

# FINM 326: Computing for Finance in C++

## Lecture 8

Chanaka Liyanarachchi

February 24, 2023

Black Scholes Pricer: Revisit

Polymorphism

Monte Carlo Pricer

Distributions


More on Inheritance

C++ Standards

## Black Scholes Pricer: Revisit

# Black Scholes Pricer: Option Classes

- ▶ Last week we introduced the following class design to represent Options:




- ▶ This design has some advantages:
  - ▶ reusing code
  - ▶ extensible

# Black Scholes Pricer: Pricing Methods

- ▶ The Price and Delta functions in the (Option) base class are pure virtual:
  - ▶ No common default implementation that works for every option type.
  - ▶ Each derived class has a specialized implementation.
- ▶ What about Gamma? it is the same for (European Vanilla) Calls and Puts.
- ▶ Choices:
  1. non virtual: define and implement in the base class
  2. virtual: base class provides a default implementation
  3. pure virtual: each derived class implements it
- ▶ Note: Any choice is acceptable for Assignment 4.


- ▶ Choices 1 and 2: base class has to provide an implementation.
- ▶ One argument: that's ok because Calls and Puts have same gamma.
- ▶ Another argument: that's not true all options (e.g. binary options).
- ▶ Two option types have a common greek doesn't mean all option types will have the same greek.
- ▶ If we use Choice 3: we are repeating the same code in Call and Put option classes.

- ▶ We can use a slightly different class hierarchy:



- ▶ Gamma pure virtual in the base (**Option**) class.
- ▶ Implement the common gamma for vanilla European options in **EuropeanVanilla** class.
- ▶ We reuse code but we don't have to provide a default implementation for all types.

- We can make our design even more flexible:



- Exercise: Extend Black Scholes pricer to support binary (digital) Options  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary\\_option](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_option).



Polymorphism

# Polymorphism

- ▶ The types related by inheritance are known as polymorphic<sup>1</sup>. types.
- ▶ We can use the polymorphic types interchangeably.
- ▶ Polymorphic behavior applies to a **reference** or a **pointer** to a polymorphic type only.
- ▶ We can use **a pointer or a reference** to a base class object to point/bind to an object of a derived class – this is known as the Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP).

---

<sup>1</sup>derived from a Greek word which means *many forms*

## Using Polymorphic Types: Example 1

- ▶ Option types are polymorphic.
- ▶ We can use a pointer to a `Option` to point to any of the derived option types:

```
Option* option1 =  
    new EuropeanCall(/*parameters omitted*/);
```

or,

```
Option* option1 =  
    new EuropeanPut(/*parameters omitted*/);
```

## Using Polymorphic Types: Example 2

- ▶ We can also use a reference to a `Option` to bind to any of the derived `Option` types:

```
EuropeanCall call(/*parameters omitted*/);  
Option& option1 = call;
```

or,

```
EuropeanPut put(/*parameters omitted*/);  
Option& option1 = put;
```

- ▶ Suppose we invoke a virtual (or pure virtual) member function (e.g. `Price()`), using such a reference/pointer:

```
option1->Price(/*parameters omitted*/); //Example 1
```

or,

```
option1.Price(/*parameters omitted*/); //Example 2
```

- ▶ The member function based on the type of the object the pointer points (or the reference is bound) to will be used.
- ▶ For example, if `option1` is pointer/reference to a:
  - ▶ `EuropeanCall`: then `EuropeanCall::Price()` will be used
  - ▶ `EuropeanPut`: then `EuropeanPut::Price()` will be used
- ▶ This allows us to write flexible code using base class types and without needing to know the derived type of an object.

## Using Polymorphic Types: Example 3

- ▶ Suppose we have an option portfolio of Calls and Puts. How do we store them in a container:

```
vector<EuropeanCall> calls;  
vector<EuropeanPut> puts;
```

- ▶ We can write a function to find the value of the portfolio:

```
double PortfolioValue(const vector<EuropeanCall>& calls)  
{  
    //for each option in calls price it  
    //add price to sum  
    //return sum  
}
```

- ▶ We have to write a similar function for EuropeanPuts. Not extensible.

