Refutation-based Typechecking via Symbolic Execution

1 INTRO

In this section, we will first provide the model theory definition of types in our language. However, since in real programs, we cannot effectively perform certain mathematical enumerations (such as enumeration of functions), we will need an operational-semantics-based definition of how typechecking works in actual programs. As such, we will provide a proof theory definition of types, and prove equivalence of the two systems.

2 CORE LANGUAGE

First, we'll define a small core language with basic integers, booleans, binary operations, conditionals, and functions.

```
\begin{array}{llll} e & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid x \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \mid e \; e & expressions \\ & \mid e \odot e \mid \text{if } e \; \text{then } e \; \text{else } e \mid \text{let } x = e \; \text{in } e \\ & \mid e \sim p \mid \text{pick}_i \mid \text{pick}_b \mid \text{ERROR} \\ & x & ::= & (identifiers) & variables \\ & p & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \text{fun} & patterns \\ & v & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e & values \\ & \tau & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau & types \\ \end{array}
```

Fig. 1. Core language grammar

2.1 Modeling Types Mathematically

The typing rules of the system is defined as following:

Definition 2.1 (Typing rules).

```
(1) \models e : \text{int iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR and } \forall v.e \longrightarrow^* v, v \in \mathbb{Z}.

(2) \models e : \text{bool iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR and } \forall v.e \longrightarrow^* v, v \in \mathbb{B}.

(3) \models e : \tau_1 \longrightarrow \tau_2 \text{ iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR and } \forall v_f. \text{ if } e \longrightarrow^* v_f, \text{ then } \forall v. \text{ if } \models v : \tau_1, \text{ then } \models v_f v : \tau_2.
```

Note that the rules do not actually check the types of the subexpressions. In fact, we can have an expression such as Y (fun this \rightarrow fun n \rightarrow if n = 0 then 0 else this (n-1)) and assign the type int \rightarrow int to it, in spite of the fact that we cannot assign types to any of its subexpressions.

2.2 Modeling Types Practically

In this section, we will provide the proof theory definition of typechecking.

Definition 2.2 (Type Generator). (1) generator(int) = pick_i (2) generator(bool) = pick_b (3) generator($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$) = fun $x \rightarrow$ let _ = checker(τ_1 , x) in generator(τ_2) Definition 2.3 (Type Checker).

```
(1) checker(int, e) = if e \sim int then e else ERROR
```

- (2) checker(bool, e) = if $e \sim bool$ then e else ERROR
- (3) checker($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, e$) =

```
let arg = generator(\tau_1) in let _ = checker(\tau_2, (e arg)) in e
```

Definition 2.4 (Updated typing rule).

 $\models_p e : \tau \text{ iff checker}(\tau, e) \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR}.$

2.3 Completeness and Soundness

In this section, we will show that the two definitions are equivalent.

```
Theorem 2.5. \forall e. \not\models_p e : \tau \text{ iff } \not\models e : \tau.
```

```
IF DIRECTION. \forall e. if \not\models_p e : \tau, then \not\models e : \tau.
```

This is equivalent to showing: if checker $(\tau, e) \longrightarrow^* ERROR$, then $\not\models e : \tau$.

To prove this statement, we'll need the following lemma:

```
Lemma 2.6. \models generator(\tau) : \tau.
```

We will prove the conjunction of the completeness statement and the lemma by induction on the structure of τ .

```
Base case: \tau = int
```

First, we will show that \models generator(int) : int.

Since generator(int) = $pick_i$, by definition of $pick_i$, we know that $\forall v$. if $pick_i \longrightarrow^* v$, then $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we have shown that \models generator(int) : int.

Next, we'll prove that for an arbitrary e, if e: int, then $\not\models e$: int.

By definition of $\vdash e : \text{int}$, we know that $\not\models_p e : \text{int}$ suggests checker(int, e) \longrightarrow^* ERROR. Examining the definition of checker(int, e), we can see that there are two potential sources for ERROR:

- (1) $e \longrightarrow^* ERROR$. In this case, $\not\models e$: int is trivial.
- (2) $e \longrightarrow^* v$. In this case, we know that $v \sim \text{int} \longrightarrow^* \text{false}$, which means $v \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\not\models e : \text{int}$.

Proof for the case where $\tau = bool$ is very similar, so we'll omit it here for brevity.

```
Inductive step: \tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2
```

First, we will show that \models generator($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$) : $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

To prove this, we need to show that $\forall v$. if $v:\tau_1$, then \models (generator($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$) v): τ_2 .

By definition, (generator($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$)) $v = \text{let } \underline{\ } = \text{checker}(\tau_1, v)$ in generator(τ_2).

