tau).
```

Definition 2.8. For all context $C, \models C[\bullet_{\tau}] : -\text{iff } \forall v. \text{ if } \models v : \tau \text{ then } C[v] \longrightarrow^* v'.$

Reinterpret Types 3

LEMMA 2.9. $\forall .v \ if \models v : \tau, \ then \ \forall .C \ if \models C[\bullet_{\tau}] : - \ and \ C[v] \longrightarrow^* v_1, \ then \ C[generator(\tau)] \longrightarrow^* v_2 \ and \ v_1 \subseteq_{\tau} v_2.$

3 LANGUAGE EXTENSIONS

Next, we will define a couple of languages extensions and their corresponding typing rules.

```
\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= & \cdots \mid a & expressions \\ v & ::= & \cdots \mid a & values \\ \tau & ::= & \cdots \mid \alpha \mid \tau \cup \tau \mid \tau \cap \tau \mid \{\tau \mid e\} \mid (x:\tau) \rightarrow \tau \mid \mu\alpha.\tau & types \end{array}
```

Fig. 2. Extended language grammar

Definition 3.1 (More typing rules).

- (1) $\models e : \alpha \text{ iff } e \Longrightarrow a$, where $\texttt{TYPEOF}(a) = \alpha$.
- (2) $\models e : \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \text{ iff } \models e : \tau_1 \text{ or } \models e : \tau_2.$
- (3) $\models e : \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \text{ iff } \models e : \tau_1 \text{ and } \models e : \tau_2.$
- (4) $\models e : \{\tau \mid p\} \text{ iff } \models e : \tau \text{ and } p e \Longrightarrow \mathsf{true}.$
- (5) $\models e : (x : \tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2 \text{ iff } \forall v \text{ such that } \models v : \tau_1, \models e v : \tau_2[v/x].$
- (6) $\models e : \mu \alpha. \tau \text{ iff } ?.$

We will now extend the language with records.

$$\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= & \cdots \mid \{\overline{\ell = e}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} \mid e.\ell & \textit{expressions} \\ v & ::= & \cdots \mid \{\overline{\ell = v}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} & \textit{values} \\ \tau & ::= & \cdots \mid \{\ell : \tau\} & \textit{types} \end{array}$$

Fig. 3. Extended language grammar (with records)

Definition 3.2 (Record typing rules).