- Options are polymorphic:

```
vector<Option*> calls_and_puts;
```

- Now, we can write one function to handle all option types:

```
double PortfolioValue(const vector<Option*>& options)
{
    //same as before
}
```

# OOP: Roadmap

## ► OOP Main Concepts:

1. Classes and Objects ✓
2. Data Abstraction and Encapsulation ✓
3. Inheritance ✓
4. Polymorphism ✓



Detour: Const and Mutable Members

# Const Member Functions

- ▶ We saw how to declare a `const` value in L2:

```
const int PI = 3.14;
```

- ▶ We can read it, but we cannot change it.
- ▶ Can we create constant objects?

- Suppose we have a class:

```
class Currency
{
public:
    .....
    string GetSymbol();
private:
    string symbol_;
    ...
};

string Currency::GetSymbol()
{
    return symbol_;
}
```

- ▶ We can create a `const Currency` object:

```
const Currency c("CAD", 1.2);
```

- ▶ Changing this object (s) is not allowed.
- ▶ We should be able to use an operation, e.g. `GetSymbol()` that does not change the object:

```
cout << c.GetSymbol() << endl;
```

- ▶ But the above line doesn't compile. What is the problem here?
- ▶ `GetSymbol()` doesn't change the object, but the compiler doesn't know that.

- ▶ Programmer needs to use const keyword to specify if a member function doesn't modify class data members.
- ▶ A const object can invoke const member functions of that class only.

```
class Currency
{
public:
    //....
    string GetSymbol() const;

};

string Currency::GetSymbol() const
{
    return symbol_;
}
```

- ▶ Now we can use this operation on a const Currency object:

```
cout << c.GetSymbol() << endl;
```

- ▶ We can overload a function on the basis of const (i.e. write a const and non const versions of the same function).

- ▶ When we use inheritance, const keyword has to be applied correctly in all derived types:

```
class Option
{
public:
    //....
    virtual double Price(/*parameters*/) const = 0;
};

class EuropeanCall
{
public:
    //....
    double Price(/*parameters*/) const override;
};

double EuropeanCall::Price(/*parameters*/) const
{
    .....
    .....
}
```

# Mutable

- ▶ A const member function is not allowed to modify any data member of that class.
- ▶ There is an exception to that rule: a data member is marked `mutable` can be modified inside a const member function.

Monte Carlo Pricer



# Monte Carlo Pricer

- ▶ Today we will use Monte Carlo to price European Options on stocks.
- ▶ Objectives:
  1. Illustrate OOP concepts and class design.
  2. Introduce random number generation.
  3. Introduce more math functions.
- ▶ References:
  - ▶ John Hull. Options Futures and Other Derivatives
  - ▶ Paul Glasserman. Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering.

# Monte Carlo Technique: Background

- ▶ The (time 0) value of an option is the discounted *expectation*<sup>2</sup> of its payoff under the risk neutral measure<sup>3</sup>.
- ▶ That means: to price an option we need to compute an *expected value*, i.e. an integral.
- ▶ Monte Carlo is a *numerical technique* used to **estimate** an integral.

---

<sup>2</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Expected\\_value](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Expected_value)

<sup>3</sup>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Risk-neutral\\_measure](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Risk-neutral_measure)

# Notation

We use the following notation:

$S_t$	:	Stock price at time $t$
$\sigma$	:	Volatility of the Stock (assumed constant)
$r$	:	Interest rate
$T$	:	Time to option expiration (in years)
$K$	:	Strike price
$W_t$	:	Brownian motion process
$N(0, 1)$	:	Standard normal distribution
$(A - B)^+$	:	$\text{Max}(A-B, 0)$

## Stock Price SDE

- ▶ We assume a stock price process follows a *Geometric Brownian Motion*<sup>4</sup>.
- ▶ Under the *risk neutral measure* the process of the stock price is given by the SDE:

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \quad (1)$$

where,

$$dW_t = N(0, dt) \quad (2)$$

$$W_t = \sqrt{t}N(0, 1) \quad \because W_0 = 0 \quad (3)$$

I.e. The Brownian motion has a normal distribution with mean zero (0) and variance t.