By inductive hypothesis, $\forall v$. if $\models v : \tau_1$, then $\vdash v : \tau_1$, which means checker $(\tau_1, v) \longrightarrow^*$ ERROR. In the case that checker (τ_1, v) diverges, (generator $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)$) v will diverge, too, making the statement \models (generator $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)$ $v) : \tau_2$ trivially true. If checker (τ_1, v) doesn't diverge, we only have to consider generator (τ_2) . By inductive hypothesis, we know that \models generator $(\tau_2) : \tau_2$. Therefore, we have shown that $\forall v$. if $v : \tau_1$, then \models (generator $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)$) v) : v, which means \models generator $(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

Next, we'll prove that for an arbitrary e, if $\not\models_p e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, then $\not\models e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

By definition, checker($\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, e$) = let arg = generator(τ_1) in checker(τ_2 , (e arg)). Since generator(τ_1) is guaranteed to evaluate to a value, we know that ERROR must come from checker(τ_2 , (e arg)). This suggests that $\not\models_p (e \text{ arg}) : \tau_2$. By induction hypothesis, we know that $\not\models (e \text{ arg}) : \tau_2$, and that $\not\models$ generator(τ_1): τ_1 . Thus we have found a witness $\not\models$ arg: τ_1 such that $\not\models (e \text{ arg}) : \tau_2$, proving that $\not\models e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

Reinterpret Types 3

Soundness. $\forall .e \text{ if } \not\models e : \tau \text{, then } \not\models_p e : \tau.$

This is equivalent to showing: if $\not\models e : \tau$, then $\exists v.e \longrightarrow^* v$ and checker $(\tau, v) \longrightarrow^*$ ERROR. Consider the case $\exists v_f.e \longrightarrow^* v_f$ and $\exists v. \models v : \tau_1$

Definition 2.7. $e_1 \subseteq_{\tau} e_2$ is defined as the following by case analysis:

- $e_1 \subseteq_{\text{int}} e_2 \text{ iff } \forall v_1. \text{ if } e_1 \longrightarrow^* v_1, \text{ then } e_2 \longrightarrow^* v_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- $e_1 \subseteq_{\mathsf{bool}} e_2 \text{ iff } \forall v_1. \text{ if } e_1 \longrightarrow^* v_1, \text{ then } e_2 \longrightarrow^* v_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{B}.$
- $e_1 \subseteq_{\tau_1 \to \tau_2} e_2$ iff $\forall v_1$. if $e_1 \longrightarrow^* v_1$, then $\exists v_2.e_2 \longrightarrow^* v_2$, $\models v_1, v_2 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2$, and $\forall v, v_{r1}$. if $\models v : \tau_1$ and $(v_1 \ v) \longrightarrow^* v_{r1}$, then $\exists v_{r2}.(v_2 \ v) \longrightarrow^* v_{r2}$ and $v_{r1} \subseteq_{\tau_2} v_{r2}$.

To prove this statement, we'll need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.8. If $\models v : \tau$, then $v \subseteq_{\tau}$ generator (τ) .

Definition 2.9. For all context $C, \models C[\bullet_{\tau}] : - \text{ iff } \forall v. \text{ if } \models v : \tau \text{ then } C[v] \longrightarrow^* v'.$

LEMMA 2.10. $\forall .v \ if \models v : \tau, then \ \forall .C \ if \models C[\bullet_{\tau}] : - and C[v] \longrightarrow^* v_1, then C[generator(\tau)] \longrightarrow^* v_2 \ and \ v_1 \subseteq_{\tau} v_2.$

3 LANGUAGE EXTENSIONS

Next, we will define a couple of languages extensions and their corresponding typing rules.

$$\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= & \cdots \mid a & expressions \\ v & ::= & \cdots \mid a & values \\ \tau & ::= & \cdots \mid \alpha \mid \tau \cup \tau \mid \tau \cap \tau \mid \{\tau \mid e\} \mid (x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau \mid \mu\alpha.\tau & types \end{array}$$

Fig. 2. Extended language grammar

Definition 3.1 (More typing rules).

- (1) $\models e : \alpha \text{ iff } e \longrightarrow^* a$, where $\texttt{TYPEOF}(a) = \alpha$.
- (2) $\models e : \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \text{ iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR, and } \forall v. \text{ if } e \longrightarrow^* v, \text{ then } \models v : \tau_1 \text{ or } \models v : \tau_2.$
- (3) $\models e : \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \text{ iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR}$, and $\forall v. \text{ if } e \longrightarrow^* v$, then $\models v : \tau_1 \text{ and } \models v : \tau_2$.
- (4) $\models e : \{\tau \mid p\} \text{ iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR, and } \forall v. \text{ if } e \longrightarrow^* v, \text{ then } \models v : \tau \text{ and } p v \longrightarrow^* \text{true.}$
- (5) $\models e : (x : \tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2 \text{ iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR, and } \forall v_f, \text{ if } e \longrightarrow^* v_f, \text{ then } \forall v, \text{ if } \models v : \tau_1, \text{ then } \models v_f v : \tau_2[v/x].$
- (6) $\models e : \mu \alpha. \tau \text{ iff } ?.$

We will now extend the language with records.

$$\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= & \cdots \mid \{\overline{\ell = e}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} \mid e.\ell & expressions \\ v & ::= & \cdots \mid \{\overline{\ell = v}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} & values \\ \tau & ::= & \cdots \mid \{\overline{\ell : \tau}\} & types \end{array}$$

Fig. 3. Extended language grammar (with records)

Definition 3.2 (Record typing rules).

