

# Fraudulent Job Posting Detection – EDA Summary Report

## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) performed on the Fraudulent Job Posting dataset. The objective is to understand patterns and indicators that distinguish real and fraudulent postings.

## 2. Data Cleaning Steps

- Dropped columns with over 70% missing values.
- Filled remaining missing text fields with 'Unknown' and categories with 'Not Specified'.
- Removed duplicates and stripped HTML tags.
- Created new numeric features: description\_length, requirements\_length, and benefits\_length.
- Converted the target column to fraud\_label (0 = Real, 1 = Fraud).

## 3. Univariate Analysis

- Countplots explored distributions of job roles, industries, employment types, and experience levels.
- Text length distributions showed significant differences between real and fraudulent postings.

## 4. Bivariate & Multivariate Analysis

- Fraud rate comparisons show higher fraud among telecommuting jobs and jobs without company logos.
- Correlation and cluster heatmaps highlighted relationships between numeric features.
- Pairplots showed clear clustering patterns where fraud postings group together.

## 5. Key Insights

- Fraud postings usually have short descriptions and requirement sections.
- Missing company logos are a strong fraud signal.
- Remote job postings show increased fraud likelihood.
- Multivariate patterns suggest strong combined indicators of fraud.

## 6. Recommendations

- Flag postings missing company details or proper descriptions.
- Add stricter verification for telecommuting roles.
- Use automated rules leveraging text length and missing field patterns.