## Math 174E Lecture 6

Moritz Voss

August 11, 2022

## References



Chapters 3.4

#### Choice of Contract

#### Two aspects:

- 1. The choice of the delivery month.
- 2. The choice of the asset underlying the futures contract.

### **Principles:**

- Choose a delivery month that is as close as possible to, but later than, the end of the life of the hedge.
- When there is no futures contract on the asset being hedged, choose the contract whose futures prices are most closely correlated with the price of the asset being hedged.

## Cross Hedging 1/2

- in practice, asset underlying the futures contract is not necessarily the asset whose price is being hedged: cross hedging
- Example: Airline hedges the price of jet fuel with heating oil futures.

#### Definition 3.7

The **hedge ratio** is the ratio of the *size of the position in a hedging instrument* (e.g., futures contracts) to the *size of the position being hedged* (exposure).

# Cross Hedging 2/2

- ▶ When the asset underlying the futures contract is the same as the asset being hedged, it is natural to use a hedge ratio of 1.0 (as, e.g., in Examples 3.5 and 3.6)
  - Example: To hedge the purchase of 20,000 barrels of crude oil, use 20 futures contracts on crude oil with a size of 1,000 barrels per contract.
- ▶ When cross hedging is used, setting the hedge ratio equal to 1.0 is not always optimal.
- Instead, the hedger chooses a value for the hedge ratio that minimizes the variance of the value of the hedged position.

## Minimum Variance Hedge Ratio 1/3

For simplicity we ignore the daily settlement of futures contracts.

#### **Notation:**

- $ightharpoonup t_1 = time when the hedge is put in place$
- $t_2$  = time when the hedge is closed out  $(t_1 < t_2)$
- ▶  $S_{t_i}$  = spot price at time  $t_i$  of asset being hedged (i = 1, 2)
- $F_{t_i}$  = futures price at time  $t_i$  of contract used (i = 1, 2)
- $\Delta S = S_{t_2} S_{t_1}$ : change in spot price
- $\Delta F = F_{t_2} F_{t_1}$ : change in futures price
- $\sigma_S$  = standard deviation of  $\Delta S$
- $ightharpoonup \sigma_F = \text{standard deviation of } \Delta F$
- ho = correlation coefficient between  $\Delta S$  and  $\Delta F$

 $\sigma_S, \sigma_F, \rho$  can be estimated from historical data of  $\Delta S, \Delta F$  (see Assignment 3)

## Minimum Variance Hedge Ratio 2/3

### **Notation:** (continued)

- $ightharpoonup N_A =$ size of position being hedged (in units of the asset being hedged)
- N<sub>F</sub> = size of the hedge (in units of asset underlying the futures contract)
- ▶  $h = \frac{N_F}{N_A} = \text{hedge ratio}$
- $ightharpoonup Q_F = ext{size}$  of one futures contract (in units of asset underlying the futures contract)
- $\frac{h \cdot N_A}{Q_F}$  = number of futures contracts

# Minimum Variance Hedge Ratio 3/3

### Proposition 3.8

The minimum variance hedge ratio is given by

$$h^* = \rho \frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_F}$$

and the optimal number  $N^*$  of futures contracts is given by

$$N^* = \frac{h^* \cdot N_A}{Q_F}.$$

Proof: See lecture notes.

#### Examples:

- ▶ For  $\rho = 1$  and  $\sigma_F = \sigma_S$ :  $h^* = 1$
- ▶ For  $\rho = 1$  and  $\sigma_F = 2\sigma_S$ :  $h^* = 0.5$

## Numerical Example

### Example 3.9

An airline expects to purchase 2 million gallons (=  $N_A$ ) of jet fuel in 1 month and decides to use heating oil futures for hedging.

Suppose that  $\sigma_F=0.0313$ ,  $\sigma_S=0.0263$ , and  $\rho=0.928$ . The minimum variance hedge ratio  $h^*$  is therefore

$$h^* = 0.928 \cdot \frac{0.0263}{0.0313} = 0.78.$$

Each heating oil futures contract is on 42,000 gallons of heating oil. The optimal number of futures contracts is thus

$$N^* = \frac{0.78 \cdot 2,000,000}{42,000} = 37.1429 \approx 37$$
 (round to nearest integer)

The size of the hedge is  $N_F = 0.78 \cdot 2,000,000 = 1.56$  million gallons of heating oil.