- ▶ Using the above SDE we get:

$$S_t = S_0 \exp((r - \sigma^2/2)t + \sigma\sqrt{t}N(0,1)) \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geometric\\_Brownian\\_motion](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geometric_Brownian_motion)

- ▶ Using equation (4), we can simulate the stock price at any (future) time  $t$ .
- ▶  $N(0, 1)$  is a random observation (random number) drawn from the standard normal distribution.
- ▶ We need  $S_T$  (i.e. price at time  $T$ ) to find the payoff of a European Option at Option expiration.
- ▶ Let's say,  $z =$  a random number drawn from  $N(0, 1)$ .

$$S_T = S_0 \exp((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma z \sqrt{T}) \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Now we can find the Option payoff using the appropriate payoff function, e.g. for a Call:

$$(S_T - K)^+ \quad (6)$$

# Monte Carlo Option Pricer: Step by Step

- ▶ Draw a random number ( $z_i$ ) from the standard normal distribution,  $N(0, 1)$ . Here, the subscript  $i$  denotes the  $i^{th}$  draw.
- ▶ Simulate the stock price at the Option expiration ( $S_T$ ). The stock price at the expiration is given by:

$$S_{T,i} = S_0 \exp((r - \sigma^2/2)T + \sigma z_i \sqrt{T}) \quad (7)$$

- ▶ For a given price path ( $i$ ) find the discounted payoff. E.g. European Call payoff at time zero is given by:

$$C_i = \exp^{-rT} (S_{T,i} - K)^+ \quad (8)$$

- ▶ Repeat above steps for  $(M)$  trials, and calculate the Option payoff for each path.
- ▶ Find the mean of the discounted Option payoffs:

$$\hat{C} = \frac{\exp^{-rT}}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (S_{T,i} - K)^+ \quad (9)$$

- ▶ This is an **estimate** of the Option price.
- ▶ *The law of large numbers* states that such an estimate converges to the correct value as the number of trials  $(M)$  increases.

## Monte Carlo Option Pricer: Number of Simulations

- ▶ It can be shown that the standard error ( $\epsilon$ ) of this estimate:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{M}}$$

where,  $\omega$  is the sample standard deviation.

- ▶ This means:  $\epsilon \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}$
- ▶ To increase the accuracy of a simulation (i.e. decrease error) X 2, need increase the no of trials X 4 (i.e.  $2^2$ ).
- ▶ The confidence interval of  $100(1-p)\%$  is given by (Central Limit Theorem):

$$\left( \hat{C} - \Phi^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{M}}, \hat{C} + \Phi^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right) \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{M}} \right)$$

- ▶ E.g. for a 0.95 confidence interval:

$$\left( \hat{C} - 1.96 \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{M}}, \hat{C} + 1.96 \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{M}} \right)$$



Random Numbers

# Pseudo Random Numbers

- ▶ We need random numbers for Monte Carlo simulations.
- ▶ We use algorithms generate *pseudo* random numbers.
- ▶ A good algorithm can generate good pseudo random number sequences that satisfy statistical *randomness* properties.

# Generating Pseudo Random Numbers

- ▶ We have several options to generate random numbers:
  1. Do it ourselves.
  2. Use a random number generator in the Standard Library.
  3. Use a third party library (when performance is critical, summer HPC course).
- ▶ Initially we will generate random numbers using a simple algorithm:
  - ▶ To understand what's involved
  - ▶ Practice programming
  - ▶ Use some more math functions in C++

# Box-Muller

- ▶ There are several simple algorithms:
  - ▶ Box-Muller
  - ▶ Summation
  - ▶ Polar
- ▶ We will use the Box-Muller transform algorithm.

- ▶ The Box-Muller algorithm works as follows:
  - ▶ Draw two independent random numbers,  $x$  and  $y$ , from the uniform distribution in  $[0, 1]$ .
  - ▶ Find  $z$  such that:

$$z = \sqrt{-2 \ln(x)} \cos(2\pi y) \quad x \neq 0 \quad (10)$$

$z$  is a standard normal random variable.

