

# Foundations of Agents: Practical Assignment 1

Kevin Trebing (i6192338)

September 27, 2018

## 1 Tower of Hanoi problem

In a Tower of Hanoi problem the agent needs to move disks of different sizes from one pin to another pin. Furthermore, the disks need to be in the order that a smaller disk needs to be on top of a bigger one. Only one disk can be moved at a time and only the topmost disk can be moved. In our problem we have 3 pins and two disks. The starting position is pin 1 and the smaller disk is on the bigger disk. The goal is to move the disks to pin 3.

### 1.1 Description of States

Notation:

- a = small disk,
- b = big disk,
- 1 = pin1,
- 2 = pin2,
- 3 = pin3,
- ab = a is on b

We have 12 different possible states:

State	Pin		
$s_0$	ab1	2	3
$s_1$	1	ab2	3
$s_2$	1	2	ab3
$s_3$	ba1	2	3
$s_4$	1	ba2	3
$s_5$	1	2	ba3
$s_6$	b1	a2	3
$s_7$	a1	b2	3
$s_8$	b1	2	a3
$s_9$	a1	2	b3
$s_{10}$	1	a2	b3
$s_{11}$	1	b2	a3

## 1.2 Description of Actions

We have 6 different actions that the agent can take.

Action	effect
$a_1$	move a to pin1
$a_2$	move a to pin2
$a_3$	move a to pin3
$b_1$	move b to pin1
$b_2$	move b to pin2
$b_3$	move b to pin3

## 2 Optimal policy

The optimal policy describes for every state the best action the agent should take.

- $\pi(s_0) = a_2$
- $\pi(s_1) = a_1$
- $\pi(s_2) = a_2$
- $\pi(s_3) = b_3$
- $\pi(s_4) = b_3$
- $\pi(s_5) = b_1$  according to PI and  $b_2$  according to VI
- $\pi(s_6) = b_3$
- $\pi(s_7) = b_3$
- $\pi(s_8) = a_2$
- $\pi(s_9) = a_3$
- $\pi(s_{10}) = a_3$
- $\pi(s_{11}) = a_1$

The difference in  $\pi(s_5)$  arises because of different utilities of the states. Using action  $b_1$  would transition into state  $s_8$  and using action  $b_2$  would transition into state  $s_{11}$ . Below we can see that policy iteration gives the state  $s_8$  a higher utility than  $s_{11}$ , vice versa for value iteration.

## 3 Utility

The utility of each state given the optimal policy. The utility is calculated the following formula:

$$u(s, \pi) = r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \cdot \sum_{s' \in S} t(s, \pi(s), s') \cdot u(s', \pi) \quad (1)$$

Using the utility-values of the policy-iteration:

- $u(s_0) = 73.59$
- $u(s_1) = 62.27$
- $u(s_2) = 0.00$
- $u(s_3) = 86.00$
- $u(s_4) = 86.63$
- $u(s_5) = 53.27$
- $u(s_6) = 85.91$
- $u(s_7) = 85.81$
- $u(s_8) = 74.31$
- $u(s_9) = 98.79$
- $u(s_{10}) = 98.79$
- $u(s_{11}) = 74.11$

Using value-iteration the following utility-values arise:

- $u(s_0) = 75.31$
- $u(s_1) = 75.39$
- $u(s_2) = 0.00$
- $u(s_3) = 86.75$
- $u(s_4) = 86.75$
- $u(s_5) = 66.77$
- $u(s_6) = 85.93$
- $u(s_7) = 85.93$
- $u(s_8) = 74.48$
- $u(s_9) = 98.79$
- $u(s_{10}) = 98.79$
- $u(s_{11}) = 75.39$

## 4 Convergence speed

Policy-iteration was significantly faster than value-iteration: value-iteration needed about 0.016s to converge whereas policy-iteration only needed 0.0036s to converge. Furthermore, policy-iteration delivers a more accurate result.

## 5 Comparison

On the one hand, the policies for value-iteration and policy-iteration differed only in one state ( $s_5$ ). On the other hand, their calculated utilities differed in two states by more than 10% ( $s_1$  and  $s_5$ ).

## 6 Note

The Hanoi.py file requires Python 3.6.