#### Comments

- ▶ the minimum variance hedge ratio  $h^*$  from Proposition 3.8 depends on the relationship between changes in the spot price  $\Delta S$  and changes in the futures price  $\Delta F$
- ▶ the proof of Proposition 3.8 actually reveals that  $h^*$  is the slope of the best-fit line from a linear regression of  $\Delta S$  against  $\Delta F$  (minimizing the mean squared error)
- ▶ this result is intuitively reasonable, since we would expect h\* to be the ratio of the average change in S for a particular change in F

## Chapter 4: Interest Rates



Chapter 4.1, (4.2), 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, 4.10

#### Introduction

- an interest rate in a particular situation defines the amount of money a borrower promises to pay the lender (time value of money)
- for any given currency, many different types of interest rates are regularly quoted: mortgage rates, deposit rates, prime borrowing rates etc.
- interest rate applicable depends on the credit risk (risk of default by the borrower)
- ▶ interest rates are often expressed in **basis points**: 1 basis point = 0.01% = 0.0001
- interest rates are an important factor in the valuation of virtually all financial derivatives

## Types of rates

- Treasury rates
  - rates an investor earns on Treasury bills and Treasury bonds
  - these instruments are used by governments to borrow in their own currencies (e.g., U.S. Treasury rates are the rates at which US government borrows in U.S. dollars)
  - treasury rates are regarded as a risk-free rate

#### LIBOR rates

- London Interbank Offered Rate
- unsecured short-term borrowing rate between banks (AA-rated)
- quoted for different currencies and borrowing periods
- used as a reference rate for all lot of derivative transactions throughout the world (e.g, interest rate swaps, see Chapter 7)
- virtually risk-free, but considered nowadays as a less-than-ideal reference rate for derivatives pricing
- ▶ LIBOR will be phased out by June 30, 2023, and will be replaced by the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR)
- Overnight rates (federal funds rate in the U.S.)
- Repo rates
  - secured borrowing rates (repo = repurchase agreement)

See also https://www.global-rates.com.

## Measuring Interest Rates

#### Two factors:

- compounding period (typically expressed in years)
- compounding frequency within the compounding period

### Example 4.1

Interest rate r = 0.1 per year (i.e., 10% p.a.)

Compounding frequency	m Value of \$100 after one year
annually $(m=1)$	$100 \cdot (1+0.1)^1 = 110$
semiannually $(m=2)$	$100 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.1}{2}\right)^2 = 110.25$
quarterly $(m=4)$	$100 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.1}{4}\right)^4 = 110.38$
monthly $(m=12)$	$100 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.1}{12}\right)^{12} = 110.47$
weekly $(m = 52)$	$100 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.1}{52}\right)^{52} = 110.51$
daily $(m=365)$	$100 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{0.1}{365}\right)^{365} = 110.516$
continuously ( $m=\infty$	) $100 \cdot e^{0.1} = 110.517$

Source of table: Hull, Chapter 4.4, Table 4.1, page 82.

## Formulas 1/3

#### **General notation:**

- ightharpoonup interest rate r (per year, per annum, p.a.)
- ▶ investment period *n* (measured in years)
- compounding frequency m per year

**Simple compounding:** Terminal value (**future value**) of an amount A invested for n years at rate r p.a. compounded m times per year:

 $A \cdot \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{m \cdot n}$ 

**Continuous compounding:** Terminal value (**future value**) of an amount A invested for n years at rate r p.a. compounded continuously:

$$A \cdot e^{r \cdot n}$$

## Formulas 2/3

**Conversion:**  $r_c$  (annual rate of interest continuously compounded) is *equivalent* to  $r_m$  (annual rate of interest compounded m times per year) if and only if

$$\left(1+\frac{r_m}{m}\right)^m=\mathrm{e}^{r_c}$$

and hence

$$r_c = m \cdot \log \left( 1 + \frac{r_m}{m} \right)$$
 or  $r_m = m \cdot \left( e^{\frac{r_c}{m}} - 1 \right)$ 

## Formulas 3/3

**Simple discounting: Present value** today of an amount A received in n years (when interest rate is r p.a. compounded m times per year)

$$A \cdot \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{-m \cdot n}$$

**Continuous discounting: Present value** today of an amount A received in n years (when interest rate is r p.a. continuously compounded)

$$A \cdot e^{-r \cdot n}$$

In this class, interest rates will be measured with **continuous compounding**!

#### Zero Rates

#### Definition 4.2

The n-year zero-coupon interest rate (also called n-year spot rate or n-year zero rate) is the rate of interest earned on an investment that starts today (at time t=0) and lasts for n years (until t=n). All the interest and principal is realized at the end of n years. There are no intermediate payments.

### Example 4.3

Suppose a 5-year zero spot rate is quoted as r=5% per annum (continuous compounding).

This means that \$100, if invested for 5 years, grows to  $100 \cdot e^{0.05 \cdot 5} = $128.403$ .

Similarly, the present value today of \$100 received in 5 years is  $100 \cdot e^{-0.05 \cdot 5} = $77.8801$ .

#### **Bonds**

- governments and corporations need to raise funds to finance their expenditures and their long-term investments
- one possibility is to issue bonds

#### Definition 4.4

A **bond** is an instrument of *indebtedness* of the bond issuer to the holders. The issuer owes the holders a debt and (depending on the terms of the bond) is obliged to pay them interest (the **coupon**) and the **principal** at a later date, termed the **maturity date**. Interest is usually payable at fixed intervals (semiannual, annual, sometimes monthly).