```
(1) \models e : \{\ell_1 : \tau_1, \dots, \ell_m : \tau_m\} iff e \Longrightarrow \{\ell_1 = v_1, \dots, \ell_m = v_m, \dots, \ell_n = v_n\}^{\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_p\}} where \models v_i : \tau_i for i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, n \ge p \ge m.
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid x \mid \text{fun } x -> e \mid e \mid e \mid e \odot e \mid a \mid \{\overline{\ell = e}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} \mid e.\ell & expressions \\ \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e \mid \text{pick}_i \mid \text{pick}_b \mid \text{mzero} \mid \text{ERROR} \\ \mid \text{let } x = e \text{ in } e \mid \text{let } f \text{ } x = e \text{ in } e \mid e \sim p \\ x & ::= & (identifiers) & variables \\ p & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \text{fun} \mid \text{any} \mid a \mid \{\overline{\ell}\} & patterns \\ v & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid \text{fun } x -> e \mid x \mid a \mid \{\overline{\ell = v}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} & values \\ \tau & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \alpha \mid \tau \cup \tau \mid \tau \cap \tau \mid \{\tau \mid e\} \mid (x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau \mid \mu\alpha.\tau \mid \{\overline{\ell : \tau}\} & types \\ \end{array}
```

Fig. 4. Complete language grammar

4 TYPE AS VALUES

In this section, we will demonstrate how to represent each type using a tuple of functions generator and checker.

Definition 4.1 (Semantic interpretation of types). We define the semantic interpretation of types as $[\![\tau]\!] = \{\text{gen} = \text{generator}(\tau), \text{ check} = \text{fun } e \rightarrow \text{checker}(\tau, e)\}.$

Definition 4.2 (Defining Generator in the extended language). (1) generator(α_i): a_i . (2) generator($\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$): pick_b. if b then generator(τ_1) else generator(τ_2). (3) generator $(\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$ where τ_1, τ_2 are not arrow types or record types : pick $b \in \mathbb{B}$. if b then let gend = generator(τ_1) in if checker(τ_2 , gend) then gend else mzero else let gend = generator(τ_2) in if checker(τ_1 , gend) then gend else mzero (4) generator $(\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$ where $\tau_1 = \tau_{dom1} \rightarrow \tau_{cod1}, \tau_2 = \tau_{dom2} \rightarrow \tau_{cod2}$: fun $x \rightarrow$ if checker (τ_{dom1}, x) then generator (τ_{cod1}) else generator (τ_{cod2}) . (5) generator($\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$) where $\tau_1 = \{\ell_1 : \tau_1', \cdots, \ell_n : \tau_n', \cdots, \ell_{11} : \tau_{11}', \cdots, \ell_{1m} : \tau_{1m}'\},\$ $\tau_2 = \{\ell_1 : \tau_1'', \cdots, \ell_n : \tau_n'', \cdots, \ell_{21} : \tau_{21}'', \cdots, \ell_{2n} : \tau_{2n}'''\}:$ $\{\ell_1 = \texttt{generator}(\tau_1' \cap \tau_1''), \cdots, \ell_n = \texttt{generator}(\tau_n' \cap \tau_n''), \cdots, \ell_{11} = \tau_{11}, \cdots, \ell_{2n} = \tau_{2n}'\}.$ (6) generator($\{\tau \mid p\}$): let gend = generator(τ) in if (p gend) then gend else mzero. (7) generator($(x : \tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2$): fun $x' \rightarrow$ if checker (τ_1, x') then generator $(\tau_2[x'/x])$ else ERROR. (8) generator($\mu\alpha.\tau$): generator($\tau[\alpha/\mu\alpha.\tau]$). (9) generator($\{\ell_1: \tau_1, \cdots, \ell_n: \tau_n\}$): let $v_1 = \text{generator}(\tau_1)$ in \cdots let $v_n = \text{generator}(\tau_n)$ in $\{\ell_1 = v_1, \cdots, \ell_n = v_n\}$. Definition 4.3 (Defining Checker in the extended language). (1) checker(α_i , e) : $e \sim a_i$. (2) checker($\tau_1 \cup \tau_2, e$) : checker(τ_1, e) or checker(τ_2, e).

- (3) checker($\tau_1 \cap \tau_2, e$) : checker(τ_1, e) and checker(τ_2, e).
- (4) $checker(\{\tau \mid p\}, e) : checker(\tau, e)$ and eval(e) = true.
- (5) checker($(x:\tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2, e$): let arg = generator(τ_1) in checker($\tau_2[arg/x]$, (e arg)).
- (6) checker($\mu\alpha.\tau, e$) : checker($\tau[\mu\alpha.\tau/\alpha], e$).
- $(7) \ \mathsf{checker}(\{\ell_1 : \tau_1, \cdots, \ell_m : \tau_m\}, e) : \mathsf{eval}(e) = \{\ell_1 = v_1, \cdots, \ell_m = v_m, \cdots, \ell_n = v_n\}^{\{\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m\}} = v_n e^{-t} e^$ and checker $(\tau_1, v_1) \cdots$ and checker (τ_m, v_m) .

5 SELECTIVE TYPECHECKING

We allow users to declare types in their program selectively. If an expression doesn't have a type declaration, we assume that the user does not wish for us to check its type. In other words, we will only be checking explicitly declared types in the user program.

```
e ::= \cdots \mid \text{let f } (x : \tau) : \tau = \text{e in e} \mid \text{let } (x : \tau) = e \text{ in } e \text{ expressions}
                                    Fig. 5. Updated language grammar
```