(1) $\models e : \{\ell_1 : \tau_1, \dots, \ell_m : \tau_m\}$ iff $e \Longrightarrow \{\ell_1 = v_1, \dots, \ell_m = v_m, \dots, \ell_n = v_n\}^{\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_p\}}$ where $\models v_i : \tau_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, n \ge p \ge m$.

```
\begin{array}{lll} e & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid x \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \mid e \mid e \mid e \mid \circ e \mid a \mid \{\overline{\ell = e}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} \mid e.\ell & expressions \\ \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e \mid \text{pick}_i \mid \text{pick}_b \mid e \sim p \mid \text{mzero} \mid \text{ERROR} \\ \mid \text{let } x = e \text{ in } e \mid \text{let } f \mid x = e \text{ in } e \\ \mid \text{let } f \mid (x : \tau) : \tau = e \text{ in } e \mid \text{let } (x : \tau) = e \text{ in } e \\ x & ::= & (identifiers) & variables \\ p & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \text{fun} \mid \text{any} \mid a \mid \{\overline{\ell}\} & patterns \\ v & ::= & \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathbb{B} \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \mid x \mid a \mid \{\overline{\ell = v}\}^{\{\overline{\ell}\}} & values \\ \tau & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \alpha \mid \tau \cup \tau \mid \tau \cap \tau \mid \{\tau \mid e\} \mid (x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau \mid \mu\alpha.\tau \mid \{\overline{\ell : \tau}\} & types \\ \end{array}
```

Fig. 4. Complete language grammar

4 TYPE AS VALUES

In this section, we will demonstrate how to represent each type using a tuple of functions generator and checker.

Definition 4.1 (Semantic interpretation of types). We define the semantic interpretation of types as $[\tau] = \{\text{gen} = \text{generator}(\tau), \text{ check} = \text{fun } e \rightarrow \text{checker}(\tau, e)\}.$

5 SELECTIVE TYPECHECKING

We allow users to declare types in their program selectively. If an expression doesn't have a type declaration, we assume that the user does not wish for us to check its type. In other words, we will only be checking explicitly declared types in the user program.

```
e ::= \cdots \mid \text{let f } (x : \tau) : \tau = e \text{ in } e \text{ } expressions
\mid \text{let } (x : \tau) = e \text{ in } e
\tau ::= \cdots \mid \{\tau \mid e\} \mid (x : \tau) \rightarrow \tau \text{ } types
```

Fig. 5. Updated language grammar

Definition 5.1 (Defining Generator in the extended language).

- (1) generator($\{\tau \mid p\}$): let gend = generator(τ) in if (p gend) then gend else mzero.
- (2) generator($(x:\tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2$): fun $x' \rightarrow$ if checker(τ_1, x') then generator($\tau_2[x'/x]$) else ERROR.

Definition 5.2 (Defining Checker in the extended language).

- (1) $checker(\{\tau \mid p\}, e) :$ $checker(\tau, e)$ and eval(e) = true.
- (2) checker($(x : \tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2, e$): let arg = generator(τ_1) in checker($\tau_2[arg/x]$, (e arg)).

Definition 5.3 (Refinement type). $\models e: \{\tau \mid p\} \text{ iff } e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR, and } \forall v. \text{ if } e \longrightarrow^* v, \text{ then } \models v: \tau \text{ and } p v \longrightarrow^* \text{ true.}$

- checker($\{\tau \mid p\}, e$): let _ = checker(τ, e) in if $(p \ e)$ then e else ERROR
- generator({ $\tau \mid p$ }): let gend = generator(τ) in if (p gend) then gend else mzero

Reinterpret Types 5

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Definition 5.4 (Dependent type)}. & \models e : (x : \tau_1) \ \ \neg > \ \tau_2 \ \text{iff} \ e \longrightarrow^* \text{ERROR, and} \ \forall v_f, \text{if} \ e \longrightarrow^* v_f, \\ \text{then} \ \forall v, \text{if} \ \models v : \tau_1, \text{then} \ \models v_f \ v : \tau_2[v/x]. \end{array}
```

- checker $((x : \tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2, e)$: let arg = generator (τ_1) in checker $(\tau_2[arg/x], (e arg))$
- generator($(x : \tau_1) \rightarrow \tau_2$): fun $x' \rightarrow$ let _ = checker(τ_1, x') in generator($\tau_2[x'/x]$)