- most bonds (e.g., U.S. treasury bonds, corporate bonds) pay coupons to the holder periodically (coupon rate)
- ▶ **zero-coupon bonds** = no coupon payments
- bond's principal (par value, face value) is received at maturity T

## Bond Pricing 1/2

- after issuance most bonds are actively traded on financial markets (secondary market)
- the theoretical price of a bond can be calculated as the present value of all future cash flows (coupon payments and the principal) that will be received by the holder
- sometimes bond traders use the same discount rate for all the cash flows
- a more accurate approach is to use a different spot rate for each cashflow

## Bond Pricing 2/2

### Example 4.5

Today (at time t=0) suppose that a 2-year bond with a principal of \$100 provides coupons at the rate of 6% per annum semiannually.

Following are today's market spot rates:

Maturity (years)	spot rate p.a. (in %) cont. comp.
0.5	5.0
1.0	5.8
1.5	6.4
2.0	6.8

Today's theoretical price (at time t=0) of the bond can be computed as

$$3 \cdot e^{-0.05 \cdot 0.5} + 3 \cdot e^{-0.058 \cdot 1.0} + 3 \cdot e^{-0.064 \cdot 1.5} + (100 + 3) \cdot e^{-0.068 \cdot 2.0}$$
  
= 98.39.

Source of table: Hull, Chapter 4.6, Table 4.2, page 84.

### **Bond Yield**

#### Definition 4.6

The **bond yield** is the *single discount rate* that makes the present value of all cash flows of the bond equal to its market price.

### Example 4.7

Suppose that the theoretical price of the bond from Example 4.5 is also its market price (at time t=0). Then, the annual yield y of the bond must satisfy

$$3 \cdot e^{-y \cdot 0.5} + 3 \cdot e^{-y \cdot 1} + 3 \cdot e^{-y \cdot 1.5} + (100 + 3) \cdot e^{-y \cdot 2.0} = 98.39.$$

This equation can be solved numerically and gives y = 6.76%.

The bond yield is the **rate of return** received from investing in the bond.

## **Determining Zero Rates**

*n*-year **zero rates** (spot rates) can be computed from given Treasury bill and Treasury bond prices (**Treasury zero rates**).

### Example 4.8

Consider following market data on the prices of 5 Treasury bonds:

Bond principal (\$)	Time to maturity (years)	Annual coupon (\$)	Bond price (\$)
100	0.25	0	99.6
100	0.50	0	99.0
100	1.00	0	97.8
100	1.50	4	102.5
100	2.00	5	105.0

Coupon payments are semiannually. Compute the 0.25-, 0.5-, 1-, 1.5-, and 2-year annual zero rates which are consistent with the market data above (**bootstrap method**).

#### Zero Curve

#### Definition 4.9

A chart showing the annual zero rates (spot rates) as a function of maturity is called the **zero curve** (or zero-coupon yield curve).

### Example 4.10

Draw the zero curve for the data from Example 4.10:

Maturity (years)	Annual zero rate (% cont. comp.)
0.25	1.603
0.50	2.010
1.00	2.225
1.50	2.284
2.00	2.416

Interpolate linearly between the given maturities.

Source of table: Hull, Chapter 4.7, Table 4.4, page 87.

## Slope of Zero Curve

- upward sloping:
  - the longer the maturity, the higher the yield (p.a.)
  - normal market
  - possibly explanation (among others): market is anticipating a rise in short-term interest rates
- downward sloping:
  - short-term interest rates higher than long-term interest rates
  - inverted market
  - possibly explanation (among others): market is anticipating falling short term interest rate rates
- flat or hump-shaped

Other factors influencing shape: supply and demand, volatility (risk premium), . . .

## Duration 1/3

- the duration of a bond is a measure of how long the holder of the bond has to wait before receiving the present value of the cash payments
- ▶ a zero-coupon bond that lasts *n* years has a duration of *n* years
- however, a coupon-bearing bond lasting n years has a duration of less than n years, because the holder receives some of the cash payments prior to year n

## Duration 2/3

Suppose that a bond provides the holder with cash flows  $c_i$  at time  $t_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . The bond price B and the bond yield y (continuously compounded) are related by (recall Definition 4.6)

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot e^{-y \cdot t_i}.$$

#### Definition 4.11

The **duration of the bond** *D* is defined as

$$D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \cdot c_i \cdot e^{-y \cdot t_i}}{B} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \cdot \left(\frac{c_i \cdot e^{-y \cdot t_i}}{B}\right).$$

Observe that the duration is a *weighted average* of the times when payments are made, with the weight applied to time  $t_i$  being equal to the ratio of the present value of the cash flow at time  $t_i$  to the bond price (the present value of all cash flows).

## Duration 3/3

#### Application of duration:

When a small change  $\Delta y$  in the bond's yield is considered, it is approximately true that

$$\Delta B pprox rac{dB}{dy} \cdot \Delta y$$
 (1st order approximation).

Since

$$\Delta B = -\Delta y \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \cdot c_i \cdot e^{-y \cdot t_i}$$

we obtain the key duration relationship

$$\Delta B \approx -B \cdot D \cdot \Delta y$$
.

This is an approximate relationship between percentage changes in a bond price and changes in its yield. Note that there is a *negative* relationship between B and y (see Assignment 3 for an example).