## Box-Muller: Thinking in C++

- ▶ `rand()` returns an integer (pseudo) random number from the uniform distribution in  $[0, \text{RAND\_MAX}]$ :
  - ▶ defined in `cstdlib` header  
<http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/cstdlib/>
  - ▶ 0 and `RAND_MAX` included
  - ▶ `RAND_MAX` is implementation defined, at least 32767
- ▶ To get a uniform (pseudo) random number in  $[0,1]$ :

```
double x = static_cast<double>(rand()) / RAND_MAX;  
double y = static_cast<double>(rand()) / RAND_MAX;
```

- ▶ We can use  $x$  and  $y$  to find  $z$ , a pseudo random variable, from the standard normal distribution. Remember,  $x \neq 0$ .

```
double z = sqrt(-2.0*log(x)) * cos(2*PI*y);
```

# Seed

- ▶ We use a seed to initialize a pseudo random number generator:
  - ▶ same seed to generate the same sequence
  - ▶ unique seed to generate a unique sequences
- ▶ `srand()` is used to initialize a random number generator with a seed.
- ▶ To initialize the seed to using a given integer, e.g. 17:  
`srand(17);`
- ▶ To get a unique seed, one common technique is use the current time as the seed:

```
srand(static_cast<unsigned int>(time(0)));
```

# Simulating the Stock Price Path

- ▶ Suppose, the `BoxMuller()` generates a standard normal random number:

```
double z_i = BoxMuller();
```

- ▶ Using any  $i^{th}$  generated random number  $z_i$ , we can simulate a stock price  $S_{T,i}$ , at time  $T$ :

```
double ST_i =  
    S0*exp((r-sigma*sigma/2.0)*T + sigma*z_i*sqrt(T));
```


- ▶ Now we can estimate an Option price using steps described earlier.



Monte Carlo Pricer

# Option Class Hierarchy

We can still use this class design (with some changes):



# Extensibility

- ▶ Black Scholes pricer design allows us to add new option types easily.
- ▶ Option types is not the only thing we want to add.
- ▶ An extensible design should allow us to add:
  - ▶ new option types (Call, Put etc.)
  - ▶ new exercise types (European, American etc.)
  - ▶ new models
  - ▶ new pricing techniques

- ▶ Current design has limited extensibility:
  - ▶ Main issue: pricing is tied to the Option class (we have the `Price()` function in the Option class).
  - ▶ Doesn't allow us to add new pricing techniques and/or models in an extensible way.
  - ▶ One (bad) choice would be to add new price functions for each model/technique in Option class:
    - ▶ `MCPrice()`: to price using Monte Carlo
    - ▶ `TreePrice()`: to price using a tree
  - ▶ This is not an extensible design – we won't do that.

## MCPricer Class (Incomplete)

- ▶ We will move the Price() to a separate class.
- ▶ For Monte Carlo, implement pricing in MCPricer class.
- ▶ The Price(): prices an option using Monte Carlo

```
class MCPricer
{
public:
    double Price(const Option& option,
                 double stockPrice, double vol, double rate,
                 unsigned long paths);
};
```

- ▶ Price():
  - ▶ Takes a reference to an Option.
  - ▶ Any derived class type (EuropeanPut, EuropeanCall) can be used thanks to polymorphism.

► Incomplete Price() implementation:

```
double MCPricer::Price(const Option& option,  
    double stockPrice,  
    double vol, double rate,  
    unsigned long paths)  
{  
    double T = option.GetTimeToExpiration();  
  
    for (unsigned int i=0; i<paths; ++i)  
    {  
        generate random number;  
  
        generate stock price (ST)  
  
        payoff = option.GetExpirationPayoff(ST)  
  
        .....  
    }  
    //calculate price  
  
    return price;  
}
```

## Option (Base) Class (Incomplete)

- ▶ Each option type must support a payoff calculation.
- ▶ Depends on the Option type.
- ▶ We need to introduce a new pure virtual function to find payoffs:

```
class Option
{
public:
    //.....

    virtual double GetExpirationPayoff(double ST) const = 0;

    //.....
};
```

- ▶ It needs to be const member function (it does not change any class data members) as we're using the object as a const reference.

- Note how we pass a reference to the base Option class and use it to get the actual payoff using the derived type of the object:

```
int main()
{
    MCPricer mc;

    EuropeanCall call(K, T);

    double callPrice = mc.Price(call, S, v, r, M);

    cout << "Call Price: " << callPrice << endl;

    EuropeanPut put(K, T);

    double putPrice = mc.Price(put, S, v, r, M);

    cout << "Put Price: " << putPrice << endl;
}
```



## Assignment 5 (Graded Assignment)

- ▶ Complete Monte Carlo option pricer using the class design described above.
- ▶ Price European vanilla Call and Put Options.
- ▶ Use:

$$S_o = 100.0$$

$$\sigma = 0.3$$

$$r = 0.01$$

$$T = 2.0$$

$$K = 100.0$$

$$M \text{ (Paths)} = 10000, 100000, 1000000$$

- ▶ Submit all code.
- ▶ Individual assignment.
- ▶ Due: March 3 by midnight CST.

## Remarks

- ▶ We looked at Monte Carlo technique in its basic form.
- ▶ Our main goal today is to show how to apply OOP to solve the basic pricing problem.
- ▶ The basic form is computationally **inefficient**.
- ▶ There are various techniques to improve the efficiency of a Monte Carlo simulation.
- ▶ You can use this program as the starting point to introduce changes/optimizations.

## Distributions

# Distributions

- ▶ We can use the Standard Library to generate random numbers.
- ▶ Random number generation is achieved using:
  - ▶ generators (engines)
  - ▶ distributions
- ▶ Generators produce uniform random values using well known algorithms.
- ▶ Generators differ in complexity, quality and speed.
- ▶ Uniform random values are mapped to random numbers for well known distributions.
- ▶ A list of generators and distributions is available at <http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/random/>
- ▶ Generators and distributions are defined in random header.

- ▶ To generate standard normal random numbers:

```
mt19937 e;  
  
e.seed(771);  
  
normal_distribution<double> d(mean, stdev);  
  
for (int i=0; i<5;++i)  
{  
    cout << d(e) << endl;  
}
```

- ▶ Homework: Redo Assignment 5 using generators in the Standard Library.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup>You should use Box-Muller for Assignment 5.

More on Inheritance

## Virtual Destructor

- Consider the example below:

```
class Base1
{
public:
    virtual void Fun1();

    virtual ~Base1();
};

class Derived1 : public Base1
{
public:
    void Fun1();

    ~Derived1();
};

int main()
{
    Base1* p = new Derived1();

    delete p;
}
```

- ▶ When we delete a derived class object, we should execute the derived class destructor and the base class destructor.
- ▶ A virtual base class destructor is needed to make sure the destructors are called properly when a derived class object is deleted through a pointer to a base class.
- ▶ If we delete a derived class object through a pointer to a base class when the base class destructor is non-virtual, the result is *undefined*.



# Public Inheritance

- ▶ The form of inheritance we've seen is known as *public inheritance*.
- ▶ It is used to model the *is-a* relationship between classes:
  - ▶ Student *is-a* Person; Employee *is-a* Person
  - ▶ EuropeanCall *is-an* Option; EuropeanPut *is-an* Option
- ▶ Public inheritance is the most widely used form.

# Inheritance: Other Forms

- ▶ We have 2 other forms of inheritance:
  - ▶ private
  - ▶ protected
- ▶ The meanings of those forms are different from public inheritance.

# Multiple Inheritance

- ▶ C++ allows a class to derive from more than one base class.
- ▶ It is known as multiple inheritance.
- ▶ We will see an examples where multiple inheritance is used when we look at electronic trading.

# Inheritance Examples from C++ Standard Library


- ▶ Inheritance is a widely used concept.
- ▶ Shown below are some examples from C++ Standard Library:
  1. Exceptions
  2. Streams

# Exception Class Hierarchy

## ► std::exception:




## ► std::runtime\_error:



## ► <https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/error/exception>

# Streams Class Hierarchy

- ▶ Stream class hierarchy:



- ▶ Ref: <https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/io>

# C++ Standards

- ▶ Designed and implemented by Bjarne Stroustrup (Bell Labs) in early 80s.
- ▶ C++ is standardized by an ISO (International Organization for Standardization) working group in 1998.
- ▶ First standardized C++ was released in 1998 (this release is informally known as C++98).
- ▶ Major changes/features introduced in 2011. Known as C++11.
- ▶ Since then, new standards introduced in 2014, 2017 and 2020, commonly referred to as C++14, C++17, C++20
- ▶ Most commonly used compilers supports most (not all) features from the current standard, and some features from upcoming standards.
- ▶ We used various features from recent standards, including some features from the most recent C++20 standard in this course.

# C++11

- ▶ We've seen some features from C++11:
  - ▶ auto
  - ▶ uniform\_initialization
  - ▶ shared\_ptr
  - ▶ distributions
- ▶ And, the following features from C++20:
  - ▶ optional
  - ▶ numbers ( $\pi$  